

EnerSys
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2006

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 2, 2006

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number: 001-32253

EnerSys

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

23-3058564
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2366 Bernville Road
Reading, Pennsylvania 19605
(Address of principal executive offices)

Telephone Number: 610-208-1991
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934).

YES NO.

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

Common Stock outstanding at August 4, 2006: 46,697,592 shares

ENERSYS

INDEX FORM 10-Q

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Item 1. Financial Statements | |
| Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets July 2, 2006 (Unaudited), and March 31, 2006 | 3 |
| Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Unaudited) For the Three Fiscal Months Ended July 2, 2006, and July 3, 2005 | 4 |
| Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) For the Three Fiscal Months Ended July 2, 2006, and July 3, 2005 | 5 |
| Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) For the Three Fiscal Months Ended July 2, 2006, and July 3, 2005 | 6 |
| Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited) | 7 |
| Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 21 |
| Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 30 |
| Item 4 Controls and Procedures | 32 |
| PART II OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 33 |
| Item 1a. Risk Factors | 33 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 34 |
| SIGNATURES | 35 |
| EXHIBIT INDEX | 36 |

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

ENERSYS

Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

(In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data)

| | July 2, 2006 | March 31, 2006 |
|---|------------------|-------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 16,644 | \$ 15,217 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 324,923 | 308,625 |
| Inventories, net | 201,860 | 179,537 |
| Deferred taxes | 21,657 | 20,338 |
| Prepaid and other current assets | 25,960 | 23,978 |
| | 591,044 | 547,695 |
| Total current assets | 591,044 | 547,695 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | 287,524 | 281,744 |
| Goodwill | 316,281 | 308,767 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 80,779 | 80,831 |
| Deferred taxes | 15,820 | 13,843 |
| Other assets | 33,712 | 31,068 |
| | 1,325,160 | 1,263,948 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,325,160 | \$ 1,263,948 |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Short-term debt | \$ 10,274 | \$ 6,571 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 8,325 | 8,225 |
| Current portion of capital lease obligations | 1,444 | 1,367 |
| Accounts payable | 169,036 | 157,792 |
| Accrued expenses | 172,125 | 159,671 |
| Deferred taxes | 1,589 | 2,635 |
| | 362,793 | 336,261 |
| Total current liabilities | 362,793 | 336,261 |
| Long-term debt | 384,776 | 384,142 |
| Capital lease obligations | 2,013 | 2,185 |
| Deferred taxes | 57,990 | 55,357 |
| Other liabilities | 42,822 | 40,815 |
| | 850,394 | 818,760 |
| Total liabilities | 850,394 | 818,760 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 135,000,000 shares authorized, and 46,697,592 shares issued and outstanding at July 2, 2006; 46,560,940 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2006 | 467 | 466 |
| Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 334,476 | 335,263 |

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

| | July 2, 2006 | March 31, 2006 |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Unearned stock grant compensation | | (3,090) |
| Retained earnings | 66,429 | 54,270 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 73,394 | 58,279 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total stockholders' equity | 474,766 | 445,188 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,325,160 | \$ 1,263,948 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

See accompanying notes.

ENERSYS

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Unaudited)

(In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data)

| | Three fiscal months ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | July 2, 2006 | July 3, 2005 |
| Net sales | \$ 359,034 | \$ 303,842 |
| Cost of goods sold | 281,921 | 237,300 |
| Gross profit | 77,113 | 66,542 |
| Operating expenses | 54,313 | 48,895 |
| Litigation settlement income | (2,766) | |
| Operating earnings | 25,566 | 17,647 |
| Interest expense | 7,025 | 5,817 |
| Other (income) expense, net | 702 | (1,489) |
| Earnings before income taxes | 17,839 | 13,319 |
| Income tax expense | 5,680 | 4,560 |
| Net earnings | \$ 12,159 | \$ 8,759 |
| Net earnings per common share: | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.19 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.19 |
| Weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 46,338,013 | 46,166,709 |
| Diluted | 47,145,216 | 46,366,789 |

See accompanying notes.

ENERSYS

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

(In Thousands)

| | Three fiscal months ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | July 2, 2006 | July 3, 2005 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 12,159 | \$ 8,759 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 11,451 | 10,696 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 351 | 162 |
| Provision for deferred taxes | 209 | (344) |
| Stock-based compensation | 764 | |
| (Gain) loss on disposal of fixed assets | (16) | 139 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (6,562) | (20,144) |
| Inventory | (15,657) | (12,440) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (840) | (2,766) |
| Other assets | (398) | 870 |
| Accounts payable | 4,067 | 17,461 |
| Accrued expenses | 1,672 | 401 |
| Other liabilities | (410) | (146) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 6,790 | 2,648 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Capital expenditures | (9,003) | (8,535) |
| Acquisitions | (271) | (33,038) |
| Proceeds from disposal of property, plant, and equipment | 84 | 102 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (9,190) | (41,471) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Net (decrease) increase in short-term debt | 3,485 | (848) |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | | 29,876 |
| Payments of long-term debt | (913) | (913) |
| Payments of capital lease obligations, net | (284) | (535) |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,442 | 430 |
| Excess tax benefits from exercises of stock options | 98 | 29 |
| Deferred financing costs | (253) | (321) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 3,575 | 27,718 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 252 | (264) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 1,427 | (11,369) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 15,217 | 21,341 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 16,644 | \$ 9,972 |

See accompanying notes.

ENERSYS

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited)

(In Thousands)

| | Three fiscal months ended | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | July 2, 2006 | July 3, 2005 |
| Net earnings | \$ 12,159 | \$ 8,759 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | |
| Net unrealized loss on derivative instruments, net of tax | (3,290) | (1,931) |
| Minimum pension liability, net of tax | (125) | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 18,530 | (29,105) |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 27,274 | \$ (22,277) |

See accompanying notes.

ENERSYS

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

(In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data)

NOTE 1: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of EnerSys (the "Company") have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and notes required for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals considered necessary for the fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the interim periods presented. The financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in the Company's 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K (SEC File No. 001-32253) dated June 14, 2006.

The Company reports interim financial information for 13-week periods, except for the first quarter, which always begins on April 1, and the fourth quarter, which always ends on March 31. The four fiscal quarters in 2007 end on July 2, 2006, October 1, 2006, December 31, 2006, and March 31, 2007, respectively. The four fiscal quarters in 2006 ended on July 3, 2005, October 2, 2005, January 1, 2006, and March 31, 2006, respectively. Certain amounts in the prior periods' financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

NOTE 2: ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS PENDING ADOPTION

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* ("FIN No. 48"). FIN No. 48 establishes threshold and measurement attributes for financial statement measurement and recognition of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that adoption of FIN No. 48 will have on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

NOTE 3: ACQUISITIONS

In May 2006, the Company purchased the assets of Alliant Techsystems' (NYSE:ATK) lithium primary battery business, located at its Power Sources Center ("PSC") in Horsham, PA. The total purchase for this transaction was approximately \$2,200 and was financed using existing EnerSys credit facilities. PSC produces lithium power sources, primarily for aerospace and defense applications. As part of the transaction, ATK has signed a 5-year supply agreement for all of its requirements for products produced at PSC. With this acquisition, the Company will be able to leverage its recent investment in lithium-ion rechargeable batteries to provide a wider offering of these products to its customers. PSC is now known as EnerSys Advanced Systems.

On October 11, 2005, the Company completed the acquisition of Gerate- und Akkumulatorwerk Zwickau GmbH (GAZ), based in Zwickau, Germany. The total purchase price net of cash received for this transaction was approximately \$2,671 (excluding assumed debt of approximately \$761) and was financed using existing EnerSys credit facilities. GAZ is a producer of specialty nickel-based batteries utilized primarily in the energy, rail, telecommunications and uninterruptible power supply (UPS)

industries worldwide. The acquisition has resulted in the recognition of \$1,891 of goodwill in the Company's financial statements.

On June 1, 2005, the Company acquired the motive power battery business of FIAMM, S.p.A. The total purchase price net of cash received for this transaction was approximately \$32,741 and financing was completed on June 15, 2005, using primarily a Euro €25,000 Credit Facility Agreement (approximately U.S.\$31,958). This strategic acquisition, which complements the Company's existing European motive power business, has resulted in the recognition of \$6,468 of goodwill in the Company's financial statements.

