VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL
Form 10-Q/A
January 30, 2007

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q/A

(Mark One)

þ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

or

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 1-11397

Valeant Pharmaceuticals International
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	**33-0628076**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	*(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)*
One Enterprise, Aliso Viejo, California	**92656**
(Address of principal executive offices)	*(Zip Code)*

(949) 461-6000
(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes o No þ

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer þ Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No þ

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant s Common Stock, $0.01 par value, as of January 16, 2007 was 94,414,465.

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

INDEX

Table of Contents

Explanatory Note

We are amending our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 filed on August 8, 2006 (the Original Filing) to restate our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three month and six month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 and the related disclosures. See Note 2, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements of the Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements for a detailed discussion of the effect of the restatement. On January 22, 2007 we filed an amended annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The restatement of the Original Filing reflected in this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A includes adjustments arising from the determinations of a Special Committee, consisting of independent members of the Board of Directors, which was formed in September 2006 to conduct a comprehensive review into the Company s past stock option practices, as well as our internal review relating to our historical financial statements.

In July 2006, we were contacted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, with respect to an informal inquiry regarding events and circumstances surrounding trading in our common stock and the public release of data from our first pivotal Phase 3 trial for Viramidine® (taribavirin). In addition, on August 22, 2006, the SEC requested data regarding our stock option grants and exercises since January 1, 2000. The SEC has also requested information about our pursuit in the Delaware Chancery Court of the return of certain bonuses paid to Milan Panic, the former chairman and chief executive officer, and others, in connection with the Ribapharm initial public offering. We commenced an internal review by our finance department of stock option grants from 1982 to July 2006. In September 2006, our board of directors appointed a special committee of the board composed solely of independent directors (the Special Committee) to conduct a review of our historic stock option practices and related accounting. The Special Committee, with the assistance of outside legal counsel, undertook a comprehensive review of the stock option grants to our officers, directors and employees from 1982 to July 2006 under our various stock option plans in effect during this period. The Special Committee has concluded its investigation and has reported its findings to our board of directors.

On October 20, 2006, our board of directors concluded that certain of our consolidated financial statements should be restated to record the additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense items that had been incorrectly accounted for under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP. In addition, we have restated our financial statements to correct certain accounting errors which were previously identified but not considered to be material. These corrections related to accounting for employee tax withholding on certain compensation transactions, elimination of an intercompany difference, accounting for product exchanges (resulting in a revenue adjustment), and certain income tax adjustments.

For more information on these matters, please refer to Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 2 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, and Item 4, Controls and Procedures.

As a result of the findings of the Special Committee as well as our internal review, we concluded that it was necessary to amend our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, originally filed on March 16, 2006, to restate our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 and the related disclosures as well as Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting as of December 31, 2005. The annual report on Form 10-K/A, filed on January 22, 2007, also includes the restatement of selected consolidated financial data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001, and the unaudited quarterly financial data for each of the quarters in the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. We also concluded

that we needed to amend the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, originally filed on May 9, 2006 and August 8, 2006, respectively, to restate our condensed consolidated financial statements for those periods. We also restated the September 30, 2005 financial statements with the filing of our September 30, 2006 Form 10-Q on January 22, 2007. We have not amended and we do not intend to amend any of our other previously filed annual reports on Form 10-K or quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the periods affected by the restatement or adjustments other than (i) this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, (ii) the amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 being filed on the same date as this filing, and (iii) the amended annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, filed January 22, 2007.

Table of Contents

All of the information in this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A is as of June 30, 2006 and does not reflect events occurring after the date of the Original Filing, other than the restatement, or modify or update disclosures (including, the exhibits to the Original Filing, except for the updated Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, and 32.1 described below) affected by subsequent events. For the convenience of the reader, this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A sets forth the Original Filing in its entirety, as amended by, and to reflect, the restatement. The following sections of this Form 10-Q/A were adjusted to reflect the findings of the Special Committee as well as our internal review:

Part I Item 1 Unaudited Financial Statements;
Part I Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;
Part I Item 4 Controls and Procedures;
Part II Item 1A Risk Factors; and
Part II Item 6 Exhibits

This amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A should be read in conjunction with our amended annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, our periodic filings made with the SEC subsequent to the date of the Original Filing and any Current Reports filed on Form 8-K subsequent to the date of the Original Filing. In addition, in accordance with applicable SEC rules, this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A includes updated certifications from our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, and 32.1.

Table of Contents

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. ***Financial Statements***

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS
As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005
(In thousands, except par value data)

	June 30, 2006 (Restated)(1) (Unaudited)	December 31, 2005 (Restated)(1)
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 244,607	$ 224,856
Marketable securities	8,208	10,210
Accounts receivable, net	201,567	187,987
Inventories, net	144,505	136,034
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	33,118	40,354
Total current assets	632,005	599,441
Property, plant and equipment, net	174,648	230,126
Deferred tax assets, net	24,767	25,342
Goodwill	79,977	79,486
Intangible assets, net	506,117	536,319
Other assets	48,781	43,176
Assets of discontinued operations	49	127
Total non-current assets	834,339	914,576
	$ 1,466,344	$ 1,514,017
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Trade payables	$ 59,587	$ 55,279
Accrued liabilities	144,173	140,839
Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt	487	495
Income taxes	40,648	47,323
Total current liabilities	244,895	243,936

Long-term debt, less current portion	779,483	788,439
Deferred tax liabilities, net	2,796	8,208
Other liabilities	22,542	16,372
Liabilities of discontinued operations	23,078	23,118
Total non-current liabilities	827,899	836,137
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders Equity:		
Common stock, $0.01 par value; 200,000 shares authorized; 92,873 (June 30, 2006) and 92,760 (December 31, 2005) shares outstanding (after deducting shares in treasury of 1,094 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005)	929	928
Additional capital	1,237,998	1,224,907
Accumulated deficit	(833,195)	(770,350)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(12,182)	(21,541)
Total stockholders equity	393,550	433,944
	$ 1,466,344	$ 1,514,017

(1) See Note 2, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
For the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005
(Unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006 (Restated)(1)	2005 (Restated)(1)	2006 (Restated)(1)	2005 (Restated)(1)
Revenues:				
Product sales	$ 208,756	$ 180,942	$ 390,157	$ 342,724
Ribavirin royalties	21,635	24,206	39,726	43,541
Total revenues	230,391	205,148	429,883	386,265
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization)	65,759	53,003	124,360	101,786
Selling expenses	66,270	61,489	130,546	114,339
General and administrative expenses	30,667	26,111	59,113	50,816
Research and development costs	26,868	27,629	56,421	53,460
Acquired in-process research and development				126,399
Gain on litigation settlement			(34,000)	
Restructuring charges	53,082	(1,324)	79,548	371
Amortization expense	17,514	17,211	35,037	31,179
Total costs and expenses	260,160	184,119	451,025	478,350
Income (loss) from operations	(29,769)	21,029	(21,142)	(92,085)
Other income (loss), net, including translation and exchange	757	(2,631)	1,694	(4,422)
Interest income	2,715	3,119	5,372	6,134
Interest expense	(10,861)	(10,063)	(21,298)	(19,744)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest	(37,158)	11,454	(35,374)	(110,117)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	5,163	10,256	12,705	26,770
Minority interest, net		134	1	305
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(42,321)	1,064	(48,080)	(137,192)
Loss from discontinued operations	(197)	(1,988)	(409)	(3,491)
Net loss	$ (42,518)	$ (924)	$ (48,489)	$ (140,683)
Basic and diluted income (loss) per share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	$ (0.46)	$ 0.01	$ (0.52)	$ (1.51)
Loss from discontinued operations		(0.02)		(0.04)

Net loss	$ (0.46)	$ (0.01)	$ (0.52)	$ (1.55)
Shares used in per share computation	92,818	92,568	92,794	90,712
Dividends paid per share of common stock	$ 0.08	$ 0.08	$ 0.16	$ 0.16
Dividends declared per share of common stock	$ 0.08	$ 0.08	$ 0.16	$ 0.16

(1) See Note 2, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005
(Unaudited, in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006 (Restated)(1)	2005 (Restated)(1)	2006 (Restated)(1)	2005 (Restated)(1)
Net loss	$ (42,518)	$ (924)	$ (48,489)	$ (140,683)
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(4,643)	(16,378)	10,626	(31,740)
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable equity securities and other	(144)	2,993	(1,267)	6,251
Comprehensive (loss)	$ (47,305)	$ (14,309)	$ (39,130)	$ (166,172)

(1) See Note 2, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005
(Unaudited, in thousands)

	2006 (Restated)(1)	2005 (Restated)(1)
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net Loss	$ (48,489)	$ (140,683)
Loss from discontinued operations	(409)	(3,491)
Loss from continuing operations	(48,080)	(137,192)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	47,070	45,498
Provision for losses on accounts receivable and inventory obsolescence	7,671	3,805
Stock compensation expense	10,697	1,652
Translation and exchange (gains) losses, net	(1,694)	4,422
Impairment charges and other non-cash items	67,913	1,355
Acquired in-process research and development		126,399
Deferred income taxes	(3,787)	(18,215)
Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable	(11,428)	2,154
Inventories	(12,435)	(13,518)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,769	3,916
Trade payables and accrued liabilities	3,015	(21,243)
Income taxes	(11,314)	22,088
Other liabilities	1,732	1,284
Cash flow from operating activities in continuing operations	51,129	22,405
Cash flow from operating activities in discontinued operations	(418)	(1,129)
Net cash provided by operating activities	50,711	21,276
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(19,840)	(15,021)
Proceeds from sale of assets	8,037	5,876
Proceeds from investments	6,665	506,600
Purchase of investments	(8,900)	(299,672)
Acquisition of businesses, license rights and product lines	(2,932)	(281,781)
Cash flow from investing activities in continuing operations	(16,970)	(83,998)
Cash flow from investing activities in discontinued operations	(1)	(132)
Net cash used in investing activities	(16,971)	(84,130)
Cash flows from financing activities:		

Payments on long-term debt and notes payable	(6,137)	(708)
Proceeds capitalized lease financing	578	
Stock option exercises and employee stock purchases	2,395	1,646
Proceeds from stock offering		189,030
Dividends paid	(14,354)	(13,650)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(17,518)	176,318
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	3,501	(9,033)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	19,723	104,431
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	224,903	222,719
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	244,626	327,150
Cash and cash equivalents classified as part of discontinued operations	(19)	(995)
Cash and cash equivalents of continuing operations	$ 244,607	$ 326,155

(1) See Note 2, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
June 30, 2006
(Unaudited)

In the consolidated condensed financial statements included herein, we, us, our, Valeant, and the Company refer t Valeant Pharmaceuticals International and its subsidiaries. The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by us, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The results of operations presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for a full year. Although we believe that all adjustments (consisting only of normal, recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the interim periods presented are included and that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, these consolidated condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization: We are a global specialty pharmaceutical company that develops, manufactures and markets a broad range of pharmaceutical products. In addition, we generate royalty revenues from the sale of ribavirin by Schering-Plough Ltd. (Schering-Plough) and F. Hoffman-LaRoche (Roche).

Principles of Consolidation: The accompanying consolidated condensed financial statements include the accounts of Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, its wholly owned subsidiaries and all of its majority-owned subsidiaries. Minority interest in results of operations of consolidated subsidiaries represents the minority stockholders share of the income or loss of the consolidated subsidiaries. All significant intercompany account balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Marketable Securities: We invest in investment-grade securities and classify these securities as available-for-sale as they typically have maturities of one year or less and are highly liquid. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the fair market value of these securities approximated cost.

Acquired In-Process Research and Development (IPR&D): We value IPR&D acquired in a business combination based on an approach consistent with the *AICPA Practice Aid, Assets Acquired in a Business Combination to Be Used in Research and Development Activities: A Focus on Software, Electronic Devices, and Pharmaceutical Industries.* Amounts expensed as IPR&D represent an estimate of the fair value of purchased in-process technology for projects that, as of the acquisition date, had not yet reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use. The data used to determine fair value requires significant judgment. Differences in these judgments would have the impact of changing the allocation of purchase price to goodwill, which is an intangible asset that is not amortized.

The estimated fair value of these projects is based on the use of a discounted cash flow model (based on an estimate of future sales). For each project, the estimated after-tax cash flows are probability-weighted to take account of the stage of completion and the risks surrounding successful development and commercialization. These cash flows are then discounted to a present value using a discount rate which is estimated from our after-tax, adjusted weighted average cost of capital.

The major risks and uncertainties associated with the timely and successful completion of these projects consist of the ability to confirm the safety and efficacy of the technology based on the data from clinical trials and obtaining necessary regulatory approvals. In addition, no assurance can be given that the underlying assumptions used to forecast the cash flows or the timely and successful completion of such projects will materialize as estimated. For these reasons, among others, actual results may vary significantly from the estimated results.

Derivative Financial Instruments: Our accounting policies for derivative instruments are based on whether they meet our criteria for designation as hedging transactions, either as cash flow or fair value hedges. Our

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

derivative instruments are recorded at fair value and are included in other current assets, other assets, accrued liabilities or debt. For hedging transactions, changes in the fair value of the hedged item are either offset against the change in the fair value of the hedged item through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings.

Comprehensive Income: We have adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income.* Accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments, unrealized losses on marketable equity securities, minimum pension liability and changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments.

Per Share Information: Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. In computing diluted earnings per share, the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding is adjusted to reflect the effect of potentially dilutive securities including options, warrants, and convertible debt; income available to common stockholders is adjusted to reflect any changes in income or loss that would result from the issuance of the dilutive common shares.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense: In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued a revision of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. The revision is referred to as FAS 123R Share-Based Payment (or FAS 123R), which supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, (or APB 25) and requires companies to recognize compensation expense, using a fair-value based method, for costs related to share-based payments including stock options and stock issued under our employee stock plans.

We adopted SFAS 123R using the modified prospective basis effective January 1, 2006.

Prior to the adoption of FAS 123R on January 1, 2006, we followed APB 25 to account for employee stock options. Under APB 25, using the intrinsic value method of accounting, compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period of the option in the amount that the exercise price of our employee stock options is less than the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant. Prior to January 1, 2006 we have also applied the disclosure provisions of FAS 123 which illustrate, on a pro forma basis, the effect on our reported earnings as if we recorded stock option expense based on the fair value of stock options.

In order to estimate the fair value of stock options we use the Black-Scholes option valuation model, which was developed for use in estimating the fair value of publicly traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. Option valuation models require the input of subjective assumptions which can vary over time. Additional information about our stock option programs and the assumptions used in developing the pro forma amounts below are contained in Note 9.

See Note 2 for information associated with the restatement of our consolidated financial statements which resulted from an investigation into our stock-option granting practices. A Special Committee of our board of directors determined that there were differences between the historical market price of the our common stock at the dates that stock options were officially awarded and the stock option exercise prices. These differences resulted in substantial additional stock compensation expense as determined in accordance with APB 25 and related interpretations. Further, these differences impacted the calculation of the fair values of our stock options as determined under FAS 123, since fair value is determined based, in part, on both the market price of a stock at the date of grant and the grant exercise

price. Our historical consolidated financial statements and the pro forma information below have been restated to reflect these differences.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Stock compensation expense was $5,079,000 and $10,697,000 in the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006, respectively. The following table illustrates the effect of applying SFAS 123(R) on our financial results in 2005.

	Periods Ended June 30, 2005	
	Three months	Six Months
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)	
	(Restated)	(Restated)
Net loss as reported	$ (924)	$ (140,683)
Stock compensation expense recorded at intrinsic value for stock incentive plans	789	1,652
Stock compensation expense determined under fair value method for stock incentive plans	(5,583)	(11,174)
Pro forma net loss	$ (5,718)	$ (150,205)
Net loss per share:		
Basic and diluted as reported	$ (0.01)	$ (1.55)
Basic and diluted pro forma	$ (0.06)	$ (1.66)

Prior to the restatement discussed in Note 2, we reported that the pro forma net loss and net loss per share resulting from the application of SFAS 123R would have been $5,620,000 and $0.06 per share, respectively, for the three-month period ended June 30, 2005 and $149,832,000 and $1.65 per share, respectively, for the six-month period ended June 30, 2005.

Income tax benefits in the United States that are associated with the our stock option programs and stock compensation expense have been recorded net of a completely offsetting valuation allowance because, at this time, there is insufficient objective evidence to assure that we will have sufficient U.S. taxable income to realize such benefits.

Recent Accounting Pronouncement

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48)*, which prescribes accounting for and disclosure of uncertainty in tax positions. This interpretation defines the criteria that must be met for the benefits of a tax position to be recognized in the financial statements and the measurement of tax benefits recognized. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective as of the beginning of our 2007 fiscal year, with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as

an adjustment to opening retained earnings. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN 48 on our consolidated financial statements.

Dividends: We have paid quarterly cash dividends of $0.0775 per share for each quarter in 2005 and the first two quarters of 2006. However, we cannot assure that we will continue to do so.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements

We are amending our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 to restate our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three month and six month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 and the related disclosures. On January 22, 2007 we filed an amended annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

In July 2006, we were contacted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, with respect to an informal inquiry regarding events and circumstances surrounding trading in our common stock and the public release of data from our first pivotal Phase 3 trial for Viramidine® (taribavirin). In addition, on August 22, 2006, the SEC requested data regarding our stock option grants and exercises since January 1, 2000. The SEC has also requested information about our pursuit in the Delaware Chancery Court of the return of certain bonuses paid to Milan Panic, the former chairman and chief executive officer, and others, in connection with the Ribapharm initial public offering. We commenced an internal review by our finance department of stock option grants from 1982 to July 2006. In September 2006, our board of directors appointed a special committee of the board composed solely of independent directors (the Special Committee) to conduct a review of our historic stock option practices and related accounting. The Special Committee, with the assistance of outside legal counsel, undertook a comprehensive review of the stock option grants to our officers, directors and employees from 1982 to July 2006 under our various stock option plans in effect during this period. The Special Committee has concluded its investigation and has reported its findings to our board of directors.

On October 20, 2006, our board of directors concluded that certain of our consolidated financial statements should be restated to record the additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense items and certain other items that had been incorrectly accounted for under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP.

Continuing the work done in September, the Special Committee analyzed in detail stock option grants awarded between November 1994 and July 2006 and analyzed supporting documentation for awards granted between 1982 and 1994. For the period between November 1994 and July 2006, the Special Committee s analysis included an extensive review of paper and electronic documents supporting or related to our stock option grants, the accounting for those grants, compensation-related financial and securities disclosures and e-mail communications as well as interviews with numerous current and former employees and current and former members of our board of directors. While the Special Committee concluded that there were some errors as late as January 2006, the majority of errors in accounting for options pertain to those options granted prior to the change in our board of directors and management in mid-2002 (the Change in Control). None of the errors occurring in periods after the Change in Control related to options granted to the chief executive officer, chief financial officer or members of our board of directors.

The Special Committee made a determination, based on the available evidence, of measurement dates for each affected grant. If the grants were approved at a meeting of the compensation committee of the board of directors and there was no actual evidence of a change in the approved list of individual awards, the measurement date selected was the date of the compensation committee meeting. If there was actual evidence of a change in the list of individual awards and evidence of when the list became final, the measurement date selected was the date when the list became final. If there was actual evidence of a change in the list but evidence of when the list became final was not definitive, the measurement date was reconstructed using the best available evidence to ensure that an adequate amount of

compensation expense was recorded in the restatement.

In total we recorded $31,111,000 of additional pre-tax, non-cash, stock-based compensation expense in the restatement to correct errors for awards granted from 1982 to date. Of this, $28,651,000 related to awards granted prior to the Change in Control and $2,460,000 to awards granted after the Change in Control. None of these changes affected our previously reported revenues, cash, or cash equivalents. As explained below, however, we also reported corrections for certain other items which impact our reported revenues and cash flow presentations.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Options Granted Prior to the Change in Control

The Special Committee found that the recorded grant dates for the majority of stock options awarded prior to the Change in Control differed from the actual grant dates for those transactions. In connection with that finding, the Special Committee concluded that, with respect to many broad-based grants of stock options prior to the Change in Control, prior management used a methodology of selecting a recorded grant date based on the lowest closing price during some time period (e.g., quarter, ten trading days) preceding the actual grant date. While the Special Committee did not reach a conclusion as to how prior management selected other recorded grant dates for broad-based or individual grants that did not use the lowest closing price methodology, there is some evidence that dates were selected based on the occurrence of an event or when the former chief executive officer, Milan Panic, agreed in principle to the grant. While these and similar practices resulted in the grant of in-the-money options, and the Special Committee identified evidence that two pre-Change in Control directors may have been aware of these backdating practices, it does not appear that prior management pre-Change in Control attempted to conceal that the stock option grants were discounted using the backdating methodology.

Between November 1994 and the June 2002 Change in Control, eight broad-based grants were made. All of the 908 individual awards of options to purchase 6.9 million shares comprising those grants had recorded grant dates that differed from the actual grant dates for those transactions and each resulted in additional compensation charges that are reflected in our restated financial statements. Of those eight broad-based grants, six appear to have been annual grants that used the lowest closing price methodology and two appear to have been event-related (in those instances, there are lower prices between the recorded grant date and actual grant date). These eight broad-based option grants accounted for $11,488,000 of the $31,111,000 in pre-tax compensation charges.

During this period, options to directors to purchase a total of 334,000 shares were also found to have recorded grant dates earlier than the dates when the board of directors acted to approve the grants. The grants were dated in accordance with the 1994 Stock Option Plan which provided expressly that the grants were to be dated as of November 11, 1994. The board of directors, however, did not approve that stock option plan until January 1995. Accordingly, we are taking additional non-cash compensation charges equal to the difference between the closing stock price on the date of approval and November 11, 1994. These option grants to directors accounted for $148,000 of the $31,111,000 in pre-tax compensation charges.

Also during this period, there were 114 other individual grants of options to purchase a total of 2.0 million shares with stipulated grant dates earlier than the dates the compensation committee acted to approve these awards. The Special Committee could not determine whether the date of those grants were based on an event or when the former chief executive officer, Milan Panic, agreed in principle to the award. These individual option grants accounted for $4,538,000 of the $31,111,000 in additional compensation charges.

The restatement also includes a pre-tax charge of $997,000 related to a stock option grant to a former chief financial officer, who left in 2002. This grant of options to purchase 100,000 shares was granted to him with a recorded grant date a few days before he joined the Company in May 1998. The Special Committee concluded that this award of options was effectively amended in December 1998 to lower its exercise price. There is evidence which suggests that certain members of former management knew or should have known that this transaction and one other transaction (resulting in a pre-tax charge of $450,000) had accounting, tax, and disclosure consequences and that they failed to take appropriate action. These options have been accounted for as variable awards in accordance with FASB

Interpretation No. 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions involving Stock Compensation* (FIN 44) in the restated financial statements. Variable accounting ceased in 2002 when these options were surrendered.

We also recorded $1,375,000 of additional pre-tax, non-cash, stock-based compensation expense in the restatement for awards granted between 1982 and 1994.

In total 1,038 individual awards of options to purchase a total of 9.2 million shares granted before the Change in Control were found to have been granted in-the-money, representing 71% of total awards granted in the period

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

November 1994 through June 11, 2002. This included 87 awards of options to purchase 4.5 million shares awarded to ten executive officers, including the former chief executive officer, Milan Panic. These in-the-money awards to executive officers accounted for $10,507,000, or 34% of the total pre-tax accounting charge of additional stock-based compensation expense in the restatement.

Cash Surrender of Options at Change in Control in 2002

The election of certain persons as directors at the annual meeting of our stockholders on May 29, 2002 caused a Change in Control under our stock option plans. Our 1998 Stock Option Plan (the 1998 Plan) provided that all outstanding options vested immediately upon the Change in Control and that an option holder had 60 days following the Change in Control to surrender his or her non-incentive stock options for a cash payment equal to the excess of the highest closing price of the stock during the 90 days preceding the Change in Control, which was $32.50 per share, or the closing price on the day preceding the date of surrender, whichever was higher, over the exercise price for the surrendered options.

During the year ended December 31, 2002, we recorded a pre-tax charge of $61,400,000 related to our cash payment obligation under the 1998 Plan. The findings of the Special Committee relating to in-the-money options that were affected by the Change in Control require that we recognize the remaining grant date intrinsic value resulting from the acceleration of vesting for a number of these options and the value that certain other options could have been surrendered for cash under APB 25 and FIN 44. As a result, an additional compensation charge of $10,105,000 has been recorded in fiscal year 2002.

Options Granted After the Change in Control

The Special Committee also found that, due to flaws in the processes relied on to make our annual broad-based grants after the Change in Control, we did not correctly apply the requirements of APB 25 through December 2005. These option accounting errors, however, differ significantly from those made prior to the Change in Control. Unlike the broad-based grants made prior to the Change in Control, for which the recorded grant dates were selected from a period prior to the approval dates, the broad-based grants after the Change in Control were approved at either regularly scheduled meetings of the compensation committee or at meetings of the board of directors, and the exercise price for each of these grants was the closing price on the date of such meetings.

The stock option accounting errors after the Change in Control resulted from allocation adjustments to the list of grants to individual non-executives after the compensation committee or the board of directors had approved the allocation of an aggregate number of shares to be available to non-executive employees. In no event did the adjustments result in shares being granted in excess of the aggregate number of shares approved by the compensation committee or the board of directors. Further, none of those adjustments related to the chief executive officer, chief financial officer, or any member of the board of directors. The Special Committee concluded that there was no evidence that management operating since the Change in Control were aware that the processes used to grant and account for broad-based grants were flawed or that the process employed was for the purpose of granting in-the-money stock options. In reaching this conclusion, the Special Committee took note that that process had been consistently employed even for the November 2005 grants in which the process resulted in stock option grants at higher exercise prices than the closing price of our common stock on the date of finalization of the allocation list for non-executives. The Special Committee also concluded that there was no evidence that current management was aware of any financial statement impact, tax consequences or disclosure implications of its flawed processes.

Between May 2003 and November 2005, we made four broad-based grants (May 2003, November 2003, November 2004 and November 2005). The May 2003 grants were made to non-executive employees. The November 2003, 2004 and 2005 grants were made to a broad base of employees, including senior executives (the November Grants). With respect to each of the November Grants, the granting authority (either the compensation committee or the board of directors) made specific grants to specific members of executive management, including, among others, the chief executive officer, the chief operating officer, and the chief

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

financial officer. Additionally, the broad-based grants made after the Change in Control were approved either at regularly scheduled meetings of the compensation committee or at meetings of the board of directors. The stock option accounting errors that affected 164 individual grants of options to purchase 1.5 million shares resulted from slight adjustments to the non-executive grant lists after the relevant compensation committee or board meetings. In no event did the adjustments result in shares being granted in excess of the number of options approved by the compensation committee or the board of directors. As a result of its work, the Special Committee made a determination of new measurement dates for each affected grant. With respect to three of the four broad-based grants (May 2003, November 2003 and November 2005), the measurement date selected was the date on which the rank and file list became final. With respect to the remaining broad-based grant (November 2004), there was actual evidence of a change in the rank and file list but inconclusive evidence when the list became final. The measurement date for that grant was reconstructed using the best available evidence to ensure that an adequate amount of compensation expense was recorded in the restatement. A total of 14 other individual awards (0.1 million shares) made to rank-and-file employees since the change of control were also found to contain administrative stock option accounting errors.

To correct these errors, we recorded $2,460,000 of additional pre-tax, non-cash, stock-based compensation expense in the restatement for the period July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2006. These non-cash charges have no impact on previously reported revenues, cash or cash equivalents. As explained below, however, we also reported corrections for certain other items which do have an impact on reported revenues and cash flow presentations.

New Hire Grant Practices

The Special Committee investigated our new hire stock option grant practices and concluded that the new hire grants were appropriately accounted for under the applicable accounting principles. Until January 2004, our practice was to set forth, in a prospective employee s offer letter a specific number of options, specifying that the strike price would be equal to the closing price on the new employee s first date of employment pending approval of the compensation committee. Beginning in January 2004, the offer letters set the strike price equal to the closing price of our stock on the later of compensation committee approval or the employee s start date.

With respect to our new hire grant practices prior to January 2004, the Special Committee reviewed each offer letter and related grant during the period June 2002 to January 2004 and a sample of offer letters and related grants prior to June 2002. The Special Committee also questioned relevant individuals about the option-related new hire practices and procedures. This intensive review confirmed that in each instance reviewed, the number of options approved was equal to the number of options set forth in the applicable offer letter, and that no material terms of the options were changed by the compensation committee in its approval process. Accordingly, the Special Committee concluded that, with respect to new hire grants prior to January 2004, compensation committee approval was a mere formality and that there had been finality with respect to the new hire grants upon the first day of employment, which had been used as the measurement date. Based upon the investigation, the Special Committee concluded that new hire grants were accounted for appropriately.

Income Tax Effects

Incremental, stock-based, pre-tax compensation charges resulted in tax benefits of $7,920,000. These tax benefits through 2000 were $1,940,000, recorded as an increase in the deferred tax assets with a corresponding increase in retained earnings. For 2001 through 2003, deferred tax assets increased by $5,980,000 and income tax expense decreased by the same amount. In 2004, the deferred tax asset was fully reserved with a valuation allowance.

As a result of the review of our stock option granting practices, management determined that the limitation of tax benefits for executive compensation imposed by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the IRC) was not considered in the income tax returns or financial statements prior to the Change in Control. The amount of this limitation has been impacted by the determination that many of the stock options were granted at prices below fair

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

market value on the date of grant. As a result of correctly applying the Section 162(m) limitations, retained earnings have been decreased by $1,896,000 as of December 31, 2000 and income tax expense has been increased by $702,000, $518,000 and $748,000 in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. Adjustments of ($205,000) and $122,000 for 2004 and 2005 respectively, did not affect tax expense due to the valuation allowance. Also, the cumulative impact on income tax of $3,864,000 was reversed in 2004. This occurred because the valuation allowance for the deferred tax assets decreased with the Section 162(m) reductions to the net operating loss.

As a result of our determination that the exercise prices of certain option grants were below the closing price of our common stock on the actual grant date, we evaluated whether the affected employees would have any adverse tax consequences under Section 409A of the IRC. It was determined that certain of these options were unvested as of December 31, 2004, and may be subject to Section 409A unless further action is taken. None of these options belong to persons who, as of the date of grant, were subject to the disclosure requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Therefore, transition relief is available with respect to these options through December 31, 2007. Additional guidance may be available before that time that will allow us to determine whether Section 409A will apply to the circumstances under which these options were granted. Depending upon the determination about the correct treatment of these options for Section 409A purposes, the recipients of these options may make an election to exercise the options in a way that excludes them from Section 409A treatment. This election is available through December 31, 2007.

Summary and Other Items

In addition, we have restated the aforementioned financial statements to correct certain accounting errors which were previously identified but not considered to be material through December 31, 2005. These corrections related to accounting for employee tax withholding on certain compensation transactions, elimination of an intercompany difference, accounting for product exchanges (resulting in a revenue adjustment), and certain income tax adjustments. The cumulative effect of these errors on retained earnings as of December 31, 2005 was $4,714,000. The restatement impact through June 30, 2006 of these other corrections and of the non-cash charges for stock-based compensation that have resulted from the review of the Special Committee are summarized in the table below (amounts in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Year Ended December 31,			Cumulative Effect	Total Additional Expense
	2006	2005	2005	2004	2003	1982-2002	(Income)
Stock option grants prior to 2002 Change in Control:							
Broad-based option grants with improper measurement dates	$	$	$	$	$	$ 11,488	$ 11,488
Option grants to directors with improper measurement dates						148	148
						4,538	4,538

Other option grants with improper measurement dates		
Re-priced option grant	997	997
Improper measurement dates for option grants 1982-1994	1,375	1,375
Incremental charge in connection with Change in Control	10,105	10,105
Sub total pre Change in Control	28,651	28,651

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Year Ended December 31,			Cumulative Effect	Total Additional Expense
	2006	2005	2005	2004	2003	1982-2002	(Income)
Stock option grants after 2002 Change in Control:							
Company-wide option grants with improper measurement dates	(3)	587	1,171	1,085	172		2,425
Other stock option matters after June 2002		13	21	(7)	20		35
Sub total post Change in Control	(3)	600	1,192	1,078	192		2,460
Total impact of additional stock compensation on operating income	(3)	600	1,192	1,078	192	28,651	31,111
Other items corrected in connection with restatement	(1,772)	(67)	(2,273)	(1,265)	(90)	7,766	2,366
Tax effects of above and other tax items	(1,170)	344	964	(14,957)	1,785	3,357	(10,022)
Net income decrease (increase) resulting from all restatement items	$ (2,945)	$ 877	$ (117)	$ (15,144)	$ 1,887	$ 39,774	$ 23,455

The cumulative effect of the errors in 2002 and prior years of $39,774,000 was recorded as a reduction of retained earnings at December 31, 2002.

The pre-tax effect of the correction for stock-based compensation was $157,000, $206,000, $792,000, $2,503,000, $2,690,000, $3,491,000, $4,492,000 and $12,945,000 for 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively. The cumulative pre-tax effect of the correction for stock-based compensation between 1982 and 1994 was $1,375,000.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following is a summary of the specific income statement accounts as reported and as affected by the restatement for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(In thousands)			
Revenues				
As previously reported	$ 230,152	$ 180,828	$ 429,000	$ 386,172
Adjustment	239	114	883	93
As restated	$ 230,391	$ 180,942	$ 429,883	$ 386,265
Cost of goods sold				
As previously reported	$ 65,744	$ 52,940	$ 124,324	$ 101,661
Adjustment	15	63	36	125
As restated	$ 65,759	$ 53,003	$ 124,360	$ 101,786
Selling expenses				
As previously reported	$ 66,268	$ 61,454	$ 130,538	$ 114,269
Adjustment	2	35	8	70
As restated	$ 66,270	$ 61,489	$ 130,546	$ 114,339
Research and development costs				
As previously reported	$ 26,842	$ 27,559	$ 56,377	$ 53,283
Adjustment	26	70	44	177
As restated	$ 26,868	$ 27,629	$ 56,421	$ 53,460
General and administrative expenses				
As previously reported	$ 31,553	$ 25,985	$ 60,093	$ 50,562
Adjustment	(886)	126	(980)	254
As restated	$ 30,667	$ 26,111	$ 59,113	$ 50,816

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(In thousands except per share amounts)			
Income (loss) from operations, before interest, taxes and other items				
As previously reported	$ (30,851)	$ 21,209	$ (22,917)	$ (91,552)
Adjustment	1,082	(180)	1,775	(533)
As restated	$ (29,769)	$ 21,029	$ (21,142)	$ (92,085)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes income taxes and minority interest				
As previously reported	$ (38,240)	$ 11,634	$ (37,149)	$ (109,584)
Adjustment	1,082	(180)	1,775	(533)
As restated	$ (37,158)	$ 11,454	$ (35,374)	$ (110,117)
Provision for income taxes				
As previously reported	$ 6,633	$ 10,059	$ 13,875	$ 26,426
Adjustment	(1,470)	197	(1,170)	344
As restated	$ 5,163	$ 10,256	$ 12,705	$ 26,770
Income (loss) from continuing operations				
As previously reported	$ (44,873)	$ 1,441	$ (51,025)	$ (136,315)
Adjustment	2,552	(377)	2,945	(877)
As restated	$ (42,321)	$ 1,064	$ (48,080)	$ (137,192)
Net income (loss)				
As previously reported	$ (45,070)	$ (547)	$ (51,434)	$ (139,806)
Adjustment	2,552	(377)	2,945	(877)
As restated	$ (42,518)	$ (924)	$ (48,489)	$ (140,683)
Basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations				
As previously reported	$ (0.49)	$ 0.02	$ (0.55)	$ (1.50)
Adjustment	$ 0.03	$ (0.01)	$ 0.03	$ (0.01)
As restated	$ (0.46)	$ 0.01	$ (0.52)	$ (1.51)

Basic and diluted earnings per share				
As previously reported	$ (0.49)	$ (0.01)	$ (0.55)	$ (1.54)
Adjustment	$ 0.03	$	$ 0.03	$ (0.01)
As restated	$ (0.46)	$ (0.01)	$ (0.52)	$ (1.55)

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table summarizes the specific balance sheet accounts as reported and as affected by the restatement as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(In thousands)	
Other current assets (deferred taxes)		
As previously reported	$ 33,118	$ 36,652
Adjustment		3,702
As restated	$ 33,118	$ 40,354
Deferred tax assets, Net		
As previously reported	$ 24,767	$ 45,904
Adjustment		(20,562)
As restated	$ 24,767	$ 25,342
Accrued liabilities (reserve for product returns)		
As previously reported	$ 141,808	$ 136,701
Adjustment	2,365	4,138
As restated	$ 144,173	$ 140,839
Income taxes current		
As previously reported	$ 40,648	$ 42,452
Adjustment		4,871
As restated	$ 40,648	$ 47,323
Deferred taxes and other liabilities		
As previously reported	$ 2,796	$ 28,770
Adjustment		(20,562)
As restated	$ 2,796	$ 8,208
Additional capital		
As previously reported	$ 1,216,908	$ 1,203,814
Adjustment	21,090	21,093
As restated	$ 1,237,998	$ 1,224,907

Accumulated deficit		
As previously reported	$ (809,740)	$ (743,950)
Adjustment	(23,455)	(26,400)
As restated	$ (833,195)	$ (770,350)
As previously reported	$ 395,915	$ 439,251
Adjustment	(2,365)	(5,307)
As restated	$ 393,550	$ 433,944

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table sets forth the impact of the restatement on our consolidated statements of cash flows from operating activities six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands).

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006			Six Months Ended June 30, 2005		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net Loss	$ (51,434)	2,945	$ (48,489)	$ (139,806)	$ (877)	$ (140,683)
Loss from discontinued operations	(409)		(409)	(3,491)		(3,491)
Loss from continuing operations	(51,025)	2,945	(48,080)	(136,315)	(877)	(137,192)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization	47,070		47,070	45,498		45,498
Provision for losses on accounts receivable and inventories	7,671		7,671	3,805		3,805
Stock compensation expense	10,700	(3)	10,697	1,052	600	1,652
Translation and exchange (gains) losses, net	(1,694)		(1,694)	4,422		4,422
Impairment charges and other non-cash items	67,913		67,913	1,355		1,355
Acquired in-process research and development				126,399		126,399
Deferred income taxes	(3,787)		(3,787)	(18,215)		(18,215)
Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:						
Accounts receivable	(11,428)		(11,428)	2,154		2,154
Inventories	(12,435)		(12,435)	(13,518)		(13,518)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,933)	3,702	1,769	3,916		3,916
Trade payables and accrued liabilities	4,787	(1,772)	3,015	(21,176)	(67)	(21,243)

Income taxes	(6,442)	(4,872)	(11,314)	21,744	344	22,088
Other liabilities	1,732		1,732	1,284		1,284
Cash flow from operating activities in continuing operations	51,129		51,129	22,405		22,405
Cash flow from operating activities in discontinued operations	(418)		(418)	(1,129)		(1,129)
Net cash provided by operating activities	$ 50,711	$	$ 50,711	$ 21,276	$	$ 21,276

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

3. Restructuring

On April 3, 2006, we announced a restructuring program to reduce costs and accelerate earnings growth.

The program is primarily focused on our research and development and manufacturing operations. The objective of the restructuring program as it relates to research and development activities is to focus our efforts and expenditures on two late stage projects currently in development. The restructuring program is designed to rationalize our investments in research and development efforts in line with our financial resources. We intend to sell rights to, out-license or secure partners to share the costs of other major clinical projects and discovery programs that the research and development division has underway. Also as a result of the restructuring of our research and development activities, we are exploring the sale of our headquarters facility where our research laboratories are located. At this time, no loss is anticipated in the sale of this facility. The objective of the restructuring program as it relates to manufacturing is to further rationalize our manufacturing operations to reflect the regional nature of our existing products and further reduce our excess capacity after considering the delay in the launch of Viramidine (taribavirin).

The restructuring program is expected to reduce selling, general and administrative expenses primarily through consolidation of the management functions in fewer administrative groups to achieve greater economies of scale. Management and administrative responsibilities for our regional operations in Australia, Africa and Asia (AAA), which had been managed as a separate business unit, have been combined with those of other regions.

We recorded a charge of $53,082,000 in the three months ended June 30, 2006 in connection with our decision to implement the restructuring program. The severance charges recorded in the three months ended June 30, 2006 of $5,369,000 relate to employees whose positions were eliminated in the restructuring. In the first and second quarters of 2006, 135 employees have been severed.

These charges also include the impairment charges related to estimated future losses that may occur upon the disposition of specific assets related to our manufacturing operations in Switzerland and Puerto Rico, as well as assets of other operations that we expect will be sold or abandoned. These restructuring charges also include employee severance costs resulting from a reduction of 135 employees in the first six months of 2006. When completed, we anticipate that approximately 750 employees in total will be impacted by the restructuring, the majority of whom work in the two manufacturing facilities selected for disposition. The following table summarizes the restructuring costs incurred in the first and second quarters of 2006. Cash-related charges relate to severance payments and other costs which have been either paid with cash expenditures or have been accrued and will be paid with cash in future quarters.

Restructuring Charge Details	Three Months Ended March 31, 2006	Three Months Ended June 30, 2006	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006
		(In thousands)	
Employee Severances (135 Employees)	$ 6,644	$ 5,369	$ 12,013

Contract cancellation and other cash costs		992	992
Subtotal: Cash-related Charges	6,644	6,361	13,005
Abandoned software and other capital assets	19,822	3,031	22,853
Impairment of manufacturing assets		43,690	43,690
Subtotal: Non-cash charges	19,822	46,721	66,543
Total:	$ 26,466	$ 53,082	$ 79,548

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Reconciliation of Cash Restructuring Payments with Restructuring Accrual

	March 31, 2006	June 30, 2006
	(In thousands)	
Opening Accrual	$	$ 5,425
Cash Charges	6,644	6,361
Cash Paid	(1,219)	(3,235)
Closing Accrual	$ 5,425	$ 8,551

We have recorded impairment charges of $18,576,000 related to our manufacturing plant in Humacao, Puerto Rico and $6,614,000 related to a manufacturing plant in Birsfelden, Switzerland in the three months ended June 30, 2006. We are continuing to develop specific plans for the sale of these facilities which is expected to be completed within 12 to 18 months.

Restructuring charges in the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 relate to the decision to dispose of the Company s manufacturing facility in China offset in part by the gain on the sale of a manufacturing plant in Argentina.

4. Acquisitions

Infergen: On December 30, 2005, we acquired the United States and Canadian rights to the Infergen business of InterMune, Inc. Infergen is indicated for the treatment of hepatitis C in patients who have not responded to other treatments or have relapsed after such treatment. In connection with this transaction we acquired the rights to the Infergen product as currently approved by the FDA and rights to a clinical trial underway to expand the clinical applications of Infergen. We also employed certain individuals from InterMune and acquired third party contracts for the manufacture of Infergen. We paid InterMune consideration of $120,000,000 in cash at the closing. Additionally, we have agreed to pay up to an additional $22,400,000 of which $20,000,000 is contingent on certain milestones being reached. As part of the transaction, we assumed a contract with Amgen for the manufacture of Infergen which requires that we acquire specific levels of supply through the term of the agreement. As a result of the timing of these required purchases, we expect to see an increase in the level of our finished goods inventories through 2006. In addition, we assumed a contract for transfer of Infergen manufacturing. Under the contract, we are obligated to pay a new third party supplier up to approximately $12,400,000 upon the attainment of separate milestones tied to the manufacturing process transfer.

Xcel Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: On March 1, 2005, we acquired Xcel, a specialty pharmaceutical company focused on the treatment of disorders of the central nervous system for $280,000,000 in cash and transaction costs of approximately $5,400,000. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, we paid an additional $7,470,000 for a working capital adjustment. Xcel s portfolio consisted of four products that are sold within the United States, and retigabine, a late-stage clinical product candidate that is an adjunctive treatment for partial-onset seizures in patients with epilepsy. Approximately $44,000,000 of the cash consideration was used to retire Xcel s outstanding long-term

debt.

In connection with the Xcel acquisition, we completed an offering of 8,280,000 shares of our common stock in February 2005. After underwriting discounts and commissions, we received net proceeds of $189,393,000, which were used to partially fund the Xcel acquisition. The remainder of the funds required for the Xcel acquisition was provided by existing cash on hand and other investments.

A portion of the purchase price for the Xcel acquisition was placed in an escrow account to cover potential claims under the purchase agreement that would arise within one year of the acquisition date. Prior to such date, we filed a claim for indemnification from the former Xcel stockholders with respect to certain breaches of representation and warranties made by Xcel under the Xcel purchase agreement relating to Medicaid rebates on

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

preacquisition sales and certain third-party claims. As of June 30, 2006, approximately $5,116,000 of the Xcel purchase price was in an escrow fund to pay indemnification claims.

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the combined results of operations of Valeant, Infergen and Xcel for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 as if the acquisitions had occurred as of January 1, 2005. The unaudited pro forma financial information is not intended to represent or be indicative of the Company s consolidated results of operations or financial condition that would have been reported had the acquisitions been completed as of January 1, 2005, and should not be taken as representative of our future consolidated results of operations or financial condition (in thousands, except per share information):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 (Restated)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 (Restated)
Net revenues	$ 212,526	$ 412,976
Loss from continuing operations	(12,744)	(208,766)
Net loss	(14,732)	(212,257)
Basic and diluted net loss per share:		
Loss from continuing operations	$ (0.14)	$ (2.26)
Net loss	$ (0.16)	$ (2.29)

The above pro forma financial information includes charges for acquired in-process research and development of $126,399,000 with respect to Xcel and $47,200,000 with respect to Infergen and adjustments for amortization of identifiable intangible assets acquired and interest expense as a result of the retirement of Xcel s long-term debt. The effect of the IPR&D charges of Xcel and Infergen on the pro forma loss per share is $1.89.

5. Discontinued Operations

In the second half of 2002, we made a strategic decision to divest our Photonics business, Circe unit, Russian Pharmaceuticals segment, biomedicals segment, raw materials businesses, and manufacturing facilities in Central Europe. During 2003, we disposed of the Russian Pharmaceuticals segment, biomedicals segment, Photonics business and Circe unit. During 2004, we disposed of one of the raw materials businesses and manufacturing facilities in Central Europe. During 2005 we completed the sale of the remaining raw materials business and manufacturing facility in Central Europe. In 2006 losses from discontinued operations primarily consist of disposal of one facility requiring environmental remediation and the wind down of administrative activities associated with these operations.

Summarized selected financial information for discontinued operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended

	June 30,		June 30,	
	2006	**2005**	**2006**	**2005**
Revenues	$	$ 5,630	$	$ 7,722
Loss before income taxes	$ (82)	$ (2,421)	$ (325)	$ (3,706)
Provision for income taxes				
Loss from discontinued operations, net	(82)	(2,421)	(325)	(3,706)
Income (loss) on disposal of discontinued operation	(114)	433	(83)	215
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	$ (197)	$ (1,988)	$ (409)	$ (3,491)

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The assets and liabilities of discontinued operations are stated separately as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 on the accompanying consolidated condensed balance sheets. The major assets and liabilities categories are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Cash	$ 19	$ 47
Accounts receivable, net	30	45
Property, plant and equipment, net		18
Other assets		17
Assets of discontinued operations	$ 49	$ 127
Accounts payable	$ 7	$ 13
Accrued liabilities	18,888	19,118
Other liabilities	4,183	3,987
Liabilities of discontinued operations	$ 23,078	$ 23,118

Environmental contamination has been identified in the soil under a facility built by the Company which housed operations of the discontinued biomedicals segment and is currently vacant. Remediation of the site will involve excavation and disposal of the waste at appropriately licensed sites. Environmental reserves have been provided for remediation and related costs that we can reasonably estimate. Remediation costs are applied against these environmental reserves as they are incurred. As assessments and remediation progress, these liabilities will be reviewed and adjusted to reflect additional information that becomes available. Total environmental reserves for this site were $18,792,000 and $19,023,000 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, and are included in the liabilities of discontinued operations. Although we believe that the reserves are adequate, there can be no assurance that the amount of expenditures and other expenses, which will be required relating to remediation actions and compliance with applicable environmental laws will not exceed the amounts reflected in reserves or will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Any possible loss that may be incurred in excess of amounts provided for as of June 30, 2006 cannot be reasonably estimated.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

6. Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)	2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)
Income (loss):				
Numerator for basic and dilutive earnings per share loss to stockholders	$ (42,518)	$ (924)	$ (48,489)	$ (140,683)
Shares:				
Denominator for basic and dilutive earnings per share adjusted weighted-average shares after assumed conversions	92,818	92,568	92,794	90,712
Basic and diluted (loss) per share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	$ (0.46)	$ 0.01	$ (0.52)	$ (1.51)
Loss from discontinued operations		(0.02)		(0.04)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	$ (0.46)	$ (0.01)	$ (0.52)	$ (1.55)

For the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, options to purchase 1,723,000 and 2,149,000 weighted average shares of common stock, respectively, were not included in the computation of earnings per share because we incurred a loss and the effect would have been anti-dilutive. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, options to purchase 1,733,000 and 2,505,000 weighted average shares of common stock, respectively, were not included in the computation of earnings per share because we incurred a loss and the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

For the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, options to purchase 9,246,000 and 4,452,000 weighted average shares of common stock, respectively, were also not included in the computation of earnings per share because the option exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the Company s common stock and, therefore, the effect would have been anti-dilutive. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, options to purchase 9,277,000 and 4,320,000 weighted average shares of common stock, respectively, were also not included in the computation of earnings per share because the option exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the Company s common stock and, therefore, the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. Detail of Certain Accounts

The following tables present the details of certain amounts included in the consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Accounts receivable, net:		
Trade accounts receivable	$ 154,297	$ 153,497
Royalties receivable	22,888	27,306
Other receivables	29,567	12,669
	206,752	193,472
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(5,185)	(5,485)
	$ 201,567	$ 187,987
Inventories, net:		
Raw materials and supplies	$ 37,176	$ 34,931
Work-in-process	26,875	28,726
Finished goods	97,105	85,152
	161,156	148,809
Allowance for inventory obsolescence	(16,651)	(12,775)
	$ 144,505	$ 136,034
Property, plant and equipment, net:		
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	$ 389,239	$ 401,613
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(214,591)	(171,487)
	$ 174,648	$ 230,126

Intangible assets: As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, intangible assets were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2006		December 31, 2005	
	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization

Intangible assets:				
Product rights	$ 769,601	$ (287,213)	$ 763,652	$ (257,379)
License agreement	67,376	(43,647)	67,376	(37,330)
Total intangible assets	$ 836,977	$ (330,860)	$ 831,028	$ (294,709)

Amortization expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was $17,514,000 and $35,037,000, respectively, of which $14,357,000 and $28,720,000, respectively, related to amortization of acquired product rights.

8. Income Taxes

We incur losses in the U.S. where our research and development activities are conducted and our corporate offices are located. We anticipate that we will realize the tax benefits associated with these losses through offsetting such losses against future taxable income resulting from products in our development pipeline, further growth in US

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

product sales and other measures. However, at this time, there is insufficient objective evidence of the timing and amounts of such future U.S. taxable income to assure realization of the tax benefits, and valuation allowances have been established to reserve those benefits. The increase in the valuation allowance for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was approximately $15,736,000 resulting in a provision for income taxes of $12,705,000 for this period. The income tax provision primarily represents the taxes payable on earnings in tax jurisdictions outside the U.S., net of tax benefits outside the U.S. resulting from restructuring charges, foreign withholding taxes, interest on U.S. liabilities recorded in connection with the 1997 through 2001 IRS examination and state and local taxes.

Our effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2005 was affected by pre-tax losses resulting from a restructuring charge of $1,695,000 and the write-off of acquired IPR&D expenses in connection with the Xcel acquisition of $126,399,000. These charges are not deductible for income tax purposes. The tax provision in the six months ended June 30, 2005 relates to the expected taxes on earnings in tax jurisdictions outside the U.S., net of valuation allowance adjustments, plus recording a liability for the 1997 through 2001 IRS examination.

The adjustments related to the 1997 through 2001 IRS examination are being challenged through the IRS appeals process.

One of our Singapore subsidiaries has borrowed money from one of our U.S. subsidiaries. A Singapore withholding tax applies to the interest payments that accrue under this intercompany lending arrangement. The liability for these payments, which arose in 2004, 2005 and the first and second quarters of 2006, was originally recorded as a component of income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006, with no corresponding U.S. tax benefit due to valuation allowances having been established. These amounts were $206,000, $964,000, $300,000, and $307,000, respectively, and have been recorded in the periods in which they arose as part of the restatement discussed in Note 2.

In 2002, we failed to withhold U.S. federal taxes on the fees, bonus amounts and the value of stock options subject to accelerated vesting which were paid to an individual who was then a member of the board of directors, and who was a resident of Switzerland. The amount that should have been withheld is $740,000. With interest, the amount due the U.S. Government at June 30, 2006 is $920,000. The charge associated with this liability was originally recorded as a component of general and administrative expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006. As part of the restatement discussed in Note 2 this liability has been recorded in the correct prior period.

9. Common Stock and Share Compensation

We have two stockholder-approved programs designed for the purpose of providing equity incentives to our directors, officers and employees. Both programs are designed to align the incentives of our management and employees with increasing shareholder value.

2006 Equity Incentive Plan: The 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan) was approved by stockholders in May 2006 and is the successor to and continuation of our 2003 Equity Incentive Plan. The 2006 Plan increased the number of shares of common stock available for issuance by 4,200,000 shares. The 2006 Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards, stock appreciation rights, performance stock awards and other forms of equity compensation, as well as performance cash awards to employees (including officers), consultants, and directors of our Company and our affiliates. Options

granted under the 2006 Plan must have an exercise price that is not less than 100% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant and a term not exceeding 10 years (except that in certain cases, the maximum term is five years). Generally, options vest ratably over a four-year period from the date of grant. Under the 2006 Plan, 500,000 shares may be issued as phantom stock awards or restricted stock awards for which a participant pays less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Stock Options Issued Under our Equity Incentive Plans: The following table sets forth information relating to stock options issued under our equity incentive plans (in thousands, except per share data):

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Shares under option, December 31, 2004	13,336	17.93
Granted	2,192	18.16
Exercised	(160)	20.10
Canceled	(736)	22.28
Shares under option, December 31, 2005	14,632	17.80
Granted	152	17.14
Exercised	(114)	12.78
Canceled	(828)	16.83
Shares Under Option, June 30, 2006	13,842	$ 17.66
Exercisable at December 31, 2005	7,197	$ 17.82
Exercisable at June 30, 2006	7,675	$ 17.28
Options available for grant at December 31, 2005	513	
Options available for grant at June 30, 2006	5,358	

The schedule below reflects the number of outstanding and exercisable options as of June 30, 2006 segregated by price range (in thousands, except per share data):

	Outstanding		Exercisable		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
Range of Exercise Prices	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
$ 8.10 to $13.83	4,726	$ 10.32	3,632	$ 10.34	6.47
$14.99 to $18.55	4,894	$ 17.99	1,581	$ 18.19	8.14
$18.70 to $46.25	4,222	$ 25.50	2,462	$ 26.94	6.86

	13,842	7,675

The fair value of options granted in 2006 and 2005 was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	2006	**2005**
Weighted-average life (years)	4.1	4.1
Volatility	38%	41%
Expected dividend per share	$ 0.31	$ 0.31
Risk-free interest rate	4.88%	4.33%
Weighted-average fair value of options	$ 5.48	$ 6.10

The aggregate intrinsic value of the stock options outstanding at June 30, 2006 was $31,567,000. The aggregate intrinsic value of the stock options that are both outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2006 was $24,110,000. During the six months ended June 30, 2006 stock options with an aggregate intrinsic value of $504,000 were exercised. Intrinsic value is the in the money valuation of the options or the difference between

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

market and exercise prices. The fair value of options that vested in the six months ended June 30, 2006 as determined using the Black Scholes valuation model, was $5,551,000.

Restricted Stock Units Issued Under our Incentive Plan: During 2006 and 2005, pursuant to our approved director compensation plan, we granted our non-employee directors 57,416 and 57,465 shares of restricted stock units, respectively. Additionally in 2005 we granted certain officers of the Company, in the aggregate, 90,000 restricted stock units. The restricted stock units issued had a fair value (equal to the market price of the Company s stock on the grant date) of $960,000 and $2,752,000 in 2006 and 2005, respectively. Each restricted stock unit granted to non-employee directors vests over one year, is entitled to dividend equivalent shares and is exchanged for a share of the Company s common stock one year after the director ceases to serve as a member of the Company s Board. Each restricted stock unit granted to certain officers of the company vests 50 percent three years after grant with the balance vesting equally in years four and five after grant, is entitled to dividend-equivalent shares and is exchanged for a share of the Company s common stock upon vesting. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, there were 281,216 and 242,000 restricted stock units outstanding, respectively.

2003 Employee Stock Purchase Plan: In May 2003, our stockholders approved the Valeant Pharmaceuticals International 2003 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP). The ESPP provides employees with an opportunity to purchase common stock at a 15% discount to market price. Additionally, the market prices under the ESPP program are the lower of the Company s stock price at the beginning or end of each six month ESPP enrollment period. There are 7,000,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the Purchase Plan, plus an annual increase on the first day of our fiscal year for a period of ten years, ending on January 1, 2015, equal to the lower of (i) 1.5% of the shares of common stock outstanding on each calculation date, (ii) 1,500,000 shares of common stock, or (iii) a number of shares that may be determined by the Compensation Committee. In the year ending 2005, we issued 100,000 shares of common stock for proceeds of $1,644,000. In the three month period ended June 30, 2006, 63,880 shares were issued for proceeds of $938,000. Under SFAS 123(R)we recorded $149,000 and $268,000 as compensation expense in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006, respectively, for shares expected to be purchased under this plan. This amount consists of the 15% discount to market price offered to participating employees under the ESPP plus the additional value, determined under the Black-Scholes model, of the plan feature allowing purchased share price to be based on the lower of the Company s share price at the beginning or end of each ESPP enrollment period.

The components of stock compensation expense by programs is presented below (amounts in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)	2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)
Employee stock options	$ 4,544	281	$ 9,405	600
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	148		268	
Restricted stock grants	387	508	1,024	1,052
Total stock compensation expense	$ 5,079	$ 789	$ 10,697	$ 1,652

29

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Stock compensation expense for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 was recorded in the following expense classifications (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Cost of goods sold	$ 367	63	$ 801	125
Selling expenses	$ 860	35	$ 1,713	70
General and administrative expense	3,068	481	6,601	1,006
Research and development costs	784	210	1,582	451
Total stock compensation expense	$ 5,079	$ 789	$ 10,697	$ 1,652

The amounts of future stock compensation expense associated with outstanding stock options and restricted stock units is scheduled to be charged to expense as follows (in thousands):

	(Restated)
Remainder of 2006	$ 7,541
2007	7,826
2008	2,965
2009 and thereafter	740
	$ 19,072

10. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies

We are involved in several legal proceedings, including the following matters (Valeant was formerly known as ICN Pharmaceuticals, Inc.):

Securities Class Actions:

Section 10b-5 Litigation: Since July 25, 2002, multiple class actions were filed against us and some of our current and former executive officers alleging that the defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, by issuing false and misleading financial results to the market during different class periods ranging from May 3, 2001 to July 10, 2002, thereby artificially inflating the price of our stock. The lawsuits generally claimed that we issued false and misleading statements regarding our earnings prospects and sales figures (based upon channel stuffing allegations), our operations in Russia, the marketing

of Efudex, and the earnings and sales of our Photonics division. The plaintiffs generally sought to recover compensatory damages, including interest.

All the actions have been consolidated to the Central District of California. On June 24, 2004, the court dismissed the Second Amended Complaint as to the channel stuffing claim. The plaintiffs then stipulated to a dismissal of all the claims against us and filed an appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. On June 16, 2006, the Ninth Circuit affirmed the dismissals of the claims.

Derivative Actions: We are a nominal defendant in a shareholder derivative lawsuit pending in state court in Orange County, California, styled *James Herrig, IRA v. Milan Panic et al.* This lawsuit, which was filed on June 6, 2002, purports to assert derivative claims on our behalf against certain of our current and/or former officers and directors. The lawsuit asserts claims for breach of fiduciary duties, abuse of control, gross mismanagement and waste of corporate assets. The plaintiff seeks, among other things, damages and a constructive trust over cash bonuses paid to the officer and director defendants in connection with the Ribapharm offering.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

On October 1, 2002, several of our former and current directors, as individuals, as well as Valeant, as a nominal defendant, were named as defendants in a second shareholder s derivative complaint filed in the Delaware Court of Chancery, styled *Paul Gerstley v. Norman Barker, Jr. et al.* The original complaint in the Delaware action purported to state causes of action for violation of Delaware General Corporation Law Section 144, breach of fiduciary duties and waste of corporate assets in connection with the defendants management of our company. The allegations in the Delaware action were similar to those contained in the derivative lawsuit filed in Orange County, California, but included additional claims asserting that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties by disseminating materially misleading and inaccurate information.

We established a Special Litigation Committee to evaluate the plaintiffs claims in both derivative actions. The Special Litigation Committee concluded that it would not be in the best interest of our shareholders to pursue many of the claims in these two lawsuits, but decided to pursue, through litigation or settlement, claims arising from the April 2002 decision of the Board to approve the payment of approximately $50,000,000 in bonuses to various members of the Board and management in connection with the initial public offering of Ribapharm (the Ribapharm Bonuses). The Court granted our motion to stay the California proceedings in favor of the similar Delaware proceedings.

We have settled the litigation with respect to ten of the defendants, nine of whom each received Ribapharm Bonuses of $330,500, and one who received a Ribapharm Bonus of $500,000. On May 18, 2005, the Delaware Court of Chancery approved all of the settlements and dismissed all claims except those related to the *Ribapharm Bonuses.* Three of the settling defendants were first elected to our Board of Directors in 2001 (the 2001 Directors), only one of whom currently serves on the Board of Directors. Pursuant to the settlements, the 2001 Directors forfeited their 2003 annual Board of Directors stipend and all of their restricted stock units in exchange for a release from further liability in the lawsuit (the 2001 Director Settlement). The 2001 Director Settlement further provides that, in the event we negotiate a settlement with certain defendants on financial terms that are materially better than those set forth in the settlement agreements with the 2001 Directors, we agree to adjust the 2001 Directors settlement payment by a comparable proportion. Following court-sponsored mediation in the Delaware Court of Chancery, we entered into settlement agreements with seven other defendants. Pursuant to these settlements, six of these defendants (the Outside Director Defendants) are required to pay to us $150,000 in exchange for a release from further liability in the lawsuit. The Outside Director Defendants will receive an offset credit of $50,000 for release of their claimed right to payments for the automatic conversion of stock options that were not issued to them in 2002. As provided in the settlement agreements, five of the Outside Director Defendants have each paid $100,000 in cash to us in settlement payments. The sixth settling former director has paid $80,000 to us pursuant to his settlement agreement with us in exchange for a release from further liability in the lawsuit. The Company filed a motion in the Delaware Court of Chancery to enforce the settlement against the lone Outside Director Defendant who has not made any settlement payment. A hearing on that motion has not yet been scheduled. Following the mediated settlement agreements with the Outside Director Defendants, counsel for the 2001 Directors notified us that, in the 2001 Directors opinion, the settlement agreements with the Outside Director Defendants are on financial terms that are materially better than those set forth in the settlements with the 2001 Directors and have demanded that we pay to the 2001 Directors the sum of $50,000 each. We have advised the 2001 Directors that the settlement agreements reached with the other defendants do not trigger this provision. If it is deemed that the financial terms of the settlement with the Outside Director Defendants are on financial terms that are materially better than those set forth in the settlement with the 2001 Directors, the 2001 Directors settlement payment will be adjusted by a comparable proportion.

The claims with respect to defendants Milan Panic and Adam Jerney, who received Ribapharm Bonuses of $33,050,000 and $3,000,000, respectively, were tried in Delaware Chancery Court in a one-week trial beginning February 27, 2006. On July 28, 2006, we settled the claims with respect to Mr. Panic for $20 million. The settlement requires an initial cash payment to the Company of $8 million in the third quarter of 2006, with the remainder due within one year of the settlement. The settlement resolves all outstanding claims between Mr. Panic and the Company.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Indemnification of Directors: The Company, as well as other unrelated entities, may be responsible for indemnification obligations in connection with a lawsuit filed on March 24, 2006, by former chairman and chief executive officer, Milan Panic in State of California, Orange County, Superior Court styled Milan Panic v. Robert W. O Leary and Randy Thurman, Case No. 06CC04425, against Robert W. O Leary and Randy Thurman, current and former directors of the Company, respectively. Plaintiff Panic purports to assert direct claims against the two individual defendants for fraud, conspiracy, breach of fiduciary duties, and violations of California Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq. in connection with a 2002 proxy context and subsequent receipt by defendants of compensation from the Company. Plaintiff alleges, among other things, damages in excess of $20 million, and purportedly seeks the costs of the lawsuit, attorneys fees, punitive damages, restitution and other injunctive relief. The July 28, 2006 settlement between Mr. Panic and us provides the suit against Messrs. O Leary and Thurman will be dismissed.

Patent Oppositions: Various parties are opposing our ribavirin patents in actions before the European Patent Office (E.P.O.), and we are responding to these oppositions. One patent has been revoked by the Opposition Division of the E.P.O., and we have filed an appeal within the E.P.O. The revoked patent benefited from patent extensions in the major European countries that provided market protection until 2010. A second European patent is also the subject of an opposition proceeding in the E.P.O.

Should the opponents ultimately prevail against both of our ribavirin patents, the ribavirin component of the combination therapies marketed by Schering-Plough and Roche would lose patent protection in Europe. Although data exclusivity applies to these products until 2010, if no ribavirin patents remain in force in Europe, we will no longer receive royalties from Roche.

Argentina Antitrust Matter: In July 2004, we were advised that the Argentine Antitrust Agency had issued a notice unfavorable to us in a proceeding against our Argentine subsidiary. The proceeding involves allegations that the subsidiary in Argentina abused a dominant market position in 1999 by increasing its price on Mestinon in Argentina and not supplying the market for approximately two months. The subsidiary filed documents with the agency offering an explanation justifying its actions, but the agency has now rejected the explanation. The agency is collecting evidence prior to issuing a new decision. Argentinean law permits a fine to be levied of up to $5,000,000 plus 20% of profits realized due to the alleged wrongful conduct. Counsel in the matter advises that the size of the transactions alleged to have violated the law will unlikely draw the maximum penalty.

Permax Product Liability Cases. On July 18, 2005, we were served a complaint in a case captioned Barbara E. Hermansen and Robert B. Wilcox, Jr. v. Eli Lilly & Company, Elan Corporation, plc, Amarin Corporation plc and Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Case No. 05 L 007276 in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, which case has subsequently been removed to federal court. This case alleges that the use of Permax caused the plaintiff to become a compulsive gambler, and as a result, she has suffered significant economic loss and severe emotional and mental distress.

Eli Lilly, the former holder of the right granted by the FDA to market and sell Permax in the United States, though such right was licensed to Amarin, and the source of the manufactured product, has also been named in the suits. Under an agreement between us and Eli Lilly, Eli Lilly will bear a portion of the liability, if any, and defense costs associated with these claims. This case is in a preliminary stage and it is difficult to assess whether we will have any liability and, if such liability exists, what the extent of the liability would be. Product liability insurance exists with

respect to this claim. There can be no assurance that the insurance will be sufficient to cover this claim, and there can be no assurance that defending against any future similar claims and any resulting settlements or judgments will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse affect on our consolidated financial position, results of operation or liquidity.

Kali Litigation: In March 2004, Kali Laboratories, Inc. submitted Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) No. 76-843 with the FDA seeking approval for a generic version of Diastat® (a diazepam rectal gel). In July 2004, Xcel Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which we acquired on March 1, 2005, filed a complaint against Kali for

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

patent infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,462,740 Civil Case No. 04-3238 (JCL) pending in the United States District Court of New Jersey. The complaint alleges that Kali s filing of ANDA No. 76-843 is an act of infringement under 35 U.S.C. §271(e)(4) of one or more claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,462,740. Kali has filed an answer and counterclaims, denying all allegations of the complaint and asserting affirmative defenses and counterclaims for non-infringement, invalidity and unenforceability under the doctrine of patent misuse due to improper filing of the lawsuit. Xcel filed a reply to the counterclaims, denying all allegations. In October 2005, Kali filed an amended answer and counterclaims asserting affirmative defenses and counterclaims for non-infringement, invalidity, unenforceability due to inequitable conduct during prosecution of the patent, and unenforceability under the doctrine of patent misuse due to improper filing of the lawsuit. In November 2005, we filed a reply to the amended counterclaims, denying all allegations. We will vigorously defend ourselves against Kali s allegations. Fact and expert discovery has closed. The parties attended a pretrial conference on June 12, 2006. No trial date has been set.

Xcel filed this suit within forty-five days of Kali s Paragraph IV certification. As a result, The Drug Price Competition and Patent Restoration Act of 1984 (the Hatch-Waxman Act) provides an automatic stay on the FDA s approval of Kali s ANDA for thirty months. The thirty month stay expires on November 28, 2006. If Xcel prevails in the lawsuit, then Kali s ANDA cannot be effective until after the expiration of U.S. Patent No. 5,462,740 in 2013. If Kali prevails in the lawsuit at the district court level prior to the end of the thirty month stay, or the thirty-month stay expires prior to a ruling in the lawsuit, then the FDA may approve Kali s ANDA at such time.

Trademark litigation: Valent U.S.A. Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary Valent Biosciences Corporation (together Valent Biosciences) have expressed concerns regarding the possible confusion between Valent Biosciences VALENT trademark registered in connection with various chemical and agricultural products and the company s VALEANT trademark. Valent Biosciences has opposed the registration of the VALEANT trademark by us in certain jurisdictions, including Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Czech Republic, European Union, France, Germany, Indonesia, Israel, Japan, Malaysia, New Zealand, Romania, Slovak Republic, Spain, Switzerland, Turkey, Taiwan, Venezuela, the United Kingdom and the United States. Valent Biosciences oppositions in Colombia, Czech Republic, France, Japan, Romania, Spain and Turkey have been denied. Valent Biosciences unsuccessfully appealed the French decision and has appeals pending in Colombia, Romania, Spain and Turkey. While some or all of Valent Biosciences oppositions in Chile and Switzerland have been sustained, we have appealed those decisions, and our appeal in Switzerland was successful. We have also initiated actions to cancel trademark registrations owned by Valent Biosciences in Germany, Israel and South Korea and have opposed Valent s application to register the VALENT mark in Switzerland in connection with pharmaceuticals. We have responded or will respond to all opposition proceedings that have been filed and discovery is ongoing in the opposition proceeding in the United States. Valent Biosciences has also filed for cancellation of the VALEANT trademark in Austria. If the cancellation filing or any of the opposition proceedings are successful, we would have no trademark registration for the VALEANT mark in that particular jurisdiction and, in addition, in those jurisdictions where trademark rights accrue solely through the registration process, may have no trademark rights in the VALEANT mark those particular jurisdictions.

Breach of Contract: On March 11, 2005, Caleel + Hayden, LLC sued in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Orange alleging that our termination of their distribution agreement for Kinerase was a breach of the contract and constituted fraud. Plaintiff sought substantial damages, alleging, among other things, lost profits. On July 6, 2006, the jury returned a verdict on the breach of contract action in favor of Caleel + Hayden and awarded $2,355,000 million in damages, which we have recorded as an expense in the three months ended June 30, 2006. We are exploring an appeal of this verdict.

Other: We are a party to other pending lawsuits and subject to a number of threatened lawsuits. While the ultimate outcome of pending and threatened lawsuits or pending violations cannot be predicted with certainty, and an unfavorable outcome could have a negative impact on us, at this time in the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

11. Business Segments

In the 2006 strategic restructuring, the pharmaceutical segment formerly described as Asia, Africa, and Australia (AAA) was eliminated for segment reporting purposes, with the operations in this former segment combined with the remaining three segments. We thus now have three reportable pharmaceutical segments, which comprise our pharmaceutical operations in:

North America, comprising the United States and Canada.

International. The Latin America, Asia, and Australasia regions are now described as International .

Europe, Middle East, and Africa (EMEA).

In addition, we have a research and development division. As part of the restructuring announced on April 3, 2006, the discovery and pre-clinical development operations will be separated from the development division, with the objective being to sell or out-license these operations.

The segment information below for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 has been restated from our previous presentations to reflect our new segment structure as described above.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table sets forth the amounts of segment revenues and operating income of the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)	2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)
Revenues				
Specialty pharmaceuticals				
North America	$ 72,304	$ 60,512	$ 148,160	$ 109,434
International	64,471	54,430	109,661	96,346
EMEA	71,981	66,000	132,336	136,944
Total specialty pharmaceuticals	208,756	180,942	390,157	342,724
Ribavirin royalties	21,635	24,206	39,726	43,541
Consolidated revenues	$ 230,391	$ 205,148	$ 429,883	$ 386,265
Operating Income (Loss)				
Specialty pharmaceuticals				
North America	14,089	16,666	37,225	33,339
International	22,934	15,431	32,106	25,761
EMEA	12,393	8,867	16,609	20,879
	49,416	40,964	85,940	79,979
Corporate expenses(1)	(15,419)	(14,638)	(38,560)	(29,337)
Total specialty pharmaceuticals	33,997	26,326	47,380	50,642
Restructuring charges(2)	(53,082)	1,324	(79,548)	(371)
Gain on litigation settlement			34,000	
Research and development	(10,684)	(6,621)	(22,974)	(15,957)
Acquired IPR&D(2)				(126,399)
Consolidated segment operating income (loss)	(29,769)	21,029	(21,142)	(92,085)
Interest income	2,715	3,119	5,372	6,134
Interest expense	(10,861)	(10,063)	(21,298)	(19,744)
Other, net	757	(2,631)	1,694	(4,422)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before provision for income taxes and minority interest	$ (37,158)	$ 11,454	$ (35,374)	$ (110,117)

(1) All stock-based compensation expense has been considered a corporate cost as management excludes this item in assessing the financial performance of individual business segments and considers it a function of valuation factors that pertain to overall corporate stock performance.

(2) Restructuring charges and IPR&D are not included in the applicable segments as management excludes these items in assessing the financial performance of these segments, primarily due to their non-operational nature.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table sets forth the total assets of the Company by segment as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (in thousands):

Total Assets	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005 (Restated)
North America	$ 489,121	$ 503,196
International	184,787	183,740
EMEA	373,987	384,191
Corporate	208,746	223,820
Research and Development Division	209,654	218,943
Discontinued operations	49	127
Total	$ 1,466,344	$ 1,514,017

The following table sets forth the long term assets of the Company by segment as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (in thousands)

Long Term Assets	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005 (Restated)
North America	$ 392,841	$ 426,745
International	60,578	61,049
EMEA	115,746	129,952
Corporate	117,556	138,239
Research and Development Division	147,618	158,464
Total	$ 834,339	$ 914,449

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table summarizes the largest of our product lines by therapeutic class based on sales for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)	2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)
Dermatology				
Efudix/Efudex®(P)	$ 14,978	$ 12,231	$ 30,560	$ 31,507
Kinerase®(P)	9,024	5,821	15,884	10,256
Oxsoralen-Ultra®(P)	3,593	4,126	7,101	7,094
Dermatix™(P)	2,977	2,566	4,811	4,462
Eldoquin(P)	1,571	1,116	2,753	2,521
Other Dermatology	10,612	7,783	17,826	14,511
Infectious Disease				
Infergen®(P)(a)	11,309		25,014	
Virazole®(P)	3,780	4,153	9,581	8,327
Other Infectious Disease	4,890	4,245	9,622	10,098
Neurology				
Diastat(P)(b)	11,709	14,291	23,731	19,468
Mestinon®(P)	12,326	10,434	22,143	20,294
Librax(P)	5,004	1,670	7,924	5,751
Cesamet(P)	4,042	1,919	7,345	3,974
Migranal(P)(b)	2,701	4,130	5,816	4,904
Dalmane/Dalmadorm(P)	2,544	3,329	5,010	5,971
Tasmar®(P)	1,666	1,533	2,851	2,472
Limbitrol(P)	1,318	1,623	2,828	2,917
Other Neurology	15,575	15,525	30,165	26,092
Other Therapeutic Classes				
Bedoyecta™(P)	12,512	10,976	23,092	20,220
Solcoseryl	4,597	3,911	7,974	8,105
Bisocard(P)	3,912	3,363	7,477	6,018
Nyal(P)	4,803	5,366	6,557	7,840
Calcitonin(P)	2,228	2,733	4,078	5,318
Espaven(P)	2,983	1,509	4,285	3,071
Aclotin(P)	1,219	1,370	2,591	2,890
Other Pharmaceutical Products	56,883	55,219	103,138	108,643
Total product sales	$ 208,756	$ 180,942	$ 390,157	$ 342,724
Total Promoted Product sales(P)	$ 120,796	$ 98,170	$ 229,406	$ 183,380

(a) Infergen was acquired from InterMune on December 30, 2005.

(b) Diastat and Migranal were acquired with the Xcel transaction on March 1, 2005.

(P) Promoted Products represent products promoted in at least one major territory with estimated global annual sales greater than $5 million.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

During the three months ended June 30, 2006 one customer, McKesson Corporation, accounted for more than 10% of consolidated product sales. Sales to McKesson Corporation and its affiliates in the United States, Canada, and Mexico were $38,675,000 in the three month period ended June 30, 2006, representing 18.6% of our product sales. Sales to Cardinal Health in the three month period were $18,943,253, representing 9.1% of our product sales. In the six month period ended June 30, 2006, sales to McKesson Corporation were $69,057,000 and sales to Cardinal Health were $37,056,000, representing 17.7% and 9.5%, respectively. In prior years no single customer accounted for more than 10% of product sales in any period.

12. Subsequent events relating to the restatement

The restatement of our financial statements caused us to delay the filing of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. On December 12, 2006, we received a notice of default from The Bank of New York, as trustee for the holders of our 3% Convertible Notes due 2010, asserting that a default occurred under our indenture dated as of November 19, 2003, governing the 3.0% Convertible Notes and our 4.0% Convertible Notes due 2013. The notice of default asserts that a default occurred under the indenture when we failed to timely file our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. The filing of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 within sixty days of the notice of default has cured the asserted default under the indenture.

The following legal proceedings relating to the stock option review discussed in the Explanatory Note at the beginning of this amended annual report on Form 10-K/A and Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements set forth herein were initiated after June 30, 2006:

In July 2006, we were contacted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, with respect to an informal inquiry regarding events and circumstances surrounding trading in the company s commons stock and the public release of data from its first pivotal Phase 3 trial for Viramidine® (taribavirin). In addition, the SEC also requested data regarding the company s stock option grants since January 1, 2000 and information about the company s pursuit in the Delaware Chancery Court of the return of bonuses paid to Milan Panic, the company s former chairman and chief executive officer, and others, in connection with the Ribapharm initial public offering.

In September 2006, our board of directors appointed a Special Committee consisting solely of independent directors to conduct a comprehensive review relating to our stock option grants and stock option practices. The Special Committee, with the assistance of outside legal counsel, reviewed the stock option grants to our officers, directors and employees from 1982 to July 2006 under our various stock option plans in effect during this period. Our finance department has also reviewed the stock option grants and stock option practices from November 1994 to the present.

Derivative Actions: We are a nominal defendant in two shareholder derivative lawsuits pending in state court in Orange County, California, styled (i) Michael Pronko v. Timothy C. Tyson et al., and (ii) Kenneth Lawson v. Timothy C. Tyson et al. These lawsuits, which were filed on October 27, 2006 and November 16, 2006 respectively, purport to assert derivative claims on our behalf against certain of our current and/or former officers and directors. The lawsuits assert claims for breach of fiduciary duties, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment, and violations of the California Corporations Code related to the purported backdating of employee stock options. The plaintiffs seek, among other things, damages, an accounting, the rescission of stock options, and a

constructive trust over amounts acquired by the defendants who have exercised Valeant stock options. The defendants have not yet responded to the complaints. We expect the actions to be consolidated before a single judge after which the plaintiffs will file a single consolidated complaint. We will evaluate the consolidated complaint and respond accordingly

Table of Contents

Item 2. ***Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations***

Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements

We are amending our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 to restate our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three month and six month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 and the related disclosures. On January 22, 2007 we filed an amended annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

In July 2006, we were contacted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, with respect to an informal inquiry regarding events and circumstances surrounding trading in our common stock and the public release of data from our first pivotal Phase 3 trial for Viramidine® (taribavirin). In addition, on August 22, 2006, the SEC requested data regarding our stock option grants and exercises since January 1, 2000. The SEC has also requested information about our pursuit in the Delaware Chancery Court of the return of certain bonuses paid to Milan Panic, the former chairman and chief executive officer, and others, in connection with the Ribapharm initial public offering. We commenced an internal review by our finance department of stock option grants from 1982 to July 2006. In September 2006, our board of directors appointed a special committee of the board composed solely of independent directors (the Special Committee) to conduct a review of our historic stock option practices and related accounting. The Special Committee, with the assistance of outside legal counsel, undertook a comprehensive review of the stock option grants to our officers, directors and employees from 1982 to July 2006 under our various stock option plans in effect during this period. The Special Committee has concluded its investigation and has reported its findings to our board of directors.

On October 20, 2006, our board of directors concluded that certain of our consolidated financial statements should be restated to record the additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense items and certain other items that had been incorrectly accounted for under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP.

Continuing the work done in September, the Special Committee analyzed in detail stock option grants awarded between November 1994 and July 2006 and analyzed supporting documentation for awards granted between 1982 and 1994. For the period between November 1994 and July 2006, the Special Committee s analysis included an extensive review of paper and electronic documents supporting or related to our stock option grants, the accounting for those grants, compensation-related financial and securities disclosures and e-mail communications as well as interviews with numerous current and former employees and current and former members of our board of directors. While the Special Committee concluded that there were some errors as late as January 2006, the majority of errors in accounting for options pertain to those options granted prior to the change in our board of directors and management in mid-2002 (the Change in Control). None of the errors occurring in periods after the Change in Control related to options granted to the chief executive officer, chief financial officer or members of our board of directors.

The Special Committee made a determination, based on the available evidence, of measurement dates for each affected grant. If the grants were approved at a meeting of the compensation committee of the board of directors and there was no actual evidence of a change in the approved list of individual awards, the measurement date selected was the date of the compensation committee meeting. If there was actual evidence of a change in the list of individual awards and evidence of when the list became final, the measurement date selected was the date when the list became final. If there was actual evidence of a change in the list but evidence of when the list became final was not definitive, the measurement date was reconstructed using the best available evidence to ensure that an adequate amount of compensation expense was recorded in the restatement.

In total we recorded $31,111,000 of additional pre-tax, non-cash, stock-based compensation expense in the restatement to correct errors for awards granted from 1982 to date. Of this, $28,651,000 related to awards granted prior to the Change in Control and $2,460,000 to awards granted after the Change in Control. None of these changes affected our previously reported revenues, cash, or cash equivalents. As explained below, however, we also reported corrections for certain other items which impact our reported revenues and cash flow presentations.

Table of Contents

Options Granted Prior to the Change in Control

The Special Committee found that the recorded grant dates for the majority of stock options awarded prior to the Change in Control differed from the actual grant dates for those transactions. In connection with that finding, the Special Committee concluded that, with respect to many broad-based grants of stock options prior to the Change in Control, prior management used a methodology of selecting a recorded grant date based on the lowest closing price during some time period (e.g., quarter, ten trading days) preceding the actual grant date. While the Special Committee did not reach a conclusion as to how prior management selected other recorded grant dates for broad-based or individual grants that did not use the lowest closing price methodology, there is some evidence that dates were selected based on the occurrence of an event or when the former chief executive officer, Milan Panic, agreed in principle to the grant. While these and similar practices resulted in the grant of in-the-money options, and the Special Committee identified evidence that two pre-Change in Control directors may have been aware of these backdating practices, it does not appear that prior management pre-Change in Control attempted to conceal that the stock option grants were discounted using the backdating methodology.

Between November 1994 and the June 2002 Change in Control, eight broad-based grants were made. All of the 908 individual awards of options to purchase 6.9 million shares comprising those grants had recorded grant dates that differed from the actual grant dates for those transactions and each resulted in additional compensation charges that are reflected in our restated financial statements. Of those eight broad-based grants, six appear to have been annual grants that used the lowest closing price methodology and two appear to have been event-related (in those instances, there are lower prices between the recorded grant date and actual grant date). These eight broad-based option grants accounted for $11,488,000 of the $31,111,000 in pre-tax compensation charges.

During this period, options to directors to purchase a total of 334,000 shares were also found to have recorded grant dates earlier than the dates when the board of directors acted to approve the grants. The grants were dated in accordance with the 1994 Stock Option Plan which provided expressly that the grants were to be dated as of November 11, 1994. The board of directors, however, did not approve that stock option plan until January 1995. Accordingly, we are taking additional non-cash compensation charges equal to the difference between the closing stock price on the date of approval and November 11, 1994. These option grants to directors accounted for $148,000 of the $31,111,000 in pre-tax compensation charges.

Also during this period, there were 114 other individual grants of options to purchase a total of 2.0 million shares with stipulated grant dates earlier than the dates the compensation committee acted to approve these awards. The Special Committee could not determine whether the date of those grants were based on an event or when the former chief executive officer, Milan Panic, agreed in principle to the award. These individual option grants accounted for $4,538,000 of the $31,111,000 in additional compensation charges.

The restatement also includes a pre-tax charge of $997,000 related to a stock option grant to a former chief financial officer, who left in 2002. This grant of options to purchase 100,000 shares was granted to him with a recorded grant date a few days before he joined us in May 1998. The Special Committee concluded that this award of options was effectively amended in December 1998 to lower its exercise price. There is evidence which suggests that certain members of former management knew or should have known that this transaction and one other transaction (resulting in a pre-tax charge of $450,000) had accounting, tax, and disclosure consequences and that they failed to take appropriate action. These options have been accounted for as variable awards in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions involving Stock Compensation* (FIN 44) in the restated financial statements. Variable accounting ceased in 2002 when these options were surrendered.

We also recorded $1,375,000 of additional pre-tax, non-cash, stock-based compensation expense in the restatement for awards granted between 1982 and 1994.

In total 1,038 individual awards of options to purchase a total of 9.2 million shares granted before the Change in Control were found to have been granted in-the-money, representing 71% of total awards granted in the period November 1994 through June 11, 2002. This included 87 awards of options to purchase 4.5 million shares awarded to ten executive officers, including the former chief executive officer, Milan Panic. These in-the-money awards to executive officers accounted for $10,507,000, 34% of the total pre-tax accounting charge of additional stock-based compensation expense in the restatement.

Table of Contents

Cash Surrender of Options at Change in Control in 2002

The election of certain persons as directors at the annual meeting of our stockholders on May 29, 2002 caused a Change in Control under our stock option plans. Our 1998 Stock Option Plan (the 1998 Plan) provided that all outstanding options vested immediately upon the Change in Control and that an option holder had 60 days following the Change in Control to surrender his or her non-incentive stock options for a cash payment equal to the excess of the highest closing price of the stock during the 90 days preceding the Change in Control, which was $32.50 per share, or the closing price on the day preceding the date of surrender, whichever was higher, over the exercise price for the surrendered options.

During the year ended December 31, 2002, we recorded a pre-tax charge of $61,400,000 related to our cash payment obligation under the 1998 Plan. The findings of the Special Committee relating to in-the-money options that were affected by the Change in Control require that we recognize the remaining grant date intrinsic value resulting from the acceleration of vesting for a number of these options and the value that certain other options could have been surrendered for cash under APB 25 and FIN 44. As a result, an additional compensation charge of $10,105,000 has been recorded in fiscal year 2002.

Options Granted After the Change in Control

The Special Committee also found that, due to flaws in the processes relied on to make our annual broad-based grants after the Change in Control, we did not correctly apply the requirements of APB 25 through December 2005. These option accounting errors, however, differ significantly from those made prior to the Change in Control. Unlike the broad-based grants made prior to the Change in Control, for which the recorded grant dates were selected from a period prior to the approval dates, the broad-based grants after the Change in Control were approved at either regularly scheduled meetings of the compensation committee or at meetings of the board of directors, and the exercise price for each of these grants was the closing price on the date of such meetings.

The stock option accounting errors after the Change in Control resulted from allocation adjustments to the list of grants to individual non-executives after the compensation committee or the board of directors had approved the allocation of an aggregate number of shares to be available to non-executive employees. In no event did the adjustments result in shares being granted in excess of the aggregate number of shares approved by the compensation committee or the board of directors. Further, none of those adjustments related to the chief executive officer, chief financial officer, or any member of the board of directors. The Special Committee concluded that there was no evidence that management operating since the Change in Control were aware that the processes used to grant and account for broad-based grants were flawed or that the process employed was for the purpose of granting in-the-money stock options. In reaching this conclusion, the Special Committee took note that that process had been consistently employed even for the November 2005 grants in which the process resulted in stock option grants at higher exercise prices than the closing price of our common stock on the date of finalization of the allocation list for non-executives. The Special Committee also concluded that there was no evidence that current management was aware of any financial statement impact, tax consequences or disclosure implications of its flawed processes.

Between May 2003 and November 2005, we made four broad-based grants (May 2003, November 2003, November 2004 and November 2005). The May 2003 grants were made to non-executive employees. The November 2003, 2004 and 2005 grants were made to a broad base of employees, including senior executives (the November Grants). With respect to each of the November Grants, the granting authority (either the compensation committee or the board of directors) made specific grants to specific members of executive management, including, among others, the chief executive officer, the chief operating officer, and the chief financial officer. Additionally, the broad-based grants made after the Change in Control were approved either at regularly scheduled meetings of the Compensation Committee or

at meetings of the board of directors. The stock option accounting errors that affected 164 individual grants of options to purchase 1.5 million shares resulted from slight adjustments to the non-executive grant lists after the relevant compensation committee or board meetings. In no event did the adjustments result in shares being granted in excess of the number of options approved by the compensation committee or the board of directors. As a result of its work, the Special Committee made a determination of new measurement dates for each affected grant. With respect to three of the four broad-based grants (May 2003, November 2003 and November 2005), the measurement date selected was the date on which the rank and file list became final. With respect to the remaining broad-based grant (November

Table of Contents

2004), there was actual evidence of a change in the rank and file list but inconclusive evidence when the list became final. The measurement date for that grant was reconstructed using the best available evidence to ensure that an adequate amount of compensation expense was recorded in the restatement. A total of 14 other individual awards (0.1 million shares) made to rank-and-file employees since the change of control were also found to contain administrative stock option accounting errors.

To correct these errors, we recorded $2,460,000 of additional pre-tax, non-cash, stock-based compensation expense in the restatement for the period July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2006. These non-cash charges have no impact on previously reported revenues, cash or cash equivalents. As explained below, however, we also reported corrections for certain other items which do have an impact on reported revenues and cash flow presentations.

New Hire Grant Practices

The Special Committee investigated our new hire stock option grant practices and concluded that the new hire grants were appropriately accounted for under the applicable accounting principles. Until January 2004, our practice was to set forth, in a prospective employee s offer letter a specific number of options, specifying that the strike price would be equal to the closing price on the new employee s first date of employment pending approval of the compensation committee. Beginning in January 2004, the offer letters set the strike price equal to the closing price of our stock on the later of compensation committee approval or the employee s start date.

With respect to our new hire grant practices prior to January 2004, the Special Committee reviewed each offer letter and related grant during the period June 2002 to January 2004 and a sample of offer letters and related grants prior to June 2002. The Special Committee also questioned relevant individuals about the option-related new hire practices and procedures. This intensive review confirmed that in each instance reviewed, the number of options approved was equal to the number of options set forth in the applicable offer letter, and that no material terms of the options were changed by the compensation committee in its approval process. Accordingly, the Special Committee concluded that, with respect to new hire grants prior to January 2004, compensation committee approval was a mere formality and that there had been finality with respect to the new hire grants upon the first day of employment, which had been used as the measurement date. Based upon the investigation, the Special Committee concluded that new hire grants were accounted for appropriately.

Income Tax Effects

Incremental, stock-based, pre-tax compensation charges resulted in tax benefits of $7,920,000. These tax benefits through 2000 were $1,940,000, recorded as an increase in the deferred tax assets with a corresponding increase in retained earnings. For 2001 through 2003, deferred tax assets increased by $5,980,000 and income tax expense decreased by the same amount. In 2004, the deferred tax asset was fully reserved with a valuation allowance.

As a result of the review of our stock option granting practices, management determined that the limitation of tax benefits for executive compensation imposed by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the IRC) was not considered in the income tax returns or financial statements prior to the Change in Control. The amount of this limitation has been impacted by the determination that many of the stock options were granted at prices below fair market value on the date of grant. As a result of correctly applying the Section 162(m) limitations, retained earnings have been decreased by $1,896,000 as of December 31, 2000 and income tax expense has been increased by $702,000, $518,000 and $748,000 in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. Adjustments of ($205,000) and $122,000 for 2004 and 2005 respectively, did not affect tax expense due to the valuation allowance. Also, the cumulative impact on income tax of $3,864,000 was reversed in 2004. This occurred because the valuation allowance for the deferred tax assets decreased with the Section 162(m) reductions to the net operating loss.

As a result of our determination that the exercise prices of certain option grants were below the closing price of our common stock on the actual grant date, we evaluated whether the affected employees would have any adverse tax consequences under Section 409A of the IRC. It was determined that certain of these options were unvested as of December 31, 2004, and may be subject to Section 409A unless further action is taken. None of these options belong to persons who, as of the date of grant, were subject to the disclosure requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Therefore, transition relief is available with respect to these options through December 31, 2007. Additional guidance may be available before that time that will allow us to determine whether Section 409A

Table of Contents

will apply to the circumstances under which these options were granted. Depending upon the determination about the correct treatment of these options for Section 409A purposes, the recipients of these options may make an election to exercise the options in a way that excludes them from Section 409A treatment. This election is available through December 31, 2007.

Summary and Other Items

In addition, we have restated the aforementioned financial statements to correct certain accounting errors which were previously identified but not considered to be material through December 31, 2005. These corrections related to accounting for employee tax withholding on certain compensation transactions, elimination of an intercompany difference, accounting for product exchanges (resulting in a revenue adjustment), and certain income tax adjustments. The income tax adjustments include reducing the charge taken to increase the valuation allowance in 2004 by $11,566,000 as a result of recording less U.S. deferred tax assets in prior periods, which had originated from administrative errors in the preparation of tax returns in earlier periods and were immaterial to each of those prior periods. The cumulative effect of these errors on retained earnings as of December 31, 2005 was $4,714,000. The restatement impact through June 30, 2006 of these other corrections and of the non-cash charges for stock-based compensation that have resulted from the review of the Special Committee are summarized in the table below:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Year Ended December 31,			Cumulative Effect	Total Additional Expense
	2006	2005	2005	2004	2003	1982-2002	(Income)
Stock option grants prior to 2002 Change in Control:							
Broad-based option grants with improper measurement dates	$	$	$	$	$	$ 11,488	$ 11,488
Option grants to directors with improper measurement dates						148	148
Other option grants with improper measurement dates						4,538	4,538
Re-priced option grant						997	997
Improper measurement dates for option grants 1982-1994						1,375	1,375
Incremental charge in connection with Change in Control						10,105	10,105
Sub total pre Change in Control						28,651	28,651
Stock option grants after 2002 Change in Control:							
	(3)	587	1,171	1,085	172		2,425

Company-wide option grants with improper measurement dates							
Other stock option matters after June 2002		13	21	(7)	20		35
Sub total post Change in Control	(3)	600	1,192	1,078	192		2,460
Total impact of additional stock compensation on operating income	(3)	600	1,192	1,078	192	28,651	31,111
Other items corrected in connection with restatement	(1,772)	(67)	(2,273)	(1,265)	(90)	7,766	2,366
Tax effects of above and other tax items	(1,170)	344	964	(14,957)	1,785	3,357	(10,022)
Net income decrease (increase) resulting from all restatement items	$ (2,945)	$ 877	$ (117)	$ (15,144)	$ 1,887	$ 39,774	$ 23,455

Table of Contents

The cumulative effect of the errors in 2002 and prior years of $39,774,000 was recorded as a reduction of retained earnings at December 31, 2002.

The pre-tax effect of the correction for stock-based compensation was $157,000, $206,000, $792,000, $2,503,000, $2,690,000, $3,491,000, $4,492,000 and $12,945,000 for 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively. The cumulative pre-tax effect of the correction for stock-based compensation between 1982 and 1994 was $1,375,000.

In light of the conclusions of the Special Committee s review of our stock option granting practices, we have re-evaluated the Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 in the 2005 Form 10-K. The restated report is set forth in the Form 10-K/A. Based on this analysis, we have determined that there was a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting relating to the accounting and disclosure of stock-based compensation expense as of December 31, 2005 and as of June 30, 2006. We implemented remedial controls in 2006.

The following table summarizes the effect of the restatement on our consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006			Six Months Ended June 30, 2005		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated
Revenues:						
Product sales	$ 389,274	$ 883	$ 390,157	$ 342,631	$ 93	$ 342,724
Ribavirin royalties	39,726		39,726	43,541		43,541
Total revenues	429,000	883	429,883	386,172	93	386,265
Costs and expenses:						
Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization)	124,324	36	124,360	101,661	125	101,786
Selling expenses	130,538	8	130,546	114,269	70	114,339
General and administrative expenses	60,093	(980)	59,113	50,562	254	50,816
Research and development costs	56,377	44	56,421	53,283	177	53,460
Acquired in-process research and development				126,399		126,399
Gain on settlement of litigation	(34,000)		(34,000)			
Restructuring charges	79,548		79,548	371		371
Amortization expense	35,037		35,037	31,179		31,179
Total costs and expenses	451,917	(892)	451,025	477,724	626	478,350

Income (loss) from operations	(22,917)	1,775	(21,142)	(91,552)	(533)	(92,085)
Other income (loss), net, including translation and exchange	1,694		1,694	(4,422)		(4,422)
Interest income	5,372		5,372	6,134		6,134
Interest expense	(21,298)		(21,298)	(19,744)		(19,744)

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006			Six Months Ended June 30, 2005		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest	(37,149)	1,775	(35,374)	(109,584)	(533)	(110,117)
Provision for income taxes	13,875	(1,170)	12,705	26,426	344	26,770
Minority interest, net	1		1	305		305
Loss from continuing operations	(51,025)	2,945	(48,080)	(136,315)	(877)	(137,192)
Loss from discontinued operations	(409)		(409)	(3,491)		(3,491)
Net loss	$ (51,434)	$ 2,945	$ (48,489)	$ (139,806)	$ (877)	$ (140,683)
Basic and diluted loss per share:						
Loss from continuing operations	$ (0.55)	$	$ (0.52)	$ (1.50)	$	$ (1.51)
Loss from discontinued operations	$			$ (0.04)		(0.04)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	$ (0.55)	$	$ (0.52)	$ (1.54)	$	$ (1.55)
Basic and diluted shares used in per share computation	92,794		92,794	90,712		90,712

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the effect of the restatement on our consolidated statements of operations for the three month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2006			Three Months Ended June 30, 2005		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated
Revenues:						
Product sales	$ 208,517	$ 239	$ 208,756	$ 180,828	$ 114	$ 180,942
Ribavirin royalties	21,635		21,635	24,206		24,206
Total revenues	230,152	239	230,391	205,034	114	205,148
Costs and expenses:						
Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization)	65,744	15	65,759	52,940	63	53,003
Selling expenses	66,268	2	66,270	61,454	35	61,489
General and administrative expenses	31,553	(886)	30,667	25,985	126	26,111
Research and development costs	26,842	26	26,868	27,559	70	27,629
Acquired in-process research and development						
Gain on settlement of litigation						
Restructuring charges	53,082		53,082	(1,324)		(1,324)
Amortization expense	17,514		17,514	17,211		17,211
Total costs and expenses	261,003	(843)	260,160	183,825	294	184,119
Income (loss) from operations	(30,851)	1,082	(29,769)	21,209	(180)	21,029
Other income (loss), net, including translation and exchange	757		757	(2,631)		(2,631)
Interest income	2,715		2,715	3,119		3,119
Interest expense	(10,861)		(10,861)	(10,063)		(10,063)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest	(38,240)	1,082	(37,158)	11,634	(180)	11,454
Provision for income taxes	6,633	(1,470)	5,163	10,059	197	10,256
Minority interest, net				134		134
	(44,873)	2,552	(42,321)	1,441	(377)	1,064

Loss from continuing operations						
Loss from discontinued operations	(197)		(197)	(1,988)		(1,988)
Net loss	$ (45,070)	$ 2,552	$ (42,518)	$ (547)	$ (377)	$ (924)
Basic and diluted loss per share:						
Loss from continuing operations	$ (0.49)	$	$ (0.46)	$ 0.02	$	$ 0.01
Loss from discontinued operations	$			$ (0.03)		(0.03)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	$ (0.49)	$	$ (0.46)	$ (0.01)	$	$ (0.01)
Basic and diluted shares used in per share computation	92,818		92,818	92,568		92,568

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of the specific income statement accounts as reported and as affected by the restatement for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(In thousands)			
Revenues				
As previously reported	$ 230,152	$ 180,828	$ 429,000	$ 386,172
Adjustment	239	114	883	93
As restated	$ 230,391	$ 180,942	$ 429,883	$ 386,265
Cost of goods sold				
As previously reported	$ 65,744	$ 52,940	$ 124,324	$ 101,661
Adjustment	15	63	36	125
As restated	$ 65,759	$ 53,003	$ 124,360	$ 101,786
Selling expenses				
As previously reported	$ 66,268	$ 61,454	$ 130,538	$ 114,269
Adjustment	2	35	8	70
As restated	$ 66,270	$ 61,489	$ 130,546	$ 114,339
Research and development costs				
As previously reported	$ 26,842	$ 27,559	$ 56,377	$ 53,283
Adjustment	26	70	44	177
As restated	$ 26,868	$ 27,629	$ 56,421	$ 53,460
General and administrative expenses				
As previously reported	$ 31,553	$ 25,985	$ 60,093	$ 50,562
Adjustment	(886)	126	(980)	254
As restated	$ 30,667	$ 26,111	$ 59,113	$ 50,816

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
	(In thousands except per share amounts)			
Income (loss) from operations, before interest, taxes and other items				
As previously reported	$ (30,851)	$ 21,209	$ (22,917)	$ (91,552)
Adjustment	1,082	(180)	1,775	(533)
As restated	$ (29,769)	$ 21,029	$ (21,142)	$ (92,085)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes income taxes and minority interest				
As previously reported	$ (38,240)	$ 11,634	$ (37,149)	$ (109,584)
Adjustment	1,082	(180)	1,775	(533)
As restated	$ (37,158)	$ 11,454	$ (35,374)	$ (110,117)
Provision for income taxes				
As previously reported	$ 6,633	$ 10,059	$ 13,875	$ 26,426
Adjustment	(1,470)	197	(1,170)	344
As restated	$ 5,163	$ 10,256	$ 12,705	$ 26,770
Income (loss) from continuing operations				
As previously reported	$ (44,873)	$ 1,441	$ (51,025)	$ (136,315)
Adjustment	2,552	(377)	2,945	(877)
As restated	$ (42,321)	$ 1,064	$ (48,080)	$ (137,192)
Net income (loss)				
As previously reported	$ (45,070)	$ (547)	$ (51,434)	$ (139,806)
Adjustment	2,552	(377)	2,945	(877)
As restated	$ (42,518)	$ (924)	$ (48,489)	$ (140,683)
Basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations				
As previously reported	$ (0.49)	$ 0.02	$ (0.55)	$ (1.50)
Adjustment	$ 0.03	$ (0.01)	$ 0.03	$ (0.01)
As restated	$ (0.46)	$ 0.01	$ (0.52)	$ (1.51)
Basic and diluted earnings per share				
As previously reported	$ (0.49)	$ (0.01)	$ (0.55)	$ (1.54)
Adjustment	$ 0.03	$	$ 0.03	$ (0.01)

As restated	$ (0.46)	$ (0.01)	$ (0.52)	$ (1.55)

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the impact of the restatement on our consolidated statements of cash flows from operating activities six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands).

	Six Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2006 As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated	2005 As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net Loss	$ (51,434)	$ 2,945	$ (48,489)	$ (139,806)	$ (877)	$ (140,683)
Loss from discontinued operations	(409)		(409)	(3,491)		(3,491)
Loss from continuing operations	(51,025)	2,945	(48,080)	(136,315)	(877)	(137,192)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization	47,070		47,070	45,498		45,498
Provision for losses on accounts receivable and inventories	7,671		7,671	3,805		3,805
Stock compensation expense	10,700	(3)	10,697	1,052	600	1,652
Translation and exchange (gains) losses, net	(1,694)		(1,694)	4,422		4,422
Impairment charges and other non-cash items	67,913		67,913	1,355		1,355
Acquired in-process research and development				126,399		126,399
Deferred income taxes	(3,787)	3,702	(85)	(18,215)		(18,215)
Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:						
Accounts receivable	(11,428)		(11,428)	2,154		2,154
Inventories	(12,435)		(12,435)	(13,518)		(13,518)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,933)		(1,933)	3,916		3,916
Trade payables and accrued liabilities	4,787	(1,772)	3,015	(21,176)	(67)	(21,243)
Income taxes	(6,442)	(4,872)	(11,314)	21,744	344	22,088
Other liabilities	1,732		1,732	1,284		1,284
Cash flow from operating activities in continuing	51,129		51,129	22,405		22,405

operations								
Cash flow from operating activities in discontinued operations	(418)		(418)		(1,129)		(1,129)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	$ 50,711	$	$ 50,711	$	21,276	$	$	21,276

Table of Contents

The following table sets for the impact of the restatement on our consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2006			December 31, 2005		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated
ASSETS						
Current Assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 244,607	$	$ 244,607	$ 224,856	$	$ 224,856
Marketable securities	8,208		8,208	10,210		10,210
Accounts receivable, net	201,567		201,567	187,987		187,987
Inventories, net	144,505		144,505	136,034		136,034
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	33,118		33,118	36,652	3,702	40,354
Total current assets	632,005		632,005	595,739	3,702	599,441
Property, plant and equipment, net	174,648		174,648	230,126		230,126
Deferred tax assets, net	24,767		24,767	45,904	(20,562)	25,342
Goodwill	79,977		79,977	79,486		79,486
Intangible assets, net	506,117		506,117	536,319		536,319
Other assets	48,781		48,781	43,176		43,176
Assets of discontinued operations	49		49	127		127
Total non-current assets	834,339		834,339	935,138	(20,562)	914,576
	$ 1,466,344	$	$ 1,466,344	$ 1,530,877	$ (16,860)	$ 1,514,017
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY						
Current Liabilities:						
Trade payables	$ 59,587	$	$ 59,587	$ 55,279	$	$ 55,279
Accrued liabilities	141,808	2,365	144,173	136,701	4,138	140,839
Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt	487		487	495		495
Income taxes	40,648		40,648	42,452	4,871	47,323
Total current liabilities	242,530	2,365	244,895	234,927	9,009	243,936
Long-term debt, less current portion	779,483		779,483	788,439		788,439
Deferred tax liabilities, net	2,796		2,796	28,770	(20,562)	8,208
Other liabilities	22,542		22,542	16,372		16,372

Liabilities of discontinued operations	23,078		23,078	23,118		23,118
Total non-current liabilities	827,899		827,899	856,699	(20,562)	836,137
Stockholders Equity:						
Common Stock	929		929	928		928
Additional capital	1,216,908	21,090	1,237,998	1,203,814	21,093	1,224,907
Accumulated deficit	(809,740)	(23,455)	(833,195)	(743,950)	(26,400)	(770,350)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(12,182)		(12,182)	(21,541)		(21,541)
Total stockholders equity	395,915	(2,365)	393,550	439,251	(5,307)	433,944
	$ 1,466,344	$	$ 1,466,344	$ 1,530,877	$ (16,860)	$ 1,514,017

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the specific balance sheet accounts as reported and as affected by the restatement as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(In thousands)	
Other current assets (deferred taxes)		
As previously reported	$ 33,118	$ 36,652
Adjustment		3,702
As restated	$ 33,118	$ 40,354
Deferred tax assets, Net		
As previously reported	$ 24,767	$ 45,904
Adjustment		(20,562)
As restated	$ 24,767	$ 25,342
Accrued liabilities (reserve for product returns)		
As previously reported	$ 141,808	$ 136,701
Adjustment	2,365	4,138
As restated	$ 144,173	$ 140,839
Income taxes current		
As previously reported	$ 40,648	$ 42,452
Adjustment		4,871
As restated	$ 40,648	$ 47,323
Deferred taxes and other liabilities		
As previously reported	$ 2,796	$ 28,770
Adjustment		(20,562)
As restated	$ 2,796	$ 8,208
Additional capital		
As previously reported	$ 1,216,908	$ 1,203,814
Adjustment	21,090	21,093
As restated	$ 1,237,998	$ 1,224,907
Accumulated deficit		
As previously reported	$ (809,740)	$ (743,950)
Adjustment	(23,455)	(26,400)
As restated	$ (833,195)	$ (770,350)

As previously reported	$ 395,915	$ 439,251
Adjustment	(2,365)	(5,307)
As restated	$ 393,550	$ 433,944

Overview

We are a global specialty pharmaceutical company that develops, manufactures and markets a broad range of pharmaceutical products. We focus our greatest resources and attention principally in the therapeutic areas of neurology, infectious disease and dermatology. Our marketing and promotion efforts focus on our Promoted Products, which include products marketed globally, regionally and locally with annual sales in excess of $5 million.

Table of Contents

Our products are currently sold in more than 100 markets around the world, with our primary focus on the United States, Canada, Mexico, the United Kingdom, France, Italy, Poland, Germany, and Spain.

Our primary value driver is a specialty pharmaceutical business with a global platform. We believe that our global reach and marketing agility make us unique among specialty pharmaceutical companies, and provide us with the ability to leverage compounds in the clinical stage and commercialize them in major markets around the world. In addition, we receive royalties from the sale of ribavirin by Schering-Plough and Roche, although such royalties currently represent a much smaller contribution to our revenues than they have in the past.

Specialty Pharmaceuticals

Specialty Pharmaceutical Revenues: Product sales from the Company s specialty pharmaceutical segments increased $27,814,000 (15%) and $47,433,000 (14%) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, over the same periods in 2005. Sales from products related to the acquisition of Xcel in March 2005 contributed $17,451,000 and $35,780,000 to product sales in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, reflecting declines in non-promoted products acquired from Xcel and lower sales of Diastat and Migranal in the second quarter than in the same period in the prior year. Sales from Infergen, acquired on Dec. 30, 2005, contributed $11,309,000 and $25,014,000 in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively. Product sales from the Company s Promoted Products increased $22,626,000 (23%) and $46,026,000 (25%) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, over the same period from 2005.

Clinical Development

We seek to develop and commercialize innovative products for the treatment of significant unmet medical needs, principally in the areas of infectious diseases and neurology. Research and development expenses were $26,868,000 and $56,421,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $27,629,000 and $53,460,000 for the same periods in 2005, resulting in a decrease of $761,000 (3%) in the three month period and an increase of $2,961,000 (6%) in the six month period, respectively.

In April 2006 we announced a major restructuring program which will result in a reduction of the size and scope of our research and development activities. See Company Strategy and Restructuring below.

Ribavirin Royalties

Ribavirin royalty revenues decreased $2,571,000 (11%) and accounted for 9% of our total revenues from continuing operations for the three months ended June 30, 2006 as compared to 12% in the similar three month period in 2005. Ribavirin royalty revenues decreased $3,815,000 (9%) and accounted for 9% of our total revenues from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2006 as compared to 11% in the similar six month period in 2005. The year-to-date decrease in ribavirin royalties includes the effects of generic competition in the United States, partially offset by increased royalties in Japan.

Company Strategy and Restructuring

The key elements of our strategy, as refined by the restructuring program announced on April 3, 2006, include the following:

Targeted Growth of Existing Products. We focus our business on key markets, across three therapeutic areas. We believe that our core therapeutic areas are positioned for further growth and that it is possible for a mid-sized company to attain a leadership position within these categories. We intend to continue to pursue life cycle management

strategies for our regional and local brands.

Efficient Manufacturing and Supply Chain Organization. The objective of the restructuring program as it relates to manufacturing is to further rationalize our manufacturing operations and further reduce our excess capacity. Under our global manufacturing strategy, we also seek to minimize our costs of goods sold by increasing capacity utilization in our manufacturing facilities or by outsourcing and by other actions to improve efficiencies. We have undertaken major process improvement initiatives and the deployment of lean

Table of Contents

six sigma process improvements, affecting all phases of our operations, from raw material and supply logistics, to manufacturing, warehousing and distribution.

Clinical Development Activities. We are focusing efforts and expenditures on two late stage development projects: Viramidine (taribavirin), a potential treatments for hepatitis C and retigabine, a potential treatment for partial onset seizures in patients with epilepsy. The restructuring program is designed to rationalize our investments in research and development efforts in line with our financial resources. We intend to sell rights to, out-license or secure partners to share the costs of other major clinical projects and discovery programs that the research and development division has underway.

Product Acquisitions. We plan to selectively license or acquire product candidates, technologies and businesses from third parties which complement our existing business and provide for effective life cycle management of key products. We believe that our drug development and commercialization expertise will allow us to recognize licensing opportunities and to capitalize on research initially conducted and funded by others.

The restructuring program will result in reduced selling, general and administrative expenses primarily through consolidation of our management functions into fewer administrative groups to achieve greater economies of scale. Management and administrative responsibilities for our regional operations in Asia, Africa and Australia, (AAA), which were formerly managed as a separate business unit, will be combined with those of other regions. As a result we now have three reportable pharmaceutical segments, which comprise our pharmaceutical operations in:

North America, comprising the United States and Canada.

International. The Latin America, Asia, and Australasia regions are now described as International .

Europe, Middle East, and Africa (EMEA).

We anticipate that the total restructuring program will result in charges that will range between $90,000,000 and $115,000,000. These charges include impairment charges resulting from the planned sale of our manufacturing facilities in Puerto Rico and Switzerland. The anticipated charges also include employee severance costs resulting from a total reduction of approximately 750 employees, the majority of whom work in the manufacturing facilities which will be sold.

We recorded provisions of $53,082,000 and $79,548,000 in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, in connection with the restructuring program. These charges consist of the impairment charges for our manufacturing sites in Puerto Rico and Switzerland of $18,576,000 and $25,114,000, respectively. The fair value of these sites was determined based on independent appraisals. The restructuring charges also consist of other costs as detailed below. The restructuring charges in the three months ended June 30, 2006 were $18,576,000 and $28,898,000 for the North American and EMEA segments, respectively.

Table of Contents

The activity in the restructuring accounts is summarized in the following tables:

Restructuring Charge Details

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2006	June 30, 2006	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006	Anticipated Total Restructuring Charge
		(In thousands)		
Employee Severances (135 Employees)	$ 6,644	$ 5,369	$ 12,013	$ 19,000 - 28,000
Contract cancellation and other cash costs		992	992	1,000 - 2,000
Subtotal: Cash-related Charges	6,644	6,361	13,005	20,000 - 30,000
Abandoned software and other capital assets	19,822	3,031	22,853	23,000 - 24,000
Impairment of manufacturing assets		43,690	43,690	47,000 - 71,000
Subtotal: Non-cash charges	19,822	46,721	66,543	70,000 - 95,000
Total:	$ 26,466	$ 53,082	$ 79,548	$ 90,000 - $115,000

Reconciliation of Cash Restructuring Payments with Restructuring Accrual

	March 31, 2006	June 30, 2006
	(In thousands)	
Opening Accrual	$	$ 5,425
Cash Charges	6,644	6,361
Cash Paid	(1,219)	(3,235)
Closing Accrual	$ 5,425	$ 8,551

Results of Operations

Our three reportable pharmaceutical segments comprise pharmaceuticals operations in North America; International; and Europe, Middle East, and Africa. In addition, we have a research and development division. Certain financial information for our business segments is set forth below. This discussion of our results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated condensed financial statements included elsewhere in this quarterly report. For additional financial information by business segment, see Note 10 of notes to consolidated condensed financial

statements included elsewhere in this quarterly report.

Table of Contents

The following tables compare 2006 and 2005 revenues by reportable segments and operating expenses for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
	2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)		
Revenues				
Specialty pharmaceuticals				
North America	$ 72,304	$ 60,512	$ 11,792	19%
International	64,471	54,430	10,041	18
EMEA	71,981	66,000	5,981	9
Total specialty pharmaceuticals	208,756	180,942	27,814	15
Ribavirin royalties	21,635	24,206	(2,571)	(11)
Total revenues	230,391	205,148	25,243	12
Costs and Expenses				
Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization)	65,759	53,003	12,756	24
Selling expenses	66,270	61,489	4,781	8
General and administrative expenses	30,667	26,111	4,556	17
Research and development costs	26,868	27,629	(761)	(3)
Restructuring charges	53,082	(1,324)	54,406	NM
Amortization expense	17,514	17,211	303	2
Operating income	$ (29,769)	$ 21,029	$ (50,798)	NM
Gross profit on product sales (excluding amortization)	$ 142,997	$ 127,939	$ 15,058	12
Gross profit margin on product sales	68%	71%		

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
	2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)		
Revenues				
Specialty pharmaceuticals				
North America	$ 148,160	$ 109,434	$ 38,726	35%
International	109,661	96,346	13,315	14
EMEA	132,336	136,944	(4,608)	(3)
Total specialty pharmaceuticals	390,157	342,724	47,433	14
Ribavirin royalties	39,726	43,541	(3,815)	(9)
Total revenues	429,883	386,265	43,618	11
Costs and Expenses				
Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization)	124,360	101,786	22,574	22
Selling expenses	130,546	114,339	16,207	14
General and administrative expenses	59,113	50,816	8,297	16
Research and development costs	56,421	53,460	2,961	6
IPR&D		126,399	(126,399)	NM
Gain on litigation settlement	(34,000)			NM
Restructuring charges	79,548	371	79,177	NM
Amortization expense	35,037	31,179	3,858	12
Operating loss	$ (21,142)	$ (92,085)	$ 36,943	(40)
Gross profit on product sales (excluding amortization)	$ 265,797	$ 240,938	$ 24,859	10
Gross profit margin on product sales	68%	70%		

NM Not meaningful

In the North America pharmaceuticals segment, revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006 were $72,304,000, compared to $60,512,000 for the same period in 2005, representing an increase of $11,792,000 (19%). The increase is primarily related to the acquisition of Infergen which contributed $11,309,000 and $25,014,000 in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively. Revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2006 were $148,160,000 compared to $109,434,000 for 2005, an increase of $38,726,000 (35%).The sales for the six months ended June 30, 2006 also benefited from the full six months of Xcel products compared with only four months in 2005. The region reported increased sales in the second quarter of Efudex, Kinerase, and Cesamet, which were offset by declines in sales of Diastat and Migranal in the period, along with decreased sales of non-promoted products. Product sales in the North America region were 35% and 38% of total product sales in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, compared to 33% and 32% of total product sales for the same periods in 2005. Canadian sales benefited from the increased strength of the Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar, which contributed $918,000 and $1,407,000 to sales in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

In the International pharmaceuticals segment, revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006 were $64,471,000 compared to $54,430,000 for 2005, an increase of $10,041,000 (18%). The increase was due to the acquisition of Melleril in Brazil and an increase in sales of Bedoyecta and several other products. Revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2006 were $109,661,000 compared to $96,346,000 for 2005, an increase of $13,315,000 (14%). The impact of currency in International decreased reported revenue by $891,000 in the three months ended June 30, 2006 but increased revenue by $838,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2006.

In the EMEA pharmaceuticals segment, revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006 were $71,981,000, compared to $66,000,000 for the same period in 2005, an increase of $5,981,000 (9%). Revenues for the six months

Table of Contents

ended June 30, 2006 were $132,336,000 compared to $136,944,000 for 2005, a decrease of $4,608,000 (3%). The EMEA region reported increased sales in the second quarter of Kinerase, Librax, Solcoseryl, and Mestinon, which were offset in part by declines in Calcitonin, and Omeprazol. The impact of currency in EMEA increased reported revenue by $584,000 in the three months ended June 30, 2006 but decreased revenue by $3,185,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2006. Europe continues to be impacted by government imposed price reductions and lower sales volume of non-promoted products.

Ribavirin Royalties: Ribavirin royalties represent amounts earned under the license and supply agreements with Schering-Plough and Roche. Under a license and supply agreement, Schering-Plough licensed all oral forms of ribavirin for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C. The Company receives royalty fees from Roche under a license agreement on sale of Roche s version of ribavirin, Copegus, for use in combination with interferon alfa or pegylated interferon alfa. Ribavirin royalties from Schering-Plough and Roche for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 were $21,635,000 and $39,726,000, respectively, compared to $24,206,000 and $43,541,000 for 2005, representing decreases of $2,571,000 and $3,815,000, respectively. The decline in ribavirin royalties is primarily due to reductions in reserves against royalties in the second quarter of 2005.

Gross Profit Margin (excluding amortization): Gross profit margin on product sales decreased to 68% for the second quarter of 2005, compared to 71% for the same period in 2005. Gross profit margin on product sales for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was 68% compared to 70% for the same period in 2005. The decrease in gross profit margin is primarily due to inventory write offs, product mix, and certain manufacturing inefficiencies. Cost of goods sold in 2006 includes a provision of $367,000 and $801,000 related to employee stock options and purchase programs following the implementation of SFAS 123(R) in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006, respectively. Cost of sales included $63,000 and $125,000 of stock compensation expense in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005.

Selling Expenses: Selling expenses were $66,270,000 and $130,546,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $61,489,000 and $114,339,000 for the same periods in 2005, resulting in increases of $4,781,000 (8%) and $16,207,000 (14%), respectively. As a percent of product sales, selling expenses were 32% and 33% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, compared to 34% and 33%, respectively, for each of the same periods in 2005. The quarterly increase in selling expenses primarily reflects the additional sales force associated with the acquisition of Infergen and includes costs related to the launch of line extensions and new products. The decrease in selling expense as a percent of sales reflects the Company s efforts to target its selling efforts on responsive products, the impact of leveraging an increased level of sales, and savings from the Company s restructuring. Selling expenses in 2006 includes a provision of $860,000 and $1,713,000 related to employee stock options and purchase programs following the implementation of SFAS 123(R) in the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006, respectively. Selling expenses included stock compensation expense of $35,000 and $70,000 in the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005.

General and Administrative Expenses: General and administrative expenses were $30,667,000 and $59,113,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $26,111,000 and $50,816,000 for the same periods in 2005, resulting in increases of $4,556,000 (17%) and $8,297,000 (16%), respectively. As a percent of product sales, general and administrative expenses were 15% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to 14% and 15% for the same periods in 2005. General and administrative expense in the three months ended June 30, 2006 include $2,355,000 in damages awarded against us in the Caleel + Hayden case. General and administrative expenses include stock compensation expense of $3,068,000 and $6,601,000 in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006 following the implementation of SFAS 123(R). General and administrative expense included $481,000 and $1,006,000 of stock compensation expense in the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005.

Research and Development: Research and development expenses were $26,868,000 and $56,421,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $27,629,000 and $53,460,000 for the same periods in 2005, resulting in a decrease of $761,000 (3%) in the three-month period and an increase of $2,961,000 (6%) in the six-month period, respectively. Research and development costs are expected to decrease in 2006 due to the restructuring program. Research and development expenses include provisions of $784,000 and $1,582,000 related to employee stock options and purchase programs following the implementation of SFAS 123(R) in the three

Table of Contents

and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006, respectively. Research and development expenses included stock compensation expense of $210,000 and $451,000 in the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005.

Acquired In-Process Research and Development: In the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company incurred an expense of $126,399,000, associated with IPR&D related to the acquisition of Xcel Pharmaceuticals, Inc. The amount expensed as IPR&D represents the Company s estimate of fair value of purchased in-process technology for projects that, as of the acquisition date, had not yet reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use.

Restructuring Charges: In the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company incurred restructuring charges of $53,082,000 and $79,548,000, respectively. This program is discussed in more detail in the Company Strategy and Restructuring above. These restructuring charges comprised the write-off of costs related to assets to be abandoned, including abandoned software projects ($22,853,000), a portion of the severance costs of the employees who will be terminated in the program ($12,013,000), and impairment charges on our manufacturing sites in Puerto Rico and Switzerland ($43,690,000).

Amortization: Amortization expense was $17,514,000 and $35,037,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $17,211,000 and $31,179,000 for the same periods in 2005, resulting in increases of $303,000 (2%) and $3,858,000 (12%), respectively. The increase was primarily due to amortization of the intangible assets acquired in the Xcel and Infergen acquisitions.

Other Income (expense), Net, Including Translation and Exchange: Other income, net, including translation and exchange was $757,000 and $1,694,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, compared to losses of $2,631,000 and $4,422,000 for the same periods in 2005. In the second quarter of 2006, translation gains principally consisted of translation and exchange gains of $601,000 in International and $258,000 in EMEA. In the six months ended June 30, 2006, translation gains principally consisted of gains of $1,503,000 in International and $240,000 in EMEA.

Interest Expense, net: Interest expense net of interest income increased $1,202,000 (17%) and $2,316,000 (17%) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2005, primarily as a result of higher interest rates on variable rate debt and lower interest income as a result of lower cash and investment securities balances.

Income Taxes: The tax provisions in the second quarters of both 2006 and 2005 relate to the profits of our foreign operations, foreign withholding taxes, liabilities associated with the 1997 through 2001 IRS examination and, state and local taxes in the U.S. Our U.S. operations, which include our research and development activities, generate substantial net operating losses for US income tax reporting purposes. Since, at this time, there is insufficient objective evidence that we will generate sufficient U.S. taxable income to utilize these net operating loss benefits, a valuation allowance has been provided against the tax benefits associated with U.S. operating losses. In 2005 a significant portion of the loss relates to a charge for IPR&D associated with the Xcel acquisition that will not be deductible for tax purposes since that acquisition was structured as a stock purchase.

One of our Singapore subsidiaries has borrowed money from one of our U.S. subsidiaries. A Singapore withholding tax applies to the interest payments that accrue under this intercompany lending arrangement. The lability for these payments, which arose in 2004, 2005 and the first and second quarters of 2006, was originally recorded as a component of income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006, with no corresponding U.S. tax benefit due to valuation allowances having been established. These amounts were $206,000, $964,000, $300,000, and $307,000, respectively, and have been recorded in the periods in which they arose as part of the restatement discussed in Note 2.

In 2002, we failed to withhold U.S. federal taxes on the fees, bonus amounts and the value of stock options subject to accelerated vesting which were paid to an individual who was then a member of the board of directors, and who was a resident of Switzerland. The amount that should have been withheld is $740,000. With interest, the amount due the U.S. Government at June 30, 2006 is $920,000. The charge associated with this liability was originally recorded as a component of general and administrative expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006. As part of the restatement discussed in Note 2 this liability has been recorded in the correct prior period.

Table of Contents

Loss from Discontinued Operations, Net of Taxes: Our loss from discontinued operations was $197,000 and $409,000 for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006, respectively. These losses compare to $1,988,000 and $3,491,000 in the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005, respectively. The losses in 2006 relate to closure and wind up of our remaining administrative activities associated with the discontinued manufacturing operations in Central Europe, the last of which was disposed of in 2005. Valeant signed an agreement on July 14, 2006 to sell assets related to its former Russian pharmaceutical distribution company, OAO Pharmsnabsbyt (PSS). Proceeds from this sale will be approximately $2.0 million, with the first installment due in the third quarter of 2006 and the remaining payments to be backed by a bank guarantee. This sale will generate a gain of approximately $2 million within discontinued operations in the third quarter of 2006.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and marketable securities totaled $252,815,000 at June 30, 2006 compared to $235,066,000 at December 31, 2005. Working capital was $387,110,000 at June 30, 2006 compared to $355,505,000 at December 31, 2005. The increase in working capital of $31,605,000 was benefited by the settlement of claims with the Serbian government and further benefited by operations, partially offset by cash used in inventory purchase commitments, research and development activities, severance, and other restructuring costs.

Cash provided by operating activities is expected to be our primary source of funds in 2006. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, cash provided by operating activities totaled $50,711,000 compared to $21,276,000 in the same period in 2005, an increase of $29,435,000. The increase in cash provided by operating activities is primarily due to increases in sales and gross profits offset in part by a reduction in royalty revenues.

Cash used in investing activities was $16,971,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to $84,130,000 for 2005. In 2006 cash used in investing activities consisted primarily of capital expenditures on corporate programs and existing facilities, offset in part by cash proceeds from sales of assets, including the Warsaw manufacturing facility. In 2005, net cash used in investing activities consisted of payments for the acquisition of Xcel and various other product rights of $281,781,000 and capital expenditures of $15,021,000, partially offset by net proceeds from investments of $206,928,000 and proceeds from the sale of assets of $5,876,000.

