

OM GROUP INC
Form DEFA14A
April 25, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

OM GROUP, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: OM GROUP INC - Form DEFA14A

- 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

- 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

- 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

- 5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- 1) Amount Previously Paid:

- 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

- 3) Filing Party:

- 4) Date Filed:

On April 24, 2011, the Company sent the following form of email communication to certain of its stockholders:

Form of Cover Email Communication to Certain Stockholders

On April 21, 2012, ISS published their analysis and voting recommendations for OMG's 2012 proxy. While we are pleased to see ISS recommend voting for our slate of directors, we were disappointed to see their against recommendation regarding our advisory vote for NEO compensation. In our view, the ISS contention that OMG has a pay for performance disconnect is not well reasoned. We believe the following factors support a vote for our pay program for NEOs:

Our peer group more reasonably reflects the size of our company than ISS's group

Our revenue run rate following the Vacuumschmelze (VAC) acquisition approximates \$2 billion

Our peers focus on specialty chemical companies ranging from \$1 billion to \$4 billion, all of which are within ISS's standards

Our peer group has remained fairly constant during the past five years to accommodate our ongoing business transformation and annual swings in commodity prices; ISS had no issue with this group or our approach last year

Only 10 of ISS's 24 companies are ones we would consider peers from either a size or business perspective, producing vastly different competitive pay comparisons

Our pay program is well aligned with the long-term results delivered to shareholders and has accurately reflected that performance

Data in the Summary Compensation Table (SCT) is the focus of ISS's analysis

SCT does **NOT** accurately reflect the values earned by executives as it is based on accounting estimates of the equity awards' potential future values

No performance restricted stock awards vested for the 2009-2011 cycle because performance goals weren't met; only 3% of shares vested for the 2008-2010 cycle as results modestly exceeded minimum goals

All options for five of the past six years grants are currently underwater and we do **NOT** reprice them

We believe options are performance-based equity awards, which, when combined with performance restricted stock, account for 70% of the CEO's long-term incentives; all performance-based incentives account for 62% of his target pay

Our analysis of realizable pay (which differs from that in the SCT) in each of the past two years has indicated the CEO's pay was aligned with our total returns to shareholders relative to the results of our peers

Edgar Filing: OM GROUP INC - Form DEFA14A

Our incentives are tied to reasonable performance goals, which have been reasonably demanding and have served as the basis for awards with no use of discretion

Our 2011 targets for adjusted operating profit and our free cash flow metric were set above the target level for 2010 by 161% and 22%, respectively (excluding the impact of VAC, the adjusted OP target for 2011 was higher by 98%)

Our actual results for 2011 represented an improvement over 2010 of 42% in adjusted operating profit and 21% in our cash flow metric; 2011 results were adjusted to reflect non-cash accounting charges as part of the VAC acquisition as those results were not considered in setting the goals for the year

The Compensation Committee moved to absolute EBITDA margin goals in our performance restricted stock plan to more clearly communicate their performance expectations of management and hold them accountable to those results

EBITDA margin and RONA goals for each year of the three-year performance period are set at the start of the period

Our approach to measuring the number of performance restricted shares that vest protects against any windfalls associated with unsustainable performance that may skew results for the whole period

We ask that you support OMG by voting according to management recommendations on all proxy matters, including NEO compensation. We look forward to discussing this further with you and answering any of your questions. I will give you a call to see if we can schedule a time to talk.

Regards,

Troy

Troy Dewar, CFA

Director, Investor Relations

OM Group, Inc.

127 Public Square

1500 Key Tower

Cleveland, OH 44114-1221

Phone: 216.263.7765

troy.dewar@omgi.com

<http://investor.omgi.com>