In connection with its acquisitions, the Company assesses and formulates a plan related to the future integration of the acquired business. This process begins during due diligence and is concluded within twelve months of the acquisition. Where necessary, the Company accrues estimates for certain costs related primarily to the business integration, anticipated at the date of the acquisition, in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 95-3, *Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination*, SFAS 141, *Business Combinations* and SFAS 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. Adjustments to these estimates are made up to 12 months from the acquisition date as plans are finalized.

NOTE 4: INVENTORIES

Inventories, net consist of:

| | July 2, 2006 | March 31, 2006 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 48,138 | \$ 44,453 |
| Work-in-process | 58,058 | 50,472 |
| Finished goods | 95,664 | 84,612 |
| Total | \$ 201,860 | \$ 179,537 |

Inventory reserves for obsolescence and other estimated losses were \$11,148 and \$8,711 at July 2, 2006 and March 31, 2006, respectively.

NOTE 5: ACCOUNTING FOR DERIVATIVES

The Company accounts for derivative instruments and hedging activities in accordance with SFAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended by SFAS 138, *Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities* and SFAS 149, *Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (collectively, "SFAS 133"). SFAS 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS 133 requires that all derivatives be recognized as either assets or liabilities at fair value. The Company does not enter into derivative contracts for speculative trading purposes. Derivatives are used to hedge the volatility arising from movements in a portion of the cost of lead purchases as well as to hedge certain interest rates and foreign exchange rate risks. The changes in the fair value of these contracts are recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income until the related purchased lead, incurred interest rates or foreign currency exposures are charged to earnings. At that time, the portion recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income is recognized in the Statements of Income. The amount of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) related to interest rates, lead and foreign exchange contracts at July 2, 2006 and March 31, 2006, net of tax, was an unrecognized loss of approximately \$1,382 and an unrecognized gain of \$1,907, respectively.

NOTE 6: INCOME TAXES

The Company's income tax provisions for all periods consist of federal, state and foreign income taxes. The tax provisions for the first fiscal quarter of 2007 and 2006 were based on the estimated effective tax rates applicable for the full years ending March 31, 2007 and March 31, 2006, respectively, after giving effect to items specifically related to the interim periods. The effective income tax rate for the first fiscal quarters of 2007 and 2006 were 31.8% and 34.2%, respectively. The effective income tax rate for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006 was 31.4%.

NOTE 7: WARRANTY

The Company provides for estimated product warranty expenses when the related products are sold, with related liabilities primarily included within accrued expenses. Because warranty estimates are forecasts that are based on the best available information, primarily historical claims experience, claims costs may differ from amounts provided. An analysis of changes in the liability for product warranties is as follows:

| | Three fiscal months ended | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | July 2, 2006 | July 3, 2005 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 26,652 | \$ 22,786 |
| Current period provisions | 3,068 | 4,282 |
| Costs incurred | (1,695) | (3,774) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 28,025 | \$ 23,294 |

NOTE 8: COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES AND LITIGATION**Litigation**

The Company is involved in litigation incidental to the conduct of its business, the results of which, in the opinion of management, are not likely to be material to the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows (see Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K).

Federal Trade Commission Investigation

In March 2006, the Company received a letter from the Federal Trade Commission, or the FTC, notifying the Company that the FTC is conducting a non-public investigation to determine whether manufacturers of industrial batteries may have engaged in unfair methods of competition or unfair acts and practices in violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act in connection with their pricing practices. The Company believes that the FTC has issued similar letters to other manufacturers of industrial batteries. The Company believes that it has not acted improperly and has advised the FTC accordingly. The Company is fully cooperating with the FTC's investigation and has delivered the requested documents to the FTC.

Litigation Settlement Income

In June 2006, the Company received approximately \$2,766, net of fees and expenses, due to the settlement of several related matters. The amount of the settlement has been recorded as an increase in operating income in the first fiscal quarter of 2007, as the costs related to this matter were previously recorded in operating expenses.

Exide Litigation

When the Company acquired Yuasa's North and South American industrial battery business in 2000, it acquired the worldwide right to use the Exide trademark on industrial batteries. Yuasa had acquired an exclusive, perpetual, worldwide and transferable license to use the Exide name on industrial batteries in 1991 when it bought Exide Technologies' industrial battery business.

On April 15, 2002, Exide Technologies filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. During the course of its Chapter 11 proceedings, Exide Technologies sought to reject certain agreements related to the 1991 sale of Exide Technologies' industrial battery business to Yuasa, including the trademark. The Company opposed Exide Technologies' attempt to reject these agreements. On April 3, 2006, the Court found in favor of Exide Technologies. On June 30, 2006, the Court entered a supplemental order pursuant to which (a) EnerSys was afforded until October 3, 2007, to discontinue the use of the "Exide" trademark on industrial batteries; (b) Exide Technologies was required to refrain from using the "Exide" trademark on industrial batteries until April 3, 2008; and (c) EnerSys' motion for a stay pending appeal of the court order granting Exide Technologies' motion to reject the agreements was denied. On July 11, 2006, the Company appealed the court's decision. In the opinion of management, this litigation is not likely to have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Environmental Issues

As a result of its operations, the Company is subject to various federal, state, local, and foreign environmental laws and regulations and is exposed to the costs and risks of handling, processing, storing, transporting, and disposing of hazardous substances, especially lead and acid. The Company's operations are also subject to federal, state, local and foreign occupational safety and health regulations, including laws and regulations relating to exposure to lead in the workplace.

As more fully described in Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company is involved in ongoing environmental issues at certain of its United States and foreign facilities. The Company may have potential environmental issues at its Manchester, England and Sumter, South Carolina facilities and has established reserves in accrued restructuring and accrued expenses of approximately \$8,543 and \$8,183 at July 2, 2006, and March 31, 2006, respectively. The Company believes it is indemnified in whole or in part for some of these environmental matters. Based on information available at this time, management believes that its reserves are sufficient to satisfy its environmental liabilities. The Company has described in Note 9, Restructuring Plans, the changes in the reserves associated with the environmental costs at its Manchester, England and Sumter, S.C. locations.

Lead Contracts

To stabilize its costs, the Company has entered into contracts with financial institutions to fix the price of lead. Each such contract is for a period not extending beyond one year. Under these contracts, at July 2, 2006, and March 31, 2006, the Company was committed to purchase approximately 44.4 and 32.8 million pounds of lead, respectively, for a total purchase price of \$23,100 and \$17,420, respectively.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts

The Company quantifies and monitors its global foreign currency exposures. On a selective basis the Company will enter into foreign currency forward contracts to reduce the volatility from currency movements that affect the Company. The Company's largest exposure is from the purchase and conversion of U.S. dollar based lead costs into local currencies in Europe and China. Additionally, the Company has currency exposures from intercompany trade transactions. To hedge these exposures, the

Company has entered into foreign currency forward contracts with financial institutions. Each contract is for a period not extending beyond one year. As of July 2, 2006 and March 31, 2006, the Company had entered into a total \$41,400 and \$34,300, respectively, of foreign currency forward contracts.

Interest Rate Swap Agreements

The Company is exposed to changes in variable U.S. interest rates on borrowings under its credit agreements. On a selective basis, from time to time, the Company enters into interest rate swap agreements to reduce the negative impact that increases in interest rates could have on its outstanding variable debt interest expense. Such agreements effectively convert \$203,000 of the Company's variable-rate debt to a fixed-rate basis, utilizing the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, as a floating rate reference. Fluctuations in LIBOR and fixed rates affect both the Company's net financial investment position and the amount of cash to be paid or received by it under these agreements.

NOTE 9: RESTRUCTURING PLANS

As more fully described in Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company has two acquisition related restructuring plans and three non-acquisition related restructuring plans.

Acquisition related restructuring

The plans were initiated in connection with the acquisitions of the assets, stock and business of substantially all of the subsidiaries and affiliates comprising the Energy Storage Group of Invensys (ESG) in 2002 and the motive power battery business of FIAMM, S.p.A. (FIAMM) in 2006. They have been aggregated in the table below as the FIAMM activity is not considered significant. The ESG plan has two significant costs remaining; the final payment due in March 2007 of \$1,483 for the cancellation of a steam contract and \$6,359 related to environmental costs at the facility in Manchester, England.

As discussed in Note 8, Commitments, Contingencies and Litigation the Company may have potential environmental issues at its Manchester, England and Sumter, South Carolina facilities. The environmental reserves related to Manchester are in the rollforward of the acquisition related restructuring reserves below while those for Sumter are included in the non-acquisition related restructuring plans rollforward, also below. The Company relied upon Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 95-3, *Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination*, SFAS 141, *Business Combinations* and SFAS 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, for the timing and measurement of these costs.

