

SANDERSON FARMS INC  
Form 10-K  
December 18, 2014

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2014

Transition Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
for the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission file number: 1-14977

SANDERSON FARMS, INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Mississippi 64-0615843  
(State or other jurisdiction of (IRS Employer  
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

127 Flynt Road 39443  
Laurel, Mississippi (Zip Code)

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (601) 649-4030

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:  
Title of each Class: Name of exchange on which registered:  
Common stock, \$1.00 par value per share The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer            Accelerated filer        
Non-accelerated filer       (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)      Smaller reporting company     

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).       Yes       No

Aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the Registrant computed by reference to the closing sales price of the common equity in The NASDAQ Stock Market on the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter: \$1,794,360,036.

Number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock as of December 12, 2014: 23,152,217 shares of common stock, \$1.00 per share par value.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed or to be filed in connection with its 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                   |   |           |
|-------------------|---|-----------|
| <u>PART I</u>     |   | <u>3</u>  |
| Item 1.           | <u>Business</u>   | <u>3</u>  |
| Item 1A.          | <u>Risk Factors</u>   | <u>14</u> |
| Item 1B.          | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>  | <u>20</u> |
| Item 2.           | <u>Properties</u>   | <u>20</u> |
| Item 3.           | <u>Legal Proceedings</u>  | <u>20</u> |
| Item 4.           | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>  | <u>21</u> |
| Item 4A.          | <u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>   | <u>21</u> |
| <u>PART II</u>    |   | <u>22</u> |
| Item 5.           | <u>Market for the Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>22</u> |
| Item 6.           | <u>Selected Financial Data</u>  | <u>23</u> |
| Item 7.           | <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                            | <u>23</u> |
| Item 7A.          | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u>  | <u>36</u> |
| Item 8.           | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>  | <u>38</u> |
| Item 9.           | <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>                             | <u>55</u> |
| Item 9A.          | <u>Controls and Procedures</u>  | <u>55</u> |
| Item 9B.          | <u>Other Information</u>  | <u>56</u> |
| <u>PART III</u>   |   | <u>57</u> |
| Item 10.          | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>   | <u>57</u> |
| Item 11.          | <u>Executive Compensation</u>   | <u>57</u> |
| Item 12.          | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>                   | <u>57</u> |
| Item 13.          | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>   | <u>58</u> |
| Item 14.          | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>   | <u>58</u> |
| <u>PART IV</u>    |   | <u>59</u> |
| Item 15.          | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>   | <u>59</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> |   | <u>63</u> |
| <u>EXHIBITS</u>   |   | <u>65</u> |

Table of Contents

**INTRODUCTORY NOTE**

**Definitions.** This Annual Report on Form 10-K is filed by Sanderson Farms, Inc., a Mississippi corporation. Except where the context indicates otherwise, the terms “Registrant,” “Company,” “Sanderson Farms,” “we,” “us,” or “our” refer to Sanderson Farms, Inc. and its subsidiaries and predecessor organizations. The use of these terms to refer to Sanderson Farms, Inc. and its subsidiaries collectively does not suggest that Sanderson Farms and its subsidiaries have abandoned their separate identities or the legal protections given to them as separate legal entities. “Fiscal year” means the fiscal year ended October 31, 2014, which is the year for which this Annual Report is filed.

**Presentation and Dates of Information.** Except for Item 4A herein, the Item numbers and letters appearing in this Annual Report correspond with those used in Securities and Exchange Commission Form 10-K (and, to the extent that it is incorporated into Form 10-K, those used in SEC Regulation S-K) as effective on the date hereof, which specifies the information required to be included in Annual Reports to the SEC. Item 4A (“Executive Officers of the Registrant”) has been included by the Registrant in accordance with General Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K and Instruction 3 of Item 401(b) of Regulation S-K. The information contained in this Annual Report is, unless indicated to be given as of a specified date or for a specified period, given as of the date of this Report, which is December 18, 2014.

**PART I**

**Item 1. Business**

**(a) GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE REGISTRANT’S BUSINESS**

The Registrant was incorporated in Mississippi in 1955, and is a fully-integrated poultry processing company engaged in the production, processing, marketing and distribution of fresh and frozen chicken products. In addition, the Registrant is engaged in the processing, marketing and distribution of prepared chicken through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division).

The Registrant sells ice pack, chill pack, bulk pack and frozen chicken, in whole, cut-up and boneless form, primarily under the Sanderson Farms® brand name to retailers, distributors, and casual dining operators principally in the southeastern, southwestern, northeastern and western United States, and to customers who resell frozen chicken into export markets. During its fiscal year ended October 31, 2014, the Registrant processed approximately 452 million chickens, or over 3.0 billion dressed pounds. According to 2014 industry statistics, the Registrant was the third largest processor of dressed chicken in the United States based on average weekly processed pounds.

The Registrant’s chicken operations presently encompass 8 hatcheries, 7 feed mills and 9 processing plants, and those figures do not include the facilities at its new Palestine, Texas complex. The Registrant began operations at the new Palestine hatchery in November 2014, and expects to begin processing chickens at the new processing plant in February 2015.

The Registrant has contracts with operators of approximately 609 grow-out farms that provide it with sufficient housing capacity for its current operations. The Registrant also has contracts with operators of 185 breeder farms. The Company’s prepared chicken product line includes approximately 130 institutional and consumer packaged partially cooked or marinated chicken items that it sells nationally and regionally, primarily to distributors and food service establishments. A majority of the prepared chicken items are made to the specifications of food service users. Since the Registrant completed the initial public offering of its common stock in May 1987, the Registrant has significantly expanded its operations to increase production capacity, product lines and marketing flexibility. Through 1997, this expansion included the expansion of the Registrant’s Hammond, Louisiana processing facility; the construction of new wastewater facilities at the Hammond, Louisiana and Collins and Hazlehurst, Mississippi processing facilities; the addition of second shifts at the Hammond, Louisiana and the Laurel, Hazlehurst, and Collins, Mississippi processing facilities; the expansion of freezer and production capacity at its prepared chicken facility in Flowood, Mississippi; the expansion of freezer capacity at its Hammond, Louisiana, and its Laurel and Collins, Mississippi processing facilities; the addition of deboning capabilities at all of the Registrant’s poultry processing facilities; the construction and start-up of its McComb, Mississippi and Bryan, Texas production and processing facilities, including a hatchery, a feed mill, a processing plant, and a wastewater treatment facility for each complex; and the expansion and renovation of the hatchery at its Hazlehurst, Mississippi production facilities.



Table of Contents

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the Registrant began initial operations at a new poultry processing complex in southern Georgia. The complex consists of a feed mill, hatchery, processing plant and wastewater treatment facility. This plant has the capacity to process 1.25 million head of chickens per week for the retail chill pack market.

On August 6, 2007, the Company began initial operations at a new poultry processing complex in Waco and McLennan County, Texas. The complex consists of a hatchery, processing plant and wastewater treatment facility. This complex shares a feed mill located in Robertson County, Texas with our Bryan, Texas complex. The plant has the capacity to process 1.25 million head of chickens per week for the big bird deboning market.

In January 2011, the Company began initial operations at a new poultry processing complex in Kinston, North Carolina. The complex consists of a hatchery, feed mill, processing plant, and wastewater facility with the capacity to process 1.25 million chickens per week for the retail chill pack market. The facility reached near full capacity during March 2012.

On February 14, 2013, the Company announced the selection of sites in and near Palestine, Texas, for the construction of its next poultry complex, and construction of the complex began on or about October 1, 2013. The new complex will consist of a feed mill, hatchery, processing plant and wastewater facility with the capacity to process 1.25 million chickens per week for the big bird deboning market. Before the complex can become fully operational, we will need to enter into contracts with a sufficient number of growers and complete construction. See “The construction and potential benefits of our new facilities are subject to risks and uncertainties” in the Risk Factors Section of this Annual Report.

The Company changed its marketing strategy in 1997 to move away from the small bird markets serving primarily the fast food industry to concentrate its production in the retail and big bird deboning markets serving the retail grocery and food service industries. This market shift resulted in larger average bird weights of the chickens processed by the Company, and substantially increased the number of pounds processed by the Company. In addition, the Registrant continually evaluates internal and external expansion opportunities to continue its growth in poultry and/or related food products.

Capital expenditures for fiscal 2014 were funded by cash on hand at November 1, 2013, and cash provided by operations during fiscal 2014. On October 24, 2013, the Company entered into a new revolving credit facility to, among other things, increase the total committed credit from \$500.0 million to \$600.0 million. The new facility also increased the annual capital expenditure limitation to \$65.0 million for fiscal years 2013 through 2018, plus, for each year, up to \$10.0 million carryover from the preceding fiscal year, when it is not actually spent in that year. The capital expenditure limitation for fiscal 2014, with the permitted carry over, was \$75.0 million. On October 29, 2014, the Company entered into an amendment to the existing agreement's capital expenditure covenants. The amendment increased the annual capital expenditure limitation to \$75.0 million, and the allowable carryover to \$15.0 million for fiscal years 2015 - 2018. The amendment also increases the amount the Company is allowed to spend on the construction of its new complex in Palestine, Texas. Under the original facility, the Company was allowed to spend up to \$140.0 million each in capital expenditures on the construction of two new poultry complexes to be located anywhere in the United States, which expenditures are in addition to the annual overall capital expenditure limits. Under the amendment, the amount allowed to be spent on construction of the new Palestine, Texas complex is increased to \$155.0 million, while the original \$140.0 million covenant remains in place for construction of a second potential complex. Under the facility, the Company may not exceed a maximum debt to total capitalization ratio of 55% from the date of the agreement through October 30, 2014, and 50% thereafter. The Company has a one-time right, at any time during the term of the agreement, to increase the maximum debt to total capitalization ratio then in effect by five percentage points in connection with the construction of either of the two potential new poultry complexes for the four fiscal quarters beginning on the first day of the fiscal quarter during which the Company gives written notice of its intent to exercise this right. The Company has not exercised this right. The facility also sets a minimum net worth requirement that at October 31, 2014, was \$613.6 million. The credit remains unsecured and, unless extended, will expire on October 24, 2018.

(b) FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT INDUSTRY SEGMENTS

Not applicable.

(c) NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF REGISTRANT'S BUSINESS

General

The Registrant is engaged in the production, processing, marketing and distribution of fresh and frozen chicken and the preparation, processing, marketing and distribution of processed and prepared chicken items.

4

---

Table of Contents

The Registrant sells chill pack, ice pack, bulk pack and frozen chicken, in whole, cut-up and boneless form, primarily under the Sanderson Farms® brand name to retailers, distributors and casual dining operators principally in the southeastern, southwestern, northeastern and western United States. During its fiscal year ended October 31, 2014, the Registrant processed approximately 452 million chickens, or over 3.0 billion dressed pounds. In addition, the Registrant purchased and further processed 5.1 million pounds of poultry products during fiscal 2014. According to 2014 industry statistics, the Registrant was the third largest processor of dressed chicken in the United States based on average weekly processed pounds.

The Registrant conducts its chicken operations through Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division) and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division), both of which are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Sanderson Farms, Inc. The production subsidiary, Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division), which has facilities in Laurel, Collins, Hazlehurst and McComb, Mississippi; Bryan, Waco, Palestine, Freestone County, and Robertson County, Texas; Adel, Georgia and Kinston, North Carolina, is engaged in the production of chickens to the broiler stage. Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division), which has facilities in Laurel, Collins, Hazlehurst and McComb, Mississippi; Hammond, Louisiana; Bryan, Palestine, and Waco, Texas; Moultrie, Georgia and Kinston, North Carolina, is engaged in the processing, sale and distribution of chickens.

The Registrant conducts its prepared chicken business through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division), which has a facility in Flowood, Mississippi. The Foods Division is engaged in the processing, marketing and distribution of approximately 130 prepared chicken items, which it sells nationally and regionally, principally to distributors and national food service accounts.

Products

The Registrant has the ability to produce a wide range of processed chicken products and prepared chicken items. Processed chicken is first salable as an ice packed, whole chicken. The Registrant adds value to its ice packed, whole chickens by removing the giblets, weighing, packaging and labeling the product to specific customer requirements and cutting and deboning the product based on customer specifications. The additional processing steps of giblet removal, close tolerance weighing and cutting increase the value of the product to the customer over whole, ice packed chickens by reducing customer handling and cutting labor and capital costs, reducing the shrinkage associated with cutting, and ensuring consistently sized portions.

The Registrant adds additional value to the processed chicken by deep chilling and packaging whole chickens in bags or combinations of fresh chicken parts, including boneless product, in various sized, individual trays under the Registrant's brand name, which then may be weighed and pre-priced, based on each customer's needs. This chill pack process increases the value of the product by extending shelf life, reducing customer weighing and packaging labor, and providing the customer with a wide variety of products with uniform, well designed packaging, all of which enhance the customer's ability to merchandise chicken products.

To satisfy some customers' merchandising needs, the Registrant freezes the chicken product, which adds value by meeting the customers' handling, storage, distribution and marketing needs and by permitting shipment of product overseas where transportation time may be as long as 60 days.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the contribution, as a percentage of net sales dollars, of each of the Registrant's major product lines.

|                                 | Fiscal Year Ended October 31, |         |         |         |         |   |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|
|                                 | 2010                          | 2011    | 2012    | 2013    | 2014    |   |
| Registrant processed chicken:   |                               |         |         |         |         |   |
| Value added:                    |                               |         |         |         |         |   |
| Chill pack                      | 28.5                          | % 32.5  | % 33.1  | % 34.4  | % 36.0  | % |
| Fresh bulk pack                 | 54.5                          | 48.5    | 49.0    | 50.5    | 48.3    |   |
| Frozen                          | 9.8                           | 12.4    | 13.1    | 10.5    | 9.2     |   |
| Subtotal                        | 92.8                          | 93.4    | 95.2    | 95.4    | 93.5    |   |
| Non-value added:                |                               |         |         |         |         |   |
| Ice pack                        | 0.8                           | 1.2     | 1.2     | 1.0     | 0.9     |   |
| Subtotal                        | 0.8                           | 1.2     | 1.2     | 1.0     | 0.9     |   |
| Total Company processed chicken | 93.6                          | 94.6    | 96.4    | 96.4    | 94.4    |   |
| Prepared chicken                | 6.4                           | 5.4     | 3.6     | 3.6     | 5.6     |   |
| Total                           | 100.0                         | % 100.0 | % 100.0 | % 100.0 | % 100.0 | % |

**Market Segments and Pricing**

The three largest market segments in the chicken industry are big bird deboning, chill pack and small birds.

The following table sets forth, for each of the Company's poultry processing plants, the general market segment in which the plant participates, the weekly capacity of each plant at full capacity expressed in number of head processed, and the average industry size of birds processed in the relevant market segment.

| Plant Location          | Market Segment    | Capacity Per Week | Industry Bird Size |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Laurel, Mississippi     | Big Bird Deboning | 625,000           | 8.55               |
| Hazlehurst, Mississippi | Big Bird Deboning | 625,000           | 8.55               |
| Hammond, Louisiana      | Big Bird Deboning | 625,000           | 8.55               |
| Collins, Mississippi    | Big Bird Deboning | 1,250,000         | 8.55               |
| Waco, Texas             | Big Bird Deboning | 1,250,000         | 8.55               |
| McComb, Mississippi     | Chill Pack Retail | 1,250,000         | 6.32               |
| Bryan, Texas            | Chill Pack Retail | 1,250,000         | 6.32               |
| Moultrie, Georgia       | Chill Pack Retail | 1,250,000         | 6.32               |
| Kinston, North Carolina | Chill Pack Retail | 1,250,000         | 6.32               |

Those plants that target the big bird deboning market grow a relatively large bird. The dark meat from these birds is sold primarily as frozen leg quarters in the export market or as fresh whole legs to further processors. This dark meat is sold primarily at spot commodity prices, which prices exhibit fluctuations typical of commodity markets. The white meat produced by these plants is generally sold as fresh deboned breast meat, chicken tenders and whole or cut wings, and is likewise sold at spot commodity market prices for wings, tenders and boneless breast meat. As of October 31, 2014, the Company had the capacity to process 4.375 million head per week in its big bird deboning plants, and its results are materially impacted by fluctuations in the commodity market prices for leg quarters, boneless breast meat, chicken tenders and wings.

Table of Contents

The Urner Barry spot market price for leg quarters, boneless breast meat, chicken tenders and whole wings for the past five calendar years is set forth below:

7

---

Table of Contents

Those plants that target the chill pack retail grocery market grow a medium sized bird and cut and package the product in various sized individual trays to customers' specifications. The trays are weighed and pre-priced primarily for customers to resell through retail grocery outlets. While the Company sells some of its chill pack product under store brand names, most of its chill pack production is sold under the Company's Sanderson Farms® brand name. The Company has long term contracts (one to three years) with most of its chill pack customers, and the pricing of this product is based on a formula that uses the Georgia Dock whole bird price as its base. The Georgia Dock whole bird price is published each week by the Georgia Department of Agriculture and is based on its survey of prices and market conditions during the preceding week. As of October 31, 2014, the Company had the capacity to process 5.0 million head per week at its chill pack plants, and its results are materially impacted by fluctuations in the Georgia Dock price.

Table of Contents

The Georgia Dock price for whole birds as published by the Georgia Department of Agriculture for the last five calendar years is set forth below:

Those companies with plants dedicated to the small bird market grow and process a relatively small chicken and market the finished product primarily to fast food and food service companies at negotiated flat prices, cost plus formulas or spot market prices. Based on benchmarking services used by the industry, this market segment has been the least profitable of the three primary market segments over most of the last ten years. The Company has no product dedicated to the small bird market.

**Sales and Marketing**

The Registrant's chicken products are sold primarily to retailers (including national and regional supermarket chains and local supermarkets) and distributors located principally in the southeastern, southwestern, northeastern and western United States. The Registrant also sells its chicken products to casual dining operators and to United States based customers who resell the products outside of the continental United States. This wide range of customers, together with the Registrant's product mix, provides the Registrant with flexibility in responding to changing market conditions in its effort to maximize profits. This flexibility also assists the Registrant in its efforts to reduce its exposure to market volatility, although its ability to do so is limited.

Sales and distribution of the Registrant's chicken products are conducted primarily by sales personnel at the Registrant's general corporate offices in Laurel, Mississippi, by customer service representatives at each of its processing complexes and one prepared chicken plant and through independent food brokers. Each complex has individual on-site distribution centers and uses the Registrant's truck fleet, as well as contract carriers, for distribution of its products.

Generally, the Registrant prices much of its chicken products based upon weekly and daily market prices reported by the Georgia Department of Agriculture and by private firms. Consistent with the industry, the Registrant's profitability is impacted by such market prices, which may fluctuate substantially and exhibit cyclical and seasonal characteristics. The Registrant will adjust base prices depending upon value added, volume, product mix and other factors. While base prices may change weekly and daily, the Registrant's adjustments are generally negotiated from time to time with the Registrant's customers. The Registrant's sales are generally made on an as-ordered basis, and the Registrant maintains some long-term sales contracts with its customers.

From time to time, the Registrant may use television, radio and newspaper advertising, point of purchase material and other marketing techniques to develop consumer awareness of and brand recognition for its Sanderson Farms® products. The Registrant has achieved a high level of public awareness and acceptance of its products in its core markets. Brand awareness is an important element of the Registrant's marketing philosophy, and it intends to continue brand name merchandising of its products. During calendar 2004, the Company launched an advertising campaign designed to distinguish the Company's fresh chicken products from competitors' products. The campaign noted that the Company's product is a natural product free from salt, water and other additives that some competitors inject into their fresh chicken. The Company continues to use various media to communicate this message today.

Table of Contents

The Registrant's prepared chicken items are sold nationally and regionally, primarily to distributors and national food service accounts. Sales of such products are handled by sales personnel of the Registrant and by independent food brokers. Prepared chicken items are distributed from the Registrant's plant in Flowood, Mississippi, through arrangements with contract carriers.

**Production and Facilities**

**General.** The Registrant is a vertically-integrated producer of fresh and frozen chicken products, controlling the production of hatching eggs, hatching, feed manufacturing, growing, processing and packaging of its product lines. **Breeding and Hatching.** The Registrant maintains its own breeder flocks for the production of hatching eggs. The Registrant's breeder flocks are acquired as one-day old chicks (known as pullets and cockerels) from primary breeding companies that specialize in the production of genetically designed breeder stock. As of October 31, 2014, the Registrant maintained contracts with 53 independent contract pullet producers for the grow-out of pullets (growing the pullet to the point at which it is capable of egg production, which takes approximately six months). Thereafter, the mature breeder flocks are transported by Registrant's vehicles to breeder farms that are maintained, as of October 31, 2014, by 132 independent contractors under the Registrant's supervision. Eggs produced on the farms of independent contract breeder producers are transported to Registrant's hatcheries in Registrant's vehicles.

The Registrant owns and operates eight hatcheries located in Mississippi, Texas, Georgia and North Carolina where eggs are incubated, vaccinated and hatched in a process requiring 21 days. The chicks are vaccinated against common poultry diseases and are transported by Registrant's vehicles to independent contract grow-out farms. As of October 31, 2014, the Registrant's hatcheries were capable of producing an aggregate of approximately 10.0 million chicks per week.

**Grow-out.** The Registrant places its chicks on the farms of 609 independent contract broiler producers, as of October 31, 2014, located in Mississippi, Texas, Georgia and North Carolina where broilers are grown to an age of approximately seven to nine weeks. The farms provide the Registrant with sufficient housing capacity for its operations, and are typically family-owned farms operated under contract with the Registrant. The farm owners provide facilities, utilities and labor; the Registrant supplies the day-old chicks, feed and veterinary and technical services. The farm owner is compensated pursuant to an incentive formula designed to promote production cost efficiency.

Historically, the Registrant has been able to accommodate expansion in grow-out facilities through additional contract arrangements with independent contract producers.

**Feed Mills.** An important factor in the grow-out of chickens is the rate at which chickens convert feed into body weight. The Registrant purchases primary feed ingredients on the open market. Ingredients include corn and soybean meal, which historically have been the largest cost components of the Registrant's total feed costs. The quality and composition of the feed are critical to the conversion rate, and accordingly, the Registrant formulates and produces its own feed. As of October 31, 2014, the Registrant operated seven feed mills, four of which are located in Mississippi, one in Texas, one in Georgia and one in North Carolina. The Registrant's annual feed requirements for fiscal 2014 were approximately 3,577,000 tons, and it has the capacity to produce approximately 4,773,600 tons of finished feed annually under current configurations.

Feed grains are commodities subject to volatile price changes caused by weather, size of the harvest, transportation and storage costs, domestic and export demand and the agricultural and energy policies of the United States and foreign governments. On October 31, 2014, the Registrant had the capacity to store approximately 2,989,000 bushels of corn at its feed mills, which was sufficient to store all of its weekly requirements for corn. Generally, the Registrant purchases its corn and other feed ingredients at current prices from suppliers and, to a limited extent, directly from farmers. Feed grains are available from an adequate number of sources. Although the Registrant has not experienced and does not anticipate problems in securing adequate supplies of feed grains, price fluctuations of feed grains have a direct and material effect upon the Registrant's profitability. Although the Registrant attempts to manage the risk of volatile price changes in grain markets by sometimes purchasing grain at current prices for future delivery, it cannot eliminate the potentially adverse effect of grain price increases.

**Processing.** Once broilers reach processing weight, they are transported to the Registrant's processing plants. These plants use modern, highly automated equipment to process and package the chickens. The Registrant's McComb,

Mississippi processing plant operates two processing lines on a double shift basis and had the capacity to process approximately 1,250,000 chickens per week on October 31, 2014. The Registrant's Collins, Mississippi processing plant operates two processing lines on a double shift basis and had the capacity to process approximately 1,250,000 chickens per week on October 31, 2014. The Registrant's Bryan, Texas processing plant operates two processing lines on a double shift basis and had the capacity to process approximately 1,250,000 chickens per week on October 31, 2014. The Registrant's Laurel and Hazlehurst, Mississippi and

## Table of Contents

Hammond, Louisiana processing plants operate on a double shift basis and collectively had the capacity to process approximately 1,875,000 chickens per week on October 31, 2014. The Registrant's Moultrie, Georgia processing plant operates two processing lines on a double shift basis and had the capacity to process approximately 1,250,000 chickens per week on October 31, 2014. The Registrant's Waco, Texas processing plant operates two processing lines on a double shift basis and had the capacity to process approximately 1,250,000 chickens per week on October 31, 2014. The Registrant's Kinston, North Carolina processing plant operates two processing lines on a double shift basis and had the capacity to process approximately 1,250,000 chickens per week on October 31, 2014. At October 31, 2014, the Company's deboning facilities were operating on a double shifted basis and had the capacity to produce approximately 10.7 million pounds of big bird boneless breast product and 8.2 million pounds of chill pack boneless breast product each week.

Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division). The facilities of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division) are located in Flowood, Mississippi in a plant with approximately 75,000 square feet of refrigerated manufacturing and storage space. The plant uses highly automated equipment to prepare, process and freeze food items.

Executive Offices; Other Facilities. The Registrant's laboratory and corporate offices are located on separate sites in Laurel, Mississippi. The office building houses the Company's corporate offices, meeting facilities and computer equipment and constitutes the corporate headquarters. As of October 31, 2014, the Registrant operated 11 automotive maintenance shops, which service approximately 967 Registrant over-the-road and farm vehicles. In addition, the Registrant has one child care facility located near its Collins, Mississippi processing plant, serving over 150 children on October 31, 2014.

### Quality Control

The Registrant believes that quality control is important to its business and conducts quality control activities throughout all aspects of its operations. The Registrant believes these activities are beneficial to efficient production and in assuring its customers receive wholesome, high quality products.

From its company owned laboratory in Laurel, Mississippi, the Director of Technical Services supervises the operation of a modern, well-equipped laboratory which, among other things, monitors sanitation at the hatcheries, quality and purity of the Registrant's feed ingredients and feed, the health of the Registrant's breeder flocks and broilers, and conducts microbiological tests of live chickens, facilities and finished products. The Registrant conducts on-site quality control activities at each of the nine processing plants and the prepared chicken plant.

### Regulation

The Registrant's facilities and operations are subject to regulation by various federal and state agencies, including, but not limited to, the Federal Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), the United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA"), the Environmental Protection Agency, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration and corresponding state agencies. The Registrant's chicken processing plants are subject to continuous on-site inspection by the USDA. The Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division) prepared chicken plant operates under the USDA's Total Quality Control Program, which is a strict self-inspection plan written in cooperation with and monitored by the USDA. The FDA inspects the production at the Registrant's feed mills.

Compliance with existing regulations has not had a material adverse effect upon the Registrant's earnings or competitive position in the past. Management believes that the Registrant is in substantial compliance with existing laws and regulations relating to the operation of its facilities and does not know of any major capital expenditures necessary to comply with such statutes and regulations.

The Registrant takes extensive precautions to ensure that its flocks are healthy and that its processing plants and other facilities operate in a healthy and environmentally sound manner. Events beyond the control of the Registrant, however, such as an outbreak of disease in its flocks or the adoption by governmental agencies of more stringent regulations, could materially and adversely affect its operations.

### Competition

The Registrant is subject to significant competition from regional and national firms in all markets in which it competes. Some of the Registrant's competitors have greater financial and marketing resources than the Registrant. The primary methods of competition are price, product quality, number of products offered, brand awareness and customer service. The Registrant has emphasized product quality and brand awareness through its advertising

strategy. See

11

---

## Table of Contents

“Business — Sales and Marketing”. Although poultry is relatively inexpensive in comparison with other meats, the Registrant competes indirectly with the producers of other meats and fish, since changes in the relative prices of these foods may alter consumer buying patterns.

One customer accounted for more than 10% of the Registrant’s consolidated sales for the years ended October 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. Sales to that customer accounted for 15.9%, 14.2% and 13.0% of the Company’s consolidated net sales in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company does not believe the loss of any single customer would have a material adverse effect on the Company because it could sell poultry earmarked for any single customer to alternative customers at market prices.

### Sources of Supply

During fiscal 2014, the Registrant purchased its pullets and cockerels from a single major breeder. The Registrant has found the genetic breeds or cross breeds supplied by this company produce chickens most suitable to the Registrant’s purposes. The Registrant has no written contracts with this breeder for the supply of breeder stock. Other sources of breeder stock are available, and the Registrant continually evaluates these sources of supply.

Should breeder stock from its present supplier not be available for any reason, the Registrant believes that it could obtain adequate breeder stock from other suppliers.

Other major raw materials used by the Registrant include feed grains and other feed ingredients, cooking ingredients and packaging materials. The Registrant purchases these materials from a number of vendors and believes that its sources of supply are adequate for its present needs. The Registrant does not anticipate any difficulty in obtaining these materials in the future.

### Seasonality

The demand for the Registrant’s chicken products generally is greatest during the spring and summer months and lowest during the winter months.

### Trademarks

The Registrant has registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office the trademark Sanderson Farms®, which it uses in connection with the distribution of its prepared chicken and premium grade chill pack products. The Registrant considers the protection of this trademark to be important to its marketing efforts due to consumer awareness of and loyalty to the Sanderson Farms® label. The Registrant also has registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office seven other trademarks that are used in connection with the distribution of chicken and other products and for other competitive purposes.

The Registrant, over the years, has developed important non-public proprietary information regarding product related matters. While the Registrant has internal safeguards and procedures to protect the confidentiality of such information, it does not generally seek patent protection for its technology.

### Employee and Labor Relations

As of October 31, 2014, the Registrant had 11,461 employees, including 1,471 salaried and 9,990 hourly employees. A collective bargaining agreement with the United Food and Commercial Workers International Union covering 474 hourly employees who work at the Registrant’s processing plant in Hammond, Louisiana expires on November 30, 2016. This collective bargaining agreement has a grievance procedure and no strike-no lockout clauses that should assist in maintaining stable labor relations at the Hammond plant.

The production, maintenance and clean-up employees at the Company’s Bryan, Texas poultry processing facility are represented by the United Food and Commercial Workers Union Local #408, AFL-CIO. A collective bargaining agreement covering 1,248 employees expires on December 31, 2014, and negotiations are ongoing to extend this agreement. The collective bargaining agreement has a grievance procedure and no strike-no lockout clause that should assist in maintaining stable labor relations at the Bryan, Texas processing facility.

### (d) FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

All of the Company’s operations are domiciled in the United States. All of the Company’s products sold in the Company’s fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012 were produced in the United States and all long-lived assets of the Company are



Table of Contents

domiciled in the United States. Gross domestic sales for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012 totaled approximately \$2,556.7 million, \$2,457.8 million and \$2,126.5 million, respectively.

The Company sells certain of its products to foreign customers and customers who resell the product in foreign markets. These foreign markets are primarily Russia, Eastern Europe, China, Mexico and the Caribbean. These gross export sales for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012 totaled approximately \$282.3 million, \$292.6 million and \$318.7 million, respectively. The Company's export sales are facilitated through independent food brokers located in the United States and the Company's internal sales staff.

(e) AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Our address on the World Wide Web is <http://www.sandersonfarms.com>. The information on our web site is not a part of this document. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports and the Company's corporate code of conduct are available, free of charge, through our web site as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed with the SEC. Information concerning corporate governance matters is also available on the website.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the following factors, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations in future periods. The risks described below are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations in future periods.

Industry cyclicalities can affect our earnings, especially due to fluctuations in commodity prices of feed ingredients and chicken.

Profitability in the poultry industry is materially affected by the commodity prices of feed ingredients, chicken, and, to a lesser extent, alternative proteins. These prices are determined by supply and demand factors, and supply and demand factors in respect of feed ingredients and chicken may not correlate. As a result, the poultry industry is subject to wide fluctuations that are called cycles. For example, grain prices during 2011 were high, while prices for chicken products did not increase proportionally, and the Company lost money. During 2012 and 2013, grain prices remained high, but market prices for chicken also increased, and the Company was profitable. During fiscal 2014, grain prices declined while market prices for chicken increased, and the Company earned near record-high margins. Typically we do well when chicken prices are high and feed prices are low. We do less well, and sometimes have losses, when chicken prices are low and feed prices are high. It is very difficult to predict when these cycles will occur. All we can safely predict is that they do and will occur.

Various factors can affect the supply of corn and soybean meal, which are the primary ingredients of the feed we use. In particular, global weather patterns, including adverse weather conditions that may result from climate change, the global level of supply inventories and demand for feed ingredients, currency fluctuations and the agricultural and energy policies of the United States and foreign governments all affect the supply of feed ingredients. Weather patterns often change agricultural conditions in an unpredictable manner. A sudden and significant change in weather patterns could affect supplies of feed ingredients, as well as both the industry's and our ability to obtain feed ingredients, grow chickens or deliver products. For example, historic drought conditions in the Midwestern United States in 2012 had a significant adverse effect on the supply and price of feed grains in fiscal 2012 and the first three quarters of 2013. In recent years, demand for corn from ethanol producers has resulted in sharply higher costs for corn and other grains.

Increases in the prices of feed ingredients will result in increases in raw material costs and operating costs. Because prices for our products are related to the commodity prices of chickens, which depend on the supply and demand dynamics of fresh chicken, we typically are not able to increase our product prices to offset these increased grain costs. We periodically enter into contracts to purchase feed ingredients at current prices for future delivery to manage our feed ingredient costs. This practice could reduce, but does not eliminate, the risk of increased operating costs from commodity price increases. In addition, if we are unsuccessful in our grain buying strategy, we could actually pay a higher cost for feed ingredients than we would if we purchased at current prices for current delivery.

Prepared chicken and poultry inventories, and inventories of feed, eggs, medication, packaging supplies and live chickens, are stated on our balance sheet at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market value. Our cost of sales is calculated during a period by adding the value of our inventories at the beginning of the period to the cost of growing, processing and distributing products produced during the period and subtracting the value of our inventories at the end of the period. If the market prices of our inventories are below the accumulated cost of those inventories at the end of a period, we would record adjustments to write down the carrying value of the inventory from cost to market value. These write-downs would directly increase our cost of sales by the amount of the write-downs. This risk is greatest when the costs of feed ingredients are high and the market value for finished poultry products is declining. For example, for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011, we recorded a charge of \$9 million to lower the value of live broiler inventories on hand at that date from cost to estimated market value because the estimated market price for the products to be produced from those live chickens, when sold, was estimated to be below the estimated cost to grow, process and distribute those chickens. The \$9 million adjustment to inventory on October 31, 2011, effectively absorbed into fiscal 2011 a portion of the costs to grow, process and distribute chickens that we would have otherwise incurred in the first quarter of fiscal 2012, thereby benefiting fiscal 2012. Any similar adjustments that we make in the

future could be material, and could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. The Company made no such adjustment during fiscal 2014.

Outbreaks of avian disease, such as avian influenza, or the perception that outbreaks may occur, can significantly restrict our ability to conduct our operations and can significantly affect demand for our products.

Table of Contents

We take reasonable precautions to ensure that our flocks are healthy and that our processing plants and other facilities operate in a sanitary and environmentally sound manner. Nevertheless, events beyond our control, such as the outbreak of avian disease, even if it does not affect our flocks, could significantly restrict our ability to conduct our operations or our sales. An outbreak of disease could result in governmental restrictions on the import and export of fresh and frozen chicken, including our fresh and frozen chicken products, or other products to or from our suppliers, facilities or customers, or require us to destroy one or more of our flocks. This could result in the cancellation of orders by our customers and create adverse publicity that may have a material adverse effect on our business, reputation and prospects. In addition, world-wide fears about avian disease, such as avian influenza, have, in the past, depressed demand for fresh chicken, which adversely impacted our sales.

In previous years there has been substantial publicity regarding a highly pathogenic Asian strain of avian influenza, or AI, known as H5N1, which has affected Asia since 2002 and which has been found in Europe, the Middle East and Africa. It is widely believed that this strain of AI is spread by migratory birds, such as ducks and geese. There have also been some cases where this strain of AI is believed to have passed from birds to humans as humans came into contact with live birds that were infected with the disease. During the first calendar quarter of 2013, there was also substantial publicity regarding a low pathogenic strain of avian influenza, known as H7N9, which affected eastern and northern China. It is widely believed that H7N9 circulates in wild birds and may have been transmitted to domestic poultry in live bird markets in and around Shanghai and Beijing. It is also believed that the virus has passed from live birds to humans as humans came into contact with live birds that were infected with the disease. Through May 2013, the virus was believed to have sickened at least 130 people and caused at least 33 deaths. There have been no reported incidents of the virus since May 2013. No human to human transmission of the disease has been proved, and there is no evidence to suggest that the consumption of properly prepared and cooked poultry could transmit the virus to humans. However, fear associated with this outbreak dampened demand for poultry, including our products, in the affected areas of China. A recurrence of this outbreak, or others similar to it, could have a material negative effect on world demand for poultry, including demand for our products.

Although the Asian strains of AI have not been identified in North America, there have been outbreaks of both low and high pathogenic strains of avian influenza in North America, including in the U.S. in 2002 and 2004 and in Mexico in 2005 and 2012. In addition, low pathogenic strains of the AI virus were detected in wild birds in the United States in 2006. During fiscal 2013, a highly pathogenic strain of avian influenza, known as H7N3, affected live poultry in several states in central Mexico. The Company has no operations in Mexico, and our live chickens have not been affected by this outbreak. However, in an effort to prevent the spread of the virus, the Mexican government and poultry industry reportedly culled approximately 27.5 million birds and undertook an extensive vaccination program in the affected areas of the country. These practices reduced the supply of available poultry in Mexico, and increased demand in Mexico for poultry produced in the United States, including our products. Although the outbreaks in North America have not generated the same level of concern, or received the same level of publicity or been accompanied by the same reduction in demand for poultry products in certain countries as that associated with the Asian strains, they have nevertheless impacted our sales. Accordingly, even if the Asian strains do not spread to North America, we cannot assure you that they will not materially adversely affect domestic or international demand for poultry produced in North America, and, if they were to spread to North America, we cannot assure you that they would not significantly affect our operations or the demand for our products, in each case in a manner having a material adverse effect on our business, reputation or prospects.

A decrease in demand for our products in the export markets could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

Nearly all of our customers are based in the United States, but some of our product is sold directly to foreign customers, and some of our United States based customers resell poultry products in the export markets. Our chicken products are sold in Russia and other former Soviet countries, China and Mexico, among other countries.

Approximately 10.0% of our gross sales in fiscal 2014 were to export markets, including approximately \$91.2 million to Mexico, \$62.1 million to China and \$36.0 million to Russia. Any disruption to the export markets, such as trade embargoes, tariffs, import bans, duties or quotas could materially impact our sales or create an oversupply of chicken in the United States. This, in turn, could cause domestic poultry prices to decline. Any quotas or bans in the future

could materially and adversely affect our sales and our results of operations.

On February 5, 2010, China announced that it would impose anti-dumping duties on U.S. chicken products beginning on February 13, 2010. The duty applicable to Sanderson Farms products was 64.5%. On April 28, 2010, China imposed countervailing duties on United States chicken products, raising the duty applicable to Sanderson Farms' products by 6.1% to 70.6%. A challenge to China's anti-dumping determination was filed by the U.S. Government with the World Trade Organization (WTO), which ruled in favor of the U.S. on September 25, 2013. China did not appeal the WTO ruling. On July 8, 2014, China announced that it had re-investigated charges that United States chicken exporters dump product in the China domestic market, causing substantial harm to the local industry. Despite the WTO's findings, China announced that its re-investigation revealed that United States exporters continue to dump product into the local China market. While China announced lower anti-dumping tariffs on certain United States producers in its July 8, 2014 announcement, the tariffs actually

Table of Contents

increased on most United States producers, including Sanderson Farms. The United States government continues to believe that the WTO ruling was correct and that China's anti-dumping determination lacks merit. Accordingly, the United States government intends to challenge China's most recent actions at the WTO, but no ruling from the WTO is expected for several months following the challenge.

On August 6, 2012, Mexico imposed anti-dumping duties on chicken drumstick and thigh imports from the United States, establishing the duty applicable to Sanderson Farms' products at 25.7%. However, Mexico suspended the implementation of the duties amidst concerns that food inflation may occur as a result. While we do not know whether or when Mexico might impose the anti-dumping duties, their implementation could reduce our revenues and profits. On October 2, 2012, pursuant to the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), the U.S. poultry industry, including Sanderson Farms, Inc., filed a complaint challenging the anti-dumping determination issued by Mexico. The complaint is currently pending.

On August 8, 2014, Russia announced economic sanctions against countries that have imposed economic sanctions on Russia in response to Russia's recent actions in Ukraine. The Russian sanctions include a ban on imports of chicken from the United States. During fiscal 2014, Sanderson Farms sold approximately 90.9 million pounds of chicken for approximately \$36.0 million to customers who resold the product in Russia. Unlike previous Russian bans on United States poultry imports when Russia represented a much larger share of total industry exports, the current ban has had a relatively smaller impact because Russia represented only 7% of total United States exports of chicken during calendar 2013.

The poultry industry is highly competitive. Some of our competitors have greater financial and marketing resources than we have.

In general, the competitive factors in the U.S. poultry industry include:

- price;
- product quality;
- brand identification;
- breadth of product line and
- customer service.

Competitive factors vary by major markets. In the food service market, competition is based on consistent quality, product development, service and price. In the U.S. retail grocery market, we believe that competition is based on product quality, brand awareness, price and customer service. Our success depends in part on our ability to manage costs and be efficient in the highly competitive poultry industry.

The loss of our major customers could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Our sales to our top ten customers represented approximately 50.8% of our net sales during the 2014 fiscal year. Our non-chill pack customers, with all of whom we do not have long-term contracts, could significantly reduce or cease their purchases from us with little or no advance notice, which could materially and adversely affect our sales and results of operations.

We must identify changing consumer preferences and develop and offer food products to meet their preferences. Consumer preferences evolve over time and the success of our food products depends on our ability to identify the tastes and dietary habits of consumers and to offer products that appeal to their preferences. We introduce new products and improved products from time to time and incur significant development and marketing cost. If our products fail to meet consumer preference, then our strategy to grow sales and profits with new products will be less successful.

Inclement weather, such as excessive heat or storms, could hurt our flocks, which could in turn have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Extreme weather in the Gulf South and Mid-Atlantic regions where we operate, such as extreme temperatures, hurricanes or other storms, could impair the health or growth of our flocks or interfere with our hatching, production or shipping operations. Some scientists believe that climate change could increase the frequency and severity of adverse weather events. Extreme weather, regardless of its cause, could affect our business due to power outages; fuel shortages; damage to infrastructure from powerful winds, rising water or extreme temperatures; disruption of shipping

channels; less efficient or non-

16

---

Table of Contents

routine operating practices necessitated by adverse weather or increased costs of insurance coverage in the aftermath of such events, among other things. Any of these factors could materially and adversely affect our results of operations. We may not be able to recover through insurance all of the damages, losses or costs that may result from weather events, including those that may be caused by climate change.

We rely heavily on the services of key personnel.

We depend substantially on the leadership of a small number of executive officers and other key employees. We have employment agreements with only three of these persons (our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, our President and Chief Operating Officer, and our Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer), and those with whom we have no agreement would not be bound by non-competition agreements or non-solicitation agreements if they were to leave us. The loss of the services of these persons could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, we may not be able to attract, retain and train the new management personnel we need for our new complexes, or do so at the pace necessary to sustain our significant company growth. We depend on the availability of, and good relations with, our employees and contract growers.

We have approximately 11,461 employees, approximately 15.0% of which are covered by collective bargaining agreements. In addition, we contract with approximately 794 independent contract poultry producers in Mississippi, Texas, North Carolina and Georgia for the grow-out of our breeder and broiler stock and the production of broiler eggs. Our operations depend on the availability of labor and contract growers and maintaining good relations with these persons and with labor unions. If we fail to maintain good relations with our employees or with the unions, we may experience labor strikes or work stoppages. If we do not attract and maintain contracts with our growers, including new growers for our new poultry complexes, our production operations could be negatively impacted and/or our growth could be restrained.

Failure of our information technology infrastructure or software could adversely affect our day-to-day operations and decision making processes and have an adverse effect on our performance.

We depend on accurate and timely information and numerical data from key software applications to aid our day-to-day business, financial reporting and decision-making and, in many cases, proprietary and custom-designed software is necessary to operate equipment in our feed mills, hatcheries and processing plants. We have put in place disaster recovery plans for our critical systems. However, any disruption caused by the failure of these systems, the underlying equipment, or communication networks could delay or otherwise adversely impact our day-to-day business and decision making, could make it impossible for us to operate critical equipment, and could have a materially adverse effect on our performance, if our disaster recovery plans do not mitigate the disruption. Disruptions could be caused by a variety of factors, such as catastrophic events or weather, power outages, or cyber-attacks on our systems by outside parties.

Immigration legislation and enforcement may affect our ability to hire hourly workers.

Immigration reform continues to attract significant attention in the public arena and the United States Congress. If new immigration legislation is enacted at the federal level or in states in which we do business, such legislation may contain provisions that could make it more difficult or costly for us to hire United States citizens and/or legal immigrant workers. In such case, we may incur additional costs to run our business or may have to change the way we conduct our operations, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. Also, despite our past and continuing efforts to hire only United States citizens and/or persons legally authorized to work in the United States, increased enforcement efforts with respect to existing immigration laws by governmental authorities may disrupt a portion of our workforce or our operations at one or more of our facilities, thereby negatively impacting our business. Officials with the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement have informally indicated an intent to focus their enforcement efforts on red meat and poultry processors.

If our poultry products become contaminated, we may be subject to product liability claims and product recalls.

Poultry products may contain disease-producing organisms, or pathogens, such as *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella* and generic *E. coli*. These pathogens are generally found in the environment and, as a result, there is a risk that they, as a result of food processing, could be present in our processed poultry products. These pathogens can also be introduced as a result of improper handling by our customers, consumers or third parties after we have shipped the

products. We control these risks through careful processing and testing of our finished product, but we cannot entirely eliminate them. We have little, if any, control over proper handling once the product has been shipped. Nevertheless, contamination that results from improper handling by our customers, consumers or third parties, or tampering with our products by those persons, may be blamed on us.

## Table of Contents

Any publicity regarding product contamination or resulting illness or death could adversely affect us even if we did not cause the contamination and could have a material adverse effect on our business, reputation and future prospects. We could be required to recall our products if they are contaminated or damaged and product liability claims could be asserted against us.

We are exposed to risks relating to product liability, product recalls, property damage and injuries to persons, for which insurance coverage is expensive, limited and potentially inadequate.

Our business operations entail a number of risks, including risks relating to product liability claims, product recalls, property damage and injuries to persons. We currently maintain insurance with respect to certain of these risks, including product liability and recall insurance, property insurance, workers compensation insurance and general liability insurance, but in many cases such insurance is expensive and difficult to obtain. We cannot assure you that we can maintain on reasonable terms sufficient coverage to protect us against losses due to any of these events.

We would be adversely affected if we expand our business by acquiring other businesses or by building new processing plants, but fail to successfully integrate the acquired business or run a new plant efficiently.

We regularly evaluate expansion opportunities such as acquiring other businesses or building new processing plants. Significant expansion involves risks such as additional debt, integrating the acquired business or new plant into our operations, attracting and retaining growers, and identifying customers for the additional product we generate. In evaluating expansion opportunities, we carefully consider the effect that financing the opportunity will have on our financial condition. Successful expansion depends on our ability to integrate the acquired business or efficiently run the new plant. If we are unable to do this, expansion could adversely affect our operations, financial results and prospects.

Governmental regulation is a constant factor affecting our business.

The poultry industry is subject to federal, state, local and foreign governmental regulation relating to the processing, packaging, storage, distribution, advertising, labeling, quality and safety of food products. Unknown matters, new laws and regulations, or stricter interpretations of existing laws or regulations may materially affect our business or operations in the future. Our failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could subject us to administrative penalties and civil remedies, including fines, injunctions and recalls of our products. Our operations are also subject to extensive and increasingly stringent regulations administered by the Environmental Protection Agency, which pertain to the discharge of materials into the environment and the handling and disposition of wastes. Failure to comply with these regulations can have serious consequences, including civil and administrative penalties and negative publicity. The removal of federal meat and poultry inspectors from our plants due to federal government budget constraints, or any other reason, could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

The Poultry Products Inspection Act prohibits the production, processing or interstate distribution of poultry meat without federal inspection. To implement this law, the United States Department of Agriculture (or USDA) stations inspectors at our poultry processing plants to observe our operations.

The Budget Control Act of 2011 mandates mandatory cuts in the budgets of many governmental agencies in the United States. Such cuts, commonly referred to as “sequestration,” took effect on March 1, 2013.

In a letter dated February 12, 2013, Thomas J. Vilsack, the U.S. Secretary of Agriculture, indicated that while furloughing food safety inspectors is the “last option” the USDA would implement to achieve necessary sequestration cuts, such action may be necessary in order to comply with the mandates of the Budget Control Act of 2011. Because applicable law would prohibit us from operating our poultry processing plants without the presence of federal inspectors, we would have to shut down our processing plants and our live chickens would continue to mature, possibly reaching weights that exceed the market standards demanded by our customers. In addition, live chickens would likely experience significantly higher mortality due to the higher live weights. Our inability to process chickens at our poultry processing plants for an extended period of time would materially disrupt our operations and our ability to deliver our product.

To date, funding for meat inspectors has been provided at levels adequate to allow uninterrupted operations. However, if funding for the USDA inspection program is not maintained, we could experience the material adverse effects described above.

Our stock price may be volatile.



## Table of Contents

The market price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to factors such as the following, many of which are beyond our control:

- market cyclicalities and fluctuations in the price of feed grains and chicken products, as described above;

quarterly variations in our operating results, or results that vary from the expectations of securities analysts and investors;

changes in investor perceptions of the poultry industry in general, including our competitors; and

general economic and competitive conditions.

In addition, purchases or sales of large quantities of our stock, or significant short positions in our stock, could have an unusual or adverse effect on our market price.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter and by-laws, as well as certain provisions of Mississippi law, may make it difficult for anyone to acquire us without approval of our board of directors.

Our articles of incorporation and by-laws contain provisions that may discourage attempts to acquire control of our company without the approval of our board of directors. These provisions, among others, include a classified board of directors, advance notification requirements for stockholders to nominate persons for election to the board and to make stockholder proposals, and special stockholder voting requirements. These measures, and any others we may adopt in the future, as well as applicable provisions of Mississippi law, may discourage offers to acquire us and may permit our board of directors to choose not to entertain offers to purchase us, even offers that are at a substantial premium to the market price of our stock. Our stockholders may therefore be deprived of opportunities to profit from a sale of control of our company, and as a result, may adversely affect the marketability and market price of our common stock.

Weak national or global economic conditions could negatively impact our business.

Our business may be adversely affected by weak national or global economic conditions, including inflation, unfavorable currency exchange rates and interest rates, the lack of availability of credit on reasonable terms, changes in consumer spending rates and habits, unemployment and underemployment, and a tight energy supply and rising energy costs. Our business could be negatively impacted if efforts and initiatives of the governments of the United States and other countries to manage and stimulate the economy fail or result in worsening economic conditions.

Deteriorating economic conditions could negatively impact consumer demand for protein generally or our products specifically, consumers' ability to afford our products, or consumer habits with respect to how they spend their food dollars.

Disruptions in credit and other financial markets caused by deteriorating or weak national and international economic conditions could, among other things, make it more difficult for us, our customers or our growers or prospective growers to obtain financing and credit on reasonable terms, cause lenders to change their practice with respect to the industry generally or our company specifically in terms of granting credit extensions and terms, impair the financial condition of our customers, suppliers or growers making it difficult for them to meet their obligations and supply raw material, or impair the financial condition of our insurers, making it difficult or impossible for them to meet their obligations to us.

The construction and potential benefits of our new facilities are subject to risks and uncertainties.

In August 2009, we began construction of a poultry complex in Kinston, North Carolina. The Kinston, North Carolina, complex began initial operations during January 2011 and was at near full capacity in March 2012. On February 14, 2013, we announced the selection of sites in and near Palestine, Texas for the construction of a new poultry complex, and construction began on or about October 1, 2013. Our ability to complete its construction on a timely basis and within budget is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties described below. In addition, the new complex may not generate the benefits we expect if demand for the products to be produced by it is different from what we expect.

In order to complete construction of the new Palestine, Texas facility and begin operations, we will need to take a significant number of steps and obtain a number of approvals, none of which we can assure you will be obtained. In particular we need to:

- identify and enter into contracts with a sufficient number of growers for the new complex;

complete construction on time; and

19

---

Table of Contents

train our workforce.

If we are unable to complete construction on schedule, attract growers, find customers for the additional product generated by the complex, run the complex efficiently, or otherwise achieve the expected benefits of our new facilities, our business could be negatively impacted.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to complete such steps on a timely basis, or at all, or on terms that are reasonable or consistent with our expectations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

The Registrant’s principal properties are as follows:

| Use  | Location (City, State)                    |
|--|---|
| Poultry processing plant, hatchery and feedmill                      | Laurel, Mississippi                       |
| Poultry processing plant, hatchery and feedmill                      | McComb, Mississippi                       |
| Poultry processing plant, hatchery and feedmill                      | Hazlehurst and Gallman, Mississippi       |
| Poultry processing plant, hatchery and feedmill                      | Bryan and Robertson Counties, Texas       |
| Poultry processing plant, hatchery and feedmill                      | Moultrie and Adel, Georgia                |
| Poultry processing plant, hatchery and feedmill                      | Kinston and Lenoir County, North Carolina |
| Poultry processing plant, hatchery and feedmill                      | Palestine and Freestone County, Texas     |
| Poultry processing plant and hatchery                                | Waco, Texas                               |
| Poultry processing plant   | Hammond, Louisiana                        |
| Poultry processing plant, hatchery, child care facility and feedmill | Collins, Mississippi                      |
| Prepared chicken plant   | Flowood, Mississippi                      |
| Corporate general offices and technical laboratory                   | Laurel, Mississippi                       |

The Registrant owns substantially all of its major operating facilities with the following exceptions: one processing plant and feed mill complex is leased on an annual renewal basis through 2063 with an option to purchase at a nominal amount at the end of the lease term. One processing plant complex is leased under four leases, which are renewable annually through 2061, 2063, 2075 and 2073, respectively. Certain infrastructure improvements associated with a processing plant are leased under a lease that expired in 2013 and is thereafter renewable annually through 2091. The lease has been renewed for 2015. All of the foregoing leases are capital leases.

There are no material encumbrances on the major operating facilities owned by the Registrant, except that, under the terms of the Company’s revolving credit agreement, the Registrant may not pledge any additional assets as collateral other than fixed assets not to exceed \$5.0 million at any one time.

Management believes that the Company’s facilities are suitable for its current purposes, and believes that current renovations and expansions will enhance present operations and allow for future internal growth.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

As reported in Item 3 of the Company’s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2013, and in its Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2014, two of our former employees filed a complaint on February 16, 2012, alleging violations of the federal and State of Georgia’s Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (“RICO”) Acts against us and seven of our current and former employees in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia. The plaintiffs contend in their complaint that the Company conspired to knowingly hire undocumented immigrants at the Moultrie plant to “save Sanderson millions of dollars in labor costs because illegal aliens will work for extremely low wages”. The action was brought

Table of Contents

as a class action lawsuit on behalf of all legally authorized hourly employees who worked at the Moultrie plant in the four years before the filing of the case. The plaintiffs sued for money damages, injunctive relief and revocation of our license to conduct business in the State of Georgia.

On September 13, 2012, the Court entered an Order granting a motion to dismiss the Complaint. After an Amended Complaint was filed by the plaintiffs on October 5, 2012, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on October 29, 2012. On February 5, 2013, the Court granted the Company’s motion to dismiss and entered an Order dismissing the Amended Complaint with prejudice. The plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit on February 8, 2013. On March 7, 2014, the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit affirmed the dismissal of the suit.

The Company is involved in various other claims and litigation incidental to its business. Although the outcome of these matters cannot be determined with certainty, management, upon the advice of counsel, is of the opinion that the final outcome should not have a material effect on the Company’s consolidated results of operations or financial position.

The Company recognizes the costs of legal defense for the legal proceedings to which it is a party in the periods incurred. After a considerable analysis of each case, the Company determines the amount of reserves required, if any. At this time, the Company has not accrued any reserve for any of these matters. Future reserves may be required if losses are deemed reasonably estimable and probable due to changes in the Company’s assumptions, the effectiveness of legal strategies, or other factors beyond the Company’s control. Future results of operations may be materially affected by the creation of reserves or by accruals of losses to reflect any adverse determinations in these legal proceedings.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

Item 4A. Executive Officers of the Registrant

| Name                  | Age | Office   | Executive Officer Since |     |
|-----------------------|-----|--|-------------------------|-----|
| Joe F. Sanderson, Jr. | 67  | Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer | 1984                    | (1) |
| Lampkin Butts         | 63  | President and Chief Operating Officer, Director                | 1996                    | (2) |
| Mike Cockrell         | 57  | Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, Director                | 1993                    | (3) |
| Tim Rigney            | 50  | Secretary and Chief Accounting Officer                         | 2012                    | (4) |

Joe F. Sanderson, Jr. has served as Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant since November 1, 1989, and as Chairman of the Board since January 8, 1998. Mr. Sanderson served as President from November 1, 1989, to October 21, 2004. From January 1984 to November 1989, Mr. Sanderson served as Vice-President, Processing and Marketing of the Registrant.

Lampkin Butts was elected President and Chief Operating Officer of the Registrant effective October 21, 2004. From November 1, 1996, to October 21, 2004, Mr. Butts served as Vice President — Sales and was elected to the Board of Directors on February 19, 1998. Prior to that time, Mr. Butts served the Registrant in various capacities since 1973.

Mike Cockrell became Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant effective November 1, 1993, and was elected to the Board of Directors on February 19, 1998. Prior to that time, for more than five years, Mr. Cockrell was a member and shareholder of the Jackson, Mississippi law firm of Wise Carter Child & Caraway, Professional Association.

Tim Rigney became Secretary of the Registrant effective November 1, 2012. Mr. Rigney also began service as Chief Accounting Officer on that date. Prior to that time, Mr. Rigney served the Registrant in various capacities since 1990.

The Company entered into employment agreements with Messrs. Sanderson, Butts and Cockrell dated as of September 15, 2009. The term of the agreements ends when the officer’s employment terminates under the provisions of the agreement. The agreements provide for severance payments to be paid to the officers if their employment is terminated in certain circumstances, as well as provisions prohibiting them from engaging in certain competitive

activity with the Company during their employment and for the two years after their employment with the Company terminates for any reason other than poor performance.

Table of Contents

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

The Company's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC under the symbol SAFM.

The number of stockholders of record as of December 12, 2014, was 3,212

The following table shows quarterly cash dividends and quarterly high and low sales prices for the common stock for the past two fiscal years. NASDAQ quotations are based on actual sales prices.

| Fiscal Year 2014 | Stock Price |         | Dividends |
|------------------|-------------|---------|-----------|
|                  | High        | Low     |           |
| First Quarter    | \$76.48     | \$65.37 | \$0.20    |
| Second Quarter   | \$83.17     | \$70.55 | \$0.20    |
| Third Quarter    | \$102.59    | \$80.77 | \$0.20    |
| Fourth Quarter   | \$96.42     | \$77.89 | \$0.72    |
| Fiscal Year 2013 | Stock Price |         | Dividends |
|                  | High        | Low     |           |
| First Quarter    | \$50.65     | \$45.38 | \$0.17    |
| Second Quarter   | \$61.26     | \$50.32 | \$0.17    |
| Third Quarter    | \$72.79     | \$60.69 | \$0.17    |
| Fourth Quarter   | \$75.07     | \$60.62 | \$0.20    |

On December 12, 2014, the closing sales price for the common stock was \$86.33 per share.

During its fourth fiscal quarter, the Company repurchased shares of its common stock as follows:

| Period                 | (a) Total Number of Shares Purchased <sup>1</sup> | (b) Average Price Paid per Share | (c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs <sup>2</sup> | (d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs <sup>3</sup> |
|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Aug. 1 - Aug. 31, 2014 | —   | \$—                              | —   | 1,000,000   |
| Sep. 1 - Sep. 30, 2014 | 1,375   | \$87.95                          | 1,375   | 1,000,000   |
| Oct. 1 - Oct. 31, 2014 | 43,610  | \$83.98                          | 43,610  | 1,000,000   |
| Total                  | 44,985  | \$84.10                          | 44,985  | 1,000,000   |

All purchases were made pursuant to the Company's Stock Incentive Plan adopted February 17, 2011, under which participants may satisfy tax withholding obligations incurred upon the vesting of restricted stock by requesting the Company to withhold shares with a value equal to the amount of the withholding obligation.

On February 24, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program under which the Company may purchase up to one million shares of its common stock in open market transactions or negotiated purchases, subject to market conditions, share price and other considerations. The authorization will expire on February 24, 2017. Unlike the Company's previous share repurchase programs, the Company's repurchase of vested restricted stock to satisfy tax withholding obligations of its Stock Incentive Plan participants will not be made under the 2014 general repurchase plan.

<sup>1</sup> Does not include vested restricted shares that may yet be repurchased under the Stock Incentive Plan as described in Note 1.

Table of Contents

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

|   | Year Ended October 31,                |             |             |             |             |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|   | 2014                                  | 2013        | 2012        | 2011        | 2010        |
|   | (In thousands, except per share data) |             |             |             |             |
| Net sales                               | \$2,774,845                           | \$2,682,980 | \$2,386,105 | \$1,978,085 | \$1,925,445 |
| Operating income (loss)                 | 381,922                               | 205,678     | 96,316      | (188,380 )  | 209,841     |
| Net income (loss)                       | 249,048                               | 130,617     | 53,944      | (127,077 )  | 134,820     |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share         | 10.80                                 | 5.68        | 2.35        | (5.74 )     | 6.07        |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share       | 10.80                                 | 5.68        | 2.35        | (5.74 )     | 6.07        |
| Working capital                         | 363,071                               | 269,200     | 262,193     | 324,296     | 238,166     |
| Total assets                            | 1,111,252                             | 924,645     | 896,453     | 948,521     | 841,620     |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities | 10,000                                | 29,414      | 150,212     | 273,670     | 62,075      |
| Stockholders' equity                    | 897,948                               | 671,599     | 550,075     | 506,900     | 645,713     |
| Cash dividends declared per share       | \$1.32                                | \$0.71      | \$0.68      | \$0.68      | \$0.62      |

Various factors affecting the comparability of the information included in the table above are discussed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

**CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE PERFORMANCE**

This Annual Report, and other periodic reports filed by the Company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and other written or oral statements made by it or on its behalf, may include forward-looking statements, which are based on a number of assumptions about future events and are subject to various risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from the views, beliefs and estimates expressed in such statements. These risks, uncertainties and other factors include, but are not limited to the following:

- (1) Changes in the market price for the Company's finished products and feed grains, both of which may fluctuate substantially and exhibit cyclical characteristics typically associated with commodity markets.
- (2) Changes in economic and business conditions, monetary and fiscal policies or the amount of growth, stagnation or recession in the global or U.S. economies, either of which may affect the value of inventories, the collectability of accounts receivable or the financial integrity of customers, and the ability of the end user or consumer to afford protein.
- (3) Changes in the political or economic climate, trade policies, laws and regulations or the domestic poultry industry of countries to which the Company or other companies in the poultry industry ship product, and other changes that might limit the Company's or the industry's access to foreign markets.
- (4) Changes in laws, regulations, and other activities in government agencies and similar organizations applicable to the Company and the poultry industry and changes in laws, regulations and other activities in government agencies and similar organizations related to food safety.
- (5) Various inventory risks due to changes in market conditions, including, but not limited to, the risk that market values of live and processed poultry inventories might be lower than the cost of such inventories, requiring a downward adjustment to record the value of such inventories at the lower of cost or market as required by generally accepted accounting principles.
- (6) Changes in and effects of competition, which is significant in all markets in which the Company competes, and the effectiveness of marketing and advertising programs. The Company competes with regional and national firms, some of which have greater financial and marketing resources than the Company.
- (7) Changes in accounting policies and practices adopted voluntarily by the Company or required to be adopted by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Table of Contents

(8)Disease outbreaks affecting the production performance and/or marketability of the Company's poultry products, or the contamination of its products.

(9)Changes in the availability and cost of labor and growers.

(10)The loss of any of the Company's major customers.

(11)Inclement weather that could hurt Company flocks or otherwise adversely affect its operations, or changes in global weather patterns that could impact the supply and price of feed grains.

(12)Failure to respond to changing consumer preferences.

(13)Failure to successfully and efficiently start up and run a new plant or integrate any business the Company might acquire.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of Sanderson Farms. Each such statement speaks only as of the day it was made. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or to revise any forward-looking statements. The factors described above cannot be controlled by the Company. When used in this annual report, the words "believes", "estimates", "plans", "expects", "should", "outlook", and "anticipates" and similar expressions as they relate to the Company or its management are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Examples of forward-looking statements include statements of the Company's belief about future demand for its products, future production levels, and future grain costs.

**GENERAL**

The Company's poultry operations are integrated through its control of all functions relative to the production of its chicken products, including hatching egg production, hatching, feed manufacturing, raising chickens to marketable age ("grow-out"), processing and marketing. Consistent with the poultry industry, the Company's profitability is substantially impacted by the market price for its finished products and feed grains, both of which may fluctuate substantially and exhibit cyclical characteristics typically associated with commodity markets. Other costs, excluding feed grains, related to the profitability of the Company's poultry operations, including hatching egg production, hatching, growing, and processing cost, are responsive to efficient cost containment programs and management practices. Over the past three fiscal years, these other normal production costs have averaged approximately 48% of the Company's total normal production costs.

The Company believes that value-added products are subject to less price volatility and generate higher, more consistent profit margin than whole chickens ice packed and shipped in bulk form. To reduce its exposure to market cyclicity that has historically characterized commodity chicken market prices, the Company has increasingly concentrated on the production and marketing of value-added product lines with emphasis on product quality, customer service, and brand recognition. However, the Company cannot eliminate its exposure to fluctuations in commodity market prices for chicken since market prices for value added products also exhibit cyclicity. The Company adds value to its poultry products by performing one or more processing steps beyond the stage where the whole chicken is first salable as a finished product, such as cutting, deboning, deep chilling, packaging and labeling the product.

The Company's prepared chicken product line includes approximately 130 institutional and consumer packaged chicken items that it sells nationally, primarily to distributors and food service establishments. A majority of the prepared chicken items are made to the specifications of food service users.

Table of Contents

Whole bird prices per pound, as measured by the Georgia Dock price, fluctuated during the three years ended October 31, 2014, as follows:

|             | 1st<br>Quarter | 2nd<br>Quarter | 3rd<br>Quarter | 4th<br>Quarter |   |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| Fiscal 2014 |                |                |                |                |   |
| High        | \$1.0450       | \$1.0825       | \$1.1275       | \$1.1400       | * |
| Low         | \$1.0425       | * \$1.0450     | \$1.0850       | \$1.1275       |   |
| Fiscal 2013 |                |                |                |                |   |
| High        | \$0.9975       | \$1.0300       | \$1.0650       | * \$1.0650     | * |
| Low         | \$0.9625       | * \$0.9975     | \$1.0325       | \$1.0475       |   |
| Fiscal 2012 |                |                |                |                |   |
| High        | \$0.9075       | \$0.9325       | \$0.9475       | \$0.9625       | * |
| Low         | \$0.8900       | * \$0.9075     | \$0.9325       | \$0.9475       |   |

\*Year High/Low

Sanderson Farms began operations at its new feed mill, poultry processing plant and hatchery on separate sites in Kinston and Lenoir County, North Carolina during the first quarter of fiscal 2011. The Kinston facilities comprise a poultry complex with the capacity to process 1.25 million birds per week for the retail chill pack market at full capacity. The facility reached near full capacity during March 2012, and is currently operating at full capacity. On February 14, 2013, the Company announced the selection of sites in and near Palestine, Texas, for the construction of its next poultry complex, and construction of the complex began on or about October 1, 2013. The new complex will consist of a feed mill, hatchery, poultry processing plant and waste water facility with the capacity to process 1.25 million chickens per week for the big bird deboning market. Before the complex can open we will need to complete construction and adequately complete workforce training. See “The construction and potential benefits of our new facilities are subject to risks and uncertainties” in the Risk Factors Section of this Annual Report. On October 24, 2013, the Company entered into a new revolving credit facility to, among other things, increase the total committed credit from \$500.0 million to \$600.0 million. The new facility also increased the annual capital expenditure limitation to \$65.0 million for fiscal years 2013 through 2018, plus, for each year, up to \$10.0 million carryover from the preceding fiscal year, when it is not actually spent in that year. The capital expenditure limitation for fiscal 2014, with the permitted carry over, was \$75.0 million. On October 29, 2014, the Company entered into an amendment to the existing agreement's capital expenditure covenants. The amendment increases the annual capital expenditure limitation to \$75.0 million, and the allowable carryover to \$15.0 million for fiscal years 2015 - 2018. The amendment also increases the amount the Company is allowed to spend on the construction of its new complex in Palestine, Texas. Under the original facility, the Company was allowed to spend up to \$140.0 million each in capital expenditures on the construction of two new poultry complexes to be located anywhere in the United States, which expenditures are in addition to the annual overall capital expenditure limits. Under the amendment, the amount allowed to be spent on construction of the new Palestine, Texas complex is increased to \$155.0 million, while the original \$140.0 million covenant amount remains in place for construction of a second potential complex. Under the facility, the Company may not exceed a maximum debt to total capitalization ratio of 55% from the date of the agreement through October 30, 2014, and 50% thereafter. The Company has a one-time right, at any time during the term of the agreement, to increase the maximum debt to total capitalization ratio then in effect by five percentage points in connection with the construction of either of the two potential new poultry complexes for the four fiscal quarters beginning on the first day of the fiscal quarter during which the Company gives written notice of its intent to exercise this right. The Company has not exercised this right. The facility also sets a minimum net worth requirement that at October 31, 2014, was \$613.6 million. The credit remains unsecured and, unless extended, will expire on October 24, 2018.

On October 9, 2008, the Company announced that it filed a Form S-3 “shelf” registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission to register for possible future sale of shares of the Company’s common and/or preferred stock at an aggregate offering price not to exceed \$1.0 billion. The Company sold 2.3 million shares of its common

stock pursuant to this registration statement on April 7, 2010, at \$53.00 per share. Under SEC rules, a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 expires after three years. The Company filed its latest renewal S-3 on October 8, 2014 to register for possible future sale shares of the Company's common and/or preferred stock at an aggregate offering price not to exceed \$1.0 billion. The stock may be offered by the Company in amounts, at prices and on terms to be determined by the board of directors if and when shares are issued.

Table of Contents

## EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW OF RESULTS — 2014

The Company's margins improved during fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013, reflecting significantly lower grain prices and slightly higher average sales prices for poultry products. Demand for fresh chicken in the retail grocery store market has been strong, and market prices for product sold to retail grocery store customers remained at or near record-high levels through most of fiscal 2014. While customer traffic through food service establishments remains under pressure due to relatively weak employment numbers and consumer confidence, average market prices for boneless breast meat and tenders sold to food service customers improved during fiscal 2014 compared to fiscal 2013. We believe this improvement was primarily driven by restricted supply of chicken and the relatively high price of the competing proteins.

Beginning in July 2012, the Company experienced historically high prices for both corn and soybean meal due to the impact on the quality and quantity of the 2012 corn and soybean crops of drought conditions in the Midwestern United States. During fiscal 2013, both corn and soybean meal stabilized below the highs they set in August 2012, but remained high relative to historical averages. While the 2013 United States corn and soybean crops were planted late as a result of wet weather, cash market prices for both corn and soybean meal moved lower as we moved into the harvest season during our fourth fiscal quarter of 2013, and although the Company's prices paid for soybean meal were flat in fiscal 2014 compared to fiscal 2013, prices paid for corn were well below last year's prices. During fiscal 2014, as compared to fiscal 2013, the average feed cost in broiler flocks processed was 18.0% lower. American farmers produced record crops of both corn and soybeans during 2014, and market prices for both have moved lower compared to last year. The Company has priced little of its grain needs past December 2014. Had it priced its remaining fiscal year 2015 needs at December 10, 2014 cash market prices, its cost of feed grains would be approximately \$22.0 million lower during fiscal 2015 compared to fiscal 2014, which includes the estimated additional volume that will be needed to feed the birds at the new Palestine, Texas complex.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS — 2014

Net sales for fiscal 2014 were \$2,774.8 million as compared to \$2,683.0 million for fiscal 2013, an increase of \$91.9 million or 3.4%. Net sales of poultry products for fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 were \$2,620.5 million and \$2,586.0 million, respectively, an increase of \$34.5 million or 1.3%. The increase in net sales of poultry products resulted from a 0.9% increase in the average sales price of poultry products sold and a 0.5% increase in the pounds of poultry products sold. During fiscal 2014 the Company sold 3,045.5 million pounds of poultry products, up from 3,031.1 million pounds during fiscal 2013. The additional pounds of poultry products sold resulted from slightly higher bird weights, offset by a 0.2% decrease in the number of chickens sold. Overall, market prices for poultry products increased during fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013. Urner Barry average market prices increased for boneless breast meat and tenders during fiscal 2014 compared to fiscal 2013 by 3.7% and 14.9%, respectively, while average market prices for jumbo wings and bulk leg quarters decreased by 19.9% and 9.6%, respectively, for the same period. The price for Georgia Dock whole birds, which reached its historical high during the Company's fourth fiscal quarter of 2014, averaged 5.7% higher during fiscal 2014 as compared to the average during fiscal 2013. Net sales of prepared chicken products during fiscal 2014 and 2013 were \$154.3 million and \$97.0 million, respectively, or an increase of 59.1%, resulting from a 55.7% increase in the pounds of prepared chicken products sold and a 2.2% increase in the average sales price of prepared chicken products sold. During fiscal 2014, the Company sold 76.1 million pounds of prepared chicken products, up from 48.9 million pounds sold during fiscal 2013.

Cost of sales for fiscal 2014 was \$2,253.9 million as compared to \$2,377.1 million during fiscal 2013, a decrease of \$123.2 million, or 5.2%. Cost of sales of poultry products sold during fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 were \$2,106.6 million and \$2,285.4 million, respectively, a decrease of \$178.8 million, or approximately 7.8%. As illustrated in the table below, the decrease in the cost of sales of poultry products sold resulted primarily from a decrease in the cost of feed per pound of broilers processed of \$0.0732 or 18.0%, partially offset by an increase in the pounds of poultry products sold of 0.5%.

Table of Contents

## Poultry Cost of Sales

(In thousands, except per pound data)

| Description                 | Year Ended<br>October 31, 2014 |          | Year Ended<br>October 31, 2013 |          | Incr/(Decr)  |             |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|
|                             | Dollars                        | Per Pnd  | Dollars                        | Per Pnd  | Dollars      | Per Pnd     |
| Beginning Inventory         | \$32,139                       | \$0.4736 | \$32,196                       | \$0.5052 | \$(57 )      | \$(0.0316 ) |
| Feed in broilers processed  | 1,020,770                      | 0.3338   | 1,237,680                      | 0.4070   | (216,910 )   | (0.0732 )   |
| All other cost of sales     | 1,078,140                      | 0.3526   | 1,047,681                      | 0.3446   | 30,459       | 0.0080      |
| Less: Ending Inventory      | 24,426                         | 0.3983   | 32,139                         | 0.4736   | (7,713 )     | (0.0753 )   |
| Total poultry cost of sales | \$2,106,623                    | \$0.6917 | \$2,285,418                    | \$0.7540 | \$(178,795 ) | \$(0.0623 ) |
| Pounds:                     |                                |          |                                |          |              |             |
| Beginning Inventory         | 67,859                         |          | 63,729                         |          |              |             |
| Poultry processed           | 3,057,635                      |          | 3,040,647                      |          |              |             |
| Poultry Sold                | 3,045,482                      |          | 3,031,091                      |          |              |             |
| Ending Inventory            | 61,333                         |          | 67,859                         |          |              |             |

Other costs of sales of poultry products include labor, contract grower pay, packaging, freight and certain fixed costs, among other costs. During fiscal 2014 and 2013 respectively, other costs of sales of poultry products also included approximately \$13.8 million and \$12.8 million of charges related to the Company's bonus award program. These non-feed related costs of poultry products sold increased \$0.0080 per pound processed, or 2.3%, during fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013. During fiscal 2014 costs of sales of the Company's prepared chicken products were \$147.3 million as compared to \$91.6 million during fiscal 2013, an increase of \$55.6 million, or 60.7%, primarily attributable to a 55.7% increase in the pounds of prepared chicken products sold. The increase in the pounds of prepared chicken products sold was a result of new customers added during the year and, to a lesser extent, increased volume sold to existing customers.

The Company recorded the value of live broiler inventories on hand at October 31, 2014 at cost. When market conditions are favorable, the Company values the broiler inventories on hand at cost, and accumulates costs as the birds are grown to a marketable age subsequent to the balance sheet date. In periods where the Company estimates that the cost to grow live birds in inventory to a marketable age and then process and distribute those birds will be higher than the anticipated sales price, the Company will make an adjustment to lower the value of live birds in inventory to the market value. No such charge was required at October 31, 2014 or October 31, 2013.

Selling, general and administrative costs during fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 were \$139.0 million and \$100.2 million, respectively, an increase of \$38.8 million. The following table shows the components of selling, general and administrative costs for the twelve months ended October 31, 2014 and 2013.

Table of ContentsSelling, General and Administrative Costs  
(in thousands)

| Description                          | Year Ended<br>October 31, 2014 | Year Ended<br>October 31, 2013 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Administrative salaries              | \$ 26,467                      | \$ 24,950                      |
| ESOP expense                         | 15,000                         | 8,400                          |
| Stock compensation expense           | 12,102                         | 7,776                          |
| Bonus award program expense          | 11,886                         | 8,248                          |
| Trainee expense                      | 9,812                          | 5,897                          |
| Marketing expense                    | 7,788                          | 1,007                          |
| Start-up expense                     | 5,686                          | 4                              |
| Sanderson Farms Championship expense | 5,080                          | 2,333                          |
| Amortization of Loan Closing Costs   | 856                            | 1,018                          |
| Nash County, North Carolina expense  | —                              | 1,795                          |
| Uncollectible accounts               | 29                             | 415                            |
| All other S, G & A                   | 44,319                         | 38,404                         |
| Total S, G & A                       | \$ 139,025                     | \$ 100,247                     |

As illustrated in the table above, the \$38.8 million increase in selling, general and administrative costs during fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013 resulted from a \$16.1 million increase in labor costs including payroll and stock-based and incentive based compensation. Additional areas where selling, general and administrative costs increased include marketing expense by \$6.8 million, start-up expense by \$5.7 million, trainee expense by \$3.9 million and Sanderson Farms Championship expense by \$2.7 million. The increase in marketing expense results from the Company's decision to launch a new series of television advertisements during 2014 to build brand recognition and loyalty, which campaign is expected to continue into fiscal 2015. The increase in start-up expense relates to the start-up of the Company's new poultry complex in Palestine, Texas. Costs associated with that facility's start-up will be included in selling, general and administrative costs until the point that facility begins processing birds. The increase in trainee expense is attributable to an increase in trainee staff. The Sanderson Farms Championship is a PGA TOUR event for which the Company is committed as the title sponsor for years 2013 through 2016. Given the Company's commitment to be the title sponsor over that period, we are committed to increasing the tournament's exposure for the benefit of the charities it supports, as well as the Company. Accordingly, the Company incurred greater expenses during fiscal 2014 when compared to fiscal 2013. Partially offsetting the increases listed above is a \$1.8 million decrease in expenses related to the previously planned construction of a new facility in Nash County, North Carolina. Regarding that planned construction, the Company previously capitalized \$800,000 in various charges. On November 13, 2012, the Company announced that Nash County, North Carolina would no longer be considered as a potential site for the new facility. Accordingly, the Company expensed the related charges in the first quarter of fiscal 2013. Additionally, upon determining that Nash County would no longer be considered as a potential site for the new facility, the Company chose to reimburse Nash County and its related economic development organization approximately \$1.0 million in legal fees incurred by those entities during the planning phase of the expansion, and those fees were also expensed in the first quarter of fiscal 2013.

The Company's operating income during fiscal 2014 was \$381.9 million as compared to an operating income during fiscal 2013 of \$205.7 million. The improvement in the Company's operating margin resulted primarily from lower costs of feed grains and improved market prices of poultry products during fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013, as described above.

Interest expense during fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 was \$2.6 million and \$6.1 million, respectively. The decrease in interest expense during fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013 resulted primarily from lower outstanding debt during fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013. Additionally, during fiscal 2014 the Company capitalized \$1.1 million of interest related to the construction of the new complex in Palestine, Texas, compared to capitalized interest of \$75,236 during fiscal 2013.

The Company's effective tax rate for fiscal 2014 was 34.4% as compared to 34.7% for fiscal 2013. The effective tax rate for fiscal 2013 includes an approximate 0.3% discrete favorable benefit recognized in the period related to legislation enacted during the first quarter. The effective tax rate declined from 2013 as a result of the Company's growth in pretax income during 2014. The Company's effective tax rate differs from the statutory federal rate due to state income taxes, certain nondeductible expenses for federal income tax purposes and certain state and federal tax credits.

Table of Contents

The Company's net income during fiscal 2014 was \$249.0 million, or \$10.80 per share, as compared to net income during fiscal 2013 of \$130.6 million or \$5.68 per share.

**EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW OF RESULTS — 2013**

The Company's margins improved during fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012, reflecting improved market prices for poultry products, partially offset by higher grain prices. Demand for fresh chicken in the retail grocery store and export markets was stable, while customer traffic through casual dining and food service establishments remained under pressure. However, average market prices for boneless breast meat improved significantly during fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012 primarily, we believe, due to the high price of competing proteins, especially beef, and the addition of new chicken menu items at several quick serve restaurant chains and chicken promotions at casual dining establishments.

Beginning in July 2012, the Company experienced historically high prices for both corn and soybean meal due to the impact on the quality and quantity of the 2012 corn and soybean crops of drought conditions in the Midwestern United States. During fiscal 2013, both corn and soybean meal stabilized below the highs they set in 2012, but remained high relative to historical averages. During fiscal 2013, as compared to fiscal 2012, the average feed cost in broiler flocks processed was 5.7% higher. While the 2013 corn and soybean crops in the United States were planted late as a result of wet weather, cash market prices for both corn and soybean meal moved lower as we moved into the harvest season during our fourth fiscal quarter of 2013, fueled by optimism regarding the quantity and quality of the 2013 grain crops.

In light of challenging market conditions that existed during fiscal 2011 and the beginning of fiscal 2012, the Company reduced production beginning in January 2012 by four percent at all of its facilities except for its new facility in Kinston, North Carolina, which was moving to near full production at that time. The Company announced an additional two percent production cut in August 2012 in light of record high grain costs at the time. The Company returned to full production at all facilities in June 2013.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS — 2013**

Net sales for fiscal 2013 were \$2,683.0 million as compared to \$2,386.1 million for fiscal 2012, an increase of \$296.9 million or 12.4%. Net sales of poultry products for fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012 were \$2,586.0 million and \$2,297.0 million, respectively, an increase of \$289.0 million or 12.6%. The increase in net sales of poultry products resulted from a 9.6% increase in the average sales price of poultry products sold and a 2.7% increase in the pounds of poultry products sold. During fiscal 2013 the Company sold 3,031.1 million pounds of poultry products, up from 2,951.8 million pounds during fiscal 2012. The additional pounds of poultry products sold resulted from slightly higher bird weights, a 1.0% increase in the number of chickens sold, primarily attributable to the Company's return to full production at all facilities during fiscal 2013, as well as the new Kinston complex, which began initial operation during the first quarter of fiscal 2011 and reached near full capacity during March 2012. The complex sold 346.6 million pounds, or 11.4% of the total poultry pounds sold by the Company during fiscal 2013, up from 311.5 million pounds of poultry, or 10.6% of the total poultry pounds sold during fiscal 2012. The additional pounds sold by the new complex in Kinston, North Carolina, were partially offset by the Company operating at 6% below full production for the first seven months of fiscal 2013, as described above in the Executive Overview of Results – 2013. Overall, market prices for poultry products increased during fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012. Urner Barry average market prices increased for boneless breast meat and tenders during fiscal 2013 compared to fiscal 2012 by 15.3% and 4.0%, respectively, while average market prices for jumbo wings and bulk leg quarters decreased by 5.1% and 0.5%, respectively, for the same period. The price for Georgia Dock whole birds, which reached an historical high during the Company's third fiscal quarter of 2013, averaged 10.4% higher during fiscal 2013 as compared to the average during fiscal 2012. Net sales of prepared chicken products during fiscal 2013 and 2012 were \$97.0 million and \$89.1 million, respectively, or an increase of 8.9%, resulting from a 3.8% increase in the average sales price of prepared chicken products sold and a 4.9% increase in the pounds of prepared chicken products sold. During fiscal 2013, the Company sold 48.9 million pounds of prepared chicken products, up from 46.6 million pounds sold during fiscal 2012.

Cost of sales for fiscal 2013 was \$2,377.1 million as compared to \$2,212.7 million during fiscal 2012, an increase of \$164.4 million, or 7.4%. Cost of sales of poultry products sold during fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012 were \$2,285.4

million and \$2,128.4 million, respectively, an increase of \$157.0 million, or approximately 7.4%. As illustrated in the table below, which excludes the reversal of the \$9.0 million live inventory adjustment at October 31, 2011, for comparison purposes, the increase in the cost of sales of poultry products sold resulted primarily from an increase in the pounds of poultry products sold of 2.7% and an increase in the cost of feed per pound of broilers processed of \$0.0217 or 5.7%.

Table of Contents

## Poultry Cost of Sales

(In thousands, except percentages and per pound data)

| Description                 | Year Ended<br>October 31, 2013 |          | Year Ended<br>October 31, 2012 |          | Incr/(Decr) |             |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
|                             | Dollars                        | Per Pnd  | Dollars                        | Per Pnd  | Dollars     | Per Pnd     |
| Beginning Inventory         | \$32,196                       | \$0.5052 | \$27,892                       | \$0.5117 | \$4,304     | \$(0.0065 ) |
| Feed in broilers processed  | 1,237,680                      | 0.4070   | 1,139,994                      | 0.3853   | 97,686      | 0.0217      |
| All other cost of sales     | 1,047,681                      | 0.3446   | 1,001,692                      | 0.3385   | 45,989      | 0.0061      |
| Less: Ending Inventory      | 32,139                         | 0.4658   | 32,196                         | 0.5052   | (57 )       | (0.0394 )   |
| Total poultry cost of sales | \$2,285,418                    | \$0.7540 | \$2,137,382 (1)                | \$0.7241 | \$148,036   | \$0.0299    |
| Pounds:                     |                                |          |                                |          |             |             |
| Beginning Inventory         | 63,729                         |          | 54,508                         |          |             |             |
| Poultry processed           | 3,040,647                      |          | 2,958,885                      |          |             |             |
| Poultry Sold                | 3,031,091                      |          | 2,951,807                      |          |             |             |
| Ending Inventory            | 67,859                         |          | 63,729                         |          |             |             |

Note (1) – Excludes the reversal of the \$9.0 million live inventory adjustment at October 31, 2011.

Other costs of sales of poultry products include labor, contract grower pay, packaging, freight and certain fixed costs, among other costs. During fiscal 2013, other costs of sales of poultry products also included approximately \$12.8 million of charges related to the Company's bonus award program. These non-feed related costs of poultry products sold increased \$0.0061 per pound processed, or 1.8%, during fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012. During fiscal 2013 costs of sales of the Company's prepared chicken products were \$91.6 million as compared to \$84.3 million during fiscal 2012, an increase of \$7.3 million, or 8.7%, primarily attributable to a 4.9% increase in the pounds of prepared chicken products sold and a 1.5% increase in the per pound costs of raw materials purchased.

The Company recorded the value of live broiler inventories on hand at October 31, 2013, at cost. When market conditions are favorable, the Company values the broiler inventories on hand at cost, and accumulates costs as the birds are grown to a marketable age subsequent to the balance sheet date. In periods where the Company estimates that the cost to grow live birds in inventory to a marketable age and then process and distribute those birds will be higher than the anticipated sales price, the Company will make an adjustment to lower the value of live birds in inventory to the market value. No such charge was required at October 31, 2013, or October 31, 2012.

Selling, general and administrative costs during fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012 were \$100.2 million and \$77.1 million, respectively, an increase of \$23.1 million. The following table shows the components of selling, general and administrative costs for the twelve months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012.

Table of ContentsSelling, General and Administrative Costs  
(in thousands)

| Description                          | Year Ended<br>October 31, 2013 | Year Ended<br>October 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Administrative salaries              | \$ 24,950                      | \$ 24,227                      |
| ESOP expense                         | 8,400                          | 3,800                          |
| Stock compensation expense           | 7,776                          | 4,785                          |
| Bonus award program expense          | 8,248                          | 26                             |
| Trainee expense                      | 5,897                          | 4,201                          |
| Amortization of Loan Closing Costs   | 1,018                          | 576                            |
| Sanderson Farms Championship expense | 2,333                          | —                              |
| Nash County, North Carolina expense  | 1,795                          | —                              |
| Marketing expense                    | 1,007                          | 1,268                          |
| Uncollectible accounts               | 415                            | 412                            |
| All other S, G & A                   | 38,408                         | 37,802                         |
| Total S, G & A                       | \$ 100,247                     | \$ 77,097                      |

As illustrated in the table above, the \$23.1 million increase in selling, general and administrative costs during fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012 resulted from a \$4.6 million increase in the accrual related to the Company's Employee Stock Ownership Plan, \$3.0 million in additional stock compensation expense related to restricted stock and performance share agreements, as described in Note 3 – Stock Compensation Plans, and \$8.2 million in additional expense for the Company's bonus award program. Contributions in these areas are based on profitability, and accordingly, the accruals recorded for fiscal 2012 were less than those recorded for fiscal 2013. Selling, general and administrative costs also increased as a result of a \$0.4 million increase in the amortization of loan closing costs. The Company was amortizing the loan closing costs attributable to its previously existing revolving credit facility through February 2016, when it was scheduled to expire. The Company entered into a new revolving credit facility, which replaced the previously existing agreement, in October 2013, and the Company expensed a portion of the balance of unamortized loan closing costs attributable to the previous agreement. The remaining balance, together with fees incurred related to the new agreement, will be deferred and amortized over the life of the new agreement.

