DYCOM INDUSTRIES INC Form 10-K September 11, 2006

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One) b

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 0-5423

Dycom Industries, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Florida

(State of incorporation) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

11770 US Highway 1, Suite 101. 33408

59-1277135

(Zip Code)

Palm Beach Gardens, Florida

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code (561) 627-7171 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock, par value \$0.33¹/3 per share

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined by Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer b Accelerated Filer o Non-Accelerated Filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of the Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

The aggregate market value of the common stock, par value \$0.33¹/3 per share, held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the closing price of such stock on January 28, 2006 was \$981,998,144.

There were 40,613,758 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.33\(^{1}\)/3 outstanding at September 5, 2006.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The definitive proxy statement relating to the Registrant s Annual Meeting of shareholders, to be held on November 21, 2006, is incorporated by reference in Part II and Part III to the extent described herein.

Dycom Industries, Inc. Table of Contents

PART I

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	8
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	12
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	12
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	12
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	12
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer	
	Purchases of Equity Securities	13
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	13
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of	
	<u>Operation</u>	15
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	36
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial	
	<u>Disclosures</u>	75
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	75
Item 9B.	Other Information	77
	PART III	
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	77
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	78
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	
	Stockholder Matters	78
<u>Item 13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	78
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	78
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	79
<u>Signatures</u>		82
EX-21.1 Subsidiaries		
EX-23.1 Consent of Deloitte EX-31.1 Section 302 Certifi		
EX-31.2 Section 302 Certifi		
EX-32.1 Section 906 Certifi	cation of CEO	
EX-32.2 Section 906 Certifi	cation of CFO	

2

PART I

Item 1. Business Overview

Dycom Industries, Inc., founded in 1969, is a leading provider of specialty contracting services. These services are provided throughout the United States and include engineering, construction, maintenance and installation services to telecommunications providers, underground locating services to various utilities including telecommunications providers, and other construction and maintenance services to electric utilities and others. Additionally, we provide services on a limited basis in Canada. For the year ended July 29, 2006, specialty contracting services related to the telecommunications industry, underground utility locating, and other construction and maintenance services to electric utilities and others contributed approximately 72.9%, 21.3%, and 5.8%, respectively, to our total revenues.

We have established relationships with many leading telephone companies, cable television multiple system operators, and electric utility companies. These companies include BellSouth Corporation (BellSouth), Verizon Communications Inc. (Verizon), Comcast Cable Corporation (Comcast), Embarq Corp. (Embarq), Charter Communications, Inc. (Charter), Qwest Communications International, Inc. (Qwest), Windstream Corporation (Windstream), Time Warner Inc. (Time Warner), AT&T Inc. (AT&T), and Citizens Communications Company (Citizen).

Specialty Contracting Services

Telecommunications Services

Engineering. We provide outside plant engineers and drafters to telecommunication providers. These personnel design aerial, underground and buried fiber optic, copper, and coaxial cable systems that extend from the telephone company central office, or cable operator headend, to the consumer s home or business. The engineering services we provide to telephone companies include: the design of service area concept boxes, terminals, buried and aerial drops, transmission and central office equipment, the proper administration of feeder and distribution cable pairs, and fiber cable routing and design. For cable television multiple system operators, we perform make-ready studies, strand mapping, field walk-out, computer-aided radio frequency design and drafting, and fiber cable routing and design. We obtain rights of way and permits in support of our engineering activities and those of others, and provide construction management and inspection personnel in conjunction with engineering services or on a stand-alone basis.

Construction, Maintenance, and Installation. We place and splice fiber, copper, and coaxial cables. In addition, we excavate trenches in which to place these cables; place related structures such as poles, anchors, conduits, manholes, cabinets and closures; place drop lines from main distribution lines to the customer shome or business; and maintain and remove these facilities. These services are provided to both telephone companies and cable television multiple system operators in connection with the deployment of new networks and the expansion or maintenance of existing networks. For cable television multiple system operators, our services also include the installation and maintenance of customer premise equipment, including set top boxes and cable modems.

Premise Wiring. Premise wiring services are provided to various corporations and state and local governments. These services are predominantly limited to the installation, repair and maintenance of telecommunications infrastructure within improved structures.

Underground Utility Locating Services

We provide underground utility locating services to a variety of utility companies including telecommunication providers. Under various state laws, excavators are required, prior to excavating, to request from utility companies the location of their underground facilities in order to prevent utility network outages and to safeguard the general public from the consequences of damages to underground utilities. Utilities are required to respond to these requests to mark underground and buried facilities within specified time periods. Our

Table of Contents

underground utility locating services include locating telephone, cable television, power and gas lines for these utility companies.

Electric Utilities and Other Construction and Maintenance Services

We perform construction and maintenance services for electric utilities and others. We perform these services primarily on a stand-alone basis which typically includes installing and maintaining overhead and underground power distribution lines. In addition, we periodically provide these services for the combined projects of telecommunication providers and electric utility companies, primarily in joint trenching situations, in which services are being delivered to new housing subdivisions. We also maintain and install underground natural gas transmission and distribution systems for gas companies.

Revenues by Type of Customer

The following table represents revenues by type of customer for fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004:

	July 29, 2006	July 30, 2005	July 31, 2004
Telecommunications	72.9%	74.3%	78.0%
Utility line locating	21.3	21.6	18.1
Electric utilities and other construction and maintenance	5.8	4.1	3.9

100.0%

Fiscal Year Ended

100.0%

100.0%

Business Strategy

Total

Capitalize on Long-Term Growth Drivers. We are well positioned to benefit from the increased demand for reliable video, voice, and data services. As telecommunications networks experience increased demand for services, our customers must continually expand the capacity, and improve the performance, of their existing networks and, in certain instances, deploy new networks. This is increasingly important as the service offerings of the telephone and cable industries converge, with each beginning to offer reliable, competitively priced voice, video, and data services to consumers. Due to the declining cost and expanding capabilities of telecommunications equipment, telecommunications network operators are more cost effectively able to make enhancements to their network infrastructure in order to provide these services. As a result of these factors, the networks of our customers increasingly face demands for more capacity and greater reliability, which in turn, increases the needs of our customers for the services we provide.

Selectively Increase Market Share. We believe our reputation for high quality service and our ability to provide services nationally create opportunities for expanding our market share. We believe that our decentralized operating structure and numerous points of contact within customer organizations position us favorably to win new opportunities with existing customers. In an environment of increasing customer demand, our significant financial resources enable us to aggressively address larger opportunities which some of our relatively capital constrained competition may be unable to do. However, we will not increase market share by pursuing unprofitable work.

Pursue Disciplined Financial and Operating Strategies. We manage the financial aspects of our business by centralizing certain activities which allow us to reduce costs through leveraging our scope and scale while sustaining and enhancing our control environment. We continue to centrally maintain functions such as treasury, tax and risk management, the requisition and approval of capital equipment procurements, the design and purchase of employee benefit plans, as well as the review and promulgation of best practices in other aspects of our operations. Concurrently, we decentralize the recording of transactions and the financial reporting necessary for timely operational decisions. We believe this approach creates and requires greater accountability for business outcomes from our local decision makers. We also maintain a decentralized approach to marketing, operations, and ongoing

customer service, empowering local managers to capture new business and execute contracts on a timely and cost-effective basis. We believe this approach enables us to

4

Table of Contents

utilize our capital resources effectively and efficiently, while retaining the organizational agility necessary to compete with our predominantly small, privately held local competitors.

Pursue Selective Acquisitions. We selectively pursue acquisitions when we believe doing so is operationally and financially beneficial, although we do not rely solely on acquisitions for growth. In particular, we pursue those acquisitions that provide us with incremental revenue and geographic diversification while complementing our existing operations. We generally target for acquisition companies that have defendable leadership positions in their market niches; profitability which meets or exceeds industry averages; proven operating histories; sound management; and certain clearly identifiable cost synergies.

Customer Relationships

Our current customers include leading telephone companies such as BellSouth, Verizon, Embarq, Qwest, Windstream, AT&T, and Citizens Communications Company. We also provide telecommunications engineering, construction and maintenance services to a number of cable television multiple system operators, including Comcast, Charter, Cablevision Systems Corporation, Insight Communications Company, Inc., Mediacom Communications Corporation, and Time Warner. Premise wiring services are provided to various corporations and state and local governments. Utility locating services are provided to a variety of utility companies, including TXU Corp. and Atlanta Gas Light Company, and telecommunication providers.

Our customer base is highly concentrated with our top five customers in fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004 accounting for approximately 61%, 64%, and 64%, respectively, of our total revenues. During fiscal 2006, approximately 21.2% of our total revenues were derived from Bellsouth, 18.6% from Verizon, and 8.4% from Comcast. We believe that a substantial portion of our total revenues and operating income will continue to be derived from a concentrated group of customers.

A significant portion of our services are covered by multi-year master service agreements. We are currently a party to over 250 of these agreements. Master service agreements generally are for contract periods of one or more years and contain customer specified service requirements, such as discrete unit pricing for individual tasks. To the extent that such contracts specify exclusivity, there are often a number of exceptions, including the ability by the customer to issue to others work orders valued above a specified dollar limit, the self-performance of the work by the customer s in house workforce if available, and the ability to use others when jointly placing facilities with another utility. Each agreement contemplates hundreds of individual construction and maintenance projects generally valued at less than \$10,000 each. In most cases, a customer may terminate these agreements for convenience with written notice.

A customer s decision to engage us with respect to a specific construction or maintenance project is often made by local customer management working with our subsidiaries. As a result, although our project work is concentrated among relatively few customers, our relationships with these customers are generally broad and extend deeply into their organizations. Historically, master service agreements have been awarded primarily through a competitive bidding process; however, recently we have been able to extend some of these agreements on negotiated terms. We also enter into both long-term and short-term single project contracts with our customers.

Our markets are served locally by dedicated and experienced management personnel. Management of our subsidiaries possesses intimate knowledge of their particular markets and we believe our decentralized operations allow us to be more responsive in addressing regional customer needs. Our sales and marketing efforts are the responsibility of our management and that of our subsidiaries. These marketing efforts tend to focus on personal contacts with managers within our customer s organizations.

Backlog

Our backlog is comprised of the uncompleted portion of services to be performed under job-specific contracts and the estimated value of future services that we expect to provide under long-term requirements contracts, including master service agreements. In many instances our customers are not contractually committed to specific volumes of services under a contract. Our backlog totaled \$1.425 billion and \$1.137 billion at July 29, 2006 and July 30, 2005, respectively. We expect to complete 53% of the July 29,

5

Table of Contents

2006 backlog during fiscal 2007. Many of our contracts are multi-year agreements, and we include in our backlog the amount of services projected to be performed over the terms of the contracts based on our historical relationships with customers and our experience in procurements of this nature. For certain multi-year projects relating to fiber deployments for one of our significant customers, we have included in the July 29, 2006 backlog amounts relating to anticipated work through the remainder of calendar years 2006 and 2007. With respect to these projects, in the July 30, 2005 backlog, we included only those amounts for work through the remainder of calendar 2005. These fiber deployment projects, when initially installed, are not required for the day-to-day provision of services by that customer. Consequently, the fiber deployment projects of this customer have been subject to more uncertainty, as compared to those of our other customers, with regards to activity levels. We have taken our current approach to the backlog for these fiber deployment projects as a result of the customer s expressed continued commitment to the program and having agreed to pricing through calendar year 2007. Our estimates of a customer s requirements during a particular future period may not be accurate at any point in time.

Safety and Risk Management

We are committed to ensuring that our employees perform their work safely. We regularly communicate with our employees to reinforce that commitment and to instill safe work habits. The safety directors of our subsidiaries review all accidents and claims for our operations, examine trends and implement changes in procedures to address safety issues. Claims arising in our business generally include workers—compensation claims, various general liability and damage claims, and claims related to vehicle accidents, including personal injury and property damage. We self insure against the risk of loss arising from our operations to certain deductible limits in the significant majority of the states in which we operate. We also retain risk of loss, up to certain limits, under our self-insured employee health plan.

We carefully monitor claims and actively participate with our insurers in determining claims estimates and adjustments. We accrue the estimated costs of self-insured claims and the related processing costs as liabilities, including estimates for claims incurred but not reported. Due to fluctuations in our loss experience from year to year, insurance accruals have varied and affected operating margins. If we experience insurance claims in excess of our umbrella coverage limit, our business could be materially and adversely affected. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Competition

The specialty contracting services industry in which we operate is highly fragmented. The industry is characterized by a large number of participants, including several large companies as well as a significant number of small, privately held, local competitors. We also face competition from the in-house service organizations of our existing or prospective customers, particularly telecommunications providers that employ personnel who perform some of the same types of services that we provide. Although a significant portion of these services is currently outsourced and we have been performing specialty contracting services for over 20 years, our existing or prospective customers may elect to discontinue outsourcing specialty contracting services in the future. In addition, there are relatively few barriers to entry into the markets in which we operate. As a result, any organization that has adequate financial resources and access to technical expertise may become a competitor.

A significant portion of our revenue is currently derived from master service agreements and price is often an important factor in the award of such agreements. Accordingly, we may be underbid by our competitors if they elect to discount their services to procure such business. Our competitors may develop the expertise, experience and resources to provide services that are equal or superior in both price and quality to our services, and we may not be able to maintain or enhance our competitive position.

We believe that the principal competitive factors for our services include geographic presence, breadth of service offerings, worker and general public safety, price and quality of service. We believe that we compete favorably with our competitors on the basis of these factors.

6

Table of Contents

Employees

As of July 29, 2006, we employed 9,352 persons. Approximately 121 of our employees are represented by a local collective bargaining unit. The number of our employees varies according to the level of our work in progress. We maintain a nucleus of technical and managerial personnel to supervise all projects and add employees as needed to complete specific projects. We also utilize the services of subcontractors to assist with projects on a regular basis.

Materials and Subcontractors

For the majority of our contracts, our customers provide all necessary materials and we provide the personnel, tools, and equipment to perform installation and maintenance services. The customer determines the specifications of the materials and we are only responsible for the performance of the required services. Customer provided materials are not included in revenue and cost of sales as the customer retains the financial and performance risk associated with those materials. In contracts for which we are required to supply part or all of the materials, we are not dependent upon any one source for the materials that we customarily use to complete the job. We do not manufacture any significant amounts of material for resale. We are not presently experiencing, nor do we anticipate experiencing, any difficulties in procuring an adequate supply of materials.

We use independent contractors to perform portions of the services that we provide. These independent contractors typically are small, locally owned companies or sole proprietors. Independent contractors provide their own employees, vehicles, tools, and insurance coverage. We are not dependent on any single independent contractor. We use independent contractors to help manage our work flow and reduce the amount that we may otherwise be required to spend on fixed assets.

Seasonality

Our revenues are affected by seasonality as most of our work is performed outdoors. As a result, our operations are impacted by extended periods of inclement weather. Generally, inclement weather is more likely to occur during the winter season which falls during our second and third fiscal quarters. In addition, a disproportionate percentage of total paid holidays fall within our second fiscal quarter, which impacts the number of available workdays and paid holiday expense.

Environmental Matters

A significant portion of the work we do is performed underground. As a result, we are potentially subject to material liabilities related to encountering underground objects which may cause the release of hazardous materials or substances. Additionally, the environmental laws and regulations which relate to our business include those regarding the removal and remediation of hazardous substances and waste. These laws and regulations can impose significant fines and criminal sanctions for violations. Costs associated with the discharge of hazardous materials or substances may include clean-up costs and related damages or liabilities. These costs could be significant and could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.

Available Information

We maintain a website at www.dycomind.com where investors and other interested parties may access, free of charge, a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to these reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as is reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). All references to www.dycomind.com in this report are inactive textual references only and that information on our website is not incorporated into this Form 10-K. Our reports filed with the SEC may be read or copied at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the SEC s Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Alternatively, you may access these reports at the Securities and Exchange Commission s website at www.sec.gov.

7

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the risks described below, together with all of the other information in this Form 10-K. If any of the following risks actually occur, or other risks not presently known to us or that we do not currently believe to be significant do develop and occur, our financial condition and results of operations could suffer and the trading price of our common stock could decline.

Demand for our services is cyclical, dependent in large part on the telecommunications industry and could be adversely affected by an economic slowdown. Demand for our services has been, and will likely continue to be, cyclical in nature and vulnerable to general downturns in the U.S. economy as well as downturns in the telecommunications industry. In fiscal 2006, our telecommunications customers accounted for 72.9% of our revenues. In fiscal 2002 and the first half of fiscal 2003, certain segments of the telecommunications industry suffered a severe downturn that resulted in a number of our customers experiencing financial difficulties. Several of our customers filed for bankruptcy protection, including Adelphia and WorldCom. Additional bankruptcies or financial difficulties of companies in the telecommunications sector could reduce our cash flows and adversely impact our liquidity and profitability. During times of economic slowdown, the customers in the industries we serve often reduce their capital expenditures and defer or cancel pending infrastructure projects. Such developments occur even among customers that are not experiencing financial difficulties. Future economic slowdowns in the industries we serve may impair the financial condition of some of our customers, which may cause them to reduce their capital expenditures and demand for our specialty contracting services and may hinder their ability to pay us on a timely basis or at all.

We derive a significant portion of our revenues from master service agreements which may be cancelled. We may be unsuccessful in replacing these agreements as they are completed or expire. We currently derive approximately 64% of our revenues from master service agreements. By their terms, the majority of these contracts may be cancelled by our customers upon short notice, even if we are not in default under these agreements. In addition, projected expenditures by customers under these agreements are not assured until such time as a definitive work order is placed and completed. If a significant customer cancels its master services agreement with us and we were unable to replace the agreement on substantially similar terms, our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity could be adversely affected. Recently we have been able to extend some of these agreements on negotiated terms. Market conditions could change, however, and we may not be able to continue to obtain or extend master services agreements through negotiation, and may be underbid by competitors in an ensuing competitive bidding process. The loss of work obtained through master service agreements or the inability to replace these agreements could adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity.

The industries we serve are subject to rapid technological and structural changes that could reduce the need for our services and adversely affect our revenues. The telecommunications industry is characterized by rapid technological change, evolving industry standards and changing customer needs. We generate a significant portion of our revenues from customers in the telecommunications industry. New technology or upgrades to existing technology available to our customers or to our customers—competitors could reduce the need for our services and adversely affect our revenues and profitability. New or developing services, such as wireless applications, could displace the wireline systems used by our customers to deliver services to consumers. In addition, improvements in existing technology may allow telecommunication companies to improve their networks without physically upgrading them. Additionally, consolidations, mergers and acquisitions in the telecommunications industry have occurred in the past and may occur in the future. These consolidations, mergers and acquisitions may cause the loss of one or more of our customers. Reduced demand for our services or a loss of a significant customer could adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity.

We derive a significant portion of our revenues from a few customers, and the loss of one or more of these customers could adversely impact our revenues and profitability. Our customer base is highly concentrated, with our top five customers in fiscal years 2006, 2005, and 2004 accounting for approximately 61%, 64%, and 64% of our total revenues, respectively. Our revenue could significantly decline if we lose one or more of our significant customers. In addition, revenues under our contracts with significant customers may vary from

Table of Contents 11

8

Table of Contents

period-to-period depending on the timing and volume of work which such customers order in a given period and as a result of competition from the in-house service organizations of our customers. Reduced demand for our services or a loss of a significant customer could adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity.

We operate in a highly competitive industry. The specialty contracting services industry in which we operate is highly competitive. We compete with other independent contractors, including several that are large domestic companies that may have financial, technical and marketing resources that exceed our own. Our competitors may develop the expertise, experience and resources to provide services that are equal or superior in both price and quality to our services, and we may not be able to maintain or enhance our competitive position. We may also face competition from the in-house service organizations of our existing or prospective customers, particularly telecommunications providers, which employ personnel who perform some of the same types of services as we provide. Although our customers currently outsource a significant portion of these services to us and our competitors, we can offer no assurance that our existing or prospective customers will continue to outsource specialty contracting services to us in the future. In addition, there are relatively few barriers to entry into the markets in which we operate and, as a result, any organization with adequate financial resources and access to technical expertise may become a competitor.

Our profitability is based on our ability to deliver our services within the costs and estimates used to establish the pricing of our contracts. Most of our long-term contracts are based on units of delivery, and we recognize revenue as the unit of delivery is completed. As the price for each of the units is fixed by the contract, our profitability could decline if our actual costs to complete each unit exceeds our original estimates. Revenue from other contracts is recognized using cost-to-cost measures of the percentage of completion method and is based on the ratio of contract costs incurred to date to total estimated contract costs. Application of the percentage of completion method of accounting requires that our management estimate the costs to be incurred by us in performing the contract. Our process for estimating costs is based upon the professional knowledge and experience of our project managers and financial professionals. However, any changes in original estimates, or the assumptions underpinning such estimates, may result in revisions to costs and income and their effects would be recognized in the period during which such revisions were determined. These changes could result in a reduction or elimination of previously reported profits, which could adversely affect our profitability and the price of our common stock.

We have a significant amount of accounts receivable and costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings assets. We extend credit to our customers, which include telephone companies, cable television multiple system operators, and other gas and electric utilities. At July 29, 2006, we had net accounts receivable of \$146.9 million and costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings of \$79.5 million. We periodically assess the credit of our customers and continuously monitor the timeliness of payments. Our customers may be adversely affected by an economic downturn, which may subject us to potential credit risks. In fiscal 2002, we recorded \$20.6 million of bad debt expense attributable to receivables due from Adelphia and WorldCom. Adelphia and WorldCom both filed for bankruptcy protection during fiscal 2002. If any of our significant customers file for bankruptcy or experience financial difficulties, we could experience difficulty in collecting what we are owed by them for work already performed or in process, which could lead to reduced cash flows and a decline in our liquidity. Additionally, we may incur losses in excess of current allowances provided.

We self insure against certain potential liabilities, which leaves us potentially exposed to higher than expected liability claims. We retain the risk of loss, up to certain limits, for claims related to automobile liability, general liability, workers compensation, employee group health, and locate damages. We estimate and develop our accrual for self-insured claims based on facts, circumstances and historical evidence. However, the calculation of the estimated accrued liability for self-insured claims remains inherently subject to uncertainty. Should a greater number of claims occur compared to what we have estimated, or should the dollar amount of actual claims exceed what we anticipated, our recorded reserves may not be sufficient, and we could incur substantial additional unanticipated charges. See Critical Accounting Policies Self-Insured Claims Liability.

9

Table of Contents

Our backlog is subject to reduction and/or cancellation. Our backlog is comprised of the uncompleted portion of services to be performed under job-specific contracts and the estimated value of future services that we expect to provide under long-term requirements contracts, including master service agreements. In many instances our customers are not contractually committed to specific volumes of services under a contract. Many of our contracts are multi-year agreements, and we include in our backlog the amount of services projected to be performed over the terms of the contracts based on our historical relationships with customers and our experience in procurements of this nature. For certain multi-year projects relating to fiber deployments for one of our significant customers, we have included in the July 29, 2006 backlog amounts relating to anticipated work in calendar years 2006 and 2007. These fiber deployment projects, when initially installed, are not required for the day-to-day provision of services by our customer. Consequently, the fiber deployment projects of this customer generally have been subject to more uncertainty, as compared to those of our other customers, with regards to activity levels. Our estimates of a customer s requirements during a particular future period may not be accurate at any point in time. If our estimated backlog is significantly inaccurate or does not result in future profits, this could adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity.

We may incur impairment charges on goodwill or other intangible assets in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, we conduct on at least an annual basis a review of our reporting units to determine whether the carrying value of their respective assets exceeds their corresponding fair market value. Should this be the case, we would determine that the value of our goodwill is impaired and such goodwill would be written down. Any such write-down could adversely affect our results of operations. During 2006, as the result of an interim impairment analysis, we recognized a non-cash after tax charge of approximately \$14.8 million in order to reduce the carrying value of goodwill related to our Can-Am Communications, Inc. reporting unit. During 2005, we recognized a non-cash after tax charge of approximately \$29.0 million in order to reduce the carrying value of goodwill related to our White Mountain Cable Construction reporting unit as the result of our annual impairment analysis.

As a result of the purchase price allocations from our prior acquisitions and due to our decentralized structure, our goodwill is included in multiple reporting units. Due to the cyclical nature of our business, and the other factors described under other Risk Factors herein, the profitability of our individual reporting units may periodically suffer from downturns in customer demand and other factors. These factors may have a relatively more pronounced impact on the individual reporting units as compared to the Company as a whole and might adversely affect the fair value of the reporting units. If material adverse conditions occur that impact our reporting units, our future determinations of fair value may not support the carrying amount of one or more of our reporting units, and the related goodwill would need to be written down to an amount considered recoverable.

The loss of certain key managers could adversely affect our business. We depend on the performance of our executive officers and the senior management of our subsidiaries. Our senior management team has numerous years of experience in our industry, and the loss of any of them could negatively affect our ability to execute our business strategy. Although we have entered into employment agreements with our executive officers and certain other key employees, we cannot guarantee that any key management personnel will remain with us for any length of time. The loss of key management could adversely affect the management of our operations. We do not carry significant key-person life insurance on any of our employees.

Our results of operations may fluctuate seasonally. Our revenues are affected by seasonality as most of our work is performed outdoors. As a result, our operations are impacted by extended periods of inclement weather. Generally, inclement weather is more likely to occur during the winter season which falls during the second and third fiscal quarters. In addition, a disproportionate percentage of total paid holidays fall within our second quarter, which impacts the number of available workdays and paid holiday expense. As a result, we may experience reduced revenues in the second and third fiscal quarters of each year.

If we fail to integrate future acquisitions successfully, this could adversely affect our business and results of operations. As part of our growth strategy, we may acquire companies that expand, complement, or

Table of Contents

diversify our business. We regularly review various opportunities and periodically engage in discussions regarding such possible acquisitions. Future acquisitions may expose us to operational challenges and risks, including the diversion of management s attention from our existing business, the failure to retain key personnel or customers of an acquired business, the assumption of unknown liabilities of the acquired business for which there are inadequate reserves and the potential impairment of acquired intangible assets. Our ability to sustain our growth and maintain our competitive position may be affected by our ability to successfully integrate any businesses acquired.

Unanticipated changes in our tax rates or exposure to additional income and other tax liabilities could affect our profitability. We are subject to income taxes in many different jurisdictions of the United States and our tax liabilities are subject to the apportionment of income to different jurisdictions. Our effective tax rates could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings in locations with differing tax rates, in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities or in tax laws or by material audit assessments, which could affect our profitability. In particular, the carrying value of deferred tax assets is dependent on our ability to generate future taxable income. In addition, the amount of income and other taxes we pay is subject to ongoing audits in various jurisdictions, and a material assessment by a governing tax authority could affect our profitability.

Our revolving credit facility and senior subordinated notes impose restrictions on us which may prevent us from engaging in transactions that might benefit us. At July 29, 2006, we had \$150 million in senior subordinated notes outstanding due February 2015. The notes were issued under an indenture dated as of October 11, 2005. The indenture contains covenants that limit our ability to make certain payments, including the payment of dividends, incur additional indebtedness and issue preferred stock, create liens, enter into sale and leaseback transactions, merge or consolidate with another entity, sell assets or enter into transactions with affiliates. In addition, our credit agreement requires us to (i) maintain a consolidated leverage ratio of not greater than 3.00 to 1.0, (ii) maintain an interest coverage ratio of not less than 2.75 to 1.00, as measured at the end of each fiscal quarter and (iii) maintain consolidated tangible net worth, which shall be calculated at the end of each fiscal quarter, of not less than \$50.0 million plus 50% of consolidated net income (if positive) from September 8, 2005 to the date of computation plus 75% of the equity issuances made from September 8, 2005 to the date of computation. A default could result in the acceleration of either our obligations under the credit agreement or under the indenture relating to the senior subordinated notes, or both. In addition, these covenants may prevent us from engaging in transactions that benefit us, including responding to changing business and economic conditions or securing additional financing, if needed.

Many of our telecommunications customers are highly regulated and the addition of new regulations or changes to existing regulations may adversely impact their demand for our specialty contracting services and the profitability of those services. Many of our telecommunications customers are regulated by the FCC. The FCC may interpret the application of its regulations to telecommunication companies in a manner that is different than the way such regulations are currently interpreted and may impose additional regulations. If existing or new regulations have an adverse affect on our telecommunications customers and adversely impact the profitability of the services they provide, then demand for our specialty contracting services may be reduced.

Our operations expose us to various safety and environmental regulations. We are required to comply with stringent laws and regulations governing environmental protection and workplace safety. With respect to safety, our workers frequently operate heavy machinery and, as such, they are subject to potential injury to themselves or others in the vicinity of work being performed. If any of our workers or any other persons are injured or killed in the course of our operations, we could be found to have violated relevant safety regulations, which could result in a fine or, in extreme cases, criminal sanction.

A significant portion of our operations consist of work performed underground. As a result, we are potentially subject to material liabilities related to encountering underground objects which may cause the release of hazardous materials or substances. The environmental laws and regulations which may relate to our business include those regarding the removal and remediation of hazardous substances and waste. These laws and regulations can impose significant fines and criminal sanctions for violations. Costs associated with the

11

Table of Contents

discharge of hazardous materials or substances may include clean-up costs and related damages or liabilities. These costs could be significant and could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.

Anti-takeover provisions of Florida law, provisions in our articles of incorporation and our shareholder rights plan could make it more difficult to effect a change in our control. Certain provisions of our articles of incorporation and bylaws could delay or prevent an acquisition or change in control and the replacement of our incumbent directors and management. For example, board of directors is divided into three classes. At any annual meeting of our shareholders, our shareholders only have the right to appoint approximately one-third of the directors on our board of directors. In addition, our articles of incorporation authorize our board of directors, without further shareholder approval, to issue up to 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock on such terms and with such rights as our board of directors may determine. The issuance of preferred stock could dilute the voting power of the holders of common stock, including by the grant of voting control to others. We have also adopted a shareholder rights plan which may make it more difficult to effect a change in control. Lastly, we are subject to certain anti-takeover provisions of the Florida Business Corporation Act. These anti-takeover provisions could discourage or prevent a change of control even if such change of control would be beneficial to stockholders and could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties

We lease our executive offices located in Palm Beach Gardens, Florida. Our subsidiaries operate from owned or leased administrative offices, district field offices, equipment yards, shop facilities, and temporary storage locations throughout the United States. Our leased properties operate under both non-cancelable and cancelable leases. We believe that our facilities are adequate for our current operations and additional facilities would be available if necessary.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, we are subject to various claims and legal proceedings. We retain the risk of loss, up to certain limits, for claims related to automobile liability, general liability, workers—compensation, employee group health, and locate damages. For these claims, the effect on our financial statements is generally limited to the amount of our insurance deductible or self-insurance retention. The outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty; however, it is the opinion of our management, based on information available at this time, that none of these current claims or proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

During the fourth quarter of the year covered by this report, no matters were submitted to a vote of our security holders whether through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise.

12

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information for Our Common Stock

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol DY . The following table shows the range of the high and low closing sales prices for each quarter within the last two fiscal years as reported on the NYSE.

	Fiscal	2006	Fiscal 2005		
	High	Low	High	Low	
First Quarter	\$ 24.91	\$ 17.72	\$ 33.04	\$ 24.28	
Second Quarter	\$ 24.38	\$ 19.93	\$ 35.39	\$ 26.39	
Third Quarter	\$ 24.87	\$ 19.95	\$ 27.33	\$ 22.04	
Fourth Quarter	\$ 22.88	\$ 17.90	\$ 26.04	\$ 18.54	

As of September 5, 2006, there were approximately 690 holders of record of our \$0.33\frac{1}{3} par value per share common stock. The common stock closed at a high of \$20.25 and a low of \$16.74 during the period July 30, 2006 through September 5, 2006.

Dividend Policy

Since 1982, we have not paid cash dividends. Our board of directors regularly evaluates our dividend policy based on our financial condition, profitability, cash flow, capital requirements, and the outlook of our business. We currently intend to retain any earnings for use in the business and for investment in acquisitions and consequently we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The information as required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth certain selected financial data for the fiscal years ended July 29, 2006, July 30, 2005, July 31, 2004, July 26, 2003, and July 27, 2002. We use a fiscal year ending on the last Saturday in July. Fiscal 2006, 2005, 2003, and 2002 consisted of 52 weeks. Fiscal 2004 consisted of 53 weeks. Fiscal 2007 will consist of 52 weeks.

We account for the acquisitions referred to in the footnotes to this table under the purchase method of accounting, and amounts set forth in our selected financial data include the results and balances of the 13

Table of Contents

acquired companies from their acquisition date. You should read this data in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report.

	Fiscal Year									
	2006(1),(5)		2	005(2),(6)	20)04(3)		2003	2	002(4),(7)
			(in t	housands,	excep	t per sha	ire a	mounts)		
Operating Data:										
Revenues	\$	1,023,673	\$	986,627	\$8	72,716	\$ 6	518,183	\$	624,021
Income (loss) before income taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		40,430		58,634		97,180		30,455		(26,590)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of \$12,117 income tax benefit		,		,		,		,		(86,929)
Net income (loss)	\$	18,180	\$	24,314	\$:	58,633	\$	17,149	\$	(123,027)
Earnings Per Common Share:										
Basic earnings (loss) per common										
share	\$	0.43	\$	0.50	\$	1.21	\$	0.36	\$	(2.73)
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$	0.43	\$	0.49	\$	1.20	\$	0.36	\$	(2.73)
Balance Sheet Data (at end of										
period):										
Total assets	\$	690,015	\$	696,709	\$ 6	51,835	\$ 3	536,543	\$	514,553
Long-term liabilities(8)	\$	188,766	\$	28,187	\$:	30,396	\$	15,470	\$	12,705
Stockholders equit ^{§)}	\$	389,455	\$	549,810	\$5	18,961	\$ 4	150,340	\$	431,297

- (1) Includes Prince Telecom, Inc. (acquired December 2005) since its acquisition date.
- (2) Includes RJE Telecom, Inc. (acquired September 2004) since its acquisition date.
- (3) Includes UtiliQuest Holdings, Corp. (acquired December 2003) and First South Utility Construction, Inc. (acquired November 2003) since their respective acquisition dates.
- (4) Includes Arguss Communications, Inc. (acquired February 2002) since its acquisition date.
- (5) During fiscal 2006, we incurred a goodwill impairment charge of \$14.8 million related to our Can-Am Communications, Inc. reporting unit, as the result of an interim impairment test in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (see Note 7 in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).
- (6) During fiscal 2005, we incurred a goodwill impairment charge of \$29.0 million related to our White Mountain Cable Construction, LLC reporting unit (WMC), as a result of our annual SFAS No. 142 valuation of reporting units (see Note 7 in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

(7)

During fiscal 2002, two of our customers, Adelphia and WorldCom, Inc., filed for bankruptcy protection and as a result, we incurred goodwill impairment charges of approximately \$45.1 million for our WMC subsidiary and approximately \$2.5 million for our Point to Point Communication, Inc. subsidiary. Additionally, during fiscal 2002, we incurred a goodwill impairment charge of \$99.0 million (\$86.9 million after tax) as a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 142. The reporting units for which this impairment charge was recognized consisted of Apex Digital, Inc., Globe Communications, Inc., Locating, Inc., Point-to-Point Communications, Inc., Tesinc, Inc., Nichols Construction, Inc., C-2 Utility Contractors, Inc. and Lamberts Cable Splicing Co.

(8) In October 2005, we issued \$150.0 million principal amount of 8.125% senior subordinated notes (Notes). The aggregate proceeds of the issuance of the Notes, together with \$33.0 million of borrowings under our \$300 million credit facility and cash on hand, were used to repurchase 8.76 million shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$21.00 per share.

14

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Special Note Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements. The words believe. expect. anticipate. project, and similar expressions identify intend. forecast. forward-looking statements. Such statements may include, but may not be limited to, the anticipated outcome of contingent events, including litigation, projections of revenues, income or loss, capital expenditures, plans for future operations, growth and acquisitions, financial needs or plans and the availability of financing, and plans relating to our services including backlog, as well as assumptions relating to the foregoing. These forward-looking statements are based on management s current expectations, estimates and projections. Forward looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results in the future to differ materially from the results projected or implied in any forward-looking statements contained in this report. Such risks and uncertainties include: business and economic conditions in the telecommunications industry affecting our customers, the adequacy of our accrued self-insured claims and other accruals and allowances for doubtful accounts, whether the carrying value of our assets may be impaired, whether acquisitions can be effectively integrated into our existing operations, the impact of any future acquisitions, the anticipated outcome of contingent events, including litigation, liquidity needs and the availability of financing. Such forward looking statements are within the meaning of that term in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Overview

We are a leading provider of specialty contracting services. These services are provided throughout the United States and include engineering, construction, maintenance and installation services to telecommunications providers, underground locating services to various utilities including telecommunications providers, and other construction and maintenance services to electric utilities and others. Additionally, we provide services on a limited basis in Canada. For the year ended July 29, 2006, specialty contracting services related to the telecommunications industry, underground utility locating, and electric and other construction and maintenance to electric utilities and others contributed approximately 72.9%, 21.3%, and 5.8%, respectively, to our total revenues.

We conduct operations through our subsidiaries. Our revenues may fluctuate as a result of changes in the capital expenditure and maintenance budgets of our customers, and changes in the general level of construction activity. The capital expenditures and maintenance budgets of our telecommunications customers may be impacted by consumer demands on telecommunication providers, the introduction of new communication technologies, the physical maintenance needs of their infrastructure, the actions of the Federal Communications Commission, and general economic conditions.

A significant portion of our services are covered by multi-year master service agreements, and we are currently a party to over 250 of these agreements. Master service agreements generally are for contract periods of one or more years and contain customer specified service requirements, such as discrete unit pricing for individual tasks. To the extent that such contracts specify exclusivity, there are often a number of exceptions, including the ability by the customer to issue to others work orders valued above a specified dollar limit, the self-performance of the work by the customer s in house workforce if available, and the ability to use others when jointly placing facilities with another utility. In most cases, a customer may terminate these agreements for convenience with written notice.

The remainder of our services is provided pursuant to contracts for specific projects. Long-term contracts relate to specific projects with terms in excess of one year from the contract date. Short-term contracts for these projects generally span three to four months in duration. A portion of our contracts include retainage provisions under which 5% to 10% of the contract invoicing is withheld by the customer subject to project completion in accordance with the contract specifications.

15

Table of Contents

We recognize revenues using the units of delivery or cost-to-cost measures of the percentage of completion method of accounting. A significant majority of our contracts are based on units of delivery and revenue is recognized as each unit is completed. Revenue from other percentage of completion contracts is recognized using the cost-to-cost measures and is based on the ratio of contract costs incurred to date to total estimated contract costs. Revenues from services provided under time and materials based contracts are recognized when the services are performed.

The following table summarizes our revenues from long-term contracts, including multi-year master service agreements, as a percentage of total revenue:

% of Revenue Fiscal Year Ended

	July 29, 2006	July 30, 2005
Multi-year master service agreements	64.3%	54.3%
Other long-term contracts	16.5%	34.5%
Total long-term contracts	80.8%	88.8%

The percentage increase in revenue derived from multi-year master service agreements is primarily due to agreements in place at Prince Telecom Holdings, Inc. (Prince) which was acquired in December 2005. Hurricane restoration services provided in the second half of calendar 2005 were performed pursuant to short-term contracts. As a result, the percentage of revenue from total long-term contracts for fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005 decreased.

A significant portion of our revenue comes from several large customers. The following table reflects the percentage of total revenue from customers contributing at least 2.5% of our total revenue in fiscal 2006, 2005, or 2004:

Fiscal Year Ended

	July 29, 2006	July 30, 2005	July 31, 2004
BellSouth	21.2%	16.6%	14.0%
Verizon	18.6%	25.1%	3.7%
Comcast	8.4%	11.3%	28.5%
Embarq (formerly Sprint)	7.9%	7.5%	10.1%
Charter	4.8%	3.5%	3.3%
Qwest	3.1%	3.9%	6.1%
DIRECTV	3.0%	3.2%	3.2%
Windstream (formerly Alltel)	3.0%	2.4%	3.0%
Adelphia*	2.2%	1.6%	5.1%

^{*} Adelphia was acquired by Time Warner and Comcast effective July 31, 2006.

In August 2006, in accordance with our contractual rights, we notified DIRECTV of our intention to cease performing services for them effective February 2007. Management does not believe that this will have a material impact on our revenues or results of operations.

Cost of earned revenues includes all direct costs of providing services under our contracts, including costs for construction personnel, subcontractors, operation of capital equipment (excluding depreciation), and insurance. For a majority of our contracts, our customers provide all necessary materials and we provide the personnel, tools, and equipment necessary to perform installation and maintenance services. The materials supplied by our customers are not included in our revenue or costs of sales as the customer retains the financial and performance risk associated with the materials. We retain the risk of loss, up to certain limits, for claims related to automobile liability, general liability, workers compensation, employee group health, and locate damages. Locate damage claims result from property and other damages arising in connection with our

16

Table of Contents

utility locating services. A change in claims experience or actuarial assumptions related to these risks could materially affect our results of operations.

General and administrative costs include all of our costs at the corporate level, as well as costs of our subsidiaries management personnel and administrative overhead. These primarily consist of employee compensation and related expenses, including stock-based compensation, professional fees, provision or recoveries of bad debt expense, and other costs that are not directly related to the provision of services under our customer contracts. Our senior management, including senior managers of our subsidiaries, performs substantially all sales and marketing functions as part of their management responsibilities and, accordingly, we have not incurred material sales and marketing expenses.

Acquisitions

During December 2005, we acquired the outstanding common stock of Prince for a purchase price of approximately \$65.4 million, including transaction fees. Prince installs and maintains customer premise equipment, including set top boxes and cable modems, for leading cable multiple system operators throughout the United States. During September 2004, we acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of RJE Telecom, Inc. (RJE) for a cash purchase price of approximately \$9.8 million. RJE provides specialty contracting services primarily to telephone companies. In December 2003, we acquired UtiliQuest Holdings Corp. (UtiliQuest). In November 2003, we acquired substantially all of the assets of First South Utility Construction, Inc. (First South) and assumed certain liabilities associated with these assets.

As part of our growth strategy, we may acquire companies that expand, complement, or diversify our business. We regularly review opportunities and periodically engage in discussions regarding possible acquisitions. Our ability to sustain our growth and maintain our competitive position may be affected by our ability to successfully integrate any businesses acquired.

Outlook

The telecommunications industry has undergone and continues to undergo significant changes due to governmental deregulation, advances in technology, increased competition as the telephone and cable industries converge, and growing consumer demand for enhanced and bundled services. As a result of these factors, the networks of our customers increasingly face demands for more capacity and greater reliability which in turn, increases the needs of customers for the services we provide.

Telecommunications network operators are increasingly relying on the deployment of fiber optic cable technology deeper into their networks and closer to consumers in order to respond to demands for capacity, reliability, and product bundles of voice, video, and high speed data services. Fiber deployments have enabled an increasing number of cable companies to begin to offer voice services in addition to their traditional video and data services. Fiber deployments are also beginning to facilitate the provisioning of video services by local telephone companies in addition to their traditional voice and high speed data services. During 2004 and 2005, several large telephone companies announced fiber to the premise and fiber to the node initiatives as a means to begin to actively compete with cable operators. These initiatives continued through fiscal 2006 and continue to result in demand for our services. This demand for fiber deployments has also been accompanied by the telecommunications industry s general recovery from the severe industry downturn during 2002 and 2003.

We believe the latest developments and trends in the telecommunications industry support our outlook for growth. Consistent with historical practice, telecommunications providers have continued to outsource a significant portion of their engineering, construction and maintenance requirements in order to reduce their investment in capital equipment, provide flexibility in workforce sizing, expand product offerings without large increases in incremental hiring and focus on those competencies they consider core to their business success.

We also provide underground utility locating services to a variety of utility companies including telecommunication providers. Underground excavation is involved in a substantial portion of overall economic activity, including the construction and maintenance of telephone, cable television, power and gas utility networks, the construction and maintenance of roads and highways as well as the construction of new and

17

Table of Contents

existing commercial and residential projects. Utility line locating is required prior to underground excavation. The recent trend for outsourcing this requirement, along with the pace of overall economic activity, primarily influences the demand for our utility line locating services.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate these estimates and assumptions, including those related to revenue recognition for costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings, allowance for doubtful accounts, accrued self-insured claims, valuation of goodwill and intangible assets, asset lives used in computing depreciation and amortization, including amortization of intangibles, and accounting for income taxes, contingencies and litigation. Application of these estimates and assumptions requires the exercise of judgment as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ materially from these estimates.

We have identified the accounting policies below as critical to the accounting for our business operations and the understanding of our results of operations because they involve making significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. The impact of these policies on our operations is discussed in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations where such policies affect our reported and expected financial results. We have discussed the development, selection and application of our critical accounting policies with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors, and our audit committee has reviewed the disclosure relating to our critical accounting policies in this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Other significant accounting policies, primarily those with lower levels of uncertainty than those discussed below, are also critical to understanding our consolidated financial statements. The notes to our consolidated financial statements contain additional information related to our accounting policies, including the critical accounting policies described herein, and should be read in conjunction with this discussion.

Revenue Recognition. We recognize revenue using the units of delivery or cost-to-cost measures of the percentage of completion method of accounting. The significant majority of our contracts are based on units of delivery and revenue is recognized as each unit is completed. Revenue from other percentage of completion contracts is recognized using cost-to-cost measures and is based on the ratio of contract costs incurred to date to total estimated contract costs. Revenues from services provided under time and material based contracts are recognized when the services are performed. Contract costs include the direct costs of providing services under our contracts, including those for construction personnel, subcontractors, operation of capital equipment (excluding depreciation), and insurance. For the majority of our contracts, our customers provide all necessary materials and we provide the personnel, tools, and equipment to perform installation and maintenance services. The materials supplied by our customers are not included in our revenue or costs of sales as the customer retains the financial and performance risk associated with the materials. The current asset Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings represents revenues recognized in excess of amounts billed. The current liability Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings represents billings in excess of revenues recognized.

Application of the percentage of completion method of accounting requires the use of estimates of costs to be incurred for the performance of the contract. The cost estimation process is based upon the professional knowledge and experience of our project managers and financial professionals. Factors that we consider in estimating the work to be completed and ultimate contract recovery include the availability and productivity of labor, the nature and complexity of the work to be performed, the effect of change orders, the availability of materials, the effect of any delays in performance and the recoverability of any claims. Changes in job performance, job conditions, estimated profitability and final contract settlements may result in revisions to costs and income and their effects are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined. At the time a loss on a contract becomes known, the entire amount of the estimated ultimate loss is accrued.

Table of Contents

Self-Insured Claims Liability. We retain the risk of loss, up to certain limits, for claims related to automobile liability, general liability, workers—compensation, employee group health, and locate damages. Locate damage claims result from property and other damages arising in connection with our utility locating services. A liability for unpaid claims and the associated claim expenses, including incurred but not reported losses, is actuarially determined and reflected in the consolidated financial statements as accrued self-insured claims. As of July 29, 2006, the liability for self-insured claims and related accrued processing costs was \$59.6 million compared to \$50.8 million at July 30, 2005. Based on past experience, we expect \$27.1 million of the amount accrued at July 29, 2006 to be paid in the next 12 months.

We estimate the liability for claims based on facts, circumstances and historical evidence. When loss reserves are recorded they are not discounted, even though they may not be paid until some time in the future. Factors affecting the determination of the expected cost for existing and incurred but not reported claims include, but are not limited to, the frequency of claims, the hazard level of our operations, the payment patterns for incurred claims, changes in the medical condition of claimants, the frequency of use of our health plan by participants, and economic factors such as inflation, tort reform or other legislative changes, unfavorable jury decisions and court interpretations. The increase in accrued self insured claims liability at July 29, 2006 was primarily related to an increase in the frequency and severity of claims, higher deductible levels at UtiliQuest, the timing of our claim payments, and the acquisition of Prince. The calculation of the estimated accrued liability for self-insured claims is inherently subject to uncertainty.

