

BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO  
Form 10-K  
February 12, 2016

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015  
Commission File Number 1-1136

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)  
345 Park Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10154  
(Address of principal executive offices)  
Telephone: (212) 546-4000

22-0790350  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class            | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Common Stock, \$0.10 Par Value | New York Stock Exchange                   |
| 1.000% Notes due 2025          | New York Stock Exchange                   |
| 1.750% Notes due 2035          | New York Stock Exchange                   |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of each class  
\$2 Convertible Preferred Stock, \$1 Par Value

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form

10-K. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the 1,665,867,299 shares of voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the closing price as reported on the New York Stock Exchange, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter (June 30, 2015) was approximately \$110,846,810,075. Bristol-Myers Squibb has no non-voting common equity. At February 1, 2016, there were 1,669,459,090 shares of common stock outstanding.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:** Portions of the Proxy Statement for the registrant's Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 3, 2016, to be filed within 120 days after the conclusion of the registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

---

## PART I

## Item 1. BUSINESS.

## General

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company (which may be referred to as Bristol-Myers Squibb, BMS, the Company, we, our or us) was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in August 1933 under the name Bristol-Myers Company, as successor to a New York business started in 1887. In 1989, Bristol-Myers Company changed its name to Bristol-Myers Squibb Company as a result of a merger. We are engaged in the discovery, development, licensing, manufacturing, marketing, distribution and sale of biopharmaceutical products on a global basis.

We operate in one segment—BioPharmaceuticals. For additional information about business segments, refer to “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 2. Business Segment Information.”

We compete with other worldwide research-based drug companies, smaller research companies and generic drug manufacturers. Our products are sold worldwide, primarily to wholesalers, retail pharmacies, hospitals, government entities and the medical profession. We manufacture products in the United States (U.S.), Puerto Rico and in six foreign countries.

The percentage of revenues by significant region/country were as follows:

| Dollars in Millions | Year Ended December 31, |           |           |   |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|---|
|                     | 2015                    | 2014      | 2013      |   |
| United States       | 49                      | % 49      | % 51      | % |
| Europe              | 21                      | % 23      | % 24      | % |
| Japan               | 10                      | % 6       | % 5       | % |
| China               | 4                       | % 4       | % 4       | % |
| Total Revenues      | \$ 16,560               | \$ 15,879 | \$ 16,385 |   |

## Acquisitions and Divestitures

We have transitioned BMS into a leading-edge specialty biopharmaceutical company focused exclusively on discovering, developing, and delivering innovative medicines that address serious unmet medical needs. This transition has encompassed all areas of our business and operations. As part of this strategy, we have divested our diabetes and non-pharmaceutical businesses, restructured our alliances to divest certain mature brand products, implemented our acquisition and licensing strategy and executed our productivity transformation initiative. Significant divestitures include the anticipated divestiture of the investigational HIV medicines in the first half of 2016, Erbitux\* in North America in 2015, our diabetes business in 2014 and Mead Johnson in 2009. As part of our acquisition and licensing strategy, we acquired Cardioxyl Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Cardioxyl) and Flexus Biosciences, Inc. (Flexus) in 2015, iPierian, Inc. (iPierian) in 2014, Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Amylin) and Inhibitex, Inc. (Inhibitex) in 2012 and Amira Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Amira) in 2011 and entered into several license and other collaboration arrangements. These transactions have allowed, and continue to allow, us to focus our resources behind growth opportunities which drive the greatest long-term value. From a disease standpoint, we are focused on the following core therapeutic areas: oncology, immuno-oncology, immunoscience, cardiovascular disease, fibrosis and genetically defined diseases.

## Products

Our pharmaceutical products include chemically-synthesized drugs, or small molecules, and an increasing portion of products produced from biological processes (typically involving recombinant DNA technology), called “biologics.” Small molecule drugs are typically administered orally, e.g., in the form of a pill or tablet, although other drug delivery mechanisms are used as well. Biologics are typically administered to patients through injections or by infusion. Most of our revenues come from products in the following therapeutic classes: virology, including HIV infection; oncology; immunoscience; cardiovascular; and neuroscience.

In the pharmaceutical industry, the majority of an innovative product's commercial value is usually realized during the period in which the product has market exclusivity. Our business is focused on innovative biopharmaceutical products, and we rely on patent rights and various forms of regulatory protection to maintain the market exclusivity of our products. In the U.S., the European Union (EU) and some other countries, when these patent rights and other forms of exclusivity expire and generic versions of a medicine are approved and marketed, there are often substantial and rapid declines in the sales of the original innovative product. For further discussion of patent rights and regulatory forms of exclusivity, refer to “—Intellectual Property and Product Exclusivity” below. For further discussion of the impact of generic competition on our business, refer to “—Generic Competition” below.

The following chart shows our key products together with the year in which the earliest basic exclusivity loss (patent rights or data exclusivity) occurred or is currently estimated to occur in the U.S., the EU, Japan and China. We also sell our pharmaceutical products in other countries; however, data is not provided on a country-by-country basis because individual country revenues are not significant outside the U.S., the EU, Japan and China. In many instances, the basic exclusivity loss date listed below is the expiration date of the patent that claims the active ingredient of the drug or the method of using the drug for the approved indication, if there is only one approved indication. In some instances, the basic exclusivity loss date listed in the chart is the expiration date of the data exclusivity period. In situations where there is only data exclusivity without patent protection, a competitor could seek regulatory approval by submitting its own clinical trial data to obtain marketing approval prior to the expiration of data exclusivity.

We estimate the market exclusivity period for each of our products for the purpose of business planning only. The length of market exclusivity for any of our products is impossible to predict with certainty because of the complex interaction between patent and regulatory forms of exclusivity and the inherent uncertainties regarding patent litigation. There can be no assurance that a particular product will enjoy market exclusivity for the full period of time that appears in the estimate or that the exclusivity will be limited to the estimate.

The following schedule presents revenues of our key products and estimated basic exclusivity loss in the U.S., EU, Japan and China:

| Dollars in Millions                    | Total Revenues by Product |         |         | Past or Currently Estimated Year of Basic Exclusivity Loss |                   |                          |                     |                   |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|                                        | 2015                      | 2014    | 2013    | U.S.                                                       | EU <sup>(a)</sup> | Japan                    | China               |                   |
| <b>Virology</b>                        |                           |         |         |                                                            |                   |                          |                     |                   |
| Baraclude (entecavir)                  | \$1,312                   | \$1,441 | \$1,527 | 2014                                                       | <sup>(b)</sup>    | 2011-2016 <sup>(c)</sup> | 2016                | --                |
| Hepatitis C Franchise <sup>(d)</sup>   | 1,603                     | 256     | —       | 2028                                                       |                   | 2027                     | 2028                | <sup>(e)</sup> ++ |
| Reyataz (atazanavir sulfate) Franchise | 1,139                     | 1,362   | 1,551   | 2017                                                       |                   | 2017-2019 <sup>(f)</sup> | 2019                | 2017              |
| Sustiva (efavirenz) Franchise          | 1,252                     | 1,444   | 1,614   | 2017                                                       | <sup>(g)</sup>    | 2013                     | <sup>(h)</sup> ++   | ++                |
| <b>Oncology</b>                        |                           |         |         |                                                            |                   |                          |                     |                   |
| Empliciti (elotuzumab) <sup>(i)</sup>  | 3                         | —       | —       | 2026                                                       |                   | ++                       | ++                  | ++                |
| Erbitux* (cetuximab)                   | 501                       | 723     | 696     | 2016                                                       | <sup>(j)</sup>    | ++                       | 2016                | <sup>(k)</sup> ++ |
| Opdivo (nivolumab)                     | 942                       | 6       | —       | 2027                                                       | <sup>(l)</sup>    | 2026                     | <sup>(l)</sup> 2031 | <sup>(l)</sup> ++ |
| Sprycel (dasatinib)                    | 1,620                     | 1,493   | 1,280   | 2020                                                       | <sup>(m)</sup>    | ^^                       | 2021                | 2020              |
| Yervoy (ipilimumab)                    | 1,126                     | 1,308   | 960     | 2023                                                       | <sup>(n)</sup>    | 2021                     | <sup>(o)</sup> 2023 | <sup>(p)</sup> ++ |
| <b>Neuroscience</b>                    |                           |         |         |                                                            |                   |                          |                     |                   |
| Abilify* (aripiprazole)                | 746                       | 2,020   | 2,289   | 2015                                                       | <sup>(q)</sup>    | 2014                     | <sup>(q)</sup> ++   | ++                |
| <b>Immunoscience</b>                   |                           |         |         |                                                            |                   |                          |                     |                   |
| Orencia (abatacept)                    | 1,885                     | 1,652   | 1,444   | 2019                                                       | <sup>(r)</sup>    | 2017                     | <sup>(s)</sup> 2018 | <sup>(t)</sup> ++ |
| <b>Cardiovascular</b>                  |                           |         |         |                                                            |                   |                          |                     |                   |
| Eliquis (apixaban)                     | 1,860                     | 774     | 146     | 2023                                                       | <sup>(u)</sup>    | 2022                     | <sup>(v)</sup> 2026 | <sup>(v)</sup> ^  |

Note: The currently estimated earliest year of basic exclusivity loss includes any statutory extensions of exclusivity that have been granted. In some instances, we may be able to obtain an additional six months exclusivity for a product

based on the pediatric extension. In certain other instances, there may be later-expiring patents that cover particular forms or compositions of the drug, as well as methods of manufacturing or methods of using the drug. Such patents may sometimes result in a favorable market position for our products, but product exclusivity cannot be predicted or assured. Under the U.S. healthcare law enacted in 2010, qualifying biologic products will receive 12 years of data exclusivity before a biosimilar can enter the market, as described in more detail in “—Intellectual Property and Product Exclusivity” below.

\* Indicates brand names of products which are trademarks not owned by BMS. Specific trademark ownership information is included in the Exhibit Index.

++ We do not currently market the product in the country or region indicated.

-- There is uncertainty about China's exclusivity laws which has resulted in generic competition in the China market.

^ There is uncertainty about China's exclusivity laws.

In May 2013, Apotex Inc., Actavis Group PTC ehf, Generics [UK] Limited (Mylan) and an unnamed company filed oppositions in the European Patent Office (EPO) seeking revocation of European Patent No. 1169038 (the '038 patent) covering dasatinib, the active ingredient in Sprycel. The '038 patent is scheduled to expire in April 2020 (excluding potential term extensions). On January 20, 2016, the Opposition Division of the EPO revoked the '038 patent. The Company will appeal the EPO's decision to the EPO Board of Appeal. The '038 patent will remain in force pending the outcome of our appeal of the EPO's decision, and we intend to pursue legal options to defend our intellectual property rights from any future infringement. Refer to “Note 22. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies” for more information.

References to the EU throughout this Form 10-K include all member states of the European Union during the year ended December 31, 2015. Basic patent applications have not been filed in all current member states for all of the listed products. In some instances, the date of basic exclusivity loss will be different in various EU member states. For those EU countries where the basic patent was not obtained, there may be data protection available.

- Baraclude U.S.: In September 2014, Teva Pharmaceuticals launched a generic version of Baraclude and we have experienced a negative impact on U.S. net product sales of Baraclude beginning in the fourth quarter of 2014. These actions follow a decision in June 2014 by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit to uphold a
- (b) lower court decision invalidating Baraclude's patent in February 2013. In May 2015, the U.S. Supreme Court denied the Company's petition for a writ of certiorari. Accordingly, this case is now concluded. For more information about this patent litigation matter, refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 22. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies."
- (c) Baraclude EU: The composition of matter patent expires in the EU between 2011 and 2016.
- (d) Exclusivity period relates to the Daklinza brand.
- (e) The composition of matter covering daclatasvir in Japan expires in 2028 including granted patent term extension.
- (f) Reyataz EU: Data exclusivity in the EU expired in 2014 and projected market exclusivity expires between 2017 and 2019.
- Sustiva U.S.: Exclusivity period relates to the Sustiva brand and does not include exclusivity related to any combination therapy. The composition of matter patent for efavirenz in the U.S. expired in 2013 and the method of use patent for the treatment of HIV infection expired in September 2014. Pediatric exclusivity has been granted,
- (g) which provides an additional six month period of exclusivity added to the term of the patents listed in the Orange Book. In October 2014, the Company announced that it has successfully resolved all outstanding U.S. patent litigation relating to efavirenz and that loss of exclusivity in the U.S. for efavirenz is not expected to occur until December 2017.
- Sustiva EU: Exclusivity period relates to the Sustiva brand and does not include exclusivity related to any
- (h) combination therapy. Market exclusivity for Sustiva expired in November 2013 in countries in the EU. Data exclusivity for Sustiva expired in the EU in 2009.
- Empliciti: We have a commercialization agreement with AbbVie Inc. (AbbVie) for Empliciti. For more information about our arrangement with AbbVie, refer to "—Alliances" below and "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances."
- (i) AbbVie owns a patent covering elotuzumab as a composition of matter that expires in 2026 in the U.S. (excluding potential patent term extension) and 2024 in the EU, Japan and China (excluding potential patent term extensions in the EU and Japan).
- Erbitux\* U.S.: Biologic product approved under a Biologics License Application (BLA). Data exclusivity in the U.S. expires in 2016. There is no patent that specifically claims the composition of matter of cetuximab, the active ingredient in Erbitux\*. In 2015, the Company transferred its rights, including full commercialization and
- (j) manufacturing responsibilities of Erbitux\* in North America to Lilly in return for sales-based royalties. For more information about our arrangement with Lilly, refer to "—Alliances" below and "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances."
- (k) Erbitux\* Japan: Exclusivity period is based on regulatory data protection. BMS transferred its co-commercialization rights in Japan to Merck KgaA in 2015 in exchange for sales-based royalties.
- Opdivo: We jointly own a patent with Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Ono) covering nivolumab as a composition
- (l) of matter that expires in 2027 in the U.S. (excluding potential patent term extension) and 2026 in the EU (excluding potential patent term extensions). The composition of matter patent covering nivolumab in Japan expires in 2031 including granted patent term extension.
- Sprycel: A patent term extension has been granted in the U.S. extending the term on the basic composition of matter patent covering dasatinib until June 2020. In 2013, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with
- (m) Apotex regarding a patent infringement suit covering the monohydrate form of dasatinib whereby Apotex can launch its generic dasatinib monohydrate abbreviated New Drug Application product in September 2024, or earlier in certain circumstances. In the U.S., orphan drug exclusivity expired in 2013.
- Yervoy U.S.: Exclusivity period is based on regulatory data protection. Data exclusivity expires in the U.S. in
- (n) 2023. We own a patent covering ipilimumab as a composition of matter that currently expires in 2022 in the U.S. (excluding potential patent term extension).
- (o) Yervoy EU: Exclusivity period is based on regulatory data protection. Data exclusivity expires in the EU in 2021. We own a patent covering ipilimumab as a composition of matter that currently expires in 2020 in the EU

(excluding potential patent term extensions).

(p) Yervoy Japan: Exclusivity period is based on regulatory data protection. We own a patent covering ipilimumab as a composition of matter that currently expires in 2020 in Japan (excluding potential patent term extension).

(q) Abilify\*: Our commercialization rights of Abilify\* terminated in April 2015 in the U.S. and in June 2014 in the EU.

Orencia U.S.: We have a series of patents covering abatacept and its method of use. In the U.S., a patent term (r) extension has been granted for one of the composition of matter patents, extending the term of the U.S. patent to 2019. Data exclusivity expires in the U.S. in 2017 and the method of use patent expires in 2021.

Orencia EU: In the EU, the composition of matter patent covering abatacept expired in 2012. In the majority of the (s) EU countries, we have applied for supplementary protection certificates and also pediatric extension of the supplementary protection certificates for protection until 2017. Most of these protection certificates have been granted. Data exclusivity expires in the EU in 2017 and the method of use patent expires in 2021.

(t) Orencia Japan: Exclusivity period is based on regulatory data protection.

Eliquis U.S.: The composition of matter patent covering apixaban in the U.S. expires in February 2023 (excluding (u) potential patent term extension). In August 2015, we received a Petition for Inter Partes Review of the composition of matter patent covering apixaban filed at the United States Patent and Trademark Office by the Coalition for Affordable Drugs. For more information about this patent litigation matter, refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 22. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies."

Eliquis EU and Japan: The composition of matter patent covering apixaban in the EU expires in 2022. We have (v) applied for supplementary protection certificates. Some of these supplementary protection certificates have been granted and expire in 2026. Data exclusivity in the EU expires in 2021. The composition of matter covering apixaban in Japan expires in 2026 including granted patent term extension.

Below is a summary of the indication, product partner, if any, and third-party manufacturing arrangements, if any, for each of the above products in the U.S. and, where applicable, the EU and Japan.

Baraclude is a potent and selective inhibitor of hepatitis B virus that was approved by the U.S. Food and Baraclude Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Baraclude was discovered and developed internally.

Bulk active entecavir is manufactured by both the company and a third party. The product is then finished in our facilities.

Hepatitis C Franchise Daklinza (daclatasvir (DCV)) is an oral small molecule NS5A replication complex inhibitor for the treatment of hepatitis C virus infection (HCV) and was approved by the FDA for use with Gilead Sciences, Inc.'s (Gilead) sofosbuvir for genotype 3.

Sunvepra (asunaprevir (ASV)) is an oral small molecule NS3 protease inhibitor for the treatment of HCV and is part of the dual regimen of DCV+ASV in Japan.

We manufacture our bulk requirements of daclatasvir and finish the product in our facilities. We obtain bulk requirements for asunaprevir from a third-party manufacturer and finish the product at a third-party facility.



Reyataz is a protease inhibitor for the treatment of HIV. The Reyataz Franchise includes Reyataz and combination therapy Evotaz (atazanavir 300 mg and cobicistat 150 mg), a once-daily single tablet two drug regimen combining Reyataz and Gilead's Tybost\* (cobicistat) for the treatment of HIV-1 infection in adults.

We developed atazanavir under a worldwide license from Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation (Novartis) for which a royalty is paid based on a percentage of net product sales. We are entitled to promote Reyataz for use in combination with Norvir\* (ritonavir) under a non-exclusive license agreement with AbbVie, as amended, for which a royalty is paid based on a percentage of net product sales. We have a licensing agreement with Gilead for Evotaz, which was approved in the U.S. in January 2015 and in the EU in July 2015.

We manufacture our bulk requirements for atazanavir and finish the product in our facilities.

Sustiva is a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor for the treatment of HIV. The Sustiva Franchise includes Sustiva, an antiretroviral drug used in the treatment of HIV, as well as bulk efavirenz which is included in the combination therapy Atripla\* (efavirenz 600 mg/ emtricitabine 200 mg/ tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 300 mg), a once-daily single tablet three-drug regimen combining our Sustiva and Gilead's Truvada\* (emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate). For more information about our arrangement with Gilead, refer to "—Alliances" below and "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances."

Rights to market efavirenz in the U.S., Canada, the United Kingdom (UK), France, Germany, Ireland, Italy and Spain are licensed from Merck & Co., Inc. (Merck) for a royalty based on a percentage of revenues. Efavirenz is marketed by another company in Japan.

We obtain our bulk requirements for efavirenz from third parties and produce finished goods in our facilities. We supply our third parties' bulk efavirenz to Gilead, who is responsible for producing the finished Atripla\* product.

Empliciti is a humanized monoclonal antibody which was approved by the FDA as a treatment for multiple myeloma and is part of our alliance with AbbVie. Under the terms of the alliance, we were granted exclusive global rights to co-develop and commercialize Empliciti. In November 2015, the FDA approved Empliciti for the treatment of multiple myeloma as combination therapy with Revlimid\* and dexamethasone in Empliciti patients who have received one to three prior therapies. Revlimid\* is a product of Celgene Corporation. In January 2016, the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) adopted a positive opinion recommending that Empliciti be granted approval for the treatment of multiple myeloma. We manufacture the bulk requirement for elotuzumab and finish the product in our facilities.

Erbix\*, a biological product, is an IgG1 monoclonal antibody designed to exclusively target and block the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR), which is expressed on the surface of certain cancer cells in multiple tumor types as well as some normal cells. Erbitux\* is approved in combination with irinotecan for the treatment of patients with EGFR-expressing metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) who have failed an irinotecan-based regimen and as monotherapy for patients who are intolerant of irinotecan. The FDA approved Erbitux\* for use in combination with radiation therapy, for the treatment of locally or regionally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck and, as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck for whom prior platinum-based therapy has failed. The FDA also approved Erbitux\* for first-line recurrent locoregional or metastatic head and neck cancer in combination with platinum-based chemotherapy with 5-Fluorouracil.

Exclusive distribution rights in North America for cetuximab were granted to the Company by ImClone Systems Incorporated (ImClone), the predecessor company of ImClone LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly) and is part of our alliance with Lilly. In October 2015, we transferred our Erbitux\* rights in North America to Lilly, including full commercialization and manufacturing responsibilities in return for sales-based royalties. For more information about our arrangement with Lilly, refer to "—Alliances" below and "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances."

Opdivo, a biological product, is a fully human monoclonal antibody that binds to the programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1) on T and natural killer T (NKT) cells. In 2015, the FDA approved Opdivo for previously untreated patients with metastatic melanoma, previously treated patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma,

and previously treated non-squamous (NSQ) and squamous (SQ) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). In 2015, Opdivo received approval in the EU for previously treated SQ NSCLC and first-line and previously treated unresectable or metastatic melanoma. The Opdivo+Yervoy (ipilimumab) regimen was also approved by the FDA in 2015 for the treatment of BRAF V600 wild-type unresectable or metastatic melanoma. There are several ongoing potentially registrational trials for Opdivo in head and neck cancer, hodgkin and non-hodgkin lymphoma and bladder cancer, among other tumor types. Refer to "—Alliances" below and "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances" for further discussion of our arrangement with Ono for Opdivo in Japan, South Korea and Taiwan.

We obtain our bulk requirements for Opdivo from a third party and finish the product in our facilities.

Sprycel is a multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor approved for the first-line treatment of adults with Philadelphia chromosome-positive chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase and the treatment of adults with chronic, accelerated, or myeloid or lymphoid blast phase chronic myeloid leukemia with resistance or intolerance to prior therapy, including Gleevec\* (imatinib mesylate). Gleevec\* is a trademark of Novartis. Sprycel was internally discovered and is part of our alliance with Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Otsuka). For more information about our alliance with Otsuka, refer to “—Alliances” below and “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances.”

We manufacture our bulk requirements for dasatinib and finish the product in our facilities.

Yervoy, a biological product, is a monoclonal antibody for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma. Yervoy was approved in the U.S. and the EU in 2011 and in Japan in 2015. In 2015, the FDA approved Yervoy for the adjuvant treatment of patients with cutaneous melanoma. For more information, about research and development of Yervoy, refer to “—Research and Development” below.

Yervoy was discovered by Medarex and co-developed by the Company and Medarex, which is now our subsidiary. Bulk ipilimumab is manufactured by both the Company and a third party. The product is finished both in our facilities and at a third-party facility.

Abilify\* is an atypical antipsychotic agent for adult patients with schizophrenia, bipolar mania disorder and Abilify\* major depressive disorder. Abilify\* also has pediatric uses in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, among others.

BMS's rights to Abilify\* expired in the U.S. in April 2015 and in all EU countries in June 2014. For more information about our arrangement with Otsuka, refer to “—Alliances” below and “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances.”

Orencia, a biological product, is a fusion protein with novel immunosuppressive activity targeted initially at adult patients with moderately to severely active rheumatoid arthritis (RA) who have had an inadequate Orencia response to certain currently available treatments. Orencia is available in both an intravenous and subcutaneous formulation in the U.S., Europe and Japan. Refer to “—Alliances” below and “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances” for further discussion of our collaborations with Ono for Orencia in Japan.

Bulk abatacept is manufactured by both the Company and a third party. We finish both formulations of the product in our own facilities.

Eliquis is an oral Factor Xa inhibitor targeted at stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation and the prevention and treatment of venous thromboembolic (VTE) disorders. Apixaban was discovered internally and is part of our Eliquis alliance with Pfizer, Inc. (Pfizer). For more information about our alliance with Pfizer, refer to “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances.”

Apixaban is manufactured by both the Company and a third party. The product is then finished in our facilities.

## Research and Development

We invest heavily in research and development (R&D) because we believe it is critical to our long-term competitiveness. We have major R&D facilities in New Jersey, and are expanding our existing California-based research facility and have announced future plans for the opening of a new R&D site in Massachusetts. Research activities at our Connecticut facility will be phased out in the next few years. Research and development is also carried out at various other facilities throughout the world, including in Belgium, the UK, India, Japan and other sites in the U.S. We supplement our internal drug discovery and development programs with alliances and collaborative agreements which help us bring new products into the pipeline. In drug development, we engage the services of physicians, hospitals, medical schools and other research organizations worldwide to conduct clinical trials to establish the safety and effectiveness of new products. Management continues to emphasize leadership, innovation, productivity and quality as strategies for success in our research and development activities.

We concentrate our research and development efforts in the following disease areas with significant unmet medical needs: immuno-oncology, oncology, immunoscience, cardiovascular, fibrotic diseases and genetically defined diseases. We also continue to analyze and may selectively pursue promising leads in other areas. In addition to

discovering and developing new molecular entities, we look for ways to expand the value of existing products through new indications and formulations that can provide additional benefits to patients.

In order for a new drug to reach the market, industry practice and government regulations in the U.S., the EU and most foreign countries provide for the determination of a drug's effectiveness and safety through preclinical tests and controlled clinical evaluation. The clinical development of a potential new drug includes Phase I, Phase II and Phase III clinical trials that have been designed specifically to support a new drug application for a particular indication, assuming the trials are successful.

Phase I clinical trials involve a small number of healthy volunteers or patients suffering from the indicated disease to test for safety and proper dosing. Phase II clinical trials involve a larger patient population to investigate side effects, efficacy, and optimal dosage of the drug candidate. Phase III clinical trials are conducted to confirm Phase II results in a significantly larger patient population over a longer term and to provide reliable and conclusive data regarding the safety and efficacy of a drug candidate.

The R&D process typically takes about fourteen years, with approximately three years often spent in Phase III, or late-stage, development. We consider our R&D programs in Phase III to be our significant R&D programs. These programs include both investigational compounds in Phase III development for initial indications and marketed products that are in Phase III development for additional indications or formulations.

Drug development is time consuming, expensive and risky. On average, only about one in 10,000 chemical compounds discovered by pharmaceutical industry researchers proves to be both medically effective and safe enough to become an approved medicine. Drug candidates can fail at any stage of the process, and even late-stage product candidates sometimes fail to receive regulatory approval. According to the KMR Group, based on industry success rates from 2010-2014, approximately 92% of the compounds that enter Phase I development fail to achieve regulatory approval. The failure rate for compounds that enter Phase II development is approximately 83% and for compounds that enter Phase III development, it is approximately 39%.

Total research and development expenses include the costs of discovery research, preclinical development, early- and late-stage clinical development and drug formulation, as well as post-commercialization and medical support of marketed products, proportionate allocations of enterprise-wide costs, and other appropriate costs. Research and development spending was \$5.9 billion in 2015, \$4.5 billion in 2014 and \$3.7 billion in 2013 and includes payments under third-party collaborations and contracts. At the end of 2015, we employed approximately 8,500 people in R&D activities, including a substantial number of physicians, scientists holding graduate or postgraduate degrees and higher-skilled technical personnel.

We manage our R&D programs on a portfolio basis, investing resources in each stage of research and development from early discovery through late-stage development. We continually evaluate our portfolio of R&D assets to ensure that there is an appropriate balance of early-stage and late-stage programs to support the future growth of the Company. Spending on our late-stage development programs represented approximately 30-45% of our annual R&D expenses in the last three years. No individual investigational compound or marketed product represented 10% or more of our R&D expenses in any of the last three years, except for Opdivo in 2015.

Listed below are the investigational compounds that we have in Phase I, II and III clinical trials. Whether or not any of these or our other investigational compounds ultimately becomes one of our marketed products depends on the results of clinical studies, the competitive landscape of the potential product's market and the manufacturing processes necessary to produce the potential product on a commercial scale, among other factors. There can be no assurance that we will seek regulatory approval of any of these compounds or that, if such approval is sought, it will be obtained. There is also no assurance that a compound that is approved will be commercially successful. At this stage of development, we cannot determine all intellectual property issues or all the patent protection that may, or may not, be available for these investigational compounds. The data is as of January 1, 2016.

| Immuno-Oncology                     | Oncology                 | Immunoscience         | Cardiovascular                | Fibrotic Diseases                           | Genetically Defined Diseases | Virology                                                        |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Phase I                             | Phase I                  | Phase I               | Phase I                       | Phase I                                     | Phase I                      |                                                                 |
| Anti-CSF 1R <sup>(a)</sup>          | Anti-Fucosyl GM1         | Anti-CD40             | Factor XIa Inhibitors         | Galectin-3 Inhibitor <sup>(f)</sup>         | Anti-eTau <sup>(i)</sup>     |                                                                 |
| Anti-GITR                           | Anti-HER2 <sup>(d)</sup> | Anti-CD40L            | PAR4 Antagonist               | PEG-FGF21                                   | Anti-Myostatin               |                                                                 |
| Anti-LAG3                           | BET Inhibitor            | BTK Inhibitor         |                               |                                             |                              |                                                                 |
| Lirilumab (Anti-KIR) <sup>(b)</sup> | Mesothelin-ADC           | TYK2 Inhibitor        |                               |                                             |                              |                                                                 |
| Urelumab (Anti-CD137)               | Ulocuplumab (Anti-CXCR4) | Anti-PD-L1            |                               |                                             |                              |                                                                 |
|                                     |                          | Phase II              | Phase II                      | Phase II                                    |                              | Phase II                                                        |
|                                     |                          | Lulizumab (Anti-CD28) | IKur Inhibitor                | BMS-986020 (LPA1 Antagonist) <sup>(g)</sup> |                              | BMS-955176 (HIV Maturation Inhibitor) <sup>(k)</sup>            |
|                                     |                          |                       | Nitroxyl Donor <sup>(e)</sup> | PEG-FGF21 <sup>(h)</sup>                    |                              |                                                                 |
|                                     |                          |                       |                               | Pentraxin-2 <sup>(i)</sup>                  |                              |                                                                 |
| Phase III                           |                          |                       |                               |                                             |                              | Phase III                                                       |
| Prostvac* <sup>(c)</sup>            |                          |                       |                               |                                             |                              | Beclabuvir BMS-663068 (HIV Attachment Inhibitor) <sup>(k)</sup> |

(a) Exclusively licensed from Five Prime Therapeutics, Inc.

(b) Exclusively licensed from Innate Pharma S.A.

(c) Obtained through an exclusive option to license from Bavarian Nordic A/S.

(d) Obtained through an exclusive license to acquire F-Star Alpha Ltd.

(e) Obtained through acquisition of Cardioxyl Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

(f) Obtained through an exclusive option to acquire Galecto Biotech AB.

(g) Obtained through the acquisition of Amira Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 14. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" for additional information.

(h) Exclusively licensed from Ambrx, Inc.

(i) Obtained through an exclusive warrant to acquire Promedior, Inc.

(j) Obtained through acquisition of iPierian, Inc.

(k) Pending sale to ViiV Healthcare.

Additional information on our late-stage investigational compounds that we have in Phase III clinical trials or under regulatory review for at least one potential indication is below. The patent coverage highlighted below includes patent terms and patent term extensions that have been granted.

Beclabuvir                      Beclabuvir is an oral small molecule non-nucleoside NS5B inhibitor in regulatory review in Japan for use in combination with DCV and ASV for the treatment of HCV. We own a patent covering Beclabuvir as a composition of matter that expires in 2027 in the U.S.

BMS-663068                      BMS-663068 is an investigational compound being studied in HIV-1 which has shown antiviral activity in HIV-1 infected individuals. Attachment inhibitors have a distinct mode of action from other entry inhibitors, which prevent entry of HIV-1 into the host cell following attachment. BMS-663068 is a prodrug which is metabolized to the active basic compound. We hold a patent covering BMS-663068 as a composition of matter that expires in November 2027 in the U.S. BMS-663068 is expected to be sold to ViiV Healthcare in the first half of 2016.

Prostvac\*                      Prostvac\* is Bavarian Nordic's investigational Phase III prostate-specific antigen (PSA)-targeting cancer immunotherapy in development for the treatment of asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. BMS has an exclusive option to license and commercialize Prostvac\*.

The following table lists potential additional indications and/or formulations of key marketed products that are in potentially registrational trials or currently under regulatory review:

| Key marketed product  | Potential indication and/or formulation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hepatitis C Franchise | Combination with other antivirals for the treatment of HCV                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Empliciti             | Additional indication in first-line multiple myeloma                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Opdivo                | Additional indications in melanoma, renal cell carcinoma (RCC), lung cancer, hodgkin and non-hodgkin lymphoma, head and neck cancer, bladder cancer, glioblastoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, gastric cancer, esophageal cancer in monotherapy and/or in combination with Yervoy |
| Orencia               | Additional indications in lupus nephritis, psoriatic arthritis, early RA and auto-injector device                                                                                                                                                                               |

Eliquis Pediatric VTE treatment

The following key developments are currently expected to occur during 2016 with respect to our significant pipeline programs. The outcome and timing of these expected developments are dependent upon a number of factors including, among other things, the availability of data, the outcome of certain clinical trials, acceptance of presentations at certain medical meetings and/or actions by health authorities. We do not undertake any obligation to publicly update this information, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

|                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hepatitis C Franchise | Data available from clinical trials<br>Potential approvals for additional indications                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Empliciti             | Potential approval in multiple myeloma in the EU and Japan<br>Data available from Phase III study in first-line multiple myeloma                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Opdivo                | Potential approval in the EU for NSQ NSCLC, Opdivo+Yervoy combination in melanoma and RCC<br>Data available from potentially registrational clinical trials in hodgkin and non-hodgkin lymphoma, head and neck cancer, bladder cancer, glioblastoma and lung cancer<br>Potential submissions in various tumors based on registrational trials. |

#### Alliances

We enter into alliances with third parties that transfer rights to develop, manufacture, market and/or sell pharmaceutical products that are owned by other parties. These alliances include licensing arrangements, co-development and co-marketing agreements, co-promotion arrangements and joint ventures. When such alliances involve sharing research and development costs, the risk of incurring all research and development expenses for compounds that do not lead to revenue-generating products is reduced. However, profitability on alliance products is generally lower because profits from alliance products are shared with our alliance partners. We actively pursue such arrangements and view alliances as an important complement to our own discovery, development and commercialization activities.

Each of our alliances with third parties who own the rights to manufacture, market and/or sell pharmaceutical products contain customary early termination provisions typically found in agreements of this kind and are generally based on the material breach of the agreement by a party, or bankruptcy (voluntary or involuntary) of a party or product safety



concerns. The amount of notice required for early termination generally ranges from immediately upon notice to 180 days after receipt of notice. Termination immediately upon notice is generally available where the other party files a voluntary bankruptcy petition or if a material safety issue arises with a product such that the medical risk/benefit is incompatible with the welfare of patients to continue to develop or commercialize the product. Termination with a notice period is generally available where an involuntary bankruptcy petition has been filed (and has not been dismissed) or a material breach by a party has occurred (and not been cured). Most of our alliance agreements also permit us to terminate without cause, which is typically exercisable with substantial advance written notice and is sometimes exercisable only after a specified period of time has elapsed after the alliance agreement is signed. Our alliances typically do not otherwise contain provisions that provide the other party the right to terminate the alliance.

In general, we do not retain any rights to a product brought to an alliance by another party or to the other party's intellectual property after an alliance terminates. The loss of rights to one or more products that are marketed and sold by us pursuant to an alliance could be material to our results of operations and cash flows could be material to our financial condition and liquidity. As is customary in the pharmaceutical industry, the terms of our alliances generally are co-extensive with the exclusivity period and may vary on a country-by-country basis.

Our most significant current alliances for both currently marketed products and investigational compounds are described below. Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances" for additional information on our alliance agreements.

## Otsuka

We maintain a worldwide commercialization agreement with Otsuka to co-develop and co-promote Abilify\*, excluding certain Asian countries. The U.S. portion of the agreement expired in April 2015. The agreement expired in all EU countries in June 2014 and in each other non-U.S. country where we have the exclusive right to sell Abilify\*, the agreement expires on the later of April 20, 2015 or loss of exclusivity in any such country. BMS received a share of U.S. net sales of Abilify\* based on a tiered structure.

BMS and Otsuka also have an alliance for Sprycel in the U.S., Japan and the EU (the Oncology Territory). In February 2015, the co-promotion agreement with Otsuka was terminated in Japan. Ixempra\* (ixabepilone) was included in the above alliance prior to BMS's divestiture of that business in 2015. A fee is paid to Otsuka based on the combined annual net sales of Sprycel and Ixempra\* in the Oncology Territory (including post divestiture Ixempra\* sales).

## Gilead

We have joint ventures with Gilead to develop and commercialize Atripla\* in the U.S., Canada and in Europe. The Company and Gilead share responsibility for certain activities related to the commercialization of Atripla\* in the U.S., Canada, throughout the EU and certain other European countries. Gilead recognizes 100% of Atripla\* revenues in the U.S., Canada and most countries in Europe. Alliance and other revenues recognized for Atripla\* include only the bulk efavirenz component of Atripla\* which is calculated differently in the EU and the U.S. following the loss of exclusivity of Sustiva in the EU in 2013. The alliance and other revenues are deferred and the related alliance receivable is not recognized until Atripla\* is sold to third-party customers.

The collaboration agreement governing the commercialization of Atripla\* in the U.S. and Canada will continue until terminated by mutual agreement of the parties or otherwise as described below. In the event of a material breach by one party of the collaboration agreement, the non-breaching party may terminate the agreement only if the breaching party does not cure the material breach and both parties agree that it is both desirable and practicable to withdraw the combination product from the markets where it is commercialized. At such time as one or more generic versions of a party's component product(s) are launched in the U.S., the other party will have the right to terminate the collaboration agreement and be in control of the joint venture and the commercialization of the combination product, both in the U.S. and Canada; however, for three years the terminated party will continue to receive a percentage of the net product sales-based on the contribution of bulk components to Atripla\*, and otherwise retains all rights to its own products.

In Europe, following the 2013 loss of exclusivity of Sustiva and effective January 1, 2014, the percentage of Atripla\* net sales in Europe recognized by BMS is equal to the difference between the average net selling prices of Atripla\* and Truvada\*. This alliance will continue in Europe until either party terminates the arrangement or the last patent expiration occurs for Atripla\*, Truvada\*, or Sustiva.

In 2011, we entered into a licensing agreement with Gilead to develop and commercialize a fixed-dose combination containing Reyataz and Gilead's cobicistat, a pharmacoenhancing or "boosting" agent that increases blood levels of certain HIV medicines to potentially allow for one pill once daily dosing. Evotaz was approved by the FDA in January 2015 and the European Commission (EC) in July 2015.

## Lilly

BMS had a commercialization agreement with Lilly through Lilly's subsidiary ImClone for the co-development and promotion of Erbitux\* in the U.S., Canada and Japan. In October 2015, BMS transferred its rights to Erbitux\* in North America to Lilly in exchange for sales-based royalties. The transferred rights include, but are not limited to, full

commercialization and manufacturing responsibilities.

BMS shared rights to Erbitux\* in Japan under an agreement with Lilly and Merck KGaA and received 50% of the pretax profit from Merck KGaA's net sales of Erbitux\* in Japan which was further shared equally with Lilly. BMS transferred its co-commercialization rights in Japan to Merck KGaA in 2015 in exchange for sales-based royalties through 2032.

AbbVie

BMS and AbbVie have an alliance for Empliciti. Under the terms of the alliance, BMS was granted exclusive global rights to co-develop and commercialize Empliciti from PDL BioPharma, Inc. (now part of AbbVie). Both parties are co-developing the product and AbbVie funds 20% of global development costs. BMS is solely responsible for supply, distribution and sales and marketing activities within the alliance and is the principal in the end customer product sales. AbbVie shares 30% of all profits and losses in the U.S. and will be paid tiered royalties on net sales of Empliciti outside of the U.S. In addition, AbbVie is entitled to receive milestone payments from BMS if certain regulatory events and sales thresholds are achieved.

#### AstraZeneca

In February 2014, we sold to AstraZeneca PLC (AstraZeneca) our diabetes business that was comprised of the global alliance with them, including all rights and ownership to Onglyza\*, Farxiga\*, Bydureon\*, Byetta\*, Symlin\* and Myalept\*. We and AstraZeneca terminated our existing alliance agreements in connection with the sale and entered into several new agreements, including a transitional services agreement, a supply agreement and a development agreement. Under the supply agreement, we continue to have some manufacturing responsibilities for Onglyza\*, Kombiglyze\* and Farxiga\*.

#### Pfizer

The Company and Pfizer are parties to a worldwide co-development and co-commercialization agreement for Eliquis. Pfizer funds between 50% and 60% of all development costs depending on the study. The companies share commercialization expenses and profits and losses equally on a global basis except for in certain countries where Pfizer commercializes Eliquis and pays BMS compensation based on a percentage of net sales.

#### Ono

BMS is the principal in the end customer product sales and has the exclusive right to develop, manufacture and commercialize Opdivo in all territories worldwide except Japan, South Korea and Taiwan (where Ono was responsible for all development and commercialization prior to the arrangement described below). Ono is entitled to receive royalties following regulatory approvals in all territories excluding the three countries listed above. Royalty rates on net sales are 4% in North America and 15% in all other applicable territories, subject to customary adjustments.

The alliance arrangement was expanded in July 2014 to establish collaboration activities in Japan, South Korea and Taiwan pertaining to Opdivo and several BMS compounds including Yervoy, lirilumab, urelumab and BMS-986016 (anti-LAG3). Both parties have the right and obligation to jointly develop and commercialize the compounds. BMS is responsible for supply of the products. Profits, losses and development costs are shared equally for all combination therapies involving compounds of both parties. Otherwise, sharing is 80% and 20% for activities involving only one of the party's compounds.

BMS and Ono also have an alliance to co-develop and co-commercialize Ocrencia in Japan. BMS is responsible for the order fulfillment and distribution of the intravenous formulation and Ono is responsible for the subcutaneous formulation. Both formulations are jointly promoted by both parties with assigned customer accounts and BMS is responsible for the product supply. A co-promotion fee of 60% is paid to the other party when a sale is made to that other party's assigned customer.

#### Other Alliances

In May 2013, BMS and Reckitt Benckiser Group plc (Reckitt) started a three-year alliance regarding several over-the-counter-products sold primarily in Mexico and Brazil. Reckitt received the right to sell, distribute and market the products through May 2016. BMS also granted Reckitt an option to acquire the trademarks, inventory and certain other assets exclusively related to the products at the end of the alliance period, including a BMS manufacturing facility located in Mexico, at a price determined primarily based on a multiple of net sales from May 2014 through May 2016. In July 2015, Reckitt notified BMS that it was exercising its option. Substantially all employees at the facility are expected to be transferred to Reckitt. The closing is expected to occur in May 2016.

#### Other Licensing Arrangements

In addition to the alliances described above, we have other in-licensing and out-licensing arrangements. With respect to in-licenses, we have agreements with Novartis for Reyataz and with Merck for efavirenz, among others. We also own certain compounds out-licensed to third parties for development and commercialization, including those obtained from our acquisitions. We are entitled to receive milestone payments as these compounds move through the regulatory process and royalties based on net product sales, if and when the products are commercialized.

## Intellectual Property and Product Exclusivity

We own or license a number of patents in the U.S. and foreign countries primarily covering our products. We have also developed many brand names and trademarks for our products. We consider the overall protection of our patents, trademarks, licenses and other intellectual property rights to be of material value and act to protect these rights from infringement.

In the pharmaceutical industry, the majority of an innovative product's commercial value is usually realized during the period in which the product has market exclusivity. A product's market exclusivity is generally determined by two forms of intellectual property: patent rights held by the innovator company and any regulatory forms of exclusivity to which the innovative drug is entitled.

Patents are a key determinant of market exclusivity for most branded pharmaceuticals. Patents provide the innovator with the right to exclude others from practicing an invention related to the medicine. Patents may cover, among other things, the active ingredient(s), various uses of a drug product, pharmaceutical formulations, drug delivery mechanisms and processes for (or intermediates useful in) the manufacture of products. Protection for individual products extends for varying periods in accordance with the expiration dates of patents in the various countries. The protection afforded, which may also vary from country to country, depends upon the type of patent, its scope of coverage and the availability of meaningful legal remedies in the country.

Market exclusivity is also sometimes influenced by regulatory intellectual property rights. Many developed countries provide certain non-patent incentives for the development of medicines. For example, in the U.S., the EU, Japan, and certain other countries, regulatory intellectual property rights are offered as incentives for research on medicines for rare diseases, or orphan drugs, and on medicines useful in treating pediatric patients. These incentives can extend the market exclusivity period on a product beyond the patent term.

The U.S., EU, Japan and China also each provide for a minimum period of time after the approval of a new drug during which the regulatory agency may not rely upon the innovator's data to approve a competitor's generic copy, or data protection. In some regions such as China, however, it is questionable whether such data protection laws are enforceable. In certain markets where patent protection and other forms of market exclusivity may have expired, data protection can be of particular importance. However, most regulatory forms of exclusivity do not prevent a competitor from gaining regulatory approval prior to the expiration of regulatory data exclusivity on the basis of the competitor's own safety and efficacy data on its drug, even when that drug is identical to that marketed by the innovator.

Specific aspects of the law governing market exclusivity and data protection for pharmaceuticals vary from country to country. The following summarizes key exclusivity rules in markets representing significant sales:

### United States

In the U.S., most of our key products are protected by patents with varying terms depending on the type of patent and the filing date. A significant portion of a product's patent life, however, is lost during the time it takes an innovative company to develop and obtain regulatory approval of a new drug. As compensation at least in part for the lost patent term, the innovator may, depending on a number of factors, extend the expiration date of one patent up to a maximum term of five years, provided that the extension cannot cause the patent to be in effect for more than 14 years from the date of drug approval.

A company seeking to market an innovative pharmaceutical in the U.S. must submit a complete set of safety and efficacy data to the FDA. If the innovative pharmaceutical is a chemical, the company files a New Drug Application (NDA). If the medicine is a biological product, a BLA is filed. The type of application filed affects regulatory

exclusivity rights.

#### Chemical products

A competitor seeking to launch a generic substitute of a chemical innovative drug in the U.S. must file an abbreviated New Drug Application (aNDA) with the FDA. In the aNDA, the generic manufacturer needs to demonstrate only “bioequivalence” between the generic substitute and the approved NDA drug. The aNDA relies upon the safety and efficacy data previously filed by the innovator in its NDA.

An innovator company is required to list certain of its patents covering the medicine with the FDA in what is commonly known as the Orange Book. Absent a successful patent challenge, the FDA cannot approve an aNDA until after the innovator’s listed patents expire. However, after the innovator has marketed its product for four years, a generic manufacturer may file an aNDA and allege that one or more of the patents listed in the Orange Book under an innovator’s NDA is either invalid or not infringed. This allegation is commonly known as a Paragraph IV certification. The innovator then must decide whether to file a patent infringement suit against the generic manufacturer. From time to time, aNDAs, including Paragraph IV certifications, are filed with respect to certain of our products. We evaluate these aNDAs on a case-by-case basis and, where warranted, file suit against the generic manufacturer to protect our patent rights.

In addition to benefiting from patent protection, certain innovative pharmaceutical products can receive periods of regulatory exclusivity. An NDA that is designated as an orphan drug can receive seven years of exclusivity for the orphan indication. During this time period, neither NDAs nor aNDAs for the same drug product can be approved for the same orphan use. A company may also earn six months of additional exclusivity for a drug where specific clinical trials are conducted at the written request of the FDA to study the use of the medicine to treat pediatric patients, and submission to the FDA is made prior to the loss of basic exclusivity.

Medicines approved under an NDA can also receive several types of regulatory data protection. An innovative chemical pharmaceutical is entitled to five years of regulatory data protection in the U.S., during which competitors cannot file with the FDA for approval of generic substitutes. If an innovator's patent is challenged, as described above, a generic manufacturer may file its aNDA after the fourth year of the five-year data protection period. A pharmaceutical drug product that contains an active ingredient that has been previously approved in an NDA, but is approved in a new formulation, but not for the drug itself, or for a new indication on the basis of new clinical trials, receives three years of data protection for that formulation or indication.

#### Biologic products

The U.S. healthcare legislation enacted in 2010 created an approval pathway for biosimilar versions of innovative biological products that did not previously exist. Prior to that time, innovative biologics had essentially unlimited regulatory exclusivity. Under the new regulatory mechanism, the FDA can approve products that are similar to (but not generic copies of) innovative biologics on the basis of less extensive data than is required by a full BLA. After an innovator has marketed its product for four years, any manufacturer may file an application for approval of a "biosimilar" version of the innovator product. However, although an application for approval of a biosimilar may be filed four years after approval of the innovator product, qualified innovative biological products will receive 12 years of regulatory exclusivity, meaning that the FDA may not approve a biosimilar version until 12 years after the innovative biological product was first approved by the FDA. The law also provides a mechanism for innovators to enforce the patents that protect innovative biological products and for biosimilar applicants to challenge the patents. Such patent litigation may begin as early as four years after the innovative biological product is first approved by the FDA.

In the U.S., the increased likelihood of generic and biosimilar challenges to innovators' intellectual property has increased the risk of loss of innovators' market exclusivity. First, generic companies have increasingly sought to challenge innovators' basic patents covering major pharmaceutical products. Second, statutory and regulatory provisions in the U.S. limit the ability of an innovator company to prevent generic and biosimilar drugs from being approved and launched while patent litigation is ongoing. As a result of all of these developments, it is not possible to predict the length of market exclusivity for a particular product with certainty based solely on the expiration of the relevant patent(s) or the current forms of regulatory exclusivity.

#### European Union

Patents on pharmaceutical products are generally enforceable in the EU and, as in the U.S., may be extended to compensate for the patent term lost during the regulatory review process. Such extensions are granted on a country-by-country basis.

The primary route we use to obtain marketing authorization of pharmaceutical products in the EU is through the "centralized procedure." This procedure is compulsory for certain pharmaceutical products, in particular those using biotechnological processes, and is also available for certain new chemical compounds and products. A company seeking to market an innovative pharmaceutical product through the centralized procedure must file a complete set of safety data and efficacy data as part of a MAA with the EMA. After the EMA evaluates the MAA, it provides a



recommendation to the EC and the EC then approves or denies the MAA. It is also possible for new chemical products to obtain marketing authorization in the EU through a “mutual recognition procedure,” in which an application is made to a single member state, and if the member state approves the pharmaceutical product under a national procedure, then the applicant may submit that approval to the mutual recognition procedure of some or all other member states.

After obtaining marketing authorization approval, a company must obtain pricing and reimbursement for the pharmaceutical product, which is typically subject to member state law. In certain EU countries, this process can take place simultaneously while the product is marketed but in other EU countries, this process must be completed before the company can market the new product. The pricing and reimbursement procedure can take months and sometimes years to complete.

Throughout the EU, all products for which marketing authorizations have been filed after October/November 2005 are subject to an “8+2+1” regime. Eight years after the innovator has received its first community authorization for a medicinal product, a generic company may file a marketing authorization application for that product with the health authorities. If the marketing authorization application is approved, the generic company may not commercialize the product until after either 10 or 11 years have elapsed from the initial marketing authorization granted to the innovator. The possible extension to 11 years is available if the innovator, during the first eight years of the marketing authorization, obtains an additional indication that is of significant clinical benefit in comparison with existing treatments. For products that were filed prior to October/November 2005, there is a 10-year period of data protection under the centralized procedures and a period of either six or 10 years under the mutual recognition procedure (depending on the member state).

In contrast to the U.S., patents in the EU are not listed with regulatory authorities. Generic versions of pharmaceutical products can be approved after data protection expires, regardless of whether the innovator holds patents covering its drug. Thus, it is possible that an innovator may be seeking to enforce its patents against a generic competitor that is already marketing its product. Also, the European patent system has an opposition procedure in which generic manufacturers may challenge the validity of patents covering innovator products within nine months of grant.

In general, EU law treats chemically-synthesized drugs and biologically-derived drugs the same with respect to intellectual property and data protection. In addition to the relevant legislation and annexes related to biologic medicinal products, the EMA has issued guidelines that outline the additional information to be provided for biosimilar products, also known as generic biologics, in order to review an application for marketing approval.

#### Japan

In Japan, medicines of new chemical entities are generally afforded eight years of data exclusivity for approved indications and dosage. Patents on pharmaceutical products are enforceable. Generic copies can receive regulatory approval after data exclusivity and patent expirations. As in the U.S., patents in Japan may be extended to compensate for the patent term lost during the regulatory review process.

In general, Japanese law treats chemically-synthesized and biologically-derived drugs the same with respect to intellectual property and market exclusivity.

#### China

In China, medicines of new chemical entities are generally afforded six years of data exclusivity for approved indications and dosage. There is uncertainty about China's exclusivity laws which has resulted in generic competition in the China market. Generic copies can receive regulatory approval after data exclusivity and patent expirations. Currently, unlike the U.S., China has no patent term restoration to compensate for the patent term lost during the regulatory process.

In general, Chinese law treats chemically-synthesized and biologically-derived drugs the same with respect to intellectual property and market exclusivity.

#### Rest of the World

In countries outside of the U.S., the EU, Japan and China, there is a wide variety of legal systems with respect to intellectual property and market exclusivity of pharmaceuticals. Most other developed countries utilize systems similar to either the U.S. or the EU. Among developing countries, some have adopted patent laws and/or regulatory exclusivity laws, while others have not. Some developing countries have formally adopted laws in order to comply with World Trade Organization (WTO) commitments, but have not taken steps to implement these laws in a meaningful way. Enforcement of WTO actions is a long process between governments, and there is no assurance of the outcome. Thus, in assessing the likely future market exclusivity of our innovative drugs in developing countries, we take into account not only formal legal rights but political and other factors as well.

#### Marketing, Distribution and Customers

We promote the appropriate use of our products directly to healthcare professionals and providers such as doctors, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, pharmacists, technologists, hospitals, Pharmacy Benefit Managers (PBMs) and Managed Care Organizations (MCOs). We also provide information about the appropriate use of our products to consumers in the U.S. through direct-to-consumer print, radio, television, and digital advertising and promotion. In

addition, we sponsor general advertising to educate the public about our innovative medical research and corporate mission. For a discussion of the regulation of promotion and marketing of pharmaceuticals, refer to “—Government Regulation and Price Constraints” below.

Through our field sales and medical organizations, we explain the risks and benefits of the approved uses of our products to medical professionals. We work to gain access for our products on formularies and reimbursement plans (lists of recommended or approved medicines and other products), including Medicare Part D plans, by providing information about the clinical profiles of our products. Our marketing and sales of prescription pharmaceuticals is limited to the approved uses of the particular product, but we continue to develop scientific data and other information about our products and provide such information in response to unsolicited inquiries from doctors, other medical professionals and managed care organizations.

Our operations include several marketing and sales organizations. Each product marketing organization is supported by a sales force, which may be responsible for selling one or more products. We also have marketing organizations that focus on certain classes of customers such as managed care entities or certain types of marketing tools, such as digital or consumer communications. Our sales forces focus on communicating information about new products or new uses, as well as established products, and promotion to physicians is increasingly targeted at physician specialists who treat the patients in need of our medicines.

Our products are sold principally to wholesalers, and to a lesser extent, directly to distributors, retailers, hospitals, clinics, government agencies and pharmacies. Gross revenues to the three largest pharmaceutical wholesalers in the U.S. as a percentage of our global gross revenues were as follows:

|                               | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|
| McKesson Corporation          | 21%  | 20%  | 19%  |
| AmerisourceBergen Corporation | 16%  | 17%  | 15%  |
| Cardinal Health, Inc.         | 12%  | 12%  | 14%  |

Our U.S. business has Inventory Management Agreements (IMAs) with substantially all of our direct wholesaler and distributor customers that allow us to monitor U.S. wholesaler inventory levels and requires those wholesalers and distributors to maintain inventory levels that are no more than one month of their demand. The IMAs, including those with our three largest wholesalers, expire in December 2017 subject to certain termination provisions.

In a number of defined countries outside of the U.S., we have established a full scale distributor model to make medically necessary drugs available to patients. We continue to own the marketing authorization and trademarks for these products, but have contracted the services of a full-service distributor to provide distribution and logistics; regulatory and pharmacovigilance; and sales, advertising and promotion for certain products. Sales in these distributor-based countries represented approximately 2% of the Company's total revenues in 2015.

## Competition

The markets in which we compete are generally broad based and highly competitive. We compete with other worldwide research-based drug companies, many smaller research companies with more limited therapeutic focus and generic drug manufacturers. Important competitive factors include product efficacy, safety and ease of use, price and demonstrated cost-effectiveness, marketing effectiveness, product labeling, customer service and research and development of new products and processes. Sales of our products can be impacted by new studies that indicate a competitor's product is safer or more effective for treating a disease or particular form of disease than one of our products. Our revenues also can be impacted by additional labeling requirements relating to safety or convenience that may be imposed on products by the FDA or by similar regulatory agencies in different countries. If competitors introduce new products and processes with therapeutic or cost advantages, our products can be subject to progressive price reductions or decreased volume of sales, or both.

## Generic Competition

One of the biggest competitive challenges that we face is from generic pharmaceutical manufacturers. In the U.S. and the EU, the regulatory approval process exempts generics from costly and time-consuming clinical trials to demonstrate their safety and efficacy, allowing generic manufacturers to rely on the safety and efficacy of the innovator product. As a result, generic pharmaceutical manufacturers typically invest far less in research and development than research-based pharmaceutical companies and therefore can price their products significantly lower than branded products. Accordingly, when a branded product loses its market exclusivity, it normally faces intense price competition from generic forms of the product. Upon the expiration or loss of market exclusivity on a product, we can lose the major portion of revenues of that product in a very short period of time.

The rate of revenues decline of a product after the expiration of exclusivity varies by country. In general, the decline in the U.S. market is more rapid than in most other developed countries, though we have observed rapid declines in a number of EU countries as well. Also, the declines in developed countries tend to be more rapid than in developing countries. The rate of revenues decline after the expiration of exclusivity has also historically been influenced by product characteristics. For example, drugs that are used in a large patient population (e.g., those prescribed by key primary care physicians) tend to experience more rapid declines than drugs in specialized areas of medicine (e.g., oncology). Drugs that are more complex to manufacture (e.g., sterile injectable products) usually experience a slower decline than those that are simpler to manufacture.

In certain countries outside the U.S., patent protection is weak or nonexistent and we must compete with generic versions shortly after we launch our innovative products. In addition, generic pharmaceutical companies may introduce a generic product before exclusivity has expired, and before the resolution of any related patent litigation. For more information about market exclusivity, refer to “—Intellectual Property and Product Exclusivity” above.

We believe our long-term competitive position depends upon our success in discovering and developing innovative, cost-effective products that serve unmet medical needs, together with our ability to manufacture products efficiently and to market them effectively in a highly competitive environment.

### Managed Care Organizations

The growth of MCOs in the U.S. is also a major factor in the healthcare marketplace. Over half of the U.S. population now participates in some version of managed care. MCOs can include medical insurance companies, medical plan administrators, health-maintenance organizations, Medicare Part D prescription drug plans, alliances of hospitals and physicians and other physician organizations. Those organizations have been consolidating into fewer, larger entities, thus enhancing their purchasing strength and importance to us.

To successfully compete for business with MCOs, we must often demonstrate that our products offer not only medical benefits but also cost advantages as compared with other forms of care. Most new products that we introduce compete with other products already on the market or products that are later developed by competitors. As noted above, generic drugs are exempt from costly and time-consuming clinical trials to demonstrate their safety and efficacy and, as such, often have lower costs than brand-name drugs. MCOs that focus primarily on the immediate cost of drugs often favor generics for this reason. Many governments also encourage the use of generics as alternatives to brand-name drugs in their healthcare programs. Laws in the U.S. generally allow, and in many cases require, pharmacists to substitute generic drugs that have been rated under government procedures to be essentially equivalent to a brand-name drug. The substitution must be made unless the prescribing physician expressly forbids it.

Exclusion of a product from a formulary can lead to its sharply reduced usage in the MCO patient population. Consequently, pharmaceutical companies compete aggressively to have their products included. Where possible, companies compete for inclusion based upon unique features of their products, such as greater efficacy, better patient ease of use or fewer side effects. A lower overall cost of therapy is also an important factor. Products that demonstrate fewer therapeutic advantages must compete for inclusion based primarily on price. We have been generally, although not universally, successful in having our major products included on MCO formularies.

### Government Regulation and Price Constraints

The pharmaceutical industry is subject to extensive global regulation by regional, country, state and local agencies. The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDC Act), other Federal statutes and regulations, various state statutes and regulations, and laws and regulations of foreign governments govern to varying degrees the testing, approval, production, labeling, distribution, post-market surveillance, advertising, dissemination of information, and promotion of our products. The lengthy process of laboratory and clinical testing, data analysis, manufacturing, development, and regulatory review necessary for required governmental approvals is extremely costly and can significantly delay product introductions in a given market. Promotion, marketing, manufacturing and distribution of pharmaceutical products are extensively regulated in all major world markets. In addition, our operations are subject to complex Federal, state, local, and foreign environmental and occupational safety laws and regulations. We anticipate that the laws and regulations affecting the manufacture and sale of current products and the introduction of new products will continue to require substantial scientific and technical effort, time and expense as well as significant capital investments.

Of particular importance is the FDA in the U.S. It has jurisdiction over virtually all of our activities and imposes requirements covering the testing, safety, effectiveness, manufacturing, labeling, marketing, advertising and post-marketing surveillance of our products. In many cases, the FDA requirements have increased the amount of time and money necessary to develop new products and bring them to market in the U.S.

The FDA mandates that drugs be manufactured, packaged and labeled in conformity with current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP) established by the FDA. In complying with cGMP regulations, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money and effort in production, recordkeeping and quality control to ensure that products meet applicable specifications and other requirements to ensure product safety and efficacy. The FDA periodically inspects our drug manufacturing facilities to ensure compliance with applicable cGMP requirements. Failure to comply with the statutory and regulatory requirements subjects us to possible legal or regulatory action, such as suspension of manufacturing, seizure of product or voluntary recall of a product. Adverse experiences with the use of products must be reported to the FDA and could result in the imposition of market restrictions through labeling changes or product removal. Product approvals may be withdrawn if compliance with regulatory requirements is not maintained or if problems concerning safety or efficacy occur following approval.

The Federal government has extensive enforcement powers over the activities of pharmaceutical manufacturers, including authority to withdraw or delay product approvals, commence actions to seize and prohibit the sale of unapproved or non-complying products, to halt manufacturing operations that are not in compliance with cGMPs, and to impose or seek injunctions, voluntary recalls, civil, monetary and criminal penalties. Such a restriction or prohibition on sales or withdrawal of approval of products marketed by us could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and cash flows.

Marketing authorization for our products is subject to revocation by the applicable governmental agencies. In addition, modifications or enhancements of approved products or changes in manufacturing locations are in many circumstances subject to additional FDA approvals, which may or may not be received and which may be subject to a lengthy application process.

The distribution of pharmaceutical products is subject to the Prescription Drug Marketing Act (PDMA) as part of the FDC Act, which regulates such activities at both the Federal and state level. Under the PDMA and its implementing regulations, states are permitted to require registration of manufacturers and distributors who provide pharmaceuticals even if such manufacturers or distributors have no place of business within the state. States are also permitted to adopt regulations limiting the distribution of product samples to licensed practitioners. The PDMA also imposes extensive licensing, personnel recordkeeping, packaging, quantity, labeling, product handling and facility storage and security requirements intended to prevent the sale of pharmaceutical product samples or other product diversions.

Our medicines are priced based on a number of factors, including the value of scientific innovation for patients and society in the context of overall health care spend, economic factors impacting health care systems' ability to provide appropriate and sustainable access and the necessity to sustain our investment in innovation platforms to address serious unmet medical needs. Central to price is the clinical value that this innovation brings to the market, the current landscape of alternative treatment options, the goal of ensuring appropriate patient access to this innovation and sustaining investment in innovative platforms. We continue to explore innovative pricing approaches to ensure that patients have access to our medicines. Enhancing patient access to medicines is a priority for us. We are focused on offering creative tiered pricing, voluntary licensing, reimbursement support and patient assistance programs to optimize access while protecting innovation; advocating for sustainable healthcare policies and infrastructure, leveraging advocacy/payer's input and utilizing partnerships as appropriate; and improving access to care and supportive services for vulnerable patients through partnerships and demonstration projects.

The FDA Amendments Act of 2007 imposed additional obligations on pharmaceutical companies and delegated more enforcement authority to the FDA in the area of drug safety. Key elements of this legislation give the FDA authority to (1) require that companies conduct post-marketing safety studies of drugs, (2) impose certain drug labeling changes relating to safety, (3) mandate risk mitigation measures such as the education of healthcare providers and the restricted distribution of medicines, (4) require companies to publicly disclose data from clinical trials and (5) pre-review television advertisements.

The marketing practices of all U.S. pharmaceutical manufacturers are subject to Federal and state healthcare laws that are used to protect the integrity of government healthcare programs. The Office of Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (OIG) oversees compliance with applicable Federal laws, in connection with the payment for products by government funded programs (primarily Medicaid and Medicare). These laws include the Federal anti-kickback statute, which criminalizes the offering of something of value to induce the recommendation, order or purchase of products or services reimbursed under a government healthcare program. The OIG has issued a series of Guidances to segments of the healthcare industry, including the 2003 Compliance Program Guidance for Pharmaceutical Manufacturers (the OIG Guidance), which includes a recommendation that pharmaceutical manufacturers, at a minimum, adhere to the PhRMA Code, a voluntary industry code of marketing practices. We subscribe to the PhRMA Code, and have implemented a compliance program to address the requirements set forth in the OIG Guidance and our compliance with the healthcare laws. Failure to comply with these healthcare laws could subject us to administrative and legal proceedings, including actions by Federal and state government agencies. Such actions could result in the imposition of civil and criminal sanctions, which may include fines, penalties and injunctive remedies, the impact of which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and cash flows.



We are also subject to the jurisdiction of various other Federal and state regulatory and enforcement departments and agencies, such as the Federal Trade Commission, the Department of Justice and the Department of Health and Human Services in the U.S. We are also licensed by the U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency to procure and produce controlled substances. We are, therefore, subject to possible administrative and legal proceedings and actions by these organizations. Such actions may result in the imposition of civil and criminal sanctions, which may include fines, penalties and injunctive or administrative remedies.

Our activities outside the U.S. are also subject to regulatory requirements governing the testing, approval, safety, effectiveness, manufacturing, labeling and marketing of our products. These regulatory requirements vary from country to country. Whether or not FDA approval or approval of the EC has been obtained for a product, approval of the product by comparable regulatory authorities of countries outside of the U.S. or the EU, as the case may be, must be obtained prior to marketing the product in those countries. The approval process may be more or less rigorous from country to country, and the time required for approval may be longer or shorter than that required in the U.S. Approval in one country does not assure that a product will be approved in another country.

In many markets outside the U.S., we operate in an environment of government-mandated, cost-containment programs. Several governments have placed restrictions on physician prescription levels and patient reimbursements, emphasized greater use of generic drugs and/or enacted across-the-board price cuts as methods of cost control. In most EU countries, for example, the government regulates pricing of a new product at launch often through direct price controls, international price comparisons, controlling profits and/or reference pricing. In other markets, such as the UK and Germany, the government does not set pricing restrictions at launch, but pricing freedom

is subsequently limited, such as by the operation of a profit and price control plan in the UK and by the operation of a reference price system in Germany. Companies also face significant delays in market access for new products, mainly in France, Spain, Italy and Belgium, and more than two years can elapse before new medicines become available on some national markets. Additionally, member states of the EU have regularly imposed new or additional cost containment measures for pharmaceuticals such as volume discounts, cost caps, cost sharing for increases in excess of prior year costs for individual products or aggregated market level spending, outcome-based pricing schemes and free products for a portion of the expected therapy period. In recent years, Italy, for example, has imposed mandatory price decreases. The existence of price differentials within the EU due to the different national pricing and reimbursement laws leads to significant parallel trade flows.

The U.S. healthcare industry is subject to various government-imposed regulations authorizing prices or price controls that have and will continue to have an impact on our total revenues. We participate in state government Medicaid programs, as well as certain other qualifying Federal and state government programs whereby discounts and rebates are provided to participating state and local government entities. We also participate in government programs that specify discounts to certain government entities, the most significant of which are the U.S. Department of Defense and the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. These entities receive minimum discounts based off a defined “non-federal average manufacturer price” for purchases. As a result of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (HR 3590) and the reconciliation bill containing a package of changes to the healthcare bill, we have experienced and will continue to experience additional financial costs and certain other changes to our business. For example, minimum rebates on our Medicaid drug sales have increased from 15.1 percent to 23.1 percent and Medicaid rebates have also been extended to drugs used in risk-based Medicaid managed care plans. In addition, we extend discounts to certain critical access hospitals, cancer hospitals and other covered entities as required by the expansion of the 340B Drug Pricing Program under the Public Health Service Act.

We are required to provide a 50 percent discount on our brand-name drugs to patients who fall within the Medicare Part D coverage gap, also referred to as the “donut hole” and pay an annual non-tax-deductible fee to the federal government based on an allocation of our market share of branded drug sales to certain government programs including Medicare, Medicaid, Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of Defense and TRICARE.

For further discussion of these rebates and programs, refer to “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Total Revenues” and “—Critical Accounting Policies.”

#### Sources and Availability of Raw Materials

In general, we purchase our raw materials and supplies required for the production of our products in the open market. For some products, we purchase our raw materials and supplies from one source (the only source available to us) or a single source (the only approved source among many available to us), thereby requiring us to obtain such raw materials and supplies from that particular source. We attempt, if possible, to mitigate our raw material supply risks, through inventory management and alternative sourcing strategies. For further discussion of sourcing, refer to “—Manufacturing and Quality Assurance” below and discussions of particular products.

#### Manufacturing and Quality Assurance

We operate and manage our manufacturing network in a manner that permits us to improve efficiency while maintaining flexibility to reallocate manufacturing capacity. Pharmaceutical production processes are complex, highly regulated and vary widely from product to product. Given that shifting or adding manufacturing capacity can be a lengthy process requiring significant capital and other expenditures as well as regulatory approvals, we maintain and operate our flexible manufacturing network, consisting of internal and external resources that minimize unnecessary product transfers and inefficient uses of manufacturing capacity. For further discussion of the regulatory impact on our

manufacturing, refer to “—Government Regulation and Price Constraints” above.

Our pharmaceutical manufacturing facilities are located in the U.S., Puerto Rico, France, Italy, Ireland, Japan, Mexico and China and require significant ongoing capital investment for both maintenance and compliance with increasing regulatory requirements. In addition, as our product line changes over the next several years, we expect to continue modification of our existing manufacturing network to meet complex processing standards that may be required for newly introduced products, including biologics. Biologics manufacturing involves more complex processes than those of traditional pharmaceutical operations. The FDA approved our large scale multi-product bulk biologics manufacturing facility in Devens, Massachusetts in May 2012 and we continue to make capital investments in this facility. We are building a new large-scale biologics manufacturing facility in Cruiserath, Ireland.

We rely on third parties to manufacture or supply us with all or a portion of the active ingredients necessary for us to manufacture various products, including Baraclade, the Sustiva Franchise, Yervoy, Opdivo, Reyataz, Orencia and Eliquis. Beginning in October 2015, following the transfer of our rights to Erbitux\* in North America to Lilly, Lilly assumed manufacturing responsibilities for Erbitux\*. To maintain a stable supply of these products, we take a variety of actions including inventory management and maintenance of additional quantities of materials, when possible, designed to provide for a reasonable level of these ingredients to be held by the third-party supplier, us or both, so that our manufacturing operations are not interrupted. As an additional protection, in some cases, we take steps to maintain an

approved back-up source where available. For example, we will rely on the capacity of our Devens, Massachusetts facility and the capacity available at our third-party contract manufacturers to manufacture Orencia.

If we or any third-party manufacturer that we rely on for existing or future products is unable to maintain a stable supply of products, operate at sufficient capacity to meet our order requirements, comply with government regulations for manufacturing pharmaceuticals or meet the complex processing requirements for biologics, our business performance and prospects could be negatively impacted. Additionally, if we or any of our third-party suppliers were to experience extended plant shutdowns or substantial unplanned increases in demand or suspension of manufacturing for regulatory reasons, we could experience an interruption in supply of certain products or product shortages until production could be resumed or expanded.

In connection with divestitures, licensing arrangements or distribution agreements of certain of our products, or in certain other circumstances, we have entered into agreements under which we have agreed to supply such products to third parties. In addition to liabilities that could arise from our failure to supply such products under the agreements, these arrangements could require us to invest in facilities for the production of non-strategic products, result in additional regulatory filings and obligations or cause an interruption in the manufacturing of our own products.

Our success depends in great measure upon customer confidence in the quality of our products and in the integrity of the data that support their safety and effectiveness. Product quality arises from a total commitment to quality in all parts of our operations, including research and development, purchasing, facilities planning, manufacturing, and distribution. We maintain quality-assurance procedures relating to the quality and integrity of technical information and production processes.

Control of production processes involves detailed specifications for ingredients, equipment and facilities, manufacturing methods, processes, packaging materials and labeling. We perform tests at various stages of production processes and on the final product to ensure that the product meets regulatory requirements and our standards. These tests may involve chemical and physical chemical analyses, microbiological testing, or a combination of these along with other analyses. Quality control is provided by business unit/site quality assurance groups that monitor existing manufacturing procedures and systems used by us, our subsidiaries and third-party suppliers.

#### Environmental Regulation

Our facilities and operations are subject to extensive U.S. and foreign laws and regulations relating to environmental protection and human health and safety, including those governing discharges of pollutants into the air and water; the use, management and disposal of hazardous, radioactive and biological materials and wastes; and the cleanup of contamination. Pollution controls and permits are required for many of our operations, and these permits are subject to modification, renewal or revocation by the issuing authorities.

Our environment, health and safety group monitors our operations around the world, providing us with an overview of regulatory requirements and overseeing the implementation of our standards for compliance. We also incur operating and capital costs for such matters on an ongoing basis. We expended approximately \$18 million in 2015, \$18 million in 2014 and \$19 million in 2013 on capital projects undertaken specifically to meet environmental requirements. In addition, we invested in projects that reduce resource use of energy and water. Although we believe that we are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental, health and safety requirements and the permits required for our operations, we nevertheless could incur additional costs, including civil or criminal fines or penalties, clean-up costs, or third-party claims for property damage or personal injury, for violations or liabilities under these laws.

Many of our current and former facilities have been in operation for many years, and over time, we and other operators of those facilities have generated, used, stored or disposed of substances or wastes that are considered

hazardous under Federal, state and/or foreign environmental laws, including the U.S. Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). As a result, the soil and groundwater at or under certain of these facilities is or may be contaminated, and we may be required to make significant expenditures to investigate, control and remediate such contamination, and in some cases to provide compensation and/or restoration for damages to natural resources. Currently, we are involved in investigation and remediation at 17 current or former facilities. We have also been identified as a “potentially responsible party” (PRP) under applicable laws for environmental conditions at approximately 21 former waste disposal or reprocessing facilities operated by third parties at which investigation and/or remediation activities are ongoing.

We may face liability under CERCLA and other Federal, state and foreign laws for the entire cost of investigation or remediation of contaminated sites, or for natural resource damages, regardless of fault or ownership at the time of the disposal or release. In addition, at certain sites we bear remediation responsibility pursuant to contractual obligations. Generally, at third-party operator sites involving multiple PRPs, liability has been or is expected to be apportioned based on the nature and amount of hazardous substances disposed of by each party at the site and the number of financially viable PRPs. For additional information about these matters, refer to “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 22. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies.”

#### Employees

As of December 31, 2015, we employed approximately 25,000 people.

## Foreign Operations

We have significant operations outside the U.S. They are conducted both through our subsidiaries and through distributors.

For further discussion of our total revenues by geographic area refer to “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Total Revenues.”

International operations are subject to certain risks, which are inherent in conducting business abroad, including, but not limited to, currency fluctuations, possible nationalization or expropriation, price and exchange controls, counterfeit products, limitations on foreign participation in local enterprises and other restrictive governmental actions. Our international businesses are also subject to government-imposed constraints, including laws on pricing or reimbursement for use of products.

Depending on the direction of change relative to the U.S. dollar, foreign currency values can increase or decrease the reported dollar value of our net assets and results of operations. The change in foreign exchange rates had a net unfavorable impact on the growth rate of revenues in 2015. While we cannot predict with certainty future changes in foreign exchange rates or the effect they will have on the growth rate of revenues, we attempt to mitigate their impact through operational means and by using various financial instruments. Refer to the discussions under “Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk” and “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 10. Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements.”

## Bristol-Myers Squibb Website

Our internet website address is [www.bms.com](http://www.bms.com). On our website, we make available, free of charge, our annual, quarterly and current reports, including amendments to such reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish such material to, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Information relating to corporate governance at Bristol-Myers Squibb, including our Principles of Integrity, Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Directors, (collectively, the “Codes”), Corporate Governance Guidelines, and information concerning our Executive Committee, Board of Directors, including Board Committees and Committee charters, and transactions in Bristol-Myers Squibb securities by directors and executive officers, is available on our website under the “Investors—Corporate Governance” caption and in print to any stockholder upon request. Any waivers to the Codes by directors or executive officers and any material amendment to the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Directors and Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers will be posted promptly on our website. Information relating to stockholder services, including our Dividend Reinvestment Plan and direct deposit of dividends, is available on our website under the “Investors—Stockholder Services” caption. In addition, information about our Sustainability programs is available on our website under the “Responsibility” caption.

We incorporate by reference certain information from parts of our proxy statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The SEC allows us to disclose important information by referring to it in that manner. Please refer to such information. Our proxy statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and 2015 Annual Report will be available on our website under the “Investors—SEC Filings” caption on or about March 21, 2016.

## Item 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Any of the factors described below could significantly and negatively affect our business, prospects, financial condition, operating results, or credit ratings, which could cause the trading price of our common stock to decline.

Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or risks that we currently consider immaterial, could also impair our operations or financial condition.

We face intense competition from other manufacturers, including for both innovative medicines and lower-priced generic products.

BMS is dependent on the market access, uptake and expansion for marketed brands, new product introductions, new indications, product extensions and co-promotional activities with alliance partners, to deliver future growth.

Competition is keen and includes (i) lower-priced generics and increasingly aggressive generic commercialization tactics, (ii) lower prices for other companies' products, real or perceived superior efficacy (benefit) or safety (risk) profiles, or other differentiating factors, (iii) technological advances and patents attained by our competitors, (iv) clinical study results from our products or a competitor's products that affect the value proposition for our products, (v) business combinations among our competitors and major third-party payers, and (vi) competing interests for external partnerships to develop and bring new products to markets.

We could lose market exclusivity of a product earlier than expected.

In the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries, the majority of an innovative product's commercial value is realized during its market exclusivity period. In the U.S. and in some other countries, when market exclusivity expires and generic versions are approved and marketed or when biosimilars are introduced (even if only for a competing product), there are usually very substantial and rapid declines in a product's revenues.

Market exclusivity for our products is based upon patent rights and certain regulatory forms of exclusivity. The scope of our patent rights varies from country to country and may also be dependent on the availability of meaningful legal remedies in a country. The failure to obtain patent and other intellectual property rights, or limitations on the use or loss of such rights, could be material to us. In some countries, including certain EU member states, basic patent protections for our products may not exist because certain countries did not historically offer the right to obtain specific types of patents and/or we (or our licensors) did not file in those countries. In addition, the patent environment can be unpredictable and the validity and enforceability of patents cannot be predicted with certainty. Absent relevant patent protection for a product, once the data exclusivity period expires, generic versions can be approved and marketed.

Generic and biosimilar product manufacturers as well as other groups seeking financial gain are also increasingly seeking to challenge patents before they expire, and we could face earlier-than-expected competition for any products at any time. Patents covering our key products have been and are likely to continue to be subject to patent litigation. In some cases, manufacturers may seek regulatory approval by submitting their own clinical trial data to obtain marketing approval or choose to launch a generic product “at risk” before the expiration of the applicable patent(s) and/or before the final resolution of related patent litigation. There is no assurance that a particular product will enjoy market exclusivity for the full time period that appears in the estimates disclosed in this Form 10-K. In addition, some countries, such as India, are allowing competitors to manufacture and sell competing generic products, which negatively impacts the protections afforded the Company. Lower-priced biosimilars for BMS biologic products or competing biologics could negatively impact our volumes and prices.

Increased pricing pressure and other restrictions in the U.S. and abroad from managed care organizations, institutional purchasers, and government agencies and programs, among others, could negatively affect our revenues and profit margins.

Our products continue to be subject to increasing pressures across the portfolio from market access, pricing and discounting and other restrictions in the U.S., the EU and other regions around the world, including from (i) rules and practices of managed care organizations and institutional and governmental purchasers; (ii) judicial decisions and changes in laws and regulations for federal healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid as well as U.S. healthcare reform, and other government actions and inquiries; (iii) the potential impact of changes to pharmaceutical reimbursement, and increased pricing pressure from Medicare Part D formularies, Medicare Part B reimbursement rates as well as commercial formularies in general; (iv) reimbursement delays; (v) government price erosion mechanisms across Europe and in other countries, resulting in deflation for pharmaceutical product pricing; (vi) collection delays in government-funded public hospitals outside the U.S. (vii) the impact on pricing from parallel trade across borders; (viii) other developments in technology and/or industry practices that could impact the reimbursement policies and practices of third-party payers; and (ix) inhibited market access due to real or perceived differences in value propositions for our products compared to competing products.

Third-party royalties represent a significant percentage of our pretax income and operating cash flow.

We have entered into several arrangements which entitle us to potential royalties from third parties for out-licensed intellectual property, commercialization rights and sales-based contingent proceeds related to the divestiture of businesses. In many of these arrangements we have minimal, if any, continuing involvement that contribute to the financial success of those activities. Royalties have continued to represent a significant percentage of our pretax income, including royalties related to our Sanofi alliance, out-licensed intellectual property and contingent proceeds resulting from the divestiture of the diabetes and Erbitux\* businesses. Pretax income generated from royalties were approximately \$675 million in 2015. Our pretax income could be adversely affected if the royalty streams decline in future periods.

Failure to execute our business strategy could adversely impact our growth and profitability.



We may not be able to consistently maintain a rich pipeline, through internal R&D programs or transactions with third parties, to support future revenue growth. Competition among pharmaceutical companies for acquisition and product licensing opportunities is intense, and we may not be able to locate suitable acquisition targets or licensing partners at reasonable prices, or successfully execute such transactions. We also may not be able to successfully realize the expected efficiencies and effectiveness from changes in our structure and operations to further our diversified specialty biopharmaceuticals strategy. If we are unable to support and grow our marketed products, successfully execute the launches of newly approved products, advance our late-stage pipeline, manage change and transformational issues, and manage our costs effectively, our operating results and financial condition could be negatively impacted.

Failure to attract and retain highly qualified personnel could affect our ability to successfully develop and commercialize products.

Our success is largely dependent on our continued ability to attract and retain highly qualified scientific, technical and management personnel, as well as personnel with expertise in clinical research and development, governmental regulation and commercialization. Competition for qualified personnel in the biopharmaceutical field is intense. We cannot be sure that we will be able to attract and retain quality personnel or that the costs of doing so will not materially increase.

The public announcement of data from our clinical studies, or those of our competitors, or news of any developments related to our, or our competitors', immuno-oncology products or late-stage compounds may cause significant volatility in our stock price. If the development of any of our key immuno-oncology compounds, whether alone or as part of a combination therapy, is delayed or discontinued, our stock price could decline significantly.

We are focusing our efforts and resources in certain disease areas. With our more focused portfolio, investors are placing heightened scrutiny on some of our products or late-stage compounds. In particular, Opdivo is an important asset in our immuno-oncology portfolio. During 2015, we announced multiple regulatory milestones for Opdivo, a fully human monoclonal antibody that was approved as an anticancer treatment in non-small cell lung cancer, renal cell cancer and melanoma, and being investigated for other tumor types, alone or in combination with other approved cancer products such as Yervoy. In 2016, we expect to receive further news from ongoing clinical trials and health authorities.

The announcement of data from our clinical studies, or those of our competitors, or news of any developments related to our, or our competitors', immuno-oncology products or late-stage compounds, such as Opdivo, may cause significant volatility in our stock price. Furthermore, the announcement of any negative or unexpected data or the discontinuation of development of any of our key immuno-oncology compounds, whether alone or as part of a combination therapy, any delay in our anticipated timelines for filing for regulatory approval, or a significant advancement of a competitor, will likely cause our stock price to decline significantly. There is no assurance that data from our clinical studies will support a filing for regulatory approval or even if approved, that any of our key immuno-oncology compounds will become commercially successful for all approved indications.

We may experience difficulties or delays in the development and commercialization of new products. Compounds or products may appear promising in development but fail to reach market within the expected or optimal timeframe, or at all. In addition, product extensions or additional indications may not be approved. Developing and commercializing new compounds and products include inherent risks and uncertainties, including (i) due to efficacy and safety concerns, delayed or denied regulatory approvals, delays or challenges with producing products on a commercial scale or excessive costs to manufacture them; (ii) failure to enter into or implement optimal alliances for the development and/or commercialization of new products; (iii) failure to maintain a consistent scope and variety of promising late-stage products; (iv) failure of one or more of our products to achieve or maintain commercial viability, (v) changes in regulatory approval processes may cause delays or denials of new product approvals.

Regulatory approval delays are especially common when a product is expected to have a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy, as required by the FDA to address significant risk/benefit issues. The inability to bring a product to market or a significant delay in the expected approval and related launch date of a new product could negatively impact our revenues and earnings. In addition, if certain acquired pipeline programs (including in-process research and development (IPR&D)) are canceled or we believe their commercial prospects have been reduced, we may recognize material non-cash impairment charges for those programs. For example, in 2015, we recognized a \$160 million IPRD impairment charge for an LPA1 antagonist and in 2014, we recognized a \$310 million IPRD impairment charge for peginterferon lambda. Finally, losing key molecules and intermediaries or our compound library through a natural or man-made disaster or act of sabotage could negatively impact the product development cycle.

Any businesses or assets we acquire in the future may underperform, and we may not be able to successfully integrate them into our existing business.

We may continue to support our pipeline with compounds or products obtained through licensing and acquisitions. Future revenues, profits and cash flows of an acquired company's products, technologies and pipeline candidates, may not materialize due to lower product uptake, delayed or missed pipeline opportunities, the inability to capture expected synergies, increased competition, safety concerns, regulatory issues, supply chain problems or other factors beyond our control. Substantial difficulties, costs and delays could result from integrating our acquisitions, including for

(i) R&D, manufacturing, distribution, sales, marketing, promotion and information technology activities; (ii) policies, procedures, processes, controls and compliance; (iii) company cultures; (iv) compensation structures and other human resource activities; and (v) tax considerations.

We depend on several key products for most of our revenues, cash flows and earnings.

We have historically derived a majority of our revenue and earnings from several key products and while we are not as heavily dependent on one or two products as in past years, our dependence on the profitability of certain products is likely to continue. For instance, in 2015, Orencia, Eliquis, Sprycel and the Hepatitis C Franchise each represented approximately 10% or greater of consolidated revenues. We expect that growth products such as Opdivo and Eliquis will become an increasingly important part of our revenue base. A reduction in revenues from one or more of these products could significantly negatively impact our revenues, cash flows and earnings.

We could experience difficulties and delays in the manufacturing, distribution and sale of our products.

Our product supply and related patient access could be negatively impacted by, among other things: (i) product seizures or recalls or forced closings of manufacturing plants; (ii) our failure, or the failure of any of our suppliers, to comply with Current Good Manufacturing Practices and other applicable regulations or quality assurance guidelines that could lead to manufacturing shutdowns, product shortages or delays in product manufacturing;

(iii) manufacturing, quality assurance/quality control, supply problems or governmental approval delays; (iv) the failure of a sole source or single source supplier to provide us with the necessary raw materials, supplies or finished

goods within a reasonable timeframe; (v) the failure of a third-party manufacturer to supply us with bulk active or finished product on time; (vi) construction or regulatory approval delays for new facilities or the expansion of existing facilities, including those intended to support future demand for our biologics products, such as Opdivo; (vii) the failure to meet new and emerging regulations requiring products to be tracked throughout the distribution channels using unique identifiers to verify their authenticity in the supply chain; (viii) other manufacturing or distribution issues, including limits to manufacturing capacity and changes in the types of products produced, such as biologics, physical limitations or other business interruptions and (ix) disruption in supply chain continuity including from natural or man-made disasters at one of our facilities or at a critical supplier.

Changes in U.S. or foreign laws and regulations may negatively affect our revenues and profit margins.

We could become subject to new government laws and regulations, which could negatively affect our business, our operating results and the financial condition of our Company, such as (i) additional healthcare reform initiatives in the U.S. or in other countries, including additional mandatory discounts or fees; (ii) increasing tax revenues in the U.S. or other countries as a means to reduce debt by changing tax rates; limiting, phasing-out or eliminating deductions or tax credits; modifying tax collection processes; taxing certain tax havens; taxing certain excess income from intellectual property; changing rules for earnings repatriations; and changing other tax laws; (iii) new laws, regulations and judicial or other governmental decisions affecting pricing, drug reimbursement, receivable payments, and access or marketing within or across jurisdictions; (iv) changes in intellectual property law; (v) changes in accounting standards; (vi) increasing data privacy regulations and enforcement; (vii) emerging and new global regulatory requirements for reporting payments and other value transfers to healthcare professionals, and (viii) the potential impact of importation restrictions, legislative and/or other regulatory changes.

Product labeling changes for our marketed products could result in a negative impact on revenues and profit margins. We or regulatory authorities may need to change the labeling for any pharmaceutical product, including after a product has been marketed for several years. These changes are often the result of additional data from post-marketing studies, head-to-head trials, adverse events reports, studies that identify biomarkers (objective characteristics that can indicate a particular response to a product or therapy) or other studies or post-marketing experience that produce important additional information about a product. New information added to a product's label can affect its risk-benefit profile, leading to potential recalls, withdrawals, or declining revenue, as well as product liability claims. Sometimes additional information from these studies identifies a portion of the patient population that may be non-responsive to a medicine or would be at higher risk of adverse reactions and labeling changes based on such studies may limit the patient population. The studies providing such additional information may be sponsored by us, but they could also be sponsored by competitors, insurance companies, government institutions, managed care organizations, scientists, investigators, or other interested parties. While additional safety and efficacy information from such studies assist us and healthcare providers in identifying the best patient population for each product, it can also negatively impact our revenues due to inventory returns and a more limited patient population going forward. Additionally, certain study results, especially from head-to-head trials, could affect a product's formulary listing, which could also adversely affect revenues.

Adverse outcomes in legal matters could negatively affect our business.

Current or future lawsuits, claims, proceedings and government investigations could preclude or delay the commercialization of our products or could adversely affect our operations, profitability, liquidity or financial condition, after any possible insurance recoveries, where available. Such legal matters include (i) intellectual property disputes; (ii) adverse decisions in litigation, including product liability and commercial cases; (iii) anti-bribery regulations, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practice Act or UK Bribery Act, including compliance with ongoing reporting obligations to the government resulting from any settlements such as the civil settlement reached by the Company with the SEC in October 2015, (iv) recalls or withdrawals of pharmaceutical products or forced closings of manufacturing plants; (v) the failure to fulfill obligations under supply contracts with the government and other customers; (vi) product pricing and promotional matters; (vii) lawsuits and claims asserting, or investigations into,

violations of securities, antitrust, Federal and state pricing, consumer protection, data privacy and other laws; (viii) environmental, health, safety and sustainability matters; and (iv) tax liabilities.

We depend on third parties to meet their contractual, regulatory, and other obligations.

We rely on suppliers, vendors, outsourcing partners, alliance partners and other third parties to research, develop, manufacture, commercialize, co-promote and sell our products, manage certain marketing, selling, human resource, finance, information technology and other business unit and functional services, and meet their contractual, regulatory, and other obligations. Some third parties are located in markets subject to political and social risk, corruption, infrastructure problems and natural disasters, in addition to country specific privacy and data security risk given current legal and regulatory environments. The failure of any critical third party to meet its obligations, including for future royalty and milestone payments; adequately deploy business continuity plans in the event of a crisis; and/or satisfactorily resolve significant disagreements with us or address other factors, could have a material adverse impact on the Company's operations and results. In addition, if these third parties violate, or are alleged to have violated, any laws or regulations, including the local pharmaceutical code, U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practice Act, U.K. Bribery Act and other similar laws and regulations, during the performance of their obligations for us, it is possible that we could suffer financial and reputational harm or other negative outcomes, including possible legal consequences.

The illegal distribution and sale by third parties of counterfeit versions of our products or stolen products could have a negative impact on our reputation and business.

Third parties may illegally distribute and sell counterfeit versions of our products, which do not meet our rigorous manufacturing and testing standards. A patient who receives a counterfeit drug may be at risk for a number of dangerous health consequences. Our reputation and business could suffer harm as a result of counterfeit drugs sold under our brand name. In addition, thefts of inventory at warehouses, plants or while in-transit, which are then not properly stored and are later sold through unauthorized channels, could adversely impact patient safety, our reputation and our business.

We are increasingly dependent on information technology and our systems and infrastructure face certain risks, including from cyber security and data leakage.

A significant breakdown, invasion, corruption, destruction or interruption of critical information technology systems or infrastructure, by our workforce, others with authorized access to our systems, or unauthorized persons could negatively impact operations. The ever-increasing use and evolution of technology, including cloud-based computing, creates opportunities for the unintentional dissemination or intentional destruction of confidential information stored in our systems, in non-encrypted portable media or storage devices. We could also experience a business interruption, theft of confidential information, or reputational damage from industrial espionage attacks, malware or other cyber-attacks, which may compromise our system infrastructure or lead to data leakage, either internally or at our third-party providers. Although the aggregate impact on our operations and financial condition has not been material to date, we have been the target of events of this nature and expect them to continue and possibly increase in frequency. We have invested in industry appropriate protections and monitoring practices of our data and information technology to reduce these risks and continue to monitor our systems on an ongoing basis for any current or potential threats. There can be no assurance, however, that our efforts will prevent breakdowns or breaches to our or our third-party providers' databases or systems that could adversely affect our business.

Social media platforms present risks and challenges.

The inappropriate and/or unauthorized use of certain media vehicles could cause brand damage or information leakage or could lead to legal implications, including from the improper collection and/or dissemination of personally identifiable information from employees, patients, healthcare professionals or other stakeholders. In addition, negative or inaccurate posts or comments about us on any social networking website could damage our reputation, brand image and goodwill. Further, the disclosure of non-public Company-sensitive information by our workforce or others through external media channels could lead to information loss. Identifying new points of entry as social media continues to expand presents new challenges.

Adverse changes in U.S., global, regional or local economic conditions could adversely affect our profitability. Global economic risks pose significant challenges to a company's growth and profitability and are difficult to mitigate. The world's major economies hold historically-high debt levels and many are experiencing slow growth and high unemployment rates. Several risks lie ahead, including the management of the U.S. debt and the European sovereign debt. We have significant operations in Europe, including for manufacturing. We have exposure to customer credit risks in Europe, South America and other markets including from government-guaranteed hospital receivables in markets where payments are not received on time. In addition, future pension plan funding requirements continue to be sensitive to global economic conditions and the related impact on equity markets. We are also exposed to other commercial risks and economic factors over which we do not have any control, which could pose significant challenges to our underlying profitability.

Changes in foreign currency exchange, interest and tax rates could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and liquidity.

We have significant operations outside of the U.S. generating approximately 51% of our revenues in 2015. As such, our revenues, earnings and cash flow are exposed to risk from a strengthening U.S. dollar against the euro, Japanese

yen, Chinese renminbi, Canadian dollar and South Korean won, among others, which can be difficult to mitigate. Derivative financial instruments are used to hedge certain, but not all, underlying economic exposures. All of the financial instruments used, including derivatives, are subject to counterparty credit risk. In addition, the results of our operations could be negatively impacted by any member country exiting the eurozone monetary union or EU. We are also exposed to changes in interest rates. Our ability to access money markets and/or capital markets could be impeded if adverse liquidity market conditions occur. Debt ratings would be pressured if financial and clinical expectations are not met.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

Item 2. PROPERTIES.

Our principal executive offices are located at 345 Park Avenue, New York, NY, where we lease approximately 81,000 square feet of floor space. We own or lease approximately 182 properties in 49 countries.

We manufacture products at 10 worldwide locations, all of which are owned by us. Our manufacturing locations and aggregate square feet of floor space by geographic area were as follows at December 31, 2015:

|                   | Number of Locations | Square Feet |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| United States     | 4                   | 2,190,000   |
| Europe            | 3                   | 1,296,000   |
| Rest of the World | 3                   | 514,000     |
| Total             | 10                  | 4,000,000   |

Portions of these manufacturing locations and the other properties owned or leased by us in the U.S. and elsewhere are used for research and development, administration, storage and distribution. For further information about our properties, refer to “Item 1. Business—Manufacturing and Quality Assurance.”

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Information pertaining to legal proceedings can be found in “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 22. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies” and is incorporated by reference herein.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

Not applicable.



## PART IA

## Executive Officers of the Registrant

Listed below is information on our executive officers as of February 12, 2016. Executive officers are elected by the Board of Directors for an initial term, which continues until the first Board meeting following the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and thereafter, are elected for a one-year term or until their successors have been elected. All executive officers serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors.

| Name and Current Position                                                                                                          | Age | Employment History for the Past 5 Years                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Giovanni Caforio, M.D.<br>Chief Executive Officer and Director<br>Member of the Leadership Team                                    | 51  | 2010 to 2011 – Senior Vice President, Oncology and Immunology, Global Commercialization<br>2011 to 2013 – President, U.S. Pharmaceuticals<br>2013 to 2014 – Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer<br>2014 to 2015 – Chief Operating Officer and Director of the Company<br>2015 to present – Chief Executive Officer and Director of the Company |
| Charles Bancroft<br>Executive Vice President and Chief<br>Financial Officer<br>Member of the Leadership Team                       | 56  | 2010 to 2011 – Chief Financial Officer of the Company<br>2011 to present – Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Emmanuel Blin<br>Senior Vice President and Head of<br>Commercialization, Policy and<br>Operations<br>Member of the Leadership Team | 46  | 2010 to 2013 – President & General Manager, Japan<br>2013 to 2015 – President, Global Commercialization<br>2015 to present – Senior Vice President, Head of Commercialization, Policy and Operations                                                                                                                                                             |
| Joseph C. Caldarella<br>Senior Vice President and Corporate<br>Controller                                                          | 60  | 2010 to present – Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Francis Cuss, MB BChir, FRCP<br>Executive Vice President and Chief<br>Scientific Officer<br>Member of the Leadership Team          | 61  | 2010 to 2013 – Senior Vice President, Research<br>2013 to present – Executive Vice President and Chief Scientific Officer                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| John E. Elicker<br>Senior Vice President, Public Affairs<br>and Investor Relations<br>Member of the Leadership Team                | 56  | 2010 to 2012 – Senior Vice President, Investor Relations<br>2012 to present – Senior Vice President, Public Affairs and Investor Relations                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Murdo Gordon<br>Senior Vice President and Head of<br>Worldwide Markets<br>Member of the Leadership Team                            | 49  | 2010 to 2011 – Senior Vice President, Access<br>2011 to 2013 – Senior Vice President, Oncology and Immunology<br>2013 to 2015 – President, U.S. Pharmaceuticals<br>2015 to present – Senior Vice President, Head of Worldwide Markets                                                                                                                            |
| Ann Powell Judge<br>Senior Vice President, Global Human<br>Resources<br>Member of the Leadership Team                              | 50  | 2009 to 2013 – Chief Human Resources Officer, Shire Pharmaceuticals<br>2013 to present – Senior Vice President, Global Human Resources                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Sandra Leung<br>Executive Vice President and General<br>Counsel<br>Member of the Leadership Team                                   | 55  | 2007 to 2014 – General Counsel and Corporate Secretary<br>2014 to 2015 – Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary<br>2015 to present – Executive Vice President and General Counsel                                                                                                                                                     |
| Anne Nielsen<br>Senior Vice President and Chief<br>Compliance and Ethics Officer                                                   | 55  | 2009 to 2013 – Vice President and Associate General Counsel<br>2013 to 2013 – Senior Vice President and Deputy General Counsel<br>2013 to present – Senior Vice President and Chief Compliance and                                                                                                                                                               |

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

|                                                                          |    |                                                                                            |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Member of the Leadership Team                                            |    | Ethics Officer                                                                             |
| Louis S. Schmukler                                                       |    |                                                                                            |
| President, Global Manufacturing and Supply                               | 60 | 2009 to 2011 – Senior Vice President, Specialty/Biotechnology Operating Unit, Pfizer       |
| Member of the Leadership Team                                            |    | 2011 to present – President, Global Manufacturing and Supply                               |
| Paul von Autenried                                                       |    | 2007 to 2011 – Vice President and Chief Information Officer                                |
| Senior Vice President, Enterprise Services and Chief Information Officer | 54 | 2011 to 2012 – Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer                         |
| Member of the Leadership Team                                            |    | 2012 to present – Senior Vice President, Enterprise Services and Chief Information Officer |

## PART II

## Item 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK AND OTHER STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

## Market Prices

Bristol-Myers Squibb common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) (Symbol: BMY). A quarterly summary of the high and low market prices is presented below:

|                | 2015    |         | 2014    |         |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                | High    | Low     | High    | Low     |
| Common:        |         |         |         |         |
| First Quarter  | \$68.47 | \$58.48 | \$56.61 | \$48.54 |
| Second Quarter | 69.15   | 63.00   | 52.19   | 46.59   |
| Third Quarter  | 70.06   | 57.30   | 51.96   | 47.86   |
| Fourth Quarter | 70.71   | 59.88   | 61.30   | 48.92   |

## Holders of Common Stock

The number of record holders of common stock at December 31, 2015 was 45,942.

The number of record holders is based upon the actual number of holders registered on our books at such date and does not include holders of shares in "street names" or persons, partnerships, associations, corporations or other entities identified in security position listings maintained by depository trust companies.

## Dividends

Our Board of Directors declared the following quarterly dividends per share, which were paid in the periods indicated below:

|                | Common |        | Preferred |        |
|----------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|
|                | 2015   | 2014   | 2015      | 2014   |
| First Quarter  | \$0.37 | \$0.36 | \$0.50    | \$0.50 |
| Second Quarter | 0.37   | 0.36   | 0.50      | 0.50   |
| Third Quarter  | 0.37   | 0.36   | 0.50      | 0.50   |
| Fourth Quarter | 0.37   | 0.36   | 0.50      | 0.50   |
|                | \$1.48 | \$1.44 | \$2.00    | \$2.00 |

In December 2015, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.38 per share on our common stock which was paid on February 1, 2016 to shareholders of record as of January 4, 2016. The Board of Directors also declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.50 per share on our preferred stock, payable on March 1, 2016 to shareholders of record as of February 5, 2016.

## UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table summarizes the surrenders of our equity securities during the 12 month period ended December 31, 2015:

| Period                                     | Total Number of Shares Purchased <sup>(a)</sup> | Average Price Paid per Share <sup>(a)</sup> | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs <sup>(b)</sup> | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs <sup>(b)</sup> |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Dollars in Millions, Except Per Share Data |                                                 |                                             |                                                                                                 |                                                                                                         |
| January 1 to 31, 2015                      | 33,737                                          | \$ 59.51                                    | —                                                                                               | \$ 1,368                                                                                                |
| February 1 to 28, 2015                     | 9,178                                           | \$ 60.50                                    | —                                                                                               | \$ 1,368                                                                                                |
| March 1 to 31, 2015                        | 1,825,224                                       | \$ 63.41                                    | —                                                                                               | \$ 1,368                                                                                                |
| Three months ended March 31, 2015          | 1,868,139                                       |                                             | —                                                                                               |                                                                                                         |
| April 1 to 30, 2015                        | 19,294                                          | \$ 63.42                                    | —                                                                                               | \$ 1,368                                                                                                |
| May 1 to 31, 2015                          | 14,672                                          | \$ 64.93                                    | —                                                                                               | \$ 1,368                                                                                                |
| June 1 to 30, 2015                         | 10,387                                          | \$ 66.17                                    | —                                                                                               | \$ 1,368                                                                                                |
| Three months ended June 30, 2015           | 44,353                                          |                                             | —                                                                                               |                                                                                                         |
| July 1 to 31, 2015                         | 13,256                                          | \$ 67.47                                    | —                                                                                               | \$ 1,368                                                                                                |
| August 1 to 31, 2015                       | 8,553                                           | \$ 65.69                                    | —                                                                                               | \$ 1,368                                                                                                |
| September 1 to 30, 2015                    | 5,444                                           | \$ 60.08                                    | —                                                                                               | \$ 1,368                                                                                                |
| Three months ended September 30, 2015      | 27,253                                          |                                             | —                                                                                               |                                                                                                         |
| October 1 to 31, 2015                      | 11,137                                          | \$ 60.48                                    | —                                                                                               | \$ 1,368                                                                                                |
| November 1 to 30, 2015                     | 17,550                                          | \$ 64.53                                    | —                                                                                               | \$ 1,368                                                                                                |
| December 1 to 31, 2015                     | 18,582                                          | \$ 67.52                                    | —                                                                                               | \$ 1,368                                                                                                |
| Three months ended December 31, 2015       | 47,269                                          |                                             | —                                                                                               |                                                                                                         |
| Twelve months ended December 31, 2015      | 1,987,014                                       |                                             | —                                                                                               |                                                                                                         |

(a) Reflects the shares of common stock surrendered to the Company to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of awards under our long-term incentive program.

In May 2010, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$3.0 billion of common stock. In June 2012, the Board of Directors increased its authorization for the repurchase of common stock by an additional \$3.0 billion. The repurchase program does not have an expiration date and we may consider future repurchases.

## Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

## Five Year Financial Summary

| Amounts in Millions, except per share data<br>Income Statement Data: <sup>(a)</sup> | 2015     | 2014     | 2013     | 2012     | 2011     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total Revenues                                                                      | \$16,560 | \$15,879 | \$16,385 | \$17,621 | \$21,244 |
| Continuing Operations:                                                              |          |          |          |          |          |
| Net Earnings                                                                        | 1,631    | 2,029    | 2,580    | 2,501    | 5,260    |
| Net Earnings Attributable to:                                                       |          |          |          |          |          |
| Noncontrolling Interest                                                             | 66       | 25       | 17       | 541      | 1,551    |
| BMS                                                                                 | 1,565    | 2,004    | 2,563    | 1,960    | 3,709    |
| Net Earnings per Common Share Attributable to<br>BMS:                               |          |          |          |          |          |
| Basic                                                                               | \$0.94   | \$1.21   | \$1.56   | \$1.17   | \$2.18   |
| Diluted                                                                             | \$0.93   | \$1.20   | \$1.54   | \$1.16   | \$2.16   |
| Average common shares outstanding:                                                  |          |          |          |          |          |
| Basic                                                                               | 1,667    | 1,657    | 1,644    | 1,670    | 1,700    |
| Diluted                                                                             | 1,679    | 1,670    | 1,662    | 1,688    | 1,717    |
| Cash dividends paid on BMS common and<br>preferred stock                            | \$2,477  | \$2,398  | \$2,309  | \$2,286  | \$2,254  |
| Cash dividends declared per common share                                            | \$1.49   | \$1.45   | \$1.41   | \$1.37   | \$1.33   |
| Financial Position Data at December 31:                                             |          |          |          |          |          |
| Cash and cash equivalents                                                           | \$2,385  | \$5,571  | \$3,586  | \$1,656  | \$5,776  |
| Marketable securities <sup>(b)</sup>                                                | 6,545    | 6,272    | 4,686    | 4,696    | 5,866    |
| Total Assets                                                                        | 31,748   | 33,749   | 38,592   | 35,897   | 32,970   |
| Long-term debt <sup>(b)</sup>                                                       | 6,550    | 7,242    | 7,981    | 7,232    | 5,376    |
| Equity                                                                              | 14,424   | 14,983   | 15,236   | 13,638   | 15,867   |

For a discussion of items that affected the comparability of results for the years 2015, 2014 and 2013, refer to “Item (a) 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Non-GAAP Financial Measures.”

(b) Includes current and non-current portion.

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company (which may be referred to as Bristol-Myers Squibb, BMS, the Company, we, our or us) is a global specialty biopharmaceutical company whose mission is to discover, develop and deliver innovative medicines that help patients prevail over serious diseases.

Our revenues increased by 4% in 2015 as a result of recently launched products such as our Hepatitis C Franchise (including previously deferred revenue in France) and Opdivo (nivolumab) and continued sales growth in Eliquis (apixaban). These impacts were partially offset by the changes in foreign currency rates, expiration of our U.S. and European Union (EU) commercialization rights to Abilify\* (aripiprazole), competitive pressures resulting from exclusivity losses and other factors for Baraclude (entecavir), Reyataz (atazanavir sulfate) and Sustiva (efavirenz) in certain markets and the expiration/transfer of certain licensing and royalty rights.

The decrease in GAAP earnings per share (EPS) from \$1.20 in 2014 to \$0.93 in 2015 was due to higher research and development expenses as a result of upfront payments for licensing and asset acquisitions of investigational compounds. The tax impact of specified items contributed to the changes in the effective tax rate, including the non-tax-deductible research and development charges for the acquisitions of Flexus Biosciences, Inc. (Flexus) and Cardioxyl Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Cardioxyl). After adjusting for specified items, the increase in non-GAAP EPS from \$1.85 in 2014 to \$2.01 in 2015 was primarily due to higher revenues.

Highlights

The following table summarizes our financial information:

| Dollars in Millions, except per share data       | Year Ended December 31, |           |           |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                                                  | 2015                    | 2014      | 2013      |
| Total Revenues                                   | \$ 16,560               | \$ 15,879 | \$ 16,385 |
| Total Expenses                                   | 14,483                  | 13,498    | 13,494    |
| Earnings before Income Taxes                     | 2,077                   | 2,381     | 2,891     |
| Provision for Income Taxes                       | 446                     | 352       | 311       |
| Effective tax rate                               | 21.5                    | % 14.8    | % 10.8    |
| Net Earnings Attributable to BMS                 |                         |           |           |
| GAAP                                             | 1,565                   | 2,004     | 2,563     |
| Non-GAAP                                         | 3,378                   | 3,085     | 3,019     |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share                       |                         |           |           |
| GAAP                                             | 0.93                    | 1.20      | 1.54      |
| Non-GAAP                                         | 2.01                    | 1.85      | 1.82      |
| Cash, Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities | 8,930                   | 11,843    | 8,272     |

Our non-GAAP financial measures, including non-GAAP earnings and related EPS information, are adjusted to exclude specified items which represent certain costs, expenses, gains and losses and other items impacting the comparability of financial results. For a detailed listing of all specified items and further information and reconciliations of non-GAAP financial measures refer to "—Non-GAAP Financial Measures."



## Significant Product and Pipeline Approvals

We received over 100 approvals for new medicines and additional indications and formulations of currently marketed medicines including over 20 in major markets (the U.S., EU and Japan). The following is a summary of some of the more significant approvals received in 2015.

| Product                                           | Date           | Approvals                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Opdivo                                            | December 2015  | Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare manufacturing and marketing approval for patients with unresectable, advanced or recurrent non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), received by Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Ono). |
|                                                   | November 2015  | U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval as a single agent for the treatment of previously untreated patients with BRAF V600 wild-type unresectable or metastatic melanoma                                             |
|                                                   | November 2015  | FDA approval for the treatment of previously treated patients with advanced (metastatic) renal cell carcinoma (RCC)                                                                                                            |
|                                                   | October 2015   | FDA approval for the treatment of previously treated patients with non-squamous (NSQ) NSCLC                                                                                                                                    |
|                                                   | July 2015      | EU approval for the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic squamous (SQ) NSCLC after prior chemotherapy                                                                                                                   |
|                                                   | June 2015      | EU approval for the treatment of both first-line and previously treated unresectable or metastatic melanoma patients, regardless of BRAF status                                                                                |
|                                                   | March 2015     | FDA approval for the treatment of patients with advanced SQ NSCLC with progression on or after platinum-based chemotherapy                                                                                                     |
| Opdivo+<br>Yervoy (ipilimumab)                    | September 2015 | FDA approval for the treatment of patients with BRAF V600 wild-type unresectable or metastatic melanoma                                                                                                                        |
| Yervoy                                            | October 2015   | FDA approval for the adjuvant treatment of patients with cutaneous melanoma                                                                                                                                                    |
|                                                   | July 2015      | Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare approval for first and second line treatment for unresectable malignant melanoma                                                                                               |
| Empliciti (elotuzumab)                            | November 2015  | FDA approval for the treatment of multiple myeloma as combination therapy with Revlimid* and dexamethasone in patients who have received one to three prior therapies                                                          |
| Hepatitis C Portfolio -<br>Daklinza (daclatasvir) | July 2015      | FDA approval for use with sofosbuvir for the treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) genotype 3                                                                                                             |

Refer to "—Product and Pipeline Developments" for all of the developments in our marketed products and late-stage pipeline in 2015.

## Strategy

We have transitioned to a specialty biopharmaceutical company, with a strategy designed to leverage both the reach and resources of a major pharmaceutical company as well as the entrepreneurial spirit and agility of a biotech firm. We are focused on discovering, developing and delivering innovative medicines that address serious unmet medical needs. Our four strategic priorities are to drive business performance, maintain our leadership in immuno-oncology, maintain a diversified portfolio both within and outside of immuno-oncology, and continue our disciplined approach to capital allocation, with business development as a top priority.

We are developing new medicines in the following core therapeutic areas: oncology, immuno-oncology, immunoscience, cardiovascular diseases, fibrosis and genetically defined diseases. We are pioneering innovative medicines in the area of immuno-oncology which unlock the body's own immune system to battle cancer. Yervoy, our



first immuno-oncology agent, was introduced in 2011 for the treatment of metastatic melanoma. During 2015, we announced several significant clinical and regulatory milestones in the U.S. and EU for Opdivo, a programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1) immune checkpoint inhibitor. Within 12 months of Opdivo's first approval in the U.S. for metastatic melanoma in late December 2014, we worked with unprecedented speed with the FDA and received five additional U.S. approvals for indications across three different tumor types, transforming cancer care in advanced NSCLC, melanoma and RCC. As of the end of 2015, Opdivo was approved in 43 countries. We continue to invest significantly in our deep pipeline of innovative medicines covering a broad array of cancers and have entered into several collaboration agreements to research and develop Opdivo and other approved or investigational oncology agents in combination regimens. Additionally in 2015, we enhanced our portfolio by acquiring rights to novel assets across several therapeutic areas including cardiovascular diseases and fibrosis.

We are evolving our commercial model and growing our marketed product portfolio in a manner consistent with our overall strategy. In oncology, we are building on the rapid commercial acceptance of Opdivo, which had revenues of approximately \$900 million, and the continued success of Yervoy and Sprycel (dasatinib). Beyond oncology, we remain strongly committed to Orenicia (abatacept) and Eliquis, each with revenues of approximately \$1.9 billion in 2015. In 2015, we received U.S. approval for Daklinza for use with sofosbuvir for

the treatment of patients with chronic HCV genotype 3. We also continue to support key brands in our virology franchise such as Reyataz, Baraclude and the Sustiva Franchise.

In December 2015, we announced the divestiture of our pipeline of investigational human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) medicines to ViiV Healthcare, a global specialist company exclusively dedicated to finding new medicines for people living with HIV. This transaction will allow us to focus on therapeutic areas which are a priority and will drive the greatest long-term value to us.

Looking ahead, we will continue to implement our biopharma strategy by driving the growth of key brands, executing new product launches, investing in our diverse and innovative pipeline, including through business development, focusing on prioritized markets, increasing investments in our biologics manufacturing capabilities and maintaining a culture of continuous improvement.

#### Acquisition and Licensing Arrangements

Acquisition and licensing transactions allow us to focus our resources behind our growth opportunities that drive the greatest long-term value. We are focused on the following core therapeutic areas: oncology, immuno-oncology, immunoscience, cardiovascular diseases, fibrosis and genetically defined diseases. Significant transactions entered into in 2015 are summarized below:

#### Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Kyorin)

In December 2015, BMS and Kyorin entered into an exclusive worldwide license agreement granting BMS the right to develop, manufacture and commercialize Kyorin's FPR2 agonist program. Kyorin will have an option to collaborate with BMS in the development and commercialization in Japan.

#### Cardioxyl

In December 2015, BMS acquired all of the outstanding shares of Cardioxyl, a privately held biotechnology company focused on the discovery and development of novel therapeutic agents for cardiovascular disease. The acquisition provided BMS with full rights to CXL-1427, a nitroxy prodrug in Phase II development for acute decompensated heart failure.

#### Five Prime Therapeutics, Inc. (Five Prime)

In November 2015, BMS and Five Prime entered into an exclusive worldwide licensing and collaboration agreement for the development and commercialization of Five Prime's colony stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) antibody program, including FPA008 currently in Phase I development for immunology and oncology indications. BMS will be responsible for the development, manufacturing and commercialization of FPA008, subject to Five Prime's option to conduct certain studies at its cost to develop FPA008 in pigmented vitreous body synovitis (PVNS) and in combination with its own internal oncology pipeline assets. Five Prime also retained an option to co-promote in the U.S. The agreement replaces a previous clinical collaboration agreement between the two parties.

#### Promedior, Inc. (Promedior)

In September 2015, the Company purchased a warrant that gives BMS the exclusive right to acquire Promedior and gain worldwide rights to its lead asset, PRM-151, a recombinant form of human pentraxin-2 protein in Phase II development for the treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and myelofibrosis (MF). PRM-151 has been granted Fast Track designation in the U.S. and Orphan designation in the U.S. and Europe for the treatment of MF. In addition, PRM-151 has been granted Orphan Designation in the U.S. and Europe for the treatment of IPF.

#### uniQure N.V. (uniQure)

In May 2015, the Company entered into a collaboration and license agreement with uniQure granting BMS an exclusive license to uniQure's gene therapy technology platform for specific collaboration targets. The potential gene

therapy products for such collaboration targets developed with uniQure's platform may be developed for any disease, although the parties intend to focus initially on cardiovascular diseases. The collaboration includes uniQure's proprietary gene therapy program for congestive heart failure that is intended to restore the heart's ability to synthesize S100A1, a calcium sensor and master regulator of heart function, and thereby improve clinical outcomes for patients with reduced ejection fraction. In total, the companies may collaborate on 10 targets, including S100A1. BMS will be solely responsible for global commercialization of all products from the collaboration. In August 2015, the Company selected three additional collaboration targets.

In 2015, the Company acquired 2.4 million shares of uniQure in two separate tranches, or 9.9% of uniQure's outstanding shares immediately following the second of the two acquisitions. The Company also has been granted two warrants under which the Company has the right to purchase additional shares that, together with the shares currently owned by BMS, would equal 19.9% of uniQure's outstanding shares immediately after such issuance. The exercise of each warrant is conditioned upon the designation by BMS of a certain number of additional collaboration targets and the payment by BMS to uniQure of related fees under the collaboration and license agreement.

## Flexus

In April 2015, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Flexus, a privately held biotechnology company focused on discovering and developing novel anti-cancer therapeutics. The acquisition provided BMS with full rights to F001287, a preclinical small molecule IDO1-inhibitor targeted immunotherapy with potential to be used in combination with BMS's immuno-oncology portfolio. In addition, the transaction included Flexus's IDO/TDO discovery program which includes its IDO-selective, IDO/TDO dual and TDO-selective compounds.

## Novo Nordisk A/S (Novo Nordisk)

In March 2015, the Company acquired an exclusive global license from Novo Nordisk to a discovery biologics research program focused on modulating the innate immune system as a therapy for autoimmune diseases.

## Bavarian Nordic A/S (Bavarian Nordic)

In March 2015, the Company acquired an exclusive option to globally license and commercialize Prostavac\*, Bavarian Nordic's investigational Phase III prostate-specific antigen-targeting cancer immunotherapy in development for the treatment of asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer.

## Rigel Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Rigel)

In February 2015, the Company executed an agreement with Rigel for the discovery, development and global commercialization of cancer immunotherapies based on Rigel's extensive portfolio of small molecule TGF beta receptor kinase inhibitors. The collaboration will focus on developing a new class of therapeutics aimed at increasing the immune system's activity against various cancers either as monotherapy or in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors, including Opdivo and Yervoy.

## California Institute for Biomedical Research (Calibr)

In January 2015, the Company entered into a worldwide research collaboration with Calibr to develop novel small molecule anti-fibrotic therapies, and an exclusive global license agreement that allows the Company to develop, manufacture and commercialize Calibr's preclinical compounds resulting from the collaboration.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Total Revenues

The composition of the changes in revenues was as follows:

|                      | Year Ended December 31,<br>Total Revenues |          |          | 2015 vs. 2014<br>Analysis of % Change |                                    | 2014 vs. 2013<br>Analysis of % Change |                                    |    |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----|
|                      | 2015                                      | 2014     | 2013     | Total<br>Change                       | Foreign<br>Exchange <sup>(b)</sup> | Total<br>Change                       | Foreign<br>Exchange <sup>(b)</sup> |    |
| Dollars in Millions  |                                           |          |          |                                       |                                    |                                       |                                    |    |
| United States        | \$8,188                                   | \$7,716  | \$8,318  | 6                                     | % —                                | (7                                    | )% —                               |    |
| Europe               | 3,491                                     | 3,592    | 3,930    | (3                                    | )% (17                             | )% (9                                 | )% —                               |    |
| Rest of the World    | 4,142                                     | 3,459    | 3,295    | 20                                    | % (13                              | )% 5                                  | % (5                               | )% |
| Other <sup>(a)</sup> | 739                                       | 1,112    | 842      | (34                                   | )% N/A                             | 32                                    | % N/A                              |    |
| Total                | \$16,560                                  | \$15,879 | \$16,385 | 4                                     | % (7                               | )% (3                                 | )% (1                              | )% |

(a) Other revenues include royalties and alliance-related revenues for products not sold by our regional commercial organizations.

(b) Foreign exchange impacts were derived by applying the prior period average currency rates to the current period sales.

The increase in U.S. revenues in 2015 resulted from the launch of Opdivo and Daklinza and higher demand for Eliquis and Sprycel partially offset by the expiration of commercialization rights to Abilify\* and the transfer of Erbitux\* in North America. Average U.S. net selling prices increased by approximately 3%. Refer to "—Product Sales Discussion"

below for additional information.

The decrease in U.S. revenues in 2014 resulted from the diabetes business divestiture in February 2014 partially offset by higher demand for Eliquis, Yervoy and Sprycel and higher average net selling prices of approximately 3%.

The decrease in Europe revenues in 2015 resulted from unfavorable foreign exchange and the expiration of commercialization rights to Abilify\* in the EU in June 2014 partially offset by the launch of Daklinza in certain EU countries in the third quarter of 2014 and higher demand for Eliquis. Revenues were also impacted by approximately \$170 million of Daklinza net product sales for amounts previously deferred in 2014 until final pricing was obtained in France which occurred in 2015. Revenues continue to be negatively impacted in many European countries as healthcare payers, including government agencies, continued to reduce healthcare costs through actions that directly or indirectly impose additional price reductions.

33

---

The decrease in Europe revenues in 2014 resulted from the expiration of EU commercialization rights to Abilify\* in June 2014, the diabetes business divestiture and the loss of exclusivity of Sustiva in November 2013 partially offset by higher demand for Eliquis, Yervoy and Orenzia and the launch of Daklinza in certain EU countries in the third quarter of 2014.

The increase in Rest of the World revenues in 2015 resulted from the launch of the Daklinza and Sunvepra dual regimen in Japan in the third quarter of 2014 and higher demand for Eliquis, partially offset by unfavorable foreign exchange (primarily in Japan).

The increase in Rest of the World revenues in 2014 resulted from higher demand for key products, particularly Eliquis, Yervoy, Sprycel and the launch of the Daklinza and Sunvepra dual regimen in Japan in the third quarter of 2014 partially offset by the diabetes business divestiture and unfavorable foreign exchange (primarily in Japan).

The decrease in Other revenues in 2015 resulted from the expiration/transfer of certain licensing and royalty rights. The increase in Other revenues in 2014 resulted from higher royalties, mature brand and over-the-counter product alliances and diabetes product supply sales in 2014. Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances" for further discussion of the alliances.

No single country outside the U.S. contributed more than 10% of total revenues in 2015, 2014 or 2013 except for Japan which contributed 10% of total revenues in 2015.

We recognize revenue net of gross-to-net adjustments that are further described in "—Critical Accounting Policies". Our share of certain Abilify\* and Atripia\* revenues is reflected net of all gross-to-net adjustments in alliance and other revenues. Although not presented as a gross-to-net adjustment in the below tables, our share of Abilify\* and Atripia\* gross-to-net adjustments were approximately \$1.1 billion in 2015, \$1.6 billion in 2014 and \$1.3 billion in 2013. These gross-to-net adjustments decreased in 2015 due to the expiration of our U.S. commercialization rights to Abilify\* in April 2015.

The activities and ending reserve balances for each significant category of gross-to-net adjustments were as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                    | Charge-Backs<br>and Cash<br>Discounts | Medicaid<br>and<br>Medicare<br>Rebates | Sales<br>Returns | Other<br>Rebates,<br>Discounts<br>and<br>Adjustments | Total    |   |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------|---|
| Balance at January 1, 2014             | \$ 49                                 | \$286                                  | \$279            | \$324                                                | \$938    |   |
| Provision related to sale made in:     |                                       |                                        |                  |                                                      |          |   |
| Current period                         | 755                                   | 574                                    | 94               | 776                                                  | 2,199    |   |
| Prior period                           | —                                     | (23                                    | ) (33            | ) (10                                                | ) (66    | ) |
| Returns and payments                   | (748                                  | ) (570                                 | ) (105           | ) (711                                               | ) (2,134 | ) |
| Foreign currency translation and other | —                                     | —                                      | (3               | ) (27                                                | ) (30    | ) |
| Balance at December 31, 2014           | \$ 56                                 | \$267                                  | \$232            | \$352                                                | \$907    |   |
| Provision related to sale made in:     |                                       |                                        |                  |                                                      |          |   |
| Current period                         | 1,043                                 | 878                                    | 109              | 1,206                                                | 3,236    |   |
| Prior period                           | —                                     | (19                                    | ) (73            | ) (23                                                | ) (115   | ) |
| Returns and payments                   | (1,002                                | ) (688                                 | ) (85            | ) (782                                               | ) (2,557 | ) |
| Foreign currency translation and other | —                                     | (4                                     | ) (2             | ) (44                                                | ) (50    | ) |
| Balance at December 31, 2015           | \$ 97                                 | \$434                                  | \$181            | \$709                                                | \$1,421  |   |

The reconciliation of gross product sales to net product sales (which excludes alliance and other revenues) by each significant category of gross-to-net adjustments was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                      | Year Ended December 31, |          |          |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                          | 2015                    | 2014     | 2013     |
| Gross product sales                      | \$17,166                | \$13,793 | \$14,391 |
| Gross-to-Net Adjustments                 |                         |          |          |
| Charge-backs and cash discounts          | (1,043 )                | (755 )   | (717 )   |
| Medicaid and Medicare rebates            | (859 )                  | (551 )   | (490 )   |
| Sales returns                            | (36 )                   | (61 )    | (62 )    |
| Other rebates, discounts and adjustments | (1,183 )                | (766 )   | (818 )   |
| Total Gross-to-Net Adjustments           | (3,121 )                | (2,133 ) | (2,087 ) |
| Net product sales                        | \$14,045                | \$11,660 | \$12,304 |

Gross-to-net adjustment rates are primarily a function of changes in revenue mix and contractual and legislative discounts and rebates. Gross-to-net adjustments increased in 2015 and 2014 due to:

• Charge-backs and cash discounts increased in 2015 primarily due to higher product sales in the U.S., particularly regarding Eliquis and Opdivo.

• Medicaid and Medicare rebates increased in 2015 primarily due to higher product sales and rebate rates in the U.S., particularly Medicare for Eliquis. Medicaid and Medicare rebates increased in 2014 primarily due to higher Medicare sales and rebate rates for Eliquis, and higher Medicaid rebates on virology products due to price increase limitations, partially offset by the diabetes business divestiture in February 2014.

• The U.S. sales return reserve for Plavix\* was reduced by \$63 million in 2015, \$30 million in 2014 and \$22 million in 2013 after considering several factors including actual return experience and estimated inventory levels in the distribution channels. In accordance with Company policy, these products are eligible to be returned between six months prior to and twelve months after product expiration. The U.S. sales return reserve for Plavix\* was not material at December 31, 2015.

• Other rebates, discounts and adjustments increased in 2015 primarily due to additional rebates and discounts for Daklinza (including approximately \$180 million upon obtaining final pricing in France for amounts deferred through March 31, 2015) and Eliquis.

## Product Revenues

| Dollars in Millions                                        | Year Ended December 31, |         |         | % Change      |               | % Change Attributable to Foreign Exchange |               |     |    |     |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------|-----|----|-----|----|
|                                                            | 2015                    | 2014    | 2013    | 2015 vs. 2014 | 2014 vs. 2013 | 2015 vs. 2014                             | 2014 vs. 2013 |     |    |     |    |
| <b>Virology</b>                                            |                         |         |         |               |               |                                           |               |     |    |     |    |
| Baraclude (entecavir)                                      | \$1,312                 | \$1,441 | \$1,527 | (9            | )%            | (6                                        | )%            | (7  | )% | (2  | )% |
| U.S.                                                       | 135                     | 215     | 289     | (37           | )%            | (26                                       | )%            | —   |    | —   |    |
| Non-U.S.                                                   | 1,177                   | 1,226   | 1,238   | (4            | )%            | (1                                        | )%            | (9  | )% | (2  | )% |
| <b>Hepatitis C Franchise (daclatasvir and asunaprevir)</b> |                         |         |         |               |               |                                           |               |     |    |     |    |
|                                                            | 1,603                   | 256     | —       | **            |               | N/A                                       |               | N/A |    | N/A |    |
| U.S.                                                       | 323                     | —       | —       | N/A           |               | N/A                                       |               | —   |    | —   |    |
| Non-U.S.                                                   | 1,280                   | 256     | —       | **            |               | N/A                                       |               | N/A |    | N/A |    |
| <b>Reyataz (atazanavir sulfate) Franchise</b>              |                         |         |         |               |               |                                           |               |     |    |     |    |
|                                                            | 1,139                   | 1,362   | 1,551   | (16           | )%            | (12                                       | )%            | (5  | )% | (1  | )% |
| U.S.                                                       | 591                     | 689     | 769     | (14           | )%            | (10                                       | )%            | —   |    | —   |    |
| Non-U.S.                                                   | 548                     | 673     | 782     | (19           | )%            | (14                                       | )%            | (11 | )% | (3  | )% |
| <b>Sustiva (efavirenz) Franchise</b>                       |                         |         |         |               |               |                                           |               |     |    |     |    |
|                                                            | 1,252                   | 1,444   | 1,614   | (13           | )%            | (11                                       | )%            | —   |    | —   |    |
| U.S.                                                       | 1,041                   | 1,118   | 1,092   | (7            | )%            | 2                                         | %             | —   |    | —   |    |
| Non-U.S.                                                   | 211                     | 326     | 522     | (35           | )%            | (38                                       | )%            | (1  | )% | —   |    |
| <b>Oncology</b>                                            |                         |         |         |               |               |                                           |               |     |    |     |    |
| Empliciti (elotuzumab)                                     | 3                       | —       | —       | N/A           |               | N/A                                       |               | N/A |    | N/A |    |
| U.S.                                                       | 3                       | —       | —       | N/A           |               | N/A                                       |               | —   |    | —   |    |
| <b>Erbitux* (cetuximab)</b>                                |                         |         |         |               |               |                                           |               |     |    |     |    |
|                                                            | 501                     | 723     | 696     | (31           | )%            | 4                                         | %             | —   |    | N/A |    |
| U.S.                                                       | 487                     | 682     | 682     | (29           | )%            | —                                         |               | —   |    | —   |    |
| Non-U.S.                                                   | 14                      | 41      | 14      | (66           | )%            | **                                        |               | (3  | )% | N/A |    |
| <b>Opdivo (nivolumab)</b>                                  |                         |         |         |               |               |                                           |               |     |    |     |    |
|                                                            | 942                     | 6       | —       | **            |               | N/A                                       |               | N/A |    | N/A |    |
| U.S.                                                       | 823                     | 1       | —       | **            |               | N/A                                       |               | —   |    | —   |    |
| Non-U.S.                                                   | 119                     | 5       | —       | **            |               | N/A                                       |               | N/A |    | N/A |    |
| <b>Sprycel (dasatinib)</b>                                 |                         |         |         |               |               |                                           |               |     |    |     |    |
|                                                            | 1,620                   | 1,493   | 1,280   | 9             | %             | 17                                        | %             | (8  | )% | (2  | )% |
| U.S.                                                       | 829                     | 671     | 541     | 24            | %             | 24                                        | %             | —   |    | —   |    |
| Non-U.S.                                                   | 791                     | 822     | 739     | (4            | )%            | 11                                        | %             | (16 | )% | (5  | )% |
| <b>Yervoy (ipilimumab)</b>                                 |                         |         |         |               |               |                                           |               |     |    |     |    |
|                                                            | 1,126                   | 1,308   | 960     | (14           | )%            | 36                                        | %             | (7  | )% | (2  | )% |
| U.S.                                                       | 602                     | 709     | 577     | (15           | )%            | 23                                        | %             | —   |    | —   |    |
| Non-U.S.                                                   | 524                     | 599     | 383     | (13           | )%            | 56                                        | %             | (16 | )% | (4  | )% |
| <b>Neuroscience</b>                                        |                         |         |         |               |               |                                           |               |     |    |     |    |
| Abilify* (aripiprazole)                                    | 746                     | 2,020   | 2,289   | (63           | )%            | (12                                       | )%            | (1  | )% | —   |    |
| U.S.                                                       | 600                     | 1,572   | 1,519   | (62           | )%            | 3                                         | %             | —   |    | —   |    |
| Non-U.S.                                                   | 146                     | 448     | 770     | (67           | )%            | (42                                       | )%            | (4  | )% | —   |    |



Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

|                               |       |       |       |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|
| Immunoscience                 |       |       |       |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |
| Orencia (abatacept)           | 1,885 | 1,652 | 1,444 | 14  | %  | 14  | %  | (6  | )% | (2  | )% |
| U.S.                          | 1,271 | 1,064 | 954   | 19  | %  | 12  | %  | —   |    | —   |    |
| Non-U.S.                      | 614   | 588   | 490   | 4   | %  | 20  | %  | (18 | )% | (6  | )% |
| Cardiovascular                |       |       |       |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |
| Eliquis (apixaban)            | 1,860 | 774   | 146   | **  |    | **  |    | N/A |    | N/A |    |
| U.S.                          | 1,023 | 404   | 97    | **  |    | **  |    | —   |    | —   |    |
| Non-U.S.                      | 837   | 370   | 49    | **  |    | **  |    | N/A |    | N/A |    |
| Mature Products and All Other |       |       |       |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |
| U.S.                          | 2,571 | 3,400 | 4,878 | (24 | )% | (30 | )% | (6  | )% | (1  | )% |
| Non-U.S.                      | 460   | 591   | 1,798 | (22 | )% | (67 | )% | —   |    | —   |    |
|                               | 2,111 | 2,809 | 3,080 | (25 | )% | (9  | )% | (7  | )% | (2  | )% |

\*\* Change in excess of 100%

Baraclude — an oral antiviral agent for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B.

U.S. revenues decreased in both periods following the loss of exclusivity in September 2014.

International revenues decreased in 2015 due to unfavorable foreign exchange partially offset by higher demand in certain countries.

Hepatitis C Franchise — Includes Daklinza - an NS5A replication complex inhibitor (revenues of \$1,315 million in 2015 and \$201 million in 2014) and Sunvepra (asunaprevir) - an NS3 protease inhibitor (revenues of \$288 million in 2015 and \$55 million in 2014).

Daklinza was launched in the U.S. in July 2015. Additional competition is expected in the U.S. during 2016.

Daklinza was launched in Germany and certain other EU countries in the third quarter of 2014 and subsequently approved in other international markets during 2015. The Daklinza and Sunvepra dual regimen was launched in Japan in the third quarter of 2014. International revenues also include \$170 million of previously deferred revenue in France recognized in 2015. International revenues are expected to significantly decline in 2016 due to increased competition primarily in Japan.

Reyataz Franchise — a protease inhibitor for the treatment of the HIV, which includes Reyataz and is also included in the combination therapy, Evotaz (atazanavir 300 mg and cobicistat 150 mg).

U.S. revenues decreased in both periods due to lower demand resulting from increased competition.

International revenues decreased in both periods due to unfavorable foreign exchange and lower demand resulting from increased competition.

Sustiva Franchise — a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor for the treatment of HIV, which includes Sustiva, an antiretroviral drug, and bulk efavirenz, which is also included in the combination therapy, Atripla\*.

U.S. revenues decreased in 2015 due to lower demand resulting from increased competition partially offset by higher average net selling prices. U.S. revenues increased in 2014 due to higher average net selling prices partially offset by lower demand.

International revenues decreased in both periods due to Sustiva's loss of exclusivity in Europe in November 2013, which continues to negatively impact demand, average net selling prices and Atripla\* revenue sharing.

Empliciti - a humanized monoclonal antibody for the treatment of multiple myeloma.

Empliciti was launched in the U.S. in December 2015.

Erbix\* — a monoclonal antibody designed to exclusively target and block the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor, which is expressed on the surface of certain cancer cells in multiple tumor types as well as normal cells and is currently indicated for use in the treatment of patients with certain types of metastatic colorectal cancer and squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck.

U.S. revenues decreased in 2015 due to BMS transferring its rights to Erbix\* in North America to Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly) in October 2015. Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances" for further discussion.

Opdivo — a fully human monoclonal antibody that binds to the programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1) on T and natural killer T (NKT) cells that has been approved and continues to be investigated as an anti-cancer treatment.

U.S. revenues increased in 2015 due to the launch of Opdivo in December 2014 for the treatment of unresectable melanoma and subsequent approvals for additional indications in 2015, including in NSQ and SQ NSCLC and RCC, as well as the rapid commercial acceptance of Opdivo throughout the year. Refer to "—Significant Product and Pipeline Highlights" for further discussion on the additional Opdivo approvals in 2015.

Opdivo was launched in Japan in September 2014 and was subsequently approved in the EU in June 2015 for the treatment of unresectable melanoma and in July 2015 for the treatment of advanced SQ NSCLC. Opdivo also was approved in other international markets in 2015.

Sprycel — an oral inhibitor of multiple tyrosine kinases indicated for the first-line treatment of adults with Philadelphia chromosome-positive chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase and the treatment of adults with chronic, accelerated, or myeloid or lymphoid blast phase chronic myeloid leukemia with resistance or intolerance to prior therapy, including Gleevec\* (imatinib mesylate).

U.S. revenues increased in both periods primarily due to higher demand.

International revenues decreased in 2015 due to unfavorable foreign exchange partially offset by higher demand.

International revenues increased in 2014 primarily due to higher demand partially offset by unfavorable foreign

exchange.

37

---

Yervoy — a monoclonal antibody for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma.

U.S. revenues decreased in 2015 due to lower demand resulting from the introduction of other immuno-oncology products being used to treat patients with melanoma, including Opdivo. U.S. revenues increased in 2014 due to higher demand.

International revenues decreased in 2015 due to unfavorable foreign exchange. International revenues increased in 2014 due to higher demand.

Abilify\* — an antipsychotic agent for the treatment of schizophrenia, bipolar mania disorder and major depressive disorder.

U.S. revenues decreased in 2015 due to the expiration of our commercialization rights in April 2015. U.S. revenues increased in 2014 primarily due to higher average net selling prices partially offset by the reduction in our share of Abilify\* revenues. BMS's share of Abilify\* revenue was 50% in 2015, 33% in 2014 and 34% in 2013.

International revenues decreased in both periods following the expiration of our EU commercialization rights in June 2014 and Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. becoming the principal for the end customer sales in certain markets.

Orencia — a fusion protein indicated for adult patients with moderate to severe active rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and is also indicated for reducing signs and symptoms in certain pediatric patients with moderately to severely active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis.

U.S. revenues increased in both periods due to higher average net selling prices and demand.

International revenues increased in both periods primarily due to higher demand for the subcutaneous formulation partially offset by unfavorable foreign exchange.

Eliquis — an oral Factor Xa inhibitor, targeted at stroke prevention in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (NVAf) and the prevention and treatment of venous thromboembolic (VTE) disorders.

U.S. and international revenues increased in both periods due to higher demand following the 2013 launches in most major markets for the reduction of the risk of stroke and systemic embolism for patients with NVAf and the treatment of VTE in 2014 in the U.S. and in 2015 in the EU. International revenues were also impacted by unfavorable foreign exchange.

Mature Products and All Other — includes all other products, including those which have lost exclusivity in major markets, the diabetes alliance products, over-the-counter brands and royalty revenue.

U.S. revenues decreased in both periods primarily due to the diabetes business divestiture in February 2014.

International revenues decreased in 2015 due to the expiration/transfer of certain licensing and royalty rights, the diabetes business divestiture in February 2014, unfavorable foreign exchange and continued generic erosion.

International revenues decreased in 2014 due to the diabetes business divestiture and the continued generic erosion of other products partially offset by higher alliance revenues.

#### Estimated End-User Demand

Pursuant to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Consent Order described below under “—SEC Consent Order”, we monitor the level of inventory on hand in the U.S. wholesaler distribution channel and outside of the U.S. in the direct customer distribution channel. We are obligated to disclose products with levels of inventory in excess of one month on hand or expected demand, subject to a de minimis exception. Estimated levels of inventory in the distribution channel in excess of one month on hand for the following products were not material to our results of operations as of the dates indicated. No U.S. products had estimated levels of inventory in the distribution channel in excess of one month on hand at December 31, 2015. Below are international products that had estimated levels of inventory in the distribution channel in excess of one month on hand at September 30, 2015.

Dafalgan, an analgesic product sold principally in Europe, had 1.1 months of inventory on hand internationally at direct customers compared to 1.2 months of inventory on hand at June 30, 2015. The level of inventory on hand was primarily due to the ordering patterns of pharmacists in France.

Efferalgan, an analgesic product sold principally in Europe, had 1.4 months of inventory on hand internationally at direct customers at September 30, 2015 and June 30, 2015. The level of inventory on hand was primarily due to the ordering patterns of pharmacists in France and changes to our distribution model for over-the-counter products in Greece.

Fervex, a cold and flu product, had 2.9 months of inventory on hand at direct customers compared to 3.1 months of inventory on hand at June 30, 2015. The level of inventory on hand was primarily in Russia and France to support product seasonality.

Donormyl, a prescription sleeping aid, had 6.4 months of inventory on hand at direct customers compared to 4.8 months of inventory on hand at June 30, 2015. The level of inventory on hand was primarily in Russia and due to lower than expected demand from competitor pricing.

In the U.S., we generally determine our months on hand estimates using inventory levels of product on hand and the amount of out-movement provided by our three largest wholesalers, which account for approximately 95% of total gross sales of U.S. products. Factors that may influence our estimates include generic competition, seasonality of products, wholesaler purchases in light of increases in wholesaler list prices, new product launches, new warehouse openings by wholesalers and new customer stockings by wholesalers. In addition, these estimates are calculated using third-party data, which may be impacted by their recordkeeping processes.

For our businesses outside of the U.S., we have significantly more direct customers. Limited information on direct customer product level inventory and corresponding out-movement information and the reliability of third-party demand information, where available, varies widely. When direct customer product level inventory, ultimate patient/consumer demand or out-movement data does not exist or is otherwise not available, we have developed a variety of other methodologies to estimate such data, including using such factors as historical sales made to direct customers and third-party market research data related to prescription trends and end-user demand. Accordingly, we rely on a variety of methods to estimate direct customer product level inventory and to calculate months on hand. Factors that may affect our estimates include generic competition, seasonality of products, direct customer purchases in light of price increases, new product launches, new warehouse openings by direct customers, new customer stockings by direct customers and expected direct customer purchases for governmental bidding situations. As such, all of the information required to estimate months on hand in the direct customer distribution channel for non-U.S. business for the year ended December 31, 2015 is not available prior to the filing of this annual report on Form 10-K. We will disclose any product with levels of inventory in excess of one month on hand or expected demand, subject to a de minimis exception, in the next quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

#### Expenses

| Dollar in Millions                    | 2015     | 2014     | 2013     | % Change      |               |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|---------------|
|                                       |          |          |          | 2015 vs. 2014 | 2014 vs. 2013 |
| Cost of products sold                 | \$3,909  | \$3,932  | \$4,619  | (1)           | (15)          |
| Marketing, selling and administrative | 4,841    | 4,822    | 4,939    | —             | (2)           |
| Research and development              | 5,920    | 4,534    | 3,731    | 31            | 22            |
| Other (income)/expense                | (187)    | 210      | 205      | **            | 2             |
| Total Expenses                        | \$14,483 | \$13,498 | \$13,494 | 7             | —             |

\*\* Change in excess of 100%

#### Cost of products sold

Cost of products sold include material costs, internal labor and overhead from our owned manufacturing sites, third-party processing costs, other supply chain costs and the settlement of foreign currency forward contracts used to hedge forecasted intercompany inventory purchase transactions. Essentially all of these costs are managed by our global manufacturing and supply organization. Cost of products sold also includes royalties and profit sharing attributed to licensed products and alliances, amortization of acquired developed technology costs from business combinations and milestone payments that occur on or after regulatory approval.

Cost of products sold can vary between periods as a result of product mix and volume (particularly resulting from royalties and profit sharing expenses in connection with our alliances), changes in foreign currency, price, inflation and costs attributed to the rationalization of manufacturing sites resulting in accelerated depreciation, impairment charges and other stranded costs.

Cost of products sold remained relatively flat in 2015 as higher profit sharing and royalties for alliances (primarily Eliquis) was offset by favorable foreign exchange.

Cost of products sold decreased in 2014 primarily due to the diabetes business divestiture (\$1.1 billion), partially offset by higher Eliquis profit sharing (\$290 million) and accelerated depreciation for certain manufacturing facilities.

#### Marketing, selling and administrative

Marketing, selling and administrative expenses include salary and benefit costs, third-party professional and marketing fees, outsourcing fees, shipping and handling costs, advertising and product promotion and other expenses that are not attributed to product manufacturing costs or research and development expenses. Expenses are managed through regional commercialization organizations or global corporate organizations such as finance, legal, information technology and human resources. Certain expenses are shared with alliance partners based upon contractual agreements.

Marketing, selling and administrative expenses remained relatively flat in 2015 as increased sales-related activities supporting Eliquis, Opdivo and the Hepatitis C Franchise were offset by favorable foreign exchange and \$96 million of additional expenses related to the Branded Prescription Drug Fee in 2014 resulting from changes in IRS guidelines.

Marketing, selling and administrative expenses remained relatively flat in 2014 as increased sales-related activities supporting Eliquis, Yervoy, Opdivo and the Hepatitis C Franchise, higher variable employee compensation and an additional Branded Prescription Drug Fee in 2014 were offset by lower expenses following the diabetes business divestiture (\$500 million).

On July 28, 2014, the IRS issued final rules and regulations for the Branded Prescription Drug Fee, an annual non-tax-deductible fee payable to the federal government under the Affordable Care Act based on an allocation of a company's market share for branded prescription drugs sold to certain government programs in the prior year. The final rules accelerated BMS's and other industry participants' expense recognition criteria for the fee obligation from the year in which the fee is paid, to the year in which the market share used to allocate the fee is determined. As a result, an additional year of expense was recognized in the third quarter of 2014, including \$96 million in marketing, selling and administrative expenses and \$16 million in other expense. The final rules and regulations did not change the amount or timing of annual fees to be paid.

### Research and development

Research and development activities include discovery research, preclinical and clinical development, drug formulation, as well as clinical trials and medical support of marketed products. Expenses include salary and benefit costs, third-party grants and fees paid to clinical research organizations, supplies, upfront and contingent milestone payments for licensing and asset acquisitions of investigational compounds, IPRD impairment charges and proportionate allocations of enterprise-wide costs. The allocations include facilities, information technology, employee stock compensation costs and other appropriate costs. Certain expenses are shared with alliance partners based upon contractual agreements.

Expenses can vary between periods for a number of reasons, including the timing of upfront and contingent milestone payments for licensing and asset acquisitions and IPRD impairment charges.

Research and development expenses increased in 2015 due to higher charges resulting from investigational compound acquisitions (including \$800 million for Flexus and \$167 million for Cardioxyl), upfront payments for new alliance and licensing agreements (including \$350 million for Five Prime) and increased investments to accelerate and expand Opdivo development programs partially offset by lower IPRD impairment charges (including \$160 million for LPA1 antagonist in 2015) and favorable foreign exchange.

Research and development expenses increased in 2014 due to \$343 million IPRD impairment charges (including \$310 million for peginterferon lambda), higher variable employee compensation and clinical development costs, a \$148 million charge for the acquisition of iPierian, Inc. (iPierian) and upfront and contingent milestone payments for alliance and licensing agreements of \$130 million in 2014.

Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances, Note 4. Acquisitions and Other Divestitures and Note 14. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" for further information.

### Other (income)/expense

| Dollars in Millions                                  | Year Ended December 31, |       |       |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
|                                                      | 2015                    | 2014  | 2013  |
| Interest expense                                     | \$184                   | \$203 | \$199 |
| Investment income                                    | (101)                   | (101) | (104) |
| Provision for restructuring                          | 118                     | 163   | 226   |
| Litigation and other settlements                     | 159                     | 23    | 20    |
| Equity in net income of affiliates                   | (83)                    | (107) | (166) |
| Out-licensed intangible asset impairment             | 13                      | 29    | —     |
| Gain on sale of businesses, product lines and assets | (196)                   | (564) | (2)   |
| Other alliance and licensing income                  | (628)                   | (404) | (148) |
| Pension charges                                      | 160                     | 877   | 165   |



Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

|                         |        |         |       |
|-------------------------|--------|---------|-------|
| Loss on debt redemption | 180    | 45      | —     |
| Other                   | 7      | 46      | 15    |
| Other (income)/expense  | \$(187 | ) \$210 | \$205 |

Litigation and other settlements includes an additional charge of \$90 million for a contractual dispute related to a license subsequent to the Company's earnings release for the fourth quarter of 2015.

Gain on sale of businesses, product lines and assets primarily resulted from the sale of the Ixempra\* business, Mount Vernon, Indiana manufacturing facility, certain mature and other over-the-counter product businesses and the transfer of Erbitux\* in North America in 2015 and the diabetes business divestiture in 2014. Refer to “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances and Note 4. Acquisitions and Other Divestitures” for further details.

Other alliance and licensing income includes royalties, transitional services and other fees resulting from the diabetes and other business divestitures in 2015 and 2014 and income of \$123 million resulting from the change in fair value of the written option liability attributed to the Reckitt Benckiser Group plc (Reckitt) alliance in 2015. Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances" for further discussion.

Pension settlement charges were recognized after determining that the annual lump sum payments would exceed the annual interest and service costs for certain pension plans, including the primary U.S. pension plan in 2015, 2014 and 2013. The charges include the acceleration of a portion of unrecognized actuarial losses and will likely occur in the future. An additional pension settlement charge of \$713 million was recognized in 2014 following the purchase of a group annuity contract from The Prudential Insurance Company of America in December 2014. Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 19. Pension, Postretirement and Postemployment Liabilities" for further details.

The loss on debt redemption in 2015 resulted from the early redemption of euro notes and a tender offer for certain other debt securities. Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 10. Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements" for further details.

#### Income Taxes

| Dollars in Millions          | 2015    | 2014    | 2013    |  |   |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|---|
| Earnings Before Income Taxes | \$2,077 | \$2,381 | \$2,891 |  |   |
| Provision for income taxes   | 446     | 352     | 311     |  |   |
| Effective tax rate           | 21.5    | % 14.8  | % 10.8  |  | % |

Historically, the effective income tax rate is lower than the U.S. statutory rate of 35% due to our decision to indefinitely reinvest the earnings for certain of our manufacturing operations in Switzerland, Ireland and Puerto Rico. BMS operates under a favorable tax grant in Puerto Rico not scheduled to expire prior to 2023.

The tax impact attributed to research and development charges, divestiture transactions and other specified items including additional transfer pricing reserves in 2014 increased the effective tax rate by 0.3% in 2015 and reduced the effective tax rate by 5.1% in 2014 and 4.6% in 2013. No tax benefits were attributed to the research and development charges resulting from the acquisitions of Flexus and Cardioxyl in 2015 and iPierian in 2014. Minimal income taxes were attributed to the diabetes business divestiture gain in 2014 because of the capital loss deduction on the sale of the Amylin shares and tax basis differences resulting primarily from allocated goodwill and Amylin deferred taxes. Earnings mix between high and low tax jurisdictions in all periods and the retroactive reinstatement of the 2012 research and development credit legislation in 2013 also impacted the effective tax rates. Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 8. Income Taxes" for further information.

#### Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Our non-GAAP financial measures, including non-GAAP earnings and related EPS information, are adjusted to exclude certain costs, expenses, gains and losses and other specified items that due to their significant and/or unusual nature are evaluated on an individual basis. Similar charges or gains for some of these items have been recognized in prior periods and it is reasonably possible that they could reoccur in future periods. Non-GAAP information is intended to portray the results of our baseline performance which include the discovery, development, licensing, manufacturing, marketing, distribution and sale of pharmaceutical products on a global basis and to enhance an investor's overall understanding of our past financial performance and prospects for the future. For example, non-GAAP earnings and EPS information is an indication of our baseline performance before items that are considered by us to not be reflective of our ongoing results. In addition, this information is among the primary indicators we use as a basis for evaluating performance, allocating resources, setting incentive compensation targets, and planning and forecasting for future periods. This information is not intended to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net earnings or diluted EPS prepared in accordance with GAAP.



Specified items were as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                                 | Year Ended December 31, |         |       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------|
|                                                                     | 2015                    | 2014    | 2013  |
| Accelerated depreciation, asset impairment and other shutdown costs | \$84                    | \$151   | \$36  |
| Amortization of acquired Amylin intangible assets                   | —                       | —       | 549   |
| Amortization of Amylin alliance proceeds                            | —                       | —       | (273) |
| Amortization of Amylin inventory adjustment                         | —                       | —       | 14    |
| Cost of products sold                                               | 84                      | 151     | 326   |
| Additional year of Branded Prescription Drug Fee                    | —                       | 96      | —     |
| Process standardization implementation costs                        | 10                      | 9       | 16    |
| Marketing, selling and administrative                               | 10                      | 105     | 16    |
| License and asset acquisition charges                               | 1,679                   | 278     | 16    |
| IPRD impairments                                                    | 160                     | 343     | —     |
| Other                                                               | 44                      | —       | —     |
| Research and development                                            | 1,883                   | 621     | 16    |
| Provision for restructuring                                         | 115                     | 163     | 226   |
| Gain on sale of businesses, product lines and assets                | (187)                   | (559)   | —     |
| Pension charges                                                     | 160                     | 877     | 161   |
| Acquisition and alliance related items <sup>(a)</sup>               | (123)                   | 72      | (10)  |
| Litigation and other settlements                                    | 158                     | 27      | (23)  |
| Out-licensed intangible asset impairment                            | 13                      | 11      | —     |
| Loss on debt redemption                                             | 180                     | 45      | —     |
| Upfront, milestone and other licensing receipts                     | —                       | (10)    | (14)  |
| Other (income)/expense                                              | 316                     | 626     | 340   |
| Increase to pretax income                                           | 2,293                   | 1,503   | 698   |
| Income tax on items above                                           | (480)                   | (545)   | (242) |
| Specified tax charge <sup>(b)</sup>                                 | —                       | 123     | —     |
| Income taxes                                                        | (480)                   | (422)   | (242) |
| Increase to net earnings                                            | \$1,813                 | \$1,081 | \$456 |

(a) Includes \$16 million of additional year of Branded Prescription Drug Fee in the third quarter of 2014.

(b) The 2014 specified tax charge relates to transfer pricing matters.

The reconciliations from GAAP to Non-GAAP were as follows:

| Dollars in Millions, except per share data                                   | Year Ended December 31, |         |         |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|
|                                                                              | 2015                    | 2014    | 2013    |
| Net Earnings Attributable to BMS used for Diluted EPS Calculation — GAAP     | \$1,565                 | \$2,004 | \$2,563 |
| Specified Items                                                              | 1,813                   | 1,081   | 456     |
| Net Earnings Attributable to BMS used for Diluted EPS Calculation — Non-GAAP | \$3,378                 | \$3,085 | \$3,019 |
| Average Common Shares Outstanding — Diluted                                  | 1,679                   | 1,670   | 1,662   |

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

|                                             |        |        |        |
|---------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Diluted EPS Attributable to BMS — GAAP      | \$0.93 | \$1.20 | \$1.54 |
| Diluted EPS Attributable to Specified Items | 1.08   | 0.65   | 0.28   |
| Diluted EPS Attributable to BMS — Non-GAAP  | \$2.01 | \$1.85 | \$1.82 |

42

---

## Financial Position, Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our net cash position was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                    | 2015    | 2014    |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Cash and cash equivalents                              | \$2,385 | \$5,571 |
| Marketable securities — current                        | 1,885   | 1,864   |
| Marketable securities — non-current                    | 4,660   | 4,408   |
| Total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities | 8,930   | 11,843  |
| Short-term borrowings                                  | (139)   | (590)   |
| Long-term debt                                         | (6,550) | (7,242) |
| Net cash position                                      | \$2,241 | \$4,011 |

Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities held in the U.S. were approximately \$1.7 billion at December 31, 2015. Most of the remaining \$7.2 billion is held primarily in low-tax jurisdictions and is attributable to earnings that are expected to be indefinitely reinvested offshore. Cash repatriations are subject to restrictions in certain jurisdictions and may be subject to withholding and additional U.S. income taxes. We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities together with cash generated from operations and issuance of commercial paper in the U.S. will be sufficient to satisfy our normal cash requirements for at least the next few years, including dividends, capital expenditures, milestone payments and working capital.

Management continuously evaluates the Company's capital structure to ensure the Company is financed efficiently. This includes potential opportunities to repurchase certain debt securities, terminate certain interest rate swap contracts prior to their maturity and access the capital markets, subject to market conditions. For example, we issued senior unsecured notes in a registered public offering generating proceeds of \$1.3 billion and redeemed/repurchased certain notes for nearly \$2.0 billion during 2015. Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 10. Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements" for further details. We issued commercial paper to meet near-term domestic liquidity requirements during 2015. The average amount of commercial paper outstanding was \$254 million at a weighted-average interest rate of 0.16% during 2015. The maximum month end amount of commercial paper outstanding was \$755 million with no outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2015.

Dividend payments were \$2.5 billion in 2015, \$2.4 billion in 2014 and \$2.3 billion in 2013. Dividend decisions are made on a quarterly basis by our Board of Directors. Capital expenditures were approximately \$800 million in 2015 and approximately \$500 million in 2014 and 2013 and are expected to be approximately \$1.3 billion in 2016 and \$1.0 billion in 2017. The higher spending is expected as a result of expanding our biologics manufacturing capabilities and other facility-related activities. For example, we are constructing a new large-scale biologics manufacturing facility in Ireland that will produce multiple therapies for our growing biologics portfolio when completed in 2019.

Our marketable securities portfolio is subject to changes in fair value as a result of interest rate fluctuations and other market factors, which may impact our results of operations. Our investment policy places limits on these investments and the amount and time to maturity of investments with any institution. The policy also requires that investments are only entered into with corporate and financial institutions that meet high credit quality standards. Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 10. Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements" for further details.

Two separate \$1.5 billion five-year revolving credit facilities are maintained from a syndicate of lenders. The facilities provide for customary terms and conditions with no financial covenants and are extendable on any anniversary date with the consent of the lenders. No borrowings were outstanding under either revolving credit facility at December 31, 2015 or 2014.

Additional regulations in the U.S. could be passed in the future which could negatively impact our results of operations, operating cash flow, liquidity and financial flexibility. We also continue to monitor the potential impact of the economic conditions in certain European countries and the related impact on prescription trends, pricing discounts, creditworthiness of our customers and our ability to collect outstanding receivables from our direct customers. Currently, we believe these economic conditions in the EU will not have a material impact on our liquidity, cash flow or financial flexibility.

Our exposure with certain European government-backed entities have a higher risk of default. These government-backed entities are monitored through economic factors including credit ratings, credit-default swap rates and debt-to-gross domestic product ratios in addition to entity specific factors. We manage our exposure by factoring certain receivables, including receivables in Italy, Portugal and Spain as circumstances permit. Factoring of receivables in those countries were \$476 million in 2015, \$454 million in 2014 and \$509 million in 2013. Factoring of receivables in Japan were \$358 million in 2014 and \$522 million in 2013. Our factoring agreements do not allow for recourse in the event of uncollectibility and we do not retain interest to the underlying assets once sold.

## Credit Ratings

BMS's long-term and short-term credit ratings assigned by Moody's Investors Service are A2 and Prime-1, respectively, and the long-term credit outlook was revised from negative to stable in April 2015. BMS's long-term and short-term credit ratings assigned by Standard & Poor's are A+ and A-1+, respectively, with a stable long-term credit outlook. BMS's long-term and short-term credit ratings assigned by Fitch are A- and F2, respectively, with a stable long-term credit outlook. Our long-term ratings reflect the agencies' opinion that we have a low default risk but are somewhat susceptible to adverse effects of changes in circumstances and economic conditions. Our short-term ratings reflect the agencies' opinion that we have good to extremely strong capacity for timely repayment. Any credit rating downgrade may affect the interest rate of any debt we may incur, the fair market value of existing debt and our ability to access the capital markets generally.

## Cash Flows

The following is a discussion of cash flow activities:

| Dollars in Millions              | 2015    | 2014      | 2013      |
|----------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash flow provided by/(used in): |         |           |           |
| Operating activities             | \$1,832 | \$3,148   | \$3,545   |
| Investing activities             | (1,572) | ) 1,216   | (572)     |
| Financing activities             | (3,351) | ) (2,437) | ) (1,068) |

## Operating Activities

Cash flow from operating activities represents the cash receipts and cash disbursements from all of our activities other than investing activities and financing activities. Operating cash flow is derived by adjusting net earnings for noncontrolling interest, non-cash operating items, gains and losses attributed to investing and financing activities and changes in operating assets and liabilities resulting from timing differences between the receipts and payments of cash and when the transactions are recognized in our results of operations. As a result, changes in cash from operating activities reflect the timing of cash collections from customers and alliance partners; payments to suppliers, alliance partners and employees; pension contributions; and tax payments in the ordinary course of business.

The \$1.3 billion decrease in cash provided by operating activities in 2015 was primarily attributable to:

- Timing of payments with alliance partners (approximately \$700 million), particularly active product ingredient supply and Medicaid rebates for Abilify\*;
- Higher upfront payments for new alliance and licensing agreements (approximately \$600 million); and
- Timing of customer collections resulting primarily from higher net product sales including those with extended payment terms for certain new products and less factoring (approximately \$400 million).

Partially offset by:

- The timing of other cash collections and payments in the ordinary course of business including among other items, changes in inventory levels, particularly those related to Abilify\*.

The \$397 million decrease in cash provided by operating activities in 2014 was primarily attributable to:

- Lower upfront and contingent alliance proceeds of approximately \$600 million (Reckitt alliance proceeds of \$485 million in 2013); and
- Additional net working capital requirements of approximately \$400 million.

Partially offset by:

- The timing of other cash collections and payments in the ordinary course of business including among other items, lower pension contributions, restructuring and annual bonus payments.





## Investing Activities

Cash requirements from investing activities include cash used for business and asset acquisitions, manufacturing and facility-related capital expenditures and purchase of marketable securities with maturities greater than 90 days reduced by proceeds from business divestitures and the sale and maturity of marketable securities.

The \$2.8 billion decrease in cash provided by investing activities in 2015 was primarily attributable to:

- Lower proceeds resulting from the diabetes and other business divestitures of \$2.9 billion (\$700 million in 2015 and \$3.6 billion in 2014);

- Cash used to acquire Flexus (\$800 million) and Cardioxyl (\$200 million) in 2015; and

- Higher capital expenditures (approximately \$300 million).

Partially offset by:

- Lower net purchases of marketable securities of \$1.3 billion in 2015; and

- Cash used to acquire iPierian (\$175 million) in 2014.

The \$1.8 billion decrease in cash used in investing activities in 2014 was primarily attributable to:

- Proceeds of \$3.5 billion allocated to the diabetes business divestiture in 2014.

Partially offset by:

- Higher net purchases of marketable securities (approximately \$1.6 billion); and

- Cash used to acquire iPierian (\$175 million) in 2014.

## Financing Activities

Cash requirements from financing activities include cash used to pay dividends, repurchase common stock and repay long-term debt and other borrowings reduced by proceeds from the exercise of stock options and issuance of long-term debt and other borrowings.

The \$914 million increase in cash used in financing activities in 2015 was primarily attributable to:

- Lower short-term borrowings of approximately \$700 million in 2015, consisting primarily of changes in bank overdrafts.

The \$1.4 billion increase in cash used in financing activities in 2014 was primarily attributable to:

- Lower net borrowings from long-term debt transactions of \$1.6 billion (\$676 million of repayments in 2014 and \$892 million of net borrowings in 2013); and

- Lower proceeds from stock option exercises (\$288 million in 2014 and \$564 million in 2013, including excess tax benefits).

Partially offset by:

- Lower cash used to repurchase common stock (none in 2014 and \$433 million in 2013).

## Contractual Obligations

Payments due by period for our contractual obligations at December 31, 2015 were as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                       | Obligations Expiring by Period |       |      |      |      |      |             |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
|                                           | Total                          | 2016  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Later Years |
| Short-term borrowings                     | \$139                          | \$139 | \$—  | \$—  | \$—  | \$—  | \$—         |
| Long-term debt                            | 6,339                          | —     | 750  | —    | 500  | —    | 5,089       |
| Interest on long-term debt <sup>(a)</sup> | 4,308                          | 187   | 194  | 192  | 186  | 185  | 3,364       |
| Operating leases                          | 822                            | 134   | 111  | 99   | 78   | 62   | 338         |
| Purchase obligations                      | 2,809                          | 1,226 | 491  | 381  | 284  | 228  | 199         |

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

|                                        |          |         |         |       |         |       |         |
|----------------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| Uncertain tax positions <sup>(b)</sup> | 75       | 75      | —       | —     | —       | —     | —       |
| Other long-term liabilities            | 480      | —       | 101     | 52    | 33      | 31    | 263     |
| Total                                  | \$14,972 | \$1,761 | \$1,647 | \$724 | \$1,081 | \$506 | \$9,253 |

(a) Includes estimated future interest payments and periodic cash settlements of derivatives.

(b) Includes only short-term uncertain tax benefits because of uncertainties regarding the timing of resolution.

45

---

In addition to the above, we are committed to an aggregated \$9.3 billion of potential future research and development milestone payments to third parties for in-licensing, asset acquisitions and development programs including early-stage milestones of \$2.6 billion (milestones achieved through Phase III clinical trials) and late-stage milestones of \$6.7 billion (milestones achieved post Phase III clinical trials). Payments generally are due and payable only upon achievement of certain developmental and regulatory milestones for which the specific timing cannot be predicted. Some of these agreements also provide for sales-based milestones aggregating \$2.3 billion that we would be obligated to pay to alliance partners upon achievement of certain sales levels in addition to royalties. We also have certain manufacturing, development and commercialization obligations in connection with alliance arrangements. It is not practicable to estimate the amount of these obligations. Refer to “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances” for further information regarding our alliances.

For a discussion of contractual obligations, refer to “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 8. Income Taxes,” “—Note 10. Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements,” “—Note 19. Pension, Postretirement and Postemployment Liabilities” and “—Note 21. Leases.”

#### SEC Consent Order / FCPA Settlement

As previously disclosed, on August 4, 2004, we entered into a final settlement with the SEC, concluding an investigation concerning certain wholesaler inventory and accounting matters. The settlement was reached through a Consent, a copy of which was attached as Exhibit 10 to our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004.

Under the terms of the Consent, we agreed, subject to certain defined exceptions, to limit sales of all products sold to our direct customers (including wholesalers, distributors, hospitals, retail outlets, pharmacies and government purchasers) based on expected demand or on amounts that do not exceed approximately one month of inventory on hand, without making a timely public disclosure of any change in practice. We also agreed in the Consent to certain measures that we have implemented including: (a) establishing a formal review and certification process of our annual and quarterly reports filed with the SEC; (b) establishing a business risk and disclosure group; (c) retaining an outside consultant to comprehensively study and help re-engineer our accounting and financial reporting processes; (d) publicly disclosing any sales incentives offered to direct customers for the purpose of inducing them to purchase products in excess of expected demand; and (e) ensuring that our budget process gives appropriate weight to inputs that come from the bottom to the top, and not just from the top to the bottom, and adequately documenting that process.

We have established a company-wide policy to limit our sales to direct customers for the purpose of complying with the Consent. This policy includes the adoption of various procedures to monitor and limit sales to direct customers in accordance with the terms of the Consent. These procedures include a governance process to escalate to appropriate management levels potential questions or concerns regarding compliance with the policy and timely resolution of such questions or concerns. In addition, compliance with the policy is monitored on a regular basis.

We maintain inventory management agreements (IMAs) with our U.S. pharmaceutical wholesalers, which account for nearly 100% of our gross U.S. revenues. Under the current terms of the IMAs, our wholesaler customers provide us with weekly information with respect to months on hand product-level inventories and the amount of out-movement of products. The three largest wholesalers currently account for approximately 95% of our gross U.S. revenues. The inventory information received from our wholesalers, together with our internal information, is used to estimate months on hand product level inventories at these wholesalers. We estimate months on hand product inventory levels for our U.S. business’s wholesaler customers other than the three largest wholesalers by extrapolating from the months on hand calculated for the three largest wholesalers. In contrast, our non-U.S. business has significantly more direct customers, limited information on direct customer product level inventory and corresponding out-movement information and the reliability of third-party demand information, where available, varies widely. Accordingly, we

rely on a variety of methods to estimate months on hand product level inventories for these business units.

We believe the above-described procedures provide a reasonable basis to ensure compliance with the Consent.

In addition, as previously disclosed, in October 2015, the Company reached a civil settlement with the SEC of alleged Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) violations in which the Company agreed to approximately \$14.7 million in disgorgement, penalties and interest. As part of the settlement, the Company also agreed to a two-year self-monitoring period of reporting to the government and is maintaining procedures to ensure compliance.

#### Recently Issued Accounting Standards

For recently issued accounting standards, refer to “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 1. Accounting Policies—Recently Issued Accounting Standards.”

## Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses. Our critical accounting policies are those that significantly impact our financial condition and results of operations and require the most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Because of this uncertainty, actual results may vary from these estimates. These accounting policies were discussed with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

## Revenue Recognition

Our accounting policy for revenue recognition has a substantial impact on reported results and relies on certain estimates. Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the sales price is fixed or determinable, collectability is reasonably assured and title and substantially all of the risks and rewards of ownership have transferred (generally upon shipment except in certain EU markets which does not occur until delivery of the products to the customer). In 2014, we deferred approximately \$300 million invoiced for Daklinza under an early access program in France. A portion of this amount was recognized as revenue in 2015 when final pricing was obtained in France. Revenue is also reduced for gross-to-net sales adjustments discussed below, all of which involve significant estimates and judgment after considering legal interpretations of applicable laws and regulations, historical experience, payer channel mix (e.g. Medicare or Medicaid), current contract prices under applicable programs, unbilled claims and processing time lags and inventory levels in the distribution channel. Estimates are assessed each period and adjusted as required to revised information or actual experience.

In alliance arrangements involving the delivery of more than one element, each undelivered element is evaluated whether it qualifies as a separate unit of accounting. The consideration that is fixed or determinable is then allocated to each undelivered element and is recognized as the related goods or services are delivered, limited to the consideration that is not contingent upon future deliverables. Consideration associated with contingent milestones and royalties are allocated among the underlying elements if and when the amounts are determined to be payable to BMS.

## Gross-to-Net Adjustments

The following categories of gross-to-net adjustments involve significant estimates, judgments and information obtained from external sources. Refer to “—Total Revenues” above for further discussion and analysis of each significant category of gross-to-net sales adjustments.

### Charge-backs and cash discounts

Our U.S. business participates in programs with government entities, the most significant of which are the U.S. Department of Defense and the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, and other parties, including covered entities under the 340B Drug Pricing Program, whereby pricing on products is extended below wholesaler list price to participating entities. These entities purchase products through wholesalers at the lower program price and the wholesalers then charge us the difference between their acquisition cost and the lower program price. Accounts receivable is reduced for the estimated amount of unprocessed charge-back claims attributable to a sale (typically within a two to four week time lag).

In the U.S. and certain other countries, cash discounts are offered as an incentive for prompt payment, generally approximating 2% of the sales price. Accounts receivable is reduced for the estimated amount of unprocessed cash discounts (typically within a one month time lag).

Medicaid and Medicare rebates

Our U.S. business participates in state government Medicaid programs and other qualifying Federal and state government programs requiring discounts and rebates to participating state and local government entities. All discounts and rebates provided through these programs are included in our Medicaid rebate accrual. Medicaid rebates have also been extended to drugs used in managed Medicaid plans. The estimated amount of unpaid or unbilled rebates is presented as a liability.

Rebates and discounts are offered to managed healthcare organizations in the U.S. managing prescription drug programs and Medicare Advantage prescription drug plans covering the Medicare Part D drug benefit. We also pay a 50% point of service discount to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services when the Medicare Part D beneficiaries are in the coverage gap ("donut hole"). The estimated amount of unpaid or unbilled rebates and discounts is presented as a liability.

### Sales returns

Products are typically eligible to be returned between six months prior to and twelve months after product expiration, in accordance with our policy. Estimated returns for established products are determined after considering historical experience and other factors including levels of inventory in the distribution channel, estimated shelf life, product recalls, product discontinuances, price changes of competitive products, introductions of generic products, introductions of competitive new products and lower demand following the loss of exclusivity. The estimated amount for product returns is presented as a liability.

Estimated returns for new products are determined after considering historical sales return experience of similar products, such as those within the same product line or similar therapeutic category. We defer recognition of revenue until the right of return expires or until sufficient historical experience to estimate sales returns is developed in limited circumstances. This typically occurs when the new product is not an extension of an existing line of product or when historical experience with products in a similar therapeutic category is lacking. Estimated levels of inventory in the distribution channel and projected demand are also considered in estimating sales returns for new products.

### Other rebates, discounts and adjustments

Other gross-to-net sales adjustments include all other programs based on applicable laws and regulations for individual non-U.S. countries as well as rebates offered to managed healthcare organizations in the U.S to a lesser extent. The non-U.S. programs include several different pricing schemes such as cost caps, volume discounts, outcome-based pricing schemes and pricing claw-backs that are based on sales of individual companies or an aggregation of all companies participating in a specific market. The estimated amount of unpaid or unbilled rebates and discounts is presented as a liability.

### Use of information from external sources

Information from external sources is used to estimate gross-to-net adjustments. Our estimate of inventory at the wholesalers are based on the projected prescription demand-based sales for our products and historical inventory experience, as well as our analysis of third-party information, including written and oral information obtained from certain wholesalers with respect to their inventory levels and sell-through to customers and third-party market research data, and our internal information. The inventory information received from wholesalers is a product of their recordkeeping process and excludes inventory held by intermediaries to whom they sell, such as retailers and hospitals.

We have also continued the practice of combining retail and mail prescription volume on a retail-equivalent basis. We use this methodology for internal demand forecasts. We also use information from external sources to identify prescription trends, patient demand and average selling prices. Our estimates are subject to inherent limitations of estimates that rely on third-party information, as certain third-party information was itself in the form of estimates, and reflect other limitations including lags between the date as of which third-party information is generated and the date on which we receive third-party information.

### Retirement Benefits

Accounting for pension and postretirement benefit plans requires actuarial valuations based on significant assumptions for discount rates and expected long-term rates of return on plan assets. In consultation with our actuaries, these significant assumptions and others such as salary growth, retirement, turnover, healthcare trends and mortality rates are evaluated and selected based on expectations or actual experience during each remeasurement date. Pension expense could vary within a range of outcomes and have a material effect on reported earnings, projected benefit obligations and future cash funding. Actual results in any given year may differ from those estimated because of



economic and other factors.

The yield on high quality corporate bonds that coincides with the cash flows of the plans' estimated payouts is used in determining the discount rate. The Citigroup Pension Discount curve is used for the U.S. plans. The U.S. plans' pension expense for 2015 was determined using a 4.0% weighted-average discount rate. The present value of benefit obligations at December 31, 2015 for the U.S. pension plans was determined using a 4.2% discount rate. If the discount rate used in determining the U.S. plans' pension expense for 2015 was reduced by an additional 1%, such expense would increase by approximately \$9 million. If the assumed discount rate used in determining the U.S. pension plans' projected benefit obligation at December 31, 2015 was reduced by an additional 1%, the projected benefit obligation would increase by approximately \$1.0 billion.

New mortality tables (RP-2014) and mortality improvement scales (MP-2014) were issued by the Society of Actuaries in 2014 reflecting longer life expectancies than the previous tables. The new tables were used to measure the U.S. pension and post-retirement obligations beginning at September 30, 2014, resulting in an increase in the obligations of approximately \$600 million. The revised mortality rates are not expected to materially impact pension expense in future periods.

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is estimated considering expected returns for individual asset classes with input from external advisors. We also consider long-term historical returns including actual performance compared to benchmarks for similar investments. The U.S. plans' pension expense for 2015 was determined using an 7.8% expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. If the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets used in determining the U.S. plans' pension expense for 2015 was reduced by 1%, such expense would increase by \$39 million.

For a more detailed discussion on retirement benefits, refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 19. Pension, Postretirement and Postemployment Liabilities."

#### Business Combinations and Divestitures

Goodwill and other intangible assets acquired in business combinations, licensing and other transactions were \$8.3 billion (representing 26% of total assets) at December 31, 2015.

Accounting for transactions as business combinations and divestitures is significantly different than asset acquisitions and divestitures. For example, acquired IPRD is capitalized for business combinations and expensed for asset acquisitions and the fair value of contingent consideration and goodwill are only recognized in business combination transactions. Likewise, when a portion of a reporting unit that constitutes a business is divested, goodwill associated with that business is included in the carrying value of the business in determining the gain or loss. Derecognition of goodwill does not occur in asset dispositions. As a result, it is important to determine whether a business or an asset or group of assets is acquired or divested. A business is defined in ASC 805 - Business Combinations as an integrated set of inputs and processes that are capable of generating outputs that have the ability to provide a return to its investors or owners. Typical inputs include long-lived assets (including intangible assets or rights to use long-lived assets), intellectual property and the ability to obtain access to required resources. Typical processes include strategic, operational and resource management processes that are typically documented or evident through an organized workforce.

We consider all of the above factors when determining whether a business was acquired (or divested) as well as the compound's development phase if no commercial products are involved. For example, in evaluating our acquisitions of Cardioxyl and Flexus in 2015 and iPierian in 2014, we concluded that no significant processes were transferred to us, thus the transactions were accounted for as asset acquisitions. As a result, the amounts allocated to the lead investigational compounds were expensed and not capitalized. In addition, contingent consideration from potential development, regulatory, approval and sales-based milestones and sales-based royalties were not included in the purchase price. Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 4. Acquisitions and Other Divestitures" for further discussion on our acquisitions.

Similarly, in evaluating our divestitures of Erbitux\*, Ixempra\* and the businesses comprising the alliances with The Medicines Company and Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc. in 2015 and our diabetes business to AstraZeneca PLC (AstraZeneca) in 2014 we concluded that all necessary inputs and processes were transferred, and consequently the transactions were accounted for as sales of businesses, which resulted in the allocation of goodwill (\$73 million in 2015 and \$600 million in 2014) to the carrying value of the businesses in determining the gain on sale. Contingent proceeds related to divestitures are not recognized until realized.

Valuation processes are also required for certain multiple element arrangements and include determination of judgmental and complex matters, discussed above. For example, BMS purchased a warrant in 2015 that gives BMS the exclusive right to acquire Promedior, which required the determination of the best estimated selling price of the two separate elements identified in the transaction (the warrant and the clinical development services). Similarly, the divestiture of the diabetes business to AstraZeneca in 2014 required the determination of the best estimated selling

price of several elements including the business, supply and development agreements (including the appropriate mark-ups) and the estimated fair value of the manufacturing facility. Refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 3. Alliances" for further discussion on both transactions.

#### Impairment

#### Other Intangible Assets, including IPRD

Other intangible assets were \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2015, including licenses (\$266 million), developed technology rights (\$758 million), capitalized software (\$275 million) and IPRD (\$120 million). Intangible assets are assessed for impairment whenever current facts or circumstances warrant a review, although IPRD is assessed at least annually. Intangible assets are highly vulnerable to impairment charges, particularly newly acquired assets for recently launched products or IPRD. These assets are initially measured at fair value and therefore any reduction in expectations used in the valuations could potentially lead to impairment. Some of the more common potential risks leading to impairment include competition, earlier than expected loss of exclusivity, pricing pressures, adverse regulatory changes or clinical trial results, delay or failure to obtain regulatory approval and additional development costs, inability to achieve expected synergies, higher operating costs, changes in tax laws and other macro-economic changes. The complexity in estimating the fair value of intangible assets in connection with an impairment test is similar to the initial valuation.

Considering the high risk nature of research and development and the industry's success rate of bringing developmental compounds to market, IPRD impairment charges are likely to occur in future periods. We recognized a \$160 million charge in 2015 for BMS-986020 which was in Phase II development for treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and \$343 million in 2014, including a \$310 million charge for peginterferon lambda which was in Phase III development for treatment of HCV. For discussion on IPRD impairments, refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 14. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets."

#### Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment is tested for impairment whenever current facts or circumstances warrant a review. Additionally, these long-lived assets are periodically reviewed to determine if any change in facts or circumstances would result in a change to the estimated useful life of the asset, possibly resulting in the acceleration of depreciation. If such circumstances exist, an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows generated by the asset, or the appropriate grouping of assets, is compared to the carrying value to determine whether an impairment exists at its lowest level of identifiable cash flows. If an asset is determined to be impaired, the loss is measured based on the difference between the asset's fair value and its carrying value. Expectations of future cash flows are subject to change based upon the near and long-term production volumes and margins generated by the asset as well as any potential alternative future use. Accelerated depreciation and other related charges for certain manufacturing and R&D facilities were \$115 million in 2015, \$151 million in 2014 and \$36 million in 2013.

#### Contingencies

In the normal course of business, we are subject to contingencies, such as legal proceedings and claims arising out of our business, that cover a wide range of matters, including, among others, government investigations, shareholder lawsuits, product and environmental liability, contractual claims and tax matters. We recognize accruals for such contingencies when it is probable that a liability will be incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. These estimates are subject to uncertainties that are difficult to predict and, as such, actual results could vary from these estimates.

For discussions on contingencies, refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 1. Accounting Policies—Contingencies," "—Note 8. Income Taxes" and "—Note 22. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies."

#### Income Taxes

Valuation allowances are recognized to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized. The assessment of whether or not a valuation allowance is required often requires significant judgment including long-range forecasts of future taxable income and evaluation of tax planning initiatives. Adjustments to the deferred tax valuation allowances are made to earnings in the period when such assessments are made. Our deferred tax assets were \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2015 (net of valuation allowances of \$3.5 billion) and \$3.8 billion at December 31, 2014 (net of valuation allowances of \$4.3 billion).

Deferred tax assets related to a U.S. Federal net operating loss carryforward of \$146 million and a U.S. Federal tax credit carryforward of \$27 million were recognized at December 31, 2015. The net operating loss carryforward expires in varying amounts beginning in 2022. The U.S. Federal tax credit carryforward expires in varying amounts beginning in 2017. The realization of these carryforwards is dependent on generating sufficient domestic-sourced taxable income prior to their expiration. A \$6 million valuation allowance was established for this item at December 31, 2015. Although not assured, we believe it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets not valued will be realized.

Taxes are not provided on undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries expected to be reinvested indefinitely offshore.

Prior to the Mead Johnson Nutrition Company (Mead Johnson) split-off in 2009, the following transactions occurred: (i) an internal spin-off of Mead Johnson shares while still owned by us; (ii) conversion of Mead Johnson Class B shares to Class A shares; and; (iii) conversion of Mead Johnson & Company to a limited liability company. These transactions as well as the split-off of Mead Johnson through the exchange offer should qualify as tax-exempt transactions under the Internal Revenue Code based upon a private letter ruling received from the Internal Revenue Service related to the conversion of Mead Johnson Class B shares to Class A shares, and outside legal opinions.

Certain assumptions, representations and covenants by Mead Johnson were relied upon regarding the future conduct of its business and other matters which could affect the tax treatment of the exchange. For example, the current tax law generally creates a presumption that the exchange would be taxable to us, if Mead Johnson or its shareholders were to engage in transactions that result in a 50% or greater change in its stock ownership during a four year period beginning two years before the exchange offer, unless it is established that the exchange offer were not part of a plan or series of related transactions to effect such a change in ownership. If the internal spin-off or exchange offer were determined not to qualify as a tax exempt transaction, the transaction could be subject to tax as if the exchange was a taxable sale by us at market value.

In addition, a negative basis or excess loss account (ELA) existed in our investment in stock of Mead Johnson prior to these transactions. We received an opinion from outside legal counsel to the effect that it is more likely than not that we eliminated the ELA as part of these transactions and do not have taxable income with respect to the ELA. The tax law in this area is complex and it is possible that even if the internal spin-off and the exchange offer is tax exempt under the Internal Revenue Code, the IRS could assert that we have additional taxable income for the period with respect to the ELA. We could be exposed to additional taxes if this were to occur. Based upon our understanding of the Internal Revenue Code and opinion from outside legal counsel, a tax reserve of \$244 million was established reducing the gain on disposal of Mead Johnson included in discontinued operations in 2009.

We agreed to certain tax related indemnities with Mead Johnson as set forth in the tax sharing agreement, including certain taxes related to its business prior to the completion of the IPO and created as part of the restructuring to facilitate the IPO. Mead Johnson has also agreed to indemnify us for potential tax effects resulting from the breach of certain representations discussed above as well as certain transactions related to the acquisition of Mead Johnson's stock or assets.

Liabilities are established for possible assessments by tax authorities resulting from known tax exposures including, but not limited to, transfer pricing matters, tax credits and deductibility of certain expenses. Such liabilities represent a reasonable provision for taxes ultimately expected to be paid and may need to be adjusted over time as more information becomes known. For example, additional reserves of \$123 million were established in 2014 for certain transfer pricing matters related to periods from 2008 through 2014.

For discussions on income taxes, refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 1. Accounting Policies—Income Taxes" and "—Note 8. Income Taxes."

#### Product and Pipeline Developments

Our R&D programs are managed on a portfolio basis from early discovery through late-stage development. We continually evaluate our portfolio to ensure that there is an appropriate balance of early-stage and late-stage programs to support future growth. Our R&D programs in Phase III development are considered significant, as these programs constitute our late-stage development pipeline. These development programs include both investigational compounds in Phase III development for initial indications and marketed products in Phase III development for additional indications or formulations. Spending on these programs represents approximately 30-45% of our annual R&D expenses. No individual investigational compound or marketed product represented 10% or more of our R&D expenses in any of the last three years, except for Opdivo in 2015. Our late-stage development programs could potentially have an impact on our revenue and earnings within the next few years, although we do not expect all of our late-stage development programs to make it to market. The following are the developments in our marketed products and our late-stage pipeline:

Opdivo - a fully human monoclonal antibody that binds to the PD-1 on T and NKT cells that has been approved and continues to be investigated as an anti-cancer treatment. Opdivo is part of our alliance with Ono.

Unresectable (inoperable) or metastatic (advanced) melanoma

In January 2016, the Company announced a randomized Phase III study evaluating Opdivo versus investigator's choice in patients with recurrent or metastatic platinum-refractory squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck was stopped early because an assessment conducted by the independent Data Monitoring Committee (DMC) concluded that the study met its primary endpoint, demonstrating superior overall survival in patients receiving Opdivo compared to the control arm.

In January 2016, the Company announced the FDA approved Opdivo in combination with Yervoy for the treatment of patients with BRAF V600 wild-type and BRAF V600 mutation positive unresectable or metastatic melanoma. This approval expands the original indication for the Opdivo+Yervoy regimen for the treatment of patients with BRAF V600 wild-type unresectable or metastatic melanoma to include patients, regardless of BRAF mutational status, based

on data from the Phase III CheckMate-067 trial which evaluated progression-free survival and overall survival as co-primary endpoints. This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on progression-free survival. In January 2016, the Company announced the FDA expanded the use of Opdivo as a single agent to include previously untreated BRAF mutation positive advanced melanoma patients. The use of Opdivo as a single agent in patients with BRAF V600 mutation positive unresectable or metastatic melanoma is approved under accelerated approval based on progression-free survival.

- In November 2015, the Company announced the FDA approved Opdivo as a single agent for the treatment of previously untreated patients with BRAF V600 wild-type unresectable or metastatic melanoma.

In November 2015, the Company announced results from multiple clinical trials

CheckMate-066 - In the study evaluating Opdivo as a single agent versus dacarbazine in patients with previously untreated BRAF wild-type unresectable or metastatic melanoma, Opdivo continued to demonstrate superior overall survival versus dacarbazine with 57.7% of patients alive at two years compared to 26.7% of patients treated with dacarbazine. The safety profile of Opdivo was consistent with prior studies.

Study 004 - In the study evaluating Opdivo in combination with Yervoy in patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma on which the proof of concept for Opdivo+Yervoy regimen approval was based, data from the longest follow-

up of the regimen from various Phase I cohorts showed a three-year overall survival rate of 68% across Phase I dosing cohorts. The frequency of treatment-related adverse events in the study were similar between cohorts, and was consistent with the Phase II and III trials for the combination therapy.

In September 2015, the FDA approved Opdivo in combination with Yervoy, for the treatment of patients with BRAF V600 wild-type unresectable or metastatic melanoma. The announcement marked the first and only FDA approval of a regimen of two immuno-oncology agents in cancer. This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on tumor response rate and durability of response.

In July 2015, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) validated the Company's type II variation application that seeks to extend the use of Opdivo in combination with Yervoy for the treatment of advanced (unresectable or metastatic) melanoma in adults. The application is based on data from the Phase III CheckMate-067 study, Phase II CheckMate-069 study and the Phase Ib CA209-004 study. Validation of an application confirms that the submission is complete and starts the EMA's centralized review process.

In June 2015, the Company announced the European Commission (EC) approved Opdivo for the treatment of both first-line and previously treated unresectable or metastatic melanoma patients, regardless of BRAF status. The approval allows for the marketing of Opdivo in all 28 Member States of the EU. Opdivo is the only PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor to receive an accelerated assessment in Europe, and is the first approval given by the EC for a PD-1 inhibitor in any cancer.

In May 2015, the Company announced positive results of a Phase III trial (CheckMate-067) evaluating the Opdivo+Yervoy regimen or Opdivo monotherapy vs. Yervoy monotherapy in patients with previously untreated advanced melanoma. Both the Opdivo+Yervoy regimen (n=314) and Opdivo monotherapy (n=316) demonstrated superiority to Yervoy (n=315), the current standard of care, for the co-primary endpoint of progression-free survival (PFS). Median PFS was 11.5 months for the Opdivo+Yervoy regimen and 6.9 months for Opdivo monotherapy, vs. 2.9 months for Yervoy monotherapy. The Opdivo+Yervoy regimen demonstrated a 58% reduction in the risk of disease progression vs. Yervoy (hazard ratio: 0.42; 99.5% CI, 0.31 to 0.57; P<0.0001), while Opdivo monotherapy demonstrated a 43% risk reduction versus Yervoy monotherapy (hazard ratio: 0.57; 99.5% CI, 0.43 to 0.76; P<0.00001). The hazard ratio for the exploratory endpoint comparing Opdivo+Yervoy PFS and Opdivo PFS was 0.74 (95% CI, 0.60 to 0.92). The safety profile was consistent with previously-reported studies evaluating the Opdivo+Yervoy regimen. The treatment-related adverse event rate was 95.5% for the Opdivo+Yervoy regimen compared to 82.1% for Opdivo monotherapy and 86.2% for Yervoy monotherapy. Most select treatment-related adverse events were resolved using established management guidelines. The trial is ongoing and patients continue to be followed for overall survival, a co-primary endpoint.

In April 2015, the Company announced positive results from a Phase II trial (CheckMate-069), evaluating the Opdivo+Yervoy regimen versus Yervoy alone in patients with previously untreated advanced melanoma. Patients with BRAF wild-type mutation status treated with the Opdivo+Yervoy regimen experienced a higher objective response rate (ORR) of 61% (n=44/72) – the primary study endpoint – compared to 11% (n=4/37) for patients administered Yervoy monotherapy (P<0.001). Complete responses were also reported in 22% (n=16) of patients with BRAF wild-type mutation status administered the Opdivo+Yervoy regimen and in no patients who received Yervoy monotherapy. Similar results were also observed in BRAF mutation-positive patients.

#### NSCLC

In December 2015, the Company and Ono announced that Ono received manufacturing and marketing approval for Opdivo in Japan for the treatment of patients with unresectable, advanced or recurrent NSCLC.

In November 2015, the Company announced the EC approved the reconciliation of indications for nivolumab under the Opdivo European Marketing Authorization Application (MAA). In compliance with EC regulations, BMS previously submitted two separate MAAs to the EMA; one under the name Opdivo for the treatment of unresectable or metastatic melanoma in adults, and one under the name Nivolumab BMS for the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic SQ NSCLC after prior chemotherapy. An application to reconcile these two indications was then submitted under the Opdivo brand name. Following approval for both of these indications by the EC earlier this year, the Company voluntarily withdrew the Marketing Authorization under the Nivolumab BMS brand name. This withdrawal has no impact for SQ NSCLC patients taking nivolumab since Opdivo is now approved for the treatment of SQ



NSCLC, as well as for melanoma.

In October 2015, the Company announced the FDA approved Opdivo for the treatment of previously treated patients with NSQ NSCLC regardless of PD-L1 expression, which expands upon the current indication for Opdivo in patients with previously treated SQ NSCLC.

In September 2015, the Company announced longer term (18 month) survival data from CheckMate-057, an open-label, randomized Phase III study evaluating Opdivo (n=292) versus docetaxel (n=290) in previously treated patients with advanced NSQ NSCLC. Opdivo continued to demonstrate superior overall survival – the study's primary endpoint – with an estimated 39% of patients alive at 18 months (95% CI, 34-45) versus 23% for docetaxel, based on a minimum follow-up of 17.1 months. Opdivo also continued to demonstrate a reduction in the risk of death by 28% (a hazard ratio of 0.72; 95% CI, 0.60 - 0.88). In

the study, Grade 3-4 treatment-related adverse events were reported in 10% of patients treated with Opdivo versus 54% in the docetaxel arm.

In September 2015, the Company announced updated results from the Opdivo+Yervoy arms in CheckMate-012, a multi-arm Phase Ib trial evaluating Opdivo in patients with chemotherapy-naïve advanced NSCLC. In this study, Opdivo was administered as monotherapy or as part of a combination with other agents, including Yervoy, at different doses and schedules. Results from other cohorts in CheckMate-012 have been previously-unreported. These updated results include findings from the administration of four new dosing schedules of Opdivo+Yervoy (n=148), which resulted in confirmed objective response rates ranging from 13% to 39% depending on the administered regimen. Median duration of response was not reached in any of these arms with a median follow-up of 6.2 months to 16.6 months, and median progression-free survival PFS ranged from 4.9 months to 10.6 months. The types of treatment-related serious adverse events reported in these cohorts for CheckMate-012 were consistent with other previously-reported Opdivo+Yervoy cohorts of this trial. The new dosing schedules in this study resulted in less toxicity than previously-reported dosing schedules, and were characterized by low frequency of treatment-related adverse events leading to discontinuation (3% to 10%) and no treatment-related deaths.

In September 2015, the Company announced longer term survival and safety data from CheckMate-017 and -063, two pivotal trials evaluating Opdivo in previously treated SQ NSCLC, showing sustained survival benefit across these studies. In both trials, Opdivo showed an estimated 18 month overall survival rate of 27% (CheckMate-063) to 28% (CheckMate-017); survival benefit was independent of PD-L1 expression. The safety profile of Opdivo is consistent with previously-reported trials, and in CheckMate-017, is also favorable compared to docetaxel.

- In July 2015, the EMA validated the Company's type II variation application that seeks to extend the use of Opdivo monotherapy in NSQ NSCLC and is based on data from the Phase III CheckMate-057 study.

In July 2015, the Company announced the EC approved Nivolumab BMS for the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic SQ NSCLC after prior chemotherapy. This approval marks the first major treatment advance in SQ NSCLC in more than a decade in the EU. Nivolumab is the first and only PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor to demonstrate overall survival in previously-treated metastatic SQ NSCLC.

In May 2015, the Company announced results from CheckMate-017, a Phase III, open-label, randomized study evaluating Opdivo (n=135) versus docetaxel (n=137) in previously treated patients with advanced SQ NSCLC. At one year, Opdivo demonstrated an overall survival rate of 42% versus 24% for docetaxel, with a median overall survival of 9.2 months versus 6 months, respectively. Opdivo reduced the risk of death by 41%, based upon a hazard ratio of 0.59 (95% CI, 0.44-0.79; P = 0.00025). The safety profile of Opdivo in CheckMate-017 was consistent with prior studies and favorable versus docetaxel.

In May 2015, the Company announced that Opdivo was the first PD-1 inhibitor to demonstrate superior overall survival versus standard of care (docetaxel) in an open-label, randomized Phase III study (CheckMate-057) evaluating previously-treated patients with advanced, NSQ NSCLC. A 27% reduction in the risk of progression or death – the primary study endpoint – was reported for Opdivo (n=292) versus docetaxel (n=290) based upon a hazard ratio of 0.73 (96% CI, 0.59-0.89; P=0.0015). Opdivo was associated with a doubling of overall median survival across the continuum of PD-L1 expression, starting at 1% level of expression, in the trial. The safety profile of Opdivo in CheckMate-057 was favorable versus docetaxel with grade 3–5 treatment-related adverse events reported in 10% of patients who were treated with Opdivo versus 54% in the docetaxel arm. In April 2015, the Company announced that Checkmate-057 was stopped early because an assessment conducted by the independent DMC concluded that the study met its primary endpoint.

In March 2015, the Company announced the FDA approved Opdivo for the treatment of patients with advanced SQ NSCLC with progression on or after platinum-based chemotherapy. Opdivo is the first and only PD-1 therapy to demonstrate overall survival in previously treated advanced SQ NSCLC. Opdivo demonstrated significantly superior overall survival vs. docetaxel, with a 41% reduction in the risk of death (hazard ratio: 0.59 [95% CI: 0.44, 0.79; p=0.00025]), in a prespecified interim analysis of a Phase III clinical trial. The median overall survival was 9.2 months in the Opdivo arm (95% CI: 7.3, 13.3) and 6 months in the docetaxel arm (95% CI: 5.1, 7.3).

Other indications

-

In November 2015, the Company announced the FDA approved Opdivo for the treatment of patients with advanced RCC who have received prior anti-angiogenic therapy.

In November 2015, the Company announced the EMA validated a type II variation application, which seeks to extend the current indication for Opdivo to include the treatment of adult patients with advanced RCC after prior therapy.

Validation of the application confirms the submission is complete and begins the EMA's centralized review process.

In September 2015, the Company announced results from CheckMate-025, a Phase III study comparing Opdivo to everolimus in advanced RCC after prior anti-angiogenic treatment, showing a significant overall survival benefit for Opdivo. In the trial, Opdivo demonstrated a median overall survival benefit of 25 months compared to 19.6 months for everolimus. Clinical benefit for Opdivo was observed regardless of level of PD-L1 expression. The safety profile shown in CheckMate-025 is consistent with

previously reported Opdivo trials. In July 2015, the Company announced that CheckMate-025 was stopped early because an assessment by the independent DMC concluded that the study met its primary endpoint.

In May 2015, the Company announced results from an interim analysis of CA209-040, a Phase I/II dose-ranging trial evaluating the safety and anti-tumor activity of Opdivo in previously-treated patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) or advanced liver cancer. Initial findings demonstrated that the estimated survival rate in evaluable patients (n=47) was 62% at 12 months. Results also show the safety profile of Opdivo is generally consistent with that previously reported for Opdivo in other tumor types.

Empliciti - a humanized monoclonal antibody for the treatment of multiple myeloma. Empliciti is part of our alliance with AbbVie Inc. (AbbVie).

In January 2016, the Company and AbbVie announced the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) of the EMA has adopted a positive opinion recommending that Empliciti be granted approval for the treatment of multiple myeloma as combination therapy with Revlimid\* and dexamethasone in patients who have received at least one prior therapy. The application now will be reviewed by the EC, which has the authority to approve medicines for the EU.

In December 2015, the Company and AbbVie announced extended follow-up data and a pre-specified interim overall survival analysis of Empliciti in combination with Revlimid\* and dexamethasone (ERd) in patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma from ELOQUENT-2. The follow-up data demonstrated that Empliciti in combination with Rd had an improvement in progression-free survival with a hazard ratio (HR) of 0.73 (95% CI: 0.60, 0.89; p="0".0014) versus Rd alone. This result was consistent with the improvement in PFS that was observed at the time of the primary analysis (HR 0.70 [95% CI: 0.57, 0.85; p = 0.0004]).

In November 2015, the Company and AbbVie announced the FDA approved Empliciti for the treatment of multiple myeloma as a combination therapy with Revlimid\* and dexamethasone in patients who have received one to three prior therapies.

In June 2015, the Company and AbbVie announced that results from an interim analysis of its Phase III, randomized, open-label ELOQUENT-2 trial. The trial (n=646) evaluated Empliciti in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone (ELd) versus lenalidomide and dexamethasone alone (Ld) for the treatment of relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma. The study met its co-primary endpoints demonstrating superior PFS and ORR. The ELd arm demonstrated a 30% reduction in the risk of disease progression or death compared to the Ld arm (HR 0.70, 95% CI, [0.57, 0.85]; p = 0.0004). The PFS rates in the ELd arm versus the Ld arm were 68% versus 57% at 1 year and 41% versus 27% at 2 years, respectively. A significant ORR also was observed with 79% (74% to 83%) in the ELd arm compared to 66% (60% to 71%) in the Ld arm (odds ratio, 1.9; 1.4 to 2.8; p=0.0002). The safety profile was consistent with previously-reported studies and there were minimal incremental adverse events with the addition of Empliciti to lenalidomide and dexamethasone.

Sprycel - an oral inhibitor of multiple tyrosine kinases indicated for the first-line treatment of adults with Philadelphia chromosome-positive chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) in chronic phase (CP) and the treatment of adults with chronic, accelerated, or myeloid or lymphoid blast phase CML with resistance or intolerance to prior therapy, including Gleevec\* (imatinib mesylate). Sprycel is part of our alliance with Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd (Otsuka). In August 2015, the Company and Otsuka announced the FDA approved an update to the Sprycel product labeling. The labeling now includes five-year efficacy and safety data in adult patients with newly diagnosed Philadelphia chromosome-positive (Ph+) CML in CP and seven-year data in CP Ph+ CML patients who are resistant or intolerant to prior therapy, including Gleevec\* (imatinib mesylate).

Yervoy - a monoclonal antibody for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma

In October 2015, the Company announced the FDA approved Yervoy for the adjuvant treatment of patients with cutaneous melanoma with pathologic involvement of regional lymph nodes of more than 1 mm who have undergone complete resection including total lymphadenectomy.

In October 2015, the Company announced a Yervoy Phase III trial, Study-104 in subjects with stage IV/recurrent NSCLC, which compared the efficacy of Yervoy in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin versus placebo, and versus paclitaxel and carboplatin alone did not meet the primary endpoint of overall survival for the Yervoy treatment arms and has been discontinued. No new safety concerns with Yervoy were identified in either study. The Company will complete a full evaluation of the data and work with investigators on the future publication of the results.

In July 2015, the Company announced two Yervoy Phase III trials, Study-095 in metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer and Study-156 in newly diagnosed extensive-stage disease small cell lung cancer, did not meet their primary endpoints of overall survival versus standard of care and have been discontinued. No new safety concerns with Yervoy were identified in either study. The Company will complete a full evaluation of the data and work with investigators on the future publication of the results.

In July 2015, the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare approved Yervoy for first and second line treatment for unresectable malignant melanoma.

Hepatitis C Portfolio - Daklinza (DCV) - an NS5A replication complex inhibitor; Sunvepra (ASV) - an NS3 protease inhibitor; and Beclabuvir (BCV) - an NS5B non-nucleoside polymerase inhibitor in development

In January 2016, the Company announced the FDA approved Daklinza in combination with sofosbuvir (with or without ribavirin) in genotypes 1 and 3. The expanded label includes data in three additional challenging-to-treat patient populations: chronic HCV patients with HIV-1 coinfection, advanced cirrhosis, or post-liver transplant recurrence of HCV. The Daklinza plus sofosbuvir regimen is already available for the treatment of chronic HCV genotype 3, and is currently the only 12-week, once-daily all-oral treatment option for these patients. Sustained virologic response (SVR) rates are reduced in genotype 3 patients with cirrhosis receiving Daklinza and sofosbuvir for 12 weeks without ribavirin. Sofosbuvir is a product of Gilead Sciences, Inc. (Gilead).

In January 2016, the Company announced the EC approved Daklinza for the treatment of chronic HCV in three new patient populations. The expanded label allows for the use of Daklinza in combination with sofosbuvir (with or without ribavirin, depending on the indication and HCV genotype) in HCV patients with decompensated cirrhosis, HIV-1 coinfection, and post-liver transplant recurrence of HCV in all 28 Member States of the EU.

In November 2015, the Company announced data from the Phase III ALLY-3+ trial investigating a regimen of Daklinza in combination with sofosbuvir and ribavirin in genotype 3 HCV patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis, for treatment durations of 12 and 16 weeks. This patient population is one of the most difficult to treat, among whom SVR rates, or cure, have proved harder to achieve. The results show that 100% of patients in the advanced fibrosis cohort achieved SVR12 in both the 12- and 16-week arms of the study. SVR12 rates were 83% and 89% in patients with cirrhosis in the 12- and 16-week arms, respectively.

In October 2015, the Company announced the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) has recommended Daklinza in England and Wales for the treatment of adult patients with chronic HCV. Specifically, NICE recommended Daklinza to treat certain patients with HCV genotypes 1, 3 and 4. Approximately 214,000 people in the UK are thought to have chronic HCV, and roughly 100,000 of those patients are estimated to have genotype 3, a difficult-to-treat and often aggressive form of chronic HCV.

In September 2015, the Company announced the EC approved an updated label for Daklinza for the treatment of genotype 3 chronic HCV. The update allows the use of Daklinza in combination with sofosbuvir for 12 weeks in patients without cirrhosis in all 28 Member States of the EU, and marks the first time these patients with genotype 3 HCV have a once-daily, all-oral treatment regimen of this shorter duration.

In July 2015, the Company announced the FDA approved Daklinza for use with sofosbuvir for the treatment of patients with chronic HCV genotype 3. This approval marks the first time patients with chronic HCV genotype 3 have a 12-week, once-daily, all-oral treatment option. SVR rates were reduced in HCV genotype 3-infected patients with cirrhosis receiving this regimen.

In July 2015, the Company announced that it does not plan to seek regulatory approval of the new drug application of the HCV triple-regimen, or TRIO, of DCV, ASV and BCV, in the United States or in Europe.

In May 2015, the Company announced the FDA amended a previously granted Breakthrough Therapy Designation for the investigational daclatasvir and sofosbuvir combination for use in HCV patients. The updated Designation reflects recently presented data on HCV genotype 1 patients with advanced cirrhosis (Child-Pugh Class B or C) and those who develop genotype 1 HCV recurrence post-liver transplant.

In April 2015, the Company announced the primary endpoints were successfully met in ALLY-1, a Phase III clinical trial evaluating a 12-week, combination of daclatasvir and sofosbuvir once-daily with ribavirin for the treatment of patients with chronic HCV with either advanced cirrhosis or post-liver transplant recurrence of HCV.

In February 2015, the Company announced results from ALLY-2, a Phase III clinical trial evaluating the investigational once-daily combination of daclatasvir and sofosbuvir for the treatment of patients with chronic HCV coinfecting with HIV – a patient population that historically has been challenging to treat in large part due to potential drug-drug interactions between the therapy regimens used to treat each infection. Among ALLY-2 patients treated for 12 weeks (treatment-naïve and -experienced), 97% (n=149/153) achieved cure (SVR12 weeks after treatment). The

study met the primary endpoint, with 96% (n=80/83) of treatment-naïve genotype 1 patients achieving SVR12. Treatment with daclatasvir in combination with sofosbuvir in this study showed high SVR rates, with no discontinuations due to adverse events, and no serious adverse events related to study medications throughout the treatment phase.

In February 2015, the FDA notified the Company of its intention to rescind the Breakthrough Therapy Designation for certain genotype 1 HCV regimens related to daclatasvir and other investigational BMS therapies. This will not impact our current submission/resubmission timetable of the NDA for daclatasvir in combination with other antiviral agents for the treatment of HCV.

Reyataz Franchise - a protease inhibitor for the treatment of HIV, which includes Reyataz and is also included in the combination therapy, Evotaz (atazanavir 300 mg and cobicistat 150 mg). Evotaz is part of a license agreement with Gilead.

In July 2015, the Company announced the EC approved Evotaz for the treatment of HIV-1 infected adults without known mutations associated with resistance to atazanavir. Evotaz is a once-daily single tablet two drug regimen combining Reyataz and Tybost\*. Tybost\* is a product of Gilead.

In June 2015, the FDA granted pediatric exclusivity for Reyataz which provides an additional six month period of exclusivity in the U.S.

In January 2015, the Company announced the FDA approved Evotaz for the treatment of the HIV-1 infection in adults, a once-daily single tablet two drug regimen combining Reyataz and Tybost\*.

Orencia - a fusion protein indicated for adult patients with moderate to severe active RA and is also indicated for reducing signs and symptoms in certain pediatric patients with moderately to severely active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis.

In June 2015, the Company announced data from the Orencia Phase IIIb AVERT and AMPLE trials. These trials included early moderate to severe RA patients with active disease. AVERT trial data suggests potentially faster onset of clinical response and greater drug-free clinical remission with earlier use in patients taking Orencia plus methotrexate over patients taking methotrexate alone. Exploratory data of patients with high anti-citrullinated protein antibody levels at baseline in the AMPLE trial suggest better response with Orencia than with adalimumab.

Adalimumab is a product of AbbVie.

In April 2015, the EMA approved the ClickJect Pre-Filled Pen, a new autoinjector delivery device for Orencia for use in adult patients in the EU who have moderate to severe active RA in combination with methotrexate after inadequate disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug response.

Eliquis - an oral Factor Xa inhibitor, targeted at stroke prevention in NVAf and the prevention and treatment of VTE disorders. Eliquis is part of our alliance with Pfizer, Inc. (Pfizer).

In December 2015, the Company and Pfizer announced results from a post-hoc early time course subanalysis of the Phase III AMPLIFY trial. The subanalysis demonstrated Eliquis was comparable to conventional therapy (subcutaneous enoxaparin overlapped and followed by oral warfarin dose-adjusted to an international normalized ratio of 2.0 to 3.0) in recurrent VTE and VTE-related death with significantly less major bleeding during the first 7, 21 and 90 days after starting treatment. Results of the subanalyses were consistent with the overall results of the Eliquis Phase III AMPLIFY trial.

In September 2015, the Company and Pfizer announced the first patient has been enrolled into the Phase IV clinical trial, AUGUSTUS which will evaluate the safety of Eliquis versus warfarin or other vitamin K antagonists in patients with NVAf and a recent acute coronary syndrome or undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention, also known as a stent.

#### Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This annual report on Form 10-K (including documents incorporated by reference) and other written and oral statements we make from time to time contain certain “forward-looking” statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the fact they use words such as “should”, “expect”, “anticipate”, “estimate”, “target”, “may”, “project”, “guidance”, “intend”, “plan”, “believe” and other words and terms of similar meaning and expression in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance. One can also identify forward-looking statements by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and involve inherent risks and uncertainties, including factors that could delay, divert or change any of them, and could cause actual outcomes to differ materially from current expectations. These statements are likely to relate to, among other things, our goals, plans and projections regarding our financial position, results of



operations, cash flows, market position, product development, product approvals, sales efforts, expenses, performance or results of current and anticipated products and the outcome of contingencies such as legal proceedings and financial results, which are based on current expectations that involve inherent risks and uncertainties, including internal or external factors that could delay, divert or change any of them in the next several years. We have included important factors in the cautionary statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, particularly under “Item 1A. Risk Factors,” that we believe could cause actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statement.

Although we believe we have been prudent in our plans and assumptions, no assurance can be given that any goal or plan set forth in forward-looking statements can be achieved and readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements, which speak only as of the date made. We undertake no obligation to release publicly any revisions to forward-looking statements as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

We are exposed to market risk resulting from changes in currency exchange rates and interest rates. Certain derivative financial instruments are used when available on a cost-effective basis to hedge our underlying economic exposure. All of our financial instruments, including derivatives, are subject to counterparty credit risk considered as part of the overall fair value measurement. Derivative financial instruments are not used for trading purposes.

Foreign Exchange Risk

Significant amounts of our revenues, earnings and cash flow are exposed to changes in foreign currency rates. Our primary net foreign currency translation exposures are the euro, Japanese yen, Chinese renminbi, Canadian dollar and South Korean won. Foreign currency forward contracts used to manage risk which primarily arises from certain intercompany purchase transactions are designated as foreign currency cash flow hedges when appropriate. In addition, we are exposed to foreign exchange transaction risk arising from non-functional currency denominated assets and liabilities and earnings denominated in non-U.S. dollar currencies. Foreign currency forward contracts used to offset these exposures are not designated as hedges.

We estimate that a 10% appreciation in the underlying currencies being hedged from their levels against the U.S. dollar (with all other variables held constant) would decrease the fair value of foreign exchange forward contracts by \$144 million at December 31, 2015, reducing earnings over the remaining life of the contracts.

We are also exposed to translation risk on non-U.S. dollar-denominated net assets. Non-U.S. dollar borrowings used to hedge the foreign currency exposures of our net investment in certain foreign affiliates and are designated as hedges of net investments. The effective portion of foreign exchange gains or losses on these hedges is included in the foreign currency translation component of accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss). If our net investment decreases below the equivalent value of the non-U.S. debt borrowings, the change in the remeasurement basis of the debt would be subject to recognition in income as changes occur. For additional information, refer to “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 10. Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements.”

Interest Rate Risk

We use fixed-to-floating interest rate swap contracts designated as fair value hedges and forward starting interest rate swap contracts designated as cash flow hedges as part of our interest rate risk management strategy. These contracts are intended to provide us with an appropriate balance of fixed and floating rate debt, and forward starting swap contracts are used to manage the interest rate of future debt issuances. We estimate that an increase of 100 basis points in short-term or long-term interest rates would decrease the fair value of our interest rate swap contracts by \$58 million, or a decrease of 100 basis points in short-term or long-term interest rates would decrease the fair value of our forward starting interest rate swap contracts by \$122 million, thereby reducing earnings over the remaining life of the contracts.

We estimate that an increase of 100 basis points in long-term interest rates would decrease the fair value of long-term debt by \$591 million. Our marketable securities are subject to changes in fair value as a result of interest rate fluctuations and other market factors. Our policy is to invest only in institutions that meet high credit quality standards. We estimate that an increase of 100 basis points in interest rates in general would decrease the fair value of our debt security portfolio by approximately \$123 million.

Credit Risk

Although not material, certain European government-backed entities with a higher risk of default, such as Greece, Portugal, Italy and Spain, are monitored through economic factors, including credit ratings, credit-default swap rates, debt-to-gross domestic product ratios and other entity specific factors. Historically, our exposure was limited by factoring receivables. Our credit exposures in Europe may increase in the future due to reductions in our factoring arrangements and the ongoing sovereign debt crisis.

We monitor our investments with counterparties with the objective of minimizing concentrations of credit risk. Our investment policy establishes limits on the amount and time to maturity of investments with any individual counterparty. The policy also requires that investments are only entered into with corporate and financial institutions that meet high credit quality standards.

The use of derivative instruments exposes us to credit risk. When the fair value of a derivative instrument contract is positive, we are exposed to credit risk if the counterparty fails to perform. When the fair value of a derivative instrument contract is negative, the counterparty is exposed to credit risk if we fail to perform our obligation. Collateral is not required by any party whether derivatives are in an asset or liability position. We have a policy of diversifying derivatives with counterparties to mitigate the overall risk of counterparty defaults. For additional information, refer to “Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 10. Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements.”

## Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

Dollars in Millions, Except Per Share Data

|                                                      | Year Ended December 31, |           |           |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                                                      | 2015                    | 2014      | 2013      |
| <b>EARNINGS</b>                                      |                         |           |           |
| Net product sales                                    | \$ 14,045               | \$ 11,660 | \$ 12,304 |
| Alliance and other revenues                          | 2,515                   | 4,219     | 4,081     |
| Total Revenues                                       | 16,560                  | 15,879    | 16,385    |
| Cost of products sold                                | 3,909                   | 3,932     | 4,619     |
| Marketing, selling and administrative                | 4,841                   | 4,822     | 4,939     |
| Research and development                             | 5,920                   | 4,534     | 3,731     |
| Other (income)/expense                               | (187)                   | ) 210     | 205       |
| Total Expenses                                       | 14,483                  | 13,498    | 13,494    |
| Earnings Before Income Taxes                         | 2,077                   | 2,381     | 2,891     |
| Provision for Income Taxes                           | 446                     | 352       | 311       |
| Net Earnings                                         | 1,631                   | 2,029     | 2,580     |
| Net Earnings Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest | 66                      | 25        | 17        |
| Net Earnings Attributable to BMS                     | \$ 1,565                | \$ 2,004  | \$ 2,563  |
| Earnings per Common Share                            |                         |           |           |
| Basic                                                | \$ 0.94                 | \$ 1.21   | \$ 1.56   |
| Diluted                                              | \$ 0.93                 | \$ 1.20   | \$ 1.54   |
| Cash dividends declared per common share             | \$ 1.49                 | \$ 1.45   | \$ 1.41   |

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Dollars in Millions

|                                                                                    | Year Ended December 31, |          |          |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                                                                    | 2015                    | 2014     | 2013     |
| <b>COMPREHENSIVE INCOME</b>                                                        |                         |          |          |
| Net Earnings                                                                       | \$ 1,631                | \$ 2,029 | \$ 2,580 |
| Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss), net of taxes and reclassifications to earnings: |                         |          |          |
| Derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges                                         | (51)                    | ) 69     | 7        |
| Pension and postretirement benefits                                                | 101                     | (324)    | ) 1,166  |
| Available-for-sale securities                                                      | (54)                    | ) 3      | (37)     |
| Foreign currency translation                                                       | (39)                    | ) (32)   | ) (75)   |
| Total Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)                                            | (43)                    | ) (284)  | ) 1,061  |
| Comprehensive Income                                                               | 1,588                   | 1,745    | 3,641    |
| Comprehensive Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest                       | 66                      | 25       | 17       |
| Comprehensive Income Attributable to BMS                                           | \$ 1,522                | \$ 1,720 | \$ 3,624 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY  
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Dollars in Millions, Except Share and Per Share Data

|                                                        | December 31, |          |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------|
|                                                        | 2015         | 2014     |
| <b>ASSETS</b>                                          |              |          |
| Current Assets:                                        |              |          |
| Cash and cash equivalents                              | \$2,385      | \$5,571  |
| Marketable securities                                  | 1,885        | 1,864    |
| Receivables                                            | 4,299        | 3,390    |
| Inventories                                            | 1,221        | 1,560    |
| Deferred income taxes                                  | —            | 1,644    |
| Prepaid expenses and other                             | 491          | 470      |
| Assets held-for-sale                                   | 134          | 109      |
| Total Current Assets                                   | 10,415       | 14,608   |
| Property, plant and equipment                          | 4,412        | 4,417    |
| Goodwill                                               | 6,881        | 7,027    |
| Other intangible assets                                | 1,419        | 1,753    |
| Deferred income taxes                                  | 2,844        | 915      |
| Marketable securities                                  | 4,660        | 4,408    |
| Other assets                                           | 1,117        | 621      |
| Total Assets                                           | \$31,748     | \$33,749 |
| <b>LIABILITIES</b>                                     |              |          |
| Current Liabilities:                                   |              |          |
| Short-term borrowings                                  | \$ 139       | \$590    |
| Accounts payable                                       | 1,565        | 2,487    |
| Accrued expenses                                       | 2,759        | 2,459    |
| Deferred income                                        | 1,003        | 1,167    |
| Accrued rebates and returns                            | 1,324        | 851      |
| Income taxes payable                                   | 572          | 262      |
| Dividends payable                                      | 655          | 645      |
| Total Current Liabilities                              | 8,017        | 8,461    |
| Pension, postretirement and postemployment liabilities | 949          | 1,115    |
| Deferred income                                        | 586          | 770      |
| Income taxes payable                                   | 742          | 560      |
| Other liabilities                                      | 480          | 618      |
| Long-term debt                                         | 6,550        | 7,242    |
| Total Liabilities                                      | 17,324       | 18,766   |

Commitments and contingencies (Note 22)

**EQUITY**

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Shareholders' Equity:

Preferred stock, \$2 convertible series, par value \$1 per share: Authorized 10 million shares; issued and outstanding 4,161 in 2015 and 4,212 in 2014, liquidation value of \$50 per share

—                      —

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

|                                                                                                                      |          |             |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|
| Common stock, par value of \$0.10 per share: Authorized 4.5 billion shares; 2.2 billion issued in both 2015 and 2014 | 221      | 221         |
| Capital in excess of par value of stock                                                                              | 1,459    | 1,507       |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss                                                                                 | (2,468   | ) (2,425 )  |
| Retained earnings                                                                                                    | 31,613   | 32,541      |
| Less cost of treasury stock — 539 million common shares in 2015 and 547 million in 2014                              | (16,559  | ) (16,992 ) |
| Total Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Shareholders' Equity                                                              | 14,266   | 14,852      |
| Noncontrolling interest                                                                                              | 158      | 131         |
| Total Equity                                                                                                         | 14,424   | 14,983      |
| Total Liabilities and Equity                                                                                         | \$31,748 | \$33,749    |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Dollars in Millions

|                                                                                     | Year Ended December 31, |          |          |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                                                                     | 2015                    | 2014     | 2013     |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities:                                               |                         |          |          |
| Net earnings                                                                        | \$1,631                 | \$2,029  | \$2,580  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: |                         |          |          |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest                                | (66                     | ) (25    | ) (17    |
| Depreciation and amortization, net                                                  | 376                     | 467      | 763      |
| Deferred income taxes                                                               | (347                    | ) (542   | ) (491   |
| Stock-based compensation                                                            | 235                     | 213      | 191      |
| Impairment charges                                                                  | 192                     | 401      | 40       |
| Pension settlements and amortization                                                | 245                     | 971      | 294      |
| Other adjustments                                                                   | 594                     | (567     | ) (9     |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities:                                        |                         |          |          |
| Receivables                                                                         | (942                    | ) (252   | ) (504   |
| Inventories                                                                         | 97                      | (254     | ) (45    |
| Accounts payable                                                                    | (919                    | ) (44    | ) 412    |
| Deferred income                                                                     | 218                     | 613      | 965      |
| Income taxes payable                                                                | 47                      | 171      | 126      |
| Other                                                                               | 471                     | (33      | ) (760   |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities                                           | 1,832                   | 3,148    | 3,545    |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities:                                               |                         |          |          |
| Sale and maturities of marketable securities                                        | 2,794                   | 4,095    | 1,815    |
| Purchase of marketable securities                                                   | (3,143                  | ) (5,719 | ) (1,859 |
| Capital expenditures                                                                | (820                    | ) (526   | ) (537   |
| Divestiture and other proceeds                                                      | 708                     | 3,585    | 9        |
| Acquisition and other payments                                                      | (1,111                  | ) (219   | ) —      |
| Net Cash Provided by/(Used in) Investing Activities                                 | (1,572                  | ) 1,216  | (572     |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities:                                               |                         |          |          |
| Short-term borrowings, net                                                          | (449                    | ) 244    | 198      |
| Issuance of long-term debt                                                          | 1,268                   | —        | 1,489    |
| Repayment of long-term debt                                                         | (1,957                  | ) (676   | ) (597   |
| Interest rate swap contract terminations                                            | (2                      | ) 105    | 20       |
| Issuance of common stock                                                            | 266                     | 288      | 564      |
| Repurchase of common stock                                                          | —                       | —        | (433     |
| Dividends                                                                           | (2,477                  | ) (2,398 | ) (2,309 |
| Net Cash Used in Financing Activities                                               | (3,351                  | ) (2,437 | ) (1,068 |
| Effect of Exchange Rates on Cash and Cash Equivalents                               | (95                     | ) 58     | 25       |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents                                    | (3,186                  | ) 1,985  | 1,930    |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year                                      | 5,571                   | 3,586    | 1,656    |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year                                            | \$2,385                 | \$5,571  | \$3,586  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



## Note 1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

### Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with United States (U.S.) generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), including the accounts of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and all of its controlled majority-owned subsidiaries and certain variable interest entities (which may be referred to as Bristol-Myers Squibb, BMS, or the Company). All intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated. Material subsequent events are evaluated and disclosed through the report issuance date.

Alliance and license arrangements are assessed to determine whether the terms provide economic or other control over the entity requiring consolidation of an entity. Entities controlled by means other than a majority voting interest are referred to as variable interest entities and are consolidated when BMS has both the power to direct the activities of the variable interest entity that most significantly impacts its economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the entity.

### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements requires the use of management estimates and assumptions. The most significant assumptions are estimates in determining the fair value and potential impairment of intangible assets; sales rebate and return accruals; legal contingencies; income taxes; estimated selling prices used in multiple element arrangements; and pension and postretirement benefits. Actual results may differ from estimated results.

### Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts were reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. Advertising and product promotion costs previously presented separately in the consolidated statements of earnings are now included in marketing, selling and administrative expenses.

### Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the sales price is fixed or determinable, collectability is reasonably assured and title and substantially all risks and rewards of ownership is transferred, generally at time of shipment (including the supply of commercial products to alliance partners when they are the principal in the end customer sale). However, certain revenue of non-U.S. businesses is recognized on the date of receipt by the customer. Alliance and other revenue related to Abilify\* and Atripa\* is not recognized until the products are sold to the end customer by the alliance partner. Royalties are recognized when the third-party sales are reliably measurable and collectability is reasonably assured. Refer to “—Note 3. Alliances” for further detail regarding alliances.

Revenue is reduced at the time of recognition for expected sales returns, discounts, rebates and sales allowances based on historical experience updated for changes in facts and circumstances including the impact of applicable healthcare legislation. Revenue is deferred when there is no historical experience with products in a similar therapeutic category, or until the right of return no longer exists or sufficient historical experience to estimate sales returns is developed.

### Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes includes income taxes paid or payable for the current year plus the change in deferred taxes during the year. Deferred taxes result from differences between the financial and tax basis of assets and

liabilities and are adjusted for changes in tax rates and tax laws when changes are enacted. Valuation allowances are recognized to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized. The assessment of whether or not a valuation allowance is required often requires significant judgment including the long-range forecast of future taxable income and the evaluation of tax planning initiatives. Adjustments to the deferred tax valuation allowances are made to earnings in the period when such assessments are made.

Tax benefits are recognized from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefit recognized in the financial statements for a particular tax position is based on the largest benefit that is more likely than not to be realized upon settlement.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include bank deposits, time deposits, commercial paper and money market funds. Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase and are recognized at cost, which approximates fair value.

#### Marketable Securities and Investments in Other Companies

Marketable securities are classified as “available-for-sale” on the date of purchase and reported at fair value. Fair value is determined based on observable market quotes or valuation models using assessments of counterparty credit worthiness, credit default risk or underlying security and overall capital market liquidity.

Investments in 50% or less owned companies are accounted for using the equity method of accounting when the ability to exercise significant influence is maintained. The share of net income or losses of equity investments is included in other (income)/expense. Equity investments are reviewed for impairment by assessing if the decline in market value of the investment below the carrying value is other than temporary, which considers the intent and ability to retain the investment, the duration and extent that the market value has been less than cost and the investee's financial condition.

#### Inventory Valuation

Inventories are stated at the lower of average cost or market.

#### Property, Plant and Equipment and Depreciation

Expenditures for additions, renewals and improvements are capitalized at cost. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the related assets ranging from 20 to 50 years for buildings and 3 to 20 years for machinery, equipment and fixtures.

#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Current facts or circumstances are periodically evaluated to determine if the carrying value of depreciable assets to be held and used may not be recoverable. If such circumstances exist, an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows generated by the long-lived asset, or appropriate grouping of assets, is compared to the carrying value to determine whether an impairment exists at its lowest level of identifiable cash flows. If an asset is determined to be impaired, the loss is measured based on the difference between the asset's fair value and its carrying value. An estimate of the asset's fair value is based on quoted market prices in active markets, if available. If quoted market prices are not available, the estimate of fair value is based on various valuation techniques using Level 3 fair value inputs, including a discounted value of estimated future cash flows.

#### Capitalized Software

Eligible costs to obtain internal use software are capitalized and amortized over the estimated useful life of the software.

#### Business Combinations

Businesses acquired are consolidated upon obtaining control. The fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed are recognized at the date of acquisition. Any excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair values of the net

assets acquired is recognized as goodwill. Business acquisition costs are expensed when incurred.

#### Goodwill, Acquired In-Process Research and Development and Other Intangible Assets

The fair value of intangible assets is typically determined using the “income method” utilizing Level 3 fair value inputs. The market participant valuations assume a global view considering all potential jurisdictions and indications based on discounted after-tax cash flow projections, risk adjusted for estimated probability of technical and regulatory success (for IPRD).

Finite-lived intangible assets, including licenses, developed technology rights and IPRD projects that reach commercialization are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful life. Estimated useful lives are determined considering the period the assets are expected to contribute to future cash flows.

Goodwill is tested at least annually for impairment by assessing qualitative factors or performing a quantitative analysis in determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of net assets are below their carrying amounts. Examples of qualitative factors assessed in 2015 include our share price, financial performance compared to budgets, long-term financial plans, macroeconomic, industry and market conditions as well as the substantial excess of fair value over the carrying value of net assets from the annual impairment test performed in a prior year. Each relevant factor is assessed both individually and in the aggregate.

IPRD is tested for impairment on an annual basis and more frequently if events occur or circumstances change that would indicate a potential reduction in the fair values of the assets below their carrying value. If the carrying value of IPRD is determined to exceed the fair value, an impairment loss is recognized for the difference.

Finite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment when facts or circumstances suggest that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable. If the carrying value exceeds the projected undiscounted pretax cash flows of the intangible asset, an impairment loss equal to the excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair value (discounted after-tax cash flows) is recognized.

#### Restructuring

Restructuring charges are recognized as a result of actions to streamline operations and rationalize manufacturing facilities. Estimating the impact of restructuring plans, including future termination benefits and other exit costs requires judgment. Actual results could vary from these estimates.

#### Contingencies

Loss contingencies from legal proceedings and claims may occur from a wide range of matters, including government investigations, shareholder lawsuits, product and environmental liability, contractual claims and tax matters. Accruals are recognized when it is probable that a liability will be incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Gain contingencies (including contingent proceeds related to the divestitures) are not recognized until realized. Legal fees are expensed as incurred.

#### Shipping and Handling Costs

Shipping and handling costs are included in marketing, selling and administrative expenses and were \$85 million in 2015, \$115 million in 2014 and \$119 million in 2013.

#### Advertising and Product Promotion Costs

Advertising and product promotion costs are included in marketing, selling and administrative expenses and were \$825 million in 2015, \$734 million in 2014 and \$855 million in 2013. Advertising and product promotion costs are expensed as incurred.

#### Foreign Currency Translation

Foreign subsidiary earnings are translated into U.S. dollars using average exchange rates. The net assets of foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars using current exchange rates. The U.S. dollar effects that arise from translating the net assets of these subsidiaries at changing rates are recognized in OCI.

#### Research and Development

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Clinical study costs are accrued over the service periods specified in the contracts and adjusted as necessary based upon an ongoing review of the level of effort and costs actually incurred. Strategic alliances with third parties provide licensing rights to develop, manufacture, market and/or sell pharmaceutical products, the rights to which are owned by the other party. Research and development is recognized net of reimbursements in connection with alliance agreements. Upfront and contingent milestone payments for asset acquisitions of investigational compounds are also included in research and development expenses.

#### Cash Flow

Upfront and contingent milestone payments for licensing of investigational compounds are included in operating activities and asset or business acquisitions are included in investing activities. Divestiture proceeds are included in investing activities as well as royalties and other consideration received subsequent to the related sale of the asset or business. Other adjustments reflected in operating activities include divestiture gains and losses and related royalties, research and development asset acquisition charges, gains and losses on debt redemption and changes in the fair value of written option liabilities.

## Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In January 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued amended guidance to the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosures of financial instruments effective January 1, 2018 with early adoption not permitted. The new guidance requires that fair value adjustments for equity securities with readily determinable fair values currently classified as available-for-sale be reported through earnings. The new guidance also requires a qualitative impairment assessment for equity investments without a readily determinable fair value and would require an impairment charge through earnings if the assessment indicates an impairment exists. The Company is assessing the potential impact of the new standard on our consolidated financial statements.

In November 2015, the FASB issued amended guidance on the presentation of deferred tax assets and liabilities. The new guidance requires all deferred tax assets and liabilities to be classified as non-current. BMS elected to early adopt this standard as of December 31, 2015 prospectively. Refer to "—Note 8. Income taxes" for further information.

In April 2015, the FASB issued amended guidance on the presentation of debt issuance costs. The new guidance requires debt issuance costs to be presented as a reduction to the carrying value of debt in the balance sheet, consistent with debt discounts. BMS elected to early adopt this standard as of December 31, 2015. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. Refer to "—Note 10. Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements" for further information.

In May 2014, the FASB issued a new standard related to revenue recognition, which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The new standard will replace most of the existing revenue recognition standards in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective. In July 2015, the FASB decided to delay the effective date by one year to January 1, 2018. Early adoption is permitted no earlier than 2017. The new standard can be applied retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect of the change recognized at the date of the initial application in retained earnings. The Company is assessing the potential impact of the new standard on financial reporting and has not yet selected a transition method.

In April 2014, the FASB issued amended guidance on the use and presentation of discontinued operations in an entity's consolidated financial statements. The new guidance restricts the presentation of discontinued operations to business circumstances when the disposal of business operations represents a strategic shift that has or will have a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. The guidance became effective on January 1, 2015.

### Note 2. BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

BMS operates in a single segment engaged in the discovery, development, licensing, manufacturing, marketing, distribution and sale of innovative medicines that help patients prevail over serious diseases. A global research and development organization and supply chain organization are responsible for the discovery, development, manufacturing and supply of products. Regional commercial organizations market, distribute and sell the products. The business is also supported by global corporate staff functions. Segment information is consistent with the financial information regularly reviewed by the chief executive officer for purposes of evaluating performance, allocating resources, setting incentive compensation targets, and planning and forecasting future periods.

Products are sold principally to wholesalers, and to a lesser extent, directly to distributors, retailers, hospitals, clinics, government agencies and pharmacies. Gross revenues to the three largest pharmaceutical wholesalers in the U.S. as a percentage of global gross revenues were as follows:

|                               | 2015 |   | 2014 |   | 2013 |   |
|-------------------------------|------|---|------|---|------|---|
| McKesson Corporation          | 21   | % | 20   | % | 19   | % |
| AmerisourceBergen Corporation | 16   | % | 17   | % | 15   | % |
| Cardinal Health, Inc.         | 12   | % | 12   | % | 14   | % |

Selected geographic area information was as follows:

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

| Dollars in Millions  | Revenues |          |          | Property, Plant and Equipment |          |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------------------|----------|
|                      | 2015     | 2014     | 2013     | 2015                          | 2014     |
| United States        | \$8,188  | \$7,716  | \$8,318  | \$ 3,681                      | \$ 3,686 |
| Europe               | 3,491    | 3,592    | 3,930    | 616                           | 597      |
| Rest of the World    | 4,142    | 3,459    | 3,295    | 115                           | 134      |
| Other <sup>(a)</sup> | 739      | 1,112    | 842      | —                             | —        |
| Total                | \$16,560 | \$15,879 | \$16,385 | \$ 4,412                      | \$ 4,417 |

(a) Other revenues include royalties and alliance-related revenues for products not sold by our regional commercial organizations.



Product revenues were as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                    | Year Ended December 31, |                 |                 |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                        | 2015                    | 2014            | 2013            |
| <b>Virology</b>                        |                         |                 |                 |
| Baraclude (entecavir)                  | \$1,312                 | \$1,441         | \$1,527         |
| Hepatitis C Franchise                  | 1,603                   | 256             | —               |
| Reyataz (atazanavir sulfate) Franchise | 1,139                   | 1,362           | 1,551           |
| Sustiva (efavirenz) Franchise          | 1,252                   | 1,444           | 1,614           |
| <b>Oncology</b>                        |                         |                 |                 |
| Empliciti (elotuzumab)                 | 3                       | —               | —               |
| Erbix* (cetuximab)                     | 501                     | 723             | 696             |
| Opdivo (nivolumab)                     | 942                     | 6               | —               |
| Sprycel (dasatinib)                    | 1,620                   | 1,493           | 1,280           |
| Yervoy (ipilimumab)                    | 1,126                   | 1,308           | 960             |
| <b>Neuroscience</b>                    |                         |                 |                 |
| Abilify* (aripiprazole)                | 746                     | 2,020           | 2,289           |
| <b>Immunoscience</b>                   |                         |                 |                 |
| Orencia (abatacept)                    | 1,885                   | 1,652           | 1,444           |
| <b>Cardiovascular</b>                  |                         |                 |                 |
| Eliquis (apixaban)                     | 1,860                   | 774             | 146             |
| Mature Products and All Other          | 2,571                   | 3,400           | 4,878           |
| <b>Total Revenues</b>                  | <b>\$16,560</b>         | <b>\$15,879</b> | <b>\$16,385</b> |

The composition of total revenues was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions   | Year Ended December 31, |                 |                 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                       | 2015                    | 2014            | 2013            |
| Net product sales     | \$14,045                | \$11,660        | \$12,304        |
| Alliance revenues     | 2,408                   | 3,828           | 3,804           |
| Other revenues        | 107                     | 391             | 277             |
| <b>Total Revenues</b> | <b>\$16,560</b>         | <b>\$15,879</b> | <b>\$16,385</b> |

#### Note 3. ALLIANCES

BMS enters into collaboration arrangements with third parties for the development and commercialization of certain products. Although each of these arrangements is unique in nature, both parties are active participants in the operating activities of the collaboration and exposed to significant risks and rewards depending on the commercial success of the activities. BMS may either in-license intellectual property owned by the other party or out-license its intellectual property to the other party. These arrangements also typically include research, development, manufacturing, and/or commercial activities and can cover a single investigational compound or commercial product or multiple compounds and/or products in various life cycle stages. The rights and obligations of the parties can be global or limited to geographic regions. We refer to these collaborations as alliances and our partners as alliance partners. Several key products such as Sustiva (Atripla\*), Empliciti, Erbitux\*, Opdivo, Sprycel, Yervoy, Abilify\*, Orencia and Eliquis, as well as products comprising the diabetes alliance discussed below and certain mature and other brands were included in alliance arrangements.

Payments between alliance partners are accounted for and presented in the results of operations after considering the specific nature of the payment and the underlying activities to which the payments relate. Multiple alliance activities, including the transfer of rights, are only separated into individual units of accounting if they have standalone value from other activities that occur over the life of the arrangements. In these situations, the arrangement consideration is allocated to the activities or rights on a relative selling price basis. If multiple alliance activities or rights do not have

standalone value, they are combined into a single unit of accounting.

65

---

The most common activities between BMS and its alliance partners are presented in results of operations as follows:

When BMS is the principal in the end customer sale, 100% of product sales are included in net product sales. When BMS's alliance partner is the principal in the end customer sale, BMS's contractual share of the third-party sales and/or royalty income are included in alliance and other revenue as the sale of commercial products are considered part of BMS's ongoing major or central operations. Refer to "Revenue Recognition" included in "—Note 1. Accounting Policies" for information regarding recognition criteria.

Amounts payable to BMS by alliance partners (who are the principal in the end customer sale) for supply of commercial products are included in alliance and other revenue as the sale of commercial products are considered part of BMS's ongoing major or central operations.

Profit sharing, royalties and other sales-based fees payable by BMS to alliance partners are included in cost of products sold as incurred.

Cost reimbursements between the parties are recognized as incurred and included in cost of products sold; marketing, selling and administrative expenses; or research and development expenses, based on the underlying nature of the related activities subject to reimbursement.

Upfront and contingent development and approval milestones payable to BMS by alliance partners for investigational compounds and commercial products are deferred and amortized over the shorter of the contractual term or the periods in which the related compounds or products are expected to contribute to future cash flows. The amortization is presented consistent with the nature of the payment under the arrangement. For example, amounts received for investigational compounds are presented in other (income)/expense as the activities being performed at that time are not related to the sale of commercial products that are part of BMS's ongoing major or central operations; amounts received for commercial products are presented in alliance and other revenue as the sale of commercial products are considered part of BMS's ongoing major or central operations (except for the AstraZeneca PLC (AstraZeneca) alliance pertaining to the Amylin products - see further discussion under the specific AstraZeneca alliance disclosure herein).

Upfront and contingent approval milestones payable by BMS to alliance partners for commercial products are capitalized and amortized over the shorter of the contractual term or the periods in which the related products are expected to contribute to future cash flows. The amortization is included in cost of products sold.

Upfront and contingent milestones payable by BMS to alliance partners prior to regulatory approval are expensed as incurred and included in research and development expenses.

Royalties and other contingent consideration payable to BMS by alliance partners related to the divestiture of such businesses are included in other income when earned.

Equity in net income of affiliates is included in other (income)/expense.

All payments between BMS and its alliance partners are presented in cash flows from operating activities, except as otherwise described below.

Selected financial information pertaining to our alliances was as follows, including net product sales when BMS is the principal in the third-party customer sale for products subject to the alliance. Expenses summarized below do not include all amounts attributed to the activities for the products in the alliance, but only the payments between the alliance partners or the related amortization if the payments were deferred or capitalized.

| Dollars in Millions                          | Year Ended December 31, |              |         |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------|
|                                              | 2015                    | 2014         | 2013    |
| Revenues from alliances:                     |                         |              |         |
| Net product sales                            | \$4,308                 | \$3,531      | \$4,417 |
| Alliance revenues                            | 2,408                   | 3,828        | 3,804   |
| Total Revenues                               | \$6,716                 | \$7,359      | \$8,221 |
| Payments to/(from) alliance partners:        |                         |              |         |
| Cost of products sold                        | \$1,655                 | \$1,394      | \$1,356 |
| Marketing, selling and administrative        | 15                      | 134          | (183)   |
| Research and development                     | 693                     | 8            | (140)   |
| Other (income)/expense                       | (733)                   | (1,076)      | (313)   |
| Noncontrolling interest, pretax              | 51                      | 38           | 36      |
| Selected Alliance Balance Sheet Information: |                         | December 31, |         |
| Dollars in Millions                          |                         | 2015         | 2014    |
| Receivables – from alliance partners         |                         | \$958        | \$888   |
| Accounts payable – to alliance partners      |                         | 542          | 1,479   |
| Deferred income from alliances               |                         | 1,459        | 1,493   |

BMS entered into certain licensing and alliance agreements in 2015 (including options to license or acquire the related assets). Upfront payments for these new agreements charged to research and development expenses were \$619 million in 2015. The prior period amounts disclosed in research and development expenses for upfront payments to alliance partners were revised to include similar type of payments.

Specific information pertaining to each of our significant alliances is discussed below, including their nature and purpose; the significant rights and obligations of the parties; specific accounting policy elections; and the income statement classification of and amounts attributable to payments between the parties.

#### Pfizer

BMS and Pfizer, Inc. (Pfizer) are parties to a worldwide co-development and co-commercialization agreement for Eliquis, an anticoagulant discovered by BMS. Pfizer funds between 50% and 60% of all development costs depending on the study. Profits and losses are shared equally on a global basis except for in certain countries where Pfizer commercializes Eliquis and pays BMS compensation based on a percentage of net sales.

Upon entering into the agreement, co-exclusive license rights for the product were granted to Pfizer in exchange for an upfront payment and potential milestone payments. Both parties assumed certain obligations to actively participate in the alliance and actively participate in a joint executive committee and various other operating committees and have joint responsibilities for the research, development, distribution, sales and marketing activities of the alliance using resources in their own infrastructures. BMS manufactures the product in the alliance and is the principal in the end customer product sales in the U.S., significant countries in Europe, as well as Canada, Australia, China, Japan and South Korea. In 2015, BMS transferred full commercialization rights to Pfizer in certain smaller countries in order to simplify operations. In the transferred countries, BMS supplies the product to Pfizer at cost plus a percentage of the net sales to end-customers.

The Company determined the rights transferred to Pfizer did not have standalone value as such rights were not sold separately by BMS or any other party, nor could Pfizer receive any benefit for the delivered rights without the fulfillment of other ongoing obligations by BMS under the alliance agreement, including the exclusive supply arrangement. As such, the global alliance was treated as a single unit of accounting and upfront proceeds and any subsequent contingent milestone proceeds are amortized over the life of the related product.

BMS received \$884 million in non-refundable upfront, milestone and other licensing payments related to Eliquis through December 31, 2015. Amortization of the Eliquis deferred income is included in other income as Eliquis was not a commercial product at the commencement of the alliance.

Summarized financial information related to this alliance was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                      | Year Ended December 31, |       |       |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
|                                                          | 2015                    | 2014  | 2013  |
| Revenues from Pfizer alliance:                           |                         |       |       |
| Net product sales                                        | \$1,849                 | \$771 | \$144 |
| Alliance revenues                                        | 11                      | 3     | 2     |
| Total Revenues                                           | \$1,860                 | \$774 | \$146 |
| Payments to/(from) Pfizer:                               |                         |       |       |
| Cost of products sold – Profit sharing                   | \$895                   | \$363 | \$69  |
| Cost reimbursements to Pfizer                            | 15                      | 26    | 4     |
| Other (income)/expense – Amortization of deferred income | (55                     | ) (50 | ) (41 |
| Selected Alliance Cash Flow information:                 |                         |       |       |
| Deferred income                                          | 20                      | 100   | 205   |
| Selected Alliance Balance Sheet information:             |                         |       |       |
| Dollars in Millions                                      | December 31,            |       |       |
|                                                          | 2015                    | 2014  |       |
| Deferred income                                          | \$576                   | \$611 |       |

#### Gilead

BMS and Gilead Sciences, Inc. (Gilead) have joint ventures in the U.S. (for the U.S. and Canada) and in Europe to develop and commercialize Atripla\* (efavirenz 600 mg/ emtricitabine 200 mg/ tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 300 mg), combining Sustiva, a product of BMS, and Truvada\* (emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate), a product of Gilead. The joint ventures are consolidated by Gilead.

Both parties actively participate in a joint executive committee and various other operating committees with direct oversight over the activities of the joint ventures. The joint ventures purchase Sustiva and Truvada\* active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) in bulk form from the parties and complete the finishing of Atripla\*. The joint ventures or Gilead sell and distribute Atripla\* and are the principal in the end customer product sales. The parties no longer coordinate joint promotional activities.

Alliance and other revenue recognized for Atripla\* include only the bulk efavirenz component of Atripla\* which is based on the relative ratio of the average respective net selling prices of Truvada\* and Sustiva. Alliance and other revenue is deferred and the related alliance receivable is not recognized until the combined product is sold to third-party customers.

In Europe, following the 2013 loss of exclusivity of Sustiva and effective January 1, 2014, the percentage of Atripla\* net sales in Europe recognized by BMS is equal to the difference between the average net selling prices of Atripla\* and Truvada\*. This alliance will continue in Europe until either party terminates the arrangement or the last patent expiration occurs for Atripla\*, Truvada\*, or Sustiva.

In the U.S., the agreement may be terminated by Gilead upon the launch of a generic version of Sustiva or by BMS upon the launch of a generic version of Truvada\*. In the event Gilead terminates the agreement upon the loss of exclusivity for Sustiva, BMS will receive a quarterly royalty payment for 36 months following termination. Such payment in the first 12 months following termination is equal to 55% of Atripla\* net sales multiplied by the ratio of the difference in the average net selling prices of Atripla\* and Truvada\* to the Atripla\* average net selling price. In the second and third years following termination, the payment to BMS is reduced to 35% and 15%, respectively, of

Atripla\* net sales multiplied by the price ratio described above. BMS will continue to supply Sustiva at cost plus a markup to the joint ventures during this three-year period, unless either party elects to terminate the supply arrangement.

In 2011, we entered into a licensing agreement with Gilead to develop and commercialize a fixed-dose combination containing Reyataz and Gilead's cobicistat, a pharmacoenhancing or "boosting" agent that increases blood levels of certain human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) medicines to potentially allow for one pill once daily dosing. Evotaz (atazanavir 300 mg and cobicistat 150 mg) was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in January 2015 and the European Commission (EC) in July 2015.

Summarized financial information related to this alliance was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                          | Year Ended December 31, |              |         |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------|
|                                              | 2015                    | 2014         | 2013    |
| Revenues from Gilead alliances:              |                         |              |         |
| Alliance revenues                            | \$1,096                 | \$1,255      | \$1,366 |
| Equity in net loss of affiliates             | \$17                    | \$39         | \$17    |
| Selected Alliance Balance Sheet information: |                         | December 31, |         |
| Dollars in Millions                          |                         | 2015         | 2014    |
| Deferred income                              |                         | \$699        | \$316   |

#### Otsuka

BMS has a worldwide commercialization agreement with Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Otsuka), to co-develop and co-promote Abilify\*, excluding certain Asian countries. The U.S. portion of the agreement expired in April 2015. The agreement expired in all European Union (EU) countries in June 2014 and in each other non-U.S. country where we have the exclusive right to sell Abilify\*, the agreement expires on the later of April 20, 2015 or loss of exclusivity in any such country.

Both parties actively participated in joint executive governance and operating committees. Otsuka was responsible for providing all sales force efforts in the U.S. effective January 2013, however, BMS was responsible for certain operating expenses up to various annual limits. BMS purchased the API from Otsuka and completed the manufacturing of the product for subsequent sale to third-party customers in the U.S. and certain other countries. Otsuka assumed responsibility for providing and funding sales force efforts in the EU effective April 2013. BMS also provided certain other services including distribution, customer management and pharmacovigilance. BMS is the principal for the end customer product sales where it is the exclusive distributor for or has an exclusive right to sell Abilify\*. Otsuka was the principal for the end customer product sales in the U.S. and in the EU.

Alliance and other revenue only includes BMS's share of total net sales to third-party customers in these territories. BMS's contractual share for U.S. net sales is set forth in the table below. An assessment of BMS's expected annual contractual share was completed each quarterly reporting period and adjusted based upon reported U.S. Abilify\* net sales at year end. BMS's annual contractual share was 50% in 2015, 33% in 2014 and 34% in 2013. The alliance and other revenue recognized in any interim period or quarter did not exceed the amounts that were due under the contract.

| Annual U.S. Net Sales          | BMS Share as a % of U.S. Net Sales |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| \$0 to \$2.7 billion           | 50%                                |
| \$2.7 billion to \$3.2 billion | 20%                                |
| \$3.2 billion to \$3.7 billion | 7%                                 |
| \$3.7 billion to \$4.0 billion | 2%                                 |
| \$4.0 billion to \$4.2 billion | 1%                                 |
| In excess of \$4.2 billion     | 20%                                |

BMS's contractual share of third-party net sales was 65% in the EU. In these countries and the U.S., alliance and other revenue was recognized when Abilify\* was shipped and all risks and rewards of ownership had been transferred to third-party customers.

BMS and Otsuka also have an alliance for Sprycel in the U.S., Japan and the EU (the Oncology Territory). Both parties co-promote the product in the U.S. and EU. In February 2015, the co-promotion agreement with Otsuka was terminated in Japan. Both parties actively participate in various governance committees, however, BMS has control



over the decision making. BMS is responsible for the development and manufacture of the product and is also the principal in the end customer product sales. Ixempra\* (ixabepilone) was included in the above alliance prior to BMS's divestiture of that business in 2015. A fee is paid to Otsuka based on the following percentages of combined annual net sales of Sprycel and Ixempra\* in the Oncology Territory (including post divestiture Ixempra\* sales):

|                                | % of Net Sales |             |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
|                                | 2010 - 2012    | 2013 - 2020 |
| \$0 to \$400 million           | 30%            | 65%         |
| \$400 million to \$600 million | 5%             | 12%         |
| \$600 million to \$800 million | 3%             | 3%          |
| \$800 million to \$1.0 billion | 2%             | 2%          |
| In excess of \$1.0 billion     | 1%             | 1%          |

During these annual periods, Otsuka contributes 20% of the first \$175 million of certain commercial operational expenses relating to the Oncology Products in the Oncology Territory and 1% of such costs in excess of \$175 million.

Summarized financial information related to this alliance was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions              | Year Ended December 31, |         |         |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|
|                                  | 2015                    | 2014    | 2013    |
| Revenues from Otsuka alliances:  |                         |         |         |
| Net product sales                | \$1,501                 | \$1,493 | \$1,543 |
| Alliance revenues <sup>(a)</sup> | 604                     | 1,778   | 1,840   |
| Total Revenues                   | \$2,105                 | \$3,271 | \$3,383 |
| Payments to/(from) Otsuka:       |                         |         |         |
| Cost of products sold:           |                         |         |         |
| Oncology fee                     | \$299                   | \$297   | \$295   |
| Royalties                        | 30                      | 90      | 86      |
| Cost of product supply           | 35                      | 67      | 135     |

<sup>(a)</sup> Includes the amortization of the extension payment as a reduction to alliance revenue of \$21 million in 2015 and \$66 million in 2014 and 2013.

## Lilly

BMS had a commercialization agreement with Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly) through Lilly's subsidiary ImClone for the co-development and promotion of Erbitux\* in the U.S., Canada and Japan. Both parties actively participated in a joint executive committee and various other operating committees and shared responsibilities for research and development using resources in their own infrastructures. Lilly manufactured bulk requirements for Erbitux\* in its own facilities and filling and finishing was performed by a third party for which BMS had oversight responsibility. BMS had exclusive distribution rights in North America and was responsible for promotional efforts in North America although Lilly had the right to co-promote in the U.S. at their own expense. BMS was the principal in the end customer product sales in North America and paid Lilly a distribution fee for 39% of Erbitux\* net sales in North America plus a share of certain royalties paid by Lilly. BMS's rights and obligations with respect to the commercialization of Erbitux\* in North America would have expired in September 2018.

In October 2015, BMS transferred its rights to Erbitux\* in North America to Lilly in exchange for sales-based royalties as described below. The transferred rights include, but are not limited to, full commercialization and manufacturing responsibilities. The transaction was accounted for as a business divestiture and resulted in a non-cash charge of \$171 million for intangible assets directly related to the business and an allocation of goodwill.

BMS will receive royalties through September 2018, which is included in other income when earned. The royalty rates applicable to North America are 38% on Erbitux\* net sales up to \$165 million in 2015, \$650 million in 2016, \$650 million in 2017 and \$480 million in 2018, plus 20% on net sales in excess of those amounts in each of the respective years.

BMS shared rights to Erbitux\* in Japan under an agreement with Lilly and Merck KGaA and received 50% of the pretax profit from Merck KGaA's net sales of Erbitux\* in Japan which was further shared equally with Lilly. BMS transferred its co-commercialization rights in Japan to Merck KGaA in 2015 in exchange for sales-based royalties through 2032 which is included in other income when earned.

In March 2013, BMS and Lilly terminated its arrangement for necitumumab (IMC-11F8), with all rights returning to Lilly. Discovered by ImClone, necitumumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody that was part of the alliance

between BMS and Lilly.

70

---

Summarized financial information related to this alliance was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                                                      | Year Ended December 31, |       |              |   |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|--------------|---|
|                                                                                          | 2015                    | 2014  | 2013         |   |
| Revenues from Lilly alliance:                                                            |                         |       |              |   |
| Net product sales                                                                        | \$492                   | \$691 | \$696        |   |
| Alliance revenues                                                                        | 9                       | 32    | —            |   |
| Total revenues                                                                           | \$501                   | \$723 | \$696        |   |
| Payments to/(from) Lilly:                                                                |                         |       |              |   |
| Cost of products sold:                                                                   |                         |       |              |   |
| Distribution fees and royalties                                                          | \$204                   | \$287 | \$289        |   |
| Amortization of intangible asset                                                         | 11                      | 37    | 37           |   |
| Cost of product supply                                                                   | 46                      | 69    | 65           |   |
| Other (income)/expense:                                                                  |                         |       |              |   |
| Royalties                                                                                | (70                     | ) —   | (30          | ) |
| Loss on sale of business                                                                 | 171                     | —     | —            |   |
| Selected Alliance Balance Sheet information                                              |                         |       | December 31, |   |
| Dollars in Millions                                                                      |                         | 2015  | 2014         |   |
| Other intangible assets – Non-refundable upfront, milestone and other licensing payments | \$—                     |       | \$137        |   |

#### AstraZeneca

Prior to the diabetes business divestiture discussed below, BMS had an alliance with AstraZeneca consisting of three worldwide co-development and commercialization agreements covering (1) Onglyza\* and related combination products sold under various names, (2) Farxiga\* and related combination products and, (3) beginning in August 2012 after BMS's acquisition of Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Amylin), Amylin's portfolio of products including Bydureon\*, Byetta\*, Symlin\* and Myalept\*, as well as certain assets owned by Amylin, including a manufacturing facility located in West Chester, Ohio.

Co-exclusive license rights for the product or products underlying each agreement were granted to AstraZeneca in exchange for an upfront payment and potential milestone payments, and both parties assumed certain obligations to actively participate in the alliance. Both parties actively participated in a joint executive committee and various other operating committees and had joint responsibilities for the research, development, distribution, sales and marketing activities of the alliance using resources in their own infrastructures. BMS manufactured the products in all three alliances and was the principal in the end customer product sales in substantially all countries.

For each alliance agreement, the rights transferred to AstraZeneca did not have standalone value as such rights were not sold separately by BMS or any other party, nor could AstraZeneca have received any benefit for the delivered rights without the fulfillment of other ongoing obligations by BMS under the alliance agreements, including the exclusive supply arrangement. As such, each global alliance was treated as a single unit of accounting. As a result, upfront proceeds and any subsequent contingent milestone proceeds were amortized over the life of the related products.

In 2012, BMS received a \$3.6 billion non-refundable, upfront payment from AstraZeneca in consideration for entering into the Amylin alliance. In 2013, AstraZeneca exercised its option for equal governance rights over certain key strategic and financial decisions regarding the Amylin alliance and paid BMS \$135 million as consideration. These payments were accounted for as deferred income and amortized based on the relative fair value of the predominant elements included in the alliance over their estimated useful lives (intangible assets related to Bydureon\* with an

estimated useful life of 13 years, Byetta\* with an estimated useful life of 7 years, Symlin\* with an estimated life of 9 years, Myalept\* with an estimated useful life of 12 years, and the Amylin manufacturing plant with an estimated useful life of 15 years). The amortization was presented as a reduction to cost of products sold because the alliance assets were acquired shortly before the commencement of the alliance and AstraZeneca was entitled to share in the proceeds from the sale of any of the assets. The amortization of the acquired Amylin intangible assets and manufacturing plant was also presented in cost of products sold. BMS was entitled to reimbursements for 50% of capital expenditures related to the acquired Amylin manufacturing facility. BMS and AstraZeneca also shared in certain tax attributes related to the Amylin alliance.

Prior to the termination of the alliance, BMS received non-refundable upfront, milestone and other licensing payments of \$300 million related to Onglyza\* and \$250 million related to Farxiga\*. Amortization of the Onglyza\* and Farxiga\* deferred income was included in other income as Onglyza\* and Farxiga\* were not commercial products at the commencement of the alliance. Both parties also shared most commercialization and development expenses equally, as well as profits and losses.

In February 2014, BMS and AstraZeneca terminated their alliance agreements and BMS sold to AstraZeneca substantially all of the diabetes business comprising the alliance. The divestiture included the shares of Amylin and the resulting transfer of its Ohio manufacturing facility; the intellectual property related to Onglyza\* and Farxiga\* (including BMS's interest in the out-licensing agreement for Onglyza\* in Japan); and the purchase of BMS's manufacturing facility located in Mount Vernon, Indiana in 2015. Substantially all employees dedicated to the diabetes business were transferred to AstraZeneca.

BMS and AstraZeneca entered into several agreements in connection with the sale, including a supply agreement, a development agreement and a transitional services agreement. Under those agreements, BMS is obligated to supply certain products, including the active product ingredients for Onglyza\* and Farxiga\* through 2020; to perform ongoing development activities for certain clinical trial programs through 2016; and to provide transitional services such as accounting, financial services, customer service, distribution, regulatory, development, information technology and certain other administrative services for various periods in order to facilitate the orderly transfer of the business operations. Annual costs attributed to the development agreement are not expected to exceed approximately \$115 million for 2016.

Consideration for the transaction includes a \$2.7 billion payment at closing; contingent regulatory and sales-based milestone payments of up to \$1.4 billion (including \$800 million related to approval milestones and \$600 million related to sales-based milestones, payable in 2020); royalty payments based on net sales through 2025 and payments up to \$225 million if and when certain assets are transferred to AstraZeneca. AstraZeneca will also pay BMS for any required product supply at a price approximating the product cost as well as negotiated transitional service fees.

Royalty rates on net sales are as follows:

|                                                               | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 -<br>2025 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|----------------|
| Onglyza* and Farxiga* Worldwide Net Sales up to \$500 million | 44   | %35  | %27  | %12  | %20  | %14-25%        |
| Onglyza* and Farxiga* Worldwide Net Sales over \$500 million  | 3    | %7   | %9   | %12  | %20  | %14-25%        |
| Amylin products U.S. Net Sales                                | —    | 2    | %2   | %5   | %10  | %5-12%         |

The stock and asset purchase agreement contains multiple elements to be delivered subsequent to the closing of the transaction, including the China diabetes business (transferred in 2014), the Mount Vernon, Indiana manufacturing facility (transferred in 2015), and the activities under the development and supply agreements. Each of these elements was determined to have a standalone value. As a result, a portion of the consideration received at closing was allocated to the undelivered elements using the relative selling price method after determining the best estimated selling price for each element. The remaining amount of consideration was included in the calculation for the gain on sale of the diabetes business. Contingent milestone and royalty payments are similarly allocated among the underlying elements if and when the amounts are determined to be payable to BMS. Amounts allocated to the sale of the business are immediately recognized in the results of operations. Amounts allocated to the other elements are recognized in the results of operations only to the extent each element has been delivered.

Consideration of \$3.8 billion was accounted for in 2014 (including royalties and \$700 million of contingent regulatory milestone payments related to the approval of Farxiga\* in both the U.S. and Japan). Approximately \$3.3 billion of the consideration was allocated to the sale of the business and the remaining \$492 million was allocated to the

undelivered elements described above. The consideration includes \$235 million of earned royalties, including \$192 million allocated to elements that were delivered. The gain on sale of the diabetes business was \$536 million, including \$292 million during the third quarter of 2014 resulting primarily from the transfer of the China diabetes business to AstraZeneca. The gain was based on the difference between the consideration allocated to the sale of the business excluding royalties (net of transaction fees) and the carrying value of the diabetes business net assets (including a \$600 million allocation of goodwill and the reversal of \$821 million of net deferred tax liabilities attributed to Amylin). Consideration of \$179 million was received in 2015 for the transfer of the Mount Vernon, Indiana manufacturing facility and related inventories resulting in a gain of \$79 million for the amounts allocated to the delivered elements.

Consideration allocated to the development and supply agreements will continue to be amortized over the applicable service periods. Amortization of deferred income attributed to the development agreement was included in other income as the sale of these services are not considered part of BMS's ongoing major or central operations. Revenues attributed to the supply agreement were included in alliance and other revenues.

Consideration for the transaction is presented for cash flow purposes based on the allocation process described above, either as an investing activity if attributed to the sale of the business or related assets or as an operating activity if attributed to the transitional services, supply arrangement or development agreement.

In September 2015, BMS transferred a percentage of its future royalty rights on Amylin net product sales in the U.S. to CPPIB Credit Europe S.A.R.L., a Luxembourg private limited liability company (CPPIB). The transferred rights represent approximately 70% of potential future royalties BMS is entitled to in 2019 to 2025. In exchange for the transfer, BMS will receive an additional tiered-based royalty on Amylin net product sales in the U.S. from CPPIB in 2016 through 2018, which will be included in other income when earned.

Summarized financial information related to the AstraZeneca alliances was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                      | Year Ended December 31, |        |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|----------|
|                                                          | 2015                    | 2014   | 2013     |
| Revenues from AstraZeneca alliances:                     |                         |        |          |
| Net product sales                                        | \$ 14                   | \$ 160 | \$ 1,658 |
| Alliance revenues                                        | 182                     | 135    | 16       |
| Total Revenues                                           | \$ 196                  | \$ 295 | \$ 1,674 |
| Payments to/(from) AstraZeneca:                          |                         |        |          |
| Cost of products sold:                                   |                         |        |          |
| Profit sharing                                           | \$ 1                    | \$ 79  | \$ 673   |
| Amortization of deferred income                          | —                       | —      | (307)    |
| Cost reimbursements to/(from) AstraZeneca recognized in: |                         |        |          |
| Cost of products sold                                    | —                       | (9)    | (25)     |
| Marketing, selling and administrative                    | —                       | (8)    | (172)    |
| Research and development                                 | —                       | (16)   | (86)     |
| Other (income)/expense:                                  |                         |        |          |
| Amortization of deferred income                          | (105)                   | (80)   | (31)     |
| Provision for restructuring                              | —                       | (2)    | (25)     |
| Royalties                                                | (215)                   | (192)  | —        |
| Transitional services                                    | (12)                    | (90)   | —        |
| Gain on sale of business                                 | (82)                    | (536)  | —        |
| Selected Alliance Cash Flow information:                 |                         |        |          |
| Deferred income                                          | 34                      | 315    | 215      |
| Divestiture and other proceeds                           | 374                     | 3,495  | —        |
| Selected Alliance Balance Sheet information:             |                         |        |          |
| Dollars in Millions                                      | December 31,            |        |          |
|                                                          | 2015                    | 2014   |          |
| Deferred income attributed to:                           |                         |        |          |
| Assets not yet transferred to AstraZeneca                | \$—                     | \$ 176 |          |
| Services not yet performed for AstraZeneca               | 144                     | 226    |          |

Sanofi

BMS and Sanofi have co-development and co-commercialization agreements for Plavix\* and Avapro\*/Avalide\*. Effective January 1, 2013, Sanofi assumed essentially all of the worldwide operations of the alliance with the



exception of Plavix\* in the U.S. and Puerto Rico where BMS is the operating partner with a 50.1% controlling interest. In exchange for the rights transferred to Sanofi, BMS receives quarterly royalties from January 1, 2013 until December 31, 2018 and a terminal payment from Sanofi of \$200 million at the end of 2018.

Royalties received from Sanofi in the territory covering the Americas and Australia, opt-out markets, and former development royalties are presented in alliance and other revenues and were \$211 million in 2015, \$223 million in 2014 and \$220 million in 2013. Royalties attributed to the territory covering Europe and Asia continue to be earned by the territory partnership and are included in equity in net income of affiliates. Alliance and other revenues attributed to the supply of irbesartan API to Sanofi were \$80 million in 2015, \$90 million in 2014 and \$116 million in 2013. The supply arrangement for irbesartan expired in 2015.

Summarized financial information related to this alliance was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                                          | Year Ended December 31, |        |        |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|
|                                                                              | 2015                    | 2014   | 2013   |
| Revenues from Sanofi alliances:                                              |                         |        |        |
| Net product sales                                                            | \$110                   | \$102  | \$153  |
| Alliance revenues                                                            | 296                     | 317    | 336    |
| Total Revenues                                                               | \$406                   | \$419  | \$489  |
| Payments to/(from) Sanofi:                                                   |                         |        |        |
| Equity in net income of affiliates                                           | (104                    | ) (146 | ) (183 |
| Noncontrolling interest – pretax                                             | 51                      | 38     | 36     |
| Selected Alliance Cash Flow information:                                     |                         |        |        |
| Distributions (to)/from Sanofi - Noncontrolling interest                     | (45                     | ) (49  | ) 43   |
| Distributions from Sanofi – Investment in affiliates                         | 105                     | 153    | 149    |
| Selected Alliance Balance Sheet information:                                 |                         |        |        |
| Dollars in Millions                                                          | December 31,            |        |        |
|                                                                              | 2015                    | 2014   |        |
| Investment in affiliates – territory covering Europe and Asia <sup>(a)</sup> | \$25                    | \$32   |        |
| Noncontrolling interest                                                      | 44                      | 38     |        |

(a) Included in alliance receivables.

The following is summarized financial information for interests in the partnerships with Sanofi for the territory covering Europe and Asia, which are not consolidated but are accounted for using the equity method:

| Dollars in Millions | Year Ended December 31, |       |       |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
|                     | 2015                    | 2014  | 2013  |
| Net sales           | \$257                   | \$360 | \$395 |
| Gross profit        | 213                     | 297   | 319   |
| Net income          | 209                     | 292   | 313   |

Cost of products sold for the territory covering Europe and Asia includes discovery royalties of \$22 million in 2015, \$32 million in 2014 and \$38 million in 2013, which are paid directly to Sanofi. All other expenses are shared based on the applicable ownership percentages. Current assets and current liabilities include approximately \$76 million in 2015, \$94 million in 2014 and \$108 million in 2013 related to receivables/payables attributed to cash distributions to BMS and Sanofi as well as intercompany balances between partnerships within the territory.

Ono

BMS is the principal in the end customer product sales and has the exclusive right to develop, manufacture and commercialize Opdivo, an anti-PD-1 human monoclonal antibody being investigated as an anti-cancer treatment, in all territories worldwide except Japan, South Korea and Taiwan (where Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd (Ono) was responsible for all development and commercialization prior to the arrangement described below). Ono is entitled to

receive royalties following regulatory approvals in all territories excluding the three countries listed above. Royalty rates on net sales are 4% in North America and 15% in all other applicable territories, subject to customary adjustments.

The alliance arrangement was expanded in July 2014 to establish collaboration activities in Japan, South Korea and Taiwan pertaining to Opdivo and several BMS compounds including Yervoy, lirilumab, urelumab and BMS-986016 (anti-LAG3). Both parties have the right and obligation to jointly develop and commercialize the compounds. BMS is responsible for supply of the products. Profits, losses and development costs are shared equally for all combination therapies involving compounds of both parties. Otherwise, sharing is 80% and 20% for activities involving only one of the party's compounds.

BMS and Ono also have an alliance to co-develop and co-commercialize Orencia in Japan. BMS is responsible for the order fulfillment and distribution of the intravenous formulation and Ono is responsible for the subcutaneous formulation. Both formulations are jointly promoted by both parties with assigned customer accounts and BMS is responsible for the product supply. A co-promotion fee of 60% is paid to the other party when a sale is made to that party's assigned customer.

Summarized financial information related to this alliance was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions          | Year Ended December 31, |       |       |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
|                              | 2015                    | 2014  | 2013  |
| Revenues from Ono alliances: |                         |       |       |
| Net product sales            | \$113                   | \$113 | \$41  |
| Alliance revenues            | 61                      | 28    | 4     |
| Total Revenues               | \$174                   | \$141 | \$45  |
| Payments to/(from) Ono:      |                         |       |       |
| Cost of products sold:       |                         |       |       |
| Co-Promotion Fee             | \$20                    | \$20  | \$11  |
| Profit sharing               | 2                       | —     | —     |
| Cost reimbursements from Ono | (9                      | ) (15 | ) (12 |

#### AbbVie

BMS and AbbVie Inc. (AbbVie) have an alliance for Empliciti, a humanized monoclonal antibody for the treatment of multiple myeloma. Under the terms of the alliance, BMS was granted exclusive global rights to co-develop and commercialize Empliciti from PDL BioPharma, Inc. (now part of AbbVie). AbbVie currently participates in joint development and U.S. commercialization committees which BMS has final decision making authority. Both parties are co-developing the product and AbbVie funds 20% of global development costs. BMS is solely responsible for supply, distribution and sales and marketing activities within the alliance and is the principal in the end customer product sales. AbbVie shares 30% of all profits and losses in the U.S. and will be paid tiered royalties on net sales of Empliciti outside of the U.S. In addition, AbbVie is also entitled to receive milestone payments from BMS if certain regulatory events and sales thresholds are achieved. The agreement may be terminated at will by BMS (subsequent to a notice period) or by either party for material breach by the other party. The financial information related to this alliance was not material for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

#### F-Star

In October 2014, BMS entered into an agreement with F-Star Alpha Ltd. (F-Star). The agreement provides BMS with an exclusive option to purchase F-Star and its Phase I ready lead asset FS102, a targeted therapy in development for the treatment of breast and gastric cancer among a well-defined population of HER2-positive patients. BMS paid \$50 million to F-Star and its shareholders in 2014 in consideration for the option grant and certain licensing rights (included in research and development expenses) and is responsible for conducting and funding the development of FS102. The option is exercisable at BMS's discretion and expires upon the earlier of 60 days following obtaining proof of concept or June 2018. An additional \$100 million will be payable upon the exercise of the option plus an additional aggregate consideration of up to \$325 million for contingent development and regulatory approval milestone payments in the U.S. and Europe. BMS is not obligated to provide any additional financial support to F-Star.

F-Star was determined not to be a business as defined in ASC 805 - Business Combinations. As a result, contingent consideration was not included in the purchase price and no goodwill was recognized. However, F-Star is a variable

interest entity as its equity holders lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest. BMS was determined to be the primary beneficiary because of both its power to direct the activities most significantly and directly impacting the economic performance of the entity and its option rights described above. Upon consolidation in 2014, noncontrolling interest was credited by \$59 million to reflect the fair value of the FS102 IPRD asset (\$75 million) and deferred tax liabilities.

#### Promedior

In September 2015, BMS purchased a warrant that gives BMS the exclusive right to acquire Promedior, Inc. (Promedior), a biotechnology company whose lead asset, PRM-151, is being developed for the treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and myelofibrosis (MF). The warrant is exercisable upon completion of either of the IPF or MF Phase II clinical studies being conducted by Promedior, which is expected to occur no earlier than 2017. The upfront payment allocated to the warrant was \$84 million and included in research and development expenses in the third quarter of 2015. The remaining \$66 million of the \$150 million upfront payment was allocated to Promedior's obligation to complete the Phase II studies which will be amortized over the expected period of the Phase II studies. The allocation was determined using level 3 inputs. Following BMS's review of the Phase II clinical study results, if BMS elects to exercise the warrant it will be obligated to pay an additional \$300 million (if based on the IPF study results) or \$250 million (if based on the MF study results), plus additional aggregate consideration of up to \$800 million for contingent development and regulatory approval milestone payments in the U.S. and Europe.

#### Five Prime

In November 2015, BMS and Five Prime Therapeutics, Inc. (Five Prime) entered into an exclusive worldwide licensing and collaboration agreement for the development and commercialization of Five Prime's colony stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) antibody program, including FPA008 currently in Phase I development for immunology and oncology indications. BMS will be responsible for the development, manufacturing and commercialization of FPA008, subject to Five Prime's option to conduct certain studies at its cost to develop FPA008 in pigmented vilonodular synovitis (PVNS) and in combination with its own internal oncology pipeline assets. Five Prime also retained an option to co-promote in the U.S. The agreement replaces a previous clinical collaboration agreement between the two parties.

In consideration for licensing rights, BMS made an upfront payment of \$350 million in the fourth quarter of 2015 which was included in research and development expense. BMS will also be committed to pay up to \$1.4 billion upon the achievement of contingent development and regulatory milestones as well as future royalties if the product is approved and commercialized.

#### Reckitt Benckiser Group

In May 2013, BMS and Reckitt Benckiser Group plc (Reckitt) started a three-year alliance for several over-the-counter-products sold primarily in Mexico and Brazil. Reckitt received the right to sell, distribute and market the products through May 2016. BMS receives royalties on net sales of the products and exclusively supplies certain of the products to Reckitt pursuant to a supply agreement at cost plus a markup. Certain limited assets, including the market authorizations and certain employees directly attributed to the business, were transferred to Reckitt at the start of the alliance period. BMS retained ownership of all other assets related to the business including the trademarks covering the products.

In the framework of the alliance, BMS also granted Reckitt an option to acquire the trademarks, inventory and certain other assets exclusively related to the products at the end of the alliance period at a price determined primarily based upon a multiple of sales from May 2014 through May 2016. In April 2014, the alliance was modified to provide an option to Reckitt to purchase a BMS manufacturing facility located in Mexico primarily dedicated to the products included in the alliance. In July 2015, Reckitt notified BMS that it was exercising its option. Substantially all employees at the facility are expected to be transferred to Reckitt. The closing is expected to occur in May 2016. Refer to "—Note 5. Assets Held-For-Sale" for further information.

Non-refundable upfront proceeds of \$485 million received by BMS in 2013 were allocated to two units of accounting, including the rights transferred to Reckitt and the fair value of the option to purchase the remaining assets using the best estimate of the selling price for these elements after considering various market factors. These market factors included an analysis of any estimated excess of the fair value of the business over the potential purchase price if the option is exercised. The fair value of the option was determined using Level 3 inputs and included in other liabilities. During 2015, BMS recognized other income of \$123 million to decrease the fair value of the option to zero due to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against local currencies. The anticipated proceeds are expected to approximate the fair value of the assets to be transferred. The amount allocated to the rights transferred to Reckitt is amortized as alliance and other revenue over the contractual term.

Summarized financial information related to this alliance was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                               | Year Ended December 31, |        |        |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|
|                                                   | 2015                    | 2014   | 2013   |
| Revenues from Reckitt alliance:                   |                         |        |        |
| Alliance revenues                                 | \$ 140                  | \$ 170 | \$ 116 |
| Selected Alliance Cash Flow Information:          |                         |        |        |
| Deferred income                                   | \$—                     | \$—    | \$ 376 |
| Other changes in operating assets and liabilities | (129                    | ) 20   | 109    |
| Selected Alliance Balance Sheet information:      |                         |        |        |
| Dollars in Millions                               | December 31,            |        |        |
|                                                   | 2015                    | 2014   |        |
| Deferred income                                   | \$36                    | \$ 155 |        |

#### The Medicines Company

In February 2013, BMS and The Medicines Company entered into a two-year alliance for Recothrom\*, a recombinant thrombin for use as a topical hemostat to control non-arterial bleeding during surgical procedures (previously acquired by BMS in connection with its acquisition of ZymoGenetics, Inc. in 2010). The Medicines Company received the right to sell, distribute and market Recothrom\* on a global basis for two years. BMS exclusively supplied Recothrom\* to The Medicines Company at cost plus a markup and received royalties on net sales of Recothrom\*. Certain employees directly attributed to the business and certain assets were transferred to The Medicines Company at the start of the alliance period, including the Biologics License Application and related regulatory assets. BMS retained all other assets related to Recothrom\* including the patents, trademarks and inventory.

BMS also granted The Medicines Company an option to acquire the patents, trademarks, inventory and certain other assets exclusively related to Recothrom\* at a price determined based on a multiple of sales (plus the cost of any remaining inventory held by BMS at that time). The Medicines Company exercised the option and acquired the business for \$132 million in February 2015. Refer to "—Note 5. Assets Held-For-Sale" for further information.

Non-refundable upfront proceeds of \$115 million received by BMS in 2013 were allocated to two units of accounting, including the rights transferred to The Medicines Company and the fair value of the option to purchase the remaining assets using the best estimate of the selling price for these elements after considering various market factors. These market factors included an analysis of any estimated excess of the fair value of the business over the potential purchase price if the option is exercised. The fair value of the option was \$35 million at December 31, 2014 and was determined using Level 3 inputs and included in accrued expenses. The amount allocated to the rights transferred to The Medicines Company was amortized as alliance and other revenue over the contractual term.

Summarized financial information related to this alliance was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                               | Year Ended December 31, |       |       |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
|                                                   | 2015                    | 2014  | 2013  |
| Revenues from The Medicines Company alliance:     |                         |       |       |
| Alliance revenues                                 | \$ 8                    | \$ 66 | \$ 74 |
| Other (income)/expense – Gain on sale of business | (59                     | ) —   | —     |
| Selected Alliance Cash Flow Information:          |                         |       |       |
| Deferred income                                   | \$—                     | \$—   | \$ 80 |
| Other changes in operating assets and liabilities | —                       | —     | 35    |
| Divestiture and other proceeds                    | 132                     | —     | —     |



| Selected Alliance Balance Sheet information:<br>Dollars in Millions | December 31, |      |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------|
|                                                                     | 2015         | 2014 |
| Deferred income                                                     | \$—          | \$3  |

## Valeant

In October 2012, BMS and PharmaSwiss SA, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc. (Valeant) entered into an alliance for certain mature brand products in Europe. Valeant received the right to sell, distribute, and market the products in Europe through December 31, 2014. BMS exclusively supplied the products to Valeant at cost plus a markup.

BMS also granted Valeant an option to acquire the trademarks and intellectual property exclusively related to the products at a price determined based on a multiple of sales. Valeant exercised the option and acquired the business for \$61 million in January 2015. Refer to "—Note 5. Assets Held-For-Sale" for further information.

Non-refundable upfront proceeds of \$79 million received by BMS in 2012 were allocated to two units of accounting, including the rights transferred to Valeant and the fair value of the option to purchase the remaining assets using the best estimate of the selling price for these elements after considering various market factors. These market factors included an analysis of any estimated excess of the fair value of the business over the potential purchase price if the option is exercised. The fair value of the option was determined using Level 3 inputs and included in accrued expenses. A \$16 million charge was included in other expenses to increase the fair value of the option to \$34 million in 2014. The amount allocated to the rights transferred to Valeant was amortized as alliance and other revenue over the contractual term.

Summarized financial information related to this alliance was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                               | Year Ended December 31, |        |      |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|------|
|                                                   | 2015                    | 2014   | 2013 |
| Revenues from Valeant alliance:                   |                         |        |      |
| Net product sales                                 | \$—                     | \$—    | \$4  |
| Alliance revenues                                 | (1                      | ) 44   | 49   |
| Total Revenues                                    | \$(1                    | ) \$44 | \$53 |
| Other (income)/expense – Gain on sale of business | (88                     | ) —    | —    |
| Selected Alliance Cash Flow Information:          |                         |        |      |
| Other changes in operating assets and liabilities | \$—                     | \$16   | \$—  |
| Divestiture and other proceeds                    | 61                      | —      | —    |

## Note 4. ACQUISITIONS AND OTHER DIVESTITURES

## Cardioxyl Acquisition

In December 2015, BMS acquired all of the outstanding shares of Cardioxyl Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Cardioxyl), a privately held biotechnology company focused on the discovery and development of novel therapeutic agents for cardiovascular disease. The acquisition provided BMS with full rights to CXL-1427, a nitroxy prodrug in Phase II development for acute decompensated heart failure. The consideration includes an upfront payment of \$200 million and contingent development, regulatory and sales-based milestone payments of up to \$1.9 billion. No significant Cardioxyl processes were acquired, therefore the transaction was accounted for as an asset acquisition because Cardioxyl was determined not to be a business as that term is defined in ASC 805 - Business Combinations. The consideration was allocated to CXL-1427 resulting in \$167 million of research and development expenses and to net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards resulting in \$33 million of deferred tax assets.

## Flexus Acquisition

In April 2015, BMS acquired all of the outstanding shares of Flexus Biosciences, Inc. (Flexus), a privately held biotechnology company focused on the discovery and development of novel anti-cancer therapeutics. The acquisition

provided BMS with full rights to F001287, a preclinical small molecule IDO1-inhibitor targeted immunotherapy. In addition, BMS acquired Flexus's IDO/TDO discovery program which includes its IDO-selective, IDO/TDO dual and TDO-selective compounds. The consideration includes an upfront payment of \$800 million (plus acquisition costs) and contingent development and regulatory milestone payments of up to \$450 million. No significant Flexus processes were acquired, therefore the transaction was accounted for as an asset acquisition because Flexus was determined not to be a business. The consideration was allocated to F001287 and the IDO/TDO discovery program resulting in \$800 million of research and development expenses and to net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards resulting in \$14 million of deferred tax assets.

**iPierian Acquisition**

In April 2014, BMS acquired all of the outstanding shares of iPierian, Inc. (iPierian), a biotechnology company focused on new treatments for tauopathies, a class of neurodegenerative diseases. The acquisition provided BMS with full rights to IPN007, a preclinical monoclonal antibody to treat progressive supranuclear palsy and other tauopathies. The consideration includes an upfront payment of \$175 million, contingent development and regulatory milestone payments of up to \$550 million and future royalties on net sales if any of the acquired preclinical assets are approved and commercialized. No significant iPierian processes were acquired, therefore the transaction was accounted for as an asset acquisition because iPierian was determined not to be a business. The consideration was allocated to IPN007 resulting in \$148 million of research and development expenses and to net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards resulting in \$27 million of deferred tax assets.

**Other Divestitures**

In addition to the divestiture transactions with AstraZeneca, Lilly, The Medicines Company and Valeant discussed in "—Note 3. Alliances", BMS divested its Ixempra\* business and several other businesses or product lines in 2015. These other transactions generated net proceeds of \$121 million resulting in pretax gains of \$136 million (including a \$40 million deferred gain from 2014). Additional contingent proceeds will be recognized in earnings when received. Revenues and pretax earnings related to these businesses were not material.

**Note 5. ASSETS HELD-FOR-SALE**

In December 2015, BMS agreed to sell its pipeline of investigational HIV medicines to ViiV Healthcare which includes a number of programs at different stages of discovery, preclinical and clinical development. The transaction excludes BMS's HIV marketed medicines. Certain BMS employees will be offered the opportunity to transfer to ViiV Healthcare and BMS will provide certain R&D and other services over a transitional period. The transaction is expected to close in the first half of 2016 upon obtaining customary regulatory approvals and will be accounted for as a sale of a business.

Consideration includes an upfront payment of \$350 million, contingent development and regulatory milestone payments of up to \$1.1 billion, sales-based milestone payments of up to \$4.3 billion and future royalties if the products are approved and commercialized. BMS will also be reimbursed for the R&D and other services.

Assets held-for-sale were \$134 million at December 31, 2015, comprising primarily of goodwill related to the investigational HIV business and the business comprising an alliance with Reckitt. Assets held-for-sale were \$109 million at December 31, 2014, comprising of inventory, goodwill and other intangible assets related to the businesses comprising the alliances with The Medicines Company and Valeant. The allocation of goodwill was based on the relative fair value of the businesses divested to the Company's reporting unit.

**Note 6. OTHER (INCOME)/EXPENSE**

Other (income)/expense includes:

| Dollars in Millions                                  | Year Ended December 31, |       |       |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
|                                                      | 2015                    | 2014  | 2013  |
| Interest expense                                     | \$184                   | \$203 | \$199 |
| Investment income                                    | (101)                   | (101) | (104) |
| Provision for restructuring                          | 118                     | 163   | 226   |
| Litigation and other settlements                     | 159                     | 23    | 20    |
| Equity in net income of affiliates                   | (83)                    | (107) | (166) |
| Out-licensed intangible asset impairment             | 13                      | 29    | —     |
| Gain on sale of businesses, product lines and assets | (196)                   | (564) | (2)   |
| Other alliance and licensing income                  | (628)                   | (404) | (148) |
| Pension charges                                      | 160                     | 877   | 165   |
| Loss on debt redemption                              | 180                     | 45    | —     |

|                        |        |         |       |
|------------------------|--------|---------|-------|
| Other                  | 7      | 46      | 15    |
| Other (income)/expense | \$(187 | ) \$210 | \$205 |

Litigation and other settlements includes \$90 million for a contractual dispute related to a license.

Other includes an unrealized foreign exchange loss of \$52 million resulting from the remeasurement of the Bolivar-denominated cash and other monetary balances of BMS's wholly-owned subsidiary in Venezuela as of December 31, 2015. The exchange rate was changed to the SIMADI rate of 200 from the official CENCOEX rate of 6.3 after considering the limited amount of foreign currency exchanged during the second half of 2015, published exchange rates and the continuing deterioration of economic conditions in Venezuela.

## Note 7. RESTRUCTURING

The following is the provision for restructuring:

| Dollars in Millions           | Year Ended December 31, |       |       |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
|                               | 2015                    | 2014  | 2013  |
| Employee termination benefits | \$110                   | \$157 | \$211 |
| Other exit costs              | 8                       | 6     | 15    |
| Provision for restructuring   | \$118                   | \$163 | \$226 |

Restructuring charges included employee termination benefits for manufacturing, selling, administrative, and research and development workforce reductions across all geographic regions of approximately 1,169 in 2015, 1,387 in 2014 and 1,450 in 2013. The restructuring actions were primarily related to specialty care transformation initiatives in 2015 and 2014 designed to create a more simplified organization across all functions and geographic markets, and sales force reductions in several European countries in 2013 following the restructuring of the Sanofi and Otsuka alliance agreements. Subject to local regulations, costs are not recognized until completion of discussions with works councils.

The following table represents the activity of employee termination and other exit cost liabilities:

| Dollars in Millions                         | Year Ended December 31, |        |        |   |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|---|
|                                             | 2015                    | 2014   | 2013   |   |
| Liability at January 1                      | \$156                   | \$102  | \$167  |   |
| Charges                                     | 133                     | 155    | 249    |   |
| Change in estimates                         | (15                     | ) 8    | (23    | ) |
| Provision for restructuring                 | 118                     | 163    | 226    |   |
| Foreign currency translation                | (15                     | ) (2   | ) 4    |   |
| Liabilities related to assets held-for-sale | —                       | —      | (67    | ) |
| Spending                                    | (134                    | ) (107 | ) (228 | ) |
| Liability at December 31                    | \$125                   | \$156  | \$102  |   |

## Note 8. INCOME TAXES

The provision/(benefit) for income taxes consisted of:

| Dollars in Millions | Year Ended December 31, |        |        |   |
|---------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|---|
|                     | 2015                    | 2014   | 2013   |   |
| Current:            |                         |        |        |   |
| U.S.                | \$337                   | \$334  | \$375  |   |
| Non-U.S.            | 456                     | 560    | 427    |   |
| Total Current       | 793                     | 894    | 802    |   |
| Deferred:           |                         |        |        |   |
| U.S.                | (394                    | ) (403 | ) (390 | ) |
| Non-U.S.            | 47                      | (139   | ) (101 | ) |
| Total Deferred      | (347                    | ) (542 | ) (491 | ) |
| Total Provision     | \$446                   | \$352  | \$311  |   |

## Effective Tax Rate

The reconciliation of the effective tax/(benefit) rate to the U.S. statutory Federal income tax rate was:

| Dollars in Millions                                                              | % of Earnings Before Income Taxes |          |           |          |           |          |  |  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|
|                                                                                  | 2015                              |          | 2014      |          | 2013      |          |  |  |
| Earnings/(Loss) before income taxes:                                             |                                   |          |           |          |           |          |  |  |
| U.S.                                                                             | \$ (1,329)                        |          | \$ (349 ) |          | \$ (135 ) |          |  |  |
| Non-U.S.                                                                         | 3,406                             |          | 2,730     |          | 3,026     |          |  |  |
| Total                                                                            | \$2,077                           |          | \$2,381   |          | \$2,891   |          |  |  |
| U.S. statutory rate                                                              | 727                               | 35.0 %   | 833       | 35.0 %   | 1,012     | 35.0 %   |  |  |
| Foreign tax effect of certain operations in Ireland, Puerto Rico and Switzerland | (535 )                            | (25.8 )% | (509 )    | (21.4 )% | (620 )    | (21.4 )% |  |  |
| U.S. tax effect of capital losses                                                | —                                 | —        | (361 )    | (15.2 )% | —         | —        |  |  |
| Valuation allowance release                                                      | (84 )                             | (4.0 )%  | —         | —        | (10 )     | (0.3 )%  |  |  |
| U.S. Federal, state and foreign contingent tax matters                           | 56                                | 2.7 %    | 228       | 9.6 %    | 134       | 4.6 %    |  |  |
| U.S. Federal research based credits                                              | (132 )                            | (6.4 )%  | (131 )    | (5.4 )%  | (220 )    | (7.6 )%  |  |  |
| Goodwill allocated to divestitures                                               | 25                                | 1.2 %    | 210       | 8.8 %    | —         | —        |  |  |
| U.S. Branded Prescription Drug Fee                                               | 44                                | 2.1 %    | 84        | 3.5 %    | 63        | 2.2 %    |  |  |
| R&D charges                                                                      | 369                               | 17.8 %   | 52        | 2.2 %    | —         | —        |  |  |
| State and local taxes (net of valuation allowance)                               | 16                                | 0.8 %    | 20        | 0.8 %    | 25        | 0.9 %    |  |  |
| Foreign and other                                                                | (40 )                             | (1.9 )%  | (74 )     | (3.1 )%  | (73 )     | (2.6 )%  |  |  |
|                                                                                  | \$446                             | 21.5 %   | \$352     | 14.8 %   | \$311     | 10.8 %   |  |  |

The effective tax rate is lower than the U.S. statutory rate of 35% primarily attributable to undistributed earnings of certain foreign subsidiaries that have been considered or are expected to be indefinitely reinvested offshore. U.S. taxes have not been provided on approximately \$25 billion of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015. These undistributed earnings primarily relate to operations in Switzerland, Ireland and Puerto Rico. If these undistributed earnings are repatriated to the U.S. in the future, or if it were determined that such earnings are to be remitted in the foreseeable future, additional tax provisions would be required. Due to complexities in the tax laws and assumptions that would have to be made, it is not practicable to estimate the amounts of income taxes that will have to be provided. Reforms to U.S. tax laws related to foreign earnings have been proposed and if adopted, may increase taxes, which could reduce the results of operations and cash flows. BMS operates under a favorable tax grant in Puerto Rico not scheduled to expire prior to 2023.

The divestiture of certain businesses resulted in capital loss tax benefits including \$361 million from the sale of Amylin shares in 2014. Valuation allowances attributed to capital loss carryforwards were released in 2015 following the divestiture of Recothrom\*, Ixempra\* and other mature brands. Additional reserves of \$123 million were established in 2014 for certain transfer pricing matters related to tax periods from 2008 through 2014. The retroactive reinstatement of the 2012 U.S. Federal research and development credit in 2013 resulted in additional tax credits of \$82 million in 2013. Orphan drug credits are included in the U.S. Federal research based credits for all periods presented. Goodwill allocated to business divestitures (including the diabetes business in 2014) was not deductible for tax purposes as well as the U.S. Branded Prescription Drug Fee in all periods. Research and development charges resulting primarily from the acquisition of Flexus and Cardioxyl in 2015 and iPierian in 2014 were also not deductible for tax purposes.

## Deferred Taxes and Valuation Allowance

The components of current and non-current deferred income tax assets/(liabilities) were as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                      | December 31, |          |   |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------|---|
|                                                          | 2015         | 2014     |   |
| Deferred tax assets                                      |              |          |   |
| Foreign net operating loss carryforwards                 | \$3,090      | \$3,473  |   |
| U.S. capital loss carryforwards                          | 39           | 562      |   |
| State net operating loss and credit carryforwards        | 324          | 337      |   |
| U.S. Federal net operating loss and credit carryforwards | 173          | 161      |   |
| Deferred income                                          | 1,009        | 1,163    |   |
| Milestone payments and license fees                      | 560          | 440      |   |
| Pension and postretirement benefits                      | 462          | 467      |   |
| Intercompany profit and other inventory items            | 607          | 531      |   |
| Other foreign deferred tax assets                        | 172          | 202      |   |
| Share-based compensation                                 | 122          | 95       |   |
| Legal and other settlements                              | 63           | 14       |   |
| Repatriation of foreign earnings                         | (1           | ) 94     |   |
| Internal transfer of intellectual property               | 635          | 247      |   |
| Other                                                    | 337          | 311      |   |
| Total deferred tax assets                                | 7,592        | 8,097    |   |
| Valuation allowance                                      | (3,534       | ) (4,259 | ) |
| Deferred tax assets net of valuation allowance           | 4,058        | 3,838    |   |
| Deferred tax liabilities                                 |              |          |   |
| Depreciation                                             | (105         | ) (128   | ) |
| Acquired intangible assets                               | (338         | ) (390   | ) |
| Goodwill and other                                       | (802         | ) (832   | ) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities                           | (1,245       | ) (1,350 | ) |
| Deferred tax assets, net                                 | \$2,813      | \$2,488  |   |
| Recognized as:                                           |              |          |   |
| Deferred income taxes – current                          | \$—          | \$1,644  |   |
| Deferred income taxes – non-current                      | 2,844        | 915      |   |
| Income taxes payable – current                           | —            | (11      | ) |
| Income taxes payable – non-current                       | (31          | ) (60    | ) |
| Total                                                    | \$2,813      | \$2,488  |   |

The Company has elected to early adopt Accounting Standard Update 2015-17 as of December 31, 2015 on a prospective basis, which results in all deferred taxes being reported as non-current on the balance sheet.

Internal transfers of intellectual property resulted in deferred tax assets of \$635 million and prepaid taxes of \$484 million (included in other assets) at December 31, 2015. These assets are amortized over their expected lives.

The U.S. Federal net operating loss carryforwards were \$419 million at December 31, 2015. These carryforwards were acquired as a result of certain acquisitions and are subject to limitations under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. The net operating loss carryforwards expire in varying amounts beginning in 2022. The U.S. Federal tax credit carryforwards expire in varying amounts beginning in 2017. The realization of the U.S. Federal tax credit carryforwards is dependent on generating sufficient domestic-sourced taxable income prior to their expiration. The



capital loss carryforward available of \$102 million is dependent on generating sufficient domestic-sourced capital gain income and is scheduled to expire in 2019. The foreign and state net operating loss carryforwards expire in varying amounts beginning in 2016 (certain amounts have unlimited lives).

At December 31, 2015, a valuation allowance of \$3,534 million was established for the following items: \$3,090 million primarily for foreign net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, \$340 million for state deferred tax assets including net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, \$11 million for U.S. Federal net operating loss carryforwards and \$29 million for U.S. Federal capital losses and \$64 million for other U.S. Federal deferred tax assets.

Changes in the valuation allowance were as follows:

| Dollars in Millions          | Year Ended December 31, |         |         |   |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---|
|                              | 2015                    | 2014    | 2013    |   |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$4,259                 | \$4,623 | \$4,404 |   |
| Provision                    | 71                      | 140     | 252     |   |
| Utilization                  | (436                    | ) (109  | ) (68   | ) |
| Foreign currency translation | (366                    | ) (395  | ) 40    | ) |
| Acquisitions                 | 6                       | —       | (5      | ) |
| Balance at end of year       | \$3,534                 | \$4,259 | \$4,623 |   |

Income tax payments were \$577 million in 2015, \$544 million in 2014 and \$478 million in 2013. The current tax benefit realized as a result of stock related compensation credited to capital in excess of par value of stock was \$147 million in 2015, \$131 million in 2014 and \$129 million in 2013.

Business is conducted in various countries throughout the world and is subject to tax in numerous jurisdictions. A significant number of tax returns that are filed are subject to examination by various Federal, state and local tax authorities. Tax examinations are often complex, as tax authorities may disagree with the treatment of items reported requiring several years to resolve. Liabilities are established for possible assessments by tax authorities resulting from known tax exposures including, but not limited to, transfer pricing matters, tax credits and deductibility of certain expenses. Such liabilities represent a reasonable provision for taxes ultimately expected to be paid and may need to be adjusted over time as more information becomes known. The effect of changes in estimates related to contingent tax liabilities is included in the effective tax rate reconciliation above.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                      | Year Ended December 31, |       |       |   |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|---|
|                                                          | 2015                    | 2014  | 2013  |   |
| Balance at beginning of year                             | \$934                   | \$756 | \$642 |   |
| Gross additions to tax positions related to current year | 52                      | 106   | 74    |   |
| Gross additions to tax positions related to prior years  | 56                      | 218   | 108   |   |
| Gross additions to tax positions assumed in acquisitions | 1                       | —     | —     |   |
| Gross reductions to tax positions related to prior years | (34                     | ) (57 | ) (87 | ) |
| Settlements                                              | (46                     | ) (65 | ) 26  | ) |
| Reductions to tax positions related to lapse of statute  | (9                      | ) (12 | ) (8  | ) |
| Cumulative translation adjustment                        | (10                     | ) (12 | ) 1   | ) |
| Balance at end of year                                   | \$944                   | \$934 | \$756 |   |

Additional information regarding unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                                              | Year Ended December 31, |       |       |   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|---|
|                                                                                  | 2015                    | 2014  | 2013  |   |
| Unrecognized tax benefits that if recognized would impact the effective tax rate | \$671                   | \$668 | \$508 |   |
| Accrued interest                                                                 | 93                      | 96    | 83    |   |
| Accrued penalties                                                                | 16                      | 17    | 34    |   |
| Interest expense                                                                 | 2                       | 27    | 24    |   |
| Penalty expense/(benefit)                                                        | 1                       | (7    | ) 3   | ) |

Accrued interest and penalties payable for unrecognized tax benefits are included in either current or non-current income taxes payable. Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are included in income tax expense.

BMS is currently under examination by a number of tax authorities, including but not limited to the major tax jurisdictions listed in the table below, which have proposed or are considering proposing material adjustments to tax for issues such as transfer pricing, certain tax credits and the deductibility of certain expenses. BMS estimates that it is reasonably possible that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2015 will decrease in the range of approximately \$270 million to \$330 million in the next twelve months as a result of the settlement of certain tax audits and other events. The expected change in unrecognized tax benefits, primarily settlement related, will involve the payment of additional taxes, the adjustment of certain deferred taxes and/or the recognition of tax benefits. It is reasonably possible that new issues will be raised by tax authorities that may increase unrecognized tax benefits; however, an estimate of such increases cannot reasonably be made at this time. BMS believes that it has adequately provided for all open tax years by tax jurisdiction.

The following is a summary of major tax jurisdictions for which tax authorities may assert additional taxes based upon tax years currently under audit and subsequent years that will likely be audited:

|         |              |
|---------|--------------|
| U.S.    | 2008 to 2015 |
| Canada  | 2006 to 2015 |
| France  | 2013 to 2015 |
| Germany | 2007 to 2015 |
| Italy   | 2003 to 2015 |
| Mexico  | 2010 to 2015 |

#### Note 9. EARNINGS PER SHARE

| Amounts in Millions, Except Per Share Data                                  | Year Ended December 31, |          |          |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                                                             | 2015                    | 2014     | 2013     |
| Net Earnings Attributable to BMS used for Basic and Diluted EPS Calculation | \$ 1,565                | \$ 2,004 | \$ 2,563 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding - basic                          | 1,667                   | 1,657    | 1,644    |
| Contingently convertible debt common stock equivalents                      | —                       | 1        | 1        |
| Incremental shares attributable to share-based compensation plans           | 12                      | 12       | 17       |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding - diluted                        | 1,679                   | 1,670    | 1,662    |
| Earnings per share - basic                                                  | \$0.94                  | \$ 1.21  | \$ 1.56  |
| Earnings per share - diluted                                                | \$0.93                  | \$ 1.20  | \$ 1.54  |

#### Note 10. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, accounts receivable and payable, debt instruments and derivatives.

Changes in exchange rates and interest rates create exposure to market risk. Certain derivative financial instruments are used when available on a cost-effective basis to hedge the underlying economic exposure. These instruments qualify as cash flow, net investment and fair value hedges upon meeting certain criteria, including effectiveness of offsetting hedged exposures. Changes in fair value of derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting are recognized in earnings as they occur. Derivative financial instruments are not used for trading purposes.

Financial instruments are subject to counterparty credit risk which is considered as part of the overall fair value measurement. Counterparty credit risk is monitored on an ongoing basis and mitigated by limiting amounts outstanding with any individual counterparty, utilizing conventional derivative financial instruments and only entering into agreements with counterparties that meet high credit quality standards. The consolidated financial statements would not be materially impacted if any counterparty failed to perform according to the terms of its agreement. Collateral is not required by any party whether derivatives are in an asset or liability position under the terms of the agreements.

**Fair Value Measurements** – The fair value of financial instruments are classified into one of the following categories: Level 1 inputs utilize unadjusted quoted prices in active markets accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy provides the highest priority to Level 1 inputs.

Level 2 inputs utilize observable prices for similar instruments and quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in non-active markets. Additionally, certain corporate debt securities utilize a third-party matrix pricing model using significant inputs corroborated by market data for substantially the full term of the assets. Equity and fixed income funds are primarily invested in publicly traded securities valued at the respective net asset value of the underlying investments. There were no significant unfunded commitments or restrictions on redemptions related to equity and

fixed income funds as of December 31, 2015. Level 2 derivative instruments are valued using London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) yield curves, less credit valuation adjustments, and observable forward foreign exchange rates at the reporting date. Valuations of derivative contracts may fluctuate considerably from volatility in underlying foreign currencies and underlying interest rates driven by market conditions and the duration of the contract.

Level 3 unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available. The fair value of written options to acquire outstanding shares or sell the assets of certain businesses (refer to “—Note 3. Alliances” for further discussion) is based on an option pricing methodology that considers revenue and profitability projections, volatility, discount rates, and potential exercise price assumptions.

Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

| Dollars in Millions                                           | December 31, 2015 |         |         |         | December 31, 2014 |         |         |         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                                                               | Level 1           | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total   | Level 1           | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total   |
| Cash and cash equivalents - Money market and other securities | \$—               | \$1,825 | \$—     | \$1,825 | \$—               | \$5,051 | \$—     | \$5,051 |
| Marketable securities:                                        |                   |         |         |         |                   |         |         |         |
| Certificates of deposit                                       | —                 | 804     | —       | 804     | —                 | 896     | —       | 896     |
| Corporate debt securities                                     | —                 | 5,638   | —       | 5,638   | —                 | 5,259   | —       | 5,259   |
| Equity funds                                                  | —                 | 92      | —       | 92      | —                 | 94      | —       | 94      |
| Fixed income funds                                            | —                 | 11      | —       | 11      | —                 | 11      | —       | 11      |
| Auction Rate Securities (ARS)                                 | —                 | —       | —       | —       | —                 | —       | 12      | 12      |
| Derivative assets:                                            |                   |         |         |         |                   |         |         |         |
| Interest rate swap contracts                                  | —                 | 31      | —       | 31      | —                 | 46      | —       | 46      |
| Forward starting interest rate swap contracts                 | —                 | 15      | —       | 15      | —                 | —       | —       | —       |
| Foreign currency forward contracts                            | —                 | 50      | —       | 50      | —                 | 118     | —       | 118     |
| Equity investments                                            | 60                | —       | —       | 60      | 36                | —       | —       | 36      |
| Derivative liabilities:                                       |                   |         |         |         |                   |         |         |         |
| Interest rate swap contracts                                  | —                 | (1 )    | —       | (1 )    | —                 | (3 )    | —       | (3 )    |
| Forward starting interest rate swap contracts                 | —                 | (7 )    | —       | (7 )    | —                 | —       | —       | —       |
| Foreign currency forward contracts                            | —                 | (10 )   | —       | (10 )   | —                 | —       | —       | —       |
| Written option liabilities                                    | —                 | —       | —       | —       | —                 | —       | (198 )  | (198 )  |
| Contingent consideration liability                            | —                 | —       | —       | —       | —                 | —       | (8 )    | (8 )    |

The following table summarizes the activity of the financial assets utilizing Level 3 fair value measurements:

| Dollars in Millions       | 2015 |                            |                                    | 2014 |                            |                                    |
|---------------------------|------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                           | ARS  | Written option liabilities | Contingent consideration liability | ARS  | Written option liabilities | Contingent consideration liability |
| Fair value at January 1   | \$12 | \$(198 )                   | \$(8 )                             | \$12 | \$(162 )                   | \$(8 )                             |
| Realized losses           | (2 ) | —                          | —                                  | —    | —                          | —                                  |
| Sales                     | (7 ) | —                          | —                                  | —    | —                          | —                                  |
| Settlements and other     | —    | 75                         | —                                  | —    | —                          | —                                  |
| Changes in fair value     | (3 ) | 123                        | 8                                  | —    | (36 )                      | —                                  |
| Fair value at December 31 | \$—  | \$—                        | \$—                                | \$12 | \$(198 )                   | \$(8 )                             |

## Available-for-sale Securities

The following table summarizes available-for-sale securities:

| Dollars in Millions       | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gain in Accumulated OCI | Gross Unrealized Loss in Accumulated OCI | Fair Value |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------|
| December 31, 2015         |                |                                          |                                          |            |
| Certificates of deposit   | \$804          | \$ —                                     | \$ —                                     | \$804      |
| Corporate debt securities | 5,646          | 15                                       | (23 )                                    | 5,638      |
| Equity investments        | 74             | 10                                       | (24 )                                    | 60         |
| Total                     | \$6,524        | \$ 25                                    | \$ (47 )                                 | \$6,502    |
| December 31, 2014         |                |                                          |                                          |            |
| Certificates of deposit   | \$896          | \$ —                                     | \$ —                                     | \$896      |
| Corporate debt securities | 5,237          | 30                                       | (8 )                                     | 5,259      |
| ARS                       | 9              | 3                                        | —                                        | 12         |
| Equity investments        | 14             | 22                                       | —                                        | 36         |
| Total                     | \$6,156        | \$ 55                                    | \$ (8 )                                  | \$6,203    |

Available-for-sale securities included in current marketable securities were \$1,782 million at December 31, 2015 and \$1,759 million at December 31, 2014. All non-current available-for-sale corporate debt securities mature within five years at December 31, 2015. Equity investments of \$60 million and \$36 million were included in other assets at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

## Fair Value Option for Financial Assets

Investments in equity and fixed income funds offsetting changes in fair value of certain employee retirement benefits were included in current marketable securities. Changes in fair value were not significant.

## Qualifying Hedges

The following summarizes the fair value of outstanding derivatives:

| Dollars in Millions                            | Balance Sheet Location     | December 31, 2015 |            | December 31, 2014 |            |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
|                                                |                            | Notional          | Fair Value | Notional          | Fair Value |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: |                            |                   |            |                   |            |
| Interest rate swap contracts                   | Other assets               | \$1,100           | \$31       | \$847             | \$46       |
| Interest rate swap contracts                   | Other liabilities          | 650               | (1 )       | 1,050             | (3 )       |
| Forward starting interest rate swap contracts  | Other assets               | 500               | 15         | —                 | —          |
| Forward starting interest rate swap contracts  | Other liabilities          | 250               | (7 )       | —                 | —          |
| Foreign currency forward contracts             | Prepaid expenses and other | 1,016             | 50         | 1,323             | 106        |
| Foreign currency forward contracts             | Other assets               | —                 | —          | 100               | 12         |
| Foreign currency forward contracts             | Accrued expenses           | 787               | (10 )      | —                 | —          |

Cash Flow Hedges — Foreign currency forward contracts are used to hedge certain forecasted intercompany inventory purchase transactions and certain other foreign currency transactions. The effective portion of changes in fair value for contracts designated as cash flow hedges are temporarily reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss and included in earnings when the hedged item affects earnings. The net gains on foreign currency forward contracts are expected to be reclassified to net earnings (primarily included in cost of products sold) within the next two years. The notional amount of outstanding foreign currency forward contracts was primarily attributed to the euro (\$576 million) and Japanese yen (\$746 million) at December 31, 2015. The fair value of a foreign currency forward contract attributed to the Japanese yen (notional amount of \$445 million) not designated as a cash flow hedge was \$5 million and was included in accrued expenses and other at December 31, 2015.

In 2015, BMS entered into \$750 million of forward starting interest rate swap contracts maturing in March 2017 to hedge the variability of probable forecasted interest expense associated with potential future issuances of debt. The contracts are designated as cash flow hedges with the effective portion of fair value changes included in other comprehensive income.



The earnings impact related to discontinued cash flow hedges and hedge ineffectiveness was not significant during all periods presented. Cash flow hedge accounting is discontinued when the forecasted transaction is no longer probable of occurring within 60 days after the originally forecasted date or when the hedge is no longer effective. Assessments to determine whether derivatives designated as qualifying hedges are highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of hedged items are performed at inception and on a quarterly basis.

Net Investment Hedges — Non-U.S. dollar borrowings of €950 million (\$1,041 million) are designated to hedge the foreign currency exposures of the net investment in certain foreign affiliates. These borrowings are designated as net investment hedges and recognized in long term debt. The effective portion of foreign exchange gains or losses on the remeasurement of the debt is recognized in the foreign currency translation component of accumulated other comprehensive loss with the related offset in long-term debt.

Fair Value Hedges — Fixed-to-floating interest rate swap contracts are designated as fair value hedges used as an interest rate risk management strategy to create an appropriate balance of fixed and floating rate debt. The contracts and underlying debt for the hedged benchmark risk are recorded at fair value. The effective interest rate for the contracts is one-month LIBOR (0.43% as of December 31, 2015) plus an interest rate spread ranging from (0.8)% to 0.7%. When the underlying swap is terminated prior to maturity, the fair value basis adjustment to the underlying debt instrument is amortized as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining life of the debt.

The notional amount of fixed-to-floating interest rate swap contracts executed was \$200 million in 2014 and \$2.1 billion in 2013. The notional amount of fixed-to-floating interest rate swap contracts terminated was \$147 million in 2015 and \$426 million in 2014 generating proceeds of \$28 million in 2015 and \$119 million in 2014 (including accrued interest of \$1 million in 2015 and \$10 million in 2014). Additional contracts were terminated in connection with debt redemptions in 2015 and 2014.

#### Debt Obligations

Short-term borrowings were \$139 million and \$590 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, consisting primarily of bank overdrafts.

The average amount of commercial paper outstanding was \$254 million at a weighted-average interest rate of 0.16% during 2015. The maximum month end amount of commercial paper outstanding was \$755 million with no outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2015. There were no borrowings in 2014.

Long-term debt includes:

| Dollars in Millions        | December 31, |       |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------|
|                            | 2015         | 2014  |
| Principal Value:           |              |       |
| 4.375% Euro Notes due 2016 | \$—          | \$611 |
| 0.875% Notes due 2017      | 750          | 750   |
| 1.750% Notes due 2019      | 500          | 500   |
| 4.625% Euro Notes due 2021 | —            | 611   |
| 2.000% Notes due 2022      | 750          | 750   |
| 7.150% Debentures due 2023 | 302          | 304   |
| 3.250% Notes due 2023      | 500          | 500   |
| 1.000% Euro Notes due 2025 | 630          | —     |
| 6.800% Debentures due 2026 | 256          | 330   |
| 1.750% Euro Notes due 2035 | 630          | —     |
| 5.875% Notes due 2036      | 404          | 625   |

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

|                                         |       |       |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| 6.125% Notes due 2038                   | 278   | 480   |
| 3.250% Notes due 2042                   | 500   | 500   |
| 4.500% Notes due 2044                   | 500   | 500   |
| 6.880% Debentures due 2097              | 260   | 260   |
| 0% - 5.75% Other - maturing 2017 - 2030 | 79    | 83    |
| Subtotal                                | 6,339 | 6,804 |

Adjustments to Principal Value:

|                                                              |         |         |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Fair value of interest rate swap contracts                   | 30      | 43      |
| Unamortized basis adjustment from swap terminations          | 272     | 454     |
| Unamortized bond discounts and issuance costs <sup>(a)</sup> | (91     | ) (59   |
| Total                                                        | \$6,550 | \$7,242 |

(a) Excludes unamortized bond issuance costs of \$34 million that were not reclassified at December 31, 2014.

The fair value of long-term debt was \$6,909 million and \$8,045 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and was estimated based upon the quoted market prices for the same or similar debt instruments. The fair value of short-term borrowings approximates the carrying value due to the short maturities of the debt instruments.

Senior unsecured notes were issued in a registered public offerings in 2015 and 2013. The notes rank equally in right of payment with all of BMS's existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and are redeemable in whole or in part, at any time at a predetermined redemption price. BMS also terminated forward starting interest rate swap contracts entered into during 2015, resulting in an unrealized loss in other comprehensive income. The following table summarizes the note issuances:

| Amounts in Millions                                              | 2015<br>Euro | U.S. dollars | 2013<br>U.S. dollars |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|
| <b>Principal Value:</b>                                          |              |              |                      |
| 1.750% Notes due 2019                                            | €—           | \$—          | \$500                |
| 3.250% Notes due 2023                                            | —            | —            | 500                  |
| 1.000% Euro Notes due 2025                                       | 575          | 643          | —                    |
| 1.750% Euro Notes due 2035                                       | 575          | 643          | —                    |
| 4.500% Notes due 2044                                            | —            | —            | 500                  |
| Total                                                            | €1,150       | \$1,286      | \$1,500              |
| Proceeds net of discount and deferred loan issuance costs        | €1,133       | \$1,268      | \$1,477              |
| <b>Forward starting interest rate swap contracts terminated:</b> |              |              |                      |
| Notional amount                                                  | €500         | \$559        | \$305                |
| Unrealized gain/(loss)                                           | (16          | ) (18        | ) 20                 |

The Company repurchased \$500 million of long-term debt through a cash tender offer and redeemed €1.0 billion (\$1.1 billion) of long-term debt following the issuance of new senior unsecured notes in 2015. In connection with the debt redemption activities, certain interest rate swap contracts were entered into and terminated during the second quarter of 2015. There were no debt redemptions in 2013. Debt redemption activity for 2015 and 2014 was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                        | 2015    | 2014  |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------|
| Principal amount                                           | \$1,624 | \$582 |
| Carrying value                                             | 1,795   | 633   |
| Debt redemption price                                      | 1,957   | 676   |
| Notional amount of interest rate swap contracts terminated | 735     | 500   |
| Interest rate swap termination payments                    | 11      | 4     |
| Loss on debt redemption <sup>(a)</sup>                     | 180     | 45    |

(a) Including acceleration of debt issuance costs, loss on interest rate lock contract and other related fees.

Notes with a principal amount of \$597 million matured and were repaid in 2013.

Interest payments were \$205 million in 2015, \$238 million in 2014 and \$268 million in 2013 net of amounts received from interest rate swap contracts.

Two separate \$1.5 billion five-year revolving credit facilities are maintained from a syndicate of lenders. The facilities provide for customary terms and conditions with no financial covenants and are extendable on any anniversary date with the consent of the lenders. No borrowings were outstanding under either revolving credit facility at December 31, 2015 or 2014.

Financial guarantees provided in the form of stand-by letters of credit and performance bonds were \$726 million at December 31, 2015. Stand-by letters of credit are issued through financial institutions in support of guarantees for various obligations. Performance bonds are issued to support a range of ongoing operating activities, including sale of products to hospitals and foreign ministries of health, bonds for customs, duties and value added tax and guarantees related to miscellaneous legal actions. A significant majority of the outstanding financial guarantees will expire within the year and are not expected to be funded.

## Note 11. RECEIVABLES

| Dollars in Millions                 | December 31, |         |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------|
|                                     | 2015         | 2014    |
| Trade receivables                   | \$3,070      | \$2,193 |
| Less allowances                     | (122         | ) (93   |
| Net trade receivables               | 2,948        | 2,100   |
| Alliance partners receivables       | 958          | 888     |
| Prepaid and refundable income taxes | 182          | 178     |
| Miscellaneous receivables           | 211          | 224     |
| Receivables                         | \$4,299      | \$3,390 |

Non-U.S. receivables sold on a nonrecourse basis were \$476 million in 2015, \$812 million in 2014, and \$1,031 million in 2013. In the aggregate, receivables from three pharmaceutical wholesalers in the U.S. represented 53% and 36% of total trade receivables at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Changes to the allowances for bad debt, charge-backs and cash discounts were as follows:

| Dollars in Millions          | Year Ended December 31, |        |        |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|
|                              | 2015                    | 2014   | 2013   |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$93                    | \$89   | \$104  |
| Provision                    | 1,059                   | 773    | 720    |
| Utilization                  | (1,030                  | ) (769 | ) (731 |
| Assets held-for-sale         | —                       | —      | (4     |
| Balance at end of year       | \$122                   | \$93   | \$89   |

## Note 12. INVENTORIES

| Dollars in Millions         | December 31, |         |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------|
|                             | 2015         | 2014    |
| Finished goods              | \$381        | \$500   |
| Work in process             | 646          | 856     |
| Raw and packaging materials | 194          | 204     |
| Inventories                 | \$1,221      | \$1,560 |

Inventories expected to remain on-hand beyond one year (including \$85 million for inventory pending regulatory approval) are included in other assets and were \$227 million at December 31, 2015 and \$232 million at December 31, 2014.

## Note 13. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

| Dollars in Millions                 | December 31, |          |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------|
|                                     | 2015         | 2014     |
| Land                                | \$107        | \$109    |
| Buildings                           | 4,515        | 4,830    |
| Machinery, equipment and fixtures   | 3,347        | 3,774    |
| Construction in progress            | 662          | 353      |
| Gross property, plant and equipment | 8,631        | 9,066    |
| Less accumulated depreciation       | (4,219       | ) (4,649 |
| Property, plant and equipment       | \$4,412      | \$4,417  |

The Mount Vernon, Indiana manufacturing facility was transferred to AstraZeneca in the third quarter of 2015 in connection with the sale of the diabetes business. The facility's gross property, plant and equipment was \$415 million on the date of transfer (\$182 million net of accumulated depreciation). Refer to "—Note 3. Alliances" for further discussion on the sale of the diabetes business.

A fully depreciated bulk manufacturing facility ceased use in 2015 resulting in a \$439 million reduction to gross property, plant and equipment and accumulated depreciation.

Depreciation expense was \$500 million in 2015, \$543 million in 2014 and \$453 million in 2013.

#### Note 14. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

| Dollars in Millions                        | Estimated Useful Lives | December 31, |          |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------|
|                                            |                        | 2015         | 2014     |
| Goodwill                                   |                        | \$6,881      | \$7,027  |
| Other intangible assets:                   |                        |              |          |
| Licenses                                   | 5 – 15 years           | \$574        | \$1,090  |
| Developed technology rights                | 9 – 15 years           | 2,357        | 2,358    |
| Capitalized software                       | 3 – 10 years           | 1,302        | 1,254    |
| In-process research and development (IPRD) |                        | 120          | 280      |
| Gross other intangible assets              |                        | 4,353        | 4,982    |
| Less accumulated amortization              |                        | (2,934       | ) (3,229 |
| Total other intangible assets              |                        | \$1,419      | \$1,753  |

The reduction of goodwill in 2015 resulted from the allocation of amounts for business divestitures. Refer to "—Note 3. Alliances", "—Note 4. Acquisitions and Other Divestitures" and "—Note 5. Assets Held-For-Sale" for further discussion on the divestitures. Amortization expense was \$183 million in 2015, \$286 million in 2014 and \$858 million in 2013. Future annual amortization expense of other intangible assets is expected to be approximately \$200 million in 2016, \$170 million in 2017, \$150 million in 2018, \$130 million in 2019, and \$100 million in 2020. Other intangible asset impairment charges were \$181 million in 2015, \$380 million in 2014 and none in 2013.

Licenses of \$500 million (\$126 million net of accumulated amortization) were derecognized in 2015 as a result of the transfer of the Erbitux\* North American business to Lilly in October 2015. Refer to "—Note 3. Alliances" for further discussion.

A \$160 million IPRD impairment charge was recognized in 2015 for BMS-986020 (lysophosphatidic acid 1 receptor antagonist) which was in Phase II development for treatment of IPF. The full write-off was required after considering the occurrence of certain adverse events, voluntary suspension of the study and an internal assessment indicating a significantly lower likelihood of regulatory and commercial success. BMS acquired BMS-986020 with its acquisition of Amira Pharmaceuticals, Inc. in 2011. In addition, a contingent consideration liability of \$8 million related to the acquisition was also reversed because of the lower likelihood of success.

A \$310 million IPRD impairment charge was recognized in 2014 for peginterferon lambda which was in Phase III development for treatment of hepatitis C virus (HCV). The full write-off was required after assessing the potential commercial viability of the asset and estimating its fair value. The assessment considered the lower likelihood of filing for registration in certain markets after completing revised projections of revenues and expenses. A significant decline from prior projected revenues resulted from the global introduction of oral non-interferon products being used to treat patients with HCV and no other alternative uses for the product.

#### Note 15. ACCRUED EXPENSES

| Dollars in Millions                | December 31, |       |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------|
|                                    | 2015         | 2014  |
| Employee compensation and benefits | \$904        | \$892 |

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

|                                     |         |         |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Royalties                           | 161     | 213     |
| Accrued research and development    | 553     | 445     |
| Restructuring - current             | 89      | 128     |
| Pension and postretirement benefits | 47      | 47      |
| Litigation and other settlements    | 189     | 43      |
| Other                               | 816     | 691     |
| Total accrued expenses              | \$2,759 | \$2,459 |

90

---



## Note 16. SALES REBATES AND RETURN ACCRUALS

Reductions to trade receivables and accrued rebates and returns liabilities are as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                         | December 31, |       |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|-------|
|                                             | 2015         | 2014  |
| Charge-backs related to government programs | \$75         | \$41  |
| Cash discounts                              | 22           | 15    |
| Reductions to trade receivables             | \$97         | \$56  |
| Medicaid and Medicare rebates               | \$434        | \$267 |
| Sales returns                               | 181          | 232   |
| Other rebates, discounts and adjustments    | 709          | 352   |
| Accrued rebates and returns                 | \$1,324      | \$851 |

## Note 17. DEFERRED INCOME

| Dollars in Millions   | December 31, |         |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------|
|                       | 2015         | 2014    |
| Alliances (Note 3)    | \$1,459      | \$1,493 |
| Other                 | 130          | 444     |
| Total deferred income | \$1,589      | \$1,937 |
| Current portion       | \$1,003      | \$1,167 |
| Non-current portion   | 586          | 770     |

Alliances include unamortized amounts for upfront, milestone and other licensing receipts, revenue deferrals attributed to the Gilead alliance and deferred income for the undelivered elements of the diabetes business divestiture. Upfront, milestone and other licensing receipts are amortized over the shorter of the contractual rights period or the expected life of the product. Other deferrals included approximately \$300 million invoiced for Daklinza under an early access program in France as of December 31, 2014, that was deferred until final pricing was obtained from the French government in 2015. Amortization of deferred income was \$307 million in 2015, \$362 million in 2014 and \$548 million in 2013.

## Note 18. EQUITY

| Dollars and Shares in Millions    | Common Stock |           | Capital in<br>Excess<br>of Par<br>Value<br>of Stock | Retained<br>Earnings | Treasury Stock |            | Noncontrolling<br>Interest |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------------|
|                                   | Shares       | Par Value |                                                     |                      | Shares         | Cost       |                            |
| Balance at January 1, 2013        | 2,208        | \$ 221    | \$2,694                                             | \$32,733             | 570            | \$(18,823) | \$ 15                      |
| Net earnings                      | —            | —         | —                                                   | 2,563                | —              | —          | 38                         |
| Cash dividends declared           | —            | —         | —                                                   | (2,344)              | —              | —          | —                          |
| Stock repurchase program          | —            | —         | —                                                   | —                    | 11             | (413)      | —                          |
| Employee stock compensation plans | —            | —         | (772)                                               | —                    | (22)           | 1,436      | —                          |
| Distributions                     | —            | —         | —                                                   | —                    | —              | —          | 29                         |
| Balance at December 31, 2013      | 2,208        | 221       | 1,922                                               | 32,952               | 559            | (17,800)   | 82                         |
| Net earnings                      | —            | —         | —                                                   | 2,004                | —              | —          | 39                         |
| Cash dividends declared           | —            | —         | —                                                   | (2,415)              | —              | —          | —                          |
| Employee stock compensation plans | —            | —         | (393)                                               | —                    | (11)           | 755        | —                          |
| Debt conversion                   | —            | —         | (22)                                                | —                    | (1)            | 53         | —                          |
| Variable interest entity          | —            | —         | —                                                   | —                    | —              | —          | 59                         |
| Distributions                     | —            | —         | —                                                   | —                    | —              | —          | (49)                       |
| Balance at December 31, 2014      | 2,208        | 221       | 1,507                                               | 32,541               | 547            | (16,992)   | 131                        |
| Net earnings                      | —            | —         | —                                                   | 1,565                | —              | —          | 84                         |
| Cash dividends declared           | —            | —         | —                                                   | (2,493)              | —              | —          | —                          |
| Employee stock compensation plans | —            | —         | (48)                                                | —                    | (8)            | 431        | —                          |
| Debt conversion                   | —            | —         | —                                                   | —                    | —              | 2          | —                          |
| Distributions                     | —            | —         | —                                                   | —                    | —              | —          | (57)                       |
| Balance at December 31, 2015      | 2,208        | \$ 221    | \$1,459                                             | \$31,613             | 539            | \$(16,559) | \$ 158                     |

Treasury stock is recognized at the cost to reacquire the shares. Shares issued from treasury are recognized utilizing the first-in first-out method.

The components of other comprehensive income/(loss) were as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                        | Pretax  | Tax     | After Tax |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| 2013                                                       |         |         |           |
| Derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges: <sup>(a)</sup> |         |         |           |
| Unrealized gains                                           | \$58    | \$(17)  | ) \$41    |
| Reclassified to net earnings                               | (56)    | ) 22    | (34)      |
| Derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges                 | 2       | 5       | 7         |
| Pension and other postretirement benefits:                 |         |         |           |
| Actuarial gains                                            | 1,475   | (504)   | ) 971     |
| Amortization <sup>(b)</sup>                                | 129     | (43)    | ) 86      |
| Settlements <sup>(c)</sup>                                 | 165     | (56)    | ) 109     |
| Pension and other postretirement benefits                  | 1,769   | (603)   | ) 1,166   |
| Available-for-sale securities:                             |         |         |           |
| Unrealized losses                                          | (35)    | ) 3     | (32)      |
| Realized gains <sup>(c)</sup>                              | (8)     | ) 3     | (5)       |
| Available-for-sale securities                              | (43)    | ) 6     | (37)      |
| Foreign currency translation                               | (75)    | ) —     | (75)      |
|                                                            | \$1,653 | \$(592) | ) \$1,061 |
| 2014                                                       |         |         |           |
| Derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges: <sup>(a)</sup> |         |         |           |
| Unrealized gains                                           | \$139   | \$(45)  | ) \$94    |
| Reclassified to net earnings                               | (41)    | ) 16    | (25)      |
| Derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges                 | 98      | (29)    | ) 69      |
| Pension and other postretirement benefits:                 |         |         |           |
| Actuarial losses                                           | (1,414) | ) 464   | (950)     |
| Amortization <sup>(b)</sup>                                | 104     | (37)    | ) 67      |
| Settlements and curtailments <sup>(c)</sup>                | 867     | (308)   | ) 559     |
| Pension and other postretirement benefits                  | (443)   | ) 119   | (324)     |
| Available-for-sale securities:                             |         |         |           |
| Unrealized gains                                           | 10      | (6)     | ) 4       |
| Realized gains <sup>(c)</sup>                              | (1)     | ) —     | (1)       |
| Available-for-sale securities                              | 9       | (6)     | ) 3       |
| Foreign currency translation                               | (8)     | ) (24)  | (32)      |
|                                                            | \$(344) | ) \$60  | \$(284)   |
| 2015                                                       |         |         |           |
| Derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges: <sup>(a)</sup> |         |         |           |
| Unrealized gains                                           | \$59    | \$(22)  | ) \$37    |
| Reclassified to net earnings                               | (130)   | ) 42    | (88)      |
| Derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges                 | (71)    | ) 20    | (51)      |
| Pension and other postretirement benefits:                 |         |         |           |
| Actuarial losses                                           | (88)    | ) 27    | (61)      |
| Amortization <sup>(b)</sup>                                | 85      | (28)    | ) 57      |
| Settlements and curtailments <sup>(c)</sup>                | 160     | (55)    | ) 105     |
| Pension and other postretirement benefits                  | 157     | (56)    | ) 101     |
| Available-for-sale securities:                             |         |         |           |
| Unrealized losses                                          | (71)    | ) 14    | (57)      |
| Realized losses                                            | 3       | —       | 3         |
| Available-for-sale securities                              | (68)    | ) 14    | (54)      |
| Foreign currency translation                               | (17)    | ) (22)  | (39)      |

\$1                      \$(44                      ) \$(43                      )

- (a) Included in cost of products sold.
- (b) Included in cost of products sold, research and development, and marketing, selling and administrative expenses.
- (c) Included in other (income)/expense.

The accumulated balances related to each component of other comprehensive loss, net of taxes, were as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                        | December 31, |            |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
|                                            | 2015         | 2014       |
| Derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges | \$34         | \$85       |
| Pension and other postretirement benefits  | (2,080       | ) (2,181   |
| Available-for-sale securities              | (23          | ) 31       |
| Foreign currency translation               | (399         | ) (360     |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss       | \$(2,468     | ) \$(2,425 |

#### Note 19. PENSION, POSTRETIREMENT AND POSTEMPLOYMENT LIABILITIES

BMS sponsors defined benefit pension plans, defined contribution plans and termination indemnity plans for regular full-time employees. The principal defined benefit pension plan is the Bristol-Myers Squibb Retirement Income Plan, covering most U.S. employees and representing approximately 65% of the consolidated pension plan assets and 61% of the obligations. Future benefits related to service for this plan were eliminated in 2009. BMS contributes at least the minimum amount required by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). Plan benefits are based primarily on the participant's years of credited service and final average compensation. Plan assets consist principally of equity and fixed-income securities.

Comprehensive medical and group life benefits are provided for substantially all U.S. retirees electing to participate in comprehensive medical and group life plans. The medical plan is contributory. Contributions are adjusted periodically and vary by date of retirement. The life insurance plan is noncontributory. Plan assets consist principally of equity and fixed-income securities.

The net periodic benefit cost/(credit) of defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans includes:

| Dollars in Millions                            | Pension Benefits |        |        | Other Benefits |         |        |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|--------|----------------|---------|--------|
|                                                | 2015             | 2014   | 2013   | 2015           | 2014    | 2013   |
| Service cost — benefits earned during the year | \$25             | \$34   | \$38   | \$4            | \$4     | \$8    |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation  | 242              | 305    | 302    | 13             | 14      | 13     |
| Expected return on plan assets                 | (405             | ) (508 | ) (519 | ) (27          | ) (27   | ) (26  |
| Amortization of prior service credits          | (3               | ) (3   | ) (4   | ) (6           | ) (1    | ) (2   |
| Amortization of net actuarial (gain)/loss      | 91               | 110    | 134    | 3              | (2      | ) 1    |
| Curtailments                                   | (1               | ) 1    | —      | —              | (4      | ) —    |
| Settlements                                    | 161              | 866    | 165    | —              | —       | —      |
| Special termination benefits                   | —                | 14     | —      | —              | —       | —      |
| Net periodic benefit cost/(credit)             | \$110            | \$819  | \$116  | \$(13          | ) \$(16 | ) \$(6 |

In September 2014, BMS and Fiduciary Counselors Inc., as an independent fiduciary of the Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Retirement Income Plan, entered into a definitive agreement to transfer certain U.S. pension assets to The Prudential Insurance Company of America (Prudential) to settle approximately \$1.5 billion of pension obligations. BMS purchased a group annuity contract from Prudential in December 2014, who irrevocably assumed the obligation to make future annuity payments to certain BMS retirees. The transaction does not change the amount of the monthly pension benefit received by affected retirees and surviving beneficiaries and resulted in a pretax settlement charge of \$713 million. Pension settlement charges were also recognized after determining the annual lump sum payments will exceed the annual interest and service costs for certain pension plans, including the primary U.S. pension plan in 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

Changes in defined benefit and postretirement benefit plan obligations, assets, funded status and amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets were as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                 | Pension Benefits |            | Other Benefits |           |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|----------------|-----------|
|                                                     | 2015             | 2014       | 2015           | 2014      |
| Benefit obligations at beginning of year            | \$7,068          | \$7,233    | \$402          | \$404     |
| Service cost—benefits earned during the year        | 25               | 34         | 4              | 4         |
| Interest cost                                       | 242              | 305        | 13             | 14        |
| Plan participants' contributions                    | 2                | 2          | 24             | 22        |
| Curtailments                                        | —                | (27)       | ) —            | (3)       |
| Settlements                                         | (336)            | ) (1,774)  | ) —            | —         |
| Plan amendments                                     | (3)              | ) (2)      | ) —            | (7)       |
| Actuarial (gains)/losses                            | (321)            | ) 1,673    | (26)           | ) 28      |
| Retiree Drug Subsidy                                | —                | —          | 5              | 6         |
| Benefits paid                                       | (105)            | ) (216)    | ) (62)         | ) (62)    |
| Exchange rate gains                                 | (154)            | ) (160)    | ) (5)          | ) (4)     |
| Benefit obligations at end of year                  | \$6,418          | \$7,068    | \$355          | \$402     |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year      | \$6,148          | \$7,406    | \$357          | \$347     |
| Actual return on plan assets                        | (5)              | ) 750      | (4)            | ) 36      |
| Employer contributions                              | 118              | 124        | 8              | 8         |
| Plan participants' contributions                    | 2                | 2          | 24             | 22        |
| Settlements                                         | (336)            | ) (1,774)  | ) —            | —         |
| Retiree Drug Subsidy                                | —                | —          | 5              | 6         |
| Benefits paid                                       | (105)            | ) (216)    | ) (62)         | ) (62)    |
| Exchange rate losses                                | (135)            | ) (144)    | ) —            | —         |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year            | \$5,687          | \$6,148    | \$328          | \$357     |
| Funded status                                       | \$ (731)         | ) \$ (920) | ) \$ (27)      | ) \$ (45) |
| Assets/(Liabilities) recognized:                    |                  |            |                |           |
| Other assets                                        | \$71             | \$40       | \$96           | \$91      |
| Accrued expenses                                    | (37)             | ) (36)     | ) (10)         | ) (11)    |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities        | (765)            | ) (924)    | ) (113)        | ) (125)   |
| Funded status                                       | \$ (731)         | ) \$ (920) | ) \$ (27)      | ) \$ (45) |
| Recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss: |                  |            |                |           |
| Net actuarial (gains)/losses                        | \$3,140          | \$3,304    | \$ (22)        | ) \$ (24) |
| Prior service credit                                | (39)             | ) (40)     | ) (4)          | ) (9)     |
| Total                                               | \$3,101          | \$3,264    | \$ (26)        | ) \$ (33) |

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$6,363 million and \$7,001 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Additional information related to pension plans was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                                                          | 2015    | 2014    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Pension plans with projected benefit obligations in excess of plan assets:   |         |         |
| Projected benefit obligation                                                 | \$5,310 | \$5,877 |
| Fair value of plan assets                                                    | 4,508   | 4,917   |
| Pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets: |         |         |

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

|                                |         |         |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Accumulated benefit obligation | \$5,156 | \$5,731 |
| Fair value of plan assets      | 4,386   | 4,823   |

95

---

## Actuarial Assumptions

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31 were as follows:

|                               | Pension Benefits |       | Other Benefits |       |   |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------|----------------|-------|---|
|                               | 2015             | 2014  | 2015           | 2014  |   |
| Discount rate                 | 3.8              | % 3.6 | % 3.6          | % 3.4 | % |
| Rate of compensation increase | 0.5              | % 0.8 | % 2.0          | % 2.0 | % |

Weighted-average actuarial assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit (credit)/cost for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

|                                          | Pension Benefits |       |       | Other Benefits |       |       |   |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|---|
|                                          | 2015             | 2014  | 2013  | 2015           | 2014  | 2013  |   |
| Discount rate                            | 3.6              | % 4.2 | % 4.1 | % 3.4          | % 3.7 | % 3.0 | % |
| Expected long-term return on plan assets | 7.2              | % 7.6 | % 8.0 | % 7.8          | % 8.3 | % 8.8 | % |
| Rate of compensation increase            | 0.8              | % 2.3 | % 2.3 | % 2.0          | % 2.1 | % 2.1 | % |

The yield on high quality corporate bonds matching the duration of the benefit obligations is used in determining the discount rate. The Citigroup Pension Discount curve is used in developing the discount rate for the U.S. plans.

The expected return on plan assets was determined using the expected rate of return and a calculated value of assets, referred to as the “market-related value”. The market-related value of plan assets exceeded the fair value by approximately \$225 million at December 31, 2015. Differences between assumed and actual returns are amortized to the market-related value on a straight-line basis over a three-year period. Several factors are considered in developing the expected return on plan assets, including long-term historical returns and input from external advisors. Individual asset class return forecasts were developed based upon market conditions, for example, price-earnings levels and yields and long-term growth expectations. The expected long-term rate of return is the weighted-average of the target asset allocation of each individual asset class. Historical long-term actual annualized returns for U.S. pension plans were as follows:

|          | 2015 | 2014  | 2013  |   |
|----------|------|-------|-------|---|
| 10 years | 6.7  | % 7.9 | % 8.0 | % |
| 15 years | 6.0  | % 6.4 | % 6.8 | % |
| 20 years | 8.1  | % 9.3 | % 8.8 | % |

Actuarial gains and losses resulted from changes in actuarial assumptions (such as changes in the discount rate and revised mortality rates) and from differences between assumed and actual experience (such as differences between actual and expected return on plan assets). Gains and losses are amortized over the life expectancy of the plan participants for U.S. plans (35 years in 2016) and expected remaining service periods for most other plans to the extent they exceed 10% of the higher of the market-related value or the projected benefit obligation for each respective plan. The amortization of net actuarial loss and prior service credit is expected to be approximately \$70 million in 2016. The periodic benefit cost or credit is included in cost of products sold, research and development, and marketing, selling and administrative expenses, except for curtailments, settlements and other special termination benefits which are included other expenses.

Assumed healthcare cost trend rates at December 31 were as follows:

|                                                                                   | 2015 | 2014  | 2013  |   |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------|-------|---|
| Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for next year                                  | 5.5  | % 6.0 | % 6.4 | % |
| Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (the ultimate trend rate) | 4.5  | % 4.5 | % 4.5 | % |
| Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate                                | 2018 | 2018  | 2019  |   |



A one-percentage-point change in assumed healthcare cost trend rates would not have a material impact on the cost or benefit obligation.

## Plan Assets

The fair value of pension and postretirement plan assets by asset category at December 31, 2015 and 2014 was as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                      | December 31, 2015 |         |         |         | December 31, 2014 |         |         |         |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                                          | Level 1           | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total   | Level 1           | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total   |
| Equity Securities                        | \$785             | \$—     | \$—     | \$785   | \$1,115           | \$—     | \$—     | \$1,115 |
| Equity Funds                             | 521               | 1,174   | —       | 1,695   | 446               | 1,113   | —       | 1,559   |
| Fixed Income Funds                       | 249               | 724     | —       | 973     | 340               | 777     | —       | 1,117   |
| Corporate Debt Securities                | —                 | 1,382   | —       | 1,382   | —                 | 1,481   | —       | 1,481   |
| Venture Capital and Limited Partnerships | —                 | —       | 249     | 249     | —                 | —       | 327     | 327     |
| U.S. Treasury and Agency Securities      | —                 | 517     | —       | 517     | —                 | 557     | —       | 557     |
| Short-Term Investment Funds              | —                 | 103     | —       | 103     | —                 | 63      | —       | 63      |
| Insurance Contracts                      | —                 | —       | 115     | 115     | —                 | —       | 119     | 119     |
| Event Driven Hedge Funds                 | —                 | 72      | —       | 72      | —                 | 71      | —       | 71      |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents                | 106               | —       | —       | 106     | 76                | —       | —       | 76      |
| Other                                    | 4                 | 14      | —       | 18      | 4                 | 16      | —       | 20      |
| Total plan assets at fair value          | \$1,665           | \$3,986 | \$364   | \$6,015 | \$1,981           | \$4,078 | \$446   | \$6,505 |

The investment valuation policies per investment class are as follows:

Level 1 inputs utilize unadjusted quoted prices in active markets accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy provides the highest priority to Level 1 inputs. These instruments include equity securities, equity funds and fixed income funds publicly traded on a national securities exchange, and cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase and are recognized at cost, which approximates fair value. Pending trade sales and purchases are included in cash and cash equivalents until final settlement.

Level 2 inputs utilize observable prices for similar instruments, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in non-active markets, and other observable inputs that can be corroborated by market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Equity funds, fixed income funds, event driven hedge funds and short-term investment funds classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy are valued at the net asset value of their shares held at year end. There were no significant unfunded commitments or restrictions on redemptions related to investments valued at NAV as of December 31, 2015. Corporate debt securities and U.S. treasury and agency securities classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy are valued utilizing observable prices for similar instruments and quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active.

Level 3 unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available. Venture capital and limited partnerships classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy invest in underlying securities whose market values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques. Some of the most significant unobservable inputs used in the valuation methodologies include discount rates, Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) multiples, and revenue multiples. Significant changes in any of these inputs could result in significantly lower or higher fair value measurements. Insurance contracts are held by certain foreign pension plans and are carried at contract value, which approximates the estimated fair value and is based on the fair value of the underlying investment of the insurance company.

The following summarizes the activity for financial assets utilizing Level 3 fair value measurements:

| Dollars in Millions                   | Venture Capital and Limited Partnerships | Insurance Contracts | Total |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------|
| Fair value at January 1, 2014         | \$369                                    | \$142               | \$511 |
| Purchases, sales and settlements, net | (88)                                     | (15)                | (103) |
| Realized gains/(losses)               | 61                                       | (15)                | 46    |

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

|                                       |        |        |        |   |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---|
| Unrealized gains/(losses)             | (15    | ) 7    | (8     | ) |
| Fair value at December 31, 2014       | 327    | 119    | 446    |   |
| Purchases, sales and settlements, net | (92    | ) 7    | (85    | ) |
| Realized gains/(losses)               | 41     | (11    | ) 30   |   |
| Unrealized losses                     | (27    | ) —    | (27    | ) |
| Fair value at December 31, 2015       | \$ 249 | \$ 115 | \$ 364 |   |

The investment strategy emphasizes equities in order to achieve higher expected returns and lower expenses and required cash contributions over the long-term. A target asset allocation of 43% public equity (16% international, 14% global and 13% U.S.), 7% private equity and 50% long-duration fixed income is maintained for the U.S. pension plans. Investments are diversified within each of the three major asset categories. Approximately 88% of the U.S. pension plans equity investments are actively managed. Venture capital and limited partnerships are typically valued on a three month lag using latest available information. BMS common stock represents less than 1% of the plan assets at December 31, 2015 and 2014.

#### Contributions and Estimated Future Benefit Payments

Contributions to pension plans were \$118 million in 2015, \$124 million in 2014 and \$251 million in 2013 and are expected to be approximately \$100 million in 2016. Estimated annual future benefit payments (including lump sum payments) range from \$300 million to \$400 million in each of the next five years, and aggregate \$1.7 billion in the subsequent five year period.

#### Savings Plans

The principal defined contribution plan is the Bristol-Myers Squibb Savings and Investment Program. The contribution is based on employee contributions and the level of Company match. The expense attributed to defined contribution plans in the U.S. was approximately \$190 million in 2015, 2014 and 2013.

#### Note 20. EMPLOYEE STOCK BENEFIT PLANS

On May 1, 2012, the shareholders approved the 2012 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (the 2012 Plan), which replaced the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan. The 2012 Plan provides for 109 million shares to be authorized for grants, plus any shares from outstanding awards under the 2007 Plan as of February 29, 2012 that expire, are forfeited, canceled, or withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations. As of December 31, 2015, 108 million shares were available for award. Shares are issued from treasury stock to satisfy our obligations under this Plan.

Executive officers and key employees may be granted options to purchase common stock at no less than the market price on the date the option is granted. Options generally become exercisable ratably over four years and have a maximum term of ten years. The plan provides for the granting of stock appreciation rights whereby the grantee may surrender exercisable rights and receive common stock and/or cash measured by the excess of the market price of the common stock over the option exercise price. The Company has not granted any stock options or stock appreciation rights since 2009.

Restricted stock units may be granted to key employees, subject to restrictions as to continuous employment. Generally, vesting occurs ratably over a four year period from grant date. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period. A stock unit is a right to receive stock at the end of the specified vesting period but has no voting rights.

Market share units are granted to executives. Vesting is conditioned upon continuous employment until the vesting date and payout factor is at least 60% of the share price on the award date. The payout factor is the share price on vesting date divided by share price on award date, with a maximum of 200%. The share price used in the payout factor is calculated using an average of the closing prices on the grant or vest date, and the nine trading days immediately preceding the grant or vest date. Vesting occurs ratably over four years.

Performance share units are granted to executives and have a three year cycle and are granted as a target number of units subject to adjustment based on company performance. The number of shares issued when performance share units vest is determined based on the achievement of annual performance goals. The number of shares issued for 2014-2016 and 2015-2017 performance share unit awards are also adjusted based on the Company's three-year total

shareholder return relative to a peer group of companies. Vesting occurs on the third anniversary of the grant date.

Stock-based compensation expense for awards ultimately expected to vest is recognized over the vesting period. Forfeitures are estimated based on historical experience at the time of grant and revised in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Other information related to stock-based compensation benefits are as follows:

| Dollars in Millions                    | Years Ended December 31, |       |       |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
|                                        | 2015                     | 2014  | 2013  |
| Stock options                          | \$—                      | \$—   | \$2   |
| Restricted stock units                 | 82                       | 75    | 74    |
| Market share units                     | 36                       | 34    | 29    |
| Performance share units                | 117                      | 104   | 86    |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | \$235                    | \$213 | \$191 |
| Income tax benefit                     | \$77                     | \$71  | \$64  |

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

| Shares in Thousands           | Stock Options                 |                                           | Restricted Stock Units     |                                        | Market Share Units         |                                        | Performance Share Units    |                                        |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                               | Number of Options Outstanding | Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Shares | Number of Nonvested Awards | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value | Number of Nonvested Awards | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value | Number of Nonvested Awards | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
| Balance at January 1, 2015    | 15,577                        | \$ 22.29                                  | 5,247                      | \$ 43.61                               | 1,961                      | \$ 42.47                               | 3,419                      | \$ 47.12                               |
| Granted                       | —                             | —                                         | 1,770                      | 61.18                                  | 703                        | 67.03                                  | 1,574                      | 65.07                                  |
| Released/Exercised            | (5,084 )                      | 23.56                                     | (2,132 )                   | 44.06                                  | (1,323 )                   | 35.32                                  | (1,771 )                   | 42.15                                  |
| Adjustments for actual payout | —                             | —                                         | —                          | —                                      | 614                        | 32.69                                  | 1,307                      | 51.29                                  |
| Forfeited/Canceled            | (166 )                        | 25.16                                     | (386 )                     | 46.98                                  | (146 )                     | 52.66                                  | (451 )                     | 59.51                                  |
| Balance at December 31, 2015  | 10,327                        | 21.62                                     | 4,499                      | 50.02                                  | 1,809                      | 53.10                                  | 4,078                      | 56.17                                  |
| Vested or expected to vest    | 10,327                        | 21.62                                     | 4,061                      | 49.52                                  | 1,674                      | 52.58                                  | 4,627                      | 57.49                                  |

| Dollars in Millions                                                             | Restricted Stock Units | Market Share Units | Performance Share Units |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Unrecognized compensation cost                                                  | \$ 159                 | \$ 40              | \$ 106                  |
| Expected weighted-average period in years of compensation cost to be recognized | 2.7                    | 2.8                | 1.7                     |

| Amounts in Millions, except per share data          | 2015    | 2014    | 2013    |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Weighted-average grant date fair value (per share): |         |         |         |
| Restricted stock units                              | \$61.18 | \$52.22 | \$38.73 |
| Market share units                                  | 67.03   | 55.44   | 37.40   |
| Performance share units                             | 65.07   | 55.17   | 37.40   |

| Fair value of options or awards that vested during the year: | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|
| Stock options                                                | \$—  | \$—  | \$11 |
| Restricted stock units                                       | 77   | 68   | 74   |
| Market share units                                           | 47   | 49   | 30   |
| Performance share units                                      | 75   | 90   | 90   |

Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the year \$206 \$199 \$323

The fair value of awards approximates the closing trading price of BMS's common stock on the grant date. The fair value of market share units also considers the payout formula and probability of satisfying market conditions.

The following table summarizes significant ranges of outstanding and exercisable options at December 31, 2015:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding and Exercisable               |                                                        |                                           |                                         |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                          | Number Outstanding and Exercisable (in thousands) | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions) |
| \$1 - \$20               | 4,096                                             | 3.14                                                   | \$17.53                                   | \$210                                   |
| \$20 - \$30              | 6,231                                             | 1.49                                                   | 24.30                                     | 277                                     |
|                          | 10,327                                            | 2.14                                                   | \$21.61                                   | \$487                                   |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total pretax intrinsic value, based on the closing stock price of \$68.79 on December 31, 2015.

Note 21. LEASES

Annual minimum rental commitments for non-cancelable operating leases (primarily real estate and motor vehicles) are approximately \$100 million in each of the next five years and an aggregate \$300 million thereafter. Operating lease expenses were approximately \$140 million in 2015, 2014 and 2013. Sublease income was not material for all periods presented.

**Note 22. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND CONTINGENCIES**

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries are involved in various lawsuits, claims, government investigations and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. These claims or proceedings can involve various types of parties, including governments, competitors, customers, suppliers, service providers, licensees, employees, or shareholders, among others. The resolution of these matters often develop over a long period of time and expectations can change as a result of new findings, rulings, appeals or settlement arrangements. The Company recognizes accruals for such contingencies when it is probable that a liability will be incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. These matters involve patent infringement, antitrust, securities, pricing, sales and marketing practices, environmental, commercial, contractual rights, licensing obligations, health and safety matters, consumer fraud, employment matters, product liability and insurance coverage. Legal proceedings that are material or that the Company believes could become material are described below.

Although the Company believes it has substantial defenses in these matters, there can be no assurance that there will not be an increase in the scope of pending matters or that any future lawsuits, claims, government investigations or other legal proceedings will not be material. Unless otherwise noted, the Company is unable to assess the outcome of the respective litigation nor is it able to provide an estimated range of potential loss. Furthermore, failure to enforce our patent rights would likely result in substantial decreases in the respective product revenues from generic competition.

**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY****Baraclude — South Korea**

In 2013, DaeWoong Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Hanmi Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd. Dong-A Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. and other generic companies initiated separate invalidity actions in the Korean Intellectual Property Office against Korean Patent No. 160,523 (the '523 patent) covering the entecavir molecule. In January 2015, the Korean Intellectual Property Tribunal ruled that the '523 patent is valid and the decision was affirmed on appeal in September 2015 by the Patent Court. The '523 patent expired on October 9, 2015. Following the expiration of the '523 patent, generic companies have entered the South Korean market and we expect continuing declines in net product sales of Baraclude in 2016.

**Plavix\* — Australia**

As previously disclosed, Sanofi was notified that, in August 2007, GenRx Proprietary Limited (GenRx) obtained regulatory approval of an application for clopidogrel bisulfate 75mg tablets in Australia. GenRx, formerly a subsidiary of Apotex Inc. (Apotex), has since changed its name to Apotex. In August 2007, Apotex filed an application in the Federal Court of Australia (the Federal Court) seeking revocation of Sanofi's Australian Patent No. 597784 (Case No. NSD 1639 of 2007). Sanofi filed counterclaims of infringement and sought an injunction. On September 21, 2007, the Federal Court granted Sanofi's injunction. A subsidiary of the Company was subsequently added as a party to the proceedings. In February 2008, a second company, Spirit Pharmaceuticals Pty. Ltd., also filed a revocation suit against the same patent. This case was consolidated with the Apotex case and a trial occurred in April 2008. On August 12, 2008, the Federal Court of Australia held that claims of Patent No. 597784 covering clopidogrel bisulfate, hydrochloride, hydrobromide, and taurocholate salts were valid. The Federal Court also held that the process claims, pharmaceutical composition claims, and claim directed to clopidogrel and its pharmaceutically acceptable salts were invalid. The Company and Sanofi filed notices of appeal in the Full Court of the Federal Court of Australia (Full Court) appealing the holding of invalidity of the claim covering clopidogrel and its pharmaceutically acceptable salts, process claims, and pharmaceutical composition claims which have stayed the Federal Court's ruling. Apotex filed a notice of appeal appealing the holding of validity of the clopidogrel bisulfate, hydrochloride, hydrobromide, and taurocholate claims. A hearing on the appeals occurred in February 2009. On September 29, 2009, the Full Court held all of the claims of Patent No. 597784 invalid. In November 2009, the Company and Sanofi applied to the High Court of Australia (High Court) for special leave to appeal the judgment of the Full Court. In March 2010, the High Court denied the Company and Sanofi's request to hear the appeal of the Full Court decision. The case has been remanded to the Federal Court for further proceedings related to damages sought by Apotex. The Australian government has intervened in this matter and is also seeking damages for alleged losses experienced during the period when the injunction was in place. The Company and Apotex have settled the Apotex case and the case has been dismissed. The



Australian government's claim is still pending. It is not possible at this time to predict the outcome of the Australian government's claim or its impact on the Company.

Eliquis - Inter-Partes Review (IPR)

In August 2015, Bristol-Myers Squibb received a Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,967,208 (“the ‘208 patent”) that was filed at the United States Patent & Trademark Office by the Coalition for Affordable Drugs, which is affiliated with entities and individuals associated with a hedge fund. The ‘208 patent is a composition of matter patent that contains claims directed to apixaban, the active ingredient in Eliquis. The petition requests that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) initiate a proceeding to review the validity of the ‘208 patent, including claims that cover apixaban. The Company responded to and opposed this petition in November 2015. The PTAB is expected to render a decision as to whether it will initiate this proceeding in mid-February 2016. If the PTAB decides to initiate the proceeding, a decision on the merits would be expected by the first half of 2017. The Company intends to vigorously defend the ‘208 patent against this challenge. The ‘208 patent expires in February 2023; the Company has filed a request for patent term restoration with the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office requesting that the patent expiration date be restored to December 2026.

#### Sprycel - European Union

In May 2013, Apotex, Actavis Group PTC ehf, Generics [UK] Limited (Mylan) and an unnamed company filed oppositions in the European Patent Office (EPO) seeking revocation of European Patent No. 1169038 (the '038 patent) covering dasatinib, the active ingredient in Sprycel. The '038 patent is scheduled to expire in April 2020 (excluding potential term extensions). On January 20, 2016, the Opposition Division of the EPO revoked the '038 patent. The Company will appeal the EPO's decision to the EPO Board of Appeal. The '038 patent will remain in force pending the outcome of our appeal of the EPO's decision, and we intend to pursue legal options to defend our intellectual property rights from any future infringement. Orphan drug exclusivity and data exclusivity for Sprycel in the EU expire in November 2016. The decision does not affect the validity of our other Sprycel patents within and outside Europe, including a different patent that covers the monohydrate form of dasatinib. In the U.S., the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Apotex in 2013 regarding a patent infringement suit whereby Apotex can launch its generic dasatinib monohydrate abbreviated New Drug Application product in September 2024, or earlier in certain circumstances.

#### Anti-PD-1 Antibody Patent Oppositions and Litigation

We have brought claims of infringement in a number of ongoing patent litigations against Merck & Co., Inc. (Merck) around the world with respect to patents directed to methods of treating cancer using a PD-1 antibody. Under our alliance with Ono, BMS has exclusive rights to these patents, including a European patent (EP 1 537 878) (the '878 patent). In 2011, Merck filed an opposition in the European Patent Office (EPO) seeking revocation of the '878 patent. In June 2014, the Opposition Division of the EPO maintained the validity of the claims in the '878 patent. Merck has appealed this decision.

In May 2014, Merck filed a lawsuit in the United Kingdom (UK) seeking revocation of the UK national version of the '878 patent. In July 2014, BMS and Ono sued Merck for patent infringement. A trial was held in the UK in July 2015. In October 2015, the court issued its judgment, finding the '878 patent valid and infringed. Merck has appealed this judgment.

In February 2015, Merck filed a lawsuit in the Netherlands seeking revocation of the Dutch national version of the '878 patent and BMS and Ono subsequently sued Merck for patent infringement. A trial regarding the validity and infringement of the '878 patent was held on January 29, 2016; the decision by the Dutch court is pending.

In December 2015, BMS and Ono filed lawsuits with respect to national versions of the '878 patent in several other European countries, including France, Germany, Ireland, Spain and Switzerland. BMS and Ono can file patent infringement actions against Merck in other national courts in Europe at or around the time Merck launches Keytruda\*. If any of the above-mentioned national courts determine Merck infringes a valid claim in the '878 patent, BMS and Ono may be entitled to monetary damages, including royalties on future sales of Keytruda\*. BMS and Ono are not seeking an injunction to prevent Merck from marketing Keytruda\* in these litigations unless an appropriate financial remedy cannot be agreed upon or awarded by the court.

In September 2014, BMS and Ono filed a lawsuit in the United States alleging that Merck's marketing of Keytruda\* infringes U.S. Patent No. 8,728,474 (the '474 patent). The trial in this matter is currently scheduled to begin in April 2017. In June and July 2015, BMS and Ono filed lawsuits in the United States alleging that Merck's marketing of Keytruda\* infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 9,067,999 (the '999 patent) and 9,073,994 (the '994 patent), respectively, which are patents related to the '474 patent. In these lawsuits, BMS and Ono are not seeking to prevent or stop the marketing of Keytruda\* in the United States unless an appropriate financial remedy cannot be agreed upon or awarded by the court.

In April 2014, Merck, and three other companies, opposed a European patent (EP 2 161 336) (the '336 patent) which is directed to a class of anti-PD-1 antibodies. In February 2015, BMS and Ono submitted a request to amend the claims of the '336 patent. Oral proceedings before the Opposition Division of the EPO are scheduled for July 2016.

In September 2014, Merck filed a lawsuit in Australia seeking the revocation of Australian Patent No. 2011203119, which is directed to a class of anti-PD-1 antibodies and is based on the same application as the '336 patent. In March 2015, BMS and Ono countersued Merck for patent infringement. Ono and BMS have similar and other patents and applications pending in the United States and other countries.

In September 2015, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (Dana-Farber) filed a complaint in Massachusetts federal court seeking to correct the inventorship of five related U.S. patents. Specifically, Dana-Farber is seeking to add two scientists as inventors to these patents. Three of these patents (the '474, '999, and '994 patents) are currently subject to patent infringement proceedings filed by BMS and Ono against Merck in Delaware federal court, as specified above.

**PRICING, SALES AND PROMOTIONAL PRACTICES LITIGATION**

**AWP Litigation**

As previously disclosed, the Company, together with a number of other pharmaceutical manufacturers, has been a defendant in a number of private class actions as well as suits brought by the attorneys general of various states. In these actions, plaintiffs allege that defendants caused the Average Wholesale Prices (AWPs) of their products to be inflated, thereby injuring government programs, entities and persons who reimbursed prescription drugs based on AWPs. The Company remains a defendant in two state attorneys general suits pending in state courts in Pennsylvania and Wisconsin. The Company has been designated as one of four defendants for separate trials in Wisconsin

in 2016. A settlement has been reached between the Company and the other defendants on one hand, and the State of Wisconsin on the other.

Beginning in August 2010, the Company was the defendant in a trial in the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania (Commonwealth Court), brought by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. In September 2010, the jury issued a verdict for the Company, finding that the Company was not liable for fraudulent or negligent misrepresentation; however, the Commonwealth Court judge issued a decision on a Pennsylvania consumer protection claim that did not go to the jury, finding the Company liable for \$28 million and enjoining the Company from contributing to the provision of inflated AWP's. The Company appealed the decision to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court and in June 2014, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court vacated the Commonwealth judge's decision and remanded the matter back to the Commonwealth Court. In January 2015, the Commonwealth Court entered judgment in favor of the Company. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania appealed this decision to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, which affirmed the lower court's decision in favor of the Company in December 2015.

#### Qui Tam Litigation

In March 2011, the Company was served with an unsealed qui tam complaint filed by three former sales representatives in California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles. The California Department of Insurance has elected to intervene in the lawsuit. The complaint alleges the Company paid kickbacks to California providers and pharmacies in violation of California Insurance Frauds Prevention Act, Cal. Ins. Code § 1871.7. In December 2015, the Company and the California Department of Insurance reached an agreement on the financial terms of a settlement in principle. The parties are continuing negotiations of the terms of a final settlement.

#### Plavix\* State Attorneys General Lawsuits

The Company and certain affiliates of Sanofi are defendants in consumer protection and/or false advertising actions brought by several states relating to the sales and promotion of Plavix\*. It is not possible at this time to reasonably assess the outcome of these lawsuits or their potential impact on the Company.

#### PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION

The Company is a party to various product liability lawsuits. Plaintiffs in these cases seek damages and other relief on various grounds for alleged personal injury and economic loss. As previously disclosed, in addition to lawsuits, the Company also faces unfiled claims involving its products.

#### Plavix\*

As previously disclosed, the Company and certain affiliates of Sanofi are defendants in a number of individual lawsuits in various state and federal courts claiming personal injury damage allegedly sustained after using Plavix\*. Currently, over 5,200 claims involving injury plaintiffs as well as claims by spouses and/or other beneficiaries, are filed in state and federal courts in various states including California, New Jersey, Delaware and New York. In February 2013, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation granted the Company and Sanofi's motion to establish a multidistrict litigation to coordinate Federal pretrial proceedings in Plavix\* product liability and related cases in New Jersey Federal Court. It is not possible at this time to reasonably assess the outcome of these lawsuits or the potential impact on the Company.

#### Reglan\*

The Company is one of a number of defendants in numerous lawsuits, on behalf of approximately 3,000 plaintiffs, including injury plaintiffs claiming personal injury allegedly sustained after using Reglan\* or another brand of the generic drug metoclopramide, a product indicated for gastroesophageal reflux and certain other gastrointestinal disorders, as well as claims by spouses and/or other beneficiaries. The Company, through its generic subsidiary, Apothecon, Inc., distributed metoclopramide tablets manufactured by another party between 1996 and 2000. It is not possible at this time to reasonably assess the outcome of these lawsuits. The resolution of these pending lawsuits, however, is not expected to have a material impact on the Company.

#### Byetta\*

Amylin, a former subsidiary of the Company, and Lilly are co-defendants in product liability litigation related to Byetta\*. To date, there are over 500 separate lawsuits pending on behalf of over 2,400 active plaintiffs (including pending settlements), which include injury plaintiffs as well as claims by spouses and/or other beneficiaries, in various courts in the U.S. The Company has agreed in principle to resolve over 510 of these claims. The majority of these

cases have been brought by individuals who allege personal injury sustained after using Byetta\*, primarily pancreatic cancer and pancreatitis, and, in some cases, claiming alleged wrongful death. The majority of cases were pending in Federal Court in San Diego in a multi-district litigation (MDL) or in a coordinated proceeding in California Superior Court in Los Angeles (JCCP) and in November 2015, the defendants' motion for summary judgment based on federal preemption was granted in both the MDL and the JCCP. Plaintiffs have appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The cases in the JCCP have not yet been formally dismissed. Amylin has product liability insurance covering a substantial number of claims involving Byetta\* and any additional liability to Amylin with respect to Byetta\* is expected to be shared between the Company and AstraZeneca. It is not possible to reasonably predict the outcome of any lawsuit, claim or proceeding or the potential impact on the Company.

## SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE LITIGATION

In December 2015, two shareholder derivative lawsuits were filed in New York state court against certain officers and directors of the Company. The plaintiffs allege, among other things, breaches of fiduciary duty surrounding the Company's previously disclosed October 2015 civil settlement with the Securities and Exchange Commission of alleged Foreign Corrupt Practices Act violations in which the Company agreed to a payment of approximately \$14.7 million in disgorgement, penalties and interest.

## GOVERNMENT INVESTIGATIONS

Like other pharmaceutical companies, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries are subject to extensive regulation by national, state and local government agencies in the U.S. and other countries in which BMS operates. As a result, the Company, from time to time is subject to various governmental inquiries and investigations. It is possible that criminal charges, substantial fines and/or civil penalties, could result from government investigations. The most significant investigations conducted by government agencies are listed below.

### Abilify\* State Attorneys General Investigation

In March 2009, the Company received a letter from the Delaware Attorney General's Office advising of a multi-state coalition investigating whether certain Abilify\* marketing practices violated those respective states' consumer protection statutes. It is not possible at this time to reasonably assess the outcome of this investigation.

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROCEEDINGS

As previously reported, the Company is a party to several environmental proceedings and other matters, and is responsible under various state, federal and foreign laws, including the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), for certain costs of investigating and/or remediating contamination resulting from past industrial activity at the Company's current or former sites or at waste disposal or reprocessing facilities operated by third parties.

### CERCLA Matters

With respect to CERCLA matters for which the Company is responsible under various state, federal and foreign laws, the Company typically estimates potential costs based on information obtained from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or counterpart state or foreign agency and/or studies prepared by independent consultants, including the total estimated costs for the site and the expected cost-sharing, if any, with other "potentially responsible parties," and the Company accrues liabilities when they are probable and reasonably estimable. The Company estimated its share of future costs for these sites to be \$60 million at December 31, 2015, which represents the sum of best estimates or, where no best estimate can reasonably be made, estimates of the minimal probable amount among a range of such costs (without taking into account any potential recoveries from other parties).

### North Brunswick Township Board of Education

As previously disclosed, in October 2003, the Company was contacted by counsel representing the North Brunswick, NJ Board of Education (BOE) regarding a site where waste materials from E.R. Squibb and Sons may have been disposed from the 1940's through the 1960's. Fill material containing industrial waste and heavy metals in excess of residential standards was discovered during an expansion project at the North Brunswick Township High School, as well as at a number of neighboring residential properties and adjacent public park areas. In January 2004, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) sent the Company and others an information request letter about possible waste disposal at the site, to which the Company responded in March 2004. The BOE and the Township, as the current owners of the school property and the park, are conducting and jointly financing soil remediation work and ground water investigation work under a work plan approved by the NJDEP, and have asked the Company to contribute to the cost. The Company is actively monitoring the clean-up project, including its costs. To date, neither the school board nor the Township has asserted any claim against the Company. Instead, the Company and the local entities have negotiated an agreement to attempt to resolve the matter by informal means, and avoid litigation. A central component of the agreement is the provision by the Company of interim funding to help defray cleanup costs and assure the work is not interrupted. The Company transmitted interim funding payments in December 2007 and November 2009. The parties commenced mediation in late 2008; however, those efforts were not successful and the parties moved to a binding allocation process. The parties are expected to conduct fact and expert discovery, followed by formal evidentiary hearings and written argument. In addition, in September 2009, the

Township and BOE filed suits against several other parties alleged to have contributed waste materials to the site; that litigation has now been settled by the parties. The Company does not currently believe that it is responsible for any additional amounts beyond the two interim payments totaling \$4 million already transmitted. Any additional possible loss is not expected to be material.

103

---

## Note 23. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

| Dollars in Millions, except per share data         | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Year     |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------|
| 2015                                               |               |                |               |                |          |
| Total Revenues                                     | \$4,041       | \$ 4,163       | \$4,069       | \$ 4,287       | \$16,560 |
| Gross Margin                                       | 3,194         | 3,150          | 2,972         | 3,335          | 12,651   |
| Net Earnings/(Loss)                                | 1,199         | (110           | ) 730         | (188           | ) 1,631  |
| Net Earnings/(Loss) Attributable to:               |               |                |               |                |          |
| Noncontrolling Interest                            | 13            | 20             | 24            | 9              | 66       |
| BMS                                                | 1,186         | (130           | ) 706         | (197           | ) 1,565  |
| Earnings/(Loss) per Share - Basic <sup>(a)</sup>   | \$0.71        | \$ (0.08       | ) \$0.42      | \$ (0.12       | ) \$0.94 |
| Earnings/(Loss) per Share - Diluted <sup>(a)</sup> | 0.71          | (0.08          | ) 0.42        | (0.12          | ) 0.93   |
| Cash dividends declared per common share           | \$0.37        | \$ 0.37        | \$0.37        | \$ 0.38        | \$1.49   |
| Cash and cash equivalents                          | \$6,294       | \$ 4,199       | \$3,975       | \$ 2,385       | \$2,385  |
| Marketable securities <sup>(b)</sup>               | 5,592         | 5,909          | 6,065         | 6,545          | 6,545    |
| Total Assets                                       | 33,579        | 31,954         | 31,779        | 31,748         | 31,748   |
| Long-term debt                                     | 7,127         | 6,615          | 6,632         | 6,550          | 6,550    |
| Equity                                             | 15,689        | 15,291         | 15,273        | 14,424         | 14,424   |

| Dollars in Millions, except per share data  | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Year     |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------|
| 2014                                        |               |                |               |                |          |
| Total Revenues                              | \$3,811       | \$ 3,889       | \$3,921       | \$ 4,258       | \$15,879 |
| Gross Margin                                | 2,843         | 2,898          | 2,914         | 3,292          | 11,947   |
| Net Earnings                                | 936           | 334            | 732           | 27             | 2,029    |
| Net Earnings/(Loss) Attributable to:        |               |                |               |                |          |
| Noncontrolling Interest                     | (1            | ) 1            | 11            | 14             | 25       |
| BMS                                         | 937           | 333            | 721           | 13             | 2,004    |
| Earnings per Share - Basic <sup>(a)</sup>   | \$0.57        | \$ 0.20        | \$0.43        | \$ 0.01        | \$1.21   |
| Earnings per Share - Diluted <sup>(a)</sup> | 0.56          | 0.20           | 0.43          | 0.01           | 1.20     |
| Cash dividends declared per common share    | \$0.36        | \$ 0.36        | \$0.36        | \$ 0.37        | \$1.45   |
| Cash and cash equivalents                   | \$5,225       | \$ 4,282       | \$4,851       | \$ 5,571       | \$5,571  |
| Marketable securities <sup>(b)</sup>        | 5,392         | 6,769          | 6,698         | 6,272          | 6,272    |
| Total Assets                                | 33,424        | 33,503         | 33,450        | 33,749         | 33,749   |
| Long-term debt                              | 7,367         | 7,372          | 7,267         | 7,242          | 7,242    |
| Equity                                      | 15,531        | 15,379         | 15,201        | 14,983         | 14,983   |

(a) Earnings per share for the quarters may not add to the amounts for the year, as each period is computed on a discrete basis.

(b) Marketable securities includes current and non-current assets.



The following specified items affected the comparability of results in 2015 and 2014:

2015

| Dollars in Millions                                         | First<br>Quarter | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter | Year    |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Cost of products sold <sup>(a)</sup>                        | \$34             | \$25              | \$15             | \$10              | \$84    |
| Marketing, selling and administrative <sup>(b)</sup>        | 1                | 3                 | 2                | 4                 | 10      |
| License and asset acquisition charges                       | 162              | 869               | 94               | 554               | 1,679   |
| IPRD impairments                                            | —                | —                 | —                | 160               | 160     |
| Other                                                       | —                | 2                 | 15               | 27                | 44      |
| Research and development                                    | 162              | 871               | 109              | 741               | 1,883   |
| Provision for restructuring                                 | 12               | 28                | 10               | 65                | 115     |
| (Gain)/Loss on sale of businesses, product lines and assets | (152)            | (8)               | (198)            | 171               | (187)   |
| Pension charges                                             | 27               | 36                | 48               | 49                | 160     |
| Acquisition and alliance related items                      | (36)             | —                 | (87)             | —                 | (123)   |
| Litigation and other settlements                            | 14               | 1                 | —                | 143               | 158     |
| Out-licensed intangible asset impairment                    | 13               | —                 | —                | —                 | 13      |
| Loss on debt redemption                                     | —                | 180               | —                | —                 | 180     |
| Other (income)/expense                                      | (122)            | 237               | (227)            | 428               | 316     |
| Increase/(decrease) to pretax income                        | 75               | 1,136             | (101)            | 1,183             | 2,293   |
| Income tax on items above                                   | (68)             | (116)             | 43               | (339)             | (480)   |
| Increase/(decrease) to net earnings                         | \$7              | \$1,020           | \$(58)           | \$844             | \$1,813 |

(a) Specified items in cost of products sold are accelerated depreciation, asset impairment and other shutdown costs.

(b) Specified items in marketing, selling and administrative are process standardization implementation costs.

2014

| Dollars in Millions                                         | First<br>Quarter | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter | Year    |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Cost of products sold <sup>(a)</sup>                        | \$45             | \$39              | \$36             | \$31              | \$151   |
| Additional year of Branded Prescription Drug Fee            | —                | —                 | 96               | —                 | 96      |
| Process standardization implementation costs                | 3                | 3                 | 2                | 1                 | 9       |
| Marketing, selling and administrative                       | 3                | 3                 | 98               | 1                 | 105     |
| License and asset acquisition charges                       | 15               | 148               | 65               | 50                | 278     |
| IPRD impairments                                            | 33               | 310               | —                | —                 | 343     |
| Research and development                                    | 48               | 458               | 65               | 50                | 621     |
| Provision for restructuring                                 | 21               | 16                | 35               | 91                | 163     |
| (Gain)/Loss on sale of businesses, product lines and assets | (259)            | ) 12              | (315)            | ) 3               | (559)   |
| Pension charges                                             | 64               | 45                | 28               | 740               | 877     |
| Acquisition and alliance related items <sup>(b)</sup>       | 16               | 17                | 39               | —                 | 72      |
| Litigation and other settlements                            | 25               | (23)              | ) 10             | 15                | 27      |
| Out-licensed intangible asset impairment                    | —                | —                 | —                | 11                | 11      |
| Loss on debt redemption                                     | 45               | —                 | —                | —                 | 45      |
| Upfront, milestone and other licensing receipts             | —                | —                 | —                | (10)              | (10)    |
| Other (income)/expense                                      | (88)             | ) 67              | (203)            | ) 850             | 626     |
| Increase/(decrease) to pretax income                        | 8                | 567               | (4)              | ) 932             | 1,503   |
| Income tax on items above                                   | (179)            | ) (102)           | ) 33             | (297)             | ) (545) |
| Specified tax charge <sup>(c)</sup>                         | —                | —                 | —                | 123               | 123     |
| Income taxes                                                | (179)            | ) (102)           | ) 33             | (174)             | ) (422) |
| Increase/(decrease) to net earnings                         | \$(171)          | ) \$465           | \$29             | \$758             | \$1,081 |

(a) Specified items in cost of products sold are accelerated depreciation, asset impairment and other shutdown costs.

(b) Includes \$16 million of additional year of Branded Prescription Drug Fee in the third quarter.

(c) Specified tax charge relates to transfer pricing matters.

106

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 12, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Parsippany, New Jersey  
February 12, 2016

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2015, management carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures as such term is defined under Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based on this evaluation, management has concluded that as of December 31, 2015, such disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, management assessed the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 based on the framework in "Internal Control—Integrated Framework" (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on that assessment, management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective at December 31, 2015 to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of its financial reporting and the preparation of its financial statements for external purposes in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles. Due to its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the Company's financial statements included in this report on Form 10-K and issued its report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonable likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company’s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company’s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015 of the Company and our report dated February 12, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Parsippany, New Jersey  
February 12, 2016

109

---

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT.

Reference is made to the 2016 Proxy Statement to be filed on or about March 21, 2016 with respect to the Directors (a) of the Registrant, which is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof in response to the information required by Item 10.

The information required by Item 10 with respect to the Executive Officers of the Registrant has been included in (b) Part IA of this Form 10-K in reliance on General Instruction G of Form 10-K and Instruction 3 to Item 401(b) of Regulation S-K.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

Reference is made to the 2016 Proxy Statement to be filed on or about March 21, 2016 with respect to Executive Compensation, which is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof in response to the information required by Item 11.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

Reference is made to the 2016 Proxy Statement to be filed on or about March 21, 2016 with respect to the security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management, which is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof in response to the information required by Item 12.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS.

Reference is made to the 2016 Proxy Statement to be filed on or about March 21, 2016 with respect to certain relationships and related transactions, which is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof in response to the information required by Item 13.

Item 14. AUDITOR FEES.

Reference is made to the 2016 Proxy Statement to be filed on or about March 21, 2016 with respect to auditor fees, which is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof in response to the information required by Item 14.

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS and FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE.

(a)

|                                                                     | Page<br>Number |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1. Consolidated Financial Statements                                |                |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Earnings</u> and Comprehensive Income | <u>58</u>      |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>                                  | <u>59</u>      |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>                        | <u>60</u>      |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>                   | <u>61</u>      |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>      | <u>107</u>     |

All other schedules not included with this additional financial data are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

2. Exhibits Required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K 113

The information called for by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the Exhibit Index in this Form 10-K.

111

---



## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY  
(Registrant)

By /s/ GIOVANNI CAFORIO  
Giovanni Caforio  
Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 12, 2016

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature                                                      | Title                                                                               | Date              |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| /s/ GIOVANNI CAFORIO, M.D.<br>(Giovanni Caforio, M.D.)         | Chief Executive Officer and Director<br>(Principal Executive Officer)               | February 12, 2016 |
| /s/ CHARLES BANCROFT<br>(Charles Bancroft)                     | Chief Financial Officer<br>(Principal Financial Officer)                            | February 12, 2016 |
| /s/ JOSEPH C. CALDARELLA<br>(Joseph C. Caldarella)             | Senior Vice President and Corporate<br>Controller<br>(Principal Accounting Officer) | February 12, 2016 |
| /s/ LAMBERTO ANDREOTTI<br>(Lamberto Andreotti)                 | Chairman of the Board of Directors                                                  | February 12, 2016 |
| /s/ LEWIS B. CAMPBELL<br>(Lewis B. Campbell)                   | Director                                                                            | February 12, 2016 |
| /s/ LAURIE H. GLIMCHER, M.D.<br>(Laurie H. Glimcher, M.D.)     | Director                                                                            | February 12, 2016 |
| /s/ MICHAEL GROBSTEIN<br>(Michael Grobstein)                   | Director                                                                            | February 12, 2016 |
| /s/ ALAN J. LACY<br>(Alan J. Lacy)                             | Director                                                                            | February 12, 2016 |
| /s/ THOMAS J. LYNCH, JR., M.D.<br>(Thomas J. Lynch, Jr., M.D.) | Director                                                                            | February 12, 2016 |
| /s/ DINESH C. PALIWAL<br>(Dinesh C. Paliwal)                   | Director                                                                            | February 12, 2016 |
| /s/ VICKI L. SATO, PH.D.<br>(Vicki L. Sato, Ph.D.)             | Director                                                                            | February 12, 2016 |

/s/ GERALD L. STORCH  
(Gerald L. Storch)

Director

February 12, 2016

/s/ TOGO D. WEST, JR.  
(Togo D. West, Jr.)

Director

February 12, 2016

112

---

## EXHIBIT INDEX

The Exhibits listed below are identified by numbers corresponding to the Exhibit Table of Item 601 of Regulation S-K. The Exhibits designated by the symbol ¶¶ are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements required to be filed pursuant to Item 15. The symbol ¶ in the Page column indicates that the Exhibit has been previously filed with the Commission and is incorporated herein by reference. Unless otherwise indicated, all Exhibits are part of Commission File Number 1-1136.

| Exhibit No. | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Page No |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 3a.         | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3a to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005).                                                                                           | ¶       |
| 3b.         | Certificate of Correction to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, effective as of December 24, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3b to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010).                                                        | ¶       |
| 3c.         | Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, effective as of May 7, 2010 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3a to the Form 8-K dated May 4, 2010 and filed on May 10, 2010).                                                                | ¶       |
| 3d.         | Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, effective as of May 7, 2010 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3b to the Form 8-K dated May 4, 2010 and filed on May 10, 2010).                                                                | ¶       |
| 3e.         | Bylaws of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, as amended as of December 10, 2013 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Form 8-K dated September 16, 2014 and filed on September 19, 2014).                                                                                      | ¶       |
| 4a.         | Letter of Agreement dated March 28, 1984 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1983).                                                                                                                                      | ¶       |
| 4b.         | Indenture, dated as of June 1, 1993, between Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank (as successor trustee to The Chase Manhattan Bank (National Association)) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K dated May 27, 1993 and filed on June 3, 1993). | ¶       |
| 4c.         | Form of 7.15% Debenture due 2023 of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Form 8-K dated May 27, 1993 and filed on June 3, 1993).                                                                                                            | ¶       |
| 4d.         | Form of 6.80% Debenture due 2026 of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4e to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996).                                                                                                             | ¶       |
| 4e.         | Form of 6.875% Debenture due 2097 of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4f to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 1997).                                                                                                      | ¶       |
| 4f.         | Indenture, dated October 1, 2003, between Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, as Issuer, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4q to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2003).                                                 | ¶       |

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

- 4g. Form of Floating Rate Convertible Senior Debenture due 2023 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4s to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2003). ‡
- 4h. Specimen Certificate of Common Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4s to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003). ‡
- 4i. Form of Fourth Supplemental Indenture between Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, to the indenture dated June 1, 1993 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4r to the Form 8-K dated November 20, 2006 and filed on November 27, 2006). ‡
- 4j. Form of 5.875% Notes due 2036 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4s to the Form 8-K dated November 20, 2006 and filed November 27, 2006). ‡
- 4k. Form of 4.375% Notes due 2016 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4t to the Form 8-K dated November 20, 2006 and filed November 27, 2006). ‡
- 4l. Form of 4.625% Notes due 2021 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4u to the Form 8-K dated November 20, 2006 and filed November 27, 2006). ‡
- 4m. Form of Fifth Supplemental Indenture between Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, to the indenture dated June 1, 1993 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K dated May 1, 2008 and filed on May 7, 2008). ‡
- 4n. Form of 6.125% Notes due 2038 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Form 8-K dated May 1, 2008 and filed on May 7, 2008). ‡

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

- 4o. Form of Sixth Supplemental Indenture between Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, to the indenture dated June 1, 1993 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K dated July 26, 2012 and filed on July 31, 2012). †
- 4p. Form of 0.875% Notes Due 2017 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K dated July 26, 2012 and filed on July 31, 2012). †
- 4q. Form of 2.000% Notes Due 2022 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K dated July 26, 2012 and filed on July 31, 2012). †
- 4r. Form of 3.250% Notes Due 2042 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K dated July 26, 2012 and filed on July 31, 2012). †
- 4s. Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 31, 2013, between Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and The Bank of New York Mellon, as Trustee to the Indenture dated as of June 1, 1993 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K dated and filed on October 31, 2013). †
- 4t. Form of 1.750% Notes Due 2019 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Form 8-K dated and filed on October 31, 2013). †
- 4u. Form of 3.250% Notes Due 2023 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Form 8-K dated and filed on October 31, 2013). †
- 4v. Form of 4.500% Notes Due 2044 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Form 8-K dated and filed on October 31, 2013). †
- 4w. Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 5, 2015, between Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and The Bank of New York Mellon, as Trustee, to the Indenture dated as of June 1, 1993 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K dated and filed on May 5, 2015). †
- 4x. Form of €575,000,000 1.000% Notes Due 2025 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Form 8-K dated and filed on May 5, 2015). †
- 4y. Form of €575,000,000 1.750% Notes Due 2035 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Form 8-K dated and filed on May 5, 2015). †
- 10a. \$1,500,000,000 Five Year Competitive Advance and Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of September 29, 2011 among Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, the borrowing subsidiaries, the lenders named in the agreement, BNP Paribas and The Royal Bank of Scotland plc, as documentation agents, Bank of America N.A., as syndication agent, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agents (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K dated September 29, 2011 and filed on October 4, 2011). †
- 10b. First Amendment dated June 21, 2013 to the Five Year Competitive Advance and Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of September 29, 2011 among Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, the several financial institutions from time to time party to the agreement, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank N.A. as administrative agents (incorporated herein by

reference to Exhibit 10a to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013).

10c. Extension notice dated June 3, 2013 for the Five Year Competitive Advance and Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of September 29, 2011 among Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, the several financial institutions from time to time party to the agreement, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank N.A. as administrative agents (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10b to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013). ‡

10d. \$1,500,000,000 Five Year Competitive Advance and Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of July 31, 2012 among Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, the borrowing subsidiaries, the lenders named in the agreement, Bank of America N.A., Barclays Bank plc, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as documentation agents, Citibank, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agents (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K dated July 26, 2012 and filed on July 31, 2012). ‡

10e. Extension notice dated May 31, 2013 for the Five Year Competitive Advance and Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of July 30, 2012 among Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, the several financial institutions from time to time party to the agreement, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank N.A. as administrative agents (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10c to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013). ‡

- 10f. Extension notice dated June 2, 2014 for the Five Year Competitive Advance and Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of September 29, 2011 among Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, the several financial institutions from time to time party to the agreement, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank N.A. as administrative agents (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10a to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014). ‡
- 10g. Extension notice dated June 2, 2014 for the Five Year Competitive Advance and Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of July 30, 2012 among Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, the several financial institutions from time to time party to the agreement, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank N.A. as administrative agents (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10b to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014). ‡
- 10h. Extension notice dated June 1, 2015, for the Five Year Competitive Advance and Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of September 29, 2011 among Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, and the several financial institutions from time to time party to the agreement, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank N.A. as administrative agents (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10a to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2015). ‡
- 10i. Extension notice dated June 1, 2015, for the Five Year Competitive Advance and Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated as of July 30, 2012 among Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, the several financial institutions from time to time party to the agreement, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank N.A. as administrative agents (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10b to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2015). ‡
- 10j. SEC Consent Order (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10s to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2004). ‡
- 10k. Master Restructuring Agreement between Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and Sanofi dated as of September 27, 2012 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10a to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012). † ‡
- 10l. Side Letter to Master Restructuring Agreement between Bristol-Myers Squibb Company and Sanofi dated as of January 1, 2013 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10p to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012). † ‡
- 10m. Restated Development and Commercialization Collaboration Agreement between Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. and Bristol-Myers Squibb Company dated as of October 23, 2001 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.12 to the Form 8-K filed on August 17, 2009). † ‡
- 10n. Amendment No. 3 to the Restated Development and Commercialization Collaboration Agreement between Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. and Bristol-Myers Squibb Company dated as of September 25, 2006 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.13 to the Form 8-K filed on August 17, 2009). † ‡
- 10o. Amendment No. 5 to the Restated Development and Commercialization Collaboration Agreement between Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. and Bristol-Myers Squibb Company effective as of April 4, 2009 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.14 to the Form 8-K filed on August 17, 2009). † ‡

Edgar Filing: BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO - Form 10-K

- 10p. Amendment No. 9 to the Restated Development and Commercialization Collaboration Agreement between Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. and Bristol-Myers Squibb Company effective as of October 29, 2012 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1ee to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012). † ‡
- ‡‡10q. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, effective as of May 7, 2002 and as amended effective June 10, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008). ‡
- ‡‡10r. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company 2012 Stock Award and Incentive Plan, effective as of May 1, 2012 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit B to the 2012 Proxy Statement dated March 20, 2012). ‡
- ‡‡10s. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company 2007 Stock Award and Incentive Plan, effective as of May 1, 2007 and as amended effective June 10, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008). ‡
- ‡‡10t. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company TeamShare Stock Option Plan, as amended and restated effective September 10, 2002 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10c to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002). ‡
- ‡‡10u. Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the 2002 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10s to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005). ‡



|         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |        |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| ¶¶10v.  | Form of Performance Share Units Agreement for the 2013-2015 Performance Cycle under the 2012 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10oo to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | ‡      |
| ¶¶10w.  | Form of 2014-2016 Performance Share Units Agreement under the 2012 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10hh to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | ‡      |
| ¶¶10x.  | Form of 2015-2017 Performance Share Units Agreement under the 2012 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10x to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | ‡      |
| ¶¶10y.  | Form of 2016-2018 Performance Share Units Agreement under the 2012 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (filed herewith).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | E-10-1 |
| ¶¶10z.  | Form of Restricted Stock Units Agreement with five year vesting under the 2012 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (filed herewith).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | E-10-2 |
| ¶¶10aa. | Form of Restricted Stock Units Agreement with four year vesting under the 2012 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (filed herewith).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | E-10-3 |
| ¶¶10bb. | Form of Market Share Units Agreement under the 2012 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (filed herewith).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | E-10-4 |
| ¶¶10cc. | Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Performance Incentive Plan, as amended (as adopted, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2 to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1978; as amended as of January 8, 1990, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 19b to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1990; as amended on April 2, 1991, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 19b to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1991; as amended effective January 1, 1994, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10d to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1993; and as amended effective January 1, 1994, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10d to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1994). | ‡      |
| ¶¶10dd. | Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Executive Performance Incentive Plan effective January 1, 1997 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10b to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | ‡      |
| ¶¶10ee. | Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Executive Performance Incentive Plan effective January 1, 2003 and as amended effective June 10, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | ‡      |
| ¶¶10ff. | Bristol-Myers Squibb Company 2007 Senior Executive Performance Incentive Plan (as amended and restated effective June 8, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10a. to the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | ‡      |
| ¶¶10gg. | Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Benefit Equalization Plan – Retirement Income Plan, as amended and restated effective as of January 1, 2012, (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10ww to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | ‡      |

- ¶¶10hh. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Benefit Equalization Plan – Savings and Investment Program, as amended and restated effective as of January 1, 2012 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10xx to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012). ¶
- ¶¶10ii. Squibb Corporation Supplementary Pension Plan, as amended (as previously amended and restated, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 19g to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1991; as amended as of September 14, 1993, and incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10g to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1993). ¶
- ¶¶10jj. Senior Executive Severance Plan, effective as of April 26, 2007 and as amended effective February 16, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10ll to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011). ¶
- ¶¶10kk. Form of Agreement entered into between the Registrant and each of the named executive officers and certain other executives effective January 1, 2016 (filed herewith). E-10-5
- ¶¶10ll. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Retirement Income Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended March 5, 1996 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10k to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996). ¶
- ¶¶10mm. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company 1987 Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended and restated January 20, 2015 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10mm to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014). ¶
- ¶¶10nn. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Non-Employee Directors’ Stock Option Plan, as amended (as approved by the Stockholders on May 1, 1990, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 28 to Registration Statement No. 33-38587 on Form S-8; as amended May 7, 1991, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 19c to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1991), as amended January 12, 1999 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10m to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998). ¶

|         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |        |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| ‡‡10oo. | Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan, as amended (as approved by the Stockholders on May 2, 2000, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit A to the 2000 Proxy Statement dated March 20, 2000).                                                                                                                                                          | ‡      |
| ‡‡10pp. | Squibb Corporation Deferral Plan for Fees of Outside Directors, as amended (as adopted, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10e Squibb Corporation 1991 Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1987, File No. 1-5514; as amended effective December 31, 1991 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10m to the Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1992). | ‡      |
| 12      | Statement re computation of ratios (filed herewith).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | E-12-1 |
| 21      | Subsidiaries of the Registrant (filed herewith).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | E-21-1 |
| 23      | Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP (filed herewith).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | E-23-1 |
| 31a.    | Section 302 Certification Letter (filed herewith).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | E-31-1 |
| 31b.    | Section 302 Certification Letter (filed herewith).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | E-31-1 |
| 32a.    | Section 906 Certification Letter (filed herewith).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | E-32-1 |
| 32b.    | Section 906 Certification Letter (filed herewith).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | E-32-2 |

101. The following financial statements from the Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Annual Report on Form 10-K for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) consolidated statements of earnings, (ii) consolidated statements of comprehensive income, (iii) consolidated balance sheets, (iv) consolidated statements of cash flows, and (v) the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

† Confidential treatment has been granted for certain portions which are omitted in the copy of the exhibit electronically filed with the Commission.

\* Indicates, in this Form 10-K, brand names of products, which are registered trademarks not solely owned by the Company or its subsidiaries. Byetta, Bydureon, and Symlin are trademarks of Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC; Farxiga, Onglyza and Kombiglyze are trademarks of AstraZeneca AB; Erbitux is a trademark of ImClone LLC; Avapro/Avalide (known in the EU as Aprovel/Karvea) and Plavix are trademarks of Sanofi; Abilify is a trademark of Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.; Truvada and Tybost are trademarks of Gilead Sciences, Inc. and/or one of its affiliates; Gleevec is a trademark of Novartis AG; Atripla is a trademark of Bristol-Myers Squibb and Gilead Sciences, LLC; Norvir is a trademark of AbbVie Inc.; Myalept is a trademark of Aegerion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Reglan is a trademark of ANIP Acquisition Company; Revlimid is a trademark of Celgene Corporation; Prostavac is a trademark of BN ImmunoTherapeutics Inc.; Keytruda is a trademark of Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.; Recothrom is a trademark of The Medicines Company and Ixempra is a trademark of R-Pharm US Operating, LLC. Brand names of products that are in all italicized letters, without an asterisk, are registered trademarks of BMS and/or one of its subsidiaries.