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

The Company continues taking actions consistent with its original plan to resolve these issues. A rollforward of the acquisition related restructuring reserve for the first fiscal quarter of 2007 is as follows:

| | Employee Severance | Contractual Obligations | Environmental | Plant Closures & Other | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Balance at March 31, 2006 | \$ 1,829 | \$ 2,002 | \$ 5,983 | \$ 1,167 | \$ 10,981 |
| Costs incurred | (382) | (13) | | (263) | (658) |
| Foreign currency impact and other | 118 | 119 | 376 | 129 | 742 |
| Balance at July 2, 2006 | \$ 1,565 | \$ 2,108 | \$ 6,359 | \$ 1,033 | \$ 11,065 |

ESG acquisition

On March 22, 2002, EnerSys acquired ESG. The Company formulated an exit and restructuring plan for certain ESG facilities in North America and Europe. Two of the facilities in the plan remain open after significant restructuring and now operate at a lower operating cost base. The facility identified in the United States has been closed. The Manchester, England facility that was included in the plan has significantly reduced operations and the Company awaits resolution of potential environmental costs, (as more fully described in Note 8, Commitments, Contingencies and Litigation) and is the only significant item that remains unresolved. The balance of the ESG acquisition-related restructuring reserve at July 2, 2006 is \$10,891, which the Company anticipates spending primarily during fiscal 2007, with the exception of the environmental reserves related to the Manchester facility.

FIAMM acquisition

On June 1, 2005, the Company acquired the motive power battery business of FIAMM, S.p.A. (FIAMM). In the third fiscal quarter of year 2006, management completed the assessment of the FIAMM restructuring plan and reduced the restructuring reserve to \$5,868, which included \$2,661 related to the termination of 132 manufacturing, selling and distribution employees, and the balance of \$3,207 for plant and warehouse closure costs, including lease terminations. The balance of the FIAMM reserve at July 2, 2006, is \$174, which the Company anticipates spending primarily during the first half of fiscal 2007.

Non-acquisition related restructuring plans

The three non-acquisition related restructuring plans were initiated in connection with cost-reduction initiatives. The Company based its accounting and disclosures primarily on the requirements of SFAS 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities* which resulted in direct charges to the determination of net earnings in the periods in which the plans were initiated, liabilities were incurred and provisions were determined. These three individual plans are not significant and have been aggregated.

A rollforward of these non-acquisition related restructuring reserves for the first fiscal quarter of 2007 is as follows:

| | Employee Severance | Contractual Obligations | Environmental | Plant Closures & Other | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Balance at March 31, 2006 | \$ 2,474 | \$ 682 | \$ 2,505 | \$ 1,131 | \$ 6,792 |
| Provision | | | | | |
| Costs incurred | (1,291) | (300) | (93) | (304) | (1,988) |
| Foreign currency impact and other | 119 | 39 | | 34 | 192 |
| Balance at July 2, 2006 | \$ 1,302 | \$ 421 | \$ 2,412 | \$ 861 | \$ 4,996 |

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002, the Company decided to close and downsize certain manufacturing locations in North and South America, reduce product offerings, reduce sales and distribution facilities, and implement other consolidation initiatives. As of July 2, 2006, the reserve balance associated with these actions is \$2,820, a small portion of which the Company expects to spend in the current fiscal year and the balance, primarily related to environmental costs, at an indeterminate time in the future.

During fiscal 2006, the Company incurred restructuring charges of \$6,217, primarily for the Motive Power segment, to cover estimated costs in Europe of staff reductions of 112 employees, exiting of a product line, and closing several ancillary locations. The charges included a non-cash write-off of \$1,410, primarily of machinery and equipment. As of July 2, 2006, the reserve balance associated with these actions is \$1,723, which mostly represents severance obligations the Company anticipates spending during the first half of this fiscal year or upon the individual employee's determination.

Also during fiscal 2006, the Company incurred a charge of \$1,063 to cover estimated restructuring programs to transfer certain existing European assembly operations to the newly acquired GAZ facility in Zwickau, Germany. It is anticipated that these remaining obligations of \$453 at July 2, 2006, will be spent primarily in the first half of this fiscal year.

NOTE 10: DEBT

Effective June 29, 2006, the Company amended its senior credit facility, which consists of a \$357,700 term loan B and a \$100,000 revolving credit line. Under the amendment, the lenders approved the elimination of the Company's senior secured debt leverage ratio (while maintaining its total debt leverage ratio) and several minor technical changes in the agreement. The Company pursued this amendment to provide greater operating flexibility and to increase its borrowing capacity for potential acquisition opportunities.

The Company's financing agreements contain various covenants that, absent prepayment in full of the indebtedness and other obligations, or the receipt of waivers, would limit the Company's ability to conduct certain specified business transactions including incurring debt, mergers, consolidations or similar transactions, buying or selling assets out of the ordinary course of business, engaging in sale and leaseback transactions, paying dividends and certain other actions. The Company is in compliance with all such covenants. The Company's debt is more fully described in Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

NOTE 11: RETIREMENT PLANS

The following table presents the interim disclosure requirements of components of the Company's net periodic benefit cost related to its defined benefit pension plans.

| | United States Plans | | International Plans | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | Three fiscal months ended | | Three fiscal months ended | |
| | July 2, 2006 | July 3, 2005 | July 2, 2006 | July 3, 2005 |
| Service cost | \$ 52 | \$ 51 | \$ 757 | \$ 720 |
| Interest cost | 131 | 126 | 444 | 390 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (112) | (144) | (319) | (238) |
| Amortization and deferral | 1 | 41 | | |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 72 | \$ 74 | \$ 882 | \$ 872 |

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

Significant assumptions used in the accounting for the pension benefit plans are as follows:

| | United States Plans | | International Plans | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|------|-----------------|------|
| | Three fiscal months ended | | Three fiscal months ended | | | |
| | July 2, 2006 | July 3, 2005 | July 2, 2006 | 5.0% | July 3, 2005 | 7.8% |
| Discount rate | 6.0% | 6.0% | 4.0 | 5.0% | 2.5 | 6.0% |
| Expected return on plan assets | 8.0% | 9.0% | 8.0% | 8.0% | 6.0 | 7.8% |
| Rate of compensation increase | N/A | N/A | 2.0 | 3.0% | 1.0 | 4.0% |

The Company presently anticipates contributing a total of \$3,000 to its defined benefit pension plans in fiscal 2007, based on current actuarial information.

The Company has a 401(k) plan covering all U.S. based employees who are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement. Company contributions to the plan are at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors.

NOTE 12: STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

The Company maintains three management equity plans that reserve 11,289,232 shares of Common Stock for the grant of restricted shares, and various classes of nonqualified stock options. Options have been granted to employees under these plans at prices not less than the fair market value of the shares on the dates the options were granted. Generally, options vest over a four-year period and become exercisable in annual installments over the vesting period. Options generally expire in 10 years. The 2000 Management Equity Plan and the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan are described more fully in Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The 2006 Equity Incentive Plan is described below.

2006 Equity Incentive Plan

On July 20, 2006, the Company's stockholders approved the Company's 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2006 EIP"), which became effective on June 16, 2006. The 2006 EIP authorizes the grant of "non-qualified" stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other stock-based awards to Company employees, directors and affiliates. A maximum of 2,600,000 shares of the Company's common stock may be subject to awards under the 2006 EIP. The maximum number of shares of common stock that may be granted in connection with awards granted under the 2006 EIP to any participant during any calendar year may not exceed 300,000 shares. Vesting of awards granted under the 2006 EIP may be subject to the satisfaction of one or more performance goals established by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The 2006 EIP is described more fully in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 26, 2006, and in Proposal 2 (Approval of EnerSys 2006 Equity Incentive Plan) on pages 10-13 of our 2006 Proxy Statement, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 19, 2006.

SFAS 123(R)

On April 1, 2006, the Company adopted, using the modified prospective application, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* ("SFAS 123(R)"). SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options and shares purchased under an employee stock purchase plan (if certain parameters are not met), to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values and did not change the accounting guidance for share-based payment transactions with parties other than employees provided in SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation* ("SFAS 123"), as originally issued and Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") 96-18, *Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other*

Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services. SFAS 123(R) did not address the accounting for employee share ownership plans, which are subject to Statement of Position ("SOP") 93-6, *Employers' Accounting for Employee Stock Ownership Plans*. Under the modified prospective method, prior interim period and prior fiscal year financial statements will not reflect any restated amounts for the adoption of SFAS 123(R).

Upon adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company began recording compensation cost related to the continued vesting of all stock options that remained unvested as of April 1, 2006, as well as for all stock options granted, modified or cancelled after the adoption date. The compensation cost to be recorded is based on the fair value at the grant date. The adoption of SFAS 123(R) did not have an effect on the Company's recognition of compensation expense relating to the vesting of restricted stock grants.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), cash flows resulting from the tax benefit related to equity-based compensation was presented in operating cash flows, along with other tax cash flows, in accordance with the provisions of EITF 00-15, *Classification in the Statement of Cash Flows of the Income Tax Benefit Received by a Company upon Exercise of a Nonqualified Employee Stock Option*, ("EITF 00-15"). SFAS 123(R) superseded EITF 00-15, amended SFAS 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*, and requires tax benefits relating to excess equity-based compensation deductions to be prospectively presented in the statement of cash flows as financing cash inflows.

The effect of adopting SFAS 123(R) on operating earnings, earnings before income taxes, net earnings, net cash provided by operating activities, net cash provided by financing activities, and basic

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

and diluted earnings per share for the three fiscal months ended July 2, 2006, is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Three fiscal months ended July 2, 2006 |
|--|---|
| Operating earnings, as reported | \$ 25,566 |
| Effect of adopting SFAS 123(R) on operating earnings | 333 |
| Operating earnings | \$ 25,899 |
| Earnings before income taxes, as reported | \$ 17,839 |
| Effect of adopting SFAS 123(R) on earnings before income taxes | 333 |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$ 18,172 |
| Net earnings, as reported | \$ 12,159 |
| Effect of adopting SFAS 123(R) on net earnings | 227 |
| Net earnings | \$ 12,386 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities, as reported | \$ 6,790 |
| Effect of adopting SFAS 123(R) on net cash provided by operating activities | 227 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 7,017 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities, as reported | \$ 3,575 |
| Effect of adopting SFAS 123(R) on net cash provided by financing activities | (98) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | \$ 3,477 |
| Net earnings per common share, as reported: | |
| Basic | \$ 0.26 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.26 |
| Effect of adopting SFAS 123(R) on net earnings per common share, basic and diluted | |
| Net earnings per common share: | |
| Basic | \$ 0.27 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.26 |

Prior to the Company's adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company accounted for equity-based compensation under the provisions and related interpretations of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* ("APB 25"). Accordingly, the Company was not required to record compensation expense when stock options were granted to employees as long as the exercise price was not less than the fair market value of the stock at the grant date. Also, the Company was not required to record compensation expense when it issued common stock under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan as long as the purchase price was not less than 85% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the grant date. In October 1995, FASB issued SFAS 123, which allowed the Company to continue to follow the guidelines of APB 25, but required pro-forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share as if the Company had adopted the provisions of SFAS 123. In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an Amendment of FASB 123*, which provided alternative methods of transition for an entity that voluntarily changes to the fair value based method of accounting for equity-based employee compensation. The Company continued to account for equity-based compensation under the provisions

of APB 25 using the intrinsic value method.

If the compensation cost for the Company's equity-based compensation plans had been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for awards under those plans in accordance with the

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

provisions of SFAS 123, then the Company's net earnings and net earnings per share for the three fiscal months ended July 3, 2005, would have been as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Three fiscal months ended July 3, 2005 |
|--|---|
| Net earnings, as reported | \$ 8,759 |
| Add: Equity-based compensation included in net earnings, as reported | |
| Subtract: Equity-based compensation under SFAS 123 | (105) |
| Pro forma net earnings | \$ 8,654 |
| Reported net earnings per common share: | |
| Basic | \$ 0.19 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.19 |
| Pro forma net earnings per common share: | |
| Basic | \$ 0.19 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.19 |

Stock Options

A summary of the changes in stock options outstanding under the Company's equity-based compensation plans during the three fiscal months ended July 2, 2006, is presented below:

| | Number of Options | Weighed Average Remaining Contract Term (Years) | Weighed Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Options outstanding at March 31, 2006 | 6,786,068 | | \$ 13.71 | \$ |
| Granted | 44,729 | | 14.45 | |
| Exercised | (131,652) | | 10.95 | 498 |
| Canceled | (17,250) | | 12.87 | 32 |
| Options outstanding at July 2, 2006 | 6,681,895 | 5.6 | \$ 13.77 | \$ 16,853 |
| Options exercisable at July 2, 2006 | 6,150,870 | 5.6 | \$ 13.63 | \$ 16,314 |
| Weighted average per-share fair value of options granted during the period | \$ 7.18 | | | |

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company's stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of publicly traded options. The weighted average assumptions used in the model are outlined in the following table:

| | Three fiscal months ended July 2, 2006 |
|--|---|
| Weighted-average exercise price | \$ 14.45 |
| Weighted-average grant date fair-value | \$ 7.18 |
| Assumptions: | |
| Expected volatility | 38.3% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 5.1% |
| Dividend yield | 0% |
| Expected life (in years) | 7 |

The computation of the expected volatility is based on historical weekly stock prices. Expected life is based on annual historical employee exercise behavior of option grants with similar vesting periods and option expiration data. The risk-free interest rate is based on the yield of zero-coupon U.S. Treasury securities. There are no dividends to be paid.

In the three fiscal months ended July 2, 2006, the Company recognized equity-based compensation expense of approximately \$333 related to the vesting of stock options and the related tax benefit of approximately \$106. At July 2, 2006, the Company had 2,740,139 options and restricted stock units available to be granted under its equity-based compensation plans. This total includes 2,600,000 shares available under the 2006 EIP which was approved by the stockholders on July 20, 2006.

Restricted Stock

Under the Company's 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan"), the Company approved the issue of 1,000,000 shares of common stock through stock options or restricted stock grants. Through July 2, 2006, the Company granted 268,282 shares of restricted stock at an aggregated fair market value on the dates of grant of \$13.18 per share. This resulted in the recording of unearned stock grant compensation of \$3,471 in the equity section of the March 31, 2006 Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets. Compensation expense for these restricted shares will be charged to earnings over a four-year period.

In connection with the adoption of SFAS 123(R) on April 1, 2006, the unamortized balance of unearned stock grant compensation on that date was reclassified to additional paid-in capital. In the three fiscal months ended July 2, 2006, the Company recognized equity-based compensation expense of approximately \$430 related to the vesting of restricted stock units and the related tax benefit of approximately \$137.

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

A summary of the changes in restricted stock units outstanding under the Company's management equity compensation plan during the three fiscal months ended July 2, 2006, is presented below:

| | Number of Restricted Stock | Weighed Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Non-vested shares at March 31, 2006 | 263,282 | \$ 13.18 |
| Granted | 5,000 | 14.05 |
| Vested | | |
| Canceled | | |
| | | |
| Non-vested shares at July 2, 2006 | 268,282 | \$ 13.18 |

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

On July 26, 2004, the Company adopted, and its stockholders approved, an Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP"). The Plan was amended by the Board of Directors on November 9, 2004. This ESPP is considered by the Company to be non-compensatory and no compensation expense is recorded when shares are issued under the ESPP.

NOTE 13: EARNINGS PER SHARE

Net earnings per share basic is based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Net earnings per share diluted gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares that were outstanding during the period. At July 2, 2006, the Company had outstanding stock options and restricted stock units which could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in the future. Weighted average common shares and common shares diluted were as follows:

| | Three fiscal months ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | July 2, 2006 | July 3, 2005 |
| Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding | 46,338,013 | 46,166,709 |
| Assumed exercise of stock options, net of shares assumed reacquired | 807,203 | 200,080 |
| | | |
| Weighted average common shares diluted | 47,145,216 | 46,366,789 |

At July 2, 2006, and July 3, 2005, there were 2,657,051 and 2,823,111, respectively, outstanding, out-of-the money employee stock options and non-vested restricted stock units that were excluded from the calculation of the dilutive effect of potential common shares.

NOTE 14: BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The Company has the following two reportable business segments:

Reserve power batteries are used for backup power for the continuous operation of critical applications in telecommunications systems, uninterruptible power systems or UPS applications for computer and computer-controlled systems, and other specialty power applications, including security systems and motor and recreational vehicles, switchgear and electrical control systems used in electric utilities and energy pipelines, and commercial and military aircraft, submarines and tactical military vehicles.

Motive power batteries are used to provide power for mobile manufacturing, warehousing and other ground handling equipment, primarily electric industrial forklift trucks, mining equipment, and for diesel locomotive starting, rail car lighting and rail signaling equipment.

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

The following table provides selected financial data for the Company's reportable business segments:

| | Reserve Power | Motive Power | Consolidated |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Three months ended July 2, 2006: | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 158,395 | \$ 200,639 | \$ 359,034 |
| Operating earnings | \$ 11,372 | \$ 14,194 | \$ 25,566 |
| Three months ended July 3, 2005: | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 136,273 | \$ 167,569 | \$ 303,842 |
| Operating earnings | \$ 8,449 | \$ 9,198 | \$ 17,647 |

NOTE 15: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 26, 2006, the Company announced that it entered into a definitive agreement to purchase the assets of Chaozhou Xuntong Power Source Company Limited ("CFT"), a sealed lead acid battery business located in Chaozhou, China. The closing of the transaction is subject to customary conditions.

On July 27, 2006, the Company filed a Form S-3 shelf registration for the offering of 12.5 million shares of EnerSys common stock currently held by certain investors.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Reform Act") provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of EnerSys. EnerSys and its representatives may, from time to time, make written or verbal forward-looking statements, including statements contained in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and its reports to stockholders. Generally, the inclusion of the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "estimate," "anticipate," "will," and similar expressions identify statements that constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that are intended to come within the safe harbor protection provided by those sections. All statements addressing operating performance, events, or developments that EnerSys expects or anticipates will occur in the future, including statements relating to sales growth, earnings or earnings per share growth, and market share, as well as statements expressing optimism or pessimism about future operating results, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Reform Act. The forward-looking statements are and will be based on management's then-current views and assumptions regarding future events and operating performance, and are applicable only as of the dates of such statements.

Forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Although we do not make forward-looking statements unless we believe we have a reasonable basis for doing so, we cannot guarantee their accuracy. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements due to a number of uncertainties and risks, including the risks described in the Company's 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K and other unforeseen risks. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. These statements speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and we undertake no obligation to update or revise these statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Our actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements for a number of reasons, including the following factors:

general cyclical patterns of the industries in which our customers operate;

the extent to which we cannot control our fixed and variable costs;

the raw material in our products may experience significant fluctuations in market price;

certain raw materials constitute hazardous materials that may give rise to costly environmental and safety claims;

legislation in the European Union and elsewhere regarding the restriction of the use of certain hazardous substances in electrical and electronic equipment ("RoHS");

risks involved in foreign operations such as disruption of markets, changes in import and export laws, currency restrictions and currency exchange rate fluctuations;

our ability to raise our selling prices to our customers when our product costs increase;

the extent to which we are able to efficiently utilize our global manufacturing facilities and optimize their capacity;

general economic conditions in the markets in which we operate;

competitiveness of the battery markets in the Americas, Europe and Asia;

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

our timely development of competitive new products and product enhancements in a changing environment and the acceptance of such products and product enhancements by customers;

our ability to adequately protect our proprietary intellectual property, technology and brand names;

unanticipated litigation and regulatory proceedings to which we might be subject, the results of which could have a material effect on us and our business;

changes in our market share in the business segments and regions where we operate;

our ability to implement our cost reduction initiatives successfully and improve our profitability;

unanticipated quality problems associated with our products;

our ability to implement business strategies, including our acquisition strategy, and restructuring plans;

our acquisition strategy may not be successful in locating advantageous targets;

our ability to successfully integrate any assets, liabilities, customers, systems and management personnel we acquire into our operations and our ability to realize related revenue synergies and cost savings within expected time frames;

our debt and debt service requirements which may restrict our operational and financial flexibility, as well as imposing unfavorable interest and financing costs;

adverse changes in our short- and long-term debt levels under our credit facilities;

our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates on our variable-rate debt;

our inability to attract and retain qualified personnel;

credit risk associated with our customers, including risk of insolvency and bankruptcy;

our ability to successfully recover in the event of a disaster affecting our infrastructure; and

terrorist acts or acts of war, whether in the United States or abroad, could cause damage or disruption to our operations, our suppliers, channels to market or customers, or could cause costs to increase, or create political or economic instability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

This list of factors that may affect future performance is illustrative, but by no means exhaustive. Accordingly, all forward-looking statements should be evaluated with the understanding of their inherent uncertainty.

OVERVIEW

We are the world's largest manufacturer, marketer and distributor of industrial batteries. We also manufacture, market and distribute related products such as chargers, power equipment and battery accessories, and we provide related after-market and customer-support services for

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

industrial batteries. We market and sell our products globally to over 10,000 customers in more than 100 countries through a network of distributors, independent representatives and our internal sales force.

We have two business segments: reserve power and motive power. Net sales classifications by segment are as follows:

Reserve power batteries are used for backup power for the continuous operation of critical applications in telecommunications systems, uninterruptible power systems, or UPS, applications for computer and computer-controlled systems, and other specialty power applications, including

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

security systems and motor and recreational vehicles, switchgear and electrical control systems used in electric utilities and energy pipelines, and commercial and military aircraft, submarines and tactical military vehicles.

Motive power batteries are used to provide power for mobile manufacturing, warehousing and other ground handling equipment, primarily electric industrial forklift trucks, mining equipment, and for diesel locomotive starting, rail car lighting and rail signaling equipment.

We evaluate business segment performance based primarily upon operating earnings. All corporate and centrally incurred regional costs are allocated to the business segments based principally on net sales. We evaluate business segment cash flow and financial position performance based primarily upon capital expenditures and primary working capital levels. Primary working capital for this purpose is trade accounts receivable, plus inventories, minus trade accounts payable and the resulting net amount is divided by the trailing three month net sales (annualized) for the respective business segment or reporting location, to derive a primary working capital percentage. Although we monitor the three elements of primary working capital (receivables, inventory and payables), our primary focus is on the total amount. Primary working capital was \$357.7 million (yielding a primary working capital percentage of 24.9%) at July 2, 2006, and \$330.4 million (yielding a primary working capital percentage ratio of 23.4%) at March 31, 2006. The increase is due primarily to increases in inventory and accounts receivable required to support growth and improve our ability to meet customer requirements, partially offset by an increase in accounts payable. We closely manage our level of working capital due to the significant impact it has on our cash flow and, as a result, our level of debt. Lastly, on a consolidated basis, we review short- and long-term debt levels, on a daily basis, and monitor corresponding leverage ratios.

We operate and manage our business in three primary geographic regions of the world the Americas, Europe and Asia. Our business is highly decentralized with manufacturing and assembly locations throughout the world. Nearly 60% of our net sales for the first fiscal quarters of 2007 and 2006 were generated outside of North America. Our management structure and financial reporting systems, and associated internal controls and procedures, are all consistent with our two business segments and three geographic regions in which we operate. We report on a March 31 fiscal year.

Our financial results are largely driven by the following factors:

general cyclical patterns of the industries in which our customers operate;

changes in our market share in the business segments and regions where we operate;

changes in our selling prices and, in periods when our product costs increase, our ability to raise our selling prices to pass such cost increases through to our customers;

the extent to which we are able to efficiently utilize our global manufacturing facilities and optimize their capacity;

the extent to which we can control our fixed and variable costs, including those for our raw materials, manufacturing, distribution and operating activities; and

changes in our level of debt and changes in the variable interest rates under our credit facilities.

Due to competitive pressures, we have been subjected to continual and significant pricing pressures over the past several years. We anticipate heightened competitive pricing pressure as Chinese and other foreign producers, able to employ labor at significantly lower costs than producers in the U.S. and Western Europe, expand their export capacity and increase their marketing presence in our major U.S. and European markets. Our ability to maintain and improve our operating margins has depended, and continues to depend, on our ability to control our costs and maintain our pricing.

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

Over the last three fiscal years, the costs of our raw materials (of which lead is our primary material) have risen significantly. We estimate that our average cost of lead per pound (excluding premiums), as it affects our operating results, has risen from approximately \$0.23 in fiscal 2004 to \$0.36 in fiscal 2005, to \$0.41 in fiscal 2006, and has risen from \$0.40 in the first fiscal quarter of 2006 to \$0.51 in the first fiscal quarter of 2007. Our estimated incremental lead cost (due to increased price) in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 over first fiscal quarter of 2006 was approximately \$14.5 million, excluding the impact of derivatives.

Because the cost of purchased lead is not reflected in our cost of goods sold until two to three months after purchase, we compare our actual cost to London Metal Exchange ("LME") prices that are in effect two to three months prior to the income statement period being shown. On this basis, average LME prices per period were approximately \$0.23, \$0.40, \$0.44 and \$0.55 in fiscal 2004, 2005, 2006, and the first fiscal quarter of 2007 respectively.