Excluding Prince, which was acquired in December 2005, we have retained the risk of loss to \$1.0 million on a per occurrence basis for workers—compensation and automobile liability claims for fiscal 2006. For general liability claims, we have retained the risk of loss to \$250,000, except with respect to UtiliQuest, for which we have retained the risk of loss to \$2.0 million per occurrence.

For Prince, fiscal 2006 claims related to automobile liability and workers—compensation were covered under a guaranteed cost program and, for general liability claims, we have retained the risk of loss to \$50,000 per occurrence. For certain prior periods, Prince retained the risk of automobile liability, general liability, and workers—compensation claims up to \$250,000. At July 29, 2006, the liability for these claims is included in our accrued self-insured claims liability.

For fiscal year 2006, we had aggregate stop loss coverage for the above exposures at a stated retention of \$40.5 million. For fiscal 2006 we maintained umbrella liability coverage to a policy limit of \$100.0 million. Except for Prince, we have retained the risk of loss for automobile liability and general liability and damage claims between \$2.0 million and \$5.0 million, on a per occurrence basis, with an aggregate stop loss for this layer of \$10.0 million. For Prince, we have umbrella liability coverage to a policy limit of \$10.0 million for automobile liability and general liability claims that occurred prior to acquisition. For claims related to periods after we acquired Prince, losses above Prince s policy limit are covered by our stop loss coverage of \$40.5 million and the umbrella liability coverage up to a policy limit of \$100.0 million. The retention amounts are applicable in substantially all of the states in which we operate. For losses occurring in fiscal 2007, we expect to retain the same risk amounts as for fiscal 2006, except that we will have an aggregate stop loss coverage for these exposures at a stated retention of approximately \$38.8 million.

Excluding Prince, we have retained the risk of loss for claims under our employee health plan occurring in fiscal 2006 to \$200,000 per participant on a annual basis. For fiscal 2006, we have an aggregate stop loss coverage for this exposure at the stated retention of approximately \$40.1 million. For losses occurring under our employee health plan in fiscal 2007, we expect to retain the same risk and coverage amounts as for fiscal 2006. For fiscal 2006, claims under Prince s employee health plan were covered under a guaranteed cost program.

The methods of calculating the estimated accrued liabilities for self-insured claims are inherently subject to uncertainty. If actual results significantly differ from estimates used to calculate the liability, our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows could be materially impacted.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets As of July 29, 2006, we had \$216.2 million of goodwill, \$4.7 million of indefinite-lived intangible assets and \$44.2 million of finite-lived intangible assets, net of accumulated

19

Table of Contents

amortization. As of July 30, 2005, we had \$194.1 million of goodwill, \$4.7 million of indefinite-lived intangible assets and \$28.6 million of finite-lived intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization. We account for goodwill in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Our reporting units are tested annually in accordance with SFAS No. 142 during the fourth fiscal quarter of each year to determine whether their carrying value exceeds their fair market value. Should this be the case, the value of the goodwill or indefinite-lived intangibles may be impaired and written down. Goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets are also tested for impairment on an interim basis if an event occurs or circumstances change between annual tests that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount. If we determine the fair value of the goodwill or other identifiable intangible asset is less than the carrying value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to the difference. Impairment losses, if any, are reflected in operating income in the consolidated statements of operations.

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets , we review finite-lived intangible assets for impairment whenever an event occurs or circumstances change which indicates that the carrying amount of such assets may not be fully recoverable. Determination of recoverability is based on an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows resulting from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. Measurement of an impairment loss is based on the fair value of the asset compared to its carrying value. If we determine the fair value of the asset is less than the carrying value, an impairment loss is incurred in an amount equal to the difference. Impairment losses, if any, are reflected in operating income in the consolidated statements of operations.

We use judgment in assessing goodwill and intangible assets for impairment. Estimates of fair value are based on our projection of revenues, operating costs, and cash flows of each reporting unit considering historical and anticipated future results, general economic and market conditions as well as the impact of planned business or operational strategies. The valuations employ a combination of present value techniques to measure fair value and consider market factors. Generally, we engage third party specialists to assist us with our valuations. Additionally, we use judgment in determining the useful lives of our finite-lived intangible assets. Changes in our judgments and projections could result in a significantly different estimate of the fair value of the reporting units and could result in an impairment of goodwill.

As a result of the purchase price allocations from our prior acquisitions and due to our decentralized structure, our goodwill is included in multiple reporting units. Due to the cyclical nature of our business, and the other factors described under Risk Factors herein, the profitability of our individual reporting units may periodically suffer from downturns in customer demand and other factors. These factors may have a relatively more pronounced impact on the goodwill at individual reporting units as compared to the impact they would have if goodwill were recorded for the Company as a whole as one reporting unit. If material adverse conditions occur that impact our reporting units, our future determinations of fair value may not support the carrying amount of one or more of our reporting units, and the related goodwill would need to be written down to an amount considered recoverable.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2006, we recognized a goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$14.8 million related to our Can-Am Communications, Inc. (Can-Am) reporting unit. Although Can-Am provides services to significant customers, it underperformed compared to previous expectations due to its inability to achieve projected revenue growth and due to operational inefficiencies at existing levels of work. Can-Am began incurring operating losses during fiscal 2006, primarily as a result of poor performance on existing contracts due to high job management costs during the period of reduced work levels. In addition, Can-Am failed to achieve projected revenue growth due to declines in demand from existing customers and its inability to secure new customer work at pricing levels sufficient to offset operating costs. We recently changed the senior management at Can-Am, integrating certain of its operations with another of our subsidiaries in order to improve operational efficiency at the current work levels. However, we are uncertain of the time period that the changes will take to improve the performance of Can-Am and the extent to which the changes may be effective. While management does not expect Can-Am to generate material losses in future periods, we determined that the anticipated cash flows from new opportunities were subject to a higher degree

Table of Contents

of uncertainty than previously anticipated and that future cash flows would not likely be sufficient to support the carrying value of Can-Am s goodwill balance.

The combination of the above factors had the effect of reducing the expected future cash flows of the Can-Am reporting unit over the seven year period used in our SFAS No. 142 impairment analysis and are circumstances that we determined would be more likely than not to reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount. Accordingly, we performed an interim goodwill impairment test as of the end of the fiscal 2006 third quarter. As a result of the impairment analysis, management determined that the estimated fair value of the reporting unit was less than its carrying value and, consequently, a goodwill impairment charge of \$14.8 million was recognized to write off Can-Am s goodwill.

As a result of our fiscal 2005 annual impairment analysis, we determined that the goodwill of our White Mountain Cable Construction (WMCC) reporting unit was impaired and consequently recorded a goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$29.0 million during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. This determination was primarily the result of a change in management s expectations of long-term cash flows from reduced work levels for a significant customer, a shift in the timing of expected cash flows from another customer to later periods in our forecast which reduced the present value of the future cash flows from this customer and WMCC s operational underperformance during the fourth quarter of 2005. The combination of these factors had an adverse impact on the anticipated future cash flows of the WMCC reporting unit used in the annual impairment analysis performed during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005.

The reduced work levels at WMCC were primarily the result of a reduction in demand from a single significant customer. This was due to the customer s decisions regarding the allocation of their capital spending away from work that management anticipated would be performed by WMCC. In performing the SFAS No. 142 impairment assessment, management determined that this shift in demand was more than temporary, consequently impacting the seven year period used in our goodwill analysis. This change in the allocation of capital spending by the customer away from work provided by WMCC did not have an adverse impact on our other subsidiaries. The historical cash flows of WMCC had been positive, but trended downward during fiscal 2005 as WMCC incurred losses. This negative trend was the result of unanticipated poor operating performance due to unforeseen job site conditions which impacted productivity, an inability to effectively secure and manage subcontractors at acceptable cost and the under absorption of general and administrative expenses. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 management had expected improvements in operating performance as the level of work increased, however, as a result of the factors specified above WMCC incurred an operating loss during the fourth quarter ended July 30, 2005. As a result of these factors, management determined that WMCC would be unable to meet expected profitability measures at the existing work levels which indicated that the anticipated long-term cash flows from the business would be materially less than previously expected over the seven year cash flow period used in the SFAS No. 142 impairment analysis. Although we have made operational changes in an effort to improve the performance and profitability of WMCC and management does not expect WMCC to generate material losses in future periods, we are uncertain of the time period that the changes will take to improve the performance and the extent to which the changes may be effective.

The estimate of fair value of each of our reporting units was based on our projection of revenues, operating costs, and cash flows considering historical and anticipated future results, general economic and market conditions as well as the impact of planned business and operational strategies. The valuations employ a combination of present value techniques to measure fair value and consider market factors. The key assumptions used to determine the fair value of our reporting units during the fiscal 2006 annual impairment test were (a) expected cash flow periods of seven years; (b) terminal values based upon terminal growth rate of between 2.0% and 4.0%; and (c) a discount rate of 13.0% which was based on our best estimate of the weighted average cost of capital adjusted for risks associated with the reporting units. The key assumptions used to determine the fair value of our Can-Am reporting unit during the interim impairment test in fiscal 2006 were (a) expected cash flow periods of seven years; (b) terminal values based upon terminal growth rate of 4.0%; and (c) a discount rate of 13.0% which was based on our best estimate of the weighted average cost of capital adjusted for risks associated with the reporting unit. The key assumptions used to determine the fair value of our reporting units during fiscal 2005, including WMCC, were (a) expected cash flow periods of

21

Table of Contents

seven years; (b) terminal values based upon terminal growth rate of 4.0%; and (c) a discount rate of 13.0% which was based on our best estimate of the weighted average cost of capital adjusted for risks associated with the reporting units. Management believes the rates used are consistent with the risks inherent in our current business model and with industry discount rates. Changes in our judgments and estimates could result in a significantly different estimate of the fair value of the reporting units and could result in an impairment of goodwill. A variance in the discount rate used could have had a significant impact on the amount of goodwill impairment charges recorded. For example, a 1% change in the discount rate would have caused an increase or decrease in the WMCC goodwill impairment charges by approximately \$0.6 million. Additionally, a 1% change in the discount rate would have changed the estimated fair value of our reporting units and may have caused other reporting units to incur impairment charges.

The estimated fair value of our reporting units exceeded their carrying value for the annual goodwill impairment test in fiscal 2006. For fiscal 2006, two reporting units, one having a goodwill balance of approximately \$23.1 million and the other having a goodwill balance of approximately \$8.3 million, have experienced declining revenue and operating results due to a reduction in demand from the customers they serve. This decline is primarily the result of reduced spending by cable providers to upgrade their networks in recent periods compared to historical levels. Our fiscal 2006 annual impairment test of goodwill indicated that the estimated fair value of each of these reporting units was significantly in excess of their carrying values. We believe that the goodwill is recoverable as of July 29, 2006; however, there can be no assurances that the goodwill will not be impaired in future periods.

Stock-Based Compensation. Our stock-based award programs are intended to attract, retain and provide incentives for talented employees, officers and directors, and to align stockholder and employee interests. As of July 29, 2006, we had seven stock-based compensation plans. In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which amended SFAS No. 123. SFAS No. 123(R) requires that share-based awards granted to employees be fair valued on the date of grant and the related expense recognized over the requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period of the award. SFAS No. 123(R) became effective for the Company on July 31, 2005, the first day of fiscal 2006. Prior to fiscal 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 which required recognition of compensation expense using the intrinsic value method, whereby compensation expense was determined as the excess of the market value of the underlying stock over the exercise price of the option at the date of grant. Consequently, no stock-based compensation expense for stock option grants was reflected in net income as all options granted had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

Beginning July 31, 2005, the Company has applied the modified prospective application of SFAS No. 123(R) to all of its stock-based awards. Additionally, during fiscal 2006 the Company has shifted from granting stock options to its employees and officers to granting time-based and performance-based restricted stock awards (see Note 15, Stock-Based Awards in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). In accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), compensation cost must be recognized over the requisite service period if it is probable that the performance condition will be satisfied. We use our best judgment to determine whether it is probable the performance conditions will be satisfied at each reporting period and record compensation costs accordingly; however, the recognition or non-recognition of such compensation cost remains subject to uncertainty. If the performance conditions are not met for performance vesting restricted stock, no compensation costs will be recognized for those shares and any compensation cost recognized previously for those shares will be reversed. Based on the amount of unvested stock options outstanding as of July 29, 2006, the total unrecognized compensation cost is \$0.9 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years. The total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested time-based restricted shares as of July 29, 2006 is \$2.8 million which is each expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years. The maximum unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested performance-based awards is \$9.1 million. This cost will be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years if all performance conditions are met and the maximum amount of restricted stock under outstanding awards is granted.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), our Compensation Committee approved the accelerated vesting of all unvested stock options granted under the 1998 Incentive Stock Option Plan and the 2003 Long-

Table of Contents

term Incentive Plan to employees and officers having per share exercise prices equal to or greater than \$23.92 (the closing market price on the date of acceleration, July 21, 2005). Approximately 1.4 million options to purchase shares became exercisable immediately as a result of the vesting acceleration. In the case of officers at or above the level of Senior Vice President, the Compensation Committee imposed a holding period that will require the optionees to refrain from selling common stock acquired upon the exercise of these options (other than shares needed to cover the exercise price and satisfying withholding taxes) until the date on which the exercise would have been permitted under the option s original vesting terms. The primary purpose of the accelerated vesting was to eliminate future compensation expense we would have otherwise recognized in our consolidated statements of operations with respect to these accelerated options upon the adoption SFAS No. 123(R). The acceleration of the vesting of these options did not result in a charge based on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The acceleration resulted in the recognition of an additional \$20.6 million pre-tax expense included in the fiscal 2005 pro forma disclosures of stock-based compensation in Note 1 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and the exclusion of such amounts from compensation expense in future years. As a result of the prior year acceleration and expected future grants, we expect our stock-based compensation expense to continue to increase in fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2008.

Accounting for Income Taxes. We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method. This approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. Developing our provision for income taxes requires significant judgment regarding the determination of deferred tax assets and liabilities and, if necessary, any valuation allowances that may be required for deferred tax assets. We have not recorded any valuation allowances as of July 29, 2006 because management believes that future taxable income will, more likely than not, be sufficient to realize the benefits of those assets as the temporary basis differences reverse over time. Our judgments are subject to audit by various taxing authorities. While we believe that we have provided adequately for our income tax liabilities in the consolidated financial statements, adverse determinations by taxing authorities could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. Management analyzes the collectability of accounts receivable balances on a regular basis. This review considers the aging of account balances, historical bad debt experience, changes in customer creditworthiness, current economic trends, customer payment activity and other relevant factors. Should any of these factors change, the estimate made by management may also change, which could affect the level of our future provision for doubtful accounts. We record an increase in the allowance for doubtful accounts when it is probable that a receivable is not collectable and the loss can be reasonably estimated. We believe that none of our significant customers are experiencing significant financial difficulty as of July 29, 2006. Any increase in the allowance account has a corresponding negative effect on our results of operations.

Contingencies and Litigation. In the ordinary course of our business, we are involved in certain legal proceedings. SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, requires that an estimated loss from a loss contingency should be accrued by a charge to income if it is probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. In determining whether a loss should be accrued we evaluate, among other factors, the degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome and the ability to make a reasonable estimate of the amount of loss. If only a range of probable loss can be determined, we accrue for our best estimate within the range for the contingency. In those cases where none of the estimates within the range is better than another, we accrue for the amount representing the low end of the range in accordance with SFAS No. 5. As additional information becomes available, we reassess the potential liability related to our pending contingencies and litigation and revise our estimates. Revisions of our estimates of the potential liability could materially impact our results of operations. Additionally, if the final outcome of such litigation and contingencies differs adversely from that currently expected, it would result in a charge to earnings when determined.

23

Results of Operations

We use a fiscal year ending on the last Saturday in July. Fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2005 consisted of 52 weeks. Fiscal 2004 consisted of 53 weeks.

The following table sets forth, as a percentage of revenues earned, our consolidated statements of operations for the periods indicated:

	Fiscal Year Ended							
	July 29, 2006			July 30,	2005	July 31, 2004		
				((dollars in n	nillions)		
Revenues	\$ 1	,023.7	1	00.0%	\$ 986.6	100.0%	\$872.7	100.0%
Expenses:								
Cost of earned revenue, excluding								
depreciation		835.9		81.7	785.6	79.6	673.6	77.2
General and administrative		80.9		7.9	79.7	8.1	75.4	8.6
Depreciation and amortization		48.0		4.7	46.6	4.7	42.1	4.8
Goodwill impairment charge		14.8		1.5	29.0	2.9		
Total		979.5		95.7	940.9	95.4	791.1	90.6
Gain on sale of accounts receivable							11.4	1.3
Interest income		1.9		0.2	1.3	0.1	0.8	0.1
Interest expense		(12.0)		(1.2)	(0.4)		(1.0)	(0.1)
Other income, net		6.4		0.6	12.0	1.2	4.3	0.5
Income before income taxes		40.4		4.0	58.6	5.9	97.1	11.1
Provision for income taxes		22.3		2.2	34.3	3.5	38.5	4.4
Net income	\$	18.2	\$	1.8%	\$ 24.3	2.5%	\$ 58.6	6.7%

Year Ended July 29, 2006 Compared to Year Ended July 30, 2005

Revenues. The following table presents information regarding total revenues by type of customer for the fiscal years ended July 29, 2006 and July 30, 2005:

Fiscal Year Ended

	July 29	July 29, 2006		0, 2005		
	Revenue	% of Total	Revenue	% of Total	Increase	% Increase
		(dollars in	millions)			
Telecommunications	\$ 745.9	72.9%	\$ 733.0	74.3%	\$ 12.9	1.8%
Utility line locating	218.4	21.3%	213.2	21.6%	5.3	2.5%
Electric utilities and other						
customers	59.3	5.8%	40.5	4.1%	18.9	46.7%

Total contract revenues \$1,023.7 100.0% \$986.6 100.0% \$37.0 3.8%

24

Table of Contents

Revenues increased \$37.0 million, or 3.8%, in fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005. Of this increase, \$12.9 million was a result of an increase in specialty contracting services provided to telecommunications companies, \$5.3 million was a result of increased underground utility locating services revenues, and \$18.9 million was due to increased revenues from construction and maintenance services provided to electric utilities and other customers. Prince, acquired in December 2005 and RJE, acquired in September 2004, contributed \$65.8 million and \$54.0 million, respectively, of revenues from telecommunications services during fiscal 2006. The following table presents revenue by type of customer excluding the amounts attributed to the Prince and RJE acquisitions:

Fiscal Year Ended

	July 29, 2006		•		2006 20		2005			crease	% Increase (Decrease)
			((dollars i	in mil	lions)					
Telecommunications	\$	626.1	\$	690.0	\$	(63.9)	(9.3)%				
Utility line locating		218.4		213.2		5.3	2.5%				
Electric utilities and other customers		59.3		40.5		18.9	46.7%				
		903.9		943.6		(39.7)	(4.2)%				
Revenues from businesses acquired in fiscal 2006 and											
fiscal 2005		119.8		43.0		76.8	178.4%				
Total contract revenues	\$ 2	1,023.7	\$	986.6	\$	37.0	3.8%				

Excluding revenue from acquired companies for each fiscal year, revenues from telecommunications services for fiscal 2006 were \$626.1 million compared to \$690.0 million for fiscal 2005, a decrease of 9.3%. This decrease in telecommunications service revenues was primarily attributable to a decrease in revenue from a significant customer engaged in a fiber deployment project and due to a decrease in revenue from another significant customer that completed an upgrade project to their network in fiscal 2005. The decrease was partially offset by a net increase of \$47.9 million for hurricane restoration services performed in fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005, and from revenues from new contracts with existing customers.

Total revenues from underground utility line locating for fiscal 2006 were \$218.4 million compared to \$213.2 million for fiscal 2005, an increase of 2.5%. This increase is primarily the result of additional work performed for existing customers and work performed related to the hurricanes that impacted the Southeastern United States during the later part of calendar 2005.

Our total revenues from electric utilities and other construction and maintenance services increased \$18.9 million, or 46.7%, in fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to work pursuant to a specific customer contract that commenced in the later part of fiscal 2005, which we completed during the first half of fiscal 2006, and additional work performed for both existing and new customers.

Costs of Earned Revenues. Costs of earned revenues increased \$50.3 million to \$835.9 million in fiscal 2006 from \$785.6 million in fiscal 2005. The primary components of this dollar increase were equipment and other direct costs, direct labor and subcontractor costs taken together, and direct materials, which increased \$25.5 million, \$18.8 million, and \$6.0 million, respectively. These increases were primarily due to higher levels of operations during fiscal 2006, including the operations of Prince since its acquisition in December 2005. As a percentage of contract revenues, costs of earned revenues increased 2.0% for fiscal 2006, as compared to fiscal 2005. Increases for equipment and other direct costs contributed 1.9% of the increase, primarily due to increased overall insurance costs as a result of higher premiums and loss development activity for self insured claims, and increased fuel and rental costs for our vehicles

and equipment. These increases were partially offset by a decrease as a percentage of contract revenues of 0.3% in direct labor and subcontracted labor, combined, primarily as a result of less subcontracted labor in the current fiscal year as compared to the prior year, which enabled us to reduce our total labor costs in proportion to our contract revenues.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased \$1.2 million to \$80.9 million for fiscal 2006 as compared to \$79.7 million in fiscal 2005. The increase in total general and

25

Table of Contents

administrative expenses for fiscal 2006 was primarily a result of an increase in stock-based compensation expenses compared to fiscal 2005 as a result of SFAS No. 123(R) implementation and from general and administrative costs of Prince, which was acquired in December 2005. These dollar amount increases were partially offset by decreased professional fees primarily as a result of a reduction in Sarbanes Oxley related costs, as fiscal 2005 was the first year of implementation, and improved bad debt experience during fiscal 2006 compared to fiscal 2005. The total amount of stock-based compensation expense for fiscal 2006 was \$4.7 million as compared to \$1.0 million for fiscal 2005. The increase in stock-based compensation resulted from applying SFAS No. 123(R) to our unvested stock options outstanding and restricted stock awards granted to employees and officers in December 2005 (see Note 15 in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). Prior to SFAS No. 123(R) our stock-based awards primarily consisted of stock options, for which we did not recognize expense as we accounted for stock-based compensation under APB Opinion No. 25. In accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), we now recognize compensation expense for stock options over the vesting period. Our restricted stock grants are also accounted for under SFAS No. 123(R) and we have recognized compensation expense based on the fair value at the date of grant over the requisite service periods of the awards.

General and administrative expenses as a percentage of contract revenues were 7.9% and 8.1% in fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2005, respectively. The decrease in general and administrative expenses as a percentage of contract revenues is primarily a result of the effect of the Prince acquisition, which increased contract revenues at a greater rate than its increase to general and administrative costs. We also incurred lower Sarbanes Oxley related costs in fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005, which contributed to the percentage decrease in general and administrative expenses. Offsetting the decline in general and administrative costs as a percentage of contract revenue was \$4.7 million of stock-based compensation expense in fiscal 2006 due to implementation of SFAS No. 123(R) as compared to \$1.0 million in fiscal 2005.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased to \$48.0 million in fiscal 2006 from \$46.6 million in fiscal 2005 and remained consistent as a percentage of contract revenues at 4.7% in both fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2005. The dollar amount of the increase for fiscal 2006 compared to fiscal 2005 is primarily a result of the addition of fixed assets and amortizing intangibles relating to the acquisition of Prince in December 2005. These increases were partially offset by fixed assets becoming fully depreciated during the period.

Goodwill impairment charge. During the third quarter of fiscal 2006, we recognized a goodwill impairment charge of \$14.8 million related to our Can-Am reporting unit. Although Can-Am provides services to significant customers, it has underperformed compared to previous expectations due to its inability to achieve projected revenue growth and due to operational inefficiencies at existing levels of work. Can-Am began incurring operating losses during fiscal 2006, primarily as a result of poor performance on existing contracts due to high job management costs during the period of reduced work levels. In addition, Can-Am failed to achieve projected revenue growth due to declines in demand from existing customers and its inability to secure new customer work at pricing levels sufficient to offset operating costs. We recently changed the senior management at Can-Am, integrating certain of its operations with another of our subsidiaries, in order to improve operational efficiency at the current work levels. However, we are uncertain of the time period that the changes will take to improve the performance of Can-Am and the extent to which the changes may be effective. While management does not expect Can-Am to generate material losses in future periods, we determined that the anticipated cash flows from new opportunities were subject to a higher degree of uncertainty than previously anticipated and that future cash flows would not likely be sufficient to support the carrying value of Can-Am s goodwill balance.