Cash used in financing activities was $17,518,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2006 and principally consisted of dividends paid on common stock of $14,354,000. and debt retirements of $6,137,000. Cash generated from financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2005 was $176,318,000, which includes proceeds from our stock offering in connection with the Xcel acquisition of $189,030,000, partially offset by cash dividends paid on common stock of $13,650,000.

In January 2005, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with respect to $150,000,000 principal amount of its 7.0% Senior Notes due 2011. The interest rate on the swap is variable at LIBOR plus 2.41%. The effect of this transaction was to initially lower our effective interest rate by exchanging fixed rate payments for floating rate payments. On a prospective basis, the effective interest rate will float and correlate to the variable interest earned on our cash held.

We have collateral requirements on the interest rate swap agreement. The amount of collateral varies monthly depending on the fair value of the underlying swap contract. As of June 30, 2006, we have collateral of $12,200,000 comprising marketable securities and included in other assets in the accompanying balance sheet.

The restatement of our financial statements caused us to delay the filing of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. On December 12, 2006, we received a notice of default from The Bank of New York, as trustee for the holders of our 3% Convertible Notes due 2010, asserting that a default occurred under our

indenture dated as of November 19, 2003, governing the 3.0% Convertible Notes and our 4.0% Convertible Notes due 2013. The notice of default asserts that a default occurred under the indenture when we failed to timely file our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. The filing of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 within sixty days of the notice of default has cured the asserted default under the indenture.

Management believes that its existing cash and cash equivalents and funds generated from operations will be sufficient to meet its operating requirements at least through June 30, 2007, and to provide cash needed to fund

Table of Contents

capital expenditures and its clinical development program. While we have no current intent to issue additional debt or equity securities, we may seek additional debt financing or issue additional equity securities to finance future acquisitions or for other purposes. We fund our cash requirements primarily from cash provided by operating activities. Our sources of liquidity are cash and cash equivalent balances and cash flow from operations.

While we have historically paid quarterly cash dividends, there can be no assurance that we will continue to do so in the future.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not use special purpose entities or other off-balance sheet financing techniques except for operating leases disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K. Our 3% and 4% convertible subordinated notes include conversion features that are considered as off-balance sheet arrangements under SEC requirements.

Products in Development

Late Stage Development of New Chemical Entities

Viramidine (taribavirin): Viramidine is a nucleoside (guanosine) analog that is converted into ribavirin by adenosine deaminase in the liver and intestine. We are developing Viramidine (taribavirin), in oral form, for administration in combination with pegylated interferon for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C in treatment-naïve patients.

On March 21, 2006, we reported the results of the first of two pivotal Phase 3 trials for Viramidine (taribavirin). The VISER1 (VISER stands for Viramidine Safety and Efficacy Versus Ribavirin) trial included two co-primary endpoints: one for safety (superiority to ribavirin in incidence of anemia) and one for efficacy (non-inferiority to ribavirin in sustained viral response, SVR). The results of VISER1 met the safety criteria but did not meet the efficacy criteria.

The results of the study were significantly impacted by the VISER1 dosing methodology which was a fixed dose of Viramidine (taribavirin) for all patients and a variable dose of ribavirin based on a patient s weight. The results of the study indicate that the dosage of Viramidine (taribavirin), like ribavirin, likely needs to be based on a patient s weight to achieve efficacy equal or superior to that of ribavirin. VISER2, our second Phase 3 trial, concluded in May 2006. VISER2 is similar in design to VISER1 (a fixed dose of Viramidine (taribavirin) and a weight-based, variable dose of ribavirin). We locked the database in the trial as of early August and are performing the expected analysis. Once we have completed our analysis, we intend to meet with the FDA and European regulatory authorities and decide on a strategy. We will communicate these developments at a later date.

The timeline and path to regulatory approval is uncertain at this time. Further development of Viramidine (taribavirin) may require the completion of another Phase 3 trial which could add significantly to the drug s development cost and the time it takes to complete development, thereby delaying the commercial launch of Viramidine (taribavirin) and possibly weakening its position in relation to competing treatments. We will evaluate the economics of the Viramidine (taribavirin) development program and decide on its course of action by the end of the year. Our external research and development expenses for Viramidine (taribavirin) were $4,548,000 and $11,238,000 for the three and the six month periods ended June 30, 2006. For the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005, these external research and development expenses for Viramidine (taribavirin) were $8,374,000 and $18,168,000, respectively.

Retigabine: We are developing retigabine as an adjunctive treatment for partial-onset seizures in patients with epilepsy. Retigabine is believed to have a unique, dual-acting mechanism and has undergone several Phase 2 clinical trials. The Phase 2 trials included more than 600 patients in several dose-ranging studies compared to placebo. We

successfully completed an End-of-Phase 2 meeting concerning retigabine with the FDA in November 2005. The results of the key Phase 2 study indicate that the compound is potentially efficacious with a demonstrated reduction in monthly seizure rates of 23% to 35% as adjunctive therapy in patients with partial seizures. Response rates in the two higher doses were statistically significant compared to placebo ($p<0.001$).

Table of Contents

Following a Special Protocol Assessment by the FDA two Phase 3 trials of retigabine were initiated in 2005. One Phase 3 trial (RESTORE1; RESTORE stands for Retigabine Efficacy and Safety Trial for partial Onset Epilepsy) will be conducted at approximately 50 sites, mainly in the Americas (U.S., Central/South America); the second Phase 3 trial (RESTORE2) will be conducted at 60 sites, mainly in Europe. The first patient in the RESTORE1 trial was enrolled in September 2005. Enrollment of the first patient in the RESTORE2 trial occurred in December 2005. The enrollment period in epilepsy studies can be lengthy, frequently requiring twelve to eighteen months to complete. Supportive Phase 1 trials for retigabine in healthy volunteers start in 2006. Assuming successful completion of the Phase 3 trials, we expect availability of the trials results in the second half of 2007. Assuming approval by the FDA, we expect to launch retigabine in late 2008 or early 2009. For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006, the external research and development expenses for retigabine were $5,173,000 and $9,188,000, respectively. For the three month period ended June 30, 2005, the external research and development expenses for retigabine were $3,056,000. We acquired Xcel Pharmaceuticals, Inc. on March 1, 2005 and did not incur external research and development expenses for retigabine in the three months ended March 31, 2005.

Other Development Activities

Infergen: On December 30, 2005, we completed the acquisition of the United States and Canadian rights to the hepatitis C drug Infergen (interferon alfacon-1) from InterMune. Infergen, or consensus interferon, is a bio-optimized, selective and highly potent type 1 interferon alpha originally developed by Amgen and launched in the United States in 1997. It is currently indicated as monotherapy for the treatment of adult patients suffering from chronic hepatitis C viral infections with compensated liver disease who have not responded to other treatments or have relapsed after such treatment. Infergen is the only interferon with data in the label regarding use in patients following relapse or non-response to certain previous treatments.

In connection with this transaction, we acquired patent rights and rights to a clinical trial underway to expand the applications of Infergen. In the DIRECT trial (001) which started in the second quarter of 2004, 343 patients were enrolled. As of June 30, 2006, 62 patients remained in the trial. We expect to submit interim 24-week data on all patients in the first trial to an upcoming scientific meeting for communication in the last quarter of 2006. An Extension to the DIRECT trial (IHRC-002) has enrolled 144 patients and is underway for some of the patients who participated in the first trial. As of June 30, 2006, 62 patients remained in this trial. Both of the DIRECT trials are reviewed on a regular basis by an independent Data Monitoring Committee to monitor the safety of each trial. An Extension to the DIRECT trial (002) is underway for some of the patients who participated in the first trial. Post-treatment follow-up for the DIRECT trials are expected to be completed (i.e., last patient visit) in the first and third quarters of 2007, respectively. We expect to report and publish the results from these studies sometime in late 2007.

Zelapar: Zelapar was approved by the FDA on June 14, 2006 as an adjunct treatment in the management of patients with Parkinson s disease being treated with levodopa/carbidopa. Zelapar is the first Parkinson s disease treatment to use the patented Zydis® fast-dissolving technology, which allows the tablets to dissolve within seconds in the mouth and deliver more active drug at a lower dose. We launched Zelapar in the U.S. market on July 18, 2006.

Pradefovir (formerly called remofovir): Pradefovir is a compound that we licensed from Metabasis Therapeutics, Inc., or Metabasis, in October 2001. We are engaged in the development of this compound into an oral once-a-day monotherapy for patients with chronic hepatitis B infection. The active molecule in this compound exhibits anti-hepatitis B activity against both the wild type and lamivudine drug-resistant hepatitis B. We have completed Phase 1 and Phase 2 clinical trials of pradefovir. As announced in our restructuring program, we intend to out-license pradefovir before the initiation of Phase 3 clinical trials.

Foreign Operations

Approximately 70% and 75% of our revenues from continuing operations, which includes royalties, for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, were generated from operations outside the United States. All of our foreign operations are subject to risks inherent in conducting business abroad, including possible nationalization or expropriation, price and currency exchange controls, fluctuations in the relative values of currencies, political instability and restrictive governmental actions. Changes in the relative values of currencies occur from

Table of Contents

time to time and may, in some instances, materially affect our results of operations. The effect of these risks remains difficult to predict.

In January 2006, the parent company of one of our toll manufacturers in Europe filed for bankruptcy. Sales of products obtained from this manufacturer are estimated to be approximately $60 million in 2006. The manufacturer has developed a business plan to continue to successfully operate and we have developed plans to respond to a disruption should it occur. The manufacturer has submitted a proposal to emerge from the bankruptcy to the bankruptcy court and its creditors. The requisite creditors have approved the plan and the manufacturer is awaiting court approval to emerge from bankruptcy. To date, this bankruptcy has had no significant effect on our operations.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The consolidated condensed financial statements appearing elsewhere in this quarterly report have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to product returns, collectibility of receivables, inventories, intangible assets, income taxes and contingencies and litigation. The actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated condensed financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenues from product sales when title and risk of ownership transfers to the customer. Revenues are recorded net of provisions for rebates, discounts and returns, which are estimated and recorded at the time of sale. Allowances for future returns of products sold to our direct and indirect customers, who include wholesalers, retail pharmacies and hospitals, are calculated as a percent of sales based on historical return percentages taking into account additional available information on competitive products and contract changes.

Our product sales are subject to a variety of deductions, primarily representing rebates and discounts to government agencies, wholesalers and managed care organizations. These deductions represent estimates of the related obligations and, as such, judgment is required when estimating the impact of these sales deductions on revenues for a reporting period.

In the United States we record provisions for Medicaid and contract rebates based upon our actual experience ratio of rebates paid and actual prescriptions written during prior quarters. We apply the experience ratio to the respective period s sales to determine the rebate accrual and related expense. This experience ratio is evaluated regularly and adjusted if necessary to ensure that the historical trends are as current as practicable. We adjust the ratio to better match our current experience or our expected future experience, as appropriate. In developing this ratio, we consider current contract terms, such as changes in formulary status and discount rates. Because our revenues in the United States include newly acquired products and have increased significantly in the last few years, ratios based on our historical experience may not be indicative of future experience. If our ratio is not indicative of future experience, our results could be materially affected.

Outside of the United States, the majority of our rebates are contractual or legislatively mandated and our estimates are based on actual invoiced sales within each period; both of these elements help to reduce the risk of variations in the estimation process. Some European countries base their rebates on the government s unbudgeted pharmaceutical

spending and we use an estimated allocation factor against our actual invoiced sales to project the expected level of reimbursement. We obtain third party information that helps us to monitor the adequacy of these accruals. If our estimates are not indicative of actual unbudgeted spending, our results could be materially affected.

Historically, our adjustments to actual have not been material; on a quarterly basis, they generally have been less than 2% of product sales. The sensitivity of our estimates can vary by program, type of customer and geographic location. However, estimates associated with U.S. Medicaid, Medicare and contract rebates are most at-risk for material adjustment because of the extensive time delay between the recording of the accrual and its ultimate

Table of Contents

settlement. This interval can range up to one year. Because of this time lag, in any given quarter, our adjustments to actual can incorporate revisions of several prior quarters.

We record sales incentives as a reduction of revenues at the time the related revenues are recorded or when the incentive is offered, whichever is later. We estimate the cost of our sales incentives based on our historical experience with similar incentives programs.

In some markets customers have the right to return products to us under certain conditions. Historically and in the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the provision for sales returns was less than 2% of product sales. We conduct a review of the current methodology and assess the adequacy of the allowance for returns on a quarterly basis, adjusting for changes in assumptions, historical results and business practices, as necessary. We use third-party data, when available, to estimate the level of product inventories, expiration dating, and product demand at our major wholesalers. Actual results could be materially different from our estimates, resulting in future adjustments to revenue.

We earn ribavirin royalties as a result of sales of products by third-party licensees, Schering-Plough and Roche. Ribavirin royalties are earned at the time the products subject to the royalty are sold by the third party and are reduced by an estimate for discounts and rebates that will be paid in subsequent periods for those products sold during the current period. We rely on a limited amount of financial information provided by Schering-Plough and Roche to estimate the amounts due to us under the royalty agreements.

Sales Incentives

In the U.S. market, our current practice is to offer sales incentives primarily in connection with launches of new products or changes of existing products where demand has not yet been established. We monitor and restrict sales in the U.S. market in order to limit wholesaler purchases in excess of their ordinary-course-of-business inventory levels. We operate Inventory Management Agreements (IMAs) with major wholesalers in the United States. However, specific events such as the case of sales incentives described above or seasonal demand (e.g. antivirals during an outbreak) may justify larger purchases by wholesalers. We may offer sales incentives primarily in international markets, where typically no right of return exists except for goods damaged in transit, product recalls or replacement of existing products due to packaging or labeling changes. Our revenue recognition policy on these types of purchases and on incentives in international markets is consistent with the policies described above.

Income Taxes

Our income tax returns are subject to audit in various jurisdictions. Existing and future audits by, or other disputes with, tax authorities may not be resolved favorably for us and could have a material adverse effect on our reported effective tax rate and after-tax cash flows. We record liabilities for potential tax assessments based on our estimate of the potential exposure. New laws and new interpretations of laws and rulings by tax authorities may affect the liability for potential tax assessments. Due to the subjectivity and complex nature of the underlying issues, actual payments or assessments may differ from our estimates. To the extent that our estimates differ from amounts eventually assessed and paid our income and cash flows can be materially and adversely affected.

We assess whether it is more likely than not that we will realize the tax benefits associated with our deferred tax assets and establish a valuation allowance for assets that are not expected to result in a realized tax benefit. A significant amount of judgment is used in this process, including preparation of forecasts of future taxable income and evaluation of tax planning initiatives. If we revise these forecasts or determine that certain planning events will not occur, an adjustment to the valuation allowance will be made to tax expense in the period such determination is made. We have increased the valuation allowance significantly since 2004 to recognize the uncertainty of realizing the benefits of the

U.S. net operating losses and research credits.

The tax provisions in the second quarters of both 2006 and 2005 relate to the profits of our foreign operations, foreign withholding taxes, liabilities associated with the 1997 through 2001 IRS examination and, state and local taxes in the U.S. Our US operations, which include our research and development activities, generate substantial net operating losses for US income tax reporting purposes. Since, at this time, there is insufficient objective evidence that we will generate sufficient U.S. taxable income to utilize these net operating loss benefits, the tax benefits

Table of Contents

associated with U.S. operating losses have been fully reserved. Additionally, in 2005 a significant portion of the loss relates to a charge for IPR&D associated with the Xcel acquisition that is not deductible for tax purposes since that acquisition was structured as a stock purchase.

We operate in numerous countries where our income tax returns are subject to audit. Internal and external tax professionals are employed to minimize tax audit adjustments where possible. We consider the expected outcome of these audits in the calculation of our tax provision.

We assesses whether it is more likely than not that we will realize the tax benefit associated with our deferred tax assets and establish a valuation allowance for assets that are not expected to result in a realized tax benefit. A significant amount of judgment is used in this process, including preparation of forecasts of future taxable income and evaluation of tax planning initiatives. If we revise these forecasts or determine that certain planning events will not occur, an adjustment to the valuation allowance will be made to tax expense in the period such determination is made.

Impairment of Property, Plant and Equipment

We evaluate the carrying value of property, plant and equipment when conditions indicate a potential impairment. We determine whether there has been impairment by comparing the anticipated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the property, plant and equipment with its carrying value. If the undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying value, the amount of the impairment, if any, is then determined by comparing the carrying value of the property, plant and equipment with its fair value. Fair value is generally based on a discounted cash flows analysis, independent appraisals or preliminary offers from prospective buyers.

Valuation of Intangible Assets

We periodically review intangible assets for impairment using an undiscounted net cash flows approach. We determine whether there has been impairment by comparing the anticipated undiscounted future operating cash flows of the products associated with the intangible asset with its carrying value. If the undiscounted operating income is less than the carrying value, the amount of the impairment, if any, will be determined by comparing the value of each intangible asset with its fair value. Fair value is generally based on a discounted cash flows analysis.

We use a discounted cash flow model to value acquired intangible assets and for the assessment of impairment. The discounted cash flow model requires assumptions about the timing and amount of future cash inflows and outflows, risk, the cost of capital, and terminal values. Each of these factors can significantly affect the value of the intangible asset.

The estimates of future cash flows, based on reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections, require management s judgment. Any changes in key assumptions about our businesses and their prospects, or changes in market conditions, could result in an impairment charge. Some of the more significant estimates and assumptions inherent in the intangible asset impairment estimation process include: the timing and amount of projected future cash flows; the discount rate selected to measure the risks inherent in the future cash flows; and the assessment of the asset s life cycle and the competitive trends impacting the asset, including consideration of any technical, legal or regulatory trends.

Purchase Price Allocation Including Acquired In-Process Research and Development

The purchase price for the Infergen, Xcel, Amarin, and Ribapharm acquisitions were allocated to the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the acquisition date. Such a valuation requires significant estimates and assumptions, including but not limited to: determining the

timing and expected costs to complete the in-process projects; projecting regulatory approvals; estimating future cash flows from product sales resulting from completed products and in-process projects; and developing appropriate discount rates and probability rates by project. We believe the fair values assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on reasonable assumptions. However, these assumptions may be incomplete or inaccurate, and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur. Additionally, estimates for the purchase price allocations may change as subsequent information becomes available.

Table of Contents

We value IPR&D acquired in a business combination based on an approach consistent with the AICPA Practice Aid, *Assets Acquired in Business Combinations to be Used in Research and Development Activities: A Focus in Software, Electronic Devices and Pharmaceutical Industries.* The amounts expensed as acquired IPR&D represents an estimate of the fair value of purchased in-process technology for projects that, as of the acquisition date, had not yet reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use. The data used to determine fair value requires significant judgment. The estimated fair values were based on our use of a discounted cash flow model. For each project, the estimated after-tax cash flows were probability weighted to take account of the stage of completion and the risks surrounding the successful development and commercialization. The assumed tax rates are our estimate of the effective tax rates that will apply to the expected cash flows. These cash flows were then discounted to a present value using discount rates between 15% and 20%.

The major risks and uncertainties associated with the timely and successful completion of these projects include the uncertainty of our ability to confirm the safety and efficacy of product candidates based on the data from clinical trials and of obtaining necessary regulatory approvals. In addition, no assurance can be given that the underlying assumptions we used to forecast the cash flows or the timely and successful completion of these projects will materialize as estimated. For these reasons, among others, actual results may vary significantly from the estimated results.

Stock-based Compensation Expense

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, (SFAS 123(R)) which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to our employees and directors, including employee stock options and employee stock purchases related to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, based on estimated fair values. Stock-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS 123(R) for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was $10,697,000, which consisted of stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan of $9,673,000, and stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock awards and acquisitions of $1,024,000. We adopted SFAS 123(R) on a prospective basis and have not restated financial statements for prior years. Stock-based compensation expense of $1,652,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005, consisted of $600,000 for stock options and $1,052,000 related to restricted stock awards and acquisitions which the Company had been recognizing under previous accounting standards (see Notes 1 and 2 to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements). The following table shows the pro forma effect had we applied the provisions of SFAS 123(R) in 2005:

	Periods Ended June 30, 2005	
	Three months (Restated)	Six Months (Restated)
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)	
Net loss as reported	$ (924)	$ (140,683)
Stock compensation expense recorded at intrinsic value for stock incentive plans	789	1,652
Stock compensation expense determined under fair value method for stock incentive plans	(5,583)	(11,174)
Pro forma net loss	$ (5,718)	$ (150,205)

Net loss per share:					
Basic and diluted	as reported	$	(0.01)	$	(1.55)
Basic and diluted	pro forma	$	(0.06)	$	(1.66)

We estimate the value of employee stock options on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model. The determination of fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to the expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. The weighted-average estimated value of employee

Table of Contents

stock options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2006 was $5.48 determined using the Black Scholes model and the following weighted-average assumptions:

	2006
Weighted-average life (years)	4.1
Volatility	38%
Expected dividend per share	$ 0.31
Risk-free interest rate	4.88%
Weighted-average fair value of options	$ 5.48

As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statement of operations in 2006 is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures were estimated based on historical experience.

The total future compensation costs associated with employee stock options and restricted stock awards that were outstanding at June 30, 2006 is as follows:

	(Restated)
Remainder of 2006	$ 7,541
2007	7,826
2008	2,965
2009 and thereafter	740
	$ 19,072

If factors change and we employ different assumptions in the application of SFAS 123(R) in future periods, the compensation expense that we record under SFAS 123(R) may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period.

Contingencies

We are exposed to contingencies in the ordinary course of business, such as legal proceedings and business-related claims which range from product and environmental liabilities to tax matters. In addition, we may have indemnification obligations, including commitments to current and former directors in certain circumstances. In accordance, with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, we record accruals for such contingencies when it is probable that a liability will be incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. The estimates are refined each accounting period, as additional information is known. See Notes 10 and 12 of notes to consolidated condensed financial statements for a discussion of contingencies.

Other Financial Information

With respect to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial information of Valeant Pharmaceutical International for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP reported that they have

applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards for a review of such information. However, their report dated August 7, 2006, except for Note 2, which is as of January 22, 2007 appearing herein, states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that unaudited condensed consolidated financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act) for their report on the unaudited condensed consolidated financial information because that report is not a report or a part of a registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

Except for the historical information contained herein, the matters addressed in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of the words anticipates, expects, intends, plans, and variations or similar expressions. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements regarding the effects and success of our restructuring program, our products in development, the information and expectations concerning our future financial performance, business strategy, projected plans and objectives, and our estimates with respect to future operating results. These forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties, including those discussed below and elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated by our management. You should consider these in evaluating our prospects and future financial performance. In addition, the information set forth in our annual report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 and this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A describes certain additional risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to vary materially from the future results covered in such forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any of these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. We undertake no obligation to update any of these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect actual outcomes or any obligation to explain the reasons why actual results may differ.

Our actual results could differ materially from these anticipated in this report as a result of various factors, including those set forth below.

The future growth of our business depends on the development, approval, and commercialization of new products, including Viramidine (taribavirin) and retigabine. The process of developing new drugs has an inherent risk of failure. For example, product candidates may turn out to be ineffective or unsafe in clinical testing; their patent protection may become compromised; other therapies may prove safer or more effective; or the prevalence of the disease for which they are being developed may decrease. Our inability to successfully develop our products due to these or other factors could have a material adverse effect on future revenues.

We can protect our products from generic substitution by third parties only to the extent that our technologies are covered by valid and enforceable patents, are effectively maintained as trade secrets or are protected by data exclusivity. However, our pending or future patent applications may not issue as patents. Any patent issued may be challenged, invalidated, held unenforceable or circumvented. Furthermore, our patents may not be sufficiently broad to prevent third parties competing products. The expiration of patent protection for ribavirin has resulted in significant competition from generic substitutes and declining royalty revenues and may negatively impact future financial results.

Trade secret protection is less effective than patent protection because competitors may discover the technology or develop parallel technology.

The scope of protection afforded by a patent can be highly uncertain. A pending claim or a result unfavorable to us in a patent dispute may preclude development or commercialization of products or impact sales of existing products, result in cessation of royalty payments to us and/or result in payment of monetary damages.

Obtaining drug approval in the United States and other countries is costly and time consuming. Uncertainties and delays inherent in the process can preclude or delay development and commercialization of our products.

Our current business plan includes targeted expansion through acquisitions of compatible businesses and product lines and the formation of strategic alliances, joint ventures and other business combinations, in addition to the development of new products. If we are unable to successfully execute on our expansion plans to find attractive acquisition candidates at appropriate prices, and to integrate successfully any acquired companies or products, the expected growth of our business may be negatively affected.

Table of Contents

We and our competitors are always striving to develop products that are more effective, safer, more easily tolerated or less costly. If our competitors succeed in developing better alternatives to our current products before we do, we will lose sales and revenues to their alternative products. If vaccines are introduced to prevent the diseases treated by our products, our potential sales and revenues will decrease.

The pharmaceutical industry is subject to substantial government regulation, including the approval of new pharmaceutical products, labeling, advertising and, in most countries, pricing, as well as inspection and approval of manufacturing facilities. The costs of complying with these regulations are high, and failure to comply could result in fines or interruption in our business.

We collect and pay a substantial portion of our sales and expenditures in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. As a result, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates affect our operating results. Additionally, future exchange rate movements, inflation or other related factors may have a material adverse effect on our sales, gross profit or operating expenses. At June 30, 2006 we have in place foreign currency hedge transactions to reduce our exposure to variability in the Polish Zloty. We continue to evaluate the possibility of entering into additional hedge arrangements.

A significant part of our revenue is derived from products manufactured by third parties. We rely on their quality level, compliance with the FDA regulations or similar regulatory requirements enforced by regulatory agencies in other countries and continuity of supply. Any failure by them in these areas could disrupt our product supply and negatively impact our revenues.

Our flexibility in maximizing commercialization opportunities for our compounds may be limited by our obligations to Schering-Plough. In November 2000, we entered into an agreement that provides Schering-Plough with an option to acquire the rights to up to three of our products intended to treat hepatitis C that Schering-Plough designates prior to our entering Phase 2 clinical trials and a right for first/last refusal to license various compounds we may develop and elect to license to others. Viramidine (taribavirin) was not subject to the option of Schering-Plough, but it would be subject to their right of first/last refusal if we elected to license it to a third party. The interest of potential collaborators in obtaining rights to our compounds or the terms of any agreement we ultimately enter into for these rights may be hindered by our agreement with Schering-Plough.

To purchase our products, many patients rely on reimbursement by third party payors such as insurance companies, HMOs and government agencies. These third party payors are increasingly attempting to contain costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement of new drug products. The reimbursement levels established by third party payors in the future may not be sufficient for us to realize an appropriate return on our investment in product development and our continued manufacture and sale of existing drugs.

All drugs have potential harmful side effects and can expose drug manufacturers and distributors to liability. In the event one or more of our products is found to have harmed an individual or individuals, we may be responsible for paying all or substantially all damages awarded. A successful product liability claim against us could have a material negative impact on our financial position and results of operations.

Our debt agreements permit us to incur additional debt, subject to certain restrictions, but there is no guaranty that we will actually be able to borrow any money should the need for it arise.

We are involved in several legal proceedings, including those described in Notes 10 and 12 to notes to consolidated condensed financial statements, any of which could result in substantial cost and divert

management s attention and resources.

Dependence on key personnel leaves us vulnerable to a negative impact if they leave. Our continued success will depend, to a significant extent, upon the efforts and abilities of the key members of management. The loss of their services could have a negative impact on us.

Our research and development activities involve the controlled use of potentially harmful biological materials as wells as hazardous materials, chemicals and various radioactive compounds. We cannot completely eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from the use, storage, handling or

Table of Contents

disposal of these materials. In the event of contamination or injury, we could be held liable for damages that result. Any liability could exceed our resources.

Our stockholder rights plan, provisions of our certificate of incorporation and provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law could provide our Board of Directors with the ability to deter hostile takeovers or delay, deter or prevent a change in control of our company, including transactions in which stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over then current market prices.

We are authorized to issue, without stockholder approval, approximately 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, 200,000,000 shares of common stock and securities convertible into either shares of common stock or preferred stock. If we issue additional equity securities, the price of our securities may be materially and adversely affected. The Board of Directors can also use issuances of preferred or common stock to deter a hostile takeover or change in control of our company.

We are subject to a consent order with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which permanently enjoins us from violating securities laws and regulations. The consent order also precludes protection for forward-looking statements under the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to forward-looking statements we made prior to November 28, 2005. The existence of the permanent injunction under the consent order, and the lack of protection under the safe harbor with respect to forward-looking statements made prior to November 28, 2005 may limit our ability to defend against future allegations.

Table of Contents

Item 3. ***Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk***

Our business and financial results are affected by fluctuations in world financial markets. We evaluate our exposure to such risks on an ongoing basis, and seek ways to manage these risks to an acceptable level, based on management s judgment of the appropriate trade-off between risk, opportunity and cost. We do not hold any significant amount of market risk sensitive instruments whose value is subject to market price risk. Our significant foreign currency exposure relates to the Euro, the Mexican Peso, the Polish Zloty, the Swiss Franc, the Canadian Dollar, and the Japanese Yen. We seek to manage our foreign currency exposure through operational means by managing local currency revenues in relation to local currency costs. We take steps to mitigate the impact of foreign currency on the income statement, which include hedging our foreign currency exposure.

In the normal course of business, we also face risks that are either non-financial or non-quantifiable. Such risks principally include country risk, credit risk and legal risk and are not discussed or quantified in the following analysis. At June 30, 2006, the fair values of the Company s financial instruments were as follows (in thousands):

Description	Notional/ Contract Amount	Assets (Liabilities) Carrying Value	Fair Value
Forward contracts	$ 45,376	$ (2,698)	$ (2,698)
Interest rate swaps	150,000	(9,092)	(9,092)
Outstanding fixed-rate debt	780,000	(780,000)	(712,000)

We currently do not hold financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. Our financial assets are not subject to significant interest rate risk due to their short duration. At June 30, 2006, we had $7,153,000 of foreign denominated variable rate debt that would subject it to both interest rate and currency risks. A 100 basis-point increase in interest rates affecting our financial instruments would not have had a material effect on our second quarter 2006 pretax earnings. In addition, we have $780,000,000 of fixed rate debt as of June 30, 2006, that requires U.S. dollar repayment. To the extent that we require, as a source of debt repayment, earnings and cash flow from some of our subsidiary units located in foreign countries, we are subject to risk of changes in the value of certain currencies relative to the U.S. dollar. However, the increase of 100 basis-points in interest rates would have reduced the fair value of our remaining fixed-rate debt instruments by approximately $32,600,000 as of June 30, 2006.

We estimated the sensitivity of the fair value of our derivative foreign exchange contracts to a hypothetical 10% strengthening and 10% weakening of the spot exchange rates for the U.S. dollar against the Zloty at June 30, 2006. The analysis showed that a 10% strengthening of the U.S. dollar would have resulted in a gain from a fair value change of $4,423,000 and a 10% weakening of the U.S. dollar would have resulted in a loss from a fair value change of $5,406,000 in these instruments. Losses and gains on the underlying transactions being hedged would have largely offset any gains and losses on the fair value of derivative contracts. These offsetting gains and losses are not reflected in the above analysis.

Table of Contents

Item 4. ***Controls and Procedures***

As disclosed in the Note 2 of this Form 10-Q/A, we announced on September 11, 2006 that a Special Committee consisting solely of independent members of the board of directors had been formed to conduct an internal review of our historic stock option practices and related accounting.

The Special Committee, with the assistance of outside legal counsel, undertook a comprehensive review of the stock option grants to our officers, directors and employees from 1982 to July 2006 under our various stock option plans in effect during this period. The Special Committee has concluded its investigation and has reported its findings to our board of directors. On October 20, 2006, our board of directors concluded that our consolidated financial statements should be restated to record the additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense items and certain other items that had been incorrectly accounted for under GAAP.

The Special Committee analyzed in detail stock option grants awarded between November 1994 and July 2006 and analyzed supporting documentation for awards granted between 1982 and 1994. For the period between November 1994 and July 2006, the Special Committee s analysis included an extensive review of paper and electronic documents supporting or related to our stock option grants, the accounting for or impacted by those grants, compensation-related financial and securities disclosures and e-mail communications as well as interviews with numerous current and former employees and current and former members of our board of directors. While the Special Committee concluded that there were some errors as late as January 2006, the majority of errors in accounting for options pertain to those options granted prior to the change in our board of directors and management in mid-2002 (Change in Control). None of the errors occurring in periods after the Change in Control related to options granted to the chief executive officer (CEO), chief financial officer (CFO), or members of our board of directors.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

At the time that our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 was filed on March 15, 2006, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2005. Subsequent to that evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at a reasonable level of assurance as of December 31, 2005 because of the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting discussed below. Notwithstanding the material weakness described below, our management has concluded that our consolidated condensed financial statements included in this quarterly report have been properly prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC.

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

As of December 31, 2005, we did not maintain effective controls over the accounting for and disclosure of stock-based compensation expense. Specifically, effective controls, including monitoring, were not maintained to ensure the accuracy and valuation of our stock-based compensation transactions related to the granting of our stock options. This control deficiency resulted in the misstatement of stock-based compensation expense and additional paid-in capital accounts and related financial disclosures, and in the restatement of our consolidated financial statements for the years 2005, 2004, and 2003, each of the quarters of 2005 and 2004, and the first two quarters of 2006. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in misstatements of the aforementioned accounts and disclosures that would result in a material misstatement of the annual or interim consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, our management has determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting.

Remediation Plan

Subsequent to the initiation of our investigation into our stock option granting practices in September 2006, we considered the effectiveness of both the design and operation of our internal control over financial reporting as they relate to the granting of stock-based compensation. We implemented several improvements during the fourth quarter of 2006. In particular, we developed and implemented specific procedures and controls to ensure compensation committee approval of the final specific awards to all individual recipients at the time of the compensation committee meeting. As of December 31, 2006, management has implemented these additional procedures and controls. Additionally, we have evaluated the design of these new controls, which have been placed into operation for a sufficient period of time. We will test their operating effectiveness in connection with our assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. We believe that the controls that have been implemented have improved the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the most recently completed fiscal quarter as discussed in the Remediation Plan section above that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. *Legal Proceedings*

See Note 10 of notes to consolidated condensed financial statements in Item 1 of Part I of this quarterly report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. *Risk Factors*

Our annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005 includes a detailed discussion of our risk factors. Pursuant to the instructions to Form 10-Q, we have provided below only those risk factors that are new or that have been materially amended since the time that we filed our most recent annual report on Form 10-K/A. Accordingly, the information presented below should be read in conjunction with the risk factors and information disclosed in our most recent Form 10-K/A and the other risks described in this Form 10-Q/A.

If we do not realize the expected benefits from the restructuring plan we announced in April 2006, our operating results and financial conditions would be negatively impacted.

In April 2006, we announced a strategic restructuring of our company designed to focus our resources on programs and products that have the greatest opportunity for success. Accordingly, we elected to rationalize certain of our assets, including our discovery program and certain manufacturing facilities. We have sold and out licensed pradefovir and certain discovery programs, and any future compensation relating thereto is contingent upon the transferee s successful development of the applicable product and/or program. Such success is subject to the risks inherent in developing and obtaining approval for pharmaceutical products. Accordingly, it is possible that we may not receive any financial benefit from the sale or out license of these assets. In addition, if we are unable to realize the expected operational efficiencies from our restructuring plan, our operating results and financial condition would be adversely affected.

If we or our third-party manufacturers are unable to manufacture our products or the manufacturing process is interrupted due to failure to comply with regulations or for other reasons, the manufacture of our products could be interrupted.

We manufacture and have contracted with third parties to manufacture some of our drug products, including products under the rights acquired from other pharmaceutical companies. Manufacturers are required to adhere to current good manufacturing (cGMP) regulations enforced by the FDA or similar regulations required by regulatory agencies in other countries. Compliance with the FDA s cGMP requirements applies to both drug products seeking regulatory approval and to approved drug products. Our manufacturing facilities and those of our contract manufacturers must be inspected and found to be in full compliance with cGMP standards before approval for marketing. We and contract manufacturers of our approved products are subject to ongoing regulation by the FDA, including compliance with cGMP requirements, and to similar regulatory requirements enforced by regulatory agencies in other countries.

Our dependence upon others to manufacture our products may adversely affect our profit margins and our ability to develop and obtain approval for our products on a timely and competitive basis, if at all. Our failure or that of our contract manufacturers to comply with cGMP regulations or similar regulations outside of the United States can result in enforcement action by the FDA or its foreign counterparts, including, among other things, warning letters, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, refusal of the government to renew marketing applications and criminal prosecution. In addition, delays or difficulties with our

contract manufacturers in producing, packaging, or distributing our products could adversely affect the sales of our current products or introduction of other products.

Schering-Plough manufactures and sells ribavirin under license from us. In May 2002, Schering-Plough signed a consent decree of permanent injunction with the FDA, agreeing to measures to assure that the drug products manufactured at their Puerto Rico plant are made in compliance with FDA s current good manufacturing practice regulations. While Schering-Plough has advised us that the deficiencies were not specifically applicable to the production of ribavirin, the consent decree covers the facility producing ribavirin. Schering-Plough s ability to

Table of Contents

manufacture and ship ribavirin could be affected by temporary interruption of some production lines to install system upgrades and further enhance compliance, and other technical production and equipment qualification issues. If the FDA is not satisfied with Schering-Plough s compliance under the consent decree, the FDA could take further regulatory actions against Schering-Plough, including the seizure of products, an injunction against further manufacture, a product recall or other actions that could interrupt production of ribavirin. Interruption of ribavirin production for a sustained period of time could materially reduce our royalty revenue.

In addition to regulatory compliance risks, our contract manufacturers in the United States and in other countries are subject to a wide range of business risks, such as seizure of assets by governmental authorities, natural disasters, and domestic and international economic conditions. Were any of our contract manufacturers not able to manufacture our products because of regulatory, business or any other reasons, the manufacture of our products would be interrupted. This could have a negative impact on our sales, financial condition and competitive position. In January 2006, the parent company of one of our toll manufacturers in Europe filed for bankruptcy. Sales of products obtained from this manufacturer are estimated to be approximately $60 million in 2006. Although the manufacturer has received court approval to emerge from bankruptcy and we have developed plans to respond to a disruption in supply by this manufacturer, there can be no assurance that, should a disruption in supply occur, we will be able to respond in time with alternative sources of supply or have sufficient levels of inventory to prevent a material negative impact on revenues. In addition, we cannot assure you that the supplier will be able to meet our supply needs after it emerges from bankruptcy.

The matters relating to the Special Committee s review of our historical stock option granting practices and the restatement of our consolidated financial statements have resulted in increased litigation and regulatory proceedings against us and could have a material adverse effect on us.

In September 2006, our board of directors appointed a Special Committee, which consists solely of independent directors, to conduct a review of our historical stock option granting practices and related accounting during the period from 1982 through July 2006. As described in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements, Special Committee and Company Findings, the Special Committee has identified a number of occasions on which the exercise prices for stock options granted to certain of our directors, officers, and employees were set using closing prices for our common stock with dates different than the actual grant approval dates, resulting in additional compensation charges. To correct these and other accounting errors, we have amended the 2005 10-K and our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006 to restate the consolidated financial statements contained in those reports. The review of our historical stock option granting practices and the related accounting, as well as the resulting restatements, have required us to incur substantial expenses for legal, accounting, tax and other professional services and have diverted our management s attention from our business and could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our historical stock option granting practices and the restatement of our prior financial statements have exposed us to greater risks associated with litigation and regulatory proceedings. We are a named defendant in two shareholder derivative lawsuits pending in the state court in Orange County, California, which assert claims related to our historic stock option practices. In addition, the SEC has opened an informal inquiry into our historical stock option grant practices. We cannot assure you that this current litigation, the SEC inquiry or any future litigation or regulatory action will result in the same conclusions reached by the Special Committee. The conduct and resolution of these matters will be time consuming, expensive and distracting from the conduct of our business. Furthermore, if we are subject to adverse findings in any of these matters, we could be required to pay damages or penalties or have other remedies imposed upon us which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The delay in filing the quarterly report on Form 10-Q may increase the resources to file registration statements.

As a result of our delayed filing of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we will be ineligible to register our securities on Form S-3 for sale by us or resale by others until we have timely filed all material required to be filed pursuant to Section 13, 14, or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act

Table of Contents

of 1934 for a period of least 12 calendar months. We may use other registration statement forms to raise capital or complete acquisitions, but such use would increase our transaction costs and may adversely impact our ability to raise capital or complete acquisitions of other companies in a timely manner.

The pending SEC inquiry could adversely affect our business and the trading price of our securities.

In July 2006, we were contacted by the SEC, with respect to an informal inquiry regarding events and circumstances surrounding trading in our common stock and the public release of data from our first pivotal Phase 3 trial for Viramidine® (taribavirin). In addition, the SEC later requested data regarding our stock option grants since January 1, 2000 and information about our pursuit in the Delaware Chancery Court of the return of certain bonuses paid to Milan Panic, the former chairman and chief executive officer, and others. In September 2006, our board of directors established the Special Committee to review our historical stock option practices and related accounting, and informed the SEC of these efforts. We have cooperated fully and will continue to cooperate with the SEC on its informal inquiry. We cannot predict the outcome of the inquiry. In the event that the inquiry leads to SEC action against any current or former officer or director, our business (including our ability to complete financing transactions) and the trading price of our securities may be adversely impacted. In addition, if the SEC inquiry continues for a prolonged period of time, it may have an adverse impact on our business or the trading price of our securities regardless of the ultimate outcome of the investigation. In addition, the SEC inquiry has resulted in the incurrence of significant legal expenses and the diversion of management s attention from our business, and this may continue, or increase, until the inquiry is concluded.

Item 5. ***Other Information***

See Note 3, Restructuring, of notes to consolidated condensed financial statements in Item 1 of Part I of this quarterly report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 6. ***Exhibits***

(a) Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1	Valeant Pharmaceuticals International Executive Incentive Plan, previously described in Item 1.01 of Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2006, which is incorporated herein by reference.
10.2	Valeant Pharmaceuticals International 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 25, 2006, which is incorporated herein by reference.
10.3	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement under the 2003 Equity Incentive Plan, previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 27, 2006, which is incorporated herein by reference.
15.1	Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
15.2	Awareness Letter of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
23	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Financial Reports pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. § 1350.

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Valeant Pharmaceuticals International
Registrant

/s/ Timothy C. Tyson

Timothy C. Tyson
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: January 30, 2007

/s/ Bary G. Bailey

Bary G. Bailey
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: January 30, 2007

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1	Valeant Pharmaceuticals International Executive Incentive Plan, previously described in Item 1.01 of Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2006, which is incorporated herein by reference.
10.2	Valeant Pharmaceuticals International 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 25, 2006, which is incorporated herein by reference.
10.3	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement under the 2003 Equity Incentive Plan, previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 27, 2006, which is incorporated herein by reference.
15.1	Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
15.2	Awareness Letter of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
23	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Financial Reports pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. § 1350.

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.