Our selling price increases approximated 2% of net sales for both fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2006 and approximated 3% of net sales for the first fiscal quarter of 2007. Our business strategy in this environment of high commodity costs is to improve profitability by cost savings and pricing actions, as well as to tightly control operating cash flow and capital spending.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

NET SALES

By segment

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage |
| Reserve Power | \$ 158.4 | 44.1% | \$ 136.3 | 44.9% | \$ 22.1 | 16.2% |
| Motive Power | 200.6 | 55.9 | 167.5 | 55.1 | 33.1 | 19.8 |
| Total | \$ 359.0 | 100.0% | \$ 303.8 | 100.0% | \$ 55.2 | 18.2% |

By region

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage |
| Americas | \$ 146.1 | 40.7% | \$ 124.2 | 40.9% | \$ 21.9 | 17.6% |
| Europe(1) | 189.6 | 52.8 | 164.6 | 54.2 | 25.0 | 15.2 |
| Asia | 23.3 | 6.5 | 15.0 | 4.9 | 8.3 | 55.3 |
| Total | \$ 359.0 | 100.0% | \$ 303.8 | 100.0% | \$ 55.2 | 18.2% |

(1)

Includes Europe, Middle East and Africa

Net sales increased \$55.2 million or 18.2% in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 over the comparable period in fiscal 2006. Stronger European currencies, primarily the euro compared to the U.S. dollar, resulted in an increase of \$6.1 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 net sales or 2.0%. The euro exchange rate to the U.S. dollar averaged \$1.27 (\$/€) in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 compared to \$1.25 (\$/€) in the first fiscal quarter of 2006. Net sales, excluding the effect of foreign currency

translation, increased 16.2% or \$49.1 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 over the comparable period of 2006. The FIAMM and GAZ acquisitions contributed approximately \$17.6 million to net sales in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 compared to \$7.0 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2006. Net sales, excluding the effect of foreign currency translation and acquisitions increased 12.7% in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 over the same period of 2006. Approximately 3% of the increase in our first fiscal quarter of 2007 sales growth rate is attributable to selling price recovery actions. We anticipate pricing recovery will range between 3% to 4% of sales for the balance of fiscal 2007.

The robust growth experienced in our Motive Power segment in fiscal 2006 continued into the first fiscal quarter of 2007, with sales increasing 19.8% compared to the comparable period in the prior year. Motive Power segment sales include sales from FIAMM (acquired in June 2005) of approximately \$16.0 million and \$7.0 million in the first fiscal quarters of 2007 and 2006, respectively. Our Reserve Power segment also achieved a strong first fiscal quarter 2007 improvement in sales of 16.2% compared to the prior year, attributed primarily to organic growth, coupled with the impact of approximately \$1.6 million of increased sales from GAZ (acquired in October 2005). Pricing recovery actions remain a primary focus of our company. Our Motive Power segment continues to realize a higher selling price recovery amount and percentage than our Reserve Power segment.

Our three regions, Americas, Europe and Asia, all achieved solid growth in the first fiscal quarter of 2007, compared to the comparable period of 2006 due primarily to continued strength in all our end markets, favorable macro-economic conditions and, we believe, an increase in our global market share. Our Asia sales in the first fiscal quarter of 2007, excluding the effect of foreign currency translation, increased 51.7% in comparison to the first fiscal quarter of 2006, attributed primarily to our strategic focus on growth in Asia by improving sales capacity, the mix of product sales and very favorable macro-economic conditions in China.

GROSS PROFIT

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage |
| Gross profit | \$ 77.1 | 21.5% | \$ 66.5 | 21.9% | \$ 10.6 | 15.9% |

Gross profit, excluding the effect of foreign currency translation, increased 14.1% or \$9.4 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 when compared to the first fiscal quarter of 2006. Gross profit percentage of net sales declined 40 basis points in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 in comparison to the comparable period in fiscal 2006. The decline in the gross profit percentage is directly attributed to higher raw material costs. We estimate that the cost of lead, our most significant raw material, increased our costs by approximately \$14.5 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 compared to the first fiscal quarter of 2006.

Strong efforts to pass through higher commodity costs via sales price increases continue to be made in all regions. As described previously, competitive conditions remain challenging in our industry. We estimate realized selling price increases of approximately 2% in fiscal 2006 (which represents roughly one-half of the commodity cost increases experienced during fiscal 2006), and 3% in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 (which represents roughly three quarters of the commodity cost increases experienced during the first fiscal quarter of 2007). Our sales initiatives will continue to emphasize pricing recovery for our products and continue to focus on improving product mix to higher margin products. Additionally, we remain highly focused on our long-standing cost reduction programs to help mitigate the rising cost of raw materials. These programs continue to be highly effective in reducing our costs and, accordingly, have had a significant impact in improving our operating results.

OPERATING EXPENSES AND LITIGATION SETTLEMENT INCOME

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage |
| Operating expenses | \$ 54.3 | 15.1% | \$ 48.9 | 16.1% | \$ 5.4 | 11.0% |

Operating expenses, excluding the effect of foreign currency translation, increased 9.3% or \$4.6 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 over the comparable period of the prior year, due primarily to the inclusion of operating expenses from the June 2005 FIAMM acquisition and the October 2005 GAZ acquisition and higher sales volume. Operating expenses as a percentage of net sales decreased 100 basis points in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 in comparison to the comparable period in fiscal 2006. Also included in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 operating expenses is a reduction of approximately \$1.0 million in public company expenses, primarily attributable to lower Sarbanes-Oxley compliance costs. In addition, we continue to focus on expense saving initiatives and to further leveraging the fixed components of our operating expenses.

Selling expenses, our main component of operating expenses, were 62.8% of total operating expenses in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 compared to 63.9% of total operating expenses in the first fiscal quarter of 2006.

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage |
| Litigation settlement income | \$ (2.8) | (0.8)% | \$ | % | (2.8) | (100.0)% |

In June 2006, we received approximately \$2.8 million, net of fees and expenses, due to the settlement of several related matters. The amount of the settlement has been recorded as an increase in operating income in the first fiscal quarter of 2007, as the costs related to this matter were previously recorded in operating expenses.

OPERATING EARNINGS

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage |
| Reserve Power | \$ 11.4 | 7.2 | \$ 8.4 | 6.2 | \$ 3.0 | 35.7% |
| Motive Power | 14.2 | 7.1 | 9.2 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 54.3 |
| Total | \$ 25.6 | 7.1% | \$ 17.6 | 5.8% | \$ 8.0 | 45.5% |

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage |
| Americas | \$ 13.6 | 9.3% | \$ 8.8 | 7.1% | \$ 4.8 | 54.5% |
| Europe(1) | 10.1 | 5.3 | 10.3 | 6.3 | (0.2) | (1.9) |
| Asia | 2.0 | 8.6 | (1.5) | (10.0) | 3.5 | 233.3 |
| Other | (0.1) | | | | (0.1) | (100.0) |
| Total | \$ 25.6 | 7.1% | \$ 17.6 | 5.8% | \$ 8.0 | 45.5% |

(1)

Includes Europe, Middle East and Africa

Operating earnings, excluding the effect of foreign currency translation, increased 42.8% or \$7.6 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 in comparison to the first fiscal quarter of fiscal 2006. Operating earnings as a percentage of net sales increased 130 basis points in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 in comparison to the first fiscal quarter of 2006. As discussed above, our operating earnings were significantly affected by higher raw material costs and the partial recovery through selling price increases and our continuing cost savings programs, and lower operating expenses, as well as a favorable, confidential litigation settlement related to our Americas business.

INTEREST EXPENSE

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage |
| Interest expense | \$ 7.0 | 2.0% | \$ 5.8 | 1.9% | \$ 1.2 | 20.7% |

Interest expense of \$7.0 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 (net of interest income of \$0.2 million) was \$1.2 million higher than the \$5.8 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2006. Our average debt outstanding in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 was \$409.6 million compared to \$387.3 in the first fiscal quarter of 2006. Our average interest rate incurred in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 was 6.3% compared to 5.3% in the first fiscal quarter of 2006. Included in first fiscal quarter 2007 and 2006 interest expense are non-cash charges of \$0.4 million for deferred financing fees. The increase in interest expense in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 compared to the comparable period in fiscal 2006 is attributed

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

primarily to higher interest rates on variable rate borrowings in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 and the increase in debt due primarily to the financing of the FIAMM and GAZ acquisitions.

OTHER (INCOME) EXPENSE, NET

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage |
| Other (income) expense | \$ 0.7 | 0.2% | \$ (1.5) | (0.5)% | \$ (2.2) | (146.7)% |

Other expense of \$0.7 million was recorded in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 compared to other income of \$1.5 million in the comparable period of fiscal 2006. This \$2.2 million unfavorable change in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 is primarily attributed to a \$2.0 million change in non-operating foreign currency net transaction losses reported in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 as compared to the comparable period in fiscal 2006.

EARNINGS BEFORE INCOME TAXES

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$ 17.8 | 5.0% | \$ 13.3 | 4.4% | \$ 4.5 | 33.9% |

As a result of the above, earnings before income taxes increased \$4.5 million (or 33.9%) in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2006. Earnings before income taxes as a percentage of sales increased 60 basis points to 5.0% in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 in comparison to 4.4% in the first fiscal quarter of 2006.

INCOME TAX EXPENSE

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage of Total Net Sales | In Millions | Percentage |
| Income tax expense | \$ 5.7 | 1.6% | \$ 4.5 | 1.5% | \$ 1.2 | 26.7% |
| Effective tax rate | 31.8% | | 34.2% | | (2.4)% | |

The first fiscal quarter 2007 effective tax rate was 31.8% compared to 34.2% in the comparable period of fiscal 2006. This rate reduction is primarily due to a change in the mix of earnings among our various legal entities in multiple foreign jurisdictions, which resulted in a higher proportion of our consolidated earnings being in tax jurisdictions with tax rates lower than our previous global averages.

NET EARNINGS

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------|
| | In Millions | Percentage of Total | In Millions | Percentage of Total | In Millions | Percentage |

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

| | Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2006 | | Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2005 | | Increase | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|------|----------|-------|
| | Net Sales | | Net Sales | | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 12.2 | 3.4% | \$ 8.8 | 2.9% | \$ 3.4 | 38.8% |

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

As a result of the above, net earnings in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 were \$12.2 million (3.4% of net sales) compared to \$8.8 million (2.9% of net sales) in the comparable period of fiscal 2006, or an increase of 38.8%.

Net earnings per common share in the first fiscal quarter of 2007 were \$0.26 per basic and diluted share compared to \$0.19 per basic and diluted share in the comparable period of fiscal 2006.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Operating activities provided cash of \$6.8 million for the first three months of fiscal 2007, compared to \$2.6 million in the comparable period in fiscal 2006. This favorable change of \$4.2 million was primarily due to increased earnings of \$3.4 million and increased depreciation of \$0.8 million. Cash used for primary working capital was \$3.0 million higher in the current quarter compared to the same period in fiscal 2006, but was offset by reductions in other operating cash flow items.

Investing activities used cash of \$9.2 million for the first three months of fiscal 2007, compared to cash used of \$41.5 million in the comparable period in fiscal 2006. This change was primarily due to the \$33.0 million of cash paid for the June 2005 FIAMM acquisition. Capital expenditures were \$9.0 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2007, compared to \$8.5 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2006.

Financing activities provided cash of \$3.6 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2007, compared to cash provided of \$27.7 million in the comparable period of fiscal 2006. The significant financing in the prior year quarter was for the new debt financing of €25.0 million (approximately \$31.8 million) related to the June 2005 FIAMM acquisition.

Effective June 29, 2006, we amended our senior credit facility, which consists of a \$357.7 million term loan B and a \$100.0 million revolving credit line. Under the amendment, the lenders approved the elimination of our senior secured debt leverage ratio (while maintaining our total debt leverage ratio) and several minor technical changes in the agreement. This amendment will provide greater operating flexibility and increase our borrowing capacity for potential acquisition opportunities.

All obligations under our U.S. Credit Agreement are secured by, among other things, substantially all of our U.S. assets. All obligations under our Euro Credit Agreement are secured by a pledge of the shares of our Italian subsidiary and a guaranty of our subsidiary, EnerSys Capital Inc. Our U.S. and Euro Credit Agreements contain various covenants which, absent prepayment in full of the indebtedness and other obligations, or the receipt of waivers, limit our ability to conduct certain specified business transactions, buy or sell assets out of the ordinary course of business, engage in sale and leaseback transactions, pay dividends and take certain other actions.

We currently are in compliance with all covenants and conditions under our credit agreements. Since we believe that we will continue to comply with these covenants and conditions, we believe that we have adequate availability of funds to meet our cash requirements. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a detailed description of debt.

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 26, 2006, we announced that we entered into a definitive agreement to purchase the assets of Chaozhou Xuntong Power Source Company Limited ("CFT"), a sealed lead acid battery business located in Chaozhou, China. The closing of the transaction is subject to customary conditions and is expected to occur in the second fiscal quarter of 2007. CFT is a manufacturer of valve regulated lead acid batteries for reserve power applications. We plan to significantly increase manufacturing capacity at CFT to meet increasing customer demand for reserve power products.

On July 27, 2006, we filed a Form S-3 shelf registration for the offering of 12.5 million shares of EnerSys common stock currently held by certain investors.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market Risks

EnerSys' cash flows and earnings are subject to fluctuations resulting from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and raw material costs. We manage our exposure to these market risks through internally established policies and procedures and, when deemed appropriate, through the use of derivative financial instruments. EnerSys' policy does not allow speculation in derivative instruments for profit or execution of derivative instrument contracts for which there are no underlying exposures. We do not use financial instruments for trading purposes and are not a party to any leveraged derivatives. We monitor our underlying market risk exposures on an ongoing basis and believe that we can modify or adapt our hedging strategies as needed.

Interest Rate Risks

We are exposed to changes in variable U.S. interest rates on borrowings under our credit agreements. On a selective basis, from time to time, we enter into interest rate swap agreements to reduce the negative impact that increases in interest rates could have on our outstanding variable rate debt. Such agreements effectively convert \$203.0 million of our variable-rate debt to a fixed-rate basis, utilizing the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, as a floating rate reference. Fluctuations in LIBOR and fixed rates affect both our net financial investment position and the amount of cash to be paid or received by us under these agreements. The following commentary provides details for the \$203.0 million interest rate swap agreements:

In February 2001, we entered into interest rate swap agreements to fix the interest rate on \$60.0 million of our floating rate debt through February 22, 2006, at 5.59% per year. In April and May 2004, we amended these agreements to extend the maturity to February 22, 2008, and reduce the fixed rate to 5.16% per year beginning May 24, 2004.

In April 2004, we entered into interest rate swap agreements to fix interest rates on an additional \$60.0 million of floating rate debt through May 5, 2008. The fixed rates per year began May 5, 2004, and are 2.85% during the first year, 3.15% the second year, 3.95% the third year and 4.75% in the fourth year, which averages 3.68% for the four-year period.

In August 2004, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement to fix interest rates on an additional \$8.0 million of floating rate debt through May 5, 2008. The fixed rates per year began November 5, 2004, and are 2.85% during the first year, 3.15% the second year, 3.95% the third year and 4.20% in the fourth year, which averages 3.64% for the three and one-half year period.

In October 2005, we entered into interest rate swap agreements to fix interest rates on an additional \$75.0 million of floating rate debt through December 22, 2010. The fixed rates per year plus an applicable credit spread began December 22, 2005, and are 4.25% during the first year, 4.525% the second year, 4.80% the third year, 5.075% the fourth year, and 5.47% in the fifth year, which averages 4.82% for the five-year period.

A 100 basis point increase in interest rates would increase interest expense by approximately \$2.0 million on the variable rate portions of our debt.

Commodity Cost Risks Lead Contracts

We have a significant risk in our exposure to certain raw materials. Our largest single raw material cost is lead, for which the cost remains volatile. In order to hedge against increases in our lead cost, we

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

have entered into contracts with financial institutions to fix the price of lead. Each such contract is for a period not extending beyond one year. We had the following contracts at the dates shown below:

| Date | \$'s Under Contract | # Pounds Purchased | Average Cost/Pound | Approximate % of Lead Requirements(1) |
|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | (in millions) | (in millions) | | |
| July 2, 2006 | \$ 23.1 | 44.4 | \$ 0.52 | 10% |
| March 31, 2006 | 17.4 | 32.8 | 0.53 | 7% |
| July 3, 2005 | 36.5 | 91.5 | 0.40 | 23% |

(1)

Based on an approximate annual lead requirements for the period then ended.

We estimate that a 10% increase in our cost of lead (over our estimated cost in fiscal 2006) would increase our annual total cost of goods sold by approximately \$20 million or 2% of annualized first fiscal quarter of 2007 total cost of goods sold.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risks

We manufacture and assemble our products primarily in China, France, Germany, Italy, Mexico, Poland, Spain, the United Kingdom, and the United States. Over half of our sales and expenses are translated in foreign currencies. Our sales revenue, production costs, profit margins and competitive position are affected by the strength of the currencies in countries where we manufacture or purchase goods relative to the strength of the currencies in countries where our products are sold. Additionally, as we report our financial statements in the U.S. dollar, our financial results are affected by the strength of the currencies in countries where we have operations relative to the strength of the U.S. dollar. The principal foreign currencies in which we conduct business are the euro, British pound, Polish zloty, Mexican peso, Canadian dollar and Chinese renminbi.

We quantify and monitor our global foreign currency exposures. On a selective basis we will enter into foreign currency forward contracts and option contracts to reduce our impact from the volatility of currency movements. Based primarily on statistical currency correlations on our exposures in fiscal 2006, we are highly confident that the pretax effect on annual earnings of changes in the principal currencies in which we conduct our business would not be in excess of approximately \$6 million in more than one year out of twenty years.

Our largest exposure is from the purchase and conversion of U.S. dollar based lead costs into local currencies in Europe, China and Mexico. Additionally, we have currency exposures from intercompany trade transactions. To hedge these exposures we have entered into forward contracts with financial institutions to fix the value at which we will buy or sell certain currencies. Each contract is for a period not extending beyond one year. As of July 2, 2006 and March 31, 2006, we had entered into a total of \$41.4 million and \$34.3 million, respectively, as follows:

| Transactions Hedged | July 2, 2006 | | March 31, 2006 | |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | \$US Equivalent | Average Rate Hedged | \$US Equivalent | Average Rate Hedged |
| | (in millions) | | (in millions) | |
| Sell Euros for U.S. dollars | \$ 31.3 | 1.24 | \$ 20.6 | 1.22 |
| Sell UK pounds for U.S. dollars | 5.3 | 1.77 | 5.9 | 1.76 |
| Buy Polish zloty for Euros | 2.3 | 3.88 | 3.0 | 4.14 |
| Sell Canadian dollars for U.S. dollars | 2.5 | 1.14 | 4.8 | 1.18 |
| Total | \$ 41.4 | | \$ 34.3 | |

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

Foreign exchange translation adjustments are recorded on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income.

Based on changes in the timing and amount of interest rate and foreign currency exchange rate movements and our actual exposures and hedges, actual gains and losses in the future may differ from our historical results.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) **Disclosure Controls and Procedures.** The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

(b) **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.** There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Exide Litigation

When we acquired Yuasa's North and South American industrial battery business in 2000, we acquired the worldwide right to use the *Exide* trademark on industrial batteries. Yuasa had acquired an exclusive, perpetual, worldwide and transferable license to use the *Exide* name on industrial batteries in 1991 when it bought Exide Technologies' industrial battery business.

On April 15, 2002, Exide Technologies filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. During the course of its Chapter 11 proceedings, Exide Technologies sought to reject certain agreements related to the 1991 sale of Exide Technologies' industrial battery business to Yuasa, including the trademark license referred to above. We opposed Exide Technologies' attempt to reject these agreements. On April 3, 2006, the Court found in favor of Exide Technologies. On June 30, 2006, the Court entered a supplemental order pursuant to which (a) EnerSys was granted until October 3, 2007, to discontinue the use of the "Exide" trademark on industrial batteries; (b) Exide Technologies was required to refrain from using the "Exide" trademark on industrial batteries until April 3, 2008; and (c) EnerSys' motion for a stay pending appeal of the court order granting Exide Technologies' motion to reject the agreements was denied. On July 11, 2006, we appealed the court's decision.

Other Litigation

On May 4, 2006, we settled several related litigation matters. As a result of this settlement, we received net proceeds of approximately \$2.8 million after giving effect to related legal fees and expenses.

From time to time, we are involved in litigation incidental to the conduct of our business. We do not expect that any of this litigation, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

Federal Trade Commission Investigation

In March 2006, we received a letter from the Federal Trade Commission, or the FTC, notifying us that the FTC is conducting a non-public investigation to determine whether manufacturers of industrial batteries may have engaged in unfair methods of competition or unfair acts and practices in violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act in connection with their pricing practices. We believe that the FTC has issued similar letters to other manufacturers of industrial batteries. We believe that we have not acted improperly and have advised the FTC accordingly. We are fully cooperating with the FTC's investigation and have delivered the requested documents to the FTC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report on Form 10-Q, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results.

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|----------------|--|
| 10.1 | Letter dated June 19, 2006, amending the Employment Agreement between Yuasa, Inc. and John D. Craig (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 16, 2006). |
| 10.2 | Letter dated June 19, 2006, amending the Employment Agreement between Yuasa, Inc. and Michael T. Phillion (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 16, 2006). |
| 10.3 | Letter dated June 19, 2006, amending the Employment Agreement between Yuasa, Inc. and Richard W. Zuidema (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 16, 2006). |
| 10.4 | Letter dated June 19, 2006, amending the Employment Agreement between Yuasa, Inc. and John A. Shea (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 16, 2006). |
| 10.5 | Letter dated June 20, 2006, amending the Managing Directorship Agreement between Hawker Belgium S.A. and Raymond R. Kubis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 16, 2006). |
| 10.6 | Energys Management Incentive Plan for Fiscal Year 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 29, 2006). |
| 10.7 | Third Amendment, dated June 28, 2006, to Credit Agreement, dated March 17, 2004, among EnerSys, EnerSys Capital Inc., various lending institutions party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and First Amendment, dated June 28, 2006, to Pledge Agreement, dated March 17, 2004, among EnerSys, various subsidiaries of EnerSys and Bank of America, N.A., as Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 29, 2006). |
| 10.8 | Energys 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated July 20, 2006). |
| 31.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (filed herewith). |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (filed herewith). |
| 32.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith). |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ENERSYS (Registrant)

By: /s/ MICHAEL T. PHILION

Michael T. Pillion
Executive Vice President Finance and
Chief Financial Officer
(Authorized Officer and Principal Financial
Officer)

Date: August 8, 2006

Edgar Filing: EnerSys - Form 10-Q

EnerSys

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|-----------------------|--|
| 10.1 | Letter dated June 19, 2006, amending the Employment Agreement between Yuasa, Inc. and John D. Craig (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 16, 2006). |
| 10.2 | Letter dated June 19, 2006, amending the Employment Agreement between Yuasa, Inc. and Michael T. Phillion (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 16, 2006). |
| 10.3 | Letter dated June 19, 2006, amending the Employment Agreement between Yuasa, Inc. and Richard W. Zuidema (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 16, 2006). |
| 10.4 | Letter dated June 19, 2006, amending the Employment Agreement between Yuasa, Inc. and John A. Shea (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 16, 2006). |
| 10.5 | Letter dated June 20, 2006, amending the Managing Directorship Agreement between Hawker Belgium S.A. and Raymond R. Kubis (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 16, 2006). |
| 10.6 | Energys Management Incentive Plan for Fiscal Year 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 29, 2006). |
| 10.7 | Third Amendment, dated June 28, 2006, to Credit Agreement, dated March 17, 2004, among EnerSys, EnerSys Capital Inc., various lending institutions party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and First Amendment, dated June 28, 2006, to Pledge Agreement, dated March 17, 2004, among EnerSys, various subsidiaries of EnerSys and Bank of America, N.A., as Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated June 29, 2006). |
| 10.8 | Energys 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to EnerSys' Form 8-K dated July 20, 2006). |
| 31.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (filed herewith). |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (filed herewith). |
| 32.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith). |

QuickLinks

[ENERSYS INDEX FORM 10-Q](#)

[ENERSYS Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets \(In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data\)](#)

[ENERSYS Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income \(Unaudited\) \(In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data\)](#)

[ENERSYS Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows \(Unaudited\) \(In Thousands\)](#)

[ENERSYS Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income \(Loss\) \(Unaudited\) \(In Thousands\)](#)

[ENERSYS NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS \(Unaudited\) \(In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data\)](#)

[ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK](#)

[ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES](#)

[PART II OTHER INFORMATION](#)

[Item 1. Legal Proceedings](#)

[Item 1A. Risk Factors](#)

[Item 6. Exhibits](#)

[SIGNATURES](#)

[EnerSys EXHIBIT INDEX](#)