The combination of the above factors had the effect of reducing the expected future cash flows of the Can-Am reporting unit over the seven year period used in our SFAS No. 142 impairment analysis and are circumstances that we determined would be more likely than not to reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount. Accordingly, we performed an interim goodwill impairment test as of April 29, 2006. As a result of the impairment analysis, management determined that the estimated fair value of the reporting unit was less than its carrying value and, consequently, a goodwill impairment charge was recognized to write off Can-Am s goodwill.

Table of Contents

During fiscal 2005, we recognized a goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$29.0 million related to our WMCC reporting unit as a result of our fiscal 2005 annual impairment analysis. This determination was primarily the result of a change in management—s expectations of long-term cash flows from reduced work levels for a significant customer, a shift in the timing of expected cash flows from another customer to later periods in our forecast which reduced the present value of the future cash flows from this customer and WMCC—s operational underperformance during the fourth quarter of 2005. The combination of these factors had an adverse impact on the anticipated future cash flows of the WMCC reporting unit used in the annual impairment analysis performed during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005.

The reduced work levels at WMCC were primarily the result of a reduction in demand from a single significant customer. This was due to the customer s decisions regarding the allocation of their capital spending away from work that management anticipated would be performed by WMCC. In performing the SFAS No. 142 impairment assessment, management determined that this shift in demand was more than temporary, consequently impacting the seven year period used in our goodwill analysis. This change in the allocation of capital spending by the customer away from work provided by WMCC did not have an adverse impact on other subsidiaries of ours. The historical cash flows of WMCC had been positive, but trended downward during fiscal 2005 as WMCC incurred losses. This negative trend was the result of unanticipated poor operating performance due to unforeseen job site conditions which impacted productivity, an inability to effectively secure and manage subcontractors at acceptable cost and the under absorption of general and administrative expenses. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 management had expected improvements in operating performance as the level of work increased, however, as a result of the factors specified above WMCC incurred an operating loss during the fourth quarter ended July 30, 2005. As a result of these factors, management determined that WMCC would be unable to meet expected profitability measures at the existing work levels which indicated that the anticipated long-term cash flows from the business would be materially less than previously expected over the seven year cash flow period used in the SFAS No. 142 impairment analysis. Although we have made operational changes in an effort to improve the performance and profitability of WMCC and management does not expect WMCC to generate material losses in future periods, we are uncertain of the time period that the changes will take to improve the performance and the extent to which the changes may be effective.

Interest Income. Interest income increased to \$1.9 million for fiscal 2006 as compared to \$1.3 million for fiscal 2005. The increase for fiscal 2006 as compared to prior year is primarily a result of higher interest rates during fiscal 2006.

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased to \$12.0 million for fiscal 2006 as compared to \$0.4 million for fiscal 2005. The increase is due to the issuance of \$150.0 million of 8.125% senior subordinated notes (Notes) and \$33.0 million of borrowings from our Credit Facility. The issuance of the Notes and the borrowing from our Credit Facility were used to purchase 8.76 million shares of our common stock in October 2005 and used in connection with our acquisition of Prince in December 2005. The \$33.0 million in borrowing from our Credit Facility has subsequently been repaid.

Other Income, Net. Other income decreased to \$6.4 million for fiscal 2006 as compared to \$12.0 million for fiscal 2005. The decrease was primarily a result of a lesser number of assets sold during fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005.

Income Taxes. The following table presents our income tax expense and effective income tax rate for fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2005 (dollars in millions):

		Fiscal Year Ende					
Income taxes	July 2	29, 2006	July 30, 2005				
	\$	22.3	\$	34.3			
Effective income tax rate		55.0%		58.5%			

Our effective income tax rate for fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2005 was significantly higher than the applicable statutory rates in the jurisdictions where we operate as a result of the non-cash goodwill impairment charges of \$14.8 million and \$29.0 million in fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2005, respectively. Those impairment charges are not

27

Table of Contents

deductible for income tax purposes. Other variations in our tax rate are primarily attributable to the impact of other non-deductible and non-taxable items for tax purposes in relation to a lower pre-tax income during fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005.

Net Income. Net income was \$18.2 million in fiscal 2006 as compared to \$24.3 million in fiscal 2005.

Year Ended July 30, 2005 Compared to Year Ended July 31, 2004

We use a fiscal year ending on the last Saturday in July. Fiscal 2005 consisted of 52 weeks and fiscal 2004 consisted of 53 weeks.

Revenues. The following table presents information regarding total revenues by type of customer for the fiscal years ended July 30, 2005 and July 31, 2004:

Fiscal Year Ended

	July 3	0, 2005	July 3	1, 2004	04			
	Revenue	% of Total	Revenue	% of Total	Increase	% Increase		
		(dollars in	millions)					
Telecommunications	\$ 733.0	74.3%	\$ 680.1	78.0%	\$ 52.9	7.8%		
Utility line locating	213.2	21.6%	158.0	18.1%	55.2	34.9%		
Electric utilities and other								
customers	40.5	4.1%	34.6	3.9%	5.9	17.0%		
Total contract revenues	\$ 986.6	100.0%	\$872.7	100.0%	\$ 113.9	13.1%		

Revenues increased \$113.9 million, or 13.1% in fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004. Of this increase, \$52.9 million was attributable to an increase in demand for specialty contracting services provided to telecommunications companies, an increase of \$55.2 million in underground utility locating services revenues and an increase of \$5.9 million attributable to electric utilities and other construction and maintenance services revenues. RJE and First South, acquired in September 2004 and November 2003, respectively, contributed \$90.4 million and \$30.6 million of revenues from telecommunications services during fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004, respectively. UtiliQuest, acquired in December 2003, contributed \$146.9 million and \$93.0 million of revenues from underground utility locating services during fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004, respectively. The following table presents revenue by type of customer excluding the amounts attributed to acquisitions:

Fiscal Year Ended

July 30, 2005	July 31, 2004 (dollars in	Increase	% Increase (Decrease)
\$ 642.6	\$ 649.5	\$ (7.0)	(1.1)%
66.3	65.0	1.3	2.1%
40.5	34.6	5.9	17.0%
749.3	749.1	0.3	0.0%
237.3	123.6	113.7	91.9%
	2005 \$ 642.6 66.3 40.5 749.3	2005 2004 (dollars in \$ 642.6 \$ 649.5 66.3 65.0 40.5 34.6 749.3 749.1	2005 2004 Increase (dollars in millions) \$ 642.6 \$ 649.5 \$ (7.0) 66.3 65.0 1.3 40.5 34.6 5.9 749.3 749.1 0.3

Revenues from businesses acquired in fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004

Total contract revenues \$ 986.6 \$ 872.7 \$ 113.9 13.1%

Excluding revenue from RJE and First South for each fiscal period, revenues from telecommunications services for fiscal 2005 were \$642.6 million compared to \$649.5 million for fiscal 2004, a decrease of 1.1%. This decrease in telecommunications service revenues was primarily attributable to revenues from two customers that were completing upgrade projects to their networks and a decline in revenue from a construction and maintenance contract with a significant customer. The decrease was offset in part by revenues from one of our significant customers engaged in a fiber deployment project, and general increases in construction activities for other telecommunications customers.

28

Table of Contents

Excluding the revenue of UtiliQuest for each fiscal period, revenues from underground utility locating services for fiscal 2005 were \$66.3 million compared to \$65.0 million for fiscal 2004, an increase of 2.1%. This increase is primarily the result of additional work performed for existing customers. The increase was impacted by reduced demand during the first quarter of fiscal 2005 in the southern United States due to poor weather conditions.

Our total revenues from electric utilities and other construction and maintenance services increased \$5.9 million, or 17.0% for fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004. The increase was primarily attributable to electric maintenance contracts that were in the start-up phase in fiscal 2004. This increase was offset in part by the completion of a customer contract in the Southeastern United States during fiscal 2005.

Cost of Earned Revenues. Costs of earned revenues increased \$112.1 million to \$785.6 million for fiscal 2005 from \$673.6 million for fiscal 2004. The increase in cost of earned revenues was primarily the result of increased levels of operations during the period. The primary components of this dollar increase were direct labor, subcontractor costs, direct materials, equipment and other direct costs which increased \$48.3 million, \$36.4 million, \$9.8 million and \$17.5 million, respectively, due to higher levels of operations during fiscal 2005. Labor and labor related costs, including subcontractor costs, as a percentage of cost of earned revenues remained constant at 77% in fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004. As a percentage of revenues, costs of earned revenues increased 2.4% for fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004. Included in cost of earned revenues for fiscal 2004 was a \$2.3 million charge recorded to payroll tax expense in connection with a federal employment tax audit. Excluding this charge, costs of earned revenues as a percentage of revenues increased 2.7% for fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004. This change included increases of 1.8% for direct labor and 0.5% for subcontractor costs. These increases were in part the result of higher utility locating services as a percentage of total revenue which generally has higher labor costs as a percentage of cost of earned revenue. We also incurred difficult weather conditions during the first quarter of fiscal 2005, additional costs associated with the ramp-up of activities for a fiber deployment project of a significant customer, and additional costs associated with the demobilization activities for a significant customer that completed an upgrade project to its broadband network.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased \$4.4 million to \$79.0 million for fiscal 2005 from \$74.6 million for fiscal 2004. General and administrative expenses decreased as a percentage of revenues to 8.0% for fiscal 2005 from 8.6% for fiscal 2004. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily a result of increased operational activity and increased professional fees related to our Sarbanes-Oxley compliance efforts. Fiscal 2004 includes a charge of approximately \$1.2 million associated with a legal judgment and related expenses that resulted from a contract dispute that arose from the pre-acquisition operations of one of our subsidiaries. The percentage decrease in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to the relatively fixed nature of the expenses in relation to the higher revenues during fiscal 2005 compared to fiscal 2004.

Bad Debt Expense. Bad debt expense was \$0.8 million in both fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased \$4.5 million to \$46.6 million in fiscal 2005 as compared to \$42.1 million in fiscal 2004, and decreased as a percentage of revenues to 4.7% from 4.8%. The dollar increase was primarily due to increased depreciation and amortization from property and equipment and intangible assets related to the fiscal 2005 acquisition of RJE and a full year of depreciation and amortization for the fiscal year 2004 acquisitions of UtiliQuest and First South, as well as increased levels of capital expenditures during the second half of fiscal 2004 and during fiscal 2005.

Goodwill Impairment Charge. During fiscal 2005, we recognized a goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$29.0 million related to our WMCC reporting unit as a result of our fiscal 2005 annual impairment analysis. This determination was primarily the result of a change in management s expectations of long-term cash flows from reduced work levels for a significant customer, a shift in the timing of expected cash flows from another customer to later periods in our forecast which reduced the present value of the future cash flows from this customer and WMCC s operational underperformance during the fourth quarter of 2005. The combination of these factors had an adverse impact on the anticipated future cash flows of the WMCC reporting unit used in the annual impairment analysis performed during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005.

Table of Contents

The reduced work levels at WMCC were primarily the result of a reduction in demand from a single significant customer. This was due to the customer s decisions regarding the allocation of their capital spending away from work that management anticipated would be performed by WMCC. In performing the SFAS No. 142 impairment assessment, management determined that this shift in demand was more than temporary, consequently impacting the seven year period used in our goodwill analysis. This change in the allocation of capital spending by the customer away from work provided by WMCC did not have an adverse impact on other subsidiaries of ours. The historical cash flows of WMCC had been positive, but trended downward during fiscal 2005 as WMCC incurred losses. This negative trend was the result of unanticipated poor operating performance due to unforeseen job site conditions which impacted productivity, an inability to effectively secure and manage subcontractors at acceptable cost and the under absorption of general and administrative expenses. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 management had expected improvements in operating performance as the level of work increased, however, as a result of the factors specified above WMCC incurred an operating loss during the fourth quarter ended July 30, 2005. As a result of these factors, management determined that WMCC would be unable to meet expected profitability measures at the existing work levels which indicated that the anticipated long-term cash flows from the business would be materially less than previously expected over the seven year cash flow period used in the SFAS No. 142 impairment analysis. Although we have made operational changes in an effort to improve the performance and profitability of WMCC and management does not expect WMCC to generate material losses in future periods, we are uncertain of the time period that the changes will take to improve the performance and the extent to which the changes may be effective.

Gain on Sale of Accounts Receivable. In fiscal 2004, we sold Adelphia accounts receivable and recorded an \$11.4 million gain on the sale. Those receivables had a book value of approximately \$21.6 million, reflecting a write-down of \$19.1 million in fiscal 2002 relating to the bankruptcy of Adelphia.

Interest Income. Interest income increased to \$1.3 million for fiscal 2005 as compared to \$0.8 million for fiscal 2004. The increase in interest income primarily reflects higher interest rates compared to those in the prior period, partially offset by lower levels of cash and cash equivalents in fiscal 2005.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased by \$0.6 million to \$0.4 million for fiscal 2005 compared to \$1.0 million for fiscal 2004. The decrease was due to prior year borrowings in connection with the UtiliQuest acquisition which were repaid during the third quarter of fiscal 2004 and the pay down of capital lease obligations.

Other Income, net. Other income, which primarily includes net gains on the sale of idle assets, increased \$7.7 million to \$12.0 million for fiscal 2005 compared to \$4.3 million for fiscal 2004. The increase was a combination of greater numbers of assets sold during fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004, as well as improved pricing for those assets.

Income Taxes. The following table presents our income tax expense and effective income tax rate for fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004 (dollars in millions):

Fiscal Year En	ıa	ea
----------------	----	----

	July 30	July 30, 2005		
Income taxes	\$	34.3	\$	38.5
Effective income tax rate		58.5%		39.7%

Our effective income tax rate increased 18.9% as a result of the non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$29.0 million in fiscal 2005 which was a non deductible item for income tax purposes. The remaining change in our effective income tax rate was attributable to fluctuations in non-deductible and non taxable items for tax purposes in relation to our pre- tax income and fluctuations in state apportionment rates.

Net income. Net income was \$24.3 million in fiscal 2005 as compared to a \$58.6 million in fiscal 2004.

Table of Contents 48

30

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Capital requirements. We primarily use capital to purchase equipment and maintain sufficient levels of working capital in order to support our contractual commitments to customers. Our working capital needs are influenced by our level of operations and generally increase with higher levels of revenues. Additionally, our working capital requirements are influenced by the timing of the collection of accounts receivable outstanding from our customers for work previously performed. We don't believe any of our significant customers are experiencing significant financial difficulty as of July 29, 2006. Our sources of cash have historically been operating activities, debt, equity offerings, bank borrowings, and proceeds from the sale of idle and surplus equipment and real property.

We expect capital expenditures, net of disposals, to range from \$40.0 million to \$45.0 million for fiscal 2007. Our level of capital expenditures can vary depending on the expected timing of contract performance, overall economic growth, customer demand for our services and the replacement cycle we select for our equipment. We intend to fund these expenditures primarily from operating cash flows, availability under our Credit Agreement and cash on hand.

Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$27.3 million at July 29, 2006 compared to \$83.1 million at July 30, 2005.

	Fiscal Year Ended							
	July	July 29, 2006 July 30, 2005		30, 2005	Jul	y 31, 2004		
			(dollars	s in millions)				
Net cash flows:								
Provided by operating activities	\$	102.3	\$	87.4	\$	124.2		
Used in investing activities	\$	(113.0)	\$	(34.0)	\$	(168.8)		
(Used in) provided by financing activities	\$	(45.1)	\$	(1.8)	\$	1.3		

Cash from operating activities. During fiscal 2006, net cash provided by operating activities was \$102.3 million and was comprised primarily of net income, adjusted for the gain on disposal of assets and non-cash items. Non-cash items during fiscal 2006 primarily included depreciation, amortization, stock-based compensation, deferred income taxes, gain on disposal of assets, and a goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$14.8 million. Changes in working capital and changes in other long term assets and liabilities combined provided \$22.5 million of operating cash flow during fiscal 2006. Components of the working capital changes which provided operating cash flow for fiscal 2006 included decreases in accounts receivable of \$28.2 million attributable to increased collection activities, a decrease in other assets and current assets of \$8.8 million as a result of a decrease in prepaid insurance and other prepaid costs, and increases in income taxes payable, of \$0.9 million due to the timing of our income tax payments. Additionally, we had net increases in accrued self-insured claims and other liabilities of \$0.4 million primarily attributable to \$3.6 million in interest payable at July 29, 2006 associated with our Notes, partially offset by decreased other accrued construction costs as a result of timing of payments. Components of the working capital changes which used operating cash flow for fiscal 2006 were increases in net unbilled revenue of \$12.2 million due to current period operating levels and billing activity, and decreases in accounts payable of \$3.6 million attributable to the timing of receipt and payment of invoices. Based on fourth quarter revenues, days sales outstanding for accounts receivable, net was 51.4 days as of July 29, 2006 compared to 58.4 days at July 30, 2005. Based on fourth quarter revenues, days sales outstanding for costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings, net of billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings, was 27.7 days as of July 29, 2006 compared to 23.6 days at July 30, 2005. The decrease in days sales outstanding for accounts receivable and costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings, net is due to increased collection efforts and payment patterns of our customers.

During fiscal 2005, net cash provided from operating activities of \$87.4 million was comprised primarily of net income, adjusted for the gain on disposal of assets and non-cash items. Non-cash items during fiscal 2005 primarily included depreciation, amortization, non-cash compensation, deferred income taxes, gain on disposal of assets, and a \$29.0 million goodwill impairment charge. Changes in working capital items during

Table of Contents

fiscal year 2005 used \$9.4 million of operating cash flow and consisted of increases in accounts receivable and costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings of \$25.9 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, and an increase in other current assets and other assets, net, of \$5.4 million. The increase in accounts receivable and costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings at the end of fiscal 2005 was primarily due to a change in our mix of customers to those with slower payment patterns. These cash flow decreases were partially offset by a net increase in income taxes of \$15.1 million due to the timing of payments, an increase in accounts payable of \$2.8 million attributable to the timing of receipt and payment of invoices, and an increase in accrued self-insured claims and other liabilities of \$6.7 million attributable to increased operating levels. Based on fourth quarter revenues, days sales outstanding was 58.4 days as of July 30, 2005 compared to 49.8 days at July 31, 2004, for accounts receivable, net. Based on fourth quarter revenues, days sales outstanding was 23.6 days as of July 30, 2005 compared to 21.8 days at July 31, 2004, for costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings, net.

For fiscal 2004, net cash provided from operating activities of \$124.2 million was comprised primarily of net income, adjusted for the after tax gain on the sale of long-term receivables of \$11.4 million and other non-cash items consisting of depreciation, amortization and deferred taxes. Changes in working capital items during fiscal year 2004 provided \$33.2 million of operating cash flow. Included in working capital changes were proceeds of \$34.2 million (\$29.6 million net of tax) from the sale of \$21.6 million of Adelphia accounts receivables. The Adelphia accounts receivable were classified as non-current and consisted of pre-petition trade receivables due from Adelphia. Working capital changes in fiscal 2004 also included decreases in accounts receivable of \$12.0 million which were offset by increases in costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings, net, of \$17.9 million as work in progress increased in proportion to our revenue increase. Accounts payable increased \$8.4 million and accrued self-insured claims and other liabilities increased by \$4.3 million related to the increases in revenue activity and the related cost of earned revenue, combined with the volume increases due to the fiscal 2004 acquisitions of UtiliQuest and First South. These increases were offset by the decrease of income taxes payable of \$9.0 million from the timing of payments in fiscal 2004. Based on fourth quarter revenues, days sales outstanding was 49.8 days as of July 31, 2004 compared to 60.7 days at July 26, 2003, for current accounts receivable, net.

Cash used in investing activities. For fiscal 2006, net cash used in investing activities was \$113.0 million. During fiscal 2006, we paid \$65.4 million in connection with the acquisition of Prince and \$57.1 million for capital expenditures. The fiscal 2006 capital expenditures included approximately \$7.0 million that was accrued as of July 30, 2005. Cash used in investing activities was offset in part by \$9.8 million in proceeds from the sale of idle assets. Restricted cash increased \$0.3 million during fiscal 2006 and there were no net proceeds during fiscal 2006 from the sale and purchase of short-term investments.

For fiscal 2005, net cash used in investing activities of \$34.0 million primarily related to capital expenditures of \$64.5 million offset in part by \$16.2 million in proceeds from the sale of idle assets, and a \$2.9 million increase in restricted cash. Net proceeds from the sale and purchase of short-term investments contributed \$20.0 million for fiscal 2005. During fiscal 2005, we paid \$9.8 million for the acquisition of RJE and separately received escrowed funds in connection with the First South acquisition.

For fiscal 2004, net cash used in investing activities of \$168.8 million primarily related the First South and UtiliQuest acquisitions, which comprised acquisition expenditures of \$175.2 million. Additionally, we had capital expenditures of \$35.9 million offset in part offset by \$7.2 million in proceeds from the sale of idle assets. Net proceeds from the sale and purchase of short-term investments contributed \$35.1 million for fiscal 2004.

Cash used in financing activities. Net cash used in financing activities was \$45.1 million for fiscal 2006. Proceeds from long-term debt were \$248.0 million in fiscal 2006 and consisted of \$98.0 million in borrowings on our revolving Credit Agreement (Credit Agreement) and the issuance of the Notes having an aggregate principal balance of \$150.0 million. The Notes and Credit Agreement are guaranteed by substantially all of our subsidiaries. During fiscal 2006, we incurred \$4.8 million in debt issuance costs in connection with the Credit Agreement borrowings and the Notes. The proceeds from these borrowings were used to repurchase 8.76 million shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$186.2 million, including

Table of Contents

fees and expenses, and for the purchase of Prince. During fiscal 2006, we repaid the \$98.0 million of borrowings under our Credit Agreement and made principal payments of \$6.6 million on capital leases and other notes payable. Proceeds from the exercise of stock options totaled \$2.8 million for fiscal 2006. Additionally, we repurchased 10,542 shares of restricted stock that vested to certain of our officers and remitted approximately \$0.2 million to the Internal Revenue Service to satisfy the required tax withholdings in fiscal 2006.

For fiscal 2005, net cash used in financing activities of \$1.8 million consisted of principal payments of approximately \$4.3 million on capital leases and the payment of \$1.4 million in debt issuance costs related to our bank credit facility, offset in part by proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$4.0 million.

For fiscal 2004, net cash provided by financing activities of \$1.3 million consisted of principal payments of approximately \$3.4 million on capital leases offset by proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$4.6 million. Additionally, during fiscal 2004 we borrowed \$85.0 million under our credit agreement in connection with the UtiliQuest acquisition and repaid the borrowings during the third quarter of fiscal 2004.

Compliance with Senior Notes and Credit Agreement

The indenture governing the Notes contains certain covenants that restrict our ability to make certain payments, including the payment of dividends, incur additional indebtedness and issue preferred stock, create liens, enter into sale and leaseback transactions, merge or consolidate with another entity, sell assets, and enter into transactions with affiliates. As of July 29, 2006, we were in compliance with all covenants and conditions under the Notes.

In connection with issuance of the Notes, we entered into an amendment (the Amendment) to our Credit Agreement, which expires in December 2009. After giving effect to the Amendment, we are required to (i) maintain a consolidated leverage ratio of not greater than 3.00 to 1.0., (ii) maintain an interest coverage ratio of not less than 2.75 to 1.00, as measured at the end of each fiscal quarter and (iii) maintain consolidated tangible net worth, which shall be calculated at the end of each fiscal quarter, of not less than \$50.0 million plus 50% of consolidated net income (if positive) from September 8, 2005 to the date of computation plus 75% of the equity issuances made from September 8, 2005 to the date of computation. As of July 29, 2006, we had no outstanding borrowings and \$44.7 million of outstanding letters of credit issued under the Credit Agreement. The outstanding letters of credit are primarily issued to insurance companies as part of our self-insurance program. At July 29, 2006, we had borrowing availability of \$145.7 million under the Credit Agreement and were in compliance with all financial covenants and conditions under the Credit Agreement.