Additionally, the Company's sponsorship of the Sanderson Farms Championship golf tournament held during July 2013 resulted in approximately \$2.3 million in expenses recognized in fiscal 2013 that were absent during fiscal 2012. The increase in selling, general and administrative costs is also attributable to the \$1.8 million write-off of legal and other costs incurred related to the planned expansion in Nash County, North Carolina. Regarding the planned construction of a new facility in Nash County, North Carolina, the Company previously capitalized approximately \$800,000 in various charges. On November 13, 2012, the Company announced that Nash County, North Carolina, would no longer be considered as a potential site for the new facility. Accordingly, the Company expensed the related charges in the first quarter of fiscal 2013. Additionally, upon determining that Nash County would no longer be considered as a potential site for the new facility, the Company chose to reimburse Nash County and its related economic development organization approximately \$1.0 million in legal fees incurred by those entities during the planning phase of the expansion, and those fees were also expensed in the first quarter of fiscal 2013.

The Company's operating income during fiscal 2013 was \$205.7 million as compared to an operating income during fiscal 2012 of \$96.3 million. The improvement in the Company's operating margin resulted primarily from improved market prices of poultry products during fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012, partially offset by higher costs of feed grains, as described above.

Interest expense during fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012 was \$6.1 million and \$9.2 million, respectively. The decrease in interest expense during fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012 resulted primarily from lower outstanding debt during fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company capitalized interest of \$75,236 related to the construction of the new complex in Palestine, Texas. The Company did not capitalize any interest during fiscal 2012.

The Company's effective tax rate for fiscal 2013 was 34.7% as compared to 37.7% for fiscal 2012. The effective tax rate for fiscal 2013 includes an approximate 0.3% discrete favorable benefit recognized in the period related to legislation enacted during the first quarter. The Company's effective tax rate differs from the statutory federal rate due to state income taxes, certain nondeductible expenses for federal income tax purposes and certain state and federal tax credits.

Table of Contents

The Company's net income during fiscal 2013 was \$130.6 million, or \$5.68 per share, as compared to net income during fiscal 2012 of \$53.9 million or \$2.35 per share.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's working capital, calculated by subtracting current liabilities from current assets, at October 31, 2014, was \$363.1 million, and its current ratio, calculated by dividing current assets by current liabilities, was 3.5 to 1. The Company's working capital and current ratio at October 31, 2013, were \$269.2 million and 2.7 to 1, respectively.

These measures reflect the Company's ability to meet its short term obligations and are included here as a measure of the Company's short term market liquidity. The Company's principal sources of liquidity available during fiscal 2014 included cash on hand at November 1, 2013, cash flows from operations, and funds available under the Company's revolving credit facility. As described below, the Company entered into a new revolving credit facility dated October 24, 2013, to, among other things, increase the available credit to \$600.0 million from \$500.0 million, and to extend the term from February 2016 to October 2018. As of October 31, 2014 and December 12, 2014, the Company had no outstanding draws under the facility and had approximately \$13.8 million outstanding in letters of credit, leaving \$586.2 million available.

The Company's cash position at October 31, 2014 and October 31, 2013, consisted of \$165.6 million and \$85.6 million, respectively, in cash and cash equivalents. The Company's ability to invest cash is limited by covenants in its revolving credit agreement to short term investments. All of the Company's cash at October 31, 2014 and October 31, 2013, was held in checking accounts and highly liquid, overnight investment accounts maintained at two banks. There were no restrictions on the Company's access to its cash and cash investments, and such cash and cash investments were available to the Company on demand to fund its operations.

Cash flows provided by operating activities during fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 were \$306.5 million and \$252.9 million, respectively. The increase in cash flows from operating activities of \$53.6 million resulted primarily from the lower costs of feed grains experienced by the Company during fiscal 2014, as well as improved market prices for poultry products during fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013. These items were partially offset by a \$75.1 million increase in cash paid for income taxes during fiscal 2014 when compared to fiscal 2013.

Cash flows provided by operating activities during fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012 were \$252.9 million and \$205.9 million, respectively. The increase in cash flows from operating activities of \$46.9 million resulted primarily from improved market prices for poultry products during fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012, and a \$27.2 million decrease in live chicken, feed, and egg inventories attributable to the declining costs of feed grains experienced as we moved through the fourth quarter of fiscal 2013. These items were partially offset by the receipt of an \$82.7 million federal income tax refund in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, which was absent during fiscal 2013.

Cash flows used in investing activities during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, were \$171.1 million, \$54.4 million and \$49.2 million, respectively. The Company's capital expenditures during fiscal 2014 of \$171.6 million included approximately \$104.6 million for construction at the Company's new Palestine, Texas complex and approximately \$7.4 million for a new Company aircraft. The Company's capital expenditures during fiscal 2013 were \$54.5 million and included approximately \$5.7 million for the early stages of construction at the Palestine, Texas complex. The Company's capital expenditures during fiscal 2012 were \$49.2 million and included \$2.0 million for a new Company aircraft. Excluding expenditures related to the Palestine complex and new aircraft during fiscal 2014 and the Palestine complex during fiscal 2013, the Company's capital expenditures for those years were \$59.6 million and \$48.8 million, respectively.

Cash flows used in financing activities during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 were \$55.3 million, \$140.7 million and \$140.0 million, respectively. During fiscal 2014, the Company made the third of five \$10.0 million annual installments on the \$50.0 million Farm Credit Services term loan, and completed an early purchase option of an aircraft that was previously subject to a capital lease. Additionally, the Company paid approximately \$30.5 million in dividends to its shareholders, of which approximately \$11.5 million resulted from a special cash dividend declared during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2014. During fiscal 2013, the Company reduced net outstanding borrowings under its revolving credit facility by \$110.0 million and made the second of five \$10.0 million annual installments on the Farm Credit Services term loan. During fiscal 2012, the Company reduced net outstanding borrowings under its revolving credit facility by \$112.7 million and made the first of five \$10.0 million annual installments on the Farm

Credit Services term loan.

As of December 12, 2014, the Company's fiscal 2015 capital budget, excluding operating leases, is approximately \$116.1 million. The 2015 capital budget will be funded by cash on hand at October 31, 2014, internally generated working capital, cash flows from operations and, as needed, draws under the Company's revolving credit facility. The Company had \$586.2 million available under the revolving line of credit at October 31, 2014. The fiscal 2015 capital budget includes

32

---

Table of Contents

approximately \$39.7 million for construction of the Company's new Palestine, Texas, complex. Excluding the budget for construction of the new complex, the fiscal 2015 capital budget is \$76.4 million.

On October 9, 2008, the Company announced that it filed a Form S-3 "shelf" registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission to register for possible future sale of shares of the Company's common and/or preferred stock at an aggregate offering price not to exceed \$1.0 billion. The Company sold 2.3 million shares of its common stock pursuant to this registration statement on April 7, 2010, at \$53.00 per share. Under SEC rules, a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 expires after three years. The Company filed its latest renewal S-3 on October 8, 2014 to register for possible future sale shares of the Company's common and/or preferred stock at an aggregate offering price not to exceed \$1.0 billion. The stock may be offered by the Company in amounts, at prices and on terms to be determined by the board of directors if and when shares are issued.

On September 19, 2013, the Company announced plans for construction of a new feed mill, hatchery, poultry processing plant and waste water facility on separate sites in Palestine, Anderson County, and Freestone County, Texas, and construction of the complex began in October 2013. The Company began operations at the new hatchery in November 2014, and expects initial operations to commence at the new processing plant in February 2015. The Company expects the investment in the new complex to total approximately \$150.0 million. The new facilities will have the capacity to process 1,250,000 birds per week for the big bird deboning market. At full capacity, the complex will employ approximately 1,150 people, will require approximately 100 contract growers, and will be equipped to process and sell 9.7 million dressed poultry pounds per week. Before the complex can become fully operational, we will need to enter into contracts with a sufficient number of growers and complete construction. See "The construction and potential benefits of our new facilities are subject to risks and uncertainties" in the Risk Factors Section of this Annual Report.

On October 24, 2013, the Company entered into a new revolving credit facility to, among other things, increase the total committed credit from \$500.0 million to \$600.0 million. The new facility also increased the annual capital expenditure limitation to \$65.0 million for fiscal years 2013 through 2018, plus, for each year, up to \$10.0 million carryover from the preceding fiscal year, when it is not actually spent in that year. The capital expenditure limitation for fiscal 2014, with the permitted carry over, was \$75.0 million. On October 29, 2014, the Company entered into an amendment to the existing agreement's capital expenditure covenants. The amendment increased the annual capital expenditure limitation to \$75.0 million, and the allowable carryover to \$15.0 million for fiscal years 2015 - 2018. The amendment also increases the amount the Company is allowed to spend on the construction of its new complex in Palestine, Texas. Under the original facility, the Company was allowed to spend up to \$140.0 million each in capital expenditures on the construction of two new poultry complexes to be located anywhere in the United States, which expenditures are in addition to the annual overall capital expenditure limits. Under the amendment, the amount allowed to be spent on construction of the new Palestine, Texas complex is increased to \$155.0 million, while the original \$140.0 million covenant remains in place for construction of a second potential complex. Under the facility, the Company may not exceed a maximum debt to total capitalization ratio of 55% from the date of the agreement through October 30, 2014, and 50% thereafter. The Company has a one-time right, at any time during the term of the agreement, to increase the maximum debt to total capitalization ratio then in effect by five percentage points in connection with the construction of either of the two potential new poultry complexes for the four fiscal quarters beginning on the first day of the fiscal quarter during which the Company gives written notice of its intent to exercise this right. The Company has not exercised this right. The facility also sets a minimum net worth requirement that at October 31, 2014, was \$613.6 million. The credit remains unsecured and, unless extended, will expire on October 24, 2018.

The Company regularly evaluates both internal and external growth opportunities, including acquisition opportunities and the possible construction of new production assets, and conducts due diligence activities in connection with such opportunities. The cost and terms of any financing to be raised in conjunction with any growth opportunity, including the Company's ability to raise debt or equity capital on terms and at costs satisfactory to the Company, and the effect of such opportunities on the Company's balance sheet, are critical considerations in any such evaluation.

Contractual Obligations

Obligations under long-term debt, non-cancelable operating leases, purchase obligations relating to feed grains, other feed ingredients, packaging supplies and construction contracts and claims payable relating to the Company's workers' compensation insurance policy at October 31, 2014, were as follows (in thousands):

33

---

Table of Contents

| Contractual Obligations                              | Payments Due By Period |                     |              |              |                      |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|
|  | Total                  | Less than<br>1 Year | 1-3<br>Years | 3-5<br>Years | More than<br>5 Years |
| Long-term debt                                       | \$20,000               | \$10,000            | \$10,000     | \$—          | \$—                  |
| Interest on long-term debt                           | 1,224                  | 918                 | 306          | —            | —                    |
| Operating leases                                     | 20,410                 | 7,668               | 9,012        | 3,730        | —                    |
| Purchase obligations:                                |                        |                     |              |              |                      |
| Feed grains, feed ingredients and packaging supplies | 72,444                 | 68,640              | 3,804        | —            | —                    |
| Construction contracts                               | 39,651                 | 39,651              | —            | —            | —                    |
| Claims payable                                       | 16,047                 | 6,047               | 10,000       | —            | —                    |
| Total  | \$169,776              | \$132,924           | \$33,122     | \$3,730      | \$—                  |

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions, and the differences could be material.

## Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

In the normal course of business, the Company extends credit to its customers on a short-term basis. Although credit risks associated with customers are considered minimal, the Company routinely reviews its accounts receivable balances and makes provisions for probable doubtful accounts based on an individual assessment of a customer’s credit quality as well as subjective factors and trends, including the aging of receivable balances. In circumstances where management is aware of a specific customer’s inability to meet its financial obligations to the Company, a specific reserve is recorded to reduce the receivable to the amount expected to be collected. If circumstances change (i.e., higher than expected defaults or an unexpected material adverse change in a major customer’s ability to meet its financial obligations to the Company), estimates of the recoverability of amounts due could be reduced by a material amount, and the allowance for doubtful accounts and related bad debt expense would increase by the same amount.

## Inventories

Processed and prepared inventories and inventories of feed, eggs, medication and packaging supplies are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market value. When market prices for poultry are low and feed grains are high, the Company may be required to write down the carrying values of processed poultry and live inventories to fair market value, which would increase the Company’s cost of sales.

Live poultry inventories of broilers are stated at the lower of cost or market and breeders at cost less accumulated amortization. The cost associated with broiler inventories, consisting principally of chicks, feed, medicine and payments to the growers who raise the chicks for us, are accumulated during the growing period. The cost associated with breeder inventories, consisting principally of breeder chicks, feed, medicine and grower payments are accumulated during the growing period. Capitalized breeder costs are then amortized over nine months using the straight-line method. Mortality of broilers and breeders is charged to cost of sales as incurred. If market prices for chicks, feed or medicine or if grower payments increase (or decrease) during the period, the Company could have an increase (or decrease) in the market value of its inventory as well as an increase (or decrease) in cost of sales. Should the Company decide that the nine month amortization period used to amortize the breeder costs is no longer appropriate as a result of operational changes, a shorter (or longer) amortization period could increase (or decrease) the cost of sales recorded in future periods. High mortality from disease or extreme temperatures would result in abnormal charges to cost of sales to write-down live poultry inventories.

The Company made an adjustment to the value of its live inventories at October 31, 2011. As with processed inventories, the value of live chickens, the costs for which are accumulated during the life of a flock as each flock is fed and cared for, must be recorded on the Company’s financial statements at the lower of cost or market value. Because of relatively low market prices for poultry during November and December 2011 and high feed grains, the projected cost to complete, process and sell broilers included in live inventory at October 31, 2011, was expected to

exceed the market value for the finished product. Accordingly, the Company's results for the year ended October 31, 2011, include a charge of \$9.0 million before income taxes

## Table of Contents

to reduce the value of live inventories from cost to market. The Company's live broiler inventories are recorded at cost at October 31, 2014 and 2013, because the estimated market value was higher than the estimated cost to complete those live broiler inventories. Breeders are generally not subject to lower of cost or market reserves due to their longer production lives.

### Long-Lived Assets

Depreciable long-lived assets are primarily comprised of buildings and machinery and equipment. Depreciation is provided by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives, which are 15 to 39 years for buildings and 3 to 12 years for machinery and equipment. An increase or decrease in the estimated useful lives would result in changes to depreciation expense.

The Company continually reevaluates the carrying value of its long-lived assets for events or changes in circumstances that indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. As part of this reevaluation, the Company estimates the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposal. If the sum of the expected future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss is recognized to reduce the carrying value of the long-lived asset to the estimated fair value of the asset. If the Company's assumptions with respect to the future expected cash flows associated with the use of long-lived assets currently recorded change, then the Company's determination that no impairment charges are necessary may change and result in the Company recording an impairment charge in a future period.

### Accrued Self Insurance

Insurance expense for workers' compensation benefits and employee-related health care benefits are estimated using historical experience and actuarial estimates. The Company accrues expenses in its workers' compensation and employee benefit plans for both known claims as well as claims incurred but not reported. Stop-loss coverage is maintained with third party insurers to limit the Company's total exposure. Management regularly reviews the assumptions used to recognize periodic expenses. Any resulting adjustments to accrued claims are reflected in current operating results. There are no material adjustments to expenses accrued in prior periods in current expenses. If historical experience proves not to be a good indicator of future expenses, if management were to use different actuarial assumptions, or if there is a negative trend in the Company's claims history, there could be a significant increase or decrease in cost of sales depending on whether these expenses increased or decreased, respectively.

### Performance Share Plans

The Company enters into performance share agreements that grant certain officers and key employees the right to receive shares of the Company's common stock, subject to the Company's achievement of certain performance measures. The performance measures in the outstanding agreements relate to the Company's average return on equity and average return on sales over a two year performance period. There is an additional one-year service period during which the holder must be employed by the Company to be eligible to receive the shares that met the performance measures. The Company must estimate, at the end of each reporting period, the probability that all or some portion of the shares will be earned at the end of the total three year vesting period. In making this estimate, the Company considers, among other factors, the current and projected grain costs and chicken volumes and pricing, as well as the amount of commitments to procure grain at a fixed price throughout the performance period. Due to the high level of volatility of these commodity prices and the impact that the change in pricing can have on the Company's results, the Company's assessment of probability can change from period to period and can result in a significant revision to the amounts accrued related to the awards. The accounting for these awards requires the Company to accrue over the three year vesting period the estimated amounts of the shares that will be earned with adjustments made during the service period using the cumulative catch up method. With respect to the fiscal 2012 awards, which vested and were issued effective October 31, 2014, the Company expensed a total of approximately \$5.0 million, of which \$3.4 million was booked during fiscal 2013 and \$1.6 million was booked during fiscal 2014. With respect to the fiscal 2013 awards, the Company has accrued \$5.8 million as of October 31, 2014, which is the maximum amount that could be accrued based on the Company's determination that it is probable that the maximum amount of those outstanding awards that could be earned would be earned at the end of the requisite periods. However, because of the volatility of the factors previously discussed, as of October 31, 2014, the Company was unable to determine that it was probable that awards from outstanding agreements entered into during fiscal 2014 would be earned, and therefore it has not accrued any

amount for those awards. Had the Company determined that it was probable that the maximum amount of those outstanding awards from the fiscal 2014 agreements would be earned, an additional \$3.2 million would have been accrued as of October 31, 2014.

## Table of Contents

### Income Taxes

The Company determines its effective tax rate by estimating its permanent differences resulting from differing treatment of items for financial and income tax purposes. The Company is periodically audited by taxing authorities and considers any adjustments made as a result of the audits in computing the Company's income tax expense. Any audit adjustments affecting permanent differences could have an impact on the Company's effective tax rate.

Deferred income taxes are accounted for using the liability method and relate principally to depreciation expense, stock based compensation programs and self-insurance programs accounted for differently for financial and income tax purposes.

Valuation allowances are recorded when it is more likely than not some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

### Contingencies

The Company recognizes the costs of legal defense for the legal proceedings to which it is a party in the periods incurred. After a considerable analysis of each case, the Company determines the amount of reserves required, if any. At this time, the Company has not accrued any reserve for any of these matters. Future reserves may be required if losses are deemed reasonably estimable and probable due to changes in the Company's assumptions, the effectiveness of legal strategies, or other factors beyond the Company's control. Future results of operations may be materially affected by the creation of reserves or by accruals of losses to reflect any adverse determinations of these legal proceedings.

### New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance changing the criteria for recognizing revenue. The guidance also modifies the related disclosure requirements, clarifies guidance for multiple-element arrangements and provides guidance for transactions that were not addressed fully in previous guidance. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods and interim periods within those annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, our fiscal 2018. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk.

The Company is a purchaser of certain commodities, primarily corn and soybean meal, for use in manufacturing feed for its chickens. As a result, the Company's earnings are affected by changes in the price and availability of such feed ingredients. Feed grains are subject to volatile price changes caused by factors described below that include weather, size of harvest, transportation and storage costs and the agricultural policies of the United States and foreign governments. The price fluctuations of feed grains have a direct and material effect on the Company's profitability. Generally, the Company commits to purchase feed ingredients for deferred delivery from one month to six months after the time of the commitment. The Company sometimes purchases its feed ingredients for prompt delivery to its feed mills at market prices at the time of such purchases. The grain purchases are made directly with our usual grain suppliers, which are companies in the business of regularly supplying grain to end users, and do not involve options to purchase. Such purchases occur when senior management concludes that market factors indicate that prices at the time the grain is needed are likely to be higher than current prices, or where, based on current and expected market prices for the Company's poultry products, management believes it can purchase feed ingredients at prices that will allow the Company to earn a reasonable return for its shareholders. Market factors considered by management in determining whether or not and to what extent to buy grain for deferred delivery include:

• Current market prices;

• Current and predicted weather patterns in the United States, South America, China and other grain producing areas, as such weather patterns might affect the planting, growing, harvesting and yield of feed grains;

• The expected size of the harvest of feed grains in the United States and other grain producing areas of the world as reported by governmental and private sources;

• Current and expected changes to the agricultural policies of the United States and foreign governments;



Table of Contents

• The relative strength of United States currency and expected changes therein as it might impact the ability of foreign countries to buy United States feed grain commodities;

• The current and expected volumes of export of feed grain commodities as reported by governmental and private sources;

• The current and expected use of available feed grains for uses other than as livestock feed grains (such as the use of corn for the production of ethanol, which use is impacted by the price of crude oil); and

• Current and expected market prices for the Company's poultry products.

The Company purchases physical grain, not financial instruments such as puts, calls or straddles that derive their value from the value of physical grain. Thus, the Company does not use derivative financial instruments as defined in ASC 815, "Accounting for Derivatives for Instruments and Hedging Activities," or any market risk sensitive instruments of the type contemplated by Item 305 of Regulation S-K. The Company does not enter into any derivative transactions or purchase any grain-related contracts other than the physical grain contracts described above.

Although the Company does not use derivative financial instruments as defined in ASC 815 or purchase market risk sensitive instruments of the type contemplated by Item 305 of Regulation S-K, the commodities that the Company does purchase for physical delivery, primarily corn and soybean meal, are subject to price fluctuations that have a direct and material effect on the Company's profitability as mentioned above. During fiscal 2014, the Company purchased approximately 88.1 million bushels of corn and approximately 768,000 tons of soybean meal for use in manufacturing feed for its live chickens. Thus, a \$1.00 change in the average market price paid per bushel for corn would have impacted the Company's cash outlays for corn by approximately \$88.1 million in fiscal 2014. Likewise, a \$10.00 change in the price paid per ton for soybean meal would impact the Company's cash outlays by approximately \$7.7 million.

Although changes in the market price paid for feed grains impact cash outlays at the time the Company purchases the grain, such changes do not immediately impact cost of sales. The cost of feed grains is recognized in cost of sales, on a first-in-first-out basis, at the same time that the sales of the chickens that consume the feed grains are recognized. Thus, there is a lag between the time cash is paid for feed ingredients and the time the cost of such feed ingredients is reported in cost of goods sold. For example, corn delivered to a feed mill and paid for one week might be used to manufacture feed the following week. However, the chickens that eat that feed might not be processed and sold for another 48-62 days, and only at that time will the costs of the feed consumed by the chicken become included in cost of goods sold.

