

ALLSTATE CORP  
Form 10-Q  
October 31, 2012

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

**/X/ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012**

**OR**

**[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_**

**Commission file number 1-11840**

**THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

**36-3871531**

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**2775 Sanders Road, Northbrook, Illinois**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**60062**

(Zip Code)

**(847) 402-5000**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No    

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes X No    

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer X

Accelerated filer    

Non-accelerated filer     (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company    

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes     No X

As of October 17, 2012, the registrant had 418,222,228 common shares, \$.01 par value, outstanding.

**THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION**

**INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q**

**September 30, 2012**

<b>PART I</b>	<b>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three-Month and Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)	1
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three-Month and Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as of September 30, 2012 (unaudited) and December 31, 2011	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)	4
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	5
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	50
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	
	Highlights	51
	Consolidated Net Income	52
	Property-Liability Highlights	52
	Allstate Protection Segment	57
	Discontinued Lines and Coverages Segment	68
	Property-Liability Investment Results	69
	Allstate Financial Highlights	69
	Allstate Financial Segment	70
	Investments Highlights	78
	Investments	78
	Capital Resources and Liquidity Highlights	93
	Capital Resources and Liquidity	93
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	97
<b>PART II</b>	<b>OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	98
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	98
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	98
Item 6.	Exhibits	98



## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(\$ in millions, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(unaudited)		(unaudited)	
<b>Revenues</b>				
Property-liability insurance premiums	\$ 6,697	\$ 6,432	\$ 19,993	\$ 19,337
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges	563	552	1,675	1,668
Net investment income	940	994	2,977	2,996
Realized capital gains and losses:				
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(39)	(197)	(195)	(435)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income	(7)	(6)	16	(37)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings	(46)	(203)	(179)	(472)
Sales and other realized capital gains and losses	(26)	467	302	889
Total realized capital gains and losses	(72)	264	123	417
	8,128	8,242	24,768	24,418
<b>Costs and expenses</b>				
Property-liability insurance claims and claims expense	4,293	5,132	13,442	15,963
Life and annuity contract benefits	453	455	1,354	1,331
Interest credited to contractholder funds	215	405	959	1,240
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	1,016	1,046	2,937	2,990
Operating costs and expenses	1,010	888	3,023	2,656
Restructuring and related charges	9	8	25	28
Interest expense	93	92	281	275
	7,089	8,026	22,021	24,483
Gain (loss) on disposition of operations	9	3	15	(10)
<b>Income (loss) from operations before income tax expense (benefit)</b>	1,048	219	2,762	(75)
Income tax expense (benefit)	325	44	850	(150)
<b>Net income</b>	\$ 723	\$ 175	\$ 1,912	\$ 75
<b>Earnings per share:</b>				
Net income per share - Basic	\$ 1.49	\$ 0.34	\$ 3.89	\$ 0.14
Weighted average shares - Basic	485.9	512.0	491.5	520.4
Net income per share - Diluted	\$ 1.48	\$ 0.34	\$ 3.86	\$ 0.14
Weighted average shares - Diluted	489.9	514.2	494.7	522.9

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash dividends declared per share	\$	0.22	\$	0.21	\$	0.66	\$	0.63
-----------------------------------	----	------	----	------	----	------	----	------

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(unaudited)		(unaudited)	
<b>Net income</b>	\$ 723	\$ 175	\$ 1,912	\$ 75
<b>Other comprehensive income (loss), after-tax</b>				
Changes in:				
Unrealized net capital gains and losses	810	(410)	1,480	117
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	12	(33)	14	(19)
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost	20	21	64	53
<b>Other comprehensive income (loss), after-tax</b>	842	(422)	1,558	151
<b>Comprehensive income (loss)</b>	\$ 1,565	\$ (247)	\$ 3,470	\$ 226

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

## THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

(\$ in millions, except par value data)