Contractual Obligation. The following tables set forth our outstanding contractual obligations, including related party leases, as of July 29, 2006:

	Less Than 1 Year	1-3	3 Years	4-	5 Years	Greater Than 5 Years	Total
			(dol	lars	in thousai	nds)	
Notes	\$	\$		\$		\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
Notes and Loans Payable	4,669		9				4,678
Interest Payments on Debt (excluding capital							
leases)	12,279		24,375		24,375	54,843	115,872
Capital Lease Obligations (including interest)	515						515
Operating Leases	6,986		7,346		2,305	3,865	20,502
Employment Agreements	3,223		2,200				5,423
Total	\$ 27,672	\$	33,930	\$	26,680	\$ 208,708	\$ 296,990

Table of Contents

Off- Balance Sheet Arrangements

Performance Bonds and Guarantees We have obligations under performance bonds related to certain of our customer contracts as of July 29, 2006. Performance bonds generally give our customer the right to obtain payment and/or performance from the issuer of the bond if we fail to perform our obligations under the contract. The estimated cost to complete the performance bonds is approximately \$16.4 million on \$32.9 million of outstanding performance bonds as of July 29, 2006. As of July 29, 2006, no events have occurred in which the customers have exercised their rights under the performance bonds.

Included in the above amount is an outstanding performance bond of \$10.6 million issued in favor of a customer where we are no longer the party performing under the contract. This guarantee for the third party performance arose in connection with the disposition of the contract that is the subject of such bond. The term of the bond is less than one year and we expect the obligations under the customer contract to be performed in a satisfactory manner by the current performing party. In accordance with FIN No. 45, Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees , we have recorded the estimated fair market value of the guarantee of approximately \$0.1 million in accrued liabilities as of July 29, 2006. We are not holding any collateral; however, we have recourse to the party performing the contract with respect to claims related to periods subsequent to our disposition of the contract.

Related party transactions. We lease administrative offices from entities related to officers of certain of our subsidiaries. The total expense under these arrangements for fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004 was \$1.3 million, \$1.3 million, and \$1.5 million, respectively. Additionally, we paid approximately \$0.6 million in subcontracting services to entities related to officers of certain of our subsidiaries and paid approximately \$0.2 million to officers of certain of our subsidiaries for other business purposes.

Sufficiency of Capital Resources. We believe that our capital resources, together with existing cash balances, are sufficient to meet our financial obligations, including required interest payments on our Notes, lease commitments, and to support our normal replacement of equipment at our current level of business for at least the next twelve months. Our future operating results and cash flows may be affected by a number of factors including our success in bidding on future contracts and our ability to manage controllable costs effectively. To the extent we seek to grow by acquisitions that involve consideration other than our stock, our capital requirements may increase.

Backlog. Our backlog is comprised of the uncompleted portion of services to be performed under job-specific contracts and the estimated value of future services that we expect to provide under long-term requirements contracts, including master service agreements. In many instances our customers are not contractually committed to specific volumes of services under a contract. Many of our contracts are multi-year agreements, and we include in our backlog the amount of services projected to be performed over the terms of the contracts based on our historical relationships with customers and our experience in procurements of this nature. For certain multi-year projects relating to fiber deployments for one of our significant customers, we have included in the July 29, 2006 backlog amounts relating to anticipated work through the remainder of calendar years 2006 and 2007. With respect to these projects, in the July 30, 2005 backlog, we included only those amounts for work through the remainder of calendar 2005. These fiber deployment projects, when initially installed, are not required for the day-to-day provision of services by that customer. Consequently, the fiber deployment projects of this customer generally have been subject to more uncertainty, as compared to those of our other customers, with regards to activity levels. We have taken our current approach to the backlog for these fiber deployment projects as a result of the customer s expressed continued commitment to the program and having agreed to pricing through calendar year 2007. Our estimates of a customer s requirements during a particular future period may not be accurate at any point in time.

Our backlog at July 29, 2006 and July 30, 2005 was \$1.425 billion and \$1.137 billion, respectively. We expect to complete approximately 53% of our current backlog during the next twelve months.

34

Table of Contents

Seasonality and Quarterly Fluctuations

Our revenues are affected by seasonality as most of our work is performed outdoors. As a result, our operations are impacted by extended periods of inclement weather. Generally, inclement weather is more likely to occur during the winter season which falls during our second and third fiscal quarters. In addition, a disproportionate percentage of total paid holidays fall within our second quarter, which impacts the number of available workdays and paid holiday expense.

In addition, we have experienced and expect to continue to experience quarterly variations in revenues and net income as a result of other factors, including:

the timing and volume of customers construction and maintenance projects,

seasonal budgetary spending patterns of customers,

the commencement or termination of master service agreements and other long-term agreements with customers,

costs incurred to support growth internally or through acquisitions,

fluctuation in results of operations caused by acquisitions,

fluctuation in the employer portion of payroll taxes as a result of reaching the limitation on social security withholdings,

changes in mix of customers, contracts, and business activities, and

fluctuations in insurance expense due to changes in claims experience and actuarial assumptions.

Accordingly, operating results for any fiscal period are not necessarily indicative of results that may be achieved for any subsequent fiscal period.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an Amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140. The Statement amends SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities and SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. to clarify or amend provisions regarding the fair value measurement of financial instruments with embedded derivatives and the recording of interests in securitized financial assets, among other things. The statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity s first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 155 is not expected to have an impact on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets, an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140. The Statement amends SFAS No. 140. and establishes, among other things, the accounting for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. The statement is effective beginning of an entity s first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 156 is not expected to have an impact on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48 Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise is financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 will be reported as an adjustment to the

Table of Contents

opening balance of retained earnings on July 29, 2007, the first day of fiscal 2008. FIN 48 is not expected to have a material effect on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We have market risk exposure related to interest rates on our cash and equivalents and our debt obligations. The impact on cash and equivalents held as of July 29, 2006 using a hypothetical 50 basis point change in interest rates would result in a change to interest income or expense of approximately \$0.1 million. We manage this risk by investing in short-term investments with market rates of interest.

As of July 29, 2006, outstanding long-term debt included our \$150.0 million Notes which bear a fixed rate of interest of 8.125% and are due in 2015. Due to the fixed rate of interest on the Notes, changes in interest rates would not have an impact on our interest expense. The fair value of the Notes as of July 29, 2006 based on quoted market prices totaled approximately \$150.6 million. There exists market risk sensitivity on the fair value of the fixed rate Notes due to changes in interest rates. A hypothetical 50 basis point change in the market interest rates in effect at July 29, 2006 would result in an increase or decrease in the fair value of the Notes of approximately \$5.0 million, calculated on a discounted cash flow basis. In addition, we have approximately \$4.7 million of notes payable that bear interest at fixed rates and are due during fiscal 2007 and approximately \$0.5 million of capital leases with varying rates of interest due during fiscal 2007. A hypothetical 50 basis point change in interest rates in effect at July 29, 2006 on these notes payable or capital leases would not result in a material change in the fair value due to the short-term maturity of the debt obligations. As of July 29, 2006 there were no amounts outstanding under our Credit Agreement, which generally permits borrowings at variable rate of interest. We manage the interest rate risk on our all of debt primarily through the use of fixed and variable rate debt.

We also have market risk for foreign currency exchange rates related to our operations in Canada. As of July 29, 2006, the market risk for foreign currency exchange rates was not significant as our operations in Canada have not been material.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Our consolidated financial statements and related notes and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm follow on subsequent pages of this report.

36

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS JULY 29, 2006 AND JULY 30, 2005

July 29, July 30, 2006 2005

(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

ASSETS	CALC	cpt per snar	
CURRENT ASSETS:			
Cash and equivalents	\$	27,268	\$ 83,062
Accounts receivable, net		146,906	161,321
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings		79,546	65,559
Deferred tax assets, net		13,223	12,535
Inventories		7,981	8,116
Other current assets		9,384	11,286
Total current assets		284,308	341,879
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net		126,646	117,145
OTHER ASSETS:			
Goodwill		216,194	194,123
Intangible assets, net		48,939	33,320
Other		13,928	10,242
Total other assets		279,061	237,685
TOTAL	\$	690,015	\$ 696,709

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS	EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:			
Accounts payable	\$	29,052	\$ 37,185
Current portion of debt		5,169	2,749
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings		397	464
Accrued self-insured claims		27,088	28,166
Income taxes payable		4,979	6,598
Other accrued liabilities		45,109	43,550
Total current liabilities		111,794	118,712
LONG-TERM DEBT		150,009	4,179
ACCRUED SELF-INSURED CLAIMS		32,471	22,652
DEFERRED TAX LIABILITIES, net non-current		5,997	1,299
OTHER LIABILITIES		289	57
Total liabilities		300,560	146,899

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES, Notes 10, 16 and 18		
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:		
Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share:		
1,000,000 shares authorized: no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, par value \$0.33 ¹ /3 per share:		
150,000,000 shares authorized: 40,612,059 and 48,865,186 issued and		
outstanding, respectively	13,536	16,288
Additional paid-in capital	176,850	355,575
Deferred compensation		(2,950)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(8)	
Retained earnings	199,077	180,897
Total stockholders equity	389,455	549,810
TOTAL	\$ 690,015	\$ 696,709

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

37

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JULY 29, 2006, JULY 30, 2005, AND JULY 31, 2004

		2006	2006 2005			2004
	(do	ollars in thou	sands	s, except per s	hare	amounts)
REVENUES:						
Contract revenues	\$	1,023,673	\$	986,627	\$	872,716
EXPENSES:						
Costs of earned revenues, excluding depreciation		835,889		785,616		673,562
General and administrative (including stock-based compensation expense of \$4.7 million, \$1.0 million, and						
\$0.5 million, respectively)		80,868		79,727		75,356
Depreciation and amortization		47,955		46,593		42,066
Goodwill impairment charge		14,835		28,951		,000
2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		- 1,000				
Total		979,547		940,887		790,984
Gain on sale of accounts receivable						11,359
Interest income		1,912		1,341		775
Interest expense		(11,990)		(417)		(963)
Other income, net		6,382		11,970		4,277
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES		40,430		58,634		97,180
PROVISION (BENEFIT) FOR INCOME TAXES:						
Current		22,451		28,072		35,044
Deferred		(201)		6,248		3,503
Total		22,250		34,320		38,547
NET INCOME	\$	18,180	\$	24,314	\$	58,633
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE:						
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.43	\$	0.50	\$	1.21
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.43	\$	0.49	\$	1.20
Diaced curmings per share	Ψ	0.43	Ψ	0.17	Ψ	1.20
SHARES USED IN COMPUTING EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE:						
Basic	2	41,835,966		48,746,745	2	48,348,509
Diluted		42,056,597		49,184,570		48,819,766

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED JULY 29, 2006, JULY 30, 2005, AND JULY 31, 2004

			A 3.30/0 3	Accumulated	Databasal					
			Additional	other						
	Shares	Amount	paid-in capital	Deferred comprehensive R compensation loss e	earnings					
			(dollars in thousands)							
Balances at July 26, 2003	47,986,768	\$ 15,996	\$ 336,394		97,950					
Stock options exercised	324,877	108	4,524							
Income tax benefit from stock										
options exercised			681							
Common stock issued in										
connection with acquisition	175,840	59	4,126							
Issuance of restricted stock	105,000	35	2,767	(2,802)						
Stock-based compensation				411						
Restricted stock issued to										
directors	3,564	1	78							
Net income					58,633					
Balances at July 31, 2004	48,596,049	16,199	348,570	(2,391)	156,583					
Stock options exercised and										
other	215,990	71	3,897							
Income tax benefit from stock										
options exercised			1,563							
Issuance of restricted stock	50,000	17	1,461	(1,477)						
Stock-based compensation				918						
Restricted stock issued to										
directors	3,147	1	84							
Net income					24,314					
Balances at July 30, 2005	48,865,186	16,288	355,575	(2,950)	180,897					
Reclassification of deferred										
compensation pursuant to										
SFAS No. 123(R)			(2,950)	2,950						
Stock options exercised	199,034	66	2,751							
Income tax benefit from stock										
options exercised			393							
Issuance of restricted stock, net	317,336	106	(106)							
Stock-based compensation			4,630							
Restricted stock repurchased for										
tax withholdings	(10,542)	(3)	(229)							
Repurchases of common stock	(8,763,451)	(2,922)	(183,313)							
Restricted stock issued to			2 -							
directors	4,496	1	99							
Other comprehensive loss				(8)	10.100					
Net income					18,180					

Balances at July 29, 2006 40,612,059 \$ 13,536 \$ 176,850 \$ \$ (8) \$ 199,077

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

39

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JULY 29, 2006, JULY 30, 2005, AND JULY 31, 2004

	2006	2005	2004		
	(dollars in thousands)				
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:					
Net Income	\$ 18,180	\$ 24,314	\$ 58,633		
Adjustments to reconcile net cash inflow from operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	47,955	46,593	42,066		
Bad debts (recovery) expense, net	(466)	767	776		
Gain on disposal of assets	(5,908)	(11,018)	(3,042)		
Gain on sale of accounts receivable			(11,359)		
Deferred income tax (benefit) expense	(201)	6,248	3,503		
Stock-based compensation expense	4,730	1,003	490		
Amortization of debt issuance costs	679	488	532		
Goodwill impairment charge	14,835	28,951			
Excess tax benefit from share-based awards	(48)				
Change in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:					
(Increase) decrease in operating assets:					
Proceeds on sale of receivables, net			34,242		
Accounts receivable, net	28,214	(25,884)	11,997		
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings, net	(12,223)	(3,326)	(17,853)		
Income taxes receivable		6,988			
Other current assets	8,419	(6,817)	(1,751)		
Other assets	429	1,398	2,194		
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities:					
Accounts payable	(3,621)	2,837	8,409		
Accrued self-insured claims and other liabilities	386	6,729	4,338		
Income taxes payables	914	8,161	(8,957)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	102,274	87,432	124,218		
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Restricted cash	(291)	2,924	(91)		
Capital expenditures	(57,140)	(64,543)	(35,882)		
Proceeds from sale of assets	9,810	16,178	7,234		
Purchase of short-term investments	(79,985)	(65,649)	(106,758)		
Proceeds from the sale of short-term investments	79,985	85,659	141,898		
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(65,391)	(8,527)	(175,202)		
Net cash used in investing activities	(113,012)	(33,958)	(168,801)		
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Debt issuance costs	(4,804)	(1,434)			
Proceeds from long-term debt	248,000	(,)	85,000		

Edgar Filing: DYCOM INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-K

Principal payments on long-term debt	(104,650)	(4,329)	(88,368)
Repurchases of common stock	(186,235)		
Excess tax benefit from share-based awards	48		
Restricted stock tax withholdings	(232)		
Exercise of stock options and other	2,817	3,968	4,632
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(45,056)	(1,795)	1,264
Net (decrease)/increase in cash and equivalents	(55,794)	51,679	(43,319)
CASH AND EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	83,062	31,383	74,702
CASH AND EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	\$ 27,268	\$ 83,062	\$ 31,383

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

40

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED) FOR THE YEARS ENDED JULY 29, 2006, JULY 30, 2005, AND JULY 31, 2004

		2006		2005		2004
	(dollars in thousands))
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF OTHER CASH FLOW ACTIVITIES AND NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:						
Cash paid during the period for:						
Interest	\$	7,751	\$	435	\$	1,140
Income taxes	\$	24,136	\$	13,984	\$	46,170
Income tax benefit from stock options exercised and restricted stock vested, net	\$	393	\$	1,563	\$	681
Purchases of capital assets included in accounts payable or other accrued liabilities at period end	\$	976	\$		\$	
During the year ended July 29, 2006, the Company acquired Prince Telecom Holdings, Inc. See Note 3.						
Fair market value of net assets acquired	\$	65,391				
Cash paid for acquisition	\$	65,391				
During the year ended July 30, 2005, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of RJE Telecom, Inc. and assumed certain liabilities associated with these assets. See Note 3.						
Fair market value of net assets acquired			\$	9,777		
Cash paid for acquisition			\$	9,777		
During the year ended July 31, 2004, the Company acquired all of the capital stock of UtiliQuest Holdings Corp.						
Fair market value of net assets acquired, including goodwill Less: Cash acquired					\$	116,082 (1,394)
Cash paid for acquisition, net of cash acquired					\$	114,688
During the year ended July 31, 2004, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of First South Utility Construction, Inc. and assumed certain liabilities associated with these assets.						
Fair market value of net assets acquired, including goodwill					\$	63,448
Less: Common stock issued						(4,184)
Cash paid for acquisition, net of cash acquired					\$	59,264

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

41

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation

Dycom Industries, Inc. (Dycom or the Company) is a leading provider of specialty contracting services throughout the United States. These services include engineering, construction, maintenance and installation services to telecommunications providers, underground locating services to various utilities including telecommunications providers, and other construction and maintenance services to electric utilities and others. Additionally, Dycom provides services on a limited basis in Canada.

Principles of Consolidation The consolidated financial statements include the results of Dycom and its subsidiaries, all of which are wholly owned. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

In December 2005, the Company acquired the outstanding common stock of Prince Telecom Holdings, Inc. (Prince). In September 2004, the Company acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of RJE Telecom, Inc. (RJE). In December 2003, the Company acquired UtiliQuest Holdings Corp. (UtiliQuest). In November 2003, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of First South Utility Construction, Inc. and assumed certain liabilities associated with these assets. These acquisitions were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and the Company s results include the results of these entities from their respective acquisition dates.

Accounting Period The Company uses a fiscal year ending the last Saturday in July. Fiscal 2006 and 2005 consisted of 52 weeks, and fiscal 2004 consisted of 53 weeks.

Use of Estimates
The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. For the Company, key estimates include the recognition of revenue for costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings, allowance for doubtful accounts, accrued self-insured claims, the fair value of goodwill and intangible assets, asset lives used in computing depreciation and amortization, including amortization of intangibles, and accounting for income taxes, contingencies and litigation. While the Company believes that such estimates are fair when considered in conjunction with the consolidated financial position and results of operations taken as a whole, actual results could differ from those estimates and such differences may be material to the financial statements.

Revenue Recognition The Company primarily recognizes revenue using the units of delivery or cost-to-cost measures of the percentage of completion method of accounting. The significant majority of the Company s contracts are based on units of delivery and revenue is recognized as each unit is completed. Revenue from other contracts is recognized using cost-to-cost measures of the percentage of completion method and is based on the ratio of contract costs incurred to date to total estimated contract costs. At the time a loss on a contract becomes known, the entire amount of the estimated ultimate loss is accrued. Revenues from services provided under time and materials based contracts are recognized when the services are performed.

The current asset Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings represents revenues recognized in excess of amounts billed. The current liability Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings represents billings in excess of revenues recognized.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. Estimates of uncollectible amounts are reviewed each period, and changes are recorded in the period they become known. Management analyzes the collectability of accounts receivable balances each period. This review considers the aging of account balances, historical bad debt experience, changes in customer creditworthiness, current economic trends, customer payment activity and any other relevant factors. Should any of these factors change, the estimate made by management may also change, which could affect the level of the Company s future provision for doubtful accounts.

42

Table of Contents

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Cash and Equivalents Cash and equivalents include cash balances on deposit in banks, overnight repurchase agreements, certificates of deposit, commercial paper, and various other financial instruments having an original maturity of three months or less.

Restricted Cash As of July 29, 2006 and July 30, 2005, the Company had approximately \$3.9 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, in restricted cash which is held as collateral in support of projected workers compensation, automobile and general liability obligations. Restricted cash is included in other current assets and other assets in the consolidated balance sheets and changes in restricted cash are reported in cash flows from investing activities in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

Short-term Investments At July 29, 2006 the Company had no short-term investments. Short-term investments have historically consisted of market auction rate debt securities classified as available for sale securities. The Company maintained its investments with various financial institutions and minimized its credit risk associated with investments by only investing in investment grade, liquid securities. The securities were reported at fair value and the Company used market quotes provided by third parties to adjust the carrying value of its investments to fair value at the end of each period with any related unrealized gains and losses included as a separate component of stockholders equity, net of applicable taxes. Realized gains and losses are included in earnings. There was no material realized or unrealized gains or losses related to the securities for any of the fiscal years presented.

Inventories Inventories consist primarily of materials and supplies used in the Company s business and are carried at the lower of cost (first-in, first out) or market (net realizable value). No material obsolescence reserve has been recorded for any of the periods presented.

Property and Equipment Property and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. Useful lives range from: buildings 20-31 years; leasehold improvements the term of the respective lease or the estimated useful life of the improvements, whichever is shorter; new vehicles 3-7 years; used vehicles 1-7 years; new equipment and machinery 2-10 years; used equipment and machinery 1-10 years; and furniture and fixtures 3-10 years. Amortization of capital lease assets is included in depreciation expense.

Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred and major improvements are capitalized. When assets are sold or retired, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the resulting gain or loss is included in other income.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets As of July 29, 2006, the Company had \$216.2 million of goodwill, \$4.7 million of indefinite-lived intangible assets and \$44.2 million of finite-lived intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization. As of July 30, 2005, the Company had \$194.1 million of goodwill, \$4.7 million of indefinite-lived intangible assets and \$28.6 million of finite-lived intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization. The Company accounts for goodwill in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. The Company is reporting units are tested annually in accordance with SFAS No. 142 during the fourth fiscal quarter of each year to determine whether their carrying value exceeds their fair market value. Should this be the case, the value of the goodwill or indefinite-lived intangibles may be impaired and written down. Goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets are also tested for impairment on an interim basis if an event occurs or circumstances change between annual tests that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount. If the Company determines the fair value of the goodwill or other identifiable intangible asset is less than the carrying value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to the difference. Impairment losses, if any, are reflected in operating income in the consolidated statements of operations. See Note 7.

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets , the Company reviews finite-lived intangible assets for impairment whenever an event occurs or circumstances change which indicates that the carrying amount of such assets may not be fully recoverable. Determination of

43

Table of Contents

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

recoverability is based on an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows resulting from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. Measurement of an impairment loss is based on the fair value of the asset compared to its carrying value. If the Company determines the fair value of the asset is less than the carrying value, an impairment loss is incurred in an amount equal to the difference. Impairment losses, if any, are reflected in operating income in the consolidated statements of operations.

The Company uses judgment in assessing goodwill and intangible assets for impairment. Estimates of fair value are based on the Company s projection of revenues, operating costs, and cash flows of each reporting unit considering historical and anticipated future results, general economic and market conditions as well as the impact of planned business or operational strategies. The valuations employ a combination of present value techniques to measure fair value and consider market factors. Generally, the Company engages third party specialists to assist in the valuations. Changes in the Company s judgments and projections could result in a significantly different estimate of the fair value of the reporting units and could result in an impairment of goodwill.

As a result of the purchase price allocations from the Company s prior acquisitions and due to the Company s decentralized structure, the Company s goodwill is included in multiple reporting units that comprise the carrying value of the Company s goodwill. Due to the cyclical nature of the business, the highly competitive industry, and as the Company s revenues are derived from a few customers, the profitability of the Company s individual reporting units may periodically suffer from downturns in customer demand and other factors. These factors may have a relatively more pronounced impact on the individual reporting units as compared to the Company as a whole and might adversely affect the fair value of the reporting units. If material adverse conditions occur that impact the Company s reporting units, the Company s future determinations of fair value may not support the carrying amount of one or more of the Company s reporting units, and the related goodwill would need to be written down to an amount considered recoverable.

Long-Lived Tangible Assets The Company reviews for impairment of long-lived tangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be fully recoverable. Determination of recoverability is based on an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows resulting from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. Measurement of an impairment loss is based on the fair value of the asset compared to its carrying value. Long-lived tangible assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

Self-Insured Claims Liability The Company retains the risk of loss, up to certain limits, for claims related to automobile liability, general liability, workers compensation, employee group health, and locate damages. Locate damage claims result from property and other damages arising in connection with utility locating services. A liability for unpaid claims and the associated claim expenses, including incurred but not reported losses, is actuarially determined and reflected in the consolidated financial statements as accrued self-insured claims. Claims are estimated and developed by the Company based on facts, circumstances and historical evidence. The self-insured claims liability and the related accrued processing costs totaled \$59.6 million and \$50.8 million at July 29, 2006 and July 30, 2005, respectively, and included incurred but not reported losses of approximately \$25.4 million and \$24.7 million, respectively. Based on past experience, the Company expects approximately \$27.1 million of the amounts accrued at July 29, 2006 to be paid in the next 12 months.

Factors affecting the determination of the expected cost for existing and incurred but not reported claims include, but are not limited to, the frequency of claims, the hazard level of the Company s operations, the payment patterns for incurred claims, changes in the medical conditions of claimants, the frequency of use of the Company s health plan by participants, and economic factors such as inflation, tort reform or other legislative changes, unfavorable jury decisions and court interpretations. The calculation of the estimated accrued liability for self-insured claims is inherently subject to uncertainty.

44

Table of Contents

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Income Taxes The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method. This approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities.

Per Share Data Basic earnings per share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period, excluding unvested restricted stock. Diluted earnings per share includes the weighted average common shares outstanding for the period plus dilutive potential common shares, including time and performance vesting restricted shares and stock options using the treasury stock method. Performance vesting restricted shares are included in diluted earnings per share calculations if all the necessary performance conditions are satisfied by the end of the period. Common stock equivalents related to stock options are excluded from diluted earnings per share calculations if their effect would be anti-dilutive. See Note 2.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which amended SFAS No. 123. SFAS No. 123(R) requires that share-based awards granted to employees be fair valued on the date of grant and the related expense recognized over the requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period of the award. SFAS No. 123(R) became effective for the Company on July 31, 2005, the first day of fiscal 2006. Prior to fiscal 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 which required recognition of compensation expense based on the intrinsic value of the equity instrument awarded. Consequently, no stock-based compensation expense for stock option grants was reflected in net income as all options granted had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. Beginning July 31, 2005, the Company has applied the modified prospective application of SFAS No. 123(R) and accordingly has not restated results for prior periods. As a result of applying SFAS No. 123(R) to unvested stock options at July 31, 2005, the Company s income before income taxes was \$1.6 million lower for fiscal 2006, and the Company s net income was \$1.3 million, or \$0.03 per basic and diluted share, lower for fiscal 2006. Additionally, there was an immaterial effect on cash flows from operating and financing activities.

For fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004, approximately \$4.7 million, \$1.0 million, and \$0.5 million, respectively, in compensation expense has been recognized in general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statement of operations related to stock options and restricted stock. The fiscal 2005 and 2004 stock-based compensation expense includes amounts for restricted stock grants only. Compensation expense for these awards is based on the fair value at the original grant date. The total tax benefit recognized related to stock options and restricted stock for fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004 was approximately \$1.4 million, \$0.4 million, and \$0.2 million, respectively. During fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004, the Company received cash of \$2.8 million, \$4.0 million, and \$4.6 million, respectively, from the exercise of stock options and realized a tax benefit of approximately \$0.6 million, \$1.6 million, and \$0.7 million, respectively.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company s Compensation Committee approved the accelerated vesting of all unvested stock options granted to employees and officers under the 1998 Incentive Stock Option Plan and the 2003 Long-term Incentive Plan having per share exercise prices equal to or greater than \$23.92 (the closing market price on the date of acceleration). Approximately 1.4 million options to purchase shares became exercisable immediately as a result of the vesting acceleration. In the case of officers at or above the level of Senior Vice President, the Compensation Committee imposed a holding period that will require the optionees to refrain from selling common stock acquired upon the exercise of these options (other than shares needed to cover the exercise price and satisfying withholding taxes) until the date on which the exercise would have been permitted under the option s original vesting terms. The primary purpose of the accelerated vesting was to eliminate future compensation expense the Company would have otherwise recognized in its consolidated statement of operations with respect to these accelerated options upon the adoption SFAS No. 123(R). The acceleration of the vesting of these options did not result in a charge based

45

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The acceleration did result in the recognition of an additional \$20.6 million of pre-tax expense included in the pro forma disclosures in fiscal 2005 and the exclusion of such amounts from compensation expense in future years.

Pro forma information under SFAS No. 123 regarding stock option grants made to the Company s employees and directors for periods prior to fiscal 2006 is presented below (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Fiscal Year Ende			ded
		2005	2	2004
Net income, as reported	\$	24,314	\$ 5	8,633
Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based methods for awards, net of related tax effects		(22,953)	((5,239)
Pro forma net income	\$	1,361	\$ 5	3,394
Earnings per share:	Φ.	0.70	٨	
Basic as reported	\$	0.50	\$	1.21
Basic pro forma	\$	0.03	\$	1.10
Diluted as reported	\$	0.49	\$	1.20
Diluted pro forma	\$	0.03	\$	1.09

The amount of compensation expense recognized in fiscal 2006 and the amounts included in the proforma disclosures above may not be representative of future stock-based compensation expense as the fair value of stock-based awards on the date of grant is amortized over the vesting period, and the vesting of certain options were accelerated in fiscal 2005 as described above.

Comprehensive Income During fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004, the Company did not have any material changes in its equity resulting from non-owner sources and, accordingly, comprehensive income approximated the net income amounts presented for the respective periods in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments SFAS No. 107, Fair Value of Financial Instruments requires certain disclosures regarding the fair value of financial instruments. The Company s financial instruments consist primarily of cash and equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, income taxes receivable and payable, accounts payable and accrued expenses, and long-term debt. Excluding the Company s 8.125% senior subordinated notes due October 2015, the carrying amounts of these instruments approximate their fair value due to the short maturity of these items. The Company determined that the fair value of the 8.125% senior subordinated notes at July 29, 2006 was \$150.6 million based on quoted market prices compared to a carrying value of \$150.0 million.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an Amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140. The Statement amends SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities and SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. to clarify or amend provisions regarding the fair value measurement of financial instruments with embedded derivatives and the recording of interests in securitized financial assets, among other things. The statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity s first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The adoption

of SFAS No. 155 is not expected to have an impact on the Company s results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

46

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets, an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140. The Statement amends SFAS No. 140. and establishes, among other things, the accounting for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. The statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity s first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 156 is not expected to have an impact on the Company s results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48 Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise is financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. FIN 48 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company is results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

2. Computation of Earnings Per Share

The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share computation as required by SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share . Basic earnings per share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period, excluding unvested restricted stock. Diluted earnings per share includes the weighted average common shares outstanding for the period plus dilutive potential common shares, including time and performance vesting restricted shares and stock options using the treasury stock method. Performance vesting restricted shares are included in diluted earnings per share calculations if all the necessary performance conditions are satisfied by the end of the period. Common stock equivalents related to stock options are excluded from diluted earnings per share calculations if their effect would be anti-dilutive.

	Fiscal Year Ended							
		2006	2005		2005			2004
		(dollars in tho	usano	ls, except per s	share	amounts)		
Net income available to common stockholders (numerator)	\$	18,180	\$	24,314	\$	58,633		
Weighted-average number of common shares (denominator)		41,835,966		48,746,745		48,348,509		
Basic earnings per common share	\$	0.43	\$	0.50	\$	1.21		
Weighted-average number of common shares		41,835,966		48,746,745		48,348,509		
Potential common stock arising from stock options and restricted shares		220,631		437,825		471,257		
Total shares-diluted (denominator)		42,056,597		49,184,570		48,819,766		
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.43	\$	0.49	\$	1.20		
		2,612,460		1,690,194		1,844,819		

Antidilutive weighted shares excluded from the calculation of earnings per share

47

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

3. Acquisitions

During September 2004, the Company acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of RJE for a cash purchase price of approximately \$9.8 million. RJE provides specialty contracting services primarily to telephone companies.

In December 2005, the Company acquired the outstanding common stock of Prince for a purchase price of approximately \$65.4 million including transaction fees and \$5.6 million placed in escrow. The escrowed amount is available to satisfy certain potential indemnification obligations of the sellers pursuant to the acquisition agreement. Of the \$5.6 million escrowed, \$3.9 million will be released to the sellers 12 months after closing, while the remaining \$1.7 million will be released to the sellers after 24 months, so long as in either instance the amounts are not subject in whole or part to any claims. Prince provides specialty contracting services for leading cable multiple system operators throughout the United States. These services include the installation and maintenance of customer premise equipment, including set top boxes and cable modems. The Company borrowed \$65.0 million under its credit agreement to fund the acquisition.

The Company accounted for the above acquisitions using the purchase method of accounting. Accordingly, the purchase price has been allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and the liabilities assumed on the basis of their respective fair values on the acquisition date. The purchase price allocation for the Prince acquisition is preliminary as the Company continues to assess the valuation of the acquired assets and liabilities. Purchase price in excess of fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired has been allocated to goodwill. The purchase price of Prince and RJE consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Prince	RJE
Cash paid	\$ 65,100	\$ 9,710
Transaction costs	291	67
Total purchase price	\$ 65,391	\$ 9,777

Management determined the fair values used in the purchase price allocation for identifiable intangible assets with the assistance of an independent valuation specialist based on historical data, estimated discounted future cash flows, and expected royalty rates for trademarks and tradenames among other information.

48

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Goodwill of approximately \$3.0 million is expected to be deductible for tax purposes related to the Prince acquisition. The purchase price of Prince and RJE have been allocated as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Prince	RJE
A services		
Assets:		
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 13,291	\$ 4,278
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	1,831	3,735
Other current assets	6,091	
Property and equipment	5,806	395
Goodwill	38,489	
Intangible assets customer relationships	18,400	1,423
Intangible assets tradenames	1,500	
Other assets	557	37
Total assets	85,965	9,868
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	2,125	
Accrued liabilities	9,495	91
Notes and capital leases short term	4,743	
Deferred tax liability, net non-current	4,211	
Total liabilities	20,574	91
Net assets acquired	\$ 65,391	\$\$9,777

The operating results of the above acquisitions are included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements from their acquisition dates. The following unaudited pro forma information presents the Company's consolidated results of operations as if the Prince and RJE acquisitions had occurred on August 1, 2004, the first day of the Company's 2005 fiscal year. The unaudited pro forma information is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations of the combined companies had these acquisitions occurred at the beginning of the periods presented nor is it indicative of future results. Included in the proforma amounts for fiscal 2006 is \$6.2 million of non-recurring charges incurred by Prince prior to the acquisition which were directly related to the transaction. The charges included stock-based compensation expense related to Prince's outstanding stock options and an advisory fee paid to an investment bank.

Fiscal Year Ended

2006	2005

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	per share data)			
Total revenues	\$ 1,064,447	\$	1,076,705	
Income before income taxes	34,237		58,692	
Net income	14,457		24,143	

Earnings per share:

Lamings per share.		
Basic	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.50
Diluted	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.49

49

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4. Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consist of the following:

	2006		2005
	(dollars in	thous	ands)
Contract billings	\$ 145,698	\$	160,579
Retainage	2,304		1,977
Other receivables	868		1,610
Total	148,870		164,166
Less allowance for doubtful accounts	1,964		2,845
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 146,906	\$	161,321

The allowance for doubtful accounts changed as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended

	July 29, 2006		July	y 30, 2005
		(dollars in	thousan	ıds)
Allowance for doubtful accounts at beginning of year	\$	2,845	\$	3,788
Additions (credited against) charged to bad debt expense, net		(466)		767
Additions to allowance from acquisitions		7		215
Amounts charged against the allowance, net of recoveries		(422)		(1,925)
Allowance for doubtful accounts at end of year	\$	1,964	\$	2,845

As of July 29, 2006 and July 30, 2005, the Company expected to collect all retainage balances within the next twelve months. Additionally, the Company believes that none of its significant customers were experiencing significant financial difficulty as of July 29, 2006.

5. Costs and Estimated Earnings on Contracts in Excess of Billings

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings, net, consists of the following:

	2006		2005
	(dollars in t	housa	ands)
Costs incurred on contracts in progress	\$ 63,850	\$	52,805
Estimated to date earnings	15,696		12,754
Total costs and estimated earnings	79,546		65,559
Less billings to date	397		464

Edgar Filing: DYCOM INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-K

	\$ 79,149	\$ 65,095
Included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets under the captions:		
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	\$ 79,546	\$ 65,559
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	(397)	(464)
	\$ 79,149	\$ 65,095

The Company primarily recognizes revenue for services from contracts that are based on units of delivery or cost-to-cost measures of the percentage of completion method. The above amounts aggregate these contracts.

50

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

6. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following:

	2006		2005
	(dollars in	thous	ands)
Land	\$ 3,953	\$	4,088
Buildings	9,292		9,469
Leasehold improvements	2,111		1,667
Vehicles	157,450		141,124
Furniture and fixtures	30,106		25,629
Equipment and machinery	112,525		106,885
Total	315,437		288,862
Less accumulated depreciation	188,791		171,717
Property and equipment, net	\$ 126,646	\$	117,145

Depreciation expense and repairs and maintenance expense for fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004 including amounts for assets subject to capital leases were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Fis	Fiscal Year Ended		
	2006	2005	2004	
	(doll	lars in thous	ands)	
Depreciation expense	\$43,675	\$43,285	\$39,890	
Repairs and maintenance expense	\$18,098	\$17,314	\$15,587	

7. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

As of July 29, 2006, the Company had \$216.2 million of goodwill, \$4.7 million of indefinite-lived intangible assets and \$44.2 million of finite-lived intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization. As of July 30, 2005, the Company had \$194.1 million of goodwill, \$4.7 million of indefinite-lived intangible assets and \$28.6 million of finite-lived intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization. The carrying value of goodwill changed during fiscal 2006 due to the addition of goodwill resulting from the Prince acquisition totaling approximately \$38.5 million, the impairment loss at the Company s Can-Am Communications, Inc. (Can-Am) reporting unit described below of approximately \$14.8 million and the adjustment to the purchase price allocation for a prior acquisition related to the expiration of an income tax contingency in the amount of approximately \$1.6 million.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company recognized a goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$14.8 million related to its Can-Am reporting unit. Although Can-Am provides services to significant customers, it underperformed compared to previous expectations due to its inability to achieve projected revenue growth and due to operational inefficiencies at existing levels of work. Can-Am began incurring operating losses during fiscal 2006, primarily as a result of poor performance on existing contracts due to high job management costs during the period of reduced work levels. In addition, Can-Am failed to achieve projected revenue growth due to declines in demand from existing customers and its inability to secure new customer work at pricing levels sufficient to offset operating costs.

The Company recently changed the senior management at Can-Am, integrating certain of its operations with another subsidiary of the Company, in order to improve operational efficiency at the current work levels. However, the Company is uncertain of the time period that the changes will take to improve the performance of Can-Am and the extent to which the changes may be effective. While the Company does not expect Can-Am to generate material losses in future periods, it has determined that the anticipated cash flows from new opportunities were subject to a higher

51

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

degree of uncertainty than previously anticipated and that future cash flows would not likely be sufficient to support the carrying value of Can-Am s goodwill balance.

The combination of the above factors had the effect of reducing the expected future cash flows of the Can-Am reporting unit over the seven year period used in the SFAS No. 142 impairment analysis and are circumstances which were determined would be more likely than not to reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount. Accordingly, the Company performed an interim goodwill impairment test as of April 29, 2006. As a result of the impairment analysis, the Company determined that the estimated fair value of the reporting unit was less than its carrying value and, consequently, a goodwill impairment charge was recognized to write off Can-Am s goodwill.

As a result of the Company s fiscal 2005 annual impairment analysis, it was determined that the goodwill of the Company s White Mountain Cable Construction (WMCC) reporting unit was impaired and consequently recorded a goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$29.0 million during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. This determination was primarily the result of a change in management s expectations of long-term cash flows from reduced work levels for a significant customer, a shift in the timing of expected cash flows from another customer to later periods in our forecast which reduced the present value of the future cash flows from this customer and WMCC s operational underperformance during the fourth quarter of 2005. The combination of these factors had an adverse impact on the anticipated future cash flows of the WMCC reporting unit used in the annual impairment analysis performed during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005.

The reduced work levels at WMCC were primarily the result of a reduction in demand from a single significant customer. This was due to the customer s decisions regarding the allocation of their capital spending away from work that management anticipated would be performed by WMCC. In performing the SFAS No. 142 impairment assessment, management determined that this shift in demand was more than temporary, consequently impacting the seven year period used in the Company s goodwill analysis. This change in the allocation of capital spending by the customer away from work provided by WMCC did not have an adverse impact on other subsidiaries of the Company. The historical cash flows of WMCC had been positive, but trended downward during fiscal 2005 as WMCC incurred losses. This negative trend was the result of unanticipated poor operating performance due to unforeseen job site conditions which impacted productivity, an inability to effectively secure and manage subcontractors at acceptable cost and the under absorption of general and administrative expenses. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 management had expected improvements in operating performance as the level of work increased, however, as a result of the factors specified above WMCC incurred an operating loss during the fourth quarter ended July 30, 2005. As a result of these factors, management determined that WMCC would be unable to meet expected profitability measures at the existing work levels which indicated that the anticipated long-term cash flows from the business would be materially less than previously expected over the seven year cash flow period used in the SFAS No. 142 impairment analysis. Although the Company has made operational changes in an effort to improve the performance and profitability of WMCC and it does not expect WMCC to generate material losses in future periods, the Company is uncertain of the time period that the changes will take to improve the performance and the extent to which the changes may be effective.

52

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company s intangible assets consist of the following:

	Useful Life in Years		2006		2005
		(dollars in	thous	sands)
Carrying amount:					
Covenants not to compete	5-7	\$	1,189	\$	1,189
UtiliQuest tradename	Indefinite		4,700		4,700
Tradenames	4-15		1,825		325
Customer relationships	5-15		50,660		32,261
Backlog	4		953		953
			59,327		39,428
Accumulated amortization:					
Covenants not to compete			816		634
Tradenames			306		187
Customer relationships			8,313		4,476
Backlog			953		811
			10,388		6,108
Net		\$	48,939	\$	33,320

For finite-lived intangible assets, amortization expense for fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004 was \$4.3 million, \$3.3 million, and \$2.2 million, respectively. The customer relationships and trade name of Prince totaling \$18.4 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, acquired in fiscal 2006, each have an estimated useful life of 15 years. Amortization for the Company s customer relationships is recognized on an accelerated basis related to the expected economic benefit of the intangible asset. Amortization for the Company s other finite-lived intangibles is recognized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the intangible assets. Estimated amortization expense for fiscal 2007 through fiscal 2011 and thereafter for amortizing intangibles is as follows (dollars in thousands):

2007	\$	4,769
2008	\$	4,735
2009	\$	4,242
2010	\$	3,771
2011	\$	3,484
Thereafter	\$	23,238

8. Accrued Self-Insured Claims

The Company retains the risk of loss, up to certain limits, for claims related to automobile liability, general liability, workers—compensation, employee group health, and locate damages. Excluding Prince, which was acquired in December 2005, the Company has retained the risk of loss to \$1.0 million on a per occurrence basis for workers compensation and automobile liability claims for fiscal 2006. For general liability claims, the Company has retained the risk of loss to \$250,000, except with respect to UtiliQuest, for which the Company has retained the risk of loss to \$2.0 million per occurrence.

For Prince, fiscal 2006 claims related to automobile liability and workers—compensation were covered under a guaranteed cost program and, for general liability claims, the Company has retained the risk of loss to \$50,000 per occurrence. For certain prior periods, Prince retained the risk of automobile liability, general 53

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

liability, and workers compensation claims up to \$250,000. At July 29, 2006, the liability for these claims is included in our accrued self-insured claims liability.

For fiscal year 2006, the Company had aggregate stop loss coverage for the above exposures at a stated retention of \$40.5 million. For fiscal 2006 the Company maintained umbrella liability coverage to a policy limit of \$100.0 million. Except for Prince, the Company has retained the risk of loss for automobile liability and general liability and damage claims between \$2.0 million and \$5.0 million, on a per occurrence basis, with an aggregate stop loss for this layer of \$10.0 million. For Prince, the Company has umbrella liability coverage to a policy limit of \$10.0 million for automobile liability and general liability claims that occurred prior to acquisition. For claims related to periods after we acquired Prince, losses above this policy limit are covered by the Company s stop loss coverage of \$40.5 million and the umbrella liability coverage of to a policy limit of \$100.0 million. The retention amounts are applicable in substantially all of the states in which the Company operates.

Excluding Prince, the Company has retained the risk of loss for claims under the Company s employee health plan occurring in fiscal 2006 to \$200,000 per participant on a annual basis. For fiscal 2006, the Company has an aggregate stop loss coverage for this exposure at the stated retention of approximately \$40.1 million. For fiscal 2006, claims under Prince s employee health plan were covered under a guaranteed cost program.

Accrued self-insured claims consist of the following:

			2006		2006		2005
		((dollars in thousands)				
Amounts expected to be paid within one year:							
Accrued auto, general liability and workers	compensation	\$	15,116	\$	13,538		
Accrued employee group health			3,115		3,782		
Accrued damage claims			8,857		10,846		
			27,088		28,166		
Amounts expected to be paid beyond one year:							
Accrued auto, general liability and workers	compensation		24,111		18,175		
Accrued damage claims			8,360		4,477		
			32,471		22,652		
Total accrued self-insured claims		\$	59,559	\$	50,818		

9. Other Accrued Liabilities

Other accrued liabilities consist of the following:

	2006		2005
	(dollars in t	housa	ands)
Accrued payroll and related taxes	\$ 21,810	\$	15,844
Accrued employee bonus and benefit costs	6,423		8,734
Accrued construction costs	5,971		9,789
Interest payable	3,632		72
Other	7,273		9,111

Total other accrued liabilities \$ 45,109 \$ 43,550

54

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10. *Debt*

The Company s debt consists of the following:

	2006	2	2005
	(dollars in th	ıousaı	ıds)
Senior subordinated notes	\$ 150,000	\$	
Capital leases	500		3,266
Notes payable	4,678		3,662
	155,178		6,928
Less: current portion	5,169		2,749
Long-term debt	\$ 150,009	\$	4,179

In October 2005, Dycom Investments, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, issued \$150.0 million principal amount of 8.125% senior subordinated notes (Notes) due October 2015. Interest payments are due semi-annually on April 15th and October 15th of each year. The Notes are guaranteed by certain subsidiaries of the Company (see Note 21). The indenture governing the Notes contains certain covenants that restrict the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to make certain payments, including the payment of dividends, incur additional indebtedness and issue preferred stock, create liens, enter into sale and leaseback transactions, merge or consolidate with another entity, sell assets, or enter into transactions with affiliates. As of July 29, 2006, the Company was in compliance with all covenants and conditions under the Notes. In connection with issuance of the Notes, the Company entered into a First Amendment (the Amendment) to its five year \$300 million unsecured revolving Credit Agreement (Credit Agreement). The Credit Agreement was originally entered into during fiscal 2005 and includes a \$100 million sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit. After giving effect to the Amendment, the Company is required to (i) maintain a consolidated leverage ratio of not greater than 3.00 to 1.00, (ii) maintain an interest coverage ratio of not less than 2.75 to 1.00, as measured at the end of each fiscal quarter and (iii) maintain consolidated tangible net worth, which shall be calculated at the end of each fiscal quarter, of not less than \$50.0 million plus 50% of consolidated net income (if positive) from September 8, 2005 to the date of computation plus 75% of the equity issuances made from September 8, 2005 to the date of computation. The aggregate proceeds from the issuance of the Notes, together with \$33.0 million of borrowings under the Credit Agreement and cash on hand, were used to repurchase 8.76 million shares of the Company s common stock in October 2005 (see Note 14).

In December 2005, the Company borrowed \$65.0 million under the Credit Agreement in connection with the acquisition of Prince (see Note 3). During fiscal 2006, the Company repaid \$98.0 million of borrowings under the Credit Agreement. As of July 29, 2006, the Company had no outstanding borrowings and \$44.7 million of outstanding letters of credit issued under the Credit Agreement. The outstanding letters of credit are primarily issued to insurance companies as part of the Company s self-insurance program. At July 29, 2006, the Company had borrowing availability of \$145.7 million under the Credit Agreement and was in compliance with all financial covenants and conditions.

The Company has \$0.5 million in capital lease obligations and \$4.7 million in other notes payable. The capital lease obligations and notes payable were assumed in connection with the fiscal 2004 acquisition of UtiliQuest and the fiscal 2006 acquisition of Prince. The capital leases include obligations for certain vehicles and computer equipment and expire at various dates in fiscal 2007. The other notes payable include a \$3.6 million note due in November 2006 bearing interest at 6%, payable semi-annually on March 31 and September 30; and a \$1.1 million note due September

2006 bearing interest at 5.2%, payable monthly.

55

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Maturities of the Company s debt, including long-term and current, are as follows (dollars in thousands):

2007	\$ 5,184
2008	9
2009	
2010	
2011	
Thereafter	150,000
	155,193
Portion representing interest on capital leases	(15)
	\$ 155,178

11. Income Taxes

The components of the provision (benefit) for income taxes are as follows:

	Fise	Fiscal Year Ended		
	2006	2005	2004	
	(dolla	ars in thousa	ınds)	
Current:				
Federal	\$ 19,549	\$ 24,015	\$ 30,313	
State	2,902	4,057	4,731	
	22,451	28,072	35,044	
Deferred:				
Federal	(283)	5,374	3,013	
Foreign	128			
State	(46)	874	490	
	(201)	6,248	3,503	
Total tax provision	\$ 22,250	\$ 34,320	\$ 38,547	
			56	

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The deferred tax provision is the change in the deferred tax assets and liabilities representing the tax consequences of changes in the amount of temporary differences and changes in tax rates during the year. The deferred tax assets and liabilities are comprised of the following:

	2006	006	
	(dollars in thousands)		
Deferred tax assets:			
Self-insurance and other non-deductible reserves	\$ 25,664	\$	20,327
Allowance for doubtful accounts and reserves	1,004		1,095
Goodwill and intangibles			2,180
Other	1,622		2,780
	\$ 28,290	\$	26,382
Deferred tax liabilities:			
Property and equipment	\$ 13,484	\$	15,146
Goodwill and intangibles	7,580		
	\$ 21,064	\$	15,146
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 7,226	\$	11,236

The Company believes that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized through future taxable income. The difference between the total tax provision and the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rates to pre-tax income is as follows:

	riscai Teai Eliueu		
	2006	2005	2004
	(doll	ars in thousa	nds)
Statutory rate applied to pre-tax income	\$ 14,151	\$ 20,522	\$ 34,013
State taxes, net of federal tax benefit	1,857	3,205	3,394
Write-down of goodwill, with no tax benefit	5,192	10,133	
Tax effect of non-deductible items	1,731	1,075	833
Non-taxable interest income	(82)	(107)	(92)
Other items, net	(599)	(508)	399
Total tax provision	\$ 22,250	\$ 34,320	\$38,547

12. Other income, net

The components of other income, net, are as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended

Fiscal Year Ended

	2006	2005	2004
	(doll	ars in thousa	ands)
Gain on sale of fixed assets	\$ 5,908	\$11,018	\$3,042
Miscellaneous income	474	952	1,235
Total other income, net	\$ 6,382	\$11,970	\$4,277
57			

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

13. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company and its subsidiaries sponsor contribution plans that provide retirement benefits to all employees that elect to participate. Under the plans, participating employees may defer up to 15% of their base pre-tax compensation. The Company contributes 30% of the first 5% of base compensation that a participant contributes to the Plan. The Company s contributions were \$1.2 million, \$0.9 million, and \$0.8 million in fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

14. Capital Stock

On September 12, 2005, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had approved a repurchase of up to 9.5 million outstanding shares of the Company s common stock, at a price per share not less than \$18.50 and not greater than \$21.00 through a Dutch Auction tender offer. The final number of shares purchased under the tender offer, which expired on October 11, 2005, was 8.76 million shares. These shares were purchased at a price of \$21.00 per share for an aggregate purchase price of \$186.2 million, including fees and expenses. The Company cancelled these repurchased shares in the period repurchased. The tender offer was funded with proceeds from the issuance of Notes having an aggregate principal balance of \$150.0 million, borrowings of \$33.0 million from the Credit Agreement (see Note 10), and cash on hand.

15. Stock-Based Awards

The Company s stock-based award plans are comprised of the 1991 Incentive Stock Option Plan (1991 Plan), the Arguss Communications, Inc. 1991 Stock Option Plan (1991 Arguss Plan), the 1994 Directors Stock Option Plan (1994 Directors Plan), the 1998 Incentive Stock Option Plan (1998 Plan), the 2001 Directors Stock Option Plan (2001 Directors Plan), the 2002 Directors Restricted Stock Plan (2002 Directors Plan), and the 2003 Long-term Incentive Plan (2003 Plan), collectively (the Plans). The Company s policy is to issue new shares to satisfy stock option exercises and restricted stock awards. The following table lists the number of shares available and outstanding under each plan:

	Outstanding Shares Subject to Options	Unvested Restricted Shares	Shares Available for Grant
1991 Plan	69,426		
1991 Arguss Plan	117,490		
1994 Directors Plan	12,000		
1998 Plan	1,887,775		715,310
2001 Directors Plan	84,501		143,499
2002 Directors Plan			84,727
2003 Plan	892,500	630,476	411,274
	2.062.602	(20.47)	1 254 010
	3,063,692	630,476	1,354,810

The 1991 Plan and the 1994 Directors Plan have expired and no further options will be granted under these plans. Additionally, no further options will be granted under the 1991 Arguss Plan. The 1998 Plan, the 2001 Directors Plan, the 2002 Directors Plan, and the 2003 Plan expire in 2008, 2011, 2012, and 2013, respectively. Under the terms of these plans, stock options are granted at the closing price on the date of the grant and are exercisable over a period of up to ten years. The outstanding options under the 1991 Plan, the 1994 Plan, and the 1991 Arguss Plan are all fully vested. The options under the 1998 Plan, the 2001 Directors Plan, and the 2003 Plan vest and become exercisable

ratably over a four-year period, beginning on the date of the grant. The shares available for grant in the above table represent the authorized shares available for grant as of July 29, 2006.

58

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Under the Company s 2002 Directors Plan, the Company has authorized 100,000 shares of the Company s common stock for issuance to non-employee directors. The non-employee directors are required to receive a predetermined percentage of their annual retainer fees in restricted shares of the Company s common stock based on their ownership level of Dycom s shares. The number of restricted shares of the Company s common stock to be granted under the 2002 Directors Plan is based on the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date such annual retainer fees are payable. As of July 29, 2006, 15,273 shares had been issued under the 2002 Directors Plan at a weighted average market price of \$20.54 per share.

The fair value of restricted stock grants is estimated on the date of grant and is generally equal to the closing stock price of the Company on the date of grant. The average fair value of the restricted shares granted during fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004 is \$22.05 per share, \$29.40 per share, and \$26.54 per share, respectively. The fair value of stock option grants is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on certain assumptions including: expected volatility based on the historical price of the Company s stock over the expected life of the option; the risk free rate of return based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for the expected term of the option; the expected life based on the period of time the options are expected to be outstanding using historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination; and dividend yield based on the Company s history and expectation of dividend payments. The weighted average fair value of options granted during fiscal 2006 was \$13.57 per share based on a risk-free interest rate of 4.4%, an expected life of nine years, expected volatility of 54.7% and no expected dividends. The weighted average fair value of options granted during fiscal 2005 was \$19.71 per share based on a risk-free interest rate of 3.6%, an expected life of six years, expected volatility of 58.7% and no expected dividends. The weighted average fair value of options granted during fiscal 2004 was \$14.63 per share based on a risk-free interest rate of 3.6%, an expected life of six years, expected volatility of 59.6% and no expected dividends.

The following tables summarize the stock-based award activity during fiscal 2006:

	Shares Subject	Weighted Average Exercise	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual	Inti	regate rinsic
	to Options	Price	Life	(alue (in sands)
Outstanding as of July 31, 2005	3,645,371	\$ 28.46			
Granted	21,501	20.35			
Exercised	(199,034)	14.15			
Forfeited or cancelled	(304,463)	31.76			
Expired	(99,683)	42.97			
Outstanding as of July 29, 2006	3,063,692	\$ 28.53	6.1	\$	2,887
Exercisable as of July 29, 2006	2,879,837	\$ 29.29	6.0	\$	2,279
59					

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Restricted Shares	Weighted Average Grant Price		Weighted Average Remaining Vesting Period	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Unvested as of July 31, 2005	128,750	\$	27.80		viio usurius)
Time vesting shares granted	53,268		21.50		
Performance vesting awards granted	569,478		22.10		
Vested during period	(38,750)		27.61		
Forfeited or cancelled	(82,270)		22.01		
Unvested as of July 29, 2006*	630,476	\$	22.89	2.4	\$ 11,594

The aggregate intrinsic value for stock options and restricted stock in the preceding tables represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value, based on the Company s closing stock price of \$18.39 as of July 29, 2006. These amounts represent the total pre-tax intrinsic value that would have been received by the holders of the stock-based awards had the awards been exercised and sold as of that date. During fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004, the total intrinsic value of stock options exercised was \$1.4 million, \$2.4 million, and \$3.2 million, respectively. During fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2005, the total fair value of restricted stock vested was \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. There were no shares of restricted stock which vested in fiscal 2004.

Time vesting restricted shares granted to employees and officers of the Company during fiscal 2006 vest ratably over a period of four years, primarily in December of each year. Upon each annual vesting of the fiscal 2006 grants, 50% of the newly vested shares (net of any shares used to satisfy tax withholding obligations) are restricted from sale or transferability (restricted holdings). The restrictions on sale or transferability of the restricted holdings will end at the earlier of (a) the date the holder has accumulated restricted holdings of common stock having a value equal or greater to the holder s annual base salary then in effect, or (b) 90 days after termination of employment of the holder. The time vesting restricted stock is considered issued and outstanding as of July 29, 2006 and carries voting and dividend rights.

The performance vesting restricted awards granted during fiscal 2006 were granted to employees and officers of the Company and vest over a three year period in December of each year, if certain Company performance targets are met. The performance targets are based on a combination of the Company s fiscal year pre-tax income (adjusted for certain non-cash items) as a percentage of contract revenues and the Company s fiscal year operating cash flow level. The amounts set forth in the above tables includes additional performance shares that will be issued under the awards if three year cumulative targeted performance goals are met. These three year goals are based on similar measures as the fiscal year targets. Based upon the fiscal 2006 performance targets, including the three year cumulative targets, the Company expects approximately 134,000 shares will vest during December 2006. The fiscal 2006 performance vesting restricted stock issued under the awards carries voting and dividend rights.

^{*} Unvested time vesting restricted shares of 139,568 and unvested performance vesting restricted awards of 490,908 as of July 29, 2006.

As of July 29, 2006, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options outstanding under the Plans is \$0.9 million. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years. As of July 29, 2006 the total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested time-based restricted stock was \$2.8 million which is expected to be recognized each over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years. The maximum unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested performance-based awards is \$9.1 million. This cost would be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years if all performance conditions are met and the maximum amount of restricted stock under outstanding awards is

60

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

granted. If the performance goals are not met for performance vesting restricted stock, no compensation costs will be recognized for those shares and any compensation cost recognized previously for those shares will be reversed.

Additional information regarding options outstanding and exercisable as of July 29, 2006, is as follows:

	O	utstanding Optio	Exercisable Options			
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Ave Exc	ighted erage ercise Price
Range of exercise prices						
\$10.01 to \$12.50	14,197	5.3	\$ 10.77	12,384	\$	10.69
\$12.51 to \$15.00	638,273	5.7	\$ 14.04	507,232	\$	14.08
\$15.01 to \$23.92	25,001	9.0	\$ 20.06	1,000	\$	18.65
\$23.93 to \$30.00	1,131,100	5.8	\$ 25.89	1,104,100	\$	25.84
\$30.01 to \$35.00	806,212	8.0	\$ 34.32	806,212	\$	34.32
\$35.01 to \$40.00	16,000	1.4	\$ 37.19	16,000	\$	37.19
\$40.01 to \$47.00	313,716	3.9	\$ 45.36	313,716	\$	45.36
\$47.01 to \$60.00	119,193	2.9	\$ 50.56	119,193	\$	50.56
	3,063,692	6.1	\$ 28.53	2,879,837	\$	29.29

16. Related Party Transactions

The Company leases administrative offices from entities related to officers of certain of its subsidiaries. The total expense under these arrangements for fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004 was \$1.3 million, \$1.3 million, and \$1.5 million, respectively. Additionally, the Company paid approximately \$0.6 million in subcontracting services to entities related to officers of certain of its subsidiaries and paid approximately \$0.2 million to officers of certain of its subsidiaries for other business purposes.

The remaining future minimum lease commitments under these arrangements during each fiscal year through fiscal year 2011 and thereafter is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Future 1	ed Party Minimum Payments
2007	\$	1,056
2008		903
2009		490
2010		130
2011		130
Thereafter		346
Total	\$	3,055

17. Major Customers and Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company s operating subsidiaries obtain contracts from both public and private concerns. For the last three fiscal years, revenues from BellSouth Corporation (BellSouth), Verizon Communications, Inc. 61

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Verizon), Comcast Cable Corporation (Comcast) and Embarq Corp. (Embarq) represented the following percentages of total revenue:

Fiscal Year Ended

	2006	2005	2004
BellSouth	21.2%	16.6%	14.0%
Verizon	18.6%	25.1%	3.7%
Comcast	8.4%	11.3%	28.5%
Embarq	7.9%	7.5%	10.1%

Financial instruments which subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist almost entirely of trade accounts receivable. BellSouth, Verizon, Comcast, and Embarq represent a significant portion of the Company s customer base. As of July 29, 2006, the total outstanding trade receivables from BellSouth, Verizon, Comcast, and Embarq were approximately \$15.9 million or 10.9%, \$42.3 million or 28.9%, \$17.0 million or 11.6%, and \$7.6 million or 5.2%, respectively, of the outstanding trade receivables.

18. Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, there are transactions for which the ultimate tax outcome is uncertain. Consequently, judgment is required in determining the provision for income taxes and the associated income tax assets and liabilities. The Company regularly assesses its position with regard to individual tax exposures and records liabilities for uncertain tax positions in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies . These liabilities reflect management s best estimate of the likely outcomes of current and potential future audits. The Company was recently notified that its fiscal 2003 and 2004 income tax returns were selected for examination by the Internal Revenue Service. Management believes its provision for income taxes is adequate; however, any material assessment could affect our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity.

Certain of the Company s subsidiaries have pending claims and legal proceedings in the normal course of business. It is the opinion of the Company s management, based on information available at this time, that none of the current claims or proceedings will have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

The Company and its subsidiaries have operating leases covering office facilities, vehicles, and equipment that have noncancelable terms in excess of one year. Certain of these leases contain renewal provisions and generally require the Company to pay insurance, maintenance, and other operating expenses. Total expense incurred under operating lease agreements, excluding the transactions with related parties (see Note 16), for fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004, was \$7.2 million, \$6.1 million, and \$6.9 million, respectively. The Company also incurred rental expense of approximately \$10.2 million, \$7.3 million and \$6.6 million in fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, related to facilities, vehicles, and equipment which are being leased under terms that are

62

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

less than one year. The future minimum obligation during each fiscal year through fiscal 2011 and thereafter under the leases with noncancelable terms in excess of one year is as follows:

Future Minimum Lease Payments

	(dollars i	n thousands)
2007	\$	5,930
2008		3,891
2009		2,062
2010		1,340
2011		704
Thereafter		3,519
Total	\$	17,446

Performance Bonds and Guarantees. The Company has obligations under performance bonds related to certain of its customer contracts as of July 29, 2006. Performance bonds generally give the Company s customer the right to obtain payment and/or performance from the issuer of the bond if the Company fails to perform it s obligations under the contract. The estimated cost to complete the performance bonds is approximately \$16.4 million on \$32.9 million of outstanding performance bonds as of July 29, 2006. As of July 29, 2006, no events have occurred in which the customers have exercised their rights under the performance bonds.

Included in the above amount is an outstanding performance bond of \$10.6 million issued in favor of a customer where the Company is no longer the party performing under the contract. This guarantee for the third party performance arose in connection with the disposition of the contract that is the subject of such bond. The term of the bond is less than one year and the obligations under the customer contract are expected to be performed in a satisfactory manner by the current performing party. In accordance with FIN No. 45, Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees , the Company has recorded the estimated fair market value of the guarantee of approximately \$0.1 million in accrued liabilities as of July 29, 2006. The Company is not holding any collateral; however, it does have recourse to the party performing the contract with respect to claims related to periods subsequent to the disposition of the contract.

19. Segment Information

The Company operates in one reportable segment as a specialty contractor, providing engineering, construction, maintenance and installation services to telecommunications providers, underground locating services to various utilities including telecommunications providers, and other construction and maintenance services to electric utilities and others. These services are provided by the Company s various subsidiaries throughout the United States and, on a limited basis, in Canada. All of the Company s subsidiaries have been aggregated into one reporting segment due to their similar economic characteristics, products and production methods, and distribution methods. The following table presents information regarding revenues by type of customer (dollars in thousands):

Fiscal Year Ended

2006 2005 2004

(dollars in thousands)

Edgar Filing: DYCOM INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-K

Telecommunications	\$ 745,925	\$733,008	\$ 680,145
Utility line locating	218,418	213,161	157,997
Electric utilities and other construction and maintenance	59,330	40,458	34,574
Total contract revenues	\$ 1,023,673	\$ 986,627	\$ 872,716
63			

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

One of the Company's subsidiaries derived revenues from contracts in Canada of approximately \$0.6 million during fiscal 2006. The Company had no revenues from contracts in Canada during fiscal 2005 or fiscal 2004. Additionally, the Company had no material long-lived assets in the Canadian operations at July 29, 2006 or July 30, 2005.

20. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

In the opinion of management, the following unaudited quarterly data for fiscal 2006 and 2005 reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals), which are necessary to present a fair presentation of amounts shown for such periods. The earnings per common share calculation for each quarter is based on the weighted average shares of common stock outstanding plus the dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock.

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
	(dollars	in thousands,	except per share a	amounts)
2006 ⁽¹⁾ :				
Revenues	\$ 260,898	\$ 244,141	\$ 258,690	\$ 259,944
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	\$ 17,741	\$ 6,527	\$ (995) (2)	\$ 17,157
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 10,722	\$ 3,871	\$ (6,503) (2)	\$ 10,089
Earnings (Loss) per Common Share:				
Basic	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.10	$(0.16)^{(2)}$	\$ 0.25
Diluted	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.16) (2)	\$ 0.25
2005 ⁽³⁾ :				
Revenues	\$ 263,166	\$ 224,539	\$ 247,660	\$ 251,263
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	\$ 25,797	\$ 12,196	\$ 22,795	\$ (2,153) (4)
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 15,621	\$ 7,374	\$ 13,713	\$ (12,393) (4)
Earnings (Loss) per Common Share:				
Basic	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.28	\$ (0.25) (4)
Diluted	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.28	\$ (0.25) (4)

- (1) The Company acquired the outstanding common stock Prince in December 2005.
- (2) During the third quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company incurred a goodwill impairment charge of \$14.8 million related to its Can-Am reporting unit, as the result of an interim impairment test in accordance with SFAS No. 142 (see Note 7).
- (3) During the first quarter of fiscal 2004, the Company acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of RJE.
- (4) During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company incurred a goodwill impairment charge of \$29.0 million related to its WMCC reporting unit, as a result of the annual SFAS No. 142 valuation of reporting units (see Note 7).

21. Supplemental Consolidating Financial Statements

During the first quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company completed an offering of \$150.0 million of 8.125% senior subordinated notes (see Note 10). The Notes were issued by Dycom Investments, Inc. (Issuer), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The following condensed consolidating financial statements present, in separate columns,

financial information for (i) Dycom Industries, Inc. (Parent) on a parent only basis, (ii) the Issuer, (iii) the guarantor subsidiaries for the Notes on a combined basis, (iv) other

64

Table of Contents

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

non-guarantor subsidiaries on a combined basis, (v) the eliminations and reclassifications necessary to arrive at the information for the Company on a consolidated basis, and (vi) the Company on a consolidated basis. The consolidating financial statements are presented on the equity method. Under this method, the investments in subsidiaries are recorded at cost and adjusted for the Company s share of subsidiaries cumulative results of operations, capital contributions, distributions and other equity changes.

Each guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiary is wholly owned, directly or indirectly, by the Issuer and the Parent. The Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by each guarantor subsidiary and Parent. There are no contractual restrictions limiting transfers of cash from guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries to Issuer or Parent, within the meaning of Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X.

65

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET JULY 29, 2006

	Parent	Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Gu	Non- arantor osidiaries		iminations and lassifications	Dycom nsolidated
			(dollars	in th	ousands	3)		
		AS	SETS					
CURRENT ASSETS:								
Cash and equivalents	\$	\$	\$ 27,249	\$	19	\$		\$ 27,268
Accounts receivable, net	3		146,293		610			146,906
Costs and estimated earnings								
in excess of billings			79,546					79,546
Deferred tax assets, net	290		12,715		218			13,223
Inventories			7,981					7,981
Income taxes receivable								
Other current assets	1,770		7,594		20			9,384
Total current assets	2,063		281,378		867			284,308
PROPERTY AND								
EQUIPMENT, net	1,623		121,095		3,928			126,646
OTHER ASSETS:								
Goodwill			216,194					216,194
Intangible assets, net			48,939					48,939
Deferred tax assets, net								
non-current	1,663						(1,663)	
Investment in subsidiaries	676,959	929,836					(1,606,795)	
Intercompany receivables			393,139				(393,139)	
Other	3,618	4,269	6,041					13,928
Total other assets	682,240	934,105	664,313				(2,001,597)	279,061
TOTAL	\$685,926	\$ 934,105	\$ 1,066,786	\$	4,795	\$	(2,001,597)	\$ 690,015
	LIABILITI	ES AND ST	OCKHOLDE	CRS	EQUIT	Y		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:								
Accounts payable	\$ 612	\$	\$ 28,316	\$	124	\$		\$ 29,052
Current portion of debt			5,169					5,169
Billings in excess of costs and								
estimated earnings			397					397
Accrued self-insured claims	584		26,087		417			27,088
Income taxes payable	4,979							4,979
Other accrued liabilities	3,046	3,546	38,183		334			45,109

Edgar Filing: DYCOM INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-K

Total current liabilities	9,221	3,546	98,152	875		111,794
LONG-TERM DEBT		150,000	9			150,009
ACCRUED SELF-INSURED						
CLAIMS	811		31,001	659		32,471
DEFERRED TAX						
LIABILITIES, net non-current			7,036	624	(1,663)	5,997
INTERCOMPANY						
PAYABLES	286,150	103,600		3,389	(393,139)	
OTHER LIABILITIES	289					289
Total liabilities	296,471	257,146	136,198	5,547	(394,802)	300,560
Total stockholders equity	389,455	676,959	930,588	(752)	(1,606,795)	389,455
TOTAL	\$ 685,926	\$ 934,105	\$ 1,066,786	\$ 4,795	\$ (2,001,597)	\$ 690,015

66

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET JULY 30, 2005

				Non-	Eliminations	
			Subsidiary	Guarantor	and	Dycom
	Parent	Issuer	Guarantors	Subsidiaries	s Reclassifications	s Consolidated
			(1.11	• 41 1	`	
		AC	(dollars	in thousands	S)	
CURRENT ASSETS:		AS	SEIS			
Cash and equivalents	\$	\$	\$ 82,951	\$ 111	\$	\$ 83,062
Accounts receivable, net	3	Ψ	161,049	269	Ψ	161,321
Costs and estimated earnings	3		101,047	207		101,321
in excess of billings			65,549	10		65,559
Deferred tax assets, net	1,217		10,847	471		12,535
Inventories	1,217		8,116	171		8,116
Other current assets	4,068		7,208	10		11,286
3 4.101	.,000		7,200	10		11,200
Total current assets	5,288		335,720	871		341,879
	2,23		222,123	0,1		0 12,019
PROPERTY AND						
EQUIPMENT, net	869		112,418	3,858		117,145
			•			
OTHER ASSETS:						
Goodwill			194,123			194,123
Intangible assets, net			33,320			33,320
Deferred tax assets, net						
non-current	1,733				(1,733)	
Investment in subsidiaries	636,044	883,148			(1,519,192)	
Intercompany receivables			329,850		(329,850)	
Other	1,093		9,140	9		10,242
Total other assets	638,870	883,148	566,433	9	(1,850,775)	237,685
TOTAL	\$ 645,027	\$ 883,148	\$ 1,014,571	\$ 4,738	\$ (1,850,775)	\$ 696,709
	LIABILITI	ES AND ST	OCKHOLDE	RS EQUIT	Υ	
CURRENT LIABILITIES:	ф. 1.402	ф	Φ 25.661	Φ 41	ф	Φ 27.105
Accounts payable	\$ 1,483	\$	\$ 35,661	\$ 41	\$	\$ 37,185
Current portion of debt			2,749			2,749
Billings in excess of costs and			161			161
estimated earnings Accrued self-insured claims	024		464 26 748	504		464 28 166
	824 6.508		26,748	594		28,166
Income taxes payable Other accrued liabilities	6,598 4,816		38,216	518		6,598 43,550
Onler accrued habilities	4,810		30,210	318		45,550
Total current liabilities	13,721		103,838	1,153		118,712

Edgar Filing: DYCOM INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-K

LONG TERM-DEBT			4,179			4,179
ACCRUED SELF-INSURED						
CLAIMS	1,045		20,851	756		22,652
DEFERRED TAX						
LIABILITIES, net non-current			2,566	466	(1,733)	1,299
INTERCOMPANY						
PAYABLES	80,395	247,104		2,351	(329,850)	
OTHER LIABILITIES	56		1			57
Total liabilities	95,217	247,104	131,435	4,726	(331,583)	146,899
Total stockholders equity	549,810	636,044	883,136	12	(1,519,192)	549,810
TOTAL	\$ 645,027	\$883,148	\$ 1,014,571	\$ 4,738	\$ (1,850,775)	\$ 696,709
67						

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JULY 29, 2006

	Parent	Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations and Reclassifications	Dycom Consolidated
			(dollar	s in thousands	s)	
REVENUES:						
Contract revenues	\$	\$	\$ 1,023,095	\$ 578	\$	\$ 1,023,673
EXPENSES:						
Costs of earned revenues,						
excluding depreciation			835,557	332		835,889
General and administrative	17,697	605	60,732	1,834		80,868
Depreciation and	400		47.220	227		47.055
amortization Goodwill impairment	408		47,220	327		47,955
charge			14,835			14,835
Intercompany charges			11,035			11,000
(income), net	(15,788)		13,897	1,891		
Total	2,317	605	972,241	4,384		979,547
Interest income	52		1,860			1,912
Interest expense	(1,602)	(10,025)	(363)			(11,990)
Other income (expense), net	(58)		6,440			6,382
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND EQUITY IN EARNINGS						
OF SUBSIDIARIES	(3,925)	(10,630)	58,791	(3,806)		40,430
PROVISION (BENEFIT) FOR INCOME						
TAXES	(1,580)	(4,280)	29,643	(1,533)		22,250
NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY IN EARNINGS OF SUBSIDIARIES EQUITY IN EARNINGS OF SUBSIDIARIES	(2,345) 20,525	(6,350) 26,875	29,148	(2,273)	(47,400)	18,180
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 18,180	\$ 20,525	\$ 29,148	\$ (2,273)	\$ (47,400)	\$ 18,180
(¥ 10,100	+ -0,020	- -	Ψ (2 , 2 ,5)	÷ (17,100)	7 10,100

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JULY 30, 2005

			~	Non-	Eliminations	_
	Domont	Iggues	Subsidiary	Guarantor	and Reclassifications	Dycom Consolidated
	Parent	Issuer	Guarantors	Subsidiaries	Reclassifications	Consolidated
			(dollar	s in thousand	s)	
REVENUES:						
Contract revenues	\$	\$	\$ 981,776	\$ 4,851	\$	\$ 986,627
EXPENSES:						
Costs of earned revenues,						
excluding depreciation			781,657	3,959		785,616
General and administrative	19,477	383	56,623	3,244		79,727
Depreciation and						
amortization	372		45,446	775		46,593
Goodwill impairment charge			28,951			28,951
Intercompany charges	(15.105)	10	10.040	2.276		
(income), net	(15,137)	13	12,848	2,276		
Total	4,712	396	925,525	10,254		940,887
Total	7,712	370	725,525	10,234		7-0,007
Interest income	62		882	397		1,341
Interest expense			(417)			(417)
Other income, net	(2)		7,887	4,085		11,970
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE						
INCOME TAXES AND						
EQUITY IN EARNINGS OF						
SUBSIDIARIES	(4,652)	(396)	64,603	(921)		58,634
PROVISION						
(BENEFIT) FOR INCOME						
TAXES	(1,092)		33,929	1,483		34,320
NET BICOME						
NET INCOME						
(LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY						
IN EARNINGS OF SUBSIDIARIES	(2.560)	(206)	20.674	(2.404)		24.214
EQUITY IN EARNINGS OF	(3,560)	(396)	30,674	(2,404)		24,314
SUBSIDIARIES	27,874	28,270			(56,144)	
SUBSIDIARIES	27,074	20,270			(30,144)	
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 24,314	\$ 27,874	\$ 30,674	\$ (2,404)	\$ (56,144)	\$ 24,314
	. ,-	, ,		. (, , , , ,	. (,)	. ,-
69						

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JULY 31, 2004

	Parent	Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations and Reclassifications	Dycom Consolidated
			(dollar	rs in thousands	s)	
REVENUES:			(- ,	
Contract revenues	\$	\$	\$ 859,519	\$ 13,197	\$	\$ 872,716
EXPENSES:						
Costs of earned revenues,						
excluding depreciation			660,680	12,882		673,562
General and administrative	16,007	709	54,421	4,219		75,356
Depreciation and	25.4		40.200	1 20 4		12.066
amortization	374		40,308	1,384		42,066
Intercompany charges	(14 507)		16.052	(1.465)		
(income), net	(14,587)		16,052	(1,465)		
Total	1,794	709	771,461	17,020		790,984
Gain on sale of accounts						
receivable			11,359			11,359
Interest income	46		697	32		775
Interest expense	(636)		(327)			(963)
Other income, net	22		3,008	1,247		4,277
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE						
INCOME TAXES AND						
EQUITY IN EARNINGS OF	(2.2(2)	(700)	100 705	(2.544)		07.100
SUBSIDIARIES	(2,362)	(709)	102,795	(2,544)		97,180
PROVISION (BENEFIT) FOR INCOME						
TAXES	(233)	(162)	38,526	416		38,547
TAXLS	(233)	(102)	36,320	710		30,347
NET INCOME (LOSS)						
BEFORE EQUITY IN						
EARNINGS OF						
SUBSIDIARIES	(2,129)	(547)	64,269	(2,960)		58,633
EQUITY IN EARNINGS OF						
SUBSIDIARIES	60,762	61,309			(122,071)	
NET INCOME (LOCC)	¢ 50 622	¢ (0.762	¢ (4.260	¢ (2.000)	¢ (122.071)	Φ 50 622
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 58,633	\$60,762	\$ 64,269	\$ (2,960)	\$ (122,071)	\$ 58,633

70

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JULY 29, 2006

	Parent	Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations and Dycom ReclassificationConsolidated
			(dollars in	thousands)	
Net cash provided by (used					
in) operating activities	\$ (2,250)	\$	\$ 104,281	\$ 243	\$ \$ 102,274
Cash flows from investing					
activities:					
Restricted cash	(291)				(291)
Capital expenditures	· ·		(56,805)	(335)	(57,140)
Proceeds from sale of					
assets			9,810		9,810
Purchase of short-term					
investments			(79,985)		(79,985)
Proceeds from the sale of			70.005		70.005
short-term investments			79,985		79,985
Cash paid for acquisitions			(65,391)		(65,391)
Net cash used in investing					
activities	(291)		(112,386)	(335)	(113,012)
detivities	(2)1)		(112,300)	(333)	(113,012)
Cash flows from financing					
activities:					
Debt issuance costs	(284)	(4,520)			(4,804)
Proceeds from long-term					
debt	98,000	150,000			248,000
Principal payments on					
long-term debt	(98,000)		(6,650)		(104,650)
Repurchases of common					(4.0.5.2.2)
stock	(186,235)				(186,235)
Exercise tax benefit from	40				40
share based awards	48				48
Restricted stock tax withholdings	(232)				(232)
Exercise of stock options	(232)				(232)
and other	2,817				2,817
Intercompany funding	186,427	(145,480)	(40,947)		2,017
B	,	(-10,100)	(10,211)		
Net cash (used in) provided					
by financing activities	2,541		(47,597)		(45,056)
Net decrease in cash and					
equivalents			(55,702)	(92)	(55,794)

Edgar Filing: DYCOM INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-K

CASH AND EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD		82,951	111		83,062
CASH AND EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ \$	\$ 27,249	\$ 19	\$	\$ 27,268
71					

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JULY 30, 2005

	Parent	Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Gu	Non- arantor sidiaries	Eliminations and Reclassifications	Dycom Isolidated
			(doll	ars ir	thousan	ds)	
Net cash provided (used in) by operating activities	\$ (304)	\$	\$ 92,381	\$	(4,645)	\$	\$ 87,432
Cash flows from investing activities:							
Restricted cash	(1,612)		4,536				2,924
Capital expenditures	(623)		(62,925)		(995)		(64,543)
Proceeds from sale of assets	5		10,514		5,659		16,178
Purchase of short-term							
investments			(65,649)				(65,649)
Proceeds from the sale of							
short-term investments			85,659				85,659
Intercompany advances			(8,527)			8,527	
Cash paid for acquisitions	(8,527)						(8,527)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(10,757)		(36,392)		4,664	8,527	(33,958)
Cash flows from financing activities:							
Debt issuance costs	(1,434)						(1,434)
Principal payments on long-term debt	,		(4,329)				(4,329)
Exercise of stock options and other	3,968						3,968
Intercompany funding	8,527					(8,527)	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	11,061		(4,329)			(8,527)	(1,795)
Net increase in cash and equivalents			51,660		19		51,679
CASH AND EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD			31,291		92		31,383
CASH AND EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$	\$	\$ 82,951	\$	111	\$	\$ 83,062

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JULY 31, 2004

	Parent	Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarant Subsidiar	or	Climinations and classifications	Dycom Isolidated
			(dolla	ars in thou	sands)		
Net cash provided by (used							
in) operating activities	\$ (4,169)	\$	\$ 130,315	\$ (1,9)	28) \$		\$ 124,218
Cash flows from investing activities:							
Restricted cash	(91)						(91)
Capital expenditures	(372)		(35,019)	(4	91)		(35,882)
Proceeds from sale of assets			4,422	2,8	12		7,234
Purchase of short-term							
investments			(106,758)				(106,758)
Proceeds from the sale of							
short-term investments			141,898				141,898
Intercompany advances			(175,202)			175,202	
Cash paid for acquisitions	(175,202)						(175,202)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(175,665)		(170,659)	2,3	21	175,202	(168,801)
Cash flows from financing activities:							
Proceeds from long-term debt	85,000						85,000
Principal payments on long-term debt	(85,000)		(3,368)				(88,368)
Exercise of stock options							
and other	4,632						4,632
Intercompany funding	175,202					(175,202)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	179,834		(3,368)			(175,202)	1,264
Net (decrease) increase in							
cash and equivalents			(43,712)	3	93		(43,319)
CASH AND EQUIVALENTS AT							
BEGINNING OF PERIOD			75,003	(3	01)		74,702
CASH AND EQUIVALENTS AT END	\$	\$	\$ 31,291	\$	92 \$		\$ 31,383

OF PERIOD

73

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Dycom Industries, Inc.

Palm Beach Gardens, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Dycom Industries, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of July 29, 2006 and July 30, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 29, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Dycom Industries, Inc. and subsidiaries as of July 29, 2006 and July 30, 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 29, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of July 29, 2006, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated September 7, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP Certified Public Accountants Fort Lauderdale, Florida September 7, 2006

74

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures

There have been no changes in or disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosures within the meaning of Item 304 of Regulation S-K.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer each concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in providing reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files under the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company s most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting. In making our assessment of changes in internal control over financial reporting as of July 29, 2006, we have excluded Prince Telecom Holdings, Inc., which we acquired on December 16, 2005. These operations represent approximately 12.8% and 6.0% of our total assets and total liabilities at July 29, 2006, respectively, and approximately 6.4% of our total contract revenues for the year ended July 29, 2006.

Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of Dycom Industries, Inc. and subsidiaries is responsible for establishing and maintaining a system of internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company s internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance that the reported financial information is presented fairly, that disclosures are adequate and that the judgments inherent in the preparation of financial statements are reasonable. There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of internal control, including the possibility of human error and overriding of controls. Consequently, an effective internal control system can only provide reasonable, not absolute assurance, with respect to reporting financial information. Further, because of changes in conditions, effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting may vary over time.

In accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission s published guidance, our assessment of internal control over financial reporting excludes Prince Telecom Holdings, Inc. (Prince), which we acquired on December 16, 2005. These operations represent approximately 12.8% and 6.0% of our total assets and total liabilities at July 29, 2006, respectively, and approximately 6.4% of our total contract revenues for the year ended July 29, 2006.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the Company s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of July 29, 2006. Management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of July 29, 2006 has been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their attestation report which is included herein on page 76.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Dycom Industries, Inc.

Palm Beach Gardens, Florida

We have audited management s assessment, included in the accompanying *Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*, that Dycom Industries, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of July 29, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As described in *Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*, management excluded from their assessment the internal control over financial reporting at Prince Telecom Holdings, Inc., which was acquired on December 16, 2005 and whose financial statements reflect total assets and revenues constituting 12.8 and 6.4 percent, respectively, of the related consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended July 29, 2006. Accordingly, our audit did not include the internal control over financial reporting at Prince Telecom Holdings, Inc. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management s assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of July 29, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of July 29, 2006, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended July 29, 2006 of the Company and our report dated September 7, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP Certified Public Accountants Fort Lauderdale, Florida September 7, 2006

76

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Information concerning directors and nominees of the Registrant and other information as required by this item are hereby incorporated by reference from the Company s definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A.

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the Company s executive officers, all of whom serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors.

Name	Age Office		Executive Officer Since
Steven E. Nielsen	43	Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer	February 26, 1996
Timothy R. Estes	52	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	September 01, 2001
Richard L. Dunn	57	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	January 28, 2000
Richard B. Vilsoet	52	General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	June 11, 2005
H. Andrew DeFerrari	37	Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer	November 22, 2005

There are no family relationships among the Company s executive officers.

Steven E. Nielsen has been the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer since March 1999. Prior to that, Mr. Nielsen was President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company from August 1996 to March 1999, and Vice President from February 1996 to August 1996. Mr. Nielsen has been a Director of SBA Communications Corporation since November 2001.

Timothy R. Estes has been the Company s Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since September 2001. Prior to that, Mr. Estes was the President of Ansco & Associates, Inc., one of the Company s subsidiaries, from 1997 until 2001 and as Vice President from 1994 until 1997.

Richard L. Dunn is the Company s Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Dunn has been employed with the Company in this capacity since January 28, 2000. Mr. Dunn was previously employed by Avborne, Inc., a privately held company in the commercial aviation maintenance and repair industry, from April 1998 to January 2000 as Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Dunn was employed by Perry Ellis International from April 1994 to April 1998 as Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer.

Richard B. Vilsoet has been the Company s General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since June 2005. Before joining the Company, Mr. Vilsoet was a partner with Shearman & Sterling LLP. Mr. Vilsoet was with Shearman & Sterling LLP for over 15 years.

H. Andrew DeFerrari has been the Company s Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer since November 2005 and was the Company s Financial Controller from July 2004 through November 2005. Mr. DeFerrari was previously a senior audit manager with Ernst & Young LLP from May 2002 through July 2004. From September 1992 through May 2002, Mr. DeFerrari was employed by Arthur Andersen LLP, most recently as a senior audit manager.

Audit Committee

Charles M. Brennan, III, Charles B. Coe, Stephen C. Coley, and Jack H. Smith are members of the audit committee. This committee is empowered to: (i) appoint, fix the compensation of and oversee the work of our independent auditors (including the power to resolve any disagreements between management and the independent auditors), with the independent auditors reporting directly to the audit committee; (ii) pre-

Table of Contents

approve all audit services and permissible non-audit services; (iii) establish procedures for whistleblower complaints; and (iv) engage and determine funding for independent counsel and other advisors. The board of directors has determined that Charles M. Brennan, III and Jack H. Smith, are audit committee financial experts within the meaning of the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers which is a code of ethics as that term is defined in Item 406(b) of Regulation S-K and which applies to its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Controller and other persons performing similar functions. The Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers is available on our Internet website at www.dycomind.com. If we make any substantive amendments to, or a waiver from, provisions of the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, we will disclose the nature of such amendment, or waiver, on that website or in a report on Form 8-K.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information concerning executive compensation is hereby incorporated by reference to the Company s definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information concerning the ownership of certain of the Registrant s beneficial owners and management and related stockholder matters is hereby incorporated by reference to the Company s definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Information concerning relationships and related transactions is hereby incorporated by reference to the Company s definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information concerning principal accounting fees and services is hereby incorporated by reference to the Company s definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A.

78

Exhibit Number

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

		Page
1.	Consolidated financial statements:	
	Consolidated balance sheets at July 29, 2006 and July 30, 2005	37
	Consolidated statements of operations for the fiscal years ended July 29,	
	2006, July 30, 2005, and July 31, 2004	38
	Consolidated statements of stockholders equity for the fiscal years ended	
	July 29, 2006, July 30, 2005, and July 31, 2004	39
	Consolidated statements of cash flows for the fiscal years ended July 29,	
	2006, July 30, 2005, and July 31, 2004	40
	Notes to consolidated financial statements	42
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	74
	Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	75
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	76
2.	Financial statement schedules:	
	All schedules have been omitted because they are inapplicable, not	
	required, or the information is included in the above referenced	
	consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto	
3.	Exhibits furnished pursuant to the requirements of Form 10-K:	

3(i)	Restated Articles of Incorporation of Dycom (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on June 11, 2002).
3(ii)	Amended By-laws of Dycom, as amended on May 24, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-81268), filed with the Commission on January 23, 2002).
4.2	Shareholder Rights Agreement, dated April 4, 2001, between the Company and the Rights Agent (which includes the Form of Rights Certificate, as Exhibit A, the Summary of Rights to Purchase Preferred Stock, as Exhibit B, and the Form of Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation for Series A Preferred Stock, as Exhibit C), (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 8-A filed with the Commission on April 6, 2001).
4.3	Stockholders Agreement, dated as of January 7, 2002, among Dycom, Troy Acquisition Corp., Arguss Communications, Inc. and certain stockholders of Arguss Communications, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Registration Statement on From S-4 (File No. 333-81268), filed with the Commission on January 23, 2002).
10.1	Credit Agreement dated December 21, 2004 by and among Dycom Industries, Inc. and the Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent for the Lenders and

Title

Edgar Filing:	DYCOM INDUST	FRIES INC -	Form 10-K

79

	Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent (incorporated by reference to Dycom's Form 8-K filed with the commission on December 23, 2004).
10.2*	1998 Incentive Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Commission on September 30, 1999).
10.3*	1991 Incentive Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Commission on November 5, 1991).
10.4*	Employment Agreement for Richard L. Dunn dated as of January 28, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Dycom s 10-Q filed with the Commission on June 9, 2000).
10.5*	Employment Agreement for Timothy R. Estes (incorporated by reference to Dycom s 10-Q filed with the Commission on October 18, 2002).

Exhibit Number	Title	
10.6*	2002 Directors Restricted Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit A of the Registrant s Definitive Proxy Statement, filed with the Commission on October 22, 2002, File No. 001-10613).	
10.7*	Amendment to the Employment Agreement between Richard L. Dunn and Dycom Industries, Inc. effective as of January 28, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on March 11, 2003).	
10.8*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Steven E. Nielsen and Dycom Industries, Inc. dated as of November 25, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on December 5, 2003).	
10.9	Agreement and Plan of Merger among Dycom Industries, Inc., UtiliQuest Acquisition Corp., UtiliQuest Holdings Corp., and OCM/ GFI Power Opportunities Fund, L.P. dated as of November 17, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on December 5, 2003).	
10.10*	2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit A of the Registrant s Definitive Proxy Statement, filed with the Commission on October 30, 2003, File No. 001-10613).	
10.11*	Restricted Stock Agreement between Steven E. Nielsen and Dycom Industries, Inc. dated as of November 25, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Dycom s 10-Q filed with the Commission on March 9, 2004).	
10.12*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Timothy R. Estes and Dycom Industries Inc. dated as of November 4, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 8-K filed with the commission on November 10, 2004).	
10.13*	Restricted Stock Agreement between Timothy R. Estes and Dycom Industries Inc. dated as of November 23, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 10-Q filed with the commission on March 10, 2005).	
10.14*	Restricted Stock Agreement between Timothy R. Estes and Dycom Industries Inc. dated as of January 5, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 10-Q filed with the commission on March 10, 2005).	
10.15*	Employment Agreement for Richard B. Vilsoet dates as of May 5, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 10-K filed with the commission on September 9, 2005).	
10.16	First Amendment dated September 12, 2005 to Credit Agreement dated as of December 21, 2004 with certain lenders named therein, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, and the other lender party thereto (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 8-K filed with the commission on September 13, 2005).	

10.17	Indenture dated as of October 11, 2005, between Dycom Investments, Inc., Dycom Industries, Inc., certain subsidiaries of Dycom Industries, Inc., as guarantors, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Dycom Form 8-K filed with the commission on October 25, 2005).	S
10.18*	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement and Performance-Based Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Richard L. Dunn, Richard B. Vilsoet, and H. Andrew DeFerrari (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 8-K filed with the commission on December 20, 2005).	
10.19*	Employment Agreement for H. Andrew DeFerrari dated as of July 14, 2004 (incorporately reference to Dycom s Form 8-K filed with the commission on January 23, 2006).	ıted
10.20*	Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Steven E. Nielsen and Timothy R. Estes (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 8-K filed with the commission on February 1, 2006).	
10.21*	Amendment to the Employment Agreement of H. Andrew DeFerrari dated as of August 25, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Dycom s Form 8-K filed with the commission on August 31, 2006).	
21.1+	Principal Subsidiaries of Dycom Industries, Inc.	
		80

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Title	
23.1+	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.	
31.1+	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	
31.2+	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	
32.1+	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	
32.2+	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	

^{*} Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

81

⁺ Filed herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DYCOM INDUSTRIES, INC. Registrant

/s/ Steven E. Nielsen

Name: Steven E. Nielsen

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: September 8, 2006

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Position	Date
/s/ Steven E. Nielsen	Chairman of the Board of Directors	September 8, 2006
Steven E. Nielsen		
/s/ Richard L. Dunn	Senior Vice President and Chief	September 8, 2006
Richard L. Dunn	Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	
/s/ H. Andrew DeFerrari	Vice President and Chief	September 8, 2006
H. Andrew DeFerrari	Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)	
/s/ Thomas G. Baxter	Director	September 8, 2006
Thomas G. Baxter		
/s/ Charles M. Brennan, III	Director	September 8, 2006
Charles M. Brennan, III		
/s/ Charles B. Coe	Director	September 8, 2006
Charles B. Coe		
/s/ Stephen C. Coley	Director	September 8, 2006
Stephen C. Coley		
/s/ Joseph M. Schell	Director	September 8, 2006
Joseph M. Schell		

/s/ Jack H. Smith

Jack H. Smith

/s/ Tony G. Werner

Director

September 8, 2006

September 8, 2006

Tony G. Werner

82