During fiscal 2014, the Company's average feed cost per pound of broilers processed totaled \$0.3338 per pound. Feed costs per pound of broilers processed consist primarily of feed grains, but also include other feed ingredients such as vitamins, fat and mineral feed supplements. The average feed cost per pound is influenced not only by the price of feed ingredients, but also by the efficiency with which live chickens convert feed into body weight. Factors such as weather, poultry husbandry, quality of feed ingredients and the quality and health of the bird, among others, affect the quantity of feed necessary to mature chickens to the target live weight and the efficiency of that process. Generally, however, a \$1.00 change in the average price paid per bushel of corn fed to a chicken during its life would have impacted average feed cost per pound of broilers processed by \$0.0288, based on the quantity of grain used during fiscal 2014. Similarly, a \$10.00 change in the average price paid per ton of soybean meal would have influenced the average feed cost per pound of broilers processed by \$0.0025 during fiscal 2014.

The following table shows the impact of hypothetical changes in the price of corn and soybean meal on both the Company's cash flow and cost of goods sold, based on quantities actually purchased in fiscal 2014:

| Feed Ingredient | Quantity Purchased during Fiscal 2014 | Hypothetical Price Change | Impact on Cash Outlay | Ultimate Impact on Feed Cost per Pound of broilers Processed |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Corn            | 88.1 million bushels                  | \$1.00 per bushel         | \$88.1 million        | \$0.0288/lb processed  |
| Soybean meal    | 768,198 tons                          | \$10.00 per ton           | \$7.7 million         | \$0.0025/lb processed  |

The Company's interest expense is sensitive to changes in the general level of interest rates in the United States. The Company maintains certain of its debt as fixed rate in nature to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in interest rates.

The fair value of the Company's fixed rate debt was approximately \$21.1 million at October 31, 2014. Management believes the potential effects of near-term changes in interest rates on the Company's debt are not material. The Company is a party to no other market risk sensitive instruments requiring disclosure.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Sanderson Farms, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sanderson Farms, Inc. and subsidiaries as of October 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2014. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Sanderson Farms, Inc. and subsidiaries at October 31, 2014 and 2013, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Sanderson Farms, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 framework) and our report dated December 18, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP  
New Orleans, Louisiana  
December 18, 2014

Table of ContentsSanderson Farms, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

|   | October 31,                          |            |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------|
|   | 2014                                 | 2013       |
|   | (In thousands,<br>except share data) |            |
| Assets  |                                      |            |
| Current assets:   |                                      |            |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$ 165,610                           | \$ 85,563  |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance of \$2,200 in 2014 and \$2,200 in 2013  | 118,296                              | 108,980    |
| Inventories   | 190,823                              | 205,855    |
| Deferred income taxes   | 2,925                                | 478        |
| Prepaid expenses  | 33,052                               | 29,867     |
| Total current assets  | 510,706                              | 430,743    |
| Property, plant and equipment:  |                                      |            |
| Land and buildings  | 550,797                              | 437,864    |
| Machinery and equipment   | 634,297                              | 597,180    |
|   | 1,185,094                            | 1,035,044  |
| Accumulated depreciation  | (588,969                             | ) (546,578 |
|   | 596,125                              | 488,466    |
| Other assets  | 4,421                                | 5,436      |
| Total assets  | \$ 1,111,252                         | \$ 924,645 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity  |                                      |            |
| Current liabilities:  |                                      |            |
| Accounts payable  | \$ 48,700                            | \$ 81,418  |
| Accrued expenses  | 67,446                               | 58,271     |
| Accrued income taxes  | 21,489                               | 11,055     |
| Current maturities of long-term debt  | 10,000                               | 10,799     |
| Total current liabilities   | 147,635                              | 161,543    |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities   | 10,000                               | 29,414     |
| Claims payable  | 10,000                               | 9,000      |
| Deferred income taxes   | 45,669                               | 53,089     |
| Commitments and contingencies   |                                      |            |
| Stockholders' equity:   |                                      |            |
| Preferred Stock:  |                                      |            |
| Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, \$100 par value: authorized shares-500,000; none issued - Par value to be determined by the Board of Directors: authorized shares-4,500,000; none issued |                                      |            |
| Common Stock, \$1 par value: authorized shares-100,000,000; issued and outstanding shares- 23,130,503 in 2014 and 23,016,241 in 2013  | 23,130                               | 23,016     |
| Paid-in capital   | 150,122                              | 142,482    |
| Retained earnings   | 724,696                              | 506,101    |
| Total stockholders' equity  | 897,948                              | 671,599    |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity  | \$ 1,111,252                         | \$ 924,645 |
| See accompanying notes.   |                                      |            |

Table of Contents

## Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

|                                     | Years ended October 31,               |             |             |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                     | 2014                                  | 2013        | 2012        |
|                                     | (In thousands, except per share data) |             |             |
| Net sales                           | \$2,774,845                           | \$2,682,980 | \$2,386,105 |
| Cost and expenses:                  |                                       |             |             |
| Cost of sales                       | 2,253,898                             | 2,377,055   | 2,212,692   |
| Selling, general and administrative | 139,025                               | 100,247     | 77,097      |
|                                     | 2,392,923                             | 2,477,302   | 2,289,789   |
| Operating income                    | 381,922                               | 205,678     | 96,316      |
| Other income (expense):             |                                       |             |             |
| Interest income                     | 60                                    | 27          | 17          |
| Interest expense                    | (2,577)                               | ) (6,136    | ) (9,201    |
| Other                               | 61                                    | 544         | (560)       |
|                                     | (2,456)                               | ) (5,565    | ) (9,744    |
| Income before income taxes          | 379,466                               | 200,113     | 86,572      |
| Income tax expense                  | 130,418                               | 69,496      | 32,628      |
| Net income                          | \$249,048                             | \$130,617   | \$53,944    |
| Earnings per share:                 |                                       |             |             |
| Basic                               | \$10.80                               | \$5.68      | \$2.35      |
| Diluted                             | \$10.80                               | \$5.68      | \$2.35      |
| Dividends per share                 | \$1.32                                | \$0.71      | \$0.68      |
| See accompanying notes.             |                                       |             |             |

Table of Contents

## Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

|   | Common Stock  |          | Paid-In<br>Capital | Retained<br>Earnings | Total<br>Stockholders'<br>Equity |
|---|---|----------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
|   | Shares  | Amount   |                    |                      |                                  |
|   | (In thousands, except shares and per share amounts) |          |                    |                      |                                  |
| Balance at October 31, 2011                         | 22,871,588  | \$22,872 | \$130,528          | \$353,500            | \$506,900                        |
| Net income for year                                 | —   | —        | —                  | 53,944               | 53,944                           |
| Cash dividends (\$.68 per share)                    | —   | —        | —                  | (15,621)             | (15,621)                         |
| Issuance of stock under stock<br>compensation plans | 97,244  | 97       | (26)               | —                    | 71                               |
| Amortization of unearned compensation               | —   | —        | 4,781              | —                    | 4,781                            |
| Balance at October 31, 2012                         | 22,968,832  | \$22,969 | \$135,283          | \$391,823            | \$550,075                        |
| Net income for year                                 | —   | —        | —                  | 130,617              | 130,617                          |
| Cash dividends (\$.71 per share)                    | —   | —        | —                  | (16,339)             | (16,339)                         |
| Issuance of stock under stock<br>compensation plans | 47,409  | 47       | (912)              | —                    | (865)                            |
| Amortization of unearned compensation               | —   | —        | 8,111              | —                    | 8,111                            |
| Balance at October 31, 2013                         | 23,016,241  | \$23,016 | \$142,482          | \$506,101            | \$671,599                        |
| Net income for year                                 | —   | —        | —                  | 249,048              | 249,048                          |
| Cash dividends (\$1.32 per share)                   | —   | —        | —                  | (30,453)             | (30,453)                         |
| Issuance of stock under stock<br>compensation plans | 114,262   | 114      | (4,756)            | —                    | (4,642)                          |
| Amortization of unearned compensation               | —   | —        | 12,396             | —                    | 12,396                           |
| Balance at October 31, 2014                         | 23,130,503  | \$23,130 | \$150,122          | \$724,696            | \$897,948                        |
| See accompanying notes.                             |   |          |                    |                      |                                  |

Table of Contents

## Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

|   | Years ended October 31, |            |             |
|---|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
|   | 2014                    | 2013       | 2012        |
|   | (In thousands)          |            |             |
| Operating activities  |                         |            |             |
| Net income  | \$249,048               | \$130,617  | \$53,944    |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |                         |            |             |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 64,309                  | 62,225     | 59,979      |
| Amortization of unearned compensation   | 12,396                  | 8,111      | 4,781       |
| Live inventory adjustment   | —                       | —          | (9,000 )    |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable                                       | —                       | 415        | 412         |
| Deferred income taxes   | (9,867 )                | (16 )      | 7,995 )     |
| Change in assets and liabilities:   |                         |            |             |
| Accounts receivable   | (9,316 )                | (11,373 )  | (4,413 )    |
| Inventories   | 15,032                  | 30,057     | (15,159 )   |
| Income taxes  | 10,434                  | 4,467      | 84,045      |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets   | (3,026 )                | (2,546 )   | 354 )       |
| Accounts payable  | (32,718 )               | (1,337 )   | 15,994 )    |
| Accrued expenses and claims payable   | 10,175                  | 32,244     | 6,987       |
| Total adjustments   | 57,419                  | 122,247    | 151,975     |
| Net cash provided by operating activities   | 306,467                 | 252,864    | 205,919     |
| Investing activities  |                         |            |             |
| Capital expenditures  | (171,626 )              | (54,529 )  | (49,249 )   |
| Net proceeds from sale of property and equipment                                  | 514                     | 169        | 39          |
| Net cash used in investing activities   | (171,112 )              | (54,360 )  | (49,210 )   |
| Financing activities  |                         |            |             |
| Borrowings from revolving line of credit  | —                       | 15,000     | 45,000      |
| Payments on revolving line of credit  | —                       | (125,000 ) | (157,701 )  |
| Principal payments on long-term debt  | (10,000 )               | (10,000 )  | (10,000 )   |
| Principal payments on capital lease obligations                                   | (10,213 )               | (756 )     | (1,106 )    |
| Payments for debt issuance costs  | —                       | (2,783 )   | (625 )      |
| Dividends paid  | (30,453 )               | (16,339 )  | (15,621 )   |
| Tax benefit from stock incentive plans  | 1,016                   | 145        | 706         |
| Proceeds from issuance of restricted stock under stock compensation plans         | 901                     | 827        | 758         |
| Payments from issuance of common stock under stock compensation plans             | (6,559 )                | (1,837 )   | (1,393 )    |
| Net cash used in financing activities   | (55,308 )               | (140,743 ) | (139,982 )  |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents   | 80,047                  | 57,761     | 16,727      |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year                                    | 85,563                  | 27,802     | 11,075      |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year  | \$165,610               | \$85,563   | \$27,802    |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:                                 |                         |            |             |
| Income taxes paid (refunded) net  | \$130,023               | \$54,969   | \$(60,142 ) |
| Interest paid   | \$3,548                 | \$6,489    | \$9,433     |
| See accompanying notes.   |                         |            |             |



Table of Contents

Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Significant Accounting Policies

**Principles of Consolidation:** The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (the “Company”) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation.

**Business:** The Company is engaged in the production, processing, marketing and distribution of fresh and frozen chicken and other prepared chicken items. The Company’s net sales and cost of sales are significantly affected by market price fluctuations of its principal products sold and of its principal feed ingredients, corn and other grains. The Company sells to retailers, distributors and casual dining operators primarily in the southeastern, southwestern, northeastern and western United States. Management periodically performs credit evaluations of its customers’ financial condition and generally does not require collateral. One customer accounted for more than 10% of consolidated sales for each of the years ended October 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. Sales to that customer accounted for 15.9%, 14.2%, and 13.0% of the Company’s consolidated net sales in fiscal 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. Shipping and handling costs are included as a component of cost of sales.

Generally, revenue is recognized in connection with a transaction when the Company has agreed to sell, and our customer has agreed to purchase, a specific quantity of product, when delivery has occurred, when the price to the buyer has been fixed, and when collectability is reasonably assured. For most customers, this occurs when the product is delivered to customers, which for domestic sales usually occurs at the time of shipment. Revenue on certain international sales is recognized upon transfer of title, which may occur after shipment. Revenue is recognized as the net amount estimated to be received after deducting estimated amounts for discounts, cooperative advertising allowances, product terms and other items.

Table of Contents

## RECONCILIATION OF GROSS SALES TO NET SALES DOLLARS (in millions)

| Product Category                | Description                   | Fiscal Year<br>2014 | Fiscal Year<br>2013 | Fiscal Year<br>2012 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Fresh Ice Packed<br>Chicken     | Gross Sales                   | \$336.7             | \$367.4             | \$308.4             |
|                                 | Commissions                   | (3.7)               | (4.1)               | (4.3)               |
|                                 | Sales and Customer Allowances | (11.2)              | (11.2)              | (9.8)               |
|                                 | Other (1)                     | (8.5)               | (9.8)               | (6.9)               |
|                                 | Net Sales                     | 313.3               | 342.3               | 287.4               |
| Chill Pack<br>Chicken           | Gross Sales                   | 1,025.3             | 955.0               | 821.3               |
|                                 | Commissions                   | (5.5)               | (6.5)               | (6.8)               |
|                                 | Sales and Customer Allowances | (8.7)               | (9.5)               | (10.2)              |
|                                 | Other (1)                     | (5.4)               | (6.3)               | (5.2)               |
|                                 | Net Sales                     | 1,005.7             | 932.7               | 799.1               |
| Frozen Chicken                  | Gross Sales                   | 257.9               | 282.0               | 314.1               |
|                                 | Commissions                   | (0.3)               | (0.2)               | (0.1)               |
|                                 | Sales and Customer Allowances | —                   | —                   | (0.3)               |
|                                 | Other (1)                     | (1.9)               | (0.8)               | (0.8)               |
|                                 | Net Sales                     | 255.7               | 281.0               | 312.9               |
| Fresh Vacuum<br>Sealed Chicken  | Gross Sales                   | 1,026.8             | 1,009.6             | 875.7               |
|                                 | Commissions                   | (1.8)               | (1.8)               | (1.9)               |
|                                 | Sales and Customer Allowances | (9.1)               | (8.3)               | (6.4)               |
|                                 | Other (1)                     | (6.7)               | (7.5)               | (5.1)               |
|                                 | Net Sales                     | 1,009.2             | 992.0               | 862.3               |
| Partially Cooked<br>Chicken     | Gross Sales                   | 155.7               | 98.3                | 90.4                |
|                                 | Commissions                   | (0.7)               | (0.8)               | (0.8)               |
|                                 | Sales and Customer Allowances | (0.5)               | (0.4)               | (0.4)               |
|                                 | Other (1)                     | (0.2)               | (0.2)               | (0.1)               |
|                                 | Net Sales                     | 154.3               | 96.9                | 89.1                |
| Mechanically<br>Deboned Chicken | Gross Sales                   | 36.6                | 38.1                | 35.3                |
|                                 | Commissions                   | —                   | —                   | —                   |
|                                 | Sales and Customer Allowances | —                   | —                   | —                   |
|                                 | Other (1)                     | —                   | —                   | —                   |
|                                 | Net Sales                     | 36.6                | 38.1                | 35.3                |
| Totals                          | Gross Sales                   | 2,839.0             | 2,750.4             | 2,445.2             |
|                                 | Commissions                   | (12.0)              | (13.4)              | (13.9)              |
|                                 | Sales and Customer Allowances | (29.5)              | (29.4)              | (27.1)              |
|                                 | Other (1)                     | (22.7)              | (24.6)              | (18.1)              |
|                                 | Net Sales                     | \$2,774.8           | \$2,683.0           | \$2,386.1           |

(1) Other deductions include short weights, credit memos, rebates and other items, none of which, individually, is material.

Sales of offal to rendering companies are considered by-products; accordingly, these amounts reduce cost of sales and totaled \$43.1 million, \$47.6 million and \$43.1 million in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company sells certain of its products either directly to foreign markets or to U.S. based customers who resell the product in foreign markets. These foreign markets are primarily Russia, Eastern Europe, China, Mexico and the Caribbean. These export sales for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012 totaled approximately \$282.3 million, \$292.6 million and \$318.7 million, respectively. The Company does not believe that the amount of sales attributable to any single foreign country is material to its total sales during any of the periods presented. The Company's export sales are facilitated through independent food brokers located in the United States and the Company's internal sales staff.



Table of Contents

**Use of Estimates:** The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**Cash Equivalents:** The Company considers all highly liquid investments with maturities of ninety days or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

**Allowance for Doubtful Accounts:** In the normal course of business, the Company extends credit to its customers on a short-term basis. Although credit risks associated with our customers are considered minimal, the Company routinely reviews its accounts receivable balances and makes provisions for probable doubtful accounts based on an individual assessment of a customer's credit quality as well as subjective factors and trends, including the aging of receivable balances. In circumstances where management is aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations to the Company, a specific reserve is recorded to reduce the receivable to the amount expected to be collected. If circumstances change (i.e., higher than expected defaults or an unexpected material adverse change in a major customer's ability to meet its financial obligations to us), our estimates of the recoverability of amounts due us could be reduced by a material amount and the allowance for doubtful accounts and related bad debt expense would increase by the same amount.

**Inventories:** Processed and prepared inventories and inventories of feed, eggs, medication and packaging supplies are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market value.

Live poultry inventories of broilers are stated at the lower of cost or market value and breeders at cost less accumulated amortization. The costs associated with breeders, including breeder chicks, feed, medicine and grower pay, are accumulated up to the production stage and amortized over nine months using the straight-line method. When the projected cost to complete, process and sell broilers exceeds the expected market value for the finished product, the Company reduces the value of live inventories from cost to market.

**Property, Plant and Equipment:** Property, plant and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation of property, plant and equipment is provided by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of 15 to 39 years for buildings and 3 to 12 years for machinery and equipment. During fiscal 2014 and 2013, the Company capitalized interest of \$1.1 million and \$75,000, respectively, related to the new complex under construction in Palestine, Texas. With the absence of a major construction project, the Company did not capitalize any interest during fiscal 2012.

**Impairment of Long-Lived Assets:** The Company continually reevaluates the carrying value of its long-lived assets based on events or changes in circumstances which indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. As part of this reevaluation and when indicators are present, the Company estimates the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposal. If the sum of the expected future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss, based on the fair value of the assets, is recognized through a charge to operations.

**Self-Insurance Programs:** Insurance expense for workers' compensation benefits and employee-related health care benefits are estimated using historical experience and actuarial estimates. The Company accrues expenses in its workers' compensation and employee benefit plans for both known claims as well as claims incurred but not reported. Stop-loss coverage is maintained with third party insurers to limit the Company's total exposure. Management regularly reviews the assumptions used to recognize periodic expenses. Any resulting adjustments to accrued claims are reflected in current operating results. There are no material adjustments to expenses accrued in prior periods in current expenses. If historical experience proves not to be a good indicator of future expenses, if management were to use different actuarial assumptions, or if there is a negative trend in the Company's claims history, there could be a significant increase or decrease in cost of sales depending on whether these expenses increased or decreased, respectively.

**Advertising and Marketing Costs:** The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising costs are included in selling, general and administrative expenses and totaled \$12.9 million, \$3.3 million and \$1.3 million for fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

**Income Taxes:** Deferred income taxes are accounted for using the liability method and relate principally to depreciation expense, stock based compensation programs and self-insurance programs accounted for differently for financial and income tax purposes.

Valuation allowances are recorded when it is more likely than not some or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized.

45

---

Table of Contents

The Company is periodically audited by taxing authorities and considers any adjustments, interest, and penalties incurred as a result of the audits in computing and reporting income tax expense. Any audit adjustments affecting permanent differences could have an impact on the Company's effective tax rate. Tax periods for fiscal years 2010 through 2014 remain open to examination by federal and state taxing jurisdictions to which the Company is subject. Share-Based Compensation: The Company accounts for all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, restricted stock and performance-based shares in the income statement based on their fair values. For performance-based shares, the Company recognizes expense when management determines the performance criteria are probable of being met.

Earnings Per Share: Basic earnings per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Share-based payment awards entitling holders to receive non-forfeitable dividends before vesting are considered participating securities and thus included in the calculation of basic earnings per share. These awards are included in the calculation of basic earnings per share under the two-class method. The two-class method allocates earnings for the period between common shareholders and other security holders. The participating awards receiving dividends are allocated the same amount of income as if they were outstanding shares. Diluted earnings per share includes any dilutive effects of options, warrants, restricted stock and convertible securities.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: The Company holds certain items that are required to be disclosed at fair value, primarily cash equivalents representing overnight investments and debt instruments. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A three-level hierarchy is followed for disclosure to show the extent and level of judgment used to estimate fair value measurements:

Level 1 – Inputs used to measure fair value are unadjusted quoted prices that are available in active markets for the identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date.

Level 2 – Inputs used to measure fair value, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date through correlation with market data, including quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and quoted prices in markets that are not active. Level 2 also includes assets and liabilities that are valued using models or other pricing methodologies that do not require significant judgment since the input assumptions used in the models, such as interest rates and volatility factors, are corroborated by readily observable data from actively quoted markets for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 – Inputs used to measure fair value are unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions.

At October 31, 2014 and 2013, the fair value of the Company's cash and short-term cash investments of approximately \$165.6 million and \$85.6 million, respectively, approximated their carrying value due to the short maturity of these financial instruments and were categorized as a Level 2 measurement. Inputs used to measure fair value were primarily recent trading prices and prevailing market interest rates.

Fair values for debt are based on quoted market prices or published forward interest rate curves and were categorized as Level 2 measurements. The fair value and carrying value of the Company's borrowings under its credit facilities, long-term debt and capital lease obligations were as follows (in millions):

|                          | October 31, 2014 |                | October 31, 2013 |                |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
|                          | Fair Value       | Carrying Value | Fair Value       | Carrying Value |
| Total Debt (In millions) | \$21.1           | \$20.0         | \$42.9           | \$40.2         |

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards: In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance changing the criteria for recognizing revenue. The guidance also modifies the related disclosure requirements, clarifies guidance for multiple-element arrangements and provides guidance for transactions that were not addressed fully in previous guidance. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods and interim periods within those annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, our fiscal 2018. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

## 2. Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following:

|                                    | October 31,<br>2014 | 2013      |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
|                                    | (In thousands)      |           |
| Live poultry-broilers and breeders | \$122,181           | \$124,260 |
| Feed, eggs and other               | 26,221              | 34,949    |
| Processed poultry                  | 24,426              | 32,139    |
| Prepared chicken                   | 10,392              | 6,483     |
| Packaging materials                | 7,603               | 8,024     |
|                                    | \$190,823           | \$205,855 |

## 3. Prepaid expenses

Prepaid expenses consisted of the following:

|                        | October 31,<br>2014 | 2013     |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------|
|                        | (In thousands)      |          |
| Parts and supplies     | \$18,307            | \$16,880 |
| Prepaid insurance      | 9,397               | 9,450    |
| Other prepaid expenses | 5,348               | 3,537    |
|                        | \$33,052            | \$29,867 |

## 4. Accrued expenses

Accrued expenses consisted of the following:

|                              | October 31,<br>2014 | 2013     |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
|                              | (In thousands)      |          |
| Accrued bonuses              | \$26,264            | \$21,574 |
| Workers' compensation claims | 6,047               | 5,531    |
| Accrued wages                | 5,686               | 9,708    |
| Accrued rebates              | 5,744               | 6,618    |
| Accrued vacation             | 5,380               | 5,174    |
| Accrued property taxes       | 5,491               | 4,484    |
| Accrued commissions          | 1,365               | 1,464    |
| Accrued payroll taxes        | 6,561               | 842      |
| Post-retirement benefit      | 1,195               | 1,244    |
| Deferred revenue             | 300                 | 400      |
| Other accrued expenses       | 3,413               | 1,232    |
|                              | \$67,446            | \$58,271 |

## 5. Long-Term debt and capital lease obligations

Long-term debt and capital lease obligations consisted of the following:

Table of Contents

|   | October 31,    |          |
|---|----------------|----------|
|   | 2014           | 2013     |
|   | (In thousands) |          |
| Term loan, accruing interest at 6.12%, maturing in 2016   | 20,000         | 30,000   |
| Capital lease obligation, imputed interest at 5.53%, original maturity in 2015,<br>Company completed early purchase option in July 2014 | —              | 10,213   |
|   | 20,000         | 40,213   |
| Less current maturities of long-term debt and capital leases  | 10,000         | 10,799   |
|   | \$10,000       | \$29,414 |

On October 24, 2013, the Company entered into a new revolving credit facility to, among other things, increase the total committed credit from \$500.0 million to \$600.0 million. The new facility also increased the annual capital expenditure limitation to \$65.0 million for fiscal years 2013 through 2018, plus, for each year, up to \$10.0 million carryover from the preceding fiscal year, when it is not actually spent in that year. The capital expenditure limitation for fiscal 2014, with the permitted carry over, was \$75.0 million. On October 29, 2014, the Company entered into an amendment to the existing agreement's capital expenditure covenants. The amendment increased the annual capital expenditure limitation to \$75.0 million, and the allowable carryover to \$15.0 million for fiscal years 2015 - 2018. The amendment also increases the amount the Company is allowed to spend on the construction of its new complex in Palestine, Texas. Under the original facility, the Company was allowed to spend up to \$140.0 million each in capital expenditures on the construction of two new poultry complexes to be located anywhere in the United States, which expenditures are in addition to the annual overall capital expenditure limits. Under the amendment, the amount allowed to be spent on construction of the new Palestine, Texas complex is increased to \$155.0 million, while the original \$140.0 million covenant remains in place for construction of a second potential complex. Under the facility, the Company may not exceed a maximum debt to total capitalization ratio of 55% from the date of the agreement through October 30, 2014, and 50% thereafter. The Company has a one-time right, at any time during the term of the agreement, to increase the maximum debt to total capitalization ratio then in effect by five percentage points in connection with the construction of either of the two potential new poultry complexes for the four fiscal quarters beginning on the first day of the fiscal quarter during which the Company gives written notice of its intent to exercise this right. The Company has not exercised this right. The facility also sets a minimum net worth requirement that at October 31, 2014, was \$613.6 million. The credit remains unsecured and, unless extended, will expire on October 24, 2018. As of October 31 and December 12, 2014, the Company had no outstanding draws under the facility, and had approximately \$13.8 million outstanding in letters of credit, leaving \$586.2 million available.

The Company has the option to borrow funds under the revolving line of credit based on the Prime interest rate or the Libor interest rate plus a spread ranging from 0.50% to 2.25%. The spread on Libor borrowings and the commitment fee for the unused balance of the revolving credit agreement are determined based upon the Company's leverage ratio as follows:

| Level | Leverage Ratio  | Spread | Commitment Fee |
|-------|-----------------|--------|----------------|
| 1     | < 25%           | 0.50   | % 0.25 %       |
| 2     | ≥ 25% and < 35% | 0.75   | % 0.30 %       |
| 3     | ≥ 35% and < 45% | 1.25   | % 0.35 %       |
| 4     | ≥ 45% and < 55% | 1.75   | % 0.40 %       |
| 5     | ≥ 55%           | 2.25   | % 0.50 %       |

The term loan consists of a private placement of \$20.0 million in unsecured debt. The term loan matures in 2016 with annual principal installments of \$10.0 million which began in 2012. The term loan has net worth, current ratio and debt to capitalization covenants comparable to that of the Company's revolving credit facility. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at October 31, 2014.

The aggregate annual maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations at October 31, 2014, are as follows (in thousands):

| Fiscal Year | Amount   |
|-------------|----------|
| 2015        | \$10,000 |

2016

10,000  
\$20,000

48

---

Table of Contents

## 6. Income Taxes

Income tax expense (benefit) consisted of the following:

|                               | Years Ended October 31, |          |          |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|                               | 2014                    | 2013     | 2012     |
|                               | (In thousands)          |          |          |
| Current:                      |                         |          |          |
| Federal                       | \$127,519               | \$63,923 | \$23,641 |
| State                         | 12,766                  | 5,589    | 992      |
|                               | 140,285                 | 69,512   | 24,633   |
| Deferred:                     |                         |          |          |
| Federal                       | (8,769                  | ) (1,389 | ) 6,435  |
| State                         | 577                     | (5,450   | ) (40    |
| Change in valuation allowance | (1,675                  | ) 6,823  | 1,600    |
|                               | (9,867                  | ) (16    | ) 7,995  |
|                               | \$130,418               | \$69,496 | \$32,628 |

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities were as follows:

|  | October 31,    |           |
|--|----------------|-----------|
|  | 2014           | 2013      |
|  | (In thousands) |           |
| Deferred tax liabilities:                |                |           |
| Property, plant and equipment            | \$62,912       | \$69,942  |
| Prepaid and other assets                 | 1,894          | 1,765     |
| Total deferred tax liabilities           | 64,806         | 71,707    |
| Deferred tax assets:                     |                |           |
| Accrued expenses and accounts receivable | 9,903          | 9,187     |
| Inventory                                | 195            | 85        |
| Compensation on restricted stock         | 9,535          | 7,514     |
| State income tax credits                 | 16,547         | 18,098    |
| Other                                    | 1,796          | 2,310     |
| Valuation allowance                      | (15,914        | ) (18,098 |
| Total deferred tax assets                | 22,062         | 19,096    |
| Net deferred tax liabilities             | \$42,744       | \$52,611  |
| Current deferred tax assets              | \$(2,925       | ) \$(478  |
| Long-term deferred tax liabilities       | 45,669         | 53,089    |
| Net deferred tax liabilities             | \$42,744       | \$52,611  |

Included in the deferred tax assets at October 31, 2014, are North Carolina Investing in Business Property Credit and North Carolina Jobs Credits totaling \$13,012,000, as well as Georgia Job Tax Credits totaling \$3,535,000. The North Carolina Investing in Business Property Credit provides a 7% investment tax credit for property located in a North Carolina development area, the North Carolina Creating Jobs Credit provides a tax credit for increased employment in North Carolina, and the Georgia Job Tax Credit provides a tax credit for creation and retention of qualifying jobs in Georgia. It is management's opinion that the majority of the North Carolina and Georgia income tax credits will not be utilized before they expire, and a \$15,914,000 valuation allowance has been recorded. These credits expire between fiscal years 2017 and 2023.

For fiscal years 2012 and 2013, the Company carried a full valuation allowance against its carry-forwards related to the North Carolina Investing in Business Property Credit, North Carolina Jobs Credits, and Georgia Job Tax Credits. The Company considered all available evidence in determining whether it was more likely than not that those credits were recoverable. After considering all available evidence at October 31, 2014, the Company determined that it was more likely than not that a portion



Table of Contents

of those credits would be recovered. Accordingly, \$314,000 related to the North Carolina credits and \$319,000 related to the Georgia credits were released from the valuation allowance and benefited fiscal 2014 deferred income tax expense.

The differences between the consolidated effective income tax rate and the federal statutory rate of 35.0% are as follows:

|   | Years Ended October 31, |          |          |
|---|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|   | 2014                    | 2013     | 2012     |
|   | (In thousands)          |          |          |
| Income taxes at statutory rate              | \$132,813               | \$70,040 | \$30,300 |
| State income taxes                          | 9,450                   | 4,978    | 1,967    |
| State income tax credits                    | (191                    | ) (7,276 | ) (1,908 |
| Federal income tax credits                  | (97                     | ) (715   | ) (130   |
| Federal manufacturers (deduction) recapture | (12,636                 | ) (6,469 | ) (2,191 |
| Nondeductible expenses                      | 2,909                   | 2,353    | 3,008    |
| Other, net                                  | (155                    | ) (238   | ) (18    |
| Change in valuation allowance               | (1,675                  | ) 6,823  | 1,600    |
| Income tax expense                          | \$130,418               | \$69,496 | \$32,628 |

In September 2013, the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) released final tangible property regulations under Sections 162(a) and 263(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), regarding the deduction and capitalization of expenditures related to tangible property. The final regulations replaced temporary regulations that were issued in December 2011. The IRS also released proposed regulations under Section 168 of the Code regarding dispositions of tangible property. These final and proposed regulations will be effective for the Company’s fiscal year ending October 31, 2015. However, depending on the Company’s election, certain portions may require an accounting method change on a retroactive basis, thus requiring a IRC Section 481(a) adjustment related to fixed asset deferred taxes. The Company continues to review the regulations, but does not believe there will be a material impact on its results of operations, financial position, or cash flows when they are fully adopted.

## 7. Earnings Per Share

Certain share-based payment awards entitling holders to receive non-forfeitable dividends before vesting are considered participating securities and thus included in the calculation of basic earnings per share, to the extent they are dilutive. These awards are included in the calculation of basic earnings per share under the two-class method. The two-class method allocates earnings for the period between common shareholders and other security holders. The participating awards receiving dividends are allocated the same amount of income as if they were outstanding shares. The following table presents earnings per share (in thousands).

|   | For the years ended |                  |                  |
|---|---------------------|------------------|------------------|
|   | October 31, 2014    | October 31, 2013 | October 31, 2012 |
| Net income  | \$249,048           | \$130,617        | \$53,944         |
| Distributed and undistributed (earnings) to unvested restricted stock | (6,781              | ) (3,704         | ) (1,612         |
| Distributed and undistributed earnings to common shareholders — Basic | 242,267             | 126,913          | 52,332           |
| Weighted average shares outstanding — Basic                           | 22,441              | 22,363           | 22,280           |
| Weighted average shares outstanding — Diluted                         | 22,441              | 22,363           | 22,282           |
| Earnings per common share — Basic                                     | \$10.80             | \$5.68           | \$2.35           |
| Earnings per common share — Diluted                                   | \$10.80             | \$5.68           | \$2.35           |

## 8. Employee Benefit Plans

Table of Contents

The Company has an Employee Stock Ownership Plan (“ESOP”) covering substantially all employees. Contributions to the ESOP are made at the discretion of the Company’s Board of Directors. Total contributions to the ESOP were \$15,000,000, \$8,400,000, and \$3,800,000 in fiscal 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. Contributions to the ESOP vary in amount, as the contributions are based on profitability.

The Company has a 401(k) Plan which covers substantially all employees after one year of service. Participants in the Plan may contribute up to the maximum allowed by IRS regulations. The Company matches 100% of employee contributions to the 401(k) Plan up to 3% of each employee’s salary, and 50% of employee contributions between 3% and 5% of each employee’s salary. The Company’s contributions to the 401(k) Plan totaled \$6,058,000 in fiscal 2014; \$5,717,000 in fiscal 2013; and \$5,481,000 in fiscal 2012.

#### 9. Stock Compensation Plans

On February 17, 2005, the shareholders of the Company approved the Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Affiliates Stock Incentive Plan (the “Plan”). The Plan allows the Company’s Board of Directors to grant certain incentive awards including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, and other similar awards. The Company was authorized to award up to 2,250,000 shares under the Plan. On February 17, 2011, the shareholders approved changes to the plan to increase the shares that may be issued under the plan from 2,250,000 to 3,500,000 shares and to increase the number of shares that may be granted in the form of restricted stock from 562,500 to 1,562,500 shares.

Pursuant to the Plan, the Company’s Board of Directors approves agreements for the issuance of restricted stock to directors, executive officers and other key employees. Restricted stock granted in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, vests three to four years from the date of grant. The vesting schedule is accelerated upon death, disability, the participant’s termination of employment after reaching retirement eligibility, or upon a change in control, as defined. Restricted stock grants are valued based upon the closing market price of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant and are recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period. Compensation expense related to restricted stock grants totaled \$4,831,000; \$4,487,000; and \$4,588,000 during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

A summary of the Company’s restricted stock activity and related information is as follows:

|                                 | Number of<br>Shares | Weighted<br>Average Grant<br>Price |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at October 31, 2011 | 566,513             | \$42.44                            |
| Granted during fiscal 2012      | 118,175             | \$48.50                            |
| Vested during 2012              | (81,819 )           | \$39.97                            |
| Forfeited during 2012           | (14,669 )           | \$43.32                            |
| Outstanding at October 31, 2012 | 588,200             | \$43.98                            |
| Granted during fiscal 2013      | 78,700              | \$48.50                            |
| Vested during 2013              | (87,431 )           | \$42.26                            |
| Forfeited during 2013           | (10,844 )           | \$45.30                            |
| Outstanding at October 31, 2013 | 568,625             | \$44.85                            |
| Granted during fiscal 2014      | 98,925              | \$67.26                            |
| Vested during 2014              | (84,601 )           | \$40.22                            |
| Forfeited during 2014           | (16,899 )           | \$47.20                            |
| Outstanding at October 31, 2014 | 566,050             | \$49.39                            |

The Company had \$7.2 million in unrecognized share-based compensation costs related to the 566,050 unvested shares as of October 31, 2014, that will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.6 years.

Also pursuant to the Plan, the Company’s Board of Directors approves Management Share Purchase Plan agreements (the “Purchase Plan”) that authorize the issuance of shares of restricted stock to the Company’s directors, executive officers and other key employees. Pursuant to the Purchase Plan, non-employee directors may elect to receive up to 100 percent of their annual retainer and meeting fees in the form of restricted stock. Other participants may elect to receive up to 15 percent of their salary and up to 75 percent of any bonus earned in the form of restricted stock. The purchase price of the restricted stock is the closing market price of the Company’s common stock on the date of purchase. The Company makes matching contributions of



Table of Contents

25 percent of the restricted shares purchased by participants. Restricted stock issued pursuant to the Purchase Plan vests after three years or immediately upon death, disability, or change in control, as defined. If an employee terminates employment after attaining eligibility for retirement, or a non-employee director retires upon the expiration of his or her board term, the participant's Purchase Plan shares vest immediately. If a participant's employment or service as a director is terminated for any other reason prior to the three-year vesting period, the participant forfeits the matching contribution and the Company may, at its option, repurchase restricted stock purchased by the participant at the price paid by the participant. Matching contributions are recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period. During fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, the participants purchased a total of 12,823; 14,431; and 16,359 shares of restricted stock pursuant to the Purchase Plan, valued at an average price of \$80.80, \$57.30, and \$48.13, per share, respectively, and the Company issued 3,101; 3,514; and 4,005 matching shares, valued at an average price of \$80.80, \$57.30, and \$48.13 per share, respectively. During fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, the participants vested in a total of 24,445; 21,263; and 26,528 shares of restricted stock pursuant to the Purchase Plan, valued at an average price of 79.20, 56.87, and 48.65, per share, respectively. During fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, the participants forfeited a total of 1,523; 2,421; and 1,732 shares of restricted stock pursuant to the Purchase Plan, respectively. Compensation expense related to the Company's matching contribution totaled approximately \$199,000, \$199,000 and \$197,000 in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

During fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 the Company entered into performance share agreements that grant certain officers and key employees the right to receive shares of the Company's common stock, subject to the Company's achievement of certain performance measures. The performance share agreements specify a target number of shares that a participant can receive based upon the Company's average return on equity and average return on sales, as defined, during a two-year performance period beginning November 1 of each performance period. Although the performance share agreements have a two-year performance period, they are subject to an additional one year period during which the participant must remain employed by the Company before they are paid out. If the Company's average return on equity and average return on sales exceed certain threshold amounts for the performance period, participants will receive 50 percent to 200 percent of the target number of shares, depending upon the Company's level of performance. The target number of shares specified in the performance share agreements executed during fiscal 2012 totaled 95,175, during fiscal 2013 totaled 98,950, and during fiscal 2014 totaled 75,925. During fiscal 2012, no compensation cost was recorded related to performance share agreements. During fiscal 2013, the Company recorded compensation cost of \$3,425,000 related to the performance share agreements entered into during fiscal 2012, but the Company recorded no compensation cost related to the performance share agreements entered into during fiscal 2013 during the period. During fiscal 2014, the Company recorded performance share related compensation cost of \$7,366,000, of which \$1,543,000 was related to the agreements entered into during fiscal 2012, and \$5,823,000 was related to the agreements entered into during fiscal 2013. The Company recorded no compensation cost related to the performance share agreements entered into during fiscal 2014, as it could not determine that achievement of the applicable performance based criteria is probable. In estimating the compensation expense to record in a period, the Company considers, among other factors, current and projected grain costs and chicken volumes and pricing, as well as the amount of the Company's commitments to procure grain at a fixed price throughout the performance period. Due to the high level of volatility of these commodity prices and the impact that the change in pricing can have on the Company's results, the Company's assessment of probability can change from period to period and can result in a significant revision to the amounts accrued related to the arrangements. The accounting for these arrangements requires the Company to accrue over the three year service period the estimated amounts of the shares that will be earned with changes made during the service period adjusted using the cumulative catch up method. Had the Company determined that it was probable that the maximum amount of those outstanding awards from the fiscal 2014 agreements would be earned, an additional \$3.2 million would have been accrued as of October 31, 2014. A summary of the Company's compensation cost related to performance share agreements is as follows (in thousands):

| Date of Performance Share Agreement | For the years ended |                  |                  |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                     | October 31, 2014    | October 31, 2013 | October 31, 2012 |
| November 1, 2011                    | \$ 1,543            | \$ 3,425         | \$ —             |

Edgar Filing: SANDERSON FARMS INC - Form 10-K

|                         |         |         |     |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|-----|
| November 1, 2012        | 5,823   | —       | —   |
| November 1, 2013        | —       | —       | —   |
| Total compensation cost | \$7,366 | \$3,425 | \$— |

Under the Company's Stock Option Plan, 2,250,000 shares of common stock were reserved for grant to key management personnel. Options outstanding at October 31, 2008, were granted in fiscal 2002, had ten-year terms and vested over four years beginning one year after the date of grant. The Company did not grant any options during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012. The Stock Option Plan has been superseded by the Plan described above and no further options may be issued under the Stock Option Plan.

Table of Contents

A summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information is as follows:

|                                 | Shares | Weighted-Average<br>Exercise Price |
|---------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at October 31, 2010 | 7,501  | \$ 12.37                           |
| Granted                         | —      | —                                  |
| Exercised                       | (1,500 | ) 12.37                            |
| Forfeited                       | —      | —                                  |
| Outstanding at October 31, 2011 | 6,001  | 12.37                              |
| Granted                         | —      | —                                  |
| Exercised                       | (6,000 | ) 12.37                            |
| Forfeited                       | (1     | ) 12.37                            |
| Outstanding at October 31, 2012 | —      | \$ —                               |

During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2012, 6,000 options were exercised with an intrinsic value of \$197,600 and 1 option was forfeited with an intrinsic value of \$32.93.

#### 10. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company has approximately 11,461 employees, approximately 15.0% of which are covered by collective bargaining agreements. Each collective bargaining agreement has a grievance procedure and no strike-no lockout clauses that should assist in maintaining stable labor relations at the respective facility.

The Company has vehicle and equipment operating leases that expire at various dates through fiscal 2019. Rental expense under these leases totaled \$9.0 million, \$7.0 million, and \$6.8 million during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The minimum lease payments of obligations under non-cancelable operating leases at October 31, 2014 were as follows (in millions):

| Fiscal Year | Amount |
|-------------|--------|
| 2015        | \$7.7  |
| 2016        | 5.2    |
| 2017        | 3.8    |
| 2018        | 3.0    |
| 2019        | 0.7    |
|             | \$20.4 |

At October 31, 2014, the Company's estimated contractual obligations for feed grains, feed ingredients, and packaging supplies totaled \$72.4 million, with approximately \$68.6 million due in less than one year, and the remainder due in the following year.

On September 19, 2013, the Company announced plans for construction of a new feed mill, hatchery, poultry processing plant and waste water facility on separate sites in Palestine, Anderson County, and Freestone County, Texas, and construction commenced in October 2013. The Company estimates the total investment in the complex will be approximately \$150.0 million. To date, the Company has spent approximately \$110.3 million on the project, and we are obligated to spend the remaining \$39.7 million through the first half of fiscal 2015. Of the amount spent to date, approximately \$104.6 million, including approximately \$1.1 million of capitalized interest, was spent during fiscal 2014. The remaining expenditures are estimates and are subject to change as the project moves toward completion.

The Company is involved in various claims and litigation incidental to its business. Although the outcome of these matters cannot be determined with certainty, management, upon the advice of counsel, is of the opinion that the final outcome should not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position. The Company recognizes the costs of legal defense for the legal proceedings to which it is a party in the periods incurred. After a considerable analysis of each case, the Company determines the amount of reserves required, if any. At this time, the Company has not accrued any reserve for any of these matters. Future reserves may be required if losses are deemed reasonably estimable and probable due to changes in the Company's assumptions, the effectiveness of legal strategies, or other factors



Table of Contents

beyond the Company's control. Future results of operations may be materially affected by the creation of reserves or by accruals of losses to reflect any adverse determinations in these legal proceedings.

## 11. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)

|                                   | Fiscal Year 2014                      |           |           |           |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                                   | First                                 | Second    | Third     | Fourth    |
|                                   | Quarter                               | Quarter   | Quarter   | Quarter   |
|                                   | (In thousands, except per share data) |           |           |           |
|                                   | (Unaudited)                           |           |           |           |
| Net sales                         | \$584,883                             | \$660,717 | \$768,395 | \$760,850 |
| Gross profit                      | 68,798                                | 108,406   | 161,392   | 182,351   |
| Net income (loss)                 | 28,871                                | 50,988    | 76,080    | 93,109    |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | \$1.25                                | \$2.21    | \$3.30    | \$4.04    |

|                                   | Fiscal Year 2013                      |           |           |           |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                                   | First                                 | Second    | Third     | Fourth    |
|                                   | Quarter                               | Quarter   | Quarter   | Quarter   |
|                                   | (In thousands, except per share data) |           |           |           |
|                                   | (unaudited)                           |           |           |           |
| Net sales                         | \$595,760                             | \$621,195 | \$738,964 | \$727,061 |
| Gross profit                      | 10,893                                | 58,962    | 133,946   | 102,124   |
| Net income (loss)                 | (6,943)                               | ) 24,371  | 67,919    | 45,270    |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | \$(0.31)                              | ) \$1.06  | \$2.95    | \$1.97    |

Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Schedule II

COL. A

Classification

| COL. A                             | COL. B         | COL. C     | COL. D     | COL. E      | COL. F     |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|
|                                    | Balance at     | Charged to | Charged to | Deductions  | Balance at |
|                                    | Beginning      | Costs and  | Other      | Describe(1) | End of     |
|                                    | of Period      | Expenses   | Accounts   |             | Period     |
|                                    | (In Thousands) |            |            |             |            |
| Year Ended October 31, 2014        |                |            |            |             |            |
| Deducted from accounts receivable: |                |            |            |             |            |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts    |                |            |            |             |            |
| Totals                             | \$2,200        | \$29       |            | \$29        | \$2,200    |
| Year Ended October 31, 2013        |                |            |            |             |            |
| Deducted from accounts receivable: |                |            |            |             |            |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts    |                |            |            |             |            |
| Totals                             | \$1,785        | \$470      |            | \$55        | \$2,200    |
| Year Ended October 31, 2012        |                |            |            |             |            |
| Deducted from accounts receivable: |                |            |            |             |            |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts    |                |            |            |             |            |
| Totals                             | \$1,373        | \$412      |            | \$—         | \$1,785    |

(1)Uncollectible accounts written off, net of recoveries

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's Securities Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of October 31, 2014, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of October 31, 2014. There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter ended October 31, 2014, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's management has assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2014. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992 framework). Based on our assessment we have concluded that, as of October 31, 2014, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria. Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has provided an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2014.

Item 9B. Other Information

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting  
The Board of Directors and Stockholders  
Sanderson Farms, Inc.

We have audited Sanderson Farms, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 framework) (the COSO criteria). Sanderson Farms, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Sanderson Farms, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2014, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Sanderson Farms, Inc. and subsidiaries as of October 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2014, of Sanderson Farms, Inc. and subsidiaries, and our report dated December 18, 2014, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP  
New Orleans, Louisiana  
December 18, 2014

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

As permitted by General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K, reference is made to the information concerning the Directors of the Registrant and the nominees for election as Directors appearing in the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed or to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b). Such information is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive proxy statement.

Information concerning the executive officers of the Registrant is set forth in Item 4A of Part I of this Annual Report. The Registrant also incorporates by reference, as permitted by General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K, information appearing in its definitive proxy statement filed or to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b) related to the filing of reports under Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The Registrant has a standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whose members are John H. Baker, III (Vice Chairman), Fred Banks, Jr., Robert C. Khayat, Phil K. Livingston, Dianne Mooney, Gail J. Pittman and Charles W. Ritter, Jr. (Chairman). All members of the audit committee are independent directors under the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC. The Registrant's Board of Directors has determined that Phil K. Livingston is an audit committee financial expert.

The Registrant has adopted a code of ethics that applies to its senior financial personnel, including its chief executive officer, chief financial officer and chief accounting officer. The Registrant will provide a copy of the code of ethics free of charge to any person upon request to:

Sanderson Farms, Inc.

P.O. Box 988

Laurel, Mississippi 39441

Attn.: Chief Financial Officer

Requests can also be made by phone at (601) 649-4030.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

As permitted by General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K, reference is made to the information concerning remuneration of Directors and executive officers of the Registrant appearing in the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed or to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b). Such information is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive proxy statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

As permitted by General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K, reference is made to the information concerning beneficial ownership of the Registrant's Common Stock, which is the only class of the Registrant's voting securities, appearing in the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed or to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b). Such information is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive proxy statement.

The following table provides information as of October 31, 2014, with respect to compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which equity securities of the Registrant are authorized for issuance. The Registrant has no equity compensation plan not approved by security holders. All outstanding awards were issued under the Registrant's Stock Incentive Plan approved by shareholders on February 17, 2005, and amended and approved by shareholders on February 17, 2011. No further options or other awards may be granted under the Stock Option Plan. There are 3,500,000 shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the Stock Incentive Plan.

Table of Contents

| Plan category  | (a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (1) | (b) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)(2)) |
|--|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders     | 336,301   | 614,932  |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | —   | —  |
| Total  | 336,301   | 614,932  |

(1) This column reflects 187,401 performance shares outstanding at October 31, 2014, that are expected to be earned and that are subject to an additional one year, service-based vesting period ending on October 31, 2015, and 148,900 unearned performance shares outstanding at October 31, 2014, at the maximum level. However, management could not determine that achievement of the applicable performance based criteria is probable for those unearned performance shares. This column does not include the 102,534 fiscal 2012 performance shares that were paid out on October 31, 2014.

(2) This column reflects the 982,883 shares of restricted stock granted to participants under the Stock Incentive Plan, the 245,723 shares of restricted stock purchased by or granted to participants under the MSPP provisions of the Stock Incentive Plan, and the 429,938 performance shares that have been earned or are expected to be earned under the Stock Incentive Plan, and the 148,900 unearned outstanding performance shares that could be earned as described in footnote (1) above, in each case since the inception of the plan and net of forfeitures, but including shares withheld at the election of the participants to satisfy tax obligations.

#### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence.

As permitted by General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K, information, if any, required to be reported by Item 13 of Form 10-K, with respect to transactions with management and others, certain business relationships, indebtedness of management, and transactions with promoters, is set forth in the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed or to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b). Such information, if any, is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive proxy statement.

#### Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

As permitted by General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K, information required to be reported by Item 14 of Form 10-K is set forth in the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed or to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 14a-6(b). That information is incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

The following consolidated financial statements of the Registrant are included in Item 8:

Consolidated Balance Sheets — October 31, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated Statements of Operations — Years ended October 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity — Years ended October 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows — Years ended October 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — October 31, 2014

(a) 2. FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES:

The following consolidated financial statement schedules of the Registrant are included in Item 8:

Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

All other schedules are omitted as they are not required, are not applicable or the required information is set forth in the Financial Statements or notes thereto.

(a) 3. EXHIBITS:

The following exhibits are filed with this Annual Report or are incorporated herein by reference:

| Exhibit<br>Number | Description   |
|-------------------|---|
| 3.1               | Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant dated October 19, 1978. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)  |
| 3.2               | Articles of Amendment, dated March 23, 1987, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)                     |
| 3.3               | Articles of Amendment, dated April 21, 1989, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)                     |
| 3.4               | Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Registrant dated April 21, 1989. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.) |
| 3.5               | Article of Amendment, dated February 20, 1992, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)                   |
| 3.6               | Article of Amendment, dated February 27, 1997, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)                   |
| 3.7               | By-Laws of the Registrant, amended and restated as of February 13, 2014. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 20, 2014.)  |
| 10.1              | Contract dated July 31, 1964, between the Registrant and the City of Laurel, Mississippi. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-D filed with the registration statement on Form S-1 filed by the Registrant on April 3, 1987, Registration No. 33-13141.)                            |



Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description  |
|----------------|--|
| 10.2           | Contract Amendment dated December 1, 1970, between the Registrant and the City of Laurel, Mississippi. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-D-1 filed with the registration statement on Form S-1 filed by the Registrant on April 3, 1987, Registration No. 33-13141.)  |
| 10.3           | Contract Amendment dated June 11, 1985, between the Registrant and the City of Laurel, Mississippi. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-D-2 filed with the registration statement on Form S-1 filed by the Registrant on April 3, 1987, Registration No. 33-13141.)   |
| 10.4           | Contract Amendment dated October 7, 1986, between the Registrant and the City of Laurel, Mississippi. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-D-3 filed with the registration statement on Form S-1 filed by the Registrant on April 3, 1987, Registration No. 33-13141.)   |
| 10.5+          | Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Affiliates Employee Stock Ownership Plan, as amended and restated effective November 1, 2013. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2013.)  |
| 10.6+          | Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Affiliates Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on February 17, 2011. (Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed on January 14, 2011, for its annual meeting held February 17, 2011.)  |
| 10.7+          | Amendment No. 1 dated December 13, 2013, to Sanderson Farms, Inc. Bonus Award Program (Executive Committee) Effective November 1, 2012. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on December 17, 2013.)   |
| 10.8+          | Sanderson Farms, Inc. Bonus Award Program Effective November 1, 2013. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 20, 2014.)  |
| 10.9+          | Sanderson Farms, Inc. Supplemental Disability Plan effective September 1, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on October 1, 2008).  |
| 10.10+         | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between the Registrant and its officers and employees who are granted restricted stock with a ten-year resting period, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2009.)                                       |
| 10.11+         | Form of Share Purchase Agreement between the Registrant and its non-employee directors who participate in its management share purchase plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2007.)  |
| 10.12+         | Form of Share Purchase Agreement between the Registrant and its officers and employees who participate in its management share purchase plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended April 30, 2008.)  |
| 10.13+         | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between the Registrant and its officers and employees who are granted restricted stock with a four-year vesting period (for awards granted after August 2009 through fiscal 2013). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2009.) |

- 10.14+ Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between the Registrant and its officers and employees who are granted restricted stock with a four-year vesting period (for awards granted on or after November 1, 2013). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2013.)
- 10.15+ Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between the Registrant and its non-employee directors who are granted restricted stock, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2007.)
- 10.16+ Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for restricted stock granted to officers and employees on February 17, 2011. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 22, 2011.)
- 10.17+ Form of Performance Share Agreement between the Registrant and its employees who are granted performance shares (for fiscal 2012). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2011.)
- 10.18+ Form of Performance Share Agreement between the Registrant and its employees who are granted performance shares (for fiscal 2013). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2012.)

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description  |
|----------------|--|
| 10.19+         | Form of Performance Share Agreement between the Registrant and its employees who are granted performance shares (for fiscal 2014). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2013.)  |
| 10.20+*        | Form of Performance Share Agreement between the Registrant and its employees who are granted performance shares (for fiscal 2015).   |
| 10.21+         | Employment Agreement dated as of September 15, 2009, between the Registrant and Joe F. Sanderson, Jr. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2009.)  |
| 10.22+         | Employment Agreement dated as of September 15, 2009, between the Registrant and Lampkin Butts (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2009.)  |
| 10.23+         | Employment Agreement dated as of September 15, 2009, between the Registrant and D. Michael Cockrell (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2009.)  |
| 10.24          | Memorandum of Agreement dated June 13, 1989, between Pike County, Mississippi and the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-L filed with the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1990.)  |
| 10.25          | Wastewater Treatment Agreement between the City of Magnolia, Mississippi and the Registrant dated August 19, 1991. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-M filed with the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1991.)   |
| 10.26          | Memorandum of Agreement and Purchase Option between Pike County, Mississippi and the Registrant dated May 1991. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-N filed with the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1991.)  |
| 10.27          | Lease Agreement between Pike County, Mississippi and the Registrant dated as of November 1, 1992. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-M filed with the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1993.)  |
| 10.28          | Lease Agreement dated as of December 1, 2004, between Moultrie-Colquitt County Development Authority, as Lessor, and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division) as Lessee. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2005.) |
| 10.29          | Bond Purchase Loan Agreement between Moultrie-Colquitt County Development Authority, as Issuer, and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division), as Purchaser. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2005.)              |
| 10.30          | Credit Agreement dated October 24, 2013 among Sanderson Farms, Inc. and BMO Harris Bank N.A. as Agent for the Banks defined herein. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 28, 2013.)   |

- 10.31 Guaranty Agreement dated October 24, 2013 of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division), Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division) and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 28, 2013.)
- 10.32 First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated October 29, 2014 among Sanderson Farms, Inc. and the Banks party thereto. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 4, 2014.)
- 10.33 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of April 28, 2006, between Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Northwest Farm Credit Services, PCA. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2006.)
- 10.34 Guarantee Agreement dated as of April 28, 2006, of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2006.)
- 10.35 Guarantee Agreement dated as of April 28, 2006, of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2006.)
- 10.36 Guarantee Agreement dated as of April 28, 2006, of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2006.)

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description  |
|----------------|--|
| 10.37          | Intercreditor Agreement dated as of April 28, 2006, among The Lincoln National Life Insurance Company, Northwest Farm Credit Services, PCA, Harris N.A., SunTrust Bank, AmSouth Bank, U.S. Bank National Association, Regions Bank, and Trustmark National Bank. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2006.) |
| 10.38          | Lease Agreement dated as of July 1, 2006, between Adel Industrial Development Authority as Lessor, and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division) as Lessee. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2006.)   |
| 10.39          | Bond Purchase Agreement dated as of July 31, 2006, between Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division) as Purchaser and Adel Industrial Development Authority as Issuer. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2006.)  |
| 21             | List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2002.)  |
| 23*            | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.  |
| 31.1*          | Certification of Chief Executive Officer.  |
| 31.2*          | Certification of Chief Financial Officer.  |
| 32.1**         | Section 1350 Certification.  |
| 32.2**         | Section 1350 Certification.  |
| 101.INS        | XBRL Instance Document   |
| 101.SCH        | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema   |
| 101.CAL        | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase   |
| 101.DEF        | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase  |
| 101.LAB        | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase   |
| 101.PRE        | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase  |

\* Filed herewith.

\*\* Furnished herewith.

+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

(b) Agreements Available Upon Request by the Commission.

The Registrant's credit agreement with the banks for which BMO Harris Bank N.A. acts as agent is filed or incorporated by reference as an exhibit to this report. The Registrant is a party to various other agreements defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Registrant, but, of those other agreements, no single agreement authorizes securities in an amount which exceeds 10% of the total assets of the Company. Upon request of the

Commission, the Registrant will furnish a copy of any such agreement to the Commission. Accordingly, such agreements are omitted as exhibits as permitted by Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K.

**QUALIFICATION BY REFERENCE**

Any statement contained in this Annual Report concerning the contents of any contract or other document filed as an exhibit to this Annual Report or incorporated herein by reference is not necessarily complete, and in each instance reference is made to the copy of the document filed.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SANDERSON FARMS, INC.

By: /s/ Joe F. Sanderson, Jr.  
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date: December 18, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and as of the dates indicated.

/s/ Joe F. Sanderson, Jr. 12/18/2014  
Joe F. Sanderson, Jr.,  
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ John H. Baker, III 12/18/2014  
John H. Baker, III,  
Director

/s/ Fred Banks, Jr. 12/18/2014  
Fred Banks, Jr.  
Director

/s/ John Bierbusse 12/18/2014  
John Bierbusse,  
Director

/s/ Lampkin Butts 12/18/2014  
Lampkin Butts, Director,  
President and Chief Operating Officer

/s/ D. Michael Cockrell 12/18/2014  
D. Michael Cockrell,  
Director, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Ms. Toni Cooley 12/18/2014  
Toni Cooley  
Director

/s/ Tim Rigney 12/18/2014  
Tim Rigney,  
Secretary and Chief Accounting Officer  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

/s/ Beverly Wade Hogan 12/18/2014  
Beverly Wade Hogan,  
Director



Table of Contents

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| /s/ Robert C. Khayat<br>Robert C. Khayat<br>Director              | 12/18/2014 |
| /s/ Phil K. Livingston<br>Phil K. Livingston,<br>Director         | 12/18/2014 |
| /s/ Dianne Mooney<br>Dianne Mooney<br>Director                    | 12/18/2014 |
| /s/ Gail Jones Pittman<br>Gail Jones Pittman,<br>Director         | 12/18/2014 |
| /s/ Charles W. Ritter, Jr.<br>Charles W. Ritter, Jr.,<br>Director | 12/18/2014 |

Table of Contents

EXHIBITS:

The following exhibits are filed with this Annual Report or are incorporated herein by reference:

| Exhibit<br>Number | Description   |
|-------------------|---|
| 3.1               | Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant dated October 19, 1978. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)  |
| 3.2               | Articles of Amendment, dated March 23, 1987, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)                     |
| 3.3               | Articles of Amendment, dated April 21, 1989, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)                     |
| 3.4               | Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Registrant dated April 21, 1989. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.) |
| 3.5               | Article of Amendment, dated February 20, 1992, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)                   |
| 3.6               | Article of Amendment, dated February 27, 1997, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)                   |
| 3.7               | By-Laws of the Registrant, amended and restated as of February 13, 2014. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 20, 2014.)  |
| 10.1              | Contract dated July 31, 1964, between the Registrant and the City of Laurel, Mississippi. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-D filed with the registration statement on Form S-1 filed by the Registrant on April 3, 1987, Registration No. 33-13141.)                            |
| 10.2              | Contract Amendment dated December 1, 1970, between the Registrant and the City of Laurel, Mississippi. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-D-1 filed with the registration statement on Form S-1 filed by the Registrant on April 3, 1987, Registration No. 33-13141.)             |
| 10.3              | Contract Amendment dated June 11, 1985, between the Registrant and the City of Laurel, Mississippi. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-D-2 filed with the registration statement on Form S-1 filed by the Registrant on April 3, 1987, Registration No. 33-13141.)                |
| 10.4              | Contract Amendment dated October 7, 1986, between the Registrant and the City of Laurel, Mississippi. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-D-3 filed with the registration statement on Form S-1 filed by the Registrant on April 3, 1987, Registration No. 33-13141.)              |
| 10.5+             |   |

Edgar Filing: SANDERSON FARMS INC - Form 10-K

Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Affiliates Employee Stock Ownership Plan, as amended and restated effective November 1, 2013. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2013.)

10.6+ Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Affiliates Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on February 17, 2011. (Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed on January 14, 2011, for its annual meeting held February 17, 2011.)

10.7+ Amendment No. 1 dated December 13, 2013, to Sanderson Farms, Inc. Bonus Award Program (Executive Committee) Effective November 1, 2012. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on December 17, 2013.)

10.8+ Sanderson Farms, Inc. Bonus Award Program Effective November 1, 2013. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 20, 2014.)

10.9+ Sanderson Farms, Inc. Supplemental Disability Plan effective September 1, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on October 1, 2008).

10.10+ Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between the Registrant and its officers and employees who are granted restricted stock with a ten-year resting period, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2009.)

Table of Contents

- 10.11+ Form of Share Purchase Agreement between the Registrant and its non-employee directors who participate in its management share purchase plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2007.)
- 10.12+ Form of Share Purchase Agreement between the Registrant and its officers and employees who participate in its management share purchase plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended April 30, 2008.)
- 10.13+ Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between the Registrant and its officers and employees who are granted restricted stock with a four-year vesting period (for awards granted after August 2009 through fiscal 2013). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2009.)
- 10.14+ Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between the Registrant and its officers and employees who are granted restricted stock with a four-year vesting period (for awards granted on or after November 1, 2013). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2013.)
- 10.15+ Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between the Registrant and its non-employee directors who are granted restricted stock, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2007.)
- 10.16+ Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for restricted stock granted to officers and employees on February 17, 2011. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 22, 2011.)
- 10.17+ Form of Performance Share Agreement between the Registrant and its employees who are granted performance shares (for fiscal 2012). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2011.)
- 10.18+ Form of Performance Share Agreement between the Registrant and its employees who are granted performance shares (for fiscal 2013). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2012.)
- 10.19+ Form of Performance Share Agreement between the Registrant and its employees who are granted performance shares (for fiscal 2014). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2013.)
- 10.20+\* Form of Performance Share Agreement between the Registrant and its employees who are granted performance shares (for fiscal 2015).
- 10.21+ Employment Agreement dated as of September 15, 2009, between the Registrant and Joe F. Sanderson, Jr. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2009.)
- 10.22+ Employment Agreement dated as of September 15, 2009, between the Registrant and Lampkin Butts (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2009.)

Edgar Filing: SANDERSON FARMS INC - Form 10-K

- 10.23+ Employment Agreement dated as of September 15, 2009, between the Registrant and D. Michael Cockrell (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2009.)
- 10.24 Memorandum of Agreement dated June 13, 1989, between Pike County, Mississippi and the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-L filed with the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1990.)
- 10.25 Wastewater Treatment Agreement between the City of Magnolia, Mississippi and the Registrant dated August 19, 1991. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-M filed with the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1991.)
- 10.26 Memorandum of Agreement and Purchase Option between Pike County, Mississippi and the Registrant dated May 1991. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-N filed with the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1991.)
- 10.27 Lease Agreement between Pike County, Mississippi and the Registrant dated as of November 1, 1992. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-M filed with the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1993.)

Table of Contents

- 10.28 Lease Agreement dated as of December 1, 2004, between Moultrie-Colquitt County Development Authority, as Lessor, and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division) as Lessee. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2005.)
- 10.29 Bond Purchase Loan Agreement between Moultrie-Colquitt County Development Authority, as Issuer, and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division), as Purchaser. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2005.)
- 10.30 Credit Agreement dated October 24, 2013 among Sanderson Farms, Inc. and BMO Harris Bank N.A. as Agent for the Banks defined herein. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 28, 2013.)
- 10.31 Guaranty Agreement dated October 24, 2013 of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division), Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division) and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 28, 2013.)
- 10.32 First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated October 29, 2014 among Sanderson Farms, Inc. and the Banks party thereto. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 4, 2014.)
- 10.33 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of April 28, 2006, between Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Northwest Farm Credit Services, PCA. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2006.)
- 10.34 Guarantee Agreement dated as of April 28, 2006, of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2006.)
- 10.35 Guarantee Agreement dated as of April 28, 2006, of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2006.)
- 10.36 Guarantee Agreement dated as of April 28, 2006, of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2006.)
- 10.37 Intercreditor Agreement dated as of April 28, 2006, among The Lincoln National Life Insurance Company, Northwest Farm Credit Services, PCA, Harris N.A., SunTrust Bank, AmSouth Bank, U.S. Bank National Association, Regions Bank, and Trustmark National Bank. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2006.)
- 10.38 Lease Agreement dated as of July 1, 2006, between Adel Industrial Development Authority as Lessor, and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division) as Lessee. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2006.)
- 10.39 Bond Purchase Agreement dated as of July 31, 2006, between Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division) as Purchaser and Adel Industrial Development Authority as Issuer. (Incorporated by

Edgar Filing: SANDERSON FARMS INC - Form 10-K

reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2006.)

|         |   |
|---------|---|
| 21      | List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2002.) |
| 23*     | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.   |
| 31.1*   | Certification of Chief Executive Officer.   |
| 31.2*   | Certification of Chief Financial Officer.   |
| 32.1**  | Section 1350 Certification.   |
| 32.2**  | Section 1350 Certification.   |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document  |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema  |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase  |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase   |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase  |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase   |

Table of Contents

---

\*Filed herewith.

\*\*Furnished herewith.

+Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

68