	September 30, 2012 (unaudited)	December 31, 2011
<b>Assets</b>		
Investments		
Fixed income securities, at fair value (amortized cost \$72,432 and \$73,379)	\$ 77,729	\$ 76,113
Equity securities, at fair value (cost \$3,429 and \$4,203)	3,876	4,363
Mortgage loans	6,904	7,139
Limited partnership interests	4,974	4,697
Short-term, at fair value (amortized cost \$2,825 and \$1,291)	2,825	1,291
Other	2,208	2,015
Total investments	98,516	95,618
Cash	642	776
Premium installment receivables, net	5,108	4,920
Deferred policy acquisition costs	3,578	3,871
Reinsurance recoverables, net	7,278	7,251
Accrued investment income	835	826
Deferred income taxes	--	722
Property and equipment, net	928	914
Goodwill	1,242	1,242
Other assets	2,041	2,069
Separate Accounts	6,820	6,984
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 126,988</b>	<b>\$ 125,193</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense	\$ 20,197	\$ 20,375
Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits	14,900	14,406
Contractholder funds	40,110	42,332
Unearned premiums	10,494	10,057
Claim payments outstanding	763	827
Deferred income taxes	689	--
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	6,121	5,978
Long-term debt	6,057	5,908
Separate Accounts	6,820	6,984
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>106,151</b>	<b>106,867</b>
<b>Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (Note 10)</b>		
<b>Equity</b>		
Preferred stock, \$1 par value, 25 million shares authorized, none issued	--	--
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 2.0 billion shares authorized and 900 million issued, 483 million and 501 million shares outstanding	9	9
Additional capital paid-in	3,154	3,189
Retained income	33,496	31,909
Deferred ESOP expense	(41)	(43)
Treasury stock, at cost (417 million and 399 million shares)	(17,368)	(16,795)
Accumulated other comprehensive income:		
Unrealized net capital gains and losses:		
Unrealized net capital losses on fixed income securities with OTTI	(42)	(174)
Other unrealized net capital gains and losses	3,765	2,041
Unrealized adjustment to DAC, DSI and insurance reserves	(843)	(467)
Total unrealized net capital gains and losses	2,880	1,400
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	70	56
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost	(1,363)	(1,427)
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	1,587	29
<b>Total shareholders' equity</b>	<b>20,837</b>	<b>18,298</b>
Noncontrolling interest	--	28
<b>Total equity</b>	<b>20,837</b>	<b>18,326</b>
<b>Total liabilities and equity</b>	<b>\$ 126,988</b>	<b>\$ 125,193</b>



See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

## THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(\$ in millions)

		Nine Months Ended September 30, (unaudited)	
	2012		2011
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>			
Net income	\$ 1,912	\$	75
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, amortization and other non-cash items	293		149
Realized capital gains and losses	(123)		(417)
(Gain) loss on disposition of operations	(15)		10
Interest credited to contractholder funds	959		1,240
Changes in:			
Policy benefits and other insurance reserves	(769)		546
Unearned premiums	421		220
Deferred policy acquisition costs	13		129
Premium installment receivables, net	(178)		(158)
Reinsurance recoverables, net	(139)		(275)
Income taxes	669		(183)
Other operating assets and liabilities	(425)		335
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,618		1,671
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>			
Proceeds from sales			
Fixed income securities	13,952		23,916
Equity securities	1,345		1,116
Limited partnership interests	1,067		762
Mortgage loans	11		74
Other investments	104		149
Investment collections			
Fixed income securities	3,892		3,864
Mortgage loans	682		491
Other investments	70		105
Investment purchases			
Fixed income securities	(16,809)		(21,900)
Equity securities	(385)		(1,066)
Limited partnership interests	(1,232)		(1,159)
Mortgage loans	(472)		(896)
Other investments	(275)		(199)
Change in short-term investments, net	(1,284)		64
Change in other investments, net	(6)		(357)
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(176)		(160)
Disposition of operations	13		1
Net cash provided by investing activities	497		4,805
<b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>			
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	493		--
Repayment of long-term debt	(351)		(1)
Contractholder fund deposits	1,571		1,606
Contractholder fund withdrawals	(3,938)		(6,439)
Dividends paid	(322)		(327)
Treasury stock purchases	(729)		(858)
Shares reissued under equity incentive plans, net	60		18
Excess tax benefits on share-based payment arrangements	7		(4)
Other	(40)		(7)
Net cash used in financing activities	(3,249)		(6,012)
<b>Net (decrease) increase in cash</b>	(134)		464
<b>Cash at beginning of period</b>	776		562
<b>Cash at end of period</b>	\$ 642	\$	1,026

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**1. General**

**Basis of presentation**

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of The Allstate Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries, primarily Allstate Insurance Company ( AIC ), a property-liability insurance company with various property-liability and life and investment subsidiaries, including Allstate Life Insurance Company ( ALIC ) (collectively referred to as the Company or Allstate ).

The condensed consolidated financial statements and notes as of September 30, 2012 and for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are unaudited. The condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals), which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods. These condensed consolidated financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 2, 2012. The results of operations for the interim periods should not be considered indicative of results to be expected for the full year.

To conform to the current year presentation, certain amounts in the prior year condensed consolidated financial statements and notes have been reclassified.

**Adopted accounting standards**

*Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts*

In October 2010, the FASB issued guidance modifying the definition of the types of costs incurred by insurance entities that can be capitalized in the acquisition of new and renewal insurance contracts. The guidance specifies that the costs must be directly related to the successful acquisition of insurance contracts. The guidance also specifies that advertising costs should be included as deferred acquisition costs ( DAC ) only when the direct-response advertising accounting criteria are met. The Company adopted the new guidance on a retrospective basis as of January 1, 2012. The cumulative effect of the adoption to shareholders' equity as of January 1, 2011 was a decrease of \$399 million, net of taxes. The impacts of the retrospective adjustments on previously issued financial statements are summarized in the following table.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

(\$ in millions, except per share data)

	Three months ended September 30, 2011		Nine months ended September 30, 2011	
	Previously Reported	As Adjusted	Previously Reported	As Adjusted
Amortization of DAC	\$ 1,122	\$ 1,046	\$ 3,191	\$ 2,990
Operating costs and expenses	825	888	2,465	2,656
Gain (loss) on disposition of operations	--	3	(17)	(10)
Income tax expense (benefit)	38	44	(156)	(150)
Net income	165	175	64	75
Net income per share - Basic	0.32	0.34	0.12	0.14
Net income per share - Diluted	0.32	0.34	0.12	0.14

	As of December 31, 2011	
	Previously Reported	As Adjusted
DAC	4,443	3,871
Deferred income taxes	520	722
Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits	14,449	14,406
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	5,929	5,978
Retained income	32,321	31,909
Unrealized adjustment to DAC, DSI and insurance reserves	(504)	(467)
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	57	56

In future periods, operating costs and expenses will increase since a lower amount of acquisition costs will be capitalized, which will be partially offset by a decrease in amortization of DAC due to the retrospective reduction of the DAC balance. The effect of the adoption on net income and related per share amounts for interim periods after adoption is not determinable since calculations under the historic DAC accounting policy were not continued after adoption.

*Criteria for Determining Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements*

In April 2011, the FASB issued guidance modifying the assessment criteria of effective control for repurchase agreements. The new guidance removes the criteria requiring an entity to have the ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets on substantially the agreed terms and the collateral maintenance guidance related to that criteria. The guidance is to be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur during reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance as of January 1, 2012 had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

*Amendments to Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements*

In May 2011, the FASB issued guidance that clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and amends certain fair value measurement principles, requirements and disclosures. Changes were made to improve consistency in global application. The guidance is to be applied prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance as of January 1, 2012 had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

*Presentation of Comprehensive Income*

In June and December 2011, the FASB issued guidance amending the presentation of comprehensive income and its components. Under the new guidance, a reporting entity has the option to present comprehensive income in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. The Company adopted the new guidance in the first quarter of 2012. The new guidance affects presentation only and therefore had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

*Intangibles Goodwill and Other*

In September 2011, the FASB issued guidance providing the option to first assess qualitative factors, such as macroeconomic conditions and industry and market considerations, to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If impairment is indicated by the qualitative assessment, then it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. If the option is not elected, the guidance requiring the two-step goodwill impairment test is unchanged. The adoption of this guidance as of January 1, 2012 had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

**Pending accounting standard**

*Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities for Financial Instruments and Derivative Instruments*

In December 2011, the FASB issued guidance requiring expanded disclosures, including both gross and net information, for financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either offset in the reporting entity's financial statements or those that are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and is to be applied retrospectively. The new guidance affects disclosures only and will have no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

**2. Earnings per share**

Basic earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, including unvested participating restricted stock units. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding. For the Company, dilutive potential common shares consist of outstanding stock options and unvested non-participating restricted stock units and contingently issuable performance stock awards.

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per share is presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions, except per share data)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Numerator:				
Net income	\$ 723	\$ 175	\$ 1,912	\$ 75
Denominator:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding	485.9	512.0	491.5	520.4
Effect of dilutive potential common stock:				
Stock options	2.7	1.6	2.2	2.0
Restricted stock units and performance share awards (non-participating)	1.3	0.6	1.0	0.5
Weighted average common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding	489.9	514.2	494.7	522.9
Earnings per share - Basic	\$ 1.49	\$ 0.34	\$ 3.89	\$ 0.14
Earnings per share - Diluted	\$ 1.48	\$ 0.34	\$ 3.86	\$ 0.14

The effect of dilutive potential common shares does not include the effect of options with an anti-dilutive effect on earnings per share because their exercise prices exceed the average market price of Allstate common shares during the period or for which the unrecognized compensation cost would have an anti-dilutive effect. Options to purchase 17.8 million and 27.6 million Allstate common shares, with exercise prices ranging from \$31.41 to \$62.84 and \$24.70 to \$62.84, were outstanding for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share in those periods. Options to purchase 22.1 million and 27.6 million Allstate common shares, with exercise prices ranging from \$26.56 to \$62.84 and \$25.91 to \$62.84, were outstanding for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share in those periods.

### 3. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Non-cash modifications of certain mortgage loans, fixed income securities, limited partnership interests and other investments, as well as mergers completed with equity securities, totaled \$170 million and \$564 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Non-cash financing activities include \$39 million related to the issuance of Allstate shares for vested restricted stock units for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Liabilities for collateral received in conjunction with the Company's securities lending program and over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives are reported in other liabilities and accrued expenses or other investments. The accompanying cash flows are included in cash flows from operating activities in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows along with the activities resulting from management of the proceeds, which are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
<b>Net change in proceeds managed</b>		
Net change in short-term investments	\$ (297)	\$ (301)



Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Operating cash flow used	(297)	(301)
Net change in cash	(6)	1
Net change in proceeds managed	\$ (303)	\$ (300)
<b>Net change in liabilities</b>		
Liabilities for collateral, beginning of year	\$ (462)	\$ (484)
Liabilities for collateral, end of period	(765)	(784)
Operating cash flow provided	\$ 303	\$ 300

**4. Investments****Fair values**

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value for fixed income securities are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Amortized cost	Gains	Gross unrealized Losses	Fair value
<b>September 30, 2012</b>				
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 4,401	\$ 371	\$ --	\$ 4,772
Municipal	13,048	1,083	(161)	13,970
Corporate	44,344	3,956	(146)	48,154
Foreign government	2,015	240	--	2,255
Residential mortgage-backed securities ( RMBS )	3,344	150	(146)	3,348
Commercial mortgage-backed securities ( CMBS )	1,555	66	(91)	1,530
Asset-backed securities ( ABS )	3,703	112	(142)	3,673
Redeemable preferred stock	22	5	--	27
Total fixed income securities \$	72,432	\$ 5,983	\$ (686)	\$ 77,729
<b>December 31, 2011</b>				
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 5,966	\$ 349	\$ --	\$ 6,315
Municipal	13,634	863	(256)	14,241
Corporate	41,217	2,743	(379)	43,581
Foreign government	1,866	216	(1)	2,081
RMBS	4,532	110	(521)	4,121
CMBS	1,962	48	(226)	1,784
ABS	4,180	73	(287)	3,966
Redeemable preferred stock	22	2	--	24
Total fixed income securities \$	73,379	\$ 4,404	\$ (1,670)	\$ 76,113

**Scheduled maturities**

The scheduled maturities for fixed income securities are as follows as of September 30, 2012:

(\$ in millions)	Amortized cost	Fair value
Due in one year or less	\$ 4,017	\$ 4,072
Due after one year through five years	20,943	22,124
Due after five years through ten years	24,287	26,615

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Due after ten years	16,138	17,897
	65,385	70,708
RMBS and ABS	7,047	7,021
Total	\$ 72,432	\$ 77,729

Actual maturities may differ from those scheduled as a result of prepayments by the issuers. Because of the potential for prepayment on RMBS and ABS, they are not categorized by contractual maturity. CMBS are categorized by contractual maturity because they generally are not subject to prepayment risk.

# Net investment income

Net investment income is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Fixed income securities	\$ 817	\$ 862	\$ 2,441	\$ 2,661
Equity securities	29	23	74	76
Mortgage loans	92	91	277	267
Limited partnership interests (1)	22	33	238	61
Short-term investments	2	2	4	5
Other	33	27	97	64
Investment income, before expense	995	1,038	3,131	3,134
Investment expense	(55)	(44)	(154)	(138)
Net investment income	\$ 940	\$ 994	\$ 2,977	\$ 2,996

(1) Income from limited partnership interests accounted for under the equity method of accounting ( EMA ) is reported in net investment income in 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

# Realized capital gains and losses

Realized capital gains and losses by asset type are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Fixed income securities	\$ (50)	\$ 603	\$ (73)	\$ 615
Equity securities	(15)	(77)	157	60
Mortgage loans	(3)	(28)	5	(37)
Limited partnership interests (1)	--	8	13	129
Derivatives	(2)	(234)	26	(354)
Other	(2)	(8)	(5)	4
Realized capital gains and losses	\$ (72)	\$ 264	\$ 123	\$ 417

(1) Income from EMA limited partnerships is reported in net investment income in 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

Realized capital gains and losses by transaction type are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Impairment write-downs	\$ (43)	\$ (190)	\$ (131)	\$ (374)
Change in intent write-downs	(3)	(13)	(48)	(98)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings	(46)	(203)	(179)	(472)
Sales	(24)	692	275	1,116
Valuation of derivative instruments	--	(254)	1	(282)
Settlements of derivative instruments	(2)	20	26	(72)
EMA limited partnership income	--	9	--	127
Realized capital gains and losses	\$ (72)	\$ 264	\$ 123	\$ 417

Gross gains of \$109 million and \$709 million and gross losses of \$154 million and \$32 million were realized on sales of fixed income securities during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Gross gains of \$296 million and \$1.10 billion and gross losses of \$291 million and \$218 million were realized on sales of fixed income securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Other-than-temporary impairment losses by asset type are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30, 2012			Nine months ended September 30, 2012		
	Gross	Included in OCI	Net	Gross	Included in OCI	Net
Fixed income securities:						
Municipal	\$ (2)	\$ (3)	\$ (5)	\$ (28)	\$ 14	\$ (14)
Corporate	(1)	(1)	(2)	(19)	(2)	(21)
RMBS	(4)	(6)	(10)	(59)	(2)	(61)
CMBS	(4)	3	(1)	(19)	6	(13)
Total fixed income securities	(11)	(7)	(18)	(125)	16	(109)
Equity securities	(22)	--	(22)	(58)	--	(58)
Mortgage loans	(1)	--	(1)	3	--	3
Limited partnership interests	(2)	--	(2)	(5)	--	(5)
Other	(3)	--	(3)	(10)	--	(10)
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	\$ (39)	\$ (7)	\$ (46)	\$ (195)	\$ 16	\$ (179)

  

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30, 2011			Nine months ended September 30, 2011		
	Gross	Included in OCI	Net	Gross	Included in OCI	Net
Fixed income securities:						
Municipal	\$ (8)	\$ --	\$ (8)	\$ (50)	\$ (3)	\$ (53)
Corporate	(14)	--	(14)	(19)	1	(18)
Foreign government	--	--	--	(1)	--	(1)
RMBS	(57)	(3)	(60)	(164)	(28)	(192)
CMBS	(1)	(3)	(4)	(27)	(10)	(37)
ABS	--	--	--	(7)	3	(4)
Total fixed income securities	(80)	(6)	(86)	(268)	(37)	(305)
Equity securities	(81)	--	(81)	(114)	--	(114)
Mortgage loans	(29)	--	(29)	(42)	--	(42)
Limited partnership interests	(2)	--	(2)	(4)	--	(4)
Other	(5)	--	(5)	(7)	--	(7)
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	\$ (197)	\$ (6)	\$ (203)	\$ (435)	\$ (37)	\$ (472)

The total amount of other-than-temporary impairment losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income at the time of impairment for fixed income securities, which were not included in earnings, are presented in the following table. The amount excludes \$220 million and \$172 million as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, of net unrealized gains related to changes in valuation of the fixed income securities subsequent to the impairment measurement date.

(\$ in millions)	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Municipal	\$ (25)	\$ (11)
Corporate	(1)	(35)
RMBS	(223)	(353)
CMBS	(22)	(19)
ABS	(14)	(21)
Total	\$ (285)	\$ (439)



Rollforwards of the cumulative credit losses recognized in earnings for fixed income securities held as of the end of the period are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Beginning balance	\$ (781)	\$ (912)	\$ (944)	\$ (1,046)
Additional credit loss for securities previously other-than-temporarily impaired	(15)	(56)	(49)	(133)
Additional credit loss for securities not previously other-than-temporarily impaired	(3)	(25)	(24)	(82)
Reduction in credit loss for securities disposed or collected	128	66	339	313
Reduction in credit loss for securities the Company has made the decision to sell or more likely than not will be required to sell	--	--	7	15
Change in credit loss due to accretion of increase in cash flows	1	4	1	10
Ending balance	\$ (670)	\$ (923)	\$ (670)	\$ (923)

The Company uses its best estimate of future cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed income security, discounted at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, to calculate a recovery value and determine whether a credit loss exists. The determination of cash flow estimates is inherently subjective and methodologies may vary depending on facts and circumstances specific to the security. All reasonably available information relevant to the collectability of the security, including past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable assumptions and forecasts, are considered when developing the estimate of cash flows expected to be collected. That information generally includes, but is not limited to, the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, foreign exchange rates, the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer, expected defaults, expected recoveries, the value of underlying collateral, vintage, geographic concentration, available reserves or escrows, current subordination levels, third party guarantees and other credit enhancements. Other information, such as industry analyst reports and forecasts, sector credit ratings, financial condition of the bond insurer for insured fixed income securities, and other market data relevant to the realizability of contractual cash flows, may also be considered. The estimated fair value of collateral will be used to estimate recovery value if the Company determines that the security is dependent on the liquidation of collateral for ultimate settlement. If the estimated recovery value is less than the amortized cost of the security, a credit loss exists and an other-than-temporary impairment for the difference between the estimated recovery value and amortized cost is recorded in earnings. The portion of the unrealized loss related to factors other than credit remains classified in accumulated other comprehensive income. If the Company determines that the fixed income security does not have sufficient cash flow or other information to estimate a recovery value for the security, the Company may conclude that the entire decline in fair value is deemed to be credit related and the loss is recorded in earnings.



# Unrealized net capital gains and losses

Unrealized net capital gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Fair value	Gross unrealized Gains	Losses	Unrealized net gains (losses)
<b>September 30, 2012</b>				
Fixed income securities	\$ 77,729	\$ 5,983	\$ (686)	\$ 5,297
Equity securities	3,876	509	(62)	447
Short-term investments	2,825	--	--	--
Derivative instruments (1)	(14)	2	(21)	(19)
EMA limited partnerships (2)				6
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax				5,731
Amounts recognized for:				
Insurance reserves (3)				(876)
DAC and DSI (4)				(420)
Amounts recognized				(1,296)
Deferred income taxes				(1,555)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, after-tax				\$ 2,880

(1) Included in the fair value of derivative instruments are \$(6) million classified as assets and \$8 million classified as liabilities.

(2) Unrealized net capital gains and losses for limited partnership interests represent the Company's share of EMA limited partnerships' other comprehensive income. Fair value and gross gains and losses are not applicable.

(3) The insurance reserves adjustment represents the amount by which the reserve balance would increase if the net unrealized gains in the applicable product portfolios were realized and reinvested at current lower interest rates, resulting in a premium deficiency. Although the Company evaluates premium deficiencies on the combined performance of life insurance and immediate annuities with life contingencies, the adjustment primarily relates to structured settlement annuities with life contingencies, in addition to annuity buy-outs and certain payout annuities with life contingencies.

(4) The DAC and DSI adjustment balance represents the amount by which the amortization of DAC and DSI would increase or decrease if the unrealized gains or losses in the respective product portfolios were realized.

	Fair value	Gross unrealized Gains	Losses	Unrealized net gains (losses)
<b>December 31, 2011</b>				
Fixed income securities	\$ 76,113	\$ 4,404	\$ (1,670)	\$ 2,734
Equity securities	4,363	369	(209)	160
Short-term investments	1,291	--	--	--
Derivative instruments (1)	(12)	3	(20)	(17)
EMA limited partnerships				2
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax				2,879
Amounts recognized for:				
Insurance reserves				(594)
DAC and DSI				(124)
Amounts recognized				(718)
Deferred income taxes				(761)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, after-tax				\$ 1,400

(1)Included in the fair value of derivative instruments are \$(5) million classified as assets and \$7 million classified as liabilities.

## Change in unrealized net capital gains and losses

The change in unrealized net capital gains and losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)

Fixed income securities	\$	2,563
Equity securities		287
Derivative instruments		(2)
EMA limited partnerships		4
Total		2,852
Amounts recognized for:		
Insurance reserves		(282)
DAC and DSI		(296)
Amounts recognized		(578)
Deferred income taxes		(794)
Increase in unrealized net capital gains and losses	\$	1,480

## Portfolio monitoring

The Company has a comprehensive portfolio monitoring process to identify and evaluate each fixed income and equity security whose carrying value may be other-than-temporarily impaired.

For each fixed income security in an unrealized loss position, the Company assesses whether management with the appropriate authority has made the decision to sell or whether it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis for reasons such as liquidity, contractual or regulatory purposes. If a security meets either of these criteria, the security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

If the Company has not made the decision to sell the fixed income security and it is not more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the fixed income security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the Company evaluates whether it expects to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. The Company calculates the estimated recovery value by discounting the best estimate of future cash flows at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, and compares this to the amortized cost of the security. If the Company does not expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the fixed income security, the credit loss component of the impairment is recorded in earnings, with the remaining amount of the unrealized loss related to other factors recognized in other comprehensive income.

For equity securities, the Company considers various factors, including whether it has the intent and ability to hold the equity security for a period of time sufficient to recover its cost basis. Where the Company lacks the intent and ability to hold to recovery, or believes the recovery period is extended, the equity security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings. For equity securities managed by a third party, the Company has contractually retained its decision making authority as it pertains to selling equity securities that are in an unrealized loss position.

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The Company's portfolio monitoring process includes a quarterly review of all securities to identify instances where the fair value of a security compared to its amortized cost (for fixed income securities) or cost (for equity securities) is below established thresholds. The process also includes the monitoring of other impairment indicators such as ratings, ratings downgrades and payment defaults. The securities identified, in addition to other securities for which the Company may have a concern, are evaluated for potential other-than-temporary impairment using all reasonably available information relevant to the collectability or recovery of the security. Inherent in the Company's evaluation of other-than-temporary impairment for these fixed income and equity securities are assumptions and estimates about the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer. Some of the factors that may be considered in evaluating whether a decline in fair value is other than temporary are: 1) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry specific market conditions and trends, geographic location and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices; 2) the specific reasons that a security is in an unrealized loss position, including overall market conditions which could affect liquidity; and 3) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost or cost.

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the gross unrealized losses and fair value of fixed income and equity securities by the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

(\$ in millions)		Less than 12 months				12 months or more			
	Number of issues	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Number of issues	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Total unrealized losses		
<b>September 30, 2012</b>									
Fixed income securities									
U.S. government and agencies	4	\$ 225	\$ --	--	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	--	
Municipal	35	215	(9)	117	1,052	(152)	(161)	(161)	
Corporate	79	919	(30)	82	977	(116)	(146)	(146)	
Foreign government	7	17	--	1	1	--	--	--	
RMBS	269	51	(3)	236	735	(143)	(146)	(146)	
CMBS	4	21	--	50	449	(91)	(91)	(91)	
ABS	19	249	(4)	83	859	(138)	(142)	(142)	
Redeemable preferred stock	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Total fixed income securities	418	1,697	(46)	569	4,073	(640)	(686)	(686)	
Equity securities	892	663	(43)	136	80	(19)	(62)	(62)	
Total fixed income and equity securities	1,310	\$ 2,360	\$ (89)	705	\$ 4,153	\$ (659)	\$ (748)	(748)	
Investment grade fixed income securities	364	\$ 1,275	\$ (30)	346	\$ 2,584	\$ (293)	\$ (323)	(323)	
Below investment grade fixed income securities	54	422	(16)	223	1,489	(347)	(363)	(363)	
Total fixed income securities	418	\$ 1,697	\$ (46)	569	\$ 4,073	\$ (640)	\$ (686)	(686)	
<b>December 31, 2011</b>									
Fixed income securities									
U.S. government and agencies	4	\$ 61	\$ --	--	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	--	
Municipal	29	135	(11)	303	1,886	(245)	(256)	(256)	
Corporate	307	3,439	(113)	105	1,273	(266)	(379)	(379)	
Foreign government	11	85	(1)	1	1	--	(1)	(1)	
RMBS	321	373	(11)	294	1,182	(510)	(521)	(521)	
CMBS	47	378	(49)	68	489	(177)	(226)	(226)	
ABS	89	960	(17)	108	1,020	(270)	(287)	(287)	
Redeemable preferred stock	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Total fixed income securities	809	5,431	(202)	879	5,851	(1,468)	(1,670)	(1,670)	
Equity securities	1,397	2,120	(203)	32	30	(6)	(209)	(209)	
Total fixed income and equity securities	2,206	\$ 7,551	\$ (405)	911	\$ 5,881	\$ (1,474)	\$ (1,879)	(1,879)	
Investment grade fixed income securities	665	\$ 4,480	\$ (145)	555	\$ 3,773	\$ (700)	\$ (845)	(845)	
Below investment grade fixed income securities	144	951	(57)	324	2,078	(768)	(825)	(825)	
Total fixed income securities	809	\$ 5,431	\$ (202)	879	\$ 5,851	\$ (1,468)	\$ (1,670)	(1,670)	

As of September 30, 2012, \$373 million of unrealized losses are related to securities with an unrealized loss position less than 20% of amortized cost or cost, the degree of which suggests that these securities do not pose a high risk of being other-than-temporarily impaired. Of the \$373 million, \$228 million are related to unrealized losses on investment grade fixed income securities. Investment grade is defined as a security having a rating of Aaa, Aa, A or Baa from Moody's, a rating of AAA, AA, A or BBB from Standard & Poor's (S&P), Fitch, Dominion or

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Realpoint, a rating of aaa, aa, a or bbb from A.M. Best, or a comparable internal rating if an externally provided rating is not available. Unrealized losses on investment grade securities are principally related to widening credit spreads or rising interest rates since the time of initial purchase.

As of September 30, 2012, the remaining \$375 million of unrealized losses are related to securities in unrealized loss positions greater than or equal to 20% of amortized cost or cost. Investment grade fixed income securities comprising \$95 million of these unrealized losses were evaluated based on factors such as discounted cash flows and the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer and were determined to have adequate resources to fulfill contractual obligations. Of the \$375 million, \$250 million are related to below investment grade fixed income securities and \$30 million are related to equity securities. Of these amounts, \$215 million are related to below investment grade fixed income securities that had been in an unrealized loss position greater than or equal to 20% of amortized cost for a period of twelve or more consecutive months as of September 30, 2012. Unrealized losses on below investment grade securities are principally related to RMBS, CMBS and ABS

and were the result of wider credit spreads resulting from higher risk premiums since the time of initial purchase. These wider spreads are largely due to the risk associated with the underlying collateral supporting certain RMBS, CMBS and ABS securities.

RMBS, CMBS and ABS in an unrealized loss position were evaluated based on actual and projected collateral losses relative to the securities positions in the respective securitization trusts, security specific expectations of cash flows, and credit ratings. This evaluation also takes into consideration credit enhancement, measured in terms of (i) subordination from other classes of securities in the trust that are contractually obligated to absorb losses before the class of security the Company owns, (ii) the expected impact of other structural features embedded in the securitization trust beneficial to the class of securities the Company owns, such as overcollateralization and excess spread, and (iii) for RMBS and ABS in an unrealized loss position, credit enhancements from reliable bond insurers, where applicable. Municipal bonds in an unrealized loss position were evaluated based on the quality of the underlying securities. Unrealized losses on equity securities are primarily related to temporary equity market fluctuations of securities that are expected to recover.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company has not made the decision to sell and it is not more likely than not the Company will be required to sell fixed income securities with unrealized losses before recovery of the amortized cost basis. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had the intent and ability to hold equity securities with unrealized losses for a period of time sufficient for them to recover.

#### **Limited partnerships**

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the carrying value of equity method limited partnerships totaled \$3.52 billion and \$3.13 billion, respectively. The Company recognizes an impairment loss for equity method limited partnerships when evidence demonstrates that the loss is other than temporary. Evidence of a loss in value that is other than temporary may include the absence of an ability to recover the carrying amount of the investment or the inability of the investee to sustain a level of earnings that would justify the carrying amount of the investment. The Company had no write-downs related to equity method limited partnerships for the three or nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the carrying value for cost method limited partnerships was \$1.46 billion and \$1.57 billion, respectively. To determine if an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred, the Company evaluates whether an impairment indicator has occurred in the period that may have a significant adverse effect on the carrying value of the investment. Impairment indicators may include: significantly reduced valuations of the investments held by the limited partnerships; actual recent cash flows received being significantly less than expected cash flows; reduced valuations based on financing completed at a lower value; completed sale of a material underlying investment at a price significantly lower than expected; or any other adverse events since the last financial statements received that might affect the fair value of the investee's capital. Additionally, the Company's portfolio monitoring process includes a quarterly review of all cost method limited partnerships to identify instances where the net asset value is below established thresholds for certain periods of time, as well as investments that are performing below expectations, for further impairment consideration. If a cost method limited partnership is other-than-temporarily impaired, the carrying value is written down to fair value, generally estimated to be equivalent to the reported net asset value of the underlying funds. The Company had write-downs related to cost method limited partnerships of \$2 million and \$2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$5 million and \$4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### **Mortgage loans**

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Mortgage loans are evaluated for impairment on a specific loan basis through a quarterly credit monitoring process and review of key credit quality indicators. Mortgage loans are considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will not collect the contractual principal and interest. Valuation allowances are established for impaired loans to reduce the carrying value to the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell or the present value of the loan's expected future repayment cash flows discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate. Impaired mortgage loans may not have a valuation allowance when the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell is higher than the carrying value. Valuation allowances are adjusted for subsequent changes in the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell. Mortgage loans are charged off against their corresponding valuation allowances when there is no reasonable expectation of recovery. The impairment evaluation is non-statistical in respect to the aggregate portfolio but considers facts and circumstances attributable to each loan. It is not considered probable that



additional impairment losses, beyond those identified on a specific loan basis, have been incurred as of September 30, 2012.

Accrual of income is suspended for mortgage loans that are in default or when full and timely collection of principal and interest payments is not probable. Cash receipts on mortgage loans on nonaccrual status are generally recorded as a reduction of carrying value.

Debt service coverage ratio is considered a key credit quality indicator when mortgage loans are evaluated for impairment. Debt service coverage ratio represents the amount of estimated cash flows from the property available to the borrower to meet principal and interest payment obligations. Debt service coverage ratio estimates are updated annually or more frequently if conditions are warranted based on the Company's credit monitoring process.

The following table reflects the carrying value of non-impaired fixed rate and variable rate mortgage loans summarized by debt service coverage ratio distribution:

(\$ in millions)	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Fixed rate mortgage loans	Variable rate mortgage loans	Total	Fixed rate mortgage loans	Variable rate mortgage loans	Total
Debt service coverage ratio distribution						
Below 1.0	\$ 296	\$ --	\$ 296	\$ 345	\$ --	\$ 345
1.0 - 1.25	1,276	21	1,297	1,527	44	1,571
1.26 - 1.50	1,615	22	1,637	1,573	24	1,597
Above 1.50	3,322	172	3,494	3,214	168	3,382
Total non-impaired mortgage loans	\$ 6,509	\$ 215	\$ 6,724	\$ 6,659	\$ 236	\$ 6,895

Mortgage loans with a debt service coverage ratio below 1.0 that are not considered impaired primarily relate to instances where the borrower has the financial capacity to fund the revenue shortfalls from the properties for the foreseeable term, the decrease in cash flows from the properties is considered temporary, or there are other risk mitigating circumstances such as additional collateral, escrow balances or borrower guarantees.

The net carrying value of impaired mortgage loans is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Impaired mortgage loans with a valuation allowance	\$	172	\$	244
Impaired mortgage loans without a valuation allowance		8		--
Total impaired mortgage loans	\$	180	\$	244
Valuation allowance on impaired mortgage loans	\$	47	\$	63

The average balance of impaired loans was \$214 million and \$201 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The rollforward of the valuation allowance on impaired mortgage loans is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Beginning balance	\$ 48	\$ 68	\$ 63	\$ 84
Net increase (decrease) in valuation allowance	1	29	(3)	42
Charge offs	(2)	(27)	(13)	(56)
Ending balance	\$ 47	\$ 70	\$ 47	\$ 70

The carrying value of past due mortgage loans is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Less than 90 days past due	\$ 9	\$ --
90 days or greater past due	7	43
Total past due	16	43
Current loans	6,888	7,096
Total mortgage loans	\$ 6,904	\$ 7,139

## 5. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The hierarchy for inputs used in determining fair value maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Assets and liabilities recorded on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position at fair value are categorized in the fair value hierarchy based on the observability of inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

*Level 1:* Assets and liabilities whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market that the Company can access.

*Level 2:* Assets and liabilities whose values are based on the following:

- (a) Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- (b) Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or
- (c) Valuation models whose inputs are observable, directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

*Level 3:* Assets and liabilities whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's estimates of the assumptions that market participants would use in valuing the assets and liabilities.

The availability of observable inputs varies by instrument. In situations where fair value is based on internally developed pricing models or inputs that are unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. The degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining fair value is typically greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. In many instances, valuation inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. The category level in the fair value hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company uses prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement date, including during periods of market disruption. In periods of market disruption, the ability to observe prices and inputs may

be reduced for many instruments.

The Company is responsible for the determination of fair value and the supporting assumptions and methodologