

OVERSTOCK.COM, INC
Form 10-Q
July 29, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

Or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 000-49799

OVERSTOCK.COM, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

87-0634302

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

6350 South 3000 East, Salt Lake City, Utah 84121

(801) 947-3100

(Address, including zip code, of Registrant's principal
executive offices)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting company ☐

Edgar Filing: OVERSTOCK.COM, INC - Form 10-Q

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the act). Yes ☐ No ☒

There were 24,026,711 shares of the Registrant's common stock, par value \$0.0001, outstanding on July 23, 2014.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>Signature</u>	<u>60</u>

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Overstock.com, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$101,878	\$148,665
Restricted cash	1,580	1,580
Accounts receivable, net	15,628	16,047
Inventories, net	20,202	27,043
Prepaid inventories, net	1,868	1,804
Deferred tax assets, net	13,854	13,854
Prepays and other current assets	16,090	10,298
Total current assets	171,100	219,291
Fixed assets, net	35,002	27,194
Precious metals	9,678	9,678
Deferred tax assets, net	56,188	58,797
Goodwill	2,784	2,784
Other long-term assets, net	1,777	2,023
Total assets	\$276,529	\$319,767
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$56,692	\$90,582
Accrued liabilities	50,891	65,679
Deferred revenue	36,228	37,321
Total current liabilities	143,811	193,582
Other long-term liabilities	3,950	3,294
Total liabilities	147,761	196,876
Commitments and contingencies (Note 5)	0	0
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.0001 par value:		
Authorized shares - 5,000		
Issued and outstanding shares - none	—	—
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value		
Authorized shares - 100,000		
Issued shares - 27,229 and 26,909		
Outstanding shares - 24,026 and 23,785	2	2
Additional paid-in capital	363,999	361,706
Accumulated deficit	(152,708)	) (158,587)
Treasury stock:		
Shares at cost - 3,203 and 3,124	(82,525)	) (80,230)
Total stockholders' equity	128,768	122,891
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$276,529	\$319,767

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

3

Table of Contents

Overstock.com, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Three months ended June 30, 2014	2013	Six months ended June 30, 2014	2013
Revenue, net				
Direct	\$33,215	\$36,250	\$71,262	\$78,192
Fulfillment partner	299,330	256,954	602,490	527,006
Total net revenue	332,545	293,204	673,752	605,198
Cost of goods sold				
Direct(1)	29,473	31,842	62,570	68,991
Fulfillment partner	240,447	203,523	484,561	419,432
Total cost of goods sold	269,920	235,365	547,131	488,423
Gross profit	62,625	57,839	126,621	116,775
Operating expenses:				
Sales and marketing(1)	23,543	19,208	46,935	37,913
Technology(1)	21,408	17,920	41,009	36,080
General and administrative(1)	15,881	16,585	31,177	31,673
Restructuring	—	(39)	(360)	(471)
Total operating expenses	60,832	53,674	118,761	105,195
Operating income	1,793	4,165	7,860	11,580
Interest income	37	32	78	66
Interest expense	(12)	(37)	(19)	(88)
Other income (expense), net	524	(150)	983	195
Income before income taxes	2,342	4,010	8,902	11,753
Provision for income taxes	433	312	3,023	358
Net income	\$1,909	\$3,698	\$5,879	\$11,395
Net income per common share—basic:				
Net income attributable to common shares—basic	\$0.08	\$0.16	\$0.25	\$0.48
Weighted average common shares outstanding—basic	24,009	23,714	23,968	23,654
Net income per common share—diluted:				
Net income attributable to common shares—diluted	\$0.08	\$0.15	\$0.24	\$0.47
Weighted average common shares outstanding—diluted	24,190	24,283	24,265	24,158
Comprehensive income	\$1,909	\$3,698	\$5,879	\$11,395

(1) Includes stock-based compensation as follows (Note 7):

Cost of goods sold — direct	\$45	\$32	\$85	\$80
Sales and marketing	97	54	178	79
Technology	197	78	367	202
General and administrative	689	652	1,321	1,207
Total	\$1,028	\$816	\$1,951	\$1,568

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Overstock.com, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Common stock		Additional	Accumulated	Treasury stock		Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-in Capital	Deficit	Shares	Amount	
Balances at December 31, 2013	26,909	\$2	\$361,706	\$(158,587)	3,124	\$(80,230)	\$122,891
Net income	—	—	—	5,879	—	—	5,879
Stock-based compensation to employees and directors	—	—	1,951	—	—	—	1,951
Common stock issued upon vesting of restricted stock	300	—	—	—	—	—	—
Exercise of stock options	20	—	342	—	—	—	342
Purchase of treasury stock	—	—	—	—	79	(2,295)	(2,295)
Balances at June 30, 2014	27,229	\$2	\$363,999	\$(152,708)	3,203	\$(82,525)	\$128,768

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Overstock.com, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Six months ended June 30,		Twelve months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income	\$5,879	\$11,395	\$82,993	\$22,875
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	8,105	7,526	15,101	15,439
Realized gain from sale of marketable securities	(10	) (12	) (31	) (14
Loss on disposition of fixed assets	—	—	—	11
Stock-based compensation to employees and directors	1,951	1,568	3,634	3,452
Deferred income taxes	2,609	—	(70,042	) —
Amortization of debt discount and deferred loan costs	—	9	9	45
Loss on investment in precious metals	—	382	1,075	382
Restructuring charges (reversals)	(360	) (471	) (360	) (493
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Restricted cash	—	125	75	264
Accounts receivable, net	419	4,691	(1,046	) (4,498
Inventories, net	6,841	5,475	787	85
Prepaid inventories, net	(64	) 260	(216	) 102
Prepays and other current assets	(5,485	) (4,801	) (1,220	) (617
Other long-term assets, net	(112	) 123	(233	) (1,033
Accounts payable	(33,932	) (12,924	) 7,172	8,825
Accrued liabilities	(14,841	) (693	) 3,811	11,200
Deferred revenue	(1,093	) (8,552	) 6,369	5,596
Other long-term liabilities	794	(580	) 2,947	(14
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(29,299	) 3,521	50,825	61,607
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Purchases of marketable securities	(16	) (95	) (53	) (122
Purchases of intangible assets	(54	) —	(67	) —
Sales of marketable securities	77	152	217	152
Investment in precious metals	—	—	(8,080	) (1,397
Expenditures for fixed assets, including internal-use software and website development	(15,079	) (9,296	) (23,850	) (15,281
Net cash used in investing activities	(15,072	) (9,239	) (31,833	) (16,648
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Payments on capital lease obligations	(325	) (2,563	) (325	) (2,563
Payments on line of credit	—	—	—	(17,000
Paydown on direct financing arrangement	(138	) (126	) (270	) (247
Change in restricted cash	—	—	125	—
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	342	986	916	986
Purchase of treasury stock	(2,295	) (1,389	) (2,297	) (1,396
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,416	) (3,092	) (1,851	) (20,220
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(46,787	) (8,810	) 17,141	24,739
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	148,665	93,547	84,737	59,998
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$101,878	\$84,737	\$101,878	\$84,737

Continued on the following page

Overstock.com, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

(Continued)

(in thousands)

	Six months ended June 30,		Twelve months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:				
Cash paid during the period:				
Interest paid	\$26	\$39	\$58	\$327
Taxes paid	—	293	253	588
Non-cash investing and financing activities:				
Fixed assets, including internal-use software and website development, costs financed through accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$674	\$127	\$766	\$350
Equipment acquired under capital lease obligations	325	2,563	325	2,563

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Overstock.com, Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

As used herein, “Overstock,” “Overstock.com,” “O.co,” “we,” “our” and similar terms include Overstock.com, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context indicates otherwise. We have prepared the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) regarding interim financial reporting. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements and should be read in conjunction with Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our audited annual consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, which are, in our opinion, necessary for a fair presentation of results for the interim periods presented. Preparing financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts that are reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying disclosures. Although these estimates are based on our best knowledge of current events and actions that we may undertake in the future, actual results may be different from the estimates. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any future period or the full fiscal year.

2. ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include our accounts and the accounts of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany account balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent liabilities in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Estimates are used for, but not limited to, investment valuation, receivables valuation, revenue recognition, sales returns, incentive discount offers, inventory valuation, depreciable lives of fixed assets and internally-developed software, goodwill valuation, intangible valuation, income taxes, stock-based compensation, performance-based compensation, restructuring liabilities and contingencies. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Cash equivalents

We classify all highly liquid instruments, including money market funds with a remaining maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase, as cash equivalents. Cash equivalents were \$68.1 million and \$58.1 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Restricted cash

We consider cash that is legally restricted and cash that is held as a compensating balance for letter of credit arrangements as restricted cash. Restricted cash was \$1.6 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Fair value of financial instruments

Our financial instruments, including cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities are carried at cost, which approximates their fair value because of the short-term maturity of these instruments.

We account for our assets and liabilities using a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our market assumptions. These two types of inputs have created the following fair-value hierarchy:

7

Table of Contents

Level 1—Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets;

Level 2—Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets; and

Level 3—Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

This hierarchy requires us to minimize the use of unobservable inputs and to use observable market data, if available, when determining fair value.

The fair value of these financial instruments was determined using the following levels of inputs as of June 30, 2014 (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2014:			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Cash equivalents - Money market mutual funds	\$68,086	\$68,086	\$—	\$—
Trading securities held in a “rabbi trust” (1)	82	82	—	—
Total assets	\$68,168	\$68,168	\$—	\$—
Liabilities:				
Deferred compensation accrual “rabbi trust” (2)	\$85	\$85	\$—	\$—
Total liabilities	\$85	\$85	\$—	\$—

The fair value of these financial instruments was determined using the following levels of inputs as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2013:			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Cash equivalents - Money market mutual funds	\$58,081	\$58,081	\$—	\$—
Trading securities held in a “rabbi trust” (1)	138	138	—	—
Total assets	\$58,219	\$58,219	\$—	\$—
Liabilities:				
Deferred compensation accrual “rabbi trust” (2)	\$212	\$212	\$—	\$—
Total liabilities	\$212	\$212	\$—	\$—

(1) — Trading securities held in a rabbi trust are included in Other current and Other long-term assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

(2) — Non qualified deferred compensation in a rabbi trust is included in Accrued liabilities and Other long-term liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

Restricted investments

We have a Non Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan (the “NQDC Plan”) for senior management. Deferred compensation amounts are invested in mutual funds held in a “rabbi trust” and are restricted for payment to the participants of the NQDC Plan. We account for our investments held in the trust in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) No. 320 “Investments — Debt and Equity Securities.” The investments held in the trust are classified as trading securities. The fair value of the investments held in the trust totaled \$82,000 at June 30, 2014 and are included in Other current and Other long-term assets in the consolidated balance sheets. Our gains and losses on these investments were immaterial for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Table of Contents

Accounts receivable

Accounts receivable consist primarily of trade amounts due from customers and from uncleared credit card transactions at period end. Accounts receivable are recorded at invoiced amounts and do not bear interest.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

From time to time, we grant credit to some of our business customers on normal credit terms (typically 30 days). We perform credit evaluations of our business customers' financial condition and payment history and maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable based upon our historical collection experience and expected collectability of accounts receivable. The allowance for doubtful accounts receivable was \$298,000 and \$152,000 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Concentration of credit risk

Cash equivalents include short-term, highly liquid instruments with maturities at date of purchase of three months or less. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, two banks held the majority of our cash and cash equivalents. We do not believe that, as a result of this concentration, we are subject to any unusual financial risk beyond the normal risk associated with commercial banking relationships.

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash equivalents and receivables. We invest our cash primarily in money market securities which are uninsured.

Our accounts receivable are derived primarily from revenue earned from customers located in the United States. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts based upon the expected collectability of accounts receivable.

Valuation of inventories

Inventories, consisting of merchandise purchased for resale, are accounted for using a standard costing system which approximates the first-in-first-out ("FIFO") method of accounting, and are valued at the lower of cost or market. We write down our inventory for estimated obsolescence and to the lower of cost or market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required. Once established, the original cost of the inventory less the related inventory allowance represents the new cost basis of such products. Reversal of the allowance is recognized only when the related inventory has been sold or scrapped.

Prepaid inventories, net

Prepaid inventories represent inventories paid for in advance of receipt. Prepaid inventories were \$1.9 million and \$1.8 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Prepays and other current assets

Prepays and other current assets represent expenses paid prior to receipt of the related goods or services, including advertising, license fees, maintenance, packaging, insurance, and other miscellaneous costs. Total prepaids and other assets were \$16.1 million at June 30, 2014 and \$10.3 million at December 31, 2013.

Fixed assets

Fixed assets, which include assets such as technology infrastructure, internal-use software, website development, furniture and fixtures and leasehold improvements, are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets or the term of the related capital lease, whichever is shorter, as follows:

9

Table of Contents

	Life (years)
Computer software	2-4
Computer hardware	3-4
Furniture and equipment	3-5

Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the term of the related leases or estimated useful lives.

Depreciation and amortization expense is classified within the corresponding operating expense categories on the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Cost of goods sold - direct	\$69	\$101	\$157	\$205
Technology	3,959	3,242	7,395	6,658
General and administrative	282	320	553	663
Total depreciation and amortization, including internal-use software and website development	\$4,310	\$3,663	\$8,105	\$7,526

Internal-use software and website development

Included in fixed assets is the capitalized cost of internal-use software and website development, including software used to upgrade and enhance our Website and processes supporting our business. We capitalize costs incurred during the application development stage of internal-use software and amortize these costs over the estimated useful life of two to three years. Costs incurred related to design or maintenance of internal-use software are expensed as incurred.

During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, we capitalized \$3.0 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, of costs associated with internal-use software and website development, both developed internally and acquired externally. Amortization of costs associated with internal-use software and website development was \$2.5 million and \$2.0 million for those respective periods. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, we capitalized \$7.0 million and \$5.5 million, respectively, of such costs and had amortization of \$4.8 million and \$3.7 million for those respective periods.

Leases

We account for lease agreements as either operating or capital leases depending on certain defined criteria. In certain of our lease agreements, we receive rent holidays and other incentives. We recognize lease costs on a straight-line basis without regard to deferred payment terms, such as rent holidays, that defer the commencement date of required payments. Additionally, tenant improvement allowances are amortized as a reduction in rent expense over the term of the lease. Leasehold improvements are capitalized at cost and amortized over the lesser of their expected useful life or the life of the lease, without assuming renewal features, if any, are exercised.

Treasury stock

We account for treasury stock under the cost method and include treasury stock as a component of stockholders' equity.

Other long-term assets

Other long-term assets consist primarily of long-term prepaid expenses.

Impairment of long-lived assets

We review property and equipment and other long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset group may not be recoverable. Recoverability is measured by comparison of the assets' carrying amount to future undiscounted net cash flows the asset group is expected to generate. Cash

Table of Contents

flow forecasts are based on trends of historical performance and management's estimate of future performance, giving consideration to existing and anticipated competitive and economic conditions. If such asset group is considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds their fair values. There were no impairments to long-lived assets recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2014 or the year ended December 31, 2013.

Precious Metals

Our investments in precious metals were \$9.7 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and were comprised of \$4.0 million in gold and \$5.7 million in silver. We store our precious metals at an off-site facility. Because these assets consist of actual precious metals, rather than financial instruments, we account for them as a cost method investment initially recorded at cost (including transaction fees) and then adjusted to the lower of cost or market based on an average unit cost. On an interim basis, we recognize decreases in the value of these assets caused by market declines. Subsequent increases in the value of these assets through market price recoveries during the same fiscal year are recognized in the later interim period, but may not exceed the total previously recognized decreases in value during the same year. Gains or losses resulting from changes in the value of our precious metal assets are recorded in Other income (expense), net in our Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income. There were no gains or losses on investments in precious metals for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. There were \$382,000 losses on investments in precious metals for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price paid over the fair value of the tangible net assets acquired in business combinations.

Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment at least annually. When evaluating whether goodwill is impaired, we make a qualitative assessment to determine if it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. If the qualitative assessment determines that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount, we compare the fair value of the reporting unit to which the goodwill is assigned to its carrying amount. If the carrying amount exceeds its fair value, then the amount of the impairment loss must be measured. The impairment loss, if any, is calculated by comparing the implied fair value of the goodwill to its carrying amount. In calculating the implied fair value of goodwill, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to the other assets and liabilities within the reporting unit based on estimated fair value. The excess of the fair value of a reporting unit over the amount allocated to its other assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. An impairment loss is recognized when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value.

In accordance with this guidance, we test for impairment of goodwill in the fourth quarter or when we deem that a triggering event has occurred. Goodwill totaled \$2.8 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. There were no impairments to goodwill recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2014 or the year ended December 31, 2013.

Revenue recognition

We derive our revenue primarily from direct revenue and fulfillment partner revenue from merchandise sales. We also earn revenue from advertising on our shopping and other pages. We have organized our operations into two principal segments based on the primary source of revenue: direct revenue and fulfillment partner revenue (see Note 8—Business Segments).

Revenue is recognized when the following revenue recognition criteria are met: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or the service has been provided; (3) the selling price or fee revenue earned is fixed or determinable; and (4) collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. Revenue related to merchandise sales is recognized upon delivery to our customers. As we ship high volumes of packages through multiple carriers, it is not practical for us to track the actual delivery date of each shipment. Therefore, we use estimates to determine which shipments are delivered and, therefore, recognized as revenue at the end of the period. Our delivery date estimates are based on average shipping transit times, which are calculated using the following factors: (i) the type of shipping carrier (as carriers have different in-transit times); (ii) the fulfillment source (either our warehouses, those warehouses we control, or those of our fulfillment partners); (iii) the delivery destination; and (iv) actual transit time experience, which shows that delivery date is typically one to eight business days from the date of shipment. We review and update our estimates on a quarterly basis based on our actual transit time experience. However, actual shipping times may differ from our estimates.

Table of Contents

We evaluate the criteria outlined in ASC Topic 605-45, Principal Agent Considerations, in determining whether it is appropriate to record the gross amount of product sales and related costs or the net amount earned as commissions. When we are the primary obligor in a transaction, are subject to inventory risk, have latitude in establishing prices and selecting suppliers, or have several but not all of these indicators, revenue is recorded gross. If we are not the primary obligor in the transaction and amounts earned are determined using a fixed percentage, revenue is recorded on a net basis. Currently, the majority of both direct revenue and fulfillment partner revenue is recorded on a gross basis, as we are the primary obligor. We present revenue net of sales taxes.

We periodically provide incentive offers to our customers to encourage purchases. Such offers include current discount offers, such as percentage discounts off current purchases and other similar offers, which, when used by customers, are treated as a reduction of revenue.

Based upon our historical experience, revenue typically increases during the fourth quarter because of the holiday retail season.

Direct revenue

Direct revenue is derived from merchandise sales to individual consumers and businesses that are fulfilled from our warehouses or warehouses we control through third party logistic agreements. Direct revenue comes from merchandise sales that occur primarily through our Website, but may also occur through offline and other channels.

Fulfillment partner revenue

Fulfillment partner revenue is derived from merchandise sales which fulfillment partners ship directly to consumers and businesses from warehouses maintained by our fulfillment partners. Fulfillment partner revenue comes from merchandise sales that occur primarily through our Website, but may also occur through offline and other channels.

Club O loyalty program

We have a customer loyalty program called Club O for which we sell annual memberships. We record membership fees as deferred revenue and we recognize revenue ratably over the membership period. The Club O loyalty program allows members to earn reward dollars for qualifying purchases made on our Website. We also have a co-branded credit card program (see “Co-branded credit card revenue” below for more information). Co-branded cardholders are also Club O members and earn additional reward dollars for purchases made on our Website, and from other merchants. Reward dollars earned may be redeemed on future purchases made through our Website. Club O reward dollars expire 90 days after the customer’s Club O membership expires. We account for these transactions as multiple element arrangements and allocate revenue to the elements using their relative fair values. We include the value of reward dollars earned in deferred revenue and we record it as a reduction of revenue at the time the reward dollars are earned.

We recognize revenue for Club O reward dollars when customers redeem their reward dollars as part of a purchase at our Website. We recognize other income when Club O reward dollars expire or the likelihood of reward dollars being redeemed by a customer is remote (“reward dollar breakage”). Reward dollar breakage is currently recognized when the reward dollars expire.

In instances where customers receive free Club O reward dollars not associated with any purchases, we account for these transactions as sales incentives such as coupons and record a reduction of revenue at the time the reward dollars are redeemed.

Co-branded credit card program

We have a co-branded credit card agreement with a commercial bank for the issuance of credit cards bearing the Overstock.com brand, under which the bank pays us fees for new accounts and for customer usage of the cards. The agreement also provides for a customer loyalty program offering reward points that customers accrue from card usage and can use to make purchases on our Website (see “Club O loyalty program” above for more information). New account fees are recognized as revenue on a straight-line basis over the remaining life of the credit card relationship which runs through April 2015. Credit card usage fees are recognized as revenues as actual credit card usage occurs. Revenues from new account and credit card usage fees were less than 1% of total net revenues for all periods presented.

Table of Contents

Deferred revenue

Customer orders are recorded as deferred revenue prior to delivery of products or services ordered. We record amounts received for Club O membership fees as deferred revenue and we recognize it ratably over the membership period. We record Club O reward dollars earned from purchases as deferred revenue at the time they are earned and we recognize it as revenue upon redemption. If reward dollars are not redeemed, we recognize other income upon expiration. In addition, we sell gift cards and record related deferred revenue at the time of the sale. We sell gift cards without expiration dates and we recognize revenue from a gift card upon redemption of the gift card. If a gift card is not redeemed, we recognize other income when the likelihood of its redemption becomes remote based on our historical redemption experience. We consider the likelihood of redemption to be remote after 36 months.

We periodically enter into agreements with other parties to jointly market ancillary products or services on our website. As a result of those agreements, we will occasionally receive payments in advance of performing our obligations under those agreements. Such payments received before we perform our obligations are recognized over our service period.

Sales returns allowance

We inspect returned items when they arrive at our processing facility. We refund the full cost of the merchandise returned and all original shipping charges if the returned item is defective or we or our fulfillment partners have made an error, such as shipping the wrong product.

If the return is not a result of a product defect or a fulfillment error and the customer initiates a return of an unopened item within 30 days of delivery, for most products we refund the full cost of the merchandise minus the original shipping charge and actual return shipping fees. However, we reduce refunds for returns initiated more than 30 days after delivery or that are received at our returns processing facility more than 45 days after initial delivery.

If our customer returns an item that has been opened or shows signs of wear, we issue a partial refund minus the original shipping charge and actual return shipping fees.

Revenue is recorded net of estimated returns. We record an allowance for returns based on current period revenues and historical returns experience. We analyze actual historical returns, current economic trends and changes in order volume and acceptance of our products when evaluating the adequacy of the sales returns allowance in any accounting period.

The allowance for returns was \$8.5 million and \$13.2 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 respectively. The decrease in allowance for returns at June 30, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013 is primarily due to decreased revenues mostly due to seasonality.

Credit card chargeback allowance

Revenue is recorded net of credit card chargebacks. We maintain an allowance for credit card chargebacks based on current period revenues and historical chargeback experience. The allowance for chargebacks was \$95,000 and \$94,000 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Cost of goods sold

Cost of goods sold includes product costs, warehousing costs, outbound shipping costs, handling and fulfillment costs, customer service costs and credit card fees, and is recorded in the same period in which related revenues have been recorded. Cost of goods sold, including product cost and other costs and fulfillment and related costs are as follows (in thousands):

Table of Contents

	Three months ended June 30, 2014			2013			Six months ended June 30, 2014			2013		
Total revenue, net	\$332,545	100	%	\$293,204	100	%	\$673,752	100	%	\$605,198	100	%
Cost of goods sold												
Product costs and other cost of goods sold	254,964	77	%	222,403	76	%	516,762	77	%	461,600	76	%
Fulfillment and related costs	14,956	4	%	12,962	4	%	30,369	5	%	26,823	4	%
Total cost of goods sold	269,920	81	%	235,365	80	%	547,131	81	%	488,423	81	%
Gross profit	\$62,625	19	%	\$57,839	20	%	\$126,621	19	%	\$116,775	19	%

Advertising expense

We expense the costs of producing advertisements the first time the advertising takes place and expense the cost of communicating advertising in the period during which the advertising space or airtime is used. Internet advertising expenses are recognized as incurred based on the terms of the individual agreements, which are generally: 1) a commission for traffic driven to the Website that generates a sale or 2) a referral fee based on the number of clicks on keywords or links to our Website generated during a given period. Advertising expense is included in sales and marketing expenses and totaled \$21.2 million and \$16.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, advertising expenses totaled \$41.6 million and \$33.4 million, respectively. Prepaid advertising (included in Prepaids and other current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets) was \$2.0 million and \$1.4 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Stock-based compensation

We measure compensation expense for all outstanding unvested share-based awards at fair value on the date of grant and recognize compensation expense over the service period for awards expected to vest at the greater of a straight line basis or on an accelerated schedule when vesting of restricted stock awards exceeds a straight line basis. The estimation of stock awards that will ultimately vest requires judgment, and to the extent actual results differ from estimates, such amounts will be recorded as an adjustment in the period estimates are revised. We consider many factors when estimating expected forfeitures, including types of awards, and historical experience. Actual results may differ substantially from these estimates (see Note 7—Stock-Based Awards).

Loss contingencies

In the normal course of business, we are involved in legal proceedings and other potential loss contingencies. We accrue a liability for such matters when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. When only a range of probable loss can be estimated, the most probable amount in the range is accrued. If no amount within this range is a better estimate than any other amount within the range, the minimum amount in the range is accrued. We expense legal fees as incurred (see Note 5—Commitments and Contingencies).

Restructuring

Restructuring expenses are primarily comprised of lease termination costs. ASC Topic 420, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities, requires that when an entity ceases using a property that is leased under an operating lease before the end of the contractual term, the termination costs should be recognized and measured at fair value when the entity ceases using the facility. Key assumptions in determining the restructuring expenses include the terms that may be negotiated to exit certain contractual obligations (see Note 3—Restructuring Expense).

Income taxes

Our income tax provision for interim periods is determined using an estimate of our annual effective tax rate adjusted for discrete items, if any, for relevant interim periods. We update our estimate of the annual effective tax rate each quarter and make cumulative adjustments if our estimated annual effective tax rate changes.

Table of Contents

Our quarterly tax provision and our quarterly estimate of our annual effective tax rate are subject to significant variations due to several factors including variability in predicting our pre-tax and taxable income and the mix of jurisdictions to which those items relate, relative changes of expenses or losses for which tax benefits are not recognized, how we do business, and changes in law, regulations, and administrative practices. Our effective tax rate can be volatile based on the amount of pre-tax income. For example, the impact of discrete items on our effective tax rate is greater when pre-tax income is lower. The tax provision does not include a benefit for the federal research credit, which expired at the end of 2013. If retroactively reinstated, the credit will be a discrete tax benefit in the period enacted.

We have not provided for U.S. income tax on certain foreign earnings because we intend to indefinitely reinvest these earnings outside the U.S. We have begun expansion of operations outside of the U.S. and have plans for additional expansion for which we have incurred and will continue to incur capital requirements. We have considered ongoing capital requirements of the parent company in the U.S.

We have tax deductions from stock-based compensation that exceed the stock-based compensation recorded for such instruments. To the extent such excess tax benefits are ultimately realized, they will increase shareholders' equity. We utilize the "with-and-without" approach in determining if and when such excess tax benefits are realized. Under this approach, excess tax benefits related to stock-based compensation are the last tax benefits to be realized.

Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income attributable to common shares by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income attributable to common shares for the period by the weighted average number of common and potential common shares outstanding during the period. Potential common shares, comprising incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and restricted stock awards are included in the calculation of diluted earnings per common share to the extent such shares are dilutive.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per common share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income attributable to common shares	\$1,909	\$3,698	\$5,879	\$11,395
Net income per common share—basic:				
Net income attributable to common shares—basic	0.08	0.16	0.25	0.48
Weighted average common shares outstanding—basic	24,009	23,714	23,968	23,654
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock options and restricted stock awards	181	569	297	504
Weighted average common shares outstanding—diluted	24,190	24,283	24,265	24,158
Net income attributable to common shares—diluted	\$0.08	\$0.15	\$0.24	\$0.47

The following shares were excluded from the calculation of diluted shares outstanding as their effect would have been anti-dilutive (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Stock options and restricted stock units	471	36	348	53

Recently issued accounting standards

On May 28, 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The ASU will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective. The new standard becomes effective for us on January 1, 2017. Early adoption is not permitted. The standard permits the use of

Table of Contents

either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. We are evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. We have not yet selected a transition method nor have we determined the effect of the standard on our ongoing financial reporting.

3. RESTRUCTURING EXPENSE

During the fourth quarter of 2006, we began a facilities consolidation and restructuring program designed to reduce the overall expense structure in an effort to improve future operating performance. The facilities consolidation and restructuring program was substantially completed by the end of the second quarter of 2007.

Restructuring liabilities along with charges (credits) to expense and payments associated with the facilities consolidation and restructuring program are as follows (in thousands):

	Balance at 12/31/2013	Accretion Expense	Net Cash Payments	Adjustments	Balance at 6/30/2014
Lease and contract termination costs	\$445	\$7	\$(92	) \$(360	) \$—

We reversed \$0 and \$360,000 of lease termination costs during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. These reversals were a result of our reoccupation of formerly restructured facility space. At June 30, 2014 our restructuring liability was \$0.

We reversed \$39,000 of lease termination costs during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as a result of our exercising an early termination option available to us in one of our operating leases and a change in estimate of sublease income related to that same lease. We reversed \$471,000 of lease termination costs during the six months ended June 30, 2013 primarily due to changes in our restructuring accrual as a result of our reoccupation of a portion of formerly restructured office space.

4. BORROWINGS

U.S. Bank Financing Agreements

On December 26, 2012, we entered into a \$3.0 million cash-collateralized line of credit agreement (the “Credit Agreement”) with U.S. Bank National Association (“U.S. Bank”) for the issuance of letters of credit. Advances under the Credit Agreement bear interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.0%. The Credit Agreement matures on December 31, 2014. Amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement were \$0 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, letters of credit totaling \$1.6 million were issued on our behalf collateralized by compensating cash balances held at U.S. Bank, which are included in Restricted cash in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

U.S. Bank Commercial Purchasing Card Agreement

We have a commercial purchasing card (the “Purchasing Card”) agreement with U.S. Bank. We use the Purchasing Card for business purpose purchasing and must pay it in full each month. At June 30, 2014, \$867,000 was outstanding and \$4.1 million was available under the Purchasing Card. At December 31, 2013, \$517,000 was outstanding and \$4.5 million was available under the Purchasing Card.

Capital leases

In May 2014 and March 2013, we entered into capital lease arrangements of computer equipment for \$325,000 and \$2.6 million, respectively. These arrangements will expire in 2017. In order to obtain discounted pricing, we prepaid the entire \$325,000 and \$2.6 million shortly after entering into the respective agreements. As such, we have no future payment obligations under capital leases at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Fixed assets included assets under capital leases of \$4.6 million and \$4.2 million and accumulated depreciation related to assets under capital leases of \$2.4 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Depreciation expense of assets recorded under capital leases was \$180,000 and \$107,000, for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively and \$340,000 and \$108,000, for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Summary of future minimum lease payments for all operating leases

Minimum future payments under all operating leases as of June 30, 2014, are as follows (in thousands):

Payments due by period

2014 (remainder)	\$5,484
2015	10,409
2016	8,201
2017	4,203
2018	3,826
Thereafter	32,437
	\$64,560

Rental expense for operating leases totaled \$2.9 million and \$2.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively and \$5.8 million and \$4.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. There is no estimated sublease income expected over the next five years.

On March 6, 2014 we entered into amendments to extend the leases on our corporate headquarters and a data center space from their previous expiration of June 30, 2016 to January 31, 2017. The minimum future payments due under these amended operating leases are included in the summary of future minimum lease payments for all operating leases in the table above.

Naming rights

During 2011, we entered into a six-year agreement with the Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Authority ("OACCA") for the right to name the Oakland Alameda County Coliseum. Amounts shown below represent annual payments due OACCA for the naming rights. We have the right to terminate this agreement at our sole option, subject to payment of a termination fee.

Minimum future payments under naming rights agreement as of June 30, 2014, are as follows (in thousands):

Payments due by

period:

2014 (remainder)	\$1,311
2015	1,351
2016	1,391
Thereafter	—
	\$4,053

Technology

From time to time we enter into non-cancellable, long-term contractual agreements for technology services. Minimum future payments under these agreements as of June 30, 2014, are as follows (in thousands):

Payments due by

period:

2014 (remainder)	\$—
2015	2,901
2016	1,683
Thereafter	—

Table of Contents

Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are involved in litigation concerning consumer protection, employment, intellectual property and other commercial matters related to the conduct and operation of our business and the sale of products on our Website. In connection with such litigation, we may be subject to significant damages. In some instances other parties may have contractual indemnification obligations to us. However, such contractual obligations may prove unenforceable or non-collectible, and in the event we cannot enforce or collect on indemnification obligations, we may bear the full responsibility for damages, fees and costs resulting from such litigation. We may also be subject to penalties and equitable remedies that could force us to alter important business practices. Such litigation could be costly and time consuming and could divert or distract our management and key personnel from our business operations. Due to the uncertainty of litigation and depending on the amount and the timing, an unfavorable resolution of some or all of these matters could materially affect our business, results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

On February 2, 2007, along with five shareholder plaintiffs, we filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco against Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Goldman Sachs & Co., Bear Stearns Companies, Inc., Bank of America Securities LLC, Bank of New York, Citigroup Inc., Credit Suisse (USA) Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., and UBS Financial Services, Inc., and later amended the complaint to add Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. as a defendant. The suit alleged that the defendants, who controlled over 80% of the prime brokerage market, participated in an illegal stock market manipulation scheme and that the defendants had no intention of covering short sell orders with borrowed stock, as they are required to do, causing what are referred to as “fails to deliver” and that the defendants’ actions caused and continued to cause dramatic declines in the share price of our stock and that the amount of “fails to deliver” often exceeded our entire supply of outstanding shares. The suit accused the defendants of violations of California securities laws and common law and violations of California’s Unfair Business Practices Act. After it filed for bankruptcy on September 2008, we elected not to pursue our claims against Lehman Brothers Holdings. On July 23, 2009, the court sustained defendants’ demurrer to our amended causes of action for conversion and trespass to chattels. On December 15, 2010, we and the other plaintiffs in the case entered into a settlement agreement with certain of the defendants requiring these defendants to pay in the aggregate \$4.5 million to plaintiffs. Other terms of settlement are confidential. At that time, remaining defendants in the suit were Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Goldman Sachs & Co., Goldman Sachs Execution & Clearing L.P., (“Goldman Defendants”) Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corporation (“Merrill Lynch Defendants”), and Bank of America Securities LLC. On December 15, 2010, we filed a motion to amend our complaint against the Goldman and Merrill Lynch Defendants to add a cause of action based on the New Jersey Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization (RICO) Act. Defendants challenged the RICO claim by demurrer and eventually the court sustained the demurrer. We thereafter entered a settlement agreement with Bank of America Securities LLC, the terms of which are confidential, and have dismissed the action as to that defendant. On August 19, 2011, the remaining defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. On January 10, 2012, the court granted the motion for summary judgment as to all remaining defendants and the judgment has been entered. We have appealed that decision and each side has appealed the trial court’s decisions regarding sealing of certain records in the case. The defendants applied to the court for reimbursement from us of their allowable court costs in the collective amount of \$2.4 million. We challenged the application, and the court reduced the amount to \$689,471, which will be payable only if we do not succeed on our appeal of the summary judgment. The briefing of the appeals on both the records sealing and summary judgment is complete. The Court of Appeal will hear oral argument of all appeals on August 15, 2014. The nature of the loss contingencies relating to any court costs ordered against us are described above.

On September 23, 2009, SpeedTrack, Inc. sued us along with 27 other defendants in the United States District Court in the Northern District of California. We are alleged to have infringed a patent covering search and categorization software. We believe that certain third party vendors of products and services sold to us are contractually obligated to

indemnify us in this action. On November 11, 2009, the parties stipulated to stay all proceedings in the case until resolution of a reexamination of the patent in question, and also until a previously filed infringement action against Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and other retailers resulted either in judgment or dismissal. Subsequently, the parties agreed to extend the time for defendants to answer until 21 days following a court order to lift the stay to which the parties stipulated. The United States Patent and Trademark Office resolved the reexamination of the patent in question in favor of SpeedTrack, Inc. The case remains stayed, pending the outcome and appeal of the infringement action against Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and other retailers. On February 22, 2012, the court in the Wal-Mart Stores case granted Wal-Mart Stores' motion for summary judgment of non-infringement. The court also granted Speedtrack's motion for summary judgment on patent validity. Speedtrack appealed, and the ruling was upheld. It is not known whether the summary judgments granted in the Wal-Mart Stores case will have an effect on the Speedtrack case in which we are named as one of the defendants. The nature of the loss contingencies relating to claims that have been asserted against us are described above. However no estimate of the loss or range of loss can be made. We intend to vigorously defend this action and pursue our indemnification rights with our vendors.

Table of Contents

On September 29, 2010, a trustee in bankruptcy filed against us an adversary proceeding in the matter of In re: Petters Company, Inc., a case filed in United States Bankruptcy Court, in the District of Minnesota. The complaint alleges principal causes of action against us under various Bankruptcy Code sections and the Minnesota Fraudulent Transfer Act, to recover damages for alleged transfers of property from the Petters Company occurring prior to the filing of the case initially as a civil receivership in October 2008. The trustee's complaint alleges such transfers occurred in at least one note transaction whereby we transferred at least \$2.3 million and received in return transfers totaling at least \$2.5 million. The case is in its discovery stages. We filed a motion to dismiss on statute of limitations and other grounds. The court consolidated the issues in our motion with issues raised by motion in similar trustee-filed cases. The court issued legal rulings on these consolidated legal issues, and has allowed portions of the case to proceed to the discovery stage. The nature of the loss contingencies relating to claims that have been asserted against us are described above. However, no estimate of the loss or range of loss can be made. We intend to vigorously defend this action.

On November 17, 2010, we were sued in the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda, by District Attorneys for the California Counties of Alameda, Marin, Monterey, Napa, Santa Clara, Shasta and Sonoma County, and the County of Santa Cruz later joined the suit. These district attorneys sought damages and an injunction under claims for violations of California consumer protection laws, alleging we made untrue or misleading statements concerning our pricing, price reductions, sources of products and shipping charges. The complaint asked for damages in the amount of not less than \$15 million. We tried the case in September 2013 before the judge of the court and made final arguments in December 2013. On January 3, 2014, the court issued a tentative ruling in favor of the District Attorneys, which became a final Statement of Decision on February 5, 2014. The decision provides for an injunction that prescribes disclosures necessary for certain types of price advertising and price reductions and imposes civil penalties of \$3,500 per day for practices from March 2006 through September 2008, and \$2,000 per day for September 2008 through September 2013, totaling \$6.8 million. The court issued a Final Judgment February 19, 2014 reflecting the Court's Statement of Decision. We have stipulated to Plaintiff's reimbursement of costs in the amount of \$111,500. We have appealed the decision and have secured a bond as required in the ruling in the amount of 150% of the penalty imposed in the matter until the ruling on the appeal. The nature of the loss contingencies relating to claims that have been asserted against us are described above. We intend to continue to vigorously pursue the appeal and defend this action.

On September 11, 2011, Droplets, Inc. filed suit against us and eight other defendants in the United States District Court in the Eastern District of Texas for infringement of a patent covering strings of programming code downloaded from a server to a client computer. We have answered the complaint. The case is in its discovery stages and has been scheduled for trial in January 2015. The nature of the loss contingencies relating to claims that have been asserted against us are described above. However, no estimate of the loss or range of loss can be made. We intend to vigorously defend this action and pursue our indemnification rights with our vendors.

On September 13, 2011, Select Retrieval, LLC filed suit against us and 79 other defendants in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware for infringement of a patent covering the hierarchical display of interactive links on a webpage. On May 9, 2014, Select Retrieval voluntarily dismissed its case without any payments from us.

On March 1, 2012, H-W Technology, L.C. filed suit against us in the United States District Court in the Northern District of Texas for infringement of a patent entitled "Internet Protocol (IP) Phone with Search and Advertising Capability." We answered the complaint. On January 28, 2013, we filed a motion for summary judgment for invalidity on two claims of the patent. On September 23, 2013, the court granted the motion. H-W Technology appealed and on July 11, 2014, the appeals court upheld the lower court decision. We do not know whether H-W Technology will contest the decision on further appeal. If it does not, the merits of the case will be concluded. We have applied to the court for court-ordered reimbursement of our legal fees and costs expended in our defense. As yet there has been no ruling on the application.

On July 16, 2012, Digitech Image Technologies, LLC filed against us and 45 other defendants in the United States District Court for the Central District of California for infringement of a patent covering the imaging technology that facilitates prediction of color and location within digital cameras. The initial case was dismissed, but in September 2012, Digitech filed a new complaint on the same infringement claims. Subsequently, the court granted a motion for summary judgment on invalidity of the patent and entered judgment for us. Digitech has appealed and on July 11, 2014 the appeals court upheld the judgment. We do not know whether Digitech will contest the decision by appeal. If it does not, the case will be concluded.

On July 19, 2012, Data Carriers, LLC filed suit against us in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware for infringement of a patent covering the “autocomplete” features of our website. We believe a third party vendor is

Table of Contents

contractually obligated to indemnify us in this action. We have answered the complaint. The case is in its discovery stages. The nature of the loss contingencies relating to claims that have been asserted against us are described above. However, no estimate of the loss or range of loss can be made. We intend to vigorously defend this action and pursue our indemnification rights with our vendors.

On February 11, 2013, RPost Holdings, Inc., RPost Communications Limited, and RMail Limited, filed suit against us in the United States District Court in Eastern District of Texas for infringement of patents covering products and services that verify the delivery and integrity of email messages. We tendered defense of the case to an indemnitor which accepted the defense. We have answered the complaint. The case is in its early stages. The nature of the loss contingencies relating to claims that have been asserted against us are described above. However, no estimate of the loss or range of loss can be made. We intend to vigorously defend this action and pursue our indemnification rights with our vendors.

On August 16, 2013, Online News Link LLC, filed suit against us in the United States District Court in District of Delaware for infringement of patents covering data distribution systems that can make downloading data fast and efficient. We are examining whether we are indemnified by any vendor. We were served with the complaint on August 19, 2013. We answered the complaint. The case is in its early stages. The nature of the loss contingencies relating to claims that have been asserted against us are described above. However, no estimate of the loss or range of loss can be made. We intend to vigorously defend this action and pursue any indemnification rights with our vendors.

On January 3, 2014, Guardian Media Technologies LTD filed suit against us in the United States District Court in the Eastern District of Texas for infringement of patents covering parental control features in DVD players and televisions. The suit relates to two prior lawsuits with Guardian filed in 2008, and in 2013, which were previously dismissed. We have requested indemnification from pertinent vendors. The case is in its early stages. The nature of the loss contingencies relating to claims that have been asserted against us are described above. However, no estimate of the loss or range of loss can be made. We intend to vigorously defend this action and pursue any indemnification rights with our vendors.

On September 30, 2013, Altaf Nazerali filed suit against us in the Supreme Court of British Columbia for vicarious liability for defamation, liable and slander. The suit relates to alleged representations about Nazerali found on the website www.deepcapture.com. The suit alleges that the representations were made by our Chief Executive Officer, Patrick Byrne, and two other employees. The case is in its early stages. The nature of the loss contingencies relating to claims that have been asserted against us are described above. However, no estimate of the loss or range of loss can be made.

On February 7, 2014, Z-Dimensional, LLC filed suit against us in the United States District Court in the Eastern District of Texas for infringement of patents covering 3D Cameras. On July 1, 2014, Z-Dimensional voluntarily dismissed the case against us.

In June of 2013, William French filed suit against us and 46 other defendants under seal in the Superior Court of the State of Delaware. The filing was unsealed on March 24, 2014. French brought the action on Delaware's behalf for violations of Delaware's unclaimed property laws and for recovery of the unredeemed gift card value allegedly attributable to Delaware residents. French's complaint alleges that we, and other defendants, knowingly refused to fulfill obligations under Delaware's Abandoned Property Law by failing to report and deliver unclaimed gift card funds to the State of Delaware, and knowingly made, used or caused to be made or used, false statements and records to conceal, avoid or decrease an obligation to pay or transmit money to Delaware in violation of the Delaware False Claims and Reporting Act. The complaint seeks an injunction, monetary damages (including treble damages) penalties, and attorneys' fees and costs. The case is in its early stages. The nature of the loss contingencies relating to claims that have been asserted against us are described above. However, no estimate of the loss or range of loss can be

made.

We establish liabilities when a particular contingency is probable and estimable. At June 30, 2014, we have accrued \$8.0 million in light of these probable and estimable liabilities. It is reasonably possible that the actual losses may exceed our accrued liabilities. We have other contingencies which are reasonably possible; however, the reasonably possible exposure to losses cannot currently be estimated.

6. INDEMNIFICATIONS AND GUARANTEES

During our normal course of business, we have made certain indemnities, commitments, and guarantees under which we may be required to make payments in relation to certain transactions. These indemnities include, but are not limited to, indemnities to various lessors in connection with facility leases for certain claims arising from such facility or lease, and

20

Table of Contents

indemnities to our directors and officers to the maximum extent permitted under the laws of the State of Delaware. The duration of these indemnities, commitments, and guarantees varies, and in certain cases, is indefinite. In addition, the majority of these indemnities, commitments, and guarantees do not provide for any limitation of the maximum potential future payments we could be obligated to make. As such, we are unable to estimate with any reasonableness our potential exposure under these items. We have not recorded any liability for these indemnities, commitments, and guarantees in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. We do, however, accrue for losses for any known contingent liability, including those that may arise from indemnification provisions, when future payment is both probable and reasonably estimable.

7. STOCK-BASED AWARDS

We have equity incentive plans that provide for the grant to employees of stock-based awards, including stock options and restricted stock. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved grants of 1,425 and 232,985 restricted stock awards, respectively, to our officers, board members and employees. The restricted stock awards vest over three years at 33.3% at the end of the first year, 33.3% at the end of the second year and 33.3% at the end of the third year and are subject to the employee's continuing service to us. At June 30, 2014, there were 591,345 unvested restricted stock awards that remained outstanding.

The cost of restricted stock awards is determined using the fair value of our common stock on the date of the grant, and compensation expense is either recognized on a straight line basis over the three-year vesting schedule or on an accelerated schedule when vesting of restricted stock awards exceeds a straight-line basis. The cumulative amount of compensation expense recognized at any point in time is at least equal to the portion of the grant date fair value of the award that is vested at that date. The weighted average grant date fair value of restricted stock awards granted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$15.05 and \$28.44, respectively.

Stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock awards was \$1.0 million and \$816,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock awards was \$2.0 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes restricted stock award activity during the six months ended June 30, 2014 (in thousands):

	Six months ended	June 30, 2014
	Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding—beginning of year	704	\$ 10.79
Granted at fair value	233	28.44
Vested	(300)	) 11.79
Forfeited	(46)	) 16.18
Outstanding—end of period	591	\$ 16.79

8. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Segment information has been prepared in accordance with ASC Topic 280 Segment Reporting. Segments were determined based on how we manage the business. There were no inter-segment sales or transfers during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. We evaluate the performance of our segments and allocate resources to them based primarily on gross profit. The table below summarizes information about reportable segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

Table of Contents

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,		
	Direct	Fulfillment partner	Total	Direct	Fulfillment partner	Total
2014						
Revenue, net	\$33,215	\$299,330	\$332,545	\$71,262	\$602,490	\$673,752
Cost of goods sold	29,473	240,447	269,920	62,570	484,561	547,131
Gross profit	\$3,742	\$58,883	\$62,625	\$8,692	\$117,929	\$126,621
Operating expenses			60,832			118,761
Other income, net			549			1,042
Provision for income taxes			433			3,023
Net income			\$1,909			\$5,879
2013						
Revenue, net	\$36,250	\$256,954	\$293,204	\$78,192	\$527,006	\$605,198
Cost of goods sold	31,842	203,523	235,365	68,991	419,432	488,423
Gross profit	\$4,408	\$53,431	\$57,839	\$9,201	\$107,574	\$116,775
Operating expenses			53,674			105,195
Other income (expense), net			(155)			173
Provision for income taxes			312			358
Net income			\$3,698			\$11,395

The direct segment includes revenues, direct costs, and cost allocations associated with sales fulfilled from our warehouses. Costs for this segment include product costs, freight, warehousing and fulfillment costs, credit card fees and customer service costs.

The fulfillment partner segment includes revenues, direct costs and cost allocations associated with sales fulfilled from warehouses maintained by our fulfillment partners. Costs for this segment include product costs, outbound freight and fulfillment costs, credit card fees and customer service costs.

Assets have not been allocated between the segments for our internal management purposes and, as such, they are not presented here.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, substantially all sales revenues were attributable to customers in the United States. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, substantially all of our fixed assets were located in the United States.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the documents incorporated herein by reference, as well as our other public documents and statements our officers and representatives may make from time to time, contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements are therefore entitled to the protection of the safe harbor provisions of these laws. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, and relate to future events or our future financial or operating performance. The forward-looking statements include all statements other than statements of historical fact, including, without limitation, all statements regarding:

- the anticipated benefits and risks of our business and plans;
- our ability to attract and retain customers in a cost-efficient manner;
- the effectiveness of our marketing;
- our future operating and financial results, including any projections of revenue, capital expenditures or other financial measures or amounts;
- our decision to accept bitcoins as an acceptable payment for the goods and services we sell and our expectations regarding the advantages and risks of doing so, and our expectations that Coinbase.com and any other bitcoin transaction processing agents we utilize will perform in accordance with our expectations regardless of fluctuations in the value of bitcoin or other developments that may affect us or such processing agents;
- our decision to acquire and hold bitcoins and our expectations regarding the advantages and risks of doing so;
- the competition we currently face and will face in our business as the ecommerce business continues to become more competitive and additional competitors, including competitors based in China or elsewhere, continue to increase their efforts in our primary markets;
- the effects of government regulation;
- our future capital requirements and our ability to satisfy our capital needs;
- our expectations regarding the adequacy of our liquidity;
- our ability to retire or refinance any debt we may have or incur in the future;
- our plans for international markets, our expectations for our international sales efforts and the anticipated results of our international operations;
- our plans and expectations regarding our recently-announced launch of our Supplier Oasis Fulfillment Services and our efforts to provide multi-channel fulfillment services;
- our plans and expectations regarding our recently-announced launch of our Farmers Market offerings;
- our plans and expectations regarding our recently announced launch of insurance product offerings and consumer finance offerings;
- our plans for further changes to our business;
- our beliefs regarding current or future litigation or regulatory actions;
- our beliefs regarding the costs and benefits of our “spend and defend” policy under which we generally refuse to settle abusive patent suits brought against us;
- our beliefs and expectations regarding existing and future tax laws and related laws and the application of those laws to our business;
- our beliefs regarding the adequacy of our insurance coverage;
- our beliefs regarding the adequacy and anticipated functionality of our infrastructure, including our backup facilities and beliefs regarding the adequacy of our disaster planning and our ability to recover from a disaster or other interruption of our ability to operate our website at its highest level of functionality;
- our beliefs regarding our cybersecurity efforts and measures and the costs we will incur in our ongoing efforts to avoid interruptions to our product offerings and other business processes from cyber attacks;

- our belief that we can meet our published product shipping standards even during periods of relatively high sales activity;
- our belief that we can maintain or improve upon customer service levels that we and our customers consider acceptable;
- our beliefs regarding the adequacy of our order processing systems and our fulfillment and distribution capabilities;

Table of Contents

our plans and expectations regarding our potential acquisition of real estate and our plans for the design and construction of a facility to serve as our corporate headquarters;

our beliefs and expectations regarding the adequacy of our office and warehouse facilities and any additional or modified office or warehouse facilities and any transition from our current facilities to new facilities;

our expectations regarding the costs and benefits of our other businesses including our new and used car listing service, our Worldstock Fair Trade offerings, our Main Street Revolution offerings, our consignment services, our ecommerce marketplace channel offerings, our community site, our public service pet adoption program, and future other businesses and the anticipated functionality and results of operations of them;

our expectations regarding the costs and benefits of various programs we offer, including Club O and programs pursuant to which we offer free or discounted participation in Club O or other programs we offer to members of the United States Armed Forces and/or to full-time, post-secondary students or others;

our belief that we and our fulfillment partners will be able to maintain inventory levels at appropriate levels despite the seasonal nature of our business;

our belief that our sales through other ecommerce marketplace channels will be successful and will become an important part of our business; and

our belief that we can successfully offer and sell a constantly changing mix of products and services.

Further, in some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, will, could, should, likely, expect, plan, seek, intend, anticipate, project, believe, estimate, predict, potential, goal, strategy, future or continue, the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions. Actual events or results may differ materially from those contemplated by forward-looking statements for a variety of reasons, including among others:

- changes in U.S. and global economic conditions and consumer spending;
- world events;
- the rate of growth of the Internet and online commerce, and the occurrence of any event that would discourage or prevent consumers from shopping online;
- any failure to maintain our existing relationships or build new relationships with fulfillment partners on acceptable terms;
- any difficulties we may encounter maintaining optimal levels of product quality and selection or in attracting sufficient consumer interest in our product offerings;
- modifications we may make to our business model from time to time, including aspects relating to our product mix and the mix of direct/fulfillment partner sourcing of the products we offer;
- the mix of products purchased by our customers;
- problems with cyber security or data breaches or the costs of preventing or responding to any such problems;
- problems with or affecting our credit card processors, including cyber-attacks, Internet or other infrastructure or communications impairment or other events that could interrupt the normal operation of the credit card processors;
- problems with the facility where substantially all of our computer and communications hardware is located or other problems that result in the unavailability of our Website or reduced performance of our transaction systems;
- difficulties we may have in responding to technological changes;
- problems with the large volume of fraudulent purchase orders we receive on a daily basis;
- problems we may encounter as a result of the listing or sale of pirated, counterfeit or illegal items by third parties;
- difficulties we may have financing our operations or our expansion with either internally generated funds or external sources of financing;
- any difficulties we may encounter in connection with our potential acquisition of real estate or the design, construction or financing of a facility to serve as our corporate headquarters or any transition from our current facilities to new facilities;
- any difficulties we may encounter in connection with our Supplier Oasis Fulfillment Services and our efforts to provide multi-channel fulfillment services; our Farmers Market offerings; our insurance product offerings or our

consumer finance offerings;

- any difficulties we may encounter as a result of our reliance on third parties that we do not control for the performance of critical functions material to our business;

- any difficulties we may encounter in connection with the rapid shift from ecommerce and online payments to mobile and multi-channel commerce and payments;

Table of Contents

the extent to which we owe income or sales taxes or are required to collect sales taxes or report sales or to modify our business model in order to avoid being required to collect sales taxes or report sales;

any difficulties we may encounter as a consequence of accepting or holding bitcoins, whether as a result of regulatory, tax or other legal issues, technological issues, value fluctuations, lack of widespread adoption of bitcoins as an acceptable medium of exchange or otherwise;

competition, including competition from well-established competitors including Amazon.com, and from others including competitors with business models that may include delivery capabilities that we may be unable to match;

difficulties with the management of our growth and any periods in which we fail to grow in accordance with our plans;

fluctuations in our operating results;

our efforts to expand internationally;

our efforts to offer additional services to our customers, including insurance products and consumer financing;

the outcomes of legal proceedings, investigations and claims, including the outcome of our appeal of the judgment against us obtained by the District Attorneys of a number of California counties as described in this report;

our inability to optimize our warehouse operations;

risks of inventory management and seasonality;

the cost and availability of traditional and online advertising, and the results of our various brand building and marketing campaigns; and

the other risks described in this report or in our other public filings.

In evaluating all forward-looking statements, you should specifically consider the risks outlined above and in this Form 10-Q in Part II, Item 1A under the caption “Risk Factors,” in Part I, Item 2 under the caption “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and elsewhere in this report. These factors may cause our actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by any forward-looking statement. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee or offer any assurance of future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements or other future events.

These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report and, except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this report or any changes in our expectations or any change in any events, conditions or circumstances on which any of our forward-looking statements are based.

Available Information

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and other reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available free of charge through the Investors Relations section of our main website www.overstock.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our Internet Website and the information contained therein or connected thereto are not a part of or incorporated into this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Overview

We are an online retailer offering discount brand name, non-brand name and closeout merchandise, including furniture, home decor, bedding and bath, housewares, jewelry and watches, apparel and designer accessories, electronics and computers, and sporting goods, among other products. We sell hundreds of thousands of best seller and current run books, magazines, CDs, DVDs and video games (“BMMG”). We sell these products and services through our Internet websites located at www.overstock.com, www.o.co and www.o.biz (“Website”). Although our three websites are located at different domain addresses, the technology and equipment and processes supporting the

Website and the process of order fulfillment described herein are the same for all three websites.

Our company, based in Salt Lake City, Utah, was founded in 1997. We launched our initial website in March 1999. Our Website offers our customers an opportunity to shop for bargains conveniently, while offering our suppliers an alternative inventory liquidation or sales channel. We continually add new, and sometimes limited, inventory to our Website in order to

Table of Contents

create an atmosphere that encourages customers to visit frequently and purchase products before our inventory sells out. We sell products primarily in the United States.

As used herein, “Overstock,” “Overstock.com,” “O.co,” “we,” “our” and similar terms include Overstock.com, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context indicates otherwise.

Executive Commentary

This executive commentary is intended to provide investors with a view of our business through the eyes of our management. As an executive commentary, it necessarily focuses on selected aspects of our business. This executive commentary is intended as a supplement to, but not a substitute for, the more detailed discussion of our business included elsewhere herein. Investors are cautioned to read our entire “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” as well as our interim and audited financial statements, and the discussion of our business and risk factors and other information included elsewhere or incorporated in this report. This executive commentary includes forward-looking statements, and investors are cautioned to read the “Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements” at the beginning of Item 2, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

Revenues in Q2 2014 increased 13% compared to Q2 2013. The growth in revenue was primarily due to a 9% increase in orders, coupled with a 6% increase in average order size, from \$167 to \$177. These increases were partially offset by increased promotional activities including coupons, site sales, and Club O Rewards, which are recognized as a reduction of revenue. Although our average order size has increased in recent years, we expect the rate of increase to taper in 2014.

Gross profit in Q2 2014 increased 8% compared to Q2 2013 primarily as a result of that revenue growth. Gross margin decreased to 18.8% in Q2 2014 compared to 19.7% in Q2 2013. The decrease in gross margin was largely due to increased promotional activities including coupons, site sales, and Club O Rewards, which are recognized as a reduction of revenue.

Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenue increased from 6.6% to 7.1% during Q2 2014 as compared to Q2 2013, primarily due to increased spending in the sponsored search, display ad, and email marketing channels due to driving a higher proportion of our revenue through those channels.

As a result of these factors, Contribution increased 1% in Q2 2014 as compared to Q2 2013 (see “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” below for a reconciliation of Contribution to Gross Profit). Contribution margin was 11.8% for Q2 2014 and 13.2% for Q2 2013.

Technology expenses in Q2 2014 increased \$3.5 million compared to Q2 2013, primarily due to an increase in staff-related costs and depreciation. We continue to seek opportunities for growth in our business, including expanding our international sales and our distribution capabilities and multi-channel fulfillment services. We have also begun to broker insurance products, and offer consumer financing products through a third party, to our customers. As a result, we expect to continue to increase our technology expenses to support these initiatives, and these expenses may be material.

General and administrative expense in Q2 2014 decreased \$704,000 compared to Q2 2013 due to a \$1.8 million decrease in legal costs, partially offset by an increase in staff-related costs, travel costs, and professional fees.

We have reached agreements in principle to purchase land in Salt Lake City, Utah and develop our future headquarters on the site. Both agreements are non-binding and subject to our due diligence and final negotiation of terms. If we

proceed to develop a facility for our new headquarters, we will need to obtain additional financing. We estimate that the total project will cost approximately \$96 million, of which we expect to finance approximately \$72 million.

The balance of our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations provides further information about the matters discussed above and other important matters affecting our business.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our results of operations expressed as a percentage of total net revenue:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
	(as a percentage of total net revenue)		(as a percentage of total net revenue)		
Revenue, net					
Direct	10.0	% 12.4	% 10.6	% 12.9	%
Fulfillment partner	90.0	87.6	89.4	87.1	
Total net revenue	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Cost of goods sold					
Direct	8.9	10.9	9.3	11.4	
Fulfillment partner	72.3	69.4	71.9	69.3	
Total cost of goods sold	81.2	80.3	81.2	80.7	
Gross profit	18.8	19.7	18.8	19.3	
Operating expenses:					
Sales and marketing	7.1	6.6	7.0	6.3	
Technology	6.4	6.1	6.1	6.0	
General and administrative	4.8	5.7	4.6	5.2	
Restructuring	—	—	(0.1	) (0.1	)
Total operating expenses	18.3	18.3	17.6	17.4	
Operating income	0.5	1.4	1.2	1.9	
Interest income	—	—	—	—	
Interest expense	—	—	—	—	
Other income (expense), net	0.2	(0.1	) 0.1	—	
Net income before income taxes	0.7	1.4	1.3	1.9	
Provision for income taxes	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1	
Net income	0.6	% 1.3	% 0.9	% 1.9	%

Comparisons of Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 to Three Months Ended June 30, 2013, and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 to Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

Revenue

The following table reflects our net revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,			
	2014	2013	\$ Change	% Change	2014	2013	\$ Change	% Change
Revenue, net								
Direct	\$33,215	\$36,250	\$(3,035)	(8.4)%	\$71,262	\$78,192	\$(6,930)	(8.9)%
Fulfillment partner	299,330	256,954	42,376	16.5	602,490	527,006	75,484	14.3
Total revenue, net	\$332,545	\$293,204	\$39,341	13.4 %	\$673,752	\$605,198	\$68,554	11.3 %

The primary reason for increased total net revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, was a 9% increase in orders coupled with a 6% increase in average order size, from \$167 to \$177. The primary reason for increased total net revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, was a 7% increase in orders, coupled with a 6% increase in average order size, from \$160 to \$170. These

increases were partially offset by increased promotional activities including coupons, site sales, and Club O Rewards, which are recognized as a

27

Table of Contents

reduction of revenue. Although our average order size has increased in recent years, we expect the rate of increase to taper in 2014.

The primary reason for decreased direct revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, was a sales mix shift in bedding and bath, and home and garden products from our direct to fulfillment partner business.

The primary reason for decreased direct revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, was a decrease in sales of clothing and shoes due to our shift from a direct inventory-based model to a fulfillment partner-based model to reduce exposure from seasonal inventory and mark downs.

The increase in fulfillment partner revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013, was primarily due to an increase in sales of home and garden products. Notwithstanding this increase, we do not expect the sales mix shift to home and garden products to continue at the same rate in 2014 as in recent years.

The shift of business from direct to fulfillment partner (or vice versa) is an economic decision based on the economics of each particular product offering at the time and we generally do not have particular goals for an “appropriate” mix or percentage for the size of either. We believe that the mix of the business between direct and fulfillment partner is consistent with our strategic objectives for our business model in the current economic environment and we do not currently foresee any material shifts in mix.

We continue to seek increased participation in our Club O loyalty program as sales growth from customers with Club O memberships is typically higher than from other customers. For additional information regarding our Club O loyalty program see Item 1 of Part I, “Financial Statements (Unaudited)” -Note 2 -“Accounting Policies” under the section “Club O loyalty program.”

International sales were less than 2% of total net revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Change in estimate of average transit times (days)

Revenue related to merchandise sales is recognized upon delivery to our customers. As we ship high volumes of packages through multiple carriers, it is not practical for us to track the actual delivery date of each shipment. Therefore, we use estimates to determine which shipments are delivered and, therefore, recognized as revenue at the end of the period. Our delivery date estimates are based on average shipping transit times. We review and update our estimates on a quarterly basis based on our actual transit time experience. However, actual shipping times may differ from our estimates.

The following table shows the effect that hypothetical changes in the estimate of average shipping transit times would have had on the reported amount of revenue and net income for the three months ended June 30, 2014 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014	
Change in the	Increase	Increase
Estimate of Average	(Decrease)	(Decrease) Net
Transit Times (Days)	Revenue	Income
2	\$ (9,629	) \$ (1,329)
1	\$ (3,918	) \$ (549)
As reported	As reported	As reported
-1	\$ 3,625	\$ 509
-2	\$ 7,059	\$ 984

See “Executive Commentary” above for additional discussion regarding revenue.

Gross profit and gross margin

Our overall gross margins fluctuate based on our sales volume mix between our direct business and fulfillment partner business; changes in vendor and / or customer pricing, including competitive pricing; inventory management decisions within the direct business; sales coupons and promotions; product mix of sales; and operational and fulfillment costs.

28

Table of Contents

The following table reflects our net revenues, cost of goods sold and gross profit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,				
	2014	2013	\$ Change	% Change	2014	2013	\$ Change	% Change	
Revenue, net									
Direct	\$33,215	\$36,250	\$(3,035)	(8.4)%	\$71,262	\$78,192	\$(6,930)	(8.9)%	
Fulfillment partner	299,330	256,954	42,376	16.5	602,490	527,006	75,484	14.3	
Total net revenues	\$332,545	\$293,204	\$39,341	13.4	% \$673,752	\$605,198	\$68,554	11.3	%
Cost of goods sold									
Direct	\$29,473	\$31,842	\$(2,369)	(7.4)%	\$62,570	\$68,991	\$(6,421)	(9.3)%	
Fulfillment partner	240,447	203,523	36,924	18.1	484,561	419,432	65,129	15.5	
Total cost of goods sold	\$269,920	\$235,365	\$34,555	14.7	% \$547,131	\$488,423	\$58,708	12.0	%
Gross Profit									
Direct	\$3,742	\$4,408	\$(666)	(15.1)%	\$8,692	\$9,201	\$(509)	(5.5)%	
Fulfillment partner	58,883	53,431	5,452	10.2	117,929	107,574	10,355	9.6	
Total gross profit	\$62,625	\$57,839	\$4,786	8.3	% \$126,621	\$116,775	\$9,846	8.4	%

Gross margins for the past six quarterly periods and fiscal year ending 2013 were:

	Q1 2013	Q2 2013	Q3 2013	Q4 2013	FY 2013	Q1 2014	Q2 2014
Direct	11.4 %	12.2 %	13.7 %	13.4 %	12.7 %	13.0 %	11.3 %
Fulfillment Partner	20.0 %	20.8 %	20.4 %	18.6 %	19.8 %	19.5 %	19.7 %
Combined	18.9 %	19.7 %	19.6 %	18.0 %	19.0 %	18.8 %	18.8 %

The 90 basis point decrease in direct gross margin for the three months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, was primarily due to increased freight expenses, warehousing costs, and promotional activities which are recognized as a reduction of revenue (including coupons, site sales, and our Club O Rewards program). These factors were partially offset by a continued shift in sales mix into higher margin home and garden products.

The 43 basis point increase in direct gross margin for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, was primarily due to a continued shift in sales mix into higher margin home and garden products, partially offset by an increase in freight expenses and warehousing costs.

The 112 and 84 basis point decreases in fulfillment partner gross margin for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013, respectively, were primarily due to increased promotional activities which are recognized as a reduction of revenue (including coupons, site sales, and our Club O Rewards program).

Cost of goods sold includes stock-based compensation expense of \$45,000 and \$32,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively and \$85,000 and \$80,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

See “Executive Commentary” above for additional discussion.

Fulfillment costs

Fulfillment costs include all warehousing costs, including fixed overhead and variable handling costs (excluding packaging costs), as well as credit card fees and customer service costs, all of which we include as costs in calculating gross margin. We believe that some companies in our industry, including some of our competitors, account for fulfillment costs within operating expenses, and therefore exclude fulfillment costs from gross margin. As a result, our gross margin may not be directly comparable to others in our industry.

Table of Contents

The following table has been included to provide investors additional information regarding our classification of fulfillment costs, gross profit and margin, thus enabling investors to better compare our gross margin with others in our industry (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,			
	2014		2013		2014		2013	
Total revenue, net	\$332,545	100%	\$293,204	100%	\$673,752	100%	\$605,198	100%
Cost of goods sold								
Product costs and other cost of goods sold	254,964	77%	222,403	76%	516,762	77%	461,600	76%
Fulfillment and related costs	14,956	4%	12,962	4%	30,369	5%	26,823	4%
Total cost of goods sold	269,920	81%	235,365	80%	547,131	81%	488,423	81%
Gross profit	\$62,625	19%	\$57,839	20%	\$126,621	19%	\$116,775	19%

Fulfillment costs as a percentage of sales may vary due to several factors, such as our ability to manage costs at our warehouses, significant changes in the number of units received and fulfilled, the extent to which we use third party fulfillment services and warehouses, and our ability to effectively manage customer service costs and credit card fees. Fulfillment and related costs remained relatively flat during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same periods in 2013.

See “Gross profit” above for additional discussion.

Operating expenses

Sales and marketing expenses

We advertise through a number of targeted online marketing channels, such as sponsored search, affiliate marketing, portal advertising, e-mail campaigns, and other initiatives. We also use nationwide television, print and radio advertising campaigns to promote sales.

The following table reflects our sales and marketing expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,			
	2014	2013	\$ Change	% Change	2014	2013	\$ Change	% Change
Sales and marketing expenses	\$23,543	\$19,208	\$4,335	22.6	\$46,935	\$37,913	\$9,022	23.8
Sales and marketing expenses as a percent of net revenues	7.1	% 6.6	%		7.0	% 6.3	%	

The \$4.3 million and \$9.0 million increases in sales and marketing expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013, respectively, were primarily due to increased spending in the sponsored search, display ad, and email marketing channels due to driving a higher proportion of our revenue through those channels.

Sales and marketing expenses include stock-based compensation expense of \$97,000 and \$54,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively and \$178,000 and \$79,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Costs associated with our discounted shipping and other promotions, such as coupons, site sales, and Club O Rewards, are not included in marketing expense. Rather, they are accounted for as a reduction of revenue and therefore affect revenues and gross margin. We consider discounted shipping and other promotions, such as our policy of free shipping on orders over

Table of Contents

\$50 introduced in January 2013, as an effective marketing tool, and intend to continue to offer them as we deem appropriate as part of our overall marketing plan.

Technology expenses

We seek to invest efficiently in technology, including web services, customer support solutions, website search, expansion of new and existing product categories, and in investments in technology to enhance the customer experience, improve our process efficiency and support our logistics infrastructure. We continue to seek opportunities for growth in our business, including expanding our international sales and our distribution and multi-channel fulfillment services capabilities. We have also begun to broker insurance products, and offer consumer financing products through a third party, to our customers. As a result, we expect to continue to increase our technology expenses to support these initiatives, and these expenses may be material.

We have noted a recent increase in the frequency and variety of cyber attacks on our website. The impact of these attacks, their costs, and the costs incurred to protect our website against future attacks have not been material. However, we consider the threat from cyber attacks to be serious and will continue to devote resources to protect against these threats.

The following table reflects our technology expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	Three months ended				Six months ended June				
	June 30, 2014	2013	\$ Change	% Change	30, 2014	2013	\$ Change	% Change	
Technology expenses	\$21,408	\$17,920	\$3,488	19.5 %	\$41,009	\$36,080	\$4,929	13.7 %	
Technology expenses as a percent of net revenues	6.4	% 6.1	%		6.1	% 6.0	%		

The \$3.5 million and \$4.9 million increases in technology costs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013, respectively, were primarily due to an increase in staff related costs and depreciation.

Technology expenses include stock-based compensation expense of \$197,000 and \$78,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively and \$367,000 and \$202,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

General and administrative expenses

The following table reflects our general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	Three months ended				Six months ended June				
	June 30, 2014	2013	\$ Change	% Change	30, 2014	2013	\$ Change	% Change	
General and administrative expenses	\$15,881	\$16,585	\$(704)	(4.2)%	\$31,177	\$31,673	\$(496)	(1.6)%	
General and administrative expenses	4.8	% 5.7	%		4.6	% 5.2	%		

as a percent of net
revenues

The \$704,000 decrease in general and administrative expenses (“G&A”) for the three months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, was primarily due to a \$1.8 million decrease in legal costs, partially offset by an increase in staff-related costs, travel costs, and professional fees.

The \$496,000 decrease in G&A for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, was primarily due to a \$2.8 million decrease in legal costs and a \$537,000 decrease in our estimate of legal loss contingencies, partially offset by an increase in staff-related costs, travel costs, and professional fees.

Table of Contents

G&A expenses include stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$689,000 and \$652,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Restructuring

During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, we reversed \$0 and \$39,000, respectively, of lease termination costs. The reversal during the three months ended June 30, 2013 was the result of our exercising an early termination option available to us in one of our operating leases.

During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 we reversed \$360,000 and \$471,000, respectively, of lease termination costs. These reversals were a result of our reoccupation of formerly restructured facility space. At June 30, 2014 our restructuring liability was \$0.

Depreciation and amortization expense

Depreciation expense is classified within the corresponding operating expense categories on the consolidated statements of operations as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Cost of goods sold - direct	\$69	\$101	\$157	\$205
Technology	3,959	3,242	7,395	6,658
General and administrative	282	320	553	663
Total depreciation and amortization, including internal-use software and website development	\$4,310	\$3,663	\$8,105	\$7,526

Non-operating income (expense)

Interest income

Our interest income is primarily derived from the investment of our cash in cash equivalents and short-term investments. Interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 totaled \$37,000 and \$32,000, respectively, and \$78,000 and \$66,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Interest expense

Our interest expense is primarily derived from interest incurred on our line of credit and our restructuring accrual. Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 totaled \$12,000 and \$37,000, respectively, and \$19,000 and \$88,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The decreases in interest expense are primarily due to the elimination of the restructuring accrual.

Other income, net

Other income (expense), net for the three months ended June 30, 2014 increased to \$524,000 from \$(150,000) in 2013. Other income (expense), net for the six months ended June 30, 2014 increased to \$983,000 from \$195,000 in 2013. The increases in other income (expense) is primarily due to a decrease in losses on precious metals (there were no losses in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014), and increases in Club O Rewards and gift card breakage.

Income taxes

Our provision for income taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$433,000 and \$312,000, respectively. Our provision for income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$3.0 million and \$358,000, respectively. The increase in the 2014 provisions relative to the 2013 provisions is primarily due to a deferred tax asset valuation release in Q4 2013, which significantly reduced the 2013 provisions. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was 39.5% whereas the effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2014 was 18.5%. The decrease in

Table of Contents

the effective tax rate is primarily attributable to a change in estimated federal and state research and experimentation credits related to 2013 and state credits related to 2014. We have indefinitely reinvested foreign earnings of \$68,000 at June 30, 2014. We would need to accrue and pay U.S. income tax on this amount if repatriated. We do not intend to repatriate these earnings.

Seasonality

Based upon our historical experience, revenue typically increases during the fourth quarter because of the holiday retail season and gross margin decreases due to increased sales of certain lower margin products, such as electronics. The actual quarterly results for each quarter could differ materially depending upon consumer preferences, availability of product and competition, among other risks and uncertainties. Accordingly, there can be no assurances that seasonal variations will not materially affect our results of operations in the future.

The following table reflects our total net revenues for each of the quarters in 2014, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2014	\$ 341,207	\$ 332,545	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
2013	311,994	293,204	301,426	397,593
2012	262,367	239,536	255,352	342,034

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Current sources of liquidity

While we believe that the cash and cash equivalents currently on hand and expected cash flows from future operations will be sufficient to continue operations for at least the next twelve months; we may require additional financing. Although we may attempt to obtain additional financing, there can be no assurance that we will be able to do so. There can be no assurance that if additional financing is necessary it will be available, or, if available, that such financing can be obtained on satisfactory terms. Our failure to generate sufficient revenues or profits or to obtain additional financing or raise additional capital could have a material adverse effect on our operations and on our ability to achieve our intended business objectives. Any projections of future cash needs and cash flows are subject to substantial uncertainty.

We have reached agreements in principle to purchase land in Salt Lake City, Utah and develop our future headquarters on the site. Both agreements are non-binding and subject to our due diligence and final negotiation of terms. If we proceed to develop a facility for our new headquarters, we will need to obtain additional financing. We estimate that the total project will cost approximately \$96 million, of which we expect to finance approximately \$72 million. We have received proposals to finance a portion of the costs of the new headquarters and related furniture and equipment from various sources and have reached agreements in principle on key terms for such proposed financing, however such agreements are not yet complete or binding on either party. The lease on our current headquarters expires in 2017.

Our principal sources of liquidity are cash flows generated from operations, and our existing cash and cash equivalents. At June 30, 2014, our only available credit facility was a \$3.0 million facility solely to support letters of credit. At June 30, 2014, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$101.9 million.

Cash flow information is as follows (in thousands):

Six months ended June 30,	Twelve months ended June 30,
---------------------------	------------------------------

Edgar Filing: OVERSTOCK.COM, INC - Form 10-Q

	2014		2013	2014		2013
Cash provided by (used in):						
Operating activities	\$(29,299	)	\$3,521	\$50,825		\$61,607
Investing activities	(15,072	)	(9,239	)	(31,833	) (16,648
Financing activities	(2,416	)	(3,092	)	(1,851	) (20,220

Table of Contents

Free Cash Flow

“Free Cash Flow” (a non-GAAP measure) for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, was \$(44.4) million and \$(5.8) million, respectively, and \$27.0 million and \$46.3 million for the twelve months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. See “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” below for a reconciliation of Free Cash Flow to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities.

Cash flows from operating activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, our operating activities resulted in a net cash outflow of \$29.3 million and a net cash inflow of \$3.5 million, respectively.

Cash received from customers generally corresponds to our net revenues as our customers primarily use credit cards to buy from us causing our receivables from these sales transactions to settle quickly. We have payment terms with our fulfillment partners that generally extend beyond the amount of time necessary to collect proceeds from our customers. As a result, following our typically seasonally strong fourth quarter sales, at December 31 of each year, our cash, cash equivalents and accounts payable balances normally reach their highest level (other than as a result of cash flows provided by or used in investing and financing activities). However, our accounts payable balance normally declines during the first three months following year-end, which normally results in a decline in our cash and cash equivalents balances from the year-end balance. The seasonality of our business causes payables and accruals to grow significantly in the fourth quarter, and then decrease in the first quarter when they are typically paid.

The \$29.3 million of net cash used by operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2014 was due to decreases in accounts payable of \$33.9 million and accrued liabilities of \$14.8 million. Accounts payable increased in Q4 2013 due to increased sales and in part due to the timing of key holiday sales. In 2013, the holiday sales season began later than in previous years, and as a result some of our payments to our suppliers for holiday sales were due in January 2014 rather than in December 2013. This caused a significant increase in accounts payable during Q4 2013 and a significant decrease in accounts payable during Q1 2014. Accrued liabilities increased during Q4 2013 due to the timing of some invoices related to marketing expenses and legal matters which were paid in Q1 2014. The net cash used by operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2014 was partially offset by non-cash depreciation and amortization expense of \$8.1 million, a reduction in inventory of \$6.8 million, and net income of \$5.9 million.

The \$3.5 million of net cash provided by operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2013 was primarily from net income of \$11.4 million, non-cash depreciation and amortization expense of \$7.5 million, a reduction in inventory of \$5.5 million and a reduction in accounts receivable of \$4.7 million, partially offset by payments of accounts payable of \$12.9 million, a decrease in deferred revenue of \$8.6 million and a decrease in prepaids and other assets of \$4.8 million.

Cash flows from investing activities

Cash provided by investing activities primarily corresponds with expenditures for fixed assets, including internal-use software and website development costs, purchases, sales and maturities of marketable securities, and investments in precious metals. For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, investing activities resulted in net cash outflows of \$15.1 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, resulting primarily from expenditures for fixed assets.

Cash flows from financing activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, financing activities resulted in net cash outflows of \$2.4 million and \$3.1 million, respectively.

The \$2.4 million used in financing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2014 resulted primarily from \$2.3 million for the purchase of shares of our common stock withheld for minimum tax withholdings upon the vesting of a portion of certain restricted stock award grants.

The \$3.1 million used in financing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2013 resulted primarily from \$2.6 million for prepayment of capital leases for computer equipment and \$1.4 million for the purchase of shares of our common

Table of Contents

stock withheld for minimum tax withholdings upon the vesting of a portion of certain restricted stock award grants, partially offset by \$986,000 in proceeds for the exercise of stock options.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of June 30, 2014 and the effect such obligations and commitments are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period						Total
	Remainder of 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	
Operating leases	\$5,484	\$10,409	\$8,201	\$4,203	\$3,826	\$32,437	\$64,560
Naming rights	1,311	1,351	1,391	—	—	—	4,053
Purchase obligations	9,554	—	—	—	—	—	9,554
Marketing, technology and other services	595	3,008	1,683	—	—	—	5,286
Total contractual cash obligations	\$16,944	\$14,768	\$11,275	\$4,203	\$3,826	\$32,437	\$83,453

Other Commercial Commitments	Amounts of Commitment Expiration Per Period						Total
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	
Letters of credit	\$1,580	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$1,580

Operating Leases

From time to time we enter into operating leases for facilities and equipment for use in our operations. On March 6, 2014, we entered into amendments to extend the leases on our corporate headquarters and a data center space from their previous expiration of June 30, 2016 to January 31, 2017. The minimum future payments due under these amended operating leases are included in the table above.

Naming Rights

During 2011, we entered into a six-year agreement with the Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Authority (“OACCA”) for the right to name Oakland Alameda County Coliseum (now known as “O.co Coliseum”). Amounts represent annual payments due OACCA for the naming rights. We have the right to terminate this agreement at our sole option, subject to payment of a termination fee.

Purchase Obligations

The amount of purchase obligations shown above is based on assumptions regarding the legal enforceability against us of inventory purchase orders we had outstanding at June 30, 2014. Under different assumptions regarding our rights to cancel our purchase orders or different assumptions regarding the enforceability of the purchase orders under applicable law, the amount of purchase obligations shown in the table above would be less.

Marketing, Technology and Other Services

From time to time we enter into long-term contractual agreements for marketing, technology, or other services.

Tax Contingencies

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, tax contingencies were \$637,000 and \$495,000, respectively. We expect the total amount of tax contingencies will grow in 2014. In addition, changes in state, federal, and foreign tax laws may increase our tax contingencies. The timing of the resolution of income tax examinations is highly uncertain, and the amounts ultimately paid, if any, upon resolution of the issues raised by the taxing authorities may differ from the amounts accrued. It is reasonably possible that within the next 12 months we will receive additional assessments by various tax authorities or possibly reach

Table of Contents

resolution of income tax examinations in one or more jurisdictions. These assessments or settlements may or may not result in changes to our contingencies related to positions on prior years' tax filings.

Borrowings

U.S. Bank Credit Agreement

We are party to a \$3.0 million cash-collateralized line of credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with U.S. Bank National Association ("U.S. Bank") for the issuance of letters of credit. Advances under the Credit Agreement bear interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.0%. The Credit Agreement matures on December 31, 2014. Amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement were \$0 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, letters of credit totaling \$1.6 million were issued on our behalf collateralized by compensating cash balances held at U.S. Bank, which are included in restricted cash in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

U.S. Bank Commercial Purchasing Card Agreement

We have a commercial purchasing card (the "Purchasing Card") agreement with U.S. Bank. We use the Purchasing Card for business purpose purchasing and must pay it in full each month. At June 30, 2014, \$867,000 was outstanding and \$4.1 million was available under the Purchasing Card. At December 31, 2013, \$517,000 was outstanding and \$4.5 million was available under the Purchasing Card.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that would be material to investors.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements requires that we make estimates and judgments. We base these on historical experience and on other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable. Our critical accounting policies are discussed in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, and our accounting policies and use of estimates are further discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements included in this Form 10-Q and elsewhere in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. There have been no material changes to the critical accounting policies previously disclosed in that report.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Regulation G, Conditions for Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures, and other SEC regulations regulate the disclosure of certain non-GAAP financial information.

Contribution and Contribution Margin

Contribution (a non-GAAP financial measure which we reconcile to "Gross profit" in our consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income) consists of gross profit less sales and marketing expense and reflects an additional way of viewing our results. Contribution Margin is Contribution as a percentage of revenues. When viewed

together with our GAAP results, we believe Contribution and Contribution Margin provide management and users of the financial statements information about our ability to cover our operating costs, such as technology and general and administrative expenses. Contribution and Contribution Margin are used in addition to and in conjunction with results presented in accordance with GAAP and should not be relied upon to the exclusion of GAAP financial measures. You should review our financial statements and publicly-filed reports in their entirety and not rely on any single financial measure. The material limitation associated with the use of Contribution is that it is an incomplete measure of profitability as it does not include all operating expenses or non-operating income and expenses. Management compensates for these limitations when using this measure by looking at other GAAP measures, such as operating income and net income.

Table of Contents

For further details on Contribution and Contribution Margin, see the calculation of these non-GAAP financial measures below (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,			
	2014		2013		2014		2013	
Total net revenue	\$332,545	100%	\$293,204	100%	\$673,752	100%	\$605,198	100%
Cost of goods sold	269,920	81.2	235,365	80.3	547,131	81.2	488,423	80.7
Gross profit	62,625	18.8	57,839	19.7	126,621	18.8	116,775	19.3
Less: Sales and marketing expense	23,543	7.1	19,208	6.6	46,935	7.0	37,913	6.3
Contribution and contribution margin	\$39,082	11.8%	\$38,631	13.2%	\$79,686	11.8%	\$78,862	13.0%

Free Cash Flow

Free cash flow (a non-GAAP financial measure) reflects an additional way of viewing our cash flows and liquidity that, when viewed with our GAAP results, provides a more complete understanding of factors and trends affecting our cash flows and liquidity. Free cash flow, which we reconcile to “Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities”, is cash flows from operations reduced by “Expenditures for fixed assets, including internal-use software and website development.” We believe that cash flows from operating activities is an important measure, since it includes both the cash impact of the continuing operations of the business and changes in the balance sheet that impact cash. However, we believe free cash flow is a useful measure to evaluate our business since purchases of fixed assets are a necessary component of ongoing operations and free cash flow measures the amount of cash we have available for mandatory debt service and financing obligations, changes in our capital structure, and future investments after we have paid all of our operating expenses. Therefore, we believe it is important to view free cash flow as a complement to our entire consolidated statements of cash flows as calculated below (in thousands):

	Six months ended June 30,		Twelve months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$(29,299)	\$3,521	\$50,825	\$61,607
Expenditures for fixed assets, including internal-use software and website development	(15,079)	(9,296)	(23,850)	(15,281)
Free cash flow	\$(44,378)	\$(5,775)	\$26,975	\$46,326

Government Regulation

Our services are subject to federal and state consumer protection laws including laws protecting the privacy of consumer information and regulations prohibiting unfair and deceptive trade practices. In particular, under federal and state financial privacy laws and regulations, we must provide notice to consumers of our policies on sharing non-public information with third parties, advance notice of any changes to our policies and, with limited exceptions, we must give consumers the right to prevent sharing of their non-public personal information with unaffiliated third parties. Further, the growth and demand for online commerce could result in more stringent consumer protection laws that impose additional compliance burdens on online companies. These consumer protection laws could result in substantial compliance costs.

New disclosure and reporting requirements, established under existing or new state or federal laws, such as rules regarding the disclosure of abusive labor practices in portions of our supply chain, could increase the cost of doing business, adversely affecting our results of operations.

In many states, there is currently great uncertainty whether or how existing laws governing issues such as property ownership, sales and other taxes, libel and personal privacy apply to the Internet and commercial online services. In addition, new state tax regulations in states where we do not now collect state and local taxes may subject us to the obligation to collect and remit state and local taxes, or subject us to additional state and local sales and income taxes, or to requirements intended to assist states with their tax collection efforts. New legislation or regulation, the application of laws and regulations from

Table of Contents

jurisdictions whose laws do not currently apply to our business or the application of existing laws and regulations to the Internet and commercial online services could result in significant additional taxes on our business. These taxes or tax collection obligations could have an adverse effect on our cash flows and results of operations. Further, there is a possibility that we may be subject to significant fines or other payments for any past failures to comply with these requirements.

Factors that May Affect Future Results

As described above, subject to our need for financing for the acquisition of land and construction of our future headquarters, we believe that the cash and cash equivalents currently on hand and expected cash flows from future operations will be sufficient to continue operations for at least the next twelve months. However, any projections of future cash needs and cash flows are subject to substantial uncertainty. See Item 1A of Part II, "Risk Factors."

We periodically evaluate opportunities to sell additional equity or debt securities, obtain credit facilities, or repurchase common stock. Any sale of additional equity or convertible debt securities could be dilutive to our stockholders. In addition, we may, from time to time, consider the acquisition of, or investment in, complementary businesses, products, services, or technologies, any of which might affect our liquidity requirements or cause us to issue additional equity or debt securities. There can be no assurance that financing arrangements will be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

Any investment in our securities involves a high degree of risk. Investors should consider carefully the risks and uncertainties described in this Form 10-Q, including the risks described in Item 1A of Part II ("Risk Factors") of this Form 10-Q, and all other information in this Form 10-Q and in our other filings with the SEC including those we file after we file this Form 10-Q, before deciding whether to purchase or hold our securities.

Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also become important factors that may harm our business. The occurrence of any of the risks described under "Risk Factors" in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K or herein could harm our business. The trading price of our securities could decline due to any of these risks and uncertainties, and investors may lose part or all of their investment.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not use derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio, and we have no foreign exchange contracts. Our financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts and contracts receivable, accounts payable and long-term obligations. We consider investments in highly-liquid instruments with a remaining maturity of 90 days or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our short-term investments and short-term obligations; thus, fluctuations in interest rates would not have a material impact on the fair value of these securities. However, the fair values of our investments may be subject to fluctuations due to volatility of the stock market in general, investment-specific circumstances, and changes in general economic conditions.

At June 30, 2014, we had \$101.9 million in cash and cash equivalents. Hypothetically, an increase or decrease in interest rates of one hundred basis points would have an estimated impact of \$1.0 million on our earnings or loss, or the fair market value or cash flows of these instruments.

At June 30, 2014, we had assets consisting of precious metals totaling \$9.7 million. Hypothetically, an increase or decrease in the market value of one hundred basis points would have an estimated impact of \$97,000 on our earnings or loss, or the recorded value or cash flows of these instruments. Earnings resulting from increases in the market value

of precious metals would be limited to losses incurred in the same fiscal year.

At June 30, 2014, letters of credit totaling \$1.6 million were outstanding under our credit facilities. Hypothetically, an increase or decrease in interest rates of one hundred basis points would have an estimated impact of \$16,000 on our earnings or loss, or the cash flows of these instruments, if the letters of credit were fully drawn.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). The term disclosure controls and procedures means controls and other

Table of Contents

procedures of an issuer that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms.

Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation required by the Exchange Act under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the 1934 Act, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and to provide reasonable assurance that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

During the most recent fiscal quarter, there has not occurred any change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives as specified above. Management does not expect, however, that our disclosure controls and procedures will prevent or detect all error and fraud. Any control system, no matter how well designed and operated, is based upon certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that its objectives will be met. Further, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information set forth under Item 1 of Part I, “Financial Statements”—Note 5—“Commitments and Contingencies,” subheading “Legal Proceedings,” contained in the “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements” of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is incorporated by reference in answer to this Item.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Please consider the following risk factors carefully. If any one or more of the following risks were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations, and the market price of our securities could decrease significantly. Statements below to the effect that an event could harm our business (or similar statements) mean that the event could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our securities. These are not the only risks we face.

We are an e-commerce business and we depend on the continued use of the Internet and the adequacy of the Internet infrastructure.

Our business depends upon the widespread use of the Internet and e-commerce. Factors which could reduce the widespread use of the Internet for e-commerce include:

- actual or perceived lack of security of information or privacy protection;
- cyber-attacks or other disruptions or damage to the Internet or to users’ computers;
- significant increases in the costs of transportation of goods; and
- taxation and governmental regulation.

We depend on our relationships with independent fulfillment partners for a large portion of the products that we offer for sale on our Website. If we fail to maintain these relationships, our business will suffer.

At June 30, 2014, we had relationships with approximately 2,700 independent fulfillment partners whose products we offer for sale on our Website. Sales through our fulfillment partners accounted for approximately 90% of our net revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. If we do not maintain our existing relationships or build new relationships with fulfillment partners on acceptable commercial terms, we may not be able to maintain a broad selection of merchandise, and our business and prospects would suffer severely. Our agreements with fulfillment partners are generally terminable at will by either party upon short notice.

We depend on our fulfillment partners to perform critical services regarding the products that we offer.

In general, we agree to offer the fulfillment partners’ products on our Website and these fulfillment partners agree to conduct a number of other traditional retail operations such as maintaining inventory, preparing merchandise for shipment to our customers and delivering purchased merchandise on a timely basis. We have no ability to ensure that these third parties will continue to perform these services to our satisfaction or on terms we consider reasonable. In addition, because we do not take possession of these fulfillment parties’ products (other than on the return of such products), we are generally unable to fulfill these traditional retail operations ourselves. If our customers become dissatisfied with the services provided by these third parties, our business and reputation and the Overstock.com brand would suffer.

Risks associated with the suppliers from whom our products are sourced and the safety of those products could adversely affect our financial performance.

Global sourcing of many of the products we sell is an important aspect of our business. We depend on our ability to access products from qualified suppliers in a timely and efficient manner. Our ability to find qualified suppliers who meet our standards and supply products in a timely and efficient manner is a significant challenge, especially with respect to goods sourced from outside the U.S. Political and economic instability, the financial stability of suppliers, suppliers' ability to meet our standards, labor problems experienced by our suppliers, the availability of raw materials, merchandise quality issues, currency exchange rates, transport availability and cost, transport security, inflation, and other factors relating to the suppliers

Table of Contents

and the countries in which they are located or from which they may source materials or products are beyond our control. We also largely rely on our suppliers' representations of product content and quality. Concerns regarding product content or quality, or the safety of products that we source from our suppliers, could cause shoppers to avoid purchasing certain products from us, or to seek alternative sources of supply for all of their needs, even if the basis for the concern is outside of our control. Any lost confidence on the part of our customers would be difficult and costly to reestablish. As such, any issue regarding the safety of any items we sell, regardless of the cause, could adversely affect our financial performance. Further, if any product we sell were to cause physical injury or injury to property, the injured party or parties might bring claims against us. Any indemnity agreement we may have with the supplier may be inadequate or inapplicable, and any insurance coverage we may carry may not be adequate to cover claims that could be asserted. Even unsuccessful claims could result in the expenditure of funds and management time and could have a negative impact on our business.

Manufacturers may refuse to sell to us or through our site.

We rely upon our fulfillment partners and other suppliers for the product offerings sold on our website and other products and services we use to run our business. Our ability to retain or attract new fulfillment partners and other suppliers may depend in part on our financial performance. Poor financial performance could result in suppliers choosing to limit or suspend doing business with us or require us to prepay for our purchases. Further, some manufacturers are unwilling to offer products for sale on the Internet or on sites like ours. Our inability to source and offer popular products could be a significant problem for us.

Our business depends on our Website, network infrastructure and transaction-processing systems.

As an e-commerce company, we are completely dependent on our infrastructure. Any system interruption that results in the unavailability of our Website or reduced performance of our transaction systems could substantially reduce our ability to conduct our business. If our Website and related systems fail at any time to operate well and quickly enough to satisfy a potential customer, we may quickly lose the opportunity to convert that potential customer into a regular customer. We use internally and externally developed systems for our Website and our transaction processing systems, including personalization databases used for internal analytics, recommendations and order verifications. We have experienced periodic systems interruptions due to server failure and power failure in the past, which we expect will continue to occur from time to time. We have also experienced and may continue to experience temporary capacity constraints due to sharply increased traffic during sales or other promotions and during the holiday shopping season. Capacity constraints can cause system disruptions, slower response times, delayed page presentation, degradation in levels of customer service and other problems. In the past we have also experienced difficulties with our infrastructure upgrades. Any future difficulties with our transaction processing systems or difficulties upgrading, expanding or integrating aspects of our systems may cause system disruptions, slower response times, and degradation in levels of customer service, additional expense, impaired quality and speed of order fulfillment or other problems.

If the facility where substantially all of our computer and communications hardware is located fails, our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations could be harmed.

If the facility where substantially all of our computer and communications hardware is located fails, or if we suffer an interruption or degradation of services at the facility for any reason, our business could be harmed. Our success, and in particular, our ability to successfully receive and fulfill orders and provide high-quality customer service, largely depends on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of our computer and communications systems. Substantially all of our computer and communications hardware is located at a single co-location facility in Salt Lake City, Utah, with a partially redundant back-up system located less than six miles from the co-location facility. In the event of an earthquake or other local disaster, or any other cause of interruption of service, both our primary and back-up sites could be adversely affected. Our systems and operations are vulnerable to damage or interruption from fire, flood,

power loss, telecommunications failure, terrorist attacks, cyber-attacks, acts of war, break-ins, earthquake and similar events. In the event of a failure of our primary facility, the failover to our back-up facility would take at least several hours, during which time our Website would be completely shut down. Our back-up facility is not adequate to support sales at a high level. The back-up facility may not process effectively during time of higher traffic to our Website and may process transactions more slowly and may not support all of the functionality of our primary site. These limitations could have an adverse effect on our conversion rate and sales. Our disaster recovery plan may be inadequate, and we do not carry business interruption insurance sufficient to compensate us for the losses that could occur. Our servers are vulnerable to computer viruses, physical or electronic break-ins and similar disruptions, the occurrence of any of which could lead to interruptions, delays, loss of critical data or the inability to accept and fulfill customer orders. The occurrence of any of the foregoing risks could harm our business.

Table of Contents

We depend upon third party fulfillment and delivery services to fulfill and deliver products to our customers on a timely and consistent basis. Deterioration in our relationship with any one of these third parties could decrease our ability to track shipments, cause shipment delays, and increase our shipping costs and the number of damaged products.

We rely upon third party fulfillment and delivery providers for the shipment of products to customers. We cannot be sure that these relationships will continue on terms we find acceptable, or at all. Increases in shipping or fulfillment costs or delivery times, particularly during the holiday season, could harm our business. If our relationships with these third parties are terminated or impaired or if these third parties are unable to deliver products for us, whether as a result of labor shortage, slow down or stoppage, deteriorating financial or business condition, fulfillment facilities impairment, terrorist attacks, cyber-attacks, Internet or other infrastructure or communications impairment, natural disasters, unexpectedly high shipping volumes or for any other reason, we would be required to use alternative fulfillment service providers or carriers for the shipment of products to our customers, if such alternatives were available. Conditions such as adverse weather or natural disasters can prevent any carrier from performing its delivery services, which can have an adverse effect on our customers' satisfaction with us. In any of these circumstances, we may be unable to engage alternative fulfillment services or carriers on a timely basis, upon terms we find acceptable, or at all. Changing fulfillment services or carriers, or absence of fulfillment services or carrier availability, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We depend upon our credit card processors and payment card associations.

Our customers primarily use credit cards to buy from us. We are dependent upon our credit card processors to process the sales transactions and remit the proceeds to us. The credit card processors have the right to withhold funds otherwise payable to us to establish or increase a reserve based on their assessment of the inherent risks of credit card processing and their assessment of the risks of processing our customers' credit cards at any time, and have done so from time to time in the past. We are also subject to payment card associations' operating rules, certification requirements and rules governing electronic funds transfers, which could change or be reinterpreted to make it difficult or impossible for us to comply. If we fail to comply with these rules or requirements, we may be subject to fines and higher transaction fees and lose our ability to accept credit and debit card payments from our customers, process electronic funds transfers, or facilitate other types of online payments. In addition, events affecting our credit card processors, including cyber-attacks, Internet or other infrastructure or communications impairment or other events that could interrupt the normal operation of the credit card processors, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We rely upon paid and natural search engines including Google, Bing, and Yahoo! to rank our product offerings. Our financial results may suffer if search engines change their ranking algorithms and our product offerings are ranked lower, and we may at times be subject to ranking penalties if the operators of search engines believe we are not in compliance with their guidelines.

We rely on paid and natural search engines to attract consumer interest in our product offerings. Potential and existing customers use search engines provided by search engine companies, including, but not limited to, Google, Bing, and Yahoo!, which use algorithms and other devices to provide users a natural ranked listing of relevant Internet sites matching a user's search criteria and specifications. Generally, Internet sites ranked higher in the paid and natural search results attract the largest visitor share among similar Internet sites, and often benefit from increased sales. Natural search engine algorithms use information available throughout the Internet, including information available on our site. Search engine companies change their natural search engine algorithms periodically, and our ranking in natural searches may be adversely affected by those changes. When this occurs, our financial results may suffer from reduced revenues and from increased marketing expenses as we seek to replace lost revenues by utilizing other

sources.

Rules and guidelines of these natural search engine companies govern our participation on their sites and how we share relevant Internet information that may be considered or incorporated into the algorithms used by these sites. If these rules and guidelines change, or if we fail to present, or improperly present, our site information for use by natural search engine companies, or if any of these natural search engine companies determine that we have violated their rules or guidelines, as Google did in February 2011 through April 2011, or if others improperly present our site information to these search engine companies, we may fail to achieve an optimum ranking in natural search engine listing results, or we may be penalized in a way that could harm our business.

Table of Contents

In addition, large marketplace websites and sites which aggregate marketplace sellers with a large product selection are becoming increasingly popular, and we may not be able to place our products on these sites to take advantage of their internal search platforms. Our inability to place products on or access these sites may have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our business depends on effective marketing, and we change our advertising and marketing programs often.

Our business depends on effective marketing and high customer traffic. We have many initiatives in this area, and often change our advertising and marketing programs. The results of our advertising and marketing programs vary. If we are unable to develop and implement effective advertising and marketing programs, our business and results of operations would be harmed.

We depend upon third parties for many of the services we offer.

We depend upon third parties for all or substantially all of the services we offer, including our insurance offerings, our consumer financing offerings, our new and used car listings, our car-related services and our pet adoption services. Service offerings are inherently different from product offerings, and we may encounter difficulties with our services offerings that may be different from the types of issues we face with our product offerings.

We are subject to cyber security risks and risks of data loss or other security breaches, and may incur increasing costs in an effort to minimize those risks and to respond to cyber incidents.

Our business is entirely dependent on the secure operation of our website and systems as well as the operation of the Internet generally. Our business involves the storage and transmission of users' proprietary information, and security breaches could expose us to a risk of loss or misuse of this information, and to resulting claims and litigation. A number of large Internet companies have suffered security breaches, some of which have involved intentional attacks. From time to time we and many other Internet businesses also experience denial of service attacks in which attackers attempt to block customers' access to our Website. If we are unable to avert a denial of service attack for any significant period, we could sustain substantial revenue loss from lost sales and customer dissatisfaction. We may not have the resources or technical sophistication to anticipate or prevent rapidly evolving types of cyber-attacks. Cyber-attacks may target us, our customers, our suppliers, banks, credit card processors, delivery services, e-commerce in general or the communication infrastructure on which we depend. If an actual or perceived attack or breach of our security occurs, customer and/or supplier perception of the effectiveness of our security measures could be harmed and we could lose customers, suppliers or both. Actual or anticipated attacks and risks may cause us to incur increasing costs, including costs to deploy additional personnel and protection technologies, train employees, and engage third party experts and consultants.

A person who is able to circumvent our security measures might be able to misappropriate our or our users' proprietary information, cause interruption in our operations, damage our computers or those of our users, or otherwise damage our reputation and business. Any compromise of our security could result in a violation of applicable privacy and other laws, significant legal and financial exposure, damage to our reputation, and a loss of confidence in our security measures, which could harm our business.

Most of our customers use credit cards to pay for their purchases. We rely on encryption and authentication technology licensed from third parties to provide the security and authentication to effectively secure transmission of confidential information, including customer payment card numbers. We cannot provide assurance that our technology can prevent breaches of the systems that we use to protect customer data. Data breaches can also occur as a result of non-technical issues.

Under payment card rules and our contracts with our card processors, if there is a breach of payment card information that we store, we could be liable to the payment card issuing banks for their cost of issuing new cards and related expenses. In addition, if we fail to follow payment card industry security standards, even if there is no compromise of customer information, we could incur significant fines or lose our ability to give customers the option of using payment cards to fund their payments or pay their fees. If we were unable to accept payment cards, our business would be seriously damaged.

Our servers and the servers of our suppliers may also be vulnerable to computer viruses, physical or electronic break-ins, and similar disruptions, including denial-of-service attacks. We may need to expend significant resources to protect against attacks or security breaches or to address problems caused by attacks or breaches. Any attack or breach incident involving us or persons with whom we have commercial relationships, that results in the unauthorized release of our users' personal information, could damage our reputation and expose us to claims and litigation.

Table of Contents

Third parties have demonstrated that they can breach the security of customer transaction data of large sophisticated Internet retailers, government organizations and others. Any breach, whether it affects us directly or not, could cause our customers to lose confidence in the security of our site or the use of the Internet and e-commerce in general. If third parties are able to penetrate our network security or otherwise misappropriate our customers' personal information or credit card information, or if we give third parties improper access to our customers' personal information or credit card information, we could be subject to claims. The claims could include claims for unauthorized purchases with credit card information, impersonation or other similar fraud claims or damages for alleged violations of state or federal laws governing security protocols for the safekeeping of customers' personal or credit card information. They could also include claims for other misuses of personal information, including unauthorized marketing purposes. These claims could result in litigation. Any of these types of claims could adversely affect our business.

Cyber-attacks affecting our suppliers, delivery services or other service providers could adversely affect us.

We depend on our fulfillment partners to provide a large portion of the product selection we offer and on vendors for the products we purchase and offer in our direct business. We also depend on delivery services to deliver products, and on other service providers, including suppliers of services which support Website operations, including payment systems, customer service support, and communications. Cyber-attacks affecting our delivery services or any of our most significant suppliers or affecting a significant number of our suppliers of products or services could have a material adverse effect on our business. The adverse effects could include our inability to source product or fulfill orders, our customers' or suppliers' inability to contact us or access our Website or call centers or chat lines, or the compromise of our customers' confidential data.

Credit card fraud and our response to it could adversely affect our business.

We routinely receive orders placed with fraudulent credit card data. We do not carry insurance against the risk of credit card fraud, so our failure to adequately control fraudulent credit card transactions could reduce our net revenues and our gross profit. We may suffer losses as a result of orders placed with fraudulent credit card data even if the associated financial institution approved payment of the orders. Under current credit card practices, we may be liable for fraudulent credit card transactions because we do not obtain a cardholder's signature. If we are unable to detect or control credit card fraud, claims against us for these transactions could harm our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operation. Further, to the extent that our efforts to prevent fraudulent orders result in our inadvertent refusal to fill legitimate orders, we would lose the benefit of legitimate potential sales and risk the alienation of legitimate customers.

Natural disasters and geo-political events could adversely affect our business.

Natural disasters, including hurricanes, cyclones, typhoons, tropical storms, floods, earthquakes and tsunamis, weather conditions, including winter storms, droughts and tornados, whether as a result of climate change or otherwise, and geo-political events, including civil unrest or terrorist attacks, that affect us or our delivery services, suppliers, credit card processors or other service providers could adversely affect our business.

Our insurance coverage and indemnity rights may not adequately protect us against loss.

Although we maintain certain types of insurance, we cannot be certain that the types, coverage, or the amounts of coverage we maintain will be adequate for losses actually incurred, or that the insurance will continue to be available to us on economically reasonable terms. Similarly, although we are indemnified by most of our suppliers and vendors for product liability for products they supply us, and we have indemnification agreements with software and hardware

suppliers for losses we might incur as a result of the use of the technology products they supply, we are not indemnified by all our suppliers, nor can we be certain that our indemnification rights are enforceable or adequate to cover actual losses we may incur as a result of the sale or use of products our indemnitors provide to us. Actual losses for which we are not insured or indemnified, or which exceed our insurance coverage or the capacity of our indemnitors, could harm our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

We face intense competition and may not be able to compete successfully against existing or future competitors.

The online retail market is rapidly evolving and intensely competitive. Barriers to entry are minimal, and current and new competitors can launch new websites at a relatively low cost. We currently compete with numerous competitors, including:

Table of Contents

- liquidation e-tailers such as SmartBargains;

- online retailers with discount departments such as Amazon.com, Inc., eBay, Inc., Rakuten.com, Inc. (formerly Buy.com, Inc.), and AliExpress (part of the Alibaba Group);

- private sale sites such as Rue La La and Gilt Groupe;

- online specialty retailers such as Bluefly, Inc., Blue Nile, Inc., Wayfair, LLC, Zulily, Inc., and Zappos.com.; and

- traditional general merchandise and specialty retailers and liquidators such as Ross Stores, Inc., T.J. Maxx, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Costco Wholesale Corporation, J.C. Penny Company, Inc., Sears Holding Corporation, Target Corporation, Best Buy Co., Inc., Home Depot, Inc. and Barnes and Noble, Inc., all of which also have an online presence.

We expect the online retail market to become even more competitive as traditional liquidators and online retailers continue to develop and improve services that compete with our services. In addition, more traditional manufacturers and retailers may continue to add or improve their e-commerce offerings. Traditional or online retailers may create proprietary, store-based distribution and returns channels. Competitive pressures, including same-day delivery capabilities, from any of our competitors, many of whom have longer operating histories, larger customer bases, greater brand recognition and significantly greater financial, marketing and other resources than we do, could harm our business.

Further, as a strategic response to changes in the competitive environment, we may from time to time make competitive pricing, service, marketing or other decisions that could harm our business. For example, to the extent that we enter new lines of businesses such as third party logistics or discount brick and mortar retail, we would be competing with large established businesses such as APL Logistics and Ross Stores, Inc. We are currently offering insurance products, and as such face competition from large established businesses with substantially more experience than we have. In the past we have entered the online auctions, car listing and real estate listing businesses in which we compete or competed with large established businesses including eBay, Inc., AutoTrader.com, Inc. and Realtor.com. We no longer offer online auctions services or real estate listing services.

Mobile commerce and our Club O offerings are becoming increasingly significant to us.

Mobile commerce and our Club O offerings are becoming increasingly significant to us. Customers who use mobile devices and customers who join Club O may behave differently from our other customers. If our mobile customers or our Club O customers are less profitable to us than our other customers, our business could be harmed.

If one or more states successfully assert that we should collect sales or other taxes on the sale of our merchandise or the merchandise of third parties that we offer for sale on our Website, or that we should pay commercial activity taxes, our business could be harmed.

We do not currently collect sales or other similar taxes on sales of goods into states where we have no duty to do so under federal court decisions construing applicable constitutional law. One or more local, state or foreign jurisdictions may seek to impose sales tax collection obligations on us because we are engaged in online commerce, even though to do so would be contrary to existing court decisions. The future location of our fulfillment or customer service centers networks, or any other operation, service contracts with third parties located in another state, channel distribution arrangements or other agreements with third party sellers, or any act that may be deemed by a state to have established a physical presence in states where we are not now present, may result in additional sales and other tax obligations. New York and other states have passed so-called “Internet affiliate advertising” statutes, which require a remote seller,

with no physical presence in the state, to collect state sales tax if the remote seller contracted for advertising services with an Internet advertiser in that state. In New York and states passing similar laws, we have terminated our use of locally based Internet advertisers. Several other states currently have similar tax proposals under consideration. In a case that went up on appeal, an Illinois state court struck down on constitutional grounds a similar Illinois statute, and the Illinois Supreme Court has upheld that decision. If such laws survive constitutional challenge, we may elect to discontinue in those states valuable marketing through the use of affiliates based in those states, or may begin to collect taxes in those states. In either event, our business could be harmed. Further, our business could be harmed if one or more states or any foreign country successfully asserts that we should collect sales or other taxes on the sale of our merchandise.

Table of Contents

The United States Senate has passed the Marketplace Fairness Act of 2013 (“MFA”) which if also passed by the United States House of Representatives and not vetoed, would permit states which comply with the requirements of the MFA to force remote sellers like us to collect taxes in states where we have no physical presence. If the MFA becomes law our business could be harmed.

Other states have enacted forms of economic taxes to which we may be subject. In September 2009, we received a letter of determination from the Ohio Department of Taxation noting the Department’s determination that we are required to register for remitting of the Commercial Activity Tax, and that we owed \$612,784 in taxes, interest, and penalties as of June 30, 2009 since which time the Ohio Department of Taxation issued additional estimated assessments totaling \$170,322 as of September 30, 2013. After contesting the estimates, we later reached an agreement which required our payment of a diminished amount of the estimated assessments, a reduction of interest and a waiver of penalties. Other businesses have continued in lawsuits contesting the constitutionality of the tax and, if successful, we will reassess our position respecting the Ohio tax. If other states enact and commence enforcement of similar commercial activity tax laws, these could harm our business.

Several other states have enacted laws requiring remote vendors to notify resident purchasers in those states of their obligation to pay a use tax on their purchases and, in some instances, to report untaxed purchases to the state tax authorities. In Colorado, a federal court on constitutional grounds granted a preliminary injunction against the state’s enforcement of its tax-notice and reporting law. Colorado appealed, and the injunction was overturned on jurisdictional grounds. The ruling is being appealed to the United States Supreme Court, and the plaintiff has also commenced an action in Colorado state court, challenging the law. The Colorado state court has issued a preliminary injunction suspending the law’s enforcement on constitutional grounds. In February 2014, another bill was introduced in the Colorado House of Representatives that would require retailers without a physical presence in Colorado to collect and remit state sales taxes if they engage in any activity in connection with the selling, leasing or delivery of tangible personal property or taxable services within the state. Other states have enacted similar legislation and more states may enact these laws. Such laws could harm our business by imposing unreasonable notice burdens upon us, by interposing burdensome transaction notices that negatively affect conversion, or by discouraging customer purchases by requiring detailed purchase reporting.

Economic pressure on states could harm our business.

Economic circumstances affecting many states have increased the pressures on state legislatures and agencies to find ways to increase state revenues. States may continue to increase sales and use tax rates, create new tax laws covering previously untaxed activities, increase existing license fees or create new fees, any or all of which may directly or indirectly harm our business. Similarly, administrative agencies may apply more rigorous enforcement efforts or take aggressive positions respecting the laws they administer, especially if the laws permit the imposition of monetary penalties and fines which either the state or the administrative agency may use to balance their budgets or otherwise fund operations. Any of these activities could directly or indirectly harm our business.

If we do not respond to rapid technological changes, our services could become obsolete, and we could lose customers.

The Internet and the online commerce industry are changing rapidly. To remain competitive, we must continue to enhance and improve the functionality and features of our e-commerce businesses. If we fail to do so, we may lose customers. If competitors introduce new products or services using new technologies or if new industry standards and practices emerge, our Website and our proprietary technology and systems may become obsolete. Our failure to respond to technological change or to adequately maintain, upgrade and develop our computer network and the systems used to process customers’ orders and payments could harm our business.

We have an evolving business model, which increases the complexity of our business.

Our business model has evolved in the past and continues to do so. In prior years we have added additional types of services and product offerings and in some cases we have modified or discontinued those offerings. We may continue to try to offer additional types of products or services, and we cannot offer any assurance that any of them will be successful. From time to time we have also modified aspects of our business model relating to our product mix and the mix of direct/fulfillment partner sourcing of the products we offer. We may continue to modify this aspect of our business as well as other significant aspects of our business. We cannot offer any assurance that these or any other modifications will be successful or will not result in harm to the business. The additions and modifications to our business have increased the complexity of our business and placed significant strain on our management, personnel, operations, systems, technical performance, financial resources, and

Table of Contents

internal financial control and reporting functions. Future additions to or modifications of our business are likely to have similar effects. We may not be able to manage growth effectively, which could damage our reputation, limit our growth and negatively affect our operating results. Further, any new business or website we launch that is not favorably received by consumers could damage our reputation or the Overstock.com brand.

We are attempting to expand our international business, which may cause our business to become increasingly susceptible to numerous international business risks and challenges that could affect our profitability.

We sell products in international markets, and are attempting to expand into these markets more aggressively. International sales and transactions are subject to inherent risks and challenges that could adversely affect our profitability, including:

- the need to develop new supplier and manufacturer relationships;

the need to comply with additional U.S. and foreign laws and regulations to the extent applicable, including but not limited to, restrictions on advertising practices, regulations governing online services, restrictions on importation of specified or proscribed items, importation quotas, consumer protection laws, laws regarding intellectual property rights, laws dealing with consumer and data protection, privacy, encryption, and restrictions on pricing or discounts;

- changes in international laws, regulatory requirements, taxes and tariffs; and

- geopolitical events, such as war and terrorist attacks.

To the extent we generate international sales transactions in the future, any negative impact on our international operations could negatively impact our business. To date, most of our international sales have been denominated in U.S. dollars, and we have not had significant foreign currency risk on those sales. However, in the future, gains and losses on the conversion of foreign payments into U. S. dollars may contribute to fluctuations in our results of operations and fluctuating exchange rates could cause reduced gross revenues and/or gross profit percentages from non-dollar-denominated international sales. Additionally, penalties for non-compliance with laws applicable to international business and trade, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, could negatively impact our business.

Our foreign brand domain name may cause confusion.

In 2010, we undertook an effort to associate our brand globally with the domain address: www.O.co. We did this in part because in many foreign markets the word “Overstock” lacked a good foreign cognate. Following a period of testing for the O.co brand and domain address, we returned to the Overstock.com name as our primary brand domestically because domestic consumer acceptance did not occur as quickly as we had hoped. While we have returned domestically to the Overstock.com brand and principal domain address, we continue to use the O.co address and brand outside of the United States. There is no assurance that the use of Overstock.com or O.co will gain acceptance or have success in foreign markets.

We are considering purchasing land and building a facility to serve as our future headquarters, and expect to incur risks, expense and debt in connection with the project.

In the second quarter of 2014, our board of directors authorized us to pursue due diligence, finalize terms and enter into an agreement to purchase land in connection with our possible construction of our future headquarters. While we have reached an agreement in principle to purchase the land for the new headquarters, we have not yet entered into a definitive agreement for the acquisition or for the construction of the facility. If we proceed to develop a facility for our new headquarters, we will incur the risks and expense of the project and will need to obtain additional financing.

We may encounter unanticipated developments affecting our estimates regarding the expense of the project. We may also encounter unanticipated delays in the negotiation of definitive agreements and/or the construction of the new facility. If we purchase real estate, we will be subject to the risks of owning real estate, including the risks of environmental liabilities and the requirements for compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, ordinances and other requirements. We also face the risk that we may not accurately forecast the amount of space we will need in the future.

Table of Contents

We may incur substantial indebtedness.

At June 30, 2014, we had no indebtedness for borrowed money, and our only credit facility was a \$3 million facility for the issuance of letters of credit. However, in the future we may incur substantial indebtedness, whether in connection with the possible construction of our future headquarters or otherwise. Any such indebtedness would increase our business risks substantially, including our vulnerability to industry downturns and competitive pressures. Further, financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all. Any such indebtedness would increase our business risks substantially, including our vulnerability to industry downturns and competitive pressures. Further, financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

Existing or future government regulation could harm our business.

We are subject to regulation at the federal, state and international levels, including regulation relating to privacy, security, retention, transfer and use of personal user information and telemarketing laws. Increasing regulation, along with increased governmental or private enforcement, may increase the cost of our business. Compliance with existing and new privacy and security laws may be difficult and costly and may further restrict our ability to collect demographic and personal information from users, which could harm our marketing efforts, and could require us to implement new and potentially costly processes, procedures and/or protective measures. The expansion of these and other laws, both in terms of their number and their applicability to the Internet could also harm our business. Many laws, adopted prior to the advent of the Internet, do not contemplate or address the unique issues raised thereby. Consequently, courts or regulators may apply these laws to Internet commerce in ways that may present difficult or impossible compliance challenges. Laws that do reference the Internet generally remain subject to interpretation by the courts and their applicability and reach are therefore not always clear. Moreover, Internet advances and innovations may result in new questions about the applicability and reach of these laws. Additionally, laws governing the permissible contents of products may adversely affect us, and we are subject to federal and state consumer laws, including those governing advertising, product labeling, product content requirements and product safety. The laws may cause us to incur losses for any non-compliant items in our inventory, or which we may previously have sold. We may be subject to claims related to personal injury, death, environmental or property damage. We may from time to time be required to participate in product recalls. We may incur expense in connection with any of the foregoing or other matters or actions which may not be covered, in whole, in part or at all, by our liability insurance. These current and future laws and regulations could harm our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operation.

Economic factors, including our increasing exposure to the U.S. housing industry, may adversely affect our financial performance.

Economic conditions may adversely affect our financial performance. In the United States, weakness in the housing market, changes in interest rates, changes in fuel and other energy costs, inflation or deflation or expectations of either inflation or deflation, actual or anticipated levels of unemployment, unavailability or limitations of consumer credit, higher consumer debt levels or efforts by consumers to reduce debt levels, higher tax rates and other changes in tax laws, overall economic slowdown, changes in consumer desires affecting demand for the products and services we sell and other economic factors could adversely affect consumer demand for the products and services we sell. Any of these factors may change the mix of products we sell to a mix with a lower average gross margin and/or result in slower inventory turnover and/or greater markdowns on inventory. Higher interest rates, transportation costs, inflation, higher costs of labor, insurance and healthcare, foreign exchange rates fluctuations, higher tax rates and other changes in tax laws, changes in other laws and regulations and other economic factors in the United States may increase our cost of sales and operating, may increase our selling, general and administrative expenses, and may otherwise adversely affect our operations and operating results. These factors may affect not only our operations, but also the operations of suppliers from whom we purchase goods, which may also result in an increase in the cost to us of the goods and services we sell.

Over the last few years the percentage of our sales from home and garden products has increased substantially. We believe that our sales of home and garden products are affected by the strength of the U.S. housing industry, and that our business may be adversely affected by downturns in the U.S. housing industry.

Decreases in discretionary consumer spending may have an adverse effect on us.

A substantial portion of the products and services we offer are products or services that consumers may view as discretionary items rather than necessities. As a result, our results of operations are sensitive to changes in macro-economic conditions that impact consumer spending, including discretionary spending. Difficult macro-economic conditions, particularly

Table of Contents

high levels of unemployment, also impact our customers' ability to obtain consumer credit. Other factors, including consumer confidence, employment levels, interest rates, tax rates, consumer debt levels, and fuel and energy costs could reduce consumer spending or change consumer purchasing habits. Slowdowns in the U.S. or global economy, or an uncertain economic outlook, could materially adversely affect consumer spending habits and our operating results.

We have reversed the valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets, and we may not be able to realize these assets in the future. Our deferred tax assets may also be subject to additional valuation allowances, which could adversely affect our operating results.

From our inception to December 31, 2013, we established a valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets, primarily due to realized losses and uncertainty regarding our future taxable income. Determining whether a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is appropriate requires significant judgment and an evaluation of all positive and negative evidence. At each reporting period, we assess the need for, or the sufficiency of, a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets. At December 31, 2013, based on the weight of all the positive and negative evidence, we concluded that it was more likely than not that we will realize our net deferred tax assets based upon future taxable income. Therefore we reversed the valuation allowance at December 31, 2013.

Our conclusion at December 31, 2013 that it is more likely than not that we will realize our net deferred tax assets was based primarily on our estimate of future taxable income. Our estimate of future taxable income is based on internal projections which primarily consider historical performance, but also include various internal estimates and assumptions as well as certain external data. We believe all of these inputs to be reasonable, although inherently subject to significant judgment. If actual results differ significantly from these estimates of future taxable income, a valuation allowance may need to be reestablished for some or all of our deferred tax assets. Establishing an allowance on our net deferred tax assets could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

Our income tax provisions and the amounts we reserve for tax contingencies are estimates and are subject to variations and adjustments. The amounts we ultimately pay may exceed the amounts estimated or accrued.

Our quarterly tax provision, and our quarterly estimate of our annual effective tax rate, is subject to significant variation due to several factors, including variability in accurately predicting our pre-tax and taxable income and loss and the mix of jurisdictions to which they relate, changes in how we do business, changes in law, regulations, and administrative practices, and relative changes of expenses or losses for which tax benefits are not recognized. Additionally, our effective tax rate can be more or less volatile based on the amount of pre-tax income. For example, the impact of discrete items and non-deductible expenses on our effective tax rate is greater when our pre-tax income is relatively low.

Changes in state, federal, and foreign tax laws may increase our tax contingencies. The timing of the resolution of income tax examinations is highly uncertain, and the amounts ultimately paid, if any, upon resolution of the issues raised by the taxing authorities may differ from the amounts accrued. It is reasonably possible that within the next 12 months we will receive additional assessments by various tax authorities or possibly reach resolution of income tax examinations in one or more jurisdictions. These assessments or settlements may result in changes to our contingencies related to positions on prior years' tax filings. The volatility of our quarterly tax provision or the resolution of matters related to our tax contingencies could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

We may need to implement additional finance and accounting systems, procedures and controls as we grow our business and organization and to satisfy new reporting requirements.

We are required to comply with a variety of reporting, accounting and other rules and regulations. Compliance with existing requirements is expensive. Further requirements may increase our costs and require additional management

time and resources. We may need to implement additional finance and accounting systems, procedures and controls to satisfy our reporting requirements. If our internal control over financial reporting is determined to be ineffective, such failure could cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, negatively affect the market price of our common stock, subject us to regulatory investigations and penalties, and adversely impact our business and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Changes in accounting standards and subjective assumptions, estimates and judgments by management related to complex accounting matters could significantly affect our financial results.

Generally accepted accounting principles and related accounting pronouncements, implementation guidelines and interpretations with regard to a wide range of matters that are relevant to our business, including but not limited to revenue recognition, estimating valuation allowances and accrued liabilities (including allowances for returns, credit card chargebacks, doubtful accounts and obsolete and damaged inventory), internal use software and website development (acquired and developed internally), accounting for income taxes, valuation of long-lived and intangible assets and goodwill, stock-based compensation and loss contingencies, are highly complex and involve many subjective assumptions, estimates and judgments by our management. Changes in these rules or their interpretation or changes in underlying assumptions, estimates or judgments by our management could significantly change our reported or expected financial performance.

We face risks relating to our inventory.

In our direct business, we sell merchandise that we have purchased and hold in inventory. We assume the risks of inventory damage, theft and obsolescence, as well as risks of price erosion for these products. These risks are especially significant because some of the merchandise we sell is characterized by seasonal trends, fashion trends, rapid technological change, obsolescence and price erosion, and because we sometimes make large purchases of particular types of inventory. Subject to our returns policies, we accept returns of products sold through our fulfillment partners and we have the risk of reselling the returned products. In the past we have recorded charges for obsolete inventory and have had to sell certain merchandise at a discount or loss. To the extent that we rely on purchased inventory, our success will depend on our ability to sell our inventory rapidly, the ability of our buying staff to purchase inventory at attractive prices relative to its resale value and our ability to manage customer returns and other costs. If we are unsuccessful in any of these areas, we may be forced to sell our inventory at a discount or loss. Further, we purchase some of our inventory from foreign suppliers and pay for inventory with U.S. dollars. If the dollar weakens with respect to foreign currencies, foreign suppliers may require us to pay higher prices for products, which could negatively affect our profit margins.

If we do not successfully optimize and operate our warehouse and customer service operations, our business could be harmed.

We have expanded, contracted and otherwise modified our warehouse and customer service operations from time to time in the past, and expect that we will continue to do so. We also contract with those operating other warehouses to receive returns and process orders. If we or our third party providers do not successfully optimize and operate our warehouse and customer service operations, it could significantly limit our ability to meet customer demand, customer shipping or return time expectations, or result in excessive costs and expenses for the size of our business. Because it is difficult to predict demand, we may not manage our facilities in an optimal way, which may result in excess or insufficient inventory or warehousing capacity. We may also fail to staff our fulfillment and customer service centers at optimal levels. Our failure to do so could negatively impact our operating results and customer experience.

Our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments are subject to a risk of loss based upon the solvency of the financial institutions in which they are maintained.

We maintain the majority of our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments in accounts with a small number of major financial institutions within the United States, in the form of demand deposits, money market accounts, time deposits, U.S. Treasury Bills and other short-term investments. Our deposits in these institutions are generally substantially in excess of the amounts of insurance provided by the FDIC, and some deposits may not be covered by insurance at all. If any of these institutions were to become insolvent or subject to regulatory action, we could lose

some, or all, of such deposits, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

Our decision to accept and hold digital currency, such as bitcoins, may subject us to exchange risk and additional tax and regulatory requirements.

In January 2014, we began accepting bitcoins as a form of payment for purchases on our website. Bitcoin is a digital currency that uses cryptography to control the creation and transfer of the currency between individual parties. Bitcoin is not considered legal tender or backed by any government. Since inception in 2009, bitcoins have experienced price volatility, technological glitches and various law enforcement and regulatory interventions. At present we do not accept bitcoin payments

Table of Contents

directly, but use a third party vendor to accept bitcoin payments on our behalf. That third party vendor then immediately converts the bitcoin payments into U.S. dollars so that we receive payment for the product sold at the sales price in U.S. dollars.

We have also begun accumulating bitcoin in an amount of approximately 10% of the amount of our bitcoin-denominated sales as well as other digital currency. Consequently, we have exchange rate risk on the amounts we hold as well as the risks that regulatory or other developments may adversely affect the value of the digital currency we hold. In the future, we may transact in digital currency directly or increase our digital currency holdings. This will subject us to additional exchange risk and other risks as described above, which may have an adverse effect on our results. There is also uncertainty regarding the current and future accounting treatment and tax, legal, and regulatory requirements relating to digital currency or transactions utilizing digital currency. Such accounting, legal, regulatory, and tax developments or other requirements may adversely affect us.

We may be adversely affected by fluctuations in precious metal prices.

At June 30, 2014 our investment in precious metals was \$9.7 million. Our financial results may be adversely affected by declines in the price of precious metals. The prices of precious metals may fluctuate widely in the future and are affected by numerous factors beyond our control such as interest rates, exchange rates, inflation or deflation, fluctuation in the value of the United States dollar and foreign currencies, global and regional supply and demand, and the political and economic conditions of mineral producing countries throughout the world. Our investment consists of actual precious metals, rather than financial instruments. We store our precious metals off-site in a third party facility. Consequently, we are subject to the risks of physical storage with a third party that we do not control.

We have a history of significant losses. If we do not maintain profitability, our financial condition and our stock price could suffer.

We have a history of losses, and we may incur operating and net losses in the foreseeable future. At June 30, 2014, our accumulated deficit was \$152.7 million. We need to generate significant revenues to maintain profitability, and we may not be able to do so. Although we had net income of \$5.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and \$16.3 million in fiscal 2013 (net of taxes which includes the release of our valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets), we incurred a net loss of \$19.4 million in 2011. We may be unable to maintain profitability in the future. If our revenues grow more slowly than we anticipate or decline, or if our expenses exceed our expectations, our financial results would be harmed and our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations could fall below the expectations of public market analysts and investors.

If we fail to accurately forecast our expenses and revenues, our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations may suffer and the price of our securities may decline.

The rapidly evolving nature of our industry and the constantly evolving nature of our business make forecasting operating results difficult. Since 2005, we have completed several large, complex and expensive infrastructure upgrades in order to increase our ability to handle larger volumes of sales and to develop or increase our ability to perform a variety of analytical procedures relating to our business. We are continuing to upgrade and further expand these and other components of our infrastructure. We are also considering purchasing land and constructing a facility to serve as our corporate headquarters. In the past, we have experienced difficulties with upgrades of our infrastructure, and have incurred increased expenses as a result of these difficulties. As a result of expenditures on our infrastructure and potential expenditures on our headquarters, our ability to reduce spending is and will be limited. Therefore, any significant shortfall in the revenues for which we have built and are continuing to build our business would likely harm our business.

The seasonality of our business places increased strain on our operations.

A disproportionate amount of our sales normally occur during our fourth quarter. If we do not stock or are otherwise unable to source products sufficient to meet customer demand, our business would be adversely affected. If we liquidate products, as we have in the past, we may be required to take significant inventory markdowns or write-offs, which could reduce gross profits. We may experience an increase in our net shipping cost due to complimentary upgrades, split-shipments, and additional long-zone shipments necessary to ensure timely delivery for the holiday season. If too many customers access our Website within a short period of time due to increased holiday demand, we may experience system interruptions that make our Website unavailable or prevent us from efficiently fulfilling orders, which may reduce the volume of goods we sell and the attractiveness of our products and services. In addition, we may be unable to adequately staff our fulfillment and customer

Table of Contents

service centers during peak periods, and delivery services and other fulfillment companies and customer service providers may be unable to meet the seasonal demand.

Significant merchandise returns could harm our business.

We allow our customers to return products, subject to our returns policies. If merchandise returns are higher than we expect, our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations could be harmed. Further, we modify our policies relating to returns from time to time, and policies intended to reduce the number of product returns may result in customer dissatisfaction and/or fewer repeat customers.

Our pricing strategy may not meet customers' price expectations or result in net income.

Demand for our products is generally highly sensitive to price. Our pricing strategies have had, and may continue to have, a significant impact on our net sales and net income. We often offer discounted prices, and free or discounted shipping as a means of attracting customers and encouraging repeat purchases. Such offers and discounts reduce our margins. In addition, our competitors' pricing and marketing strategies are beyond our control and can significantly affect the results of our pricing strategies. If we fail to meet our customers' price expectations, or if we are unable to compete effectively with our competitors when they engage in aggressive pricing strategies or other competitive activities, our business would suffer.

If the products that we offer on our Website do not reflect our customers' tastes and preferences, our sales and profit margins would decrease.

Our success depends in part on our ability to offer products that reflect consumers' tastes and preferences. Consumers' tastes are subject to frequent, significant and sometimes unpredictable changes. Because some of the products that we sell consist of manufacturers' and retailers' excess inventory, we have limited control over some of the products that we are able to offer for sale. If our merchandise fails to satisfy customers' tastes or respond to changes in customer preferences, our sales could suffer and we could be required to mark down unsold inventory, as we have in the past, which would depress our profit margins. In addition, any failure to offer products in line with customers' preferences could allow our competitors to gain market share. This could have an adverse effect on our business.

The loss of key personnel or any inability to attract and retain additional personnel could affect our ability to successfully grow our business.

Our performance is substantially dependent on the continued services and on the performance of our senior management and other key personnel. Our performance also depends on our ability to retain and motivate our officers and key employees. The loss of the services of any of our executive officers or other key employees for any reason could harm our business. Occasionally, members of senior management or key employees may find it necessary to take a leave of absence due to medical or other causes. In early 2013 our Chief Executive Officer and then Chairman of the Board, Dr. Patrick M. Byrne, took a two-month personal leave of absence for medical reasons. Leaves of absence for temporary or extended periods may harm our business. We do not have employment agreements with any of our key personnel and we do not maintain "key person" life insurance policies. Our future success also depends on our ability to identify, attract, hire, train, retain and motivate other highly-skilled technical, managerial, editorial, merchandising, marketing and customer service personnel. Competition for such personnel is intense. Our failure to retain and attract the necessary technical, managerial, editorial, merchandising, marketing, and customer service personnel could harm our business.

In order to obtain future revenue growth and sustain profitability, we will have to attract and retain customers on cost-effective terms.

Our success depends on our ability to attract and retain customers on cost-effective terms. We have relationships with online services, search engines, affiliate marketing websites, directories and other website and e-commerce businesses to provide content, advertising banners and other links that direct customers to our Website. We rely on these relationships as significant sources of traffic to our Website and to generate new customers. In the past we have terminated affiliate marketing websites as a result of efforts by certain states to require us to collect sales taxes based on the presence of those third party Internet advertising affiliates in those states, and we are likely to do so again in the future if necessary. If we are unable to develop or maintain these relationships, or develop and maintain new relationships for newly developed and necessary marketing services on acceptable terms, our ability to attract new customers and our financial condition would suffer. In addition, certain of our online marketing agreements may require us to pay upfront fees and make other payments prior to the

Table of Contents

realization of the sales, if any, associated with those payments. Current or future relationships or agreements may fail to produce the sales that we anticipate. We periodically conduct national television and radio branding and advertising campaigns. Such campaigns are expensive and may not result in the cost-effective acquisition of customers. Other means of utilizing social media campaigns to attract or retain customers are expensive and may not result in cost-effective acquisition or retention of customers.

We may be unable to protect our proprietary technology or keep up with that of our competitors.

Our success depends to a significant degree upon the protection of our software and other proprietary intellectual property rights. We may be unable to deter misappropriation of our proprietary information, detect unauthorized use or take appropriate steps to enforce our intellectual property rights. In addition, our competitors may now have or may in the future develop technologies that are as good as or better than our technology without violating our proprietary rights. Our failure to protect our software and other proprietary intellectual property rights or to utilize technologies that are as good as our competitors' could put us at a disadvantage to our competitors. In addition, the failure of the third parties whose products we offer for sale on our Website to protect their intellectual property rights, including their domain names, could impair our operations. These failures could harm our business.

We may not be able to obtain trademark protection for our marks, which could impede our efforts to build brand identity.

We have filed trademark applications with the Patent and Trademark Office seeking registration of certain service marks and trademarks. There can be no assurance that our applications will be successful or that we will be able to secure significant protection for our service marks or trademarks in the United States or elsewhere as we expand internationally. Our competitors or others could adopt product or service marks similar to our marks, or try to prevent us from using our marks, thereby impeding our ability to build brand identity and possibly leading to customer confusion. Any claim by another party against us or customer confusion related to our trademarks, or our failure to obtain trademark registration, could harm our business.

We may not be able to enforce protection of our intellectual property rights under the laws of other countries.

We sell products internationally and consequently we are subject to risks of doing business internationally as related to our intellectual property, including:

- legal uncertainty regarding liability for the listings and other content provided by our users, including uncertainty as a result of less Internet-friendly legal systems, unique local laws, and lack of clear precedent or applicable law; and
- differing intellectual property laws, which may provide insufficient protection for our intellectual property.

We may be accused of infringing intellectual property rights of third parties.

Other parties have claimed and may claim that we infringe their intellectual property rights. We have been and are subject to, and expect to continue to be subject to, legal claims of alleged infringement of the intellectual property rights of third parties. The ready availability of damages, royalties and the potential for injunctive relief has increased the defense litigation costs of patent infringement claims, especially those asserted by third parties whose sole or primary business is to assert such claims. Such claims, even if not meritorious, may result in significant expenditure of financial and managerial resources, and the payment of damages or settlement amounts. Additionally, we may become subject to injunctions prohibiting us from using software or business processes we currently use or may need to use in the future, or requiring us to obtain licenses from third parties when such licenses may not be available on financially feasible terms or terms acceptable to us or at all. In addition, we may not be able to obtain on favorable terms, or at

all, licenses or other rights with respect to intellectual property we do not own in providing e-commerce services to other businesses and individuals under commercial agreements.

Our business and reputation may be harmed by the offering or sale of pirated, counterfeit or illegal items by third parties, and by intellectual property litigation.

We have received in the past, and we anticipate we will receive in the future, communications alleging that items offered or sold through our Website infringe third party copyrights, trademarks and trade names or other intellectual property rights or that we have otherwise infringed third parties' past, current or future intellectual property rights. We may be unable to

Table of Contents

prevent third parties from offering and selling unlawful goods, and we may be subject to allegations of civil or criminal liability for unlawful activities carried out by third parties through our Website. We may implement measures in an effort to protect against these potential liabilities that could require us to spend substantial resources and/or to reduce revenues by discontinuing certain service offerings. Any costs incurred as a result of liability or asserted liability relating to the sale of unlawful goods or the unlawful sale of goods could harm our business. Resolving litigation or claims regarding patents or other intellectual property, whether meritorious or not, could be costly, time-consuming, cause service delays, divert our management and key personnel from our business operations, require expensive or unwanted changes in our methods of doing business or require us to enter into costly royalty or licensing agreements, if available. As a result, these claims could harm our business. Negative publicity generated as a result of the foregoing could damage our reputation, harm our business and diminish the value of our brand name.

Use of social media may adversely impact our reputation.

There has been a marked increase in use of social media platforms and similar devices, including weblogs (blogs), social media websites, and other forms of Internet-based communications which allow individual access to a broad audience of consumers and other interested persons. Consumers value readily available information concerning retailers, manufacturers, and their goods and services and often act on such information without further investigation, authentication and without regard to its accuracy. The availability of information on social media platforms and devices is virtually immediate as is its impact. Social media platforms and devices immediately publish the content their subscribers and participants post, often without filters or checks on accuracy of the content posted. The opportunity for dissemination of information, including inaccurate information, is virtually limitless. Information concerning or affecting us may be posted on such platforms and devices at any time. Information posted may be inaccurate and adverse to us, and it may harm our business. The harm may be immediate without affording us an opportunity for redress or correction. Such platforms also could be used for the dissemination of trade secret information or compromise of other valuable company assets, any of which could harm our business.

Our car listing service may be subject to a variety of regulatory requirements and risks.

Many states and other jurisdictions, including Utah, where we are located, have regulations governing the conduct of car sellers and public advertisement for car sales. Generally, these regulations govern the conduct of those sellers advertising their automobiles for sale and are not directly applicable to those providing the medium through which the advertisement is made available to the public. Sellers are often subject to regulations in the nature of “truth in advertising laws.” We have no ability to know whether the information sellers provide is correct. While our site terms and conditions of usage prohibit unlawful acts, we cannot assure that sellers will comply with all laws and regulations applicable to them and their transactions. The application of these regulations to online car listing service providers is not clear. Although we do not expect these laws to have a significant effect on our listing service, we will incur costs in complying with these laws, and we may from time to time be required to make changes in our service that may increase our costs, reduce our revenues, cause us to prohibit certain listing or advertising practices, or make other changes that may adversely affect our car listing service. Further, like our shopping business, our car listing service is subject to most of the same laws and regulations that apply to other companies conducting business on and off the Internet. To the extent that current or future laws or regulations prevent users from selling items on our car listing site, they could harm our business. In addition, any negative publicity we receive regarding any allegations of unlawful or deceptive conduct may damage our reputation, our ability to attract new customers to our main shopping site, and the Overstock.com brand name generally.

We are involved in substantial litigation.

From time to time we receive claims of and become subject to consumer protection, employment, intellectual property and other commercial litigation related to the conduct and operation of our business and the sale of products on our

Website. In connection with such litigation, we may be subject to significant damages or equitable remedies. In addition, we have in the past been, are now, and in the future may be, involved in substantial litigation in which we are the plaintiff, including litigation regarding the constitutionality of certain state tax laws, and the prime broker litigation described below. Any of such litigation, whether as plaintiff or defendant, could be costly and time consuming and could divert management and key personnel from our regular business operations. We do not currently believe that any of our outstanding litigation will have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition or results of operations. However, due to the uncertainty of litigation and depending on the amount and the timing, an unfavorable resolution of some or all of these matters could materially affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

California District Attorneys have obtained a judgment against us for alleged violations of California law.

In November 2010, District Attorneys for the California Counties of Alameda, Marin, Monterey, Napa, Santa Clara, Shasta and Sonoma filed a lawsuit seeking damages and an injunction, alleging violations of California consumer protection laws, alleging we made untrue or misleading statements concerning our pricing, price reductions, sources of products and shipping charges. The complaint asked for damages in the amount of not less than \$15 million. We disputed the allegations and tried the case to the Judge of the court in September 2013. On January 3, 2014, the court issued a tentative ruling in favor of the District Attorneys, which became a final Statement of Decision on February 5, 2014. The decision provides for an injunction that prescribes disclosures necessary for certain types of price advertising and price reductions and imposes civil penalties of \$3,500 per day for practices from March 2006 through September 2008, and \$2,000 per day for September 2008 through September 2013, totaling \$6.8 million. The court issued a Final Judgment February 19, 2014 reflecting the Court's Statement of Decision. We have stipulated to Plaintiff's reimbursement of costs in the amount of \$111,500. We have appealed the decision and have secured a bond as required in the ruling in the amount of 150% of the penalty imposed in the matter until the ruling on the appeal. An unfavorable resolution of this matter on appeal could materially affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Our prime broker litigation may have an adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

We remain involved in substantial litigation against Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Goldman Sachs & Co., Goldman Sachs Execution & Clearing L.P., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., and Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corporation, and the use of management's time and attention in connection with the litigation and related matters may reduce the time management is able to spend on other aspects of our business, which may have adverse effects on other aspects of our business. To the extent that any such adverse effects exceed any benefits we may realize from the litigation, it could harm our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operation.

Public statements we or our Chief Executive Officer, Patrick M. Byrne, have made or may make in the future may antagonize regulatory officials or others.

We and our Chief Executive Officer, Patrick M. Byrne, have from time to time made public statements regarding our or his beliefs about matters of public interest, including statements regarding naked short selling and regulatory capture. Some of those public statements have been critical of the Securities and Exchange Commission and other regulatory agencies. These public statements may have consequences for us, whether as a result of increased regulatory scrutiny or otherwise.

The price of our securities may be volatile and you may lose all or a part of your investment.

The market price of our common stock historically has been subject to significant fluctuations. These fluctuations could continue. It is possible that in future periods our results of operations may be below the expectations of public market analysts and investors. If this occurs, the market price of our securities may decline.

Our quarterly operating results are volatile and may adversely affect the market price of our securities.

Our future revenues and operating results have varied in the past and may continue to vary significantly from quarter to quarter due to a number of factors, many of which are outside our control, and any of which could harm our business. As a result, we believe that quarterly comparisons of our operating results are not necessarily meaningful and that you should not rely on the results of one quarter as an indication of our future performance. In addition to the other risk factors described in this report, additional factors that have caused and/or could cause our quarterly operating results to fluctuate and in turn affect the market price of our securities include:

- increases in the cost of advertising and changes in our sales and marketing expenditures;
- our inability to retain existing customers or encourage repeat purchases;
- the extent to which our existing and future marketing campaigns are successful;
- price competition that results in lower profit margins or losses;

Table of Contents

•the amount and timing of operating costs and capital expenditures relating to the expansion of our business operations and infrastructure;

•the amount and timing of our purchases of inventory;

•our inability to manage distribution operations or provide adequate levels of customer service;

•increases in the cost of fuel and transportation;

•our ability to successfully integrate operations and technologies from acquisitions or other business combinations;

•our efforts to offer new lines of products and services; and

•our ability to attract users to our shopping and other sites.

Our operating results may fluctuate depending on the season, and such fluctuations may affect the market price of our securities.

We have experienced and expect to continue to experience fluctuations in our operating results because of seasonal fluctuations in traditional retail patterns. Sales in the retail and wholesale industry tend to be significantly higher in the fourth calendar quarter of each year than in the preceding three quarters due primarily to increased shopping activity during the holiday season. However, there can be no assurance that our sales in the fourth quarter will exceed those of the preceding quarters or, if the fourth quarter sales do exceed those of the preceding quarters, that we will be able to manage the increased sales effectively. Further, we generally increase our inventories substantially in anticipation of holiday season shopping activity, which has a negative effect on our cash flow. Securities analysts and investors may inaccurately estimate the effects of seasonality on our results of operations in one or more future quarters and, consequently, our operating results may fall below expectations, causing the market price of our securities to decline.

Sales by our significant stockholders could have an adverse effect on the market price of our stock.

Several of our stockholders own significant portions of our common stock. If one or more of our stockholders were to sell all or a portion of their holdings of our common stock, the market price of our common stock could be negatively impacted. The effect of such sales, or of significant portions of our stock being offered or made available for sale, could result in strong downward pressure on our stock price. Investors should be aware that they could experience significant short-term volatility in our stock if any one or more of such stockholders decide to sell all or a portion of their holdings of our common stock at once or within a short period of time. In addition, the transfer of ownership of a significant portion of our outstanding shares within a three year period could adversely affect our ability to use our net operating losses to offset future taxable net income.

We do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock and you may lose the entire amount of your investment in our common stock.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. We intend to invest our future earnings, if any, to fund our growth. Therefore, you will not receive any funds without selling your shares. We cannot assure that you will receive a positive return on your investment when you sell your shares or that you will not lose the entire amount of your investment.

Provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws and Delaware law might discourage, delay or prevent a change of control of our company or changes in our management.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain provisions that could discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company or changes in our management that the stockholders of our company may deem advantageous. These provisions among other things:

• permit the board of directors to establish the number of directors;

• provide that only one-third of our board of directors is elected at each of our annual meetings of stockholders;

Table of Contents

provide that directors may only be removed “for cause;”

authorize the issuance of “blank check” preferred stock that our board could use to implement a stockholder rights plan (also known as a “poison pill”);

eliminate the ability of our stockholders to call special meetings of stockholders;

prohibit stockholder action by written consent, which requires all stockholder actions to be taken at a meeting of our stockholders;

provide that the board of directors is expressly authorized to make, alter or repeal our bylaws; and

establish advance notice requirements for nominations for election to our board or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by stockholders at annual stockholder meetings.

In addition, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law may discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company. Section 203 imposes certain restrictions on merger, business combinations and other transactions between us and holders of 15 percent or more of our common stock.

The price of our stock may be vulnerable to manipulation.

We filed an unfair business practice lawsuit against Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Goldman Sachs & Co., Bear Stearns Companies, Inc., Bank of America Securities LLC, Bank of New York, Citigroup Inc., Credit Suisse (USA) Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., and UBS Financial Services, Inc., and settled the case with respect to all defendants except Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Goldman Sachs & Co., Goldman Sachs Execution & Clearing L.P.; Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., and Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corporation. In January 2012, the trial court granted the remaining defendants’ motion for summary judgment. We have appealed the ruling.

We believe these remaining defendants engaged in unlawful actions and have caused substantial harm to Overstock, and that certain of the defendants have made efforts to drive the market price of Overstock’s common stock down. To the extent that the defendants or other persons engage in any such actions or take any other actions to interfere with or destroy or harm Overstock’s existing and/or prospective business relationships with its suppliers, bankers, customers, lenders, investors, prospective investors or others, our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operation could be harmed, and the price of our common stock may be more volatile than it might otherwise be and/or may trade at prices below those that might prevail in the absence of any such efforts. The practice of “abusive naked short selling” continues to place our stock at risk for manipulative attacks by large investment pools and prime brokers.

Abusive naked short selling is the practice by which short sellers place large short sell orders for shares without first borrowing the shares to be sold, or without having first adequately located such shares and arranged for a firm contract to borrow such shares prior to the delivery date set to close the sale. While selling broker dealers are by rule required to deliver shares to close a transaction by a certain date, and while purchasing broker-dealers are obligated by rule to purchase the sold quantity of shares when they are not delivered to close the sale, these rules are often ignored. Abusive naked short selling has a depressive effect on share prices when it is allowed to persist because the economic effect of abusive naked short selling is the oversupply of counterfeit stock to the market. We believe the regulations designed to address this abusive practice are both inadequately structured and inadequately enforced. Consequently, we believe that without the enactment of adequate regulations and the enforcement necessary to curb these abuses, the manipulations achieved through abusive naked short selling are likely to continue. We believe that our stock has been

subject to these abusive practices by those attempting to manipulate its price downward. To the extent that our stock is subject to these practices in the future, our stock may be more volatile than it might otherwise be and/or may trade at prices below those that might prevail in the absence of such abuses.

In the past, our stock has consistently been on the Regulation SHO threshold list.

Regulation SHO requires the stock exchanges to publish daily a list of companies whose stock has failures-to-deliver above a certain threshold. It also requires mandatory close-outs for open fail-to-deliver positions in threshold securities persisting for over 13 days, with the aim that no security would appear on the threshold for any extended period. Despite that aim, our common stock has frequently appeared on the Regulation SHO threshold list for extended and continuous periods and,

Table of Contents

while we do not currently appear on the Regulation SHO threshold list, in the past our stock has been on the list for more trading days than any other company.

Any investment in our securities involves a high degree of risk. Investors should consider carefully the risks and uncertainties described above, and all other information in this Form 10-Q and in any reports we file with the SEC after we file this Form 10-Q, before deciding whether to purchase or hold our securities. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also become important factors that may harm our business. The occurrence of any of the risks described in this Form 10-Q could harm our business. The trading price of our securities could decline due to any of these risks and uncertainties, and investors may lose part or all of their investment.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a)	Exhibits	
3.1		Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended.
		Purchase and Sale Agreement dated May 5, 2014 between O.Com Land LLC, a Utah
		limited liability company and wholly owned subsidiary of Overstock.com, Inc., and Gardner
10.1		Bingham Junction Holdings, L.C., a Utah limited liability company, and Arbor Bingham
		Junction Holdings, L.C., a Utah limited liability company (incorporated by reference to
		exhibit 10.1 to our Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2014 (File No. 000-49799).
		Project Management Agreement dated May 5, 2014 between O.Com Land LLC, a Utah
		limited liability company and wholly owned subsidiary of Overstock.com, Inc., and Gardner
10.2		CMS, L.C., a Utah limited liability company (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.2 to
		our Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2014 (File No. 000-49799).
31.1		Exhibit 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2		Exhibit 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1		Exhibit 32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
32.2		Exhibit 32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
		The following financial information from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the
		second quarter of 2014, filed with the SEC on July 29, 2014, formatted in Extensible
		Business Reporting Language ("XBRL"): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets,
101		(ii) Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income (iii) Consolidated
		Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, and
		(v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.(1)

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration (1) statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: July 29, 2014

OVERSTOCK.COM, INC.

/s/ ROBERT P. HUGHES

Robert P. Hughes

Senior Vice President, Finance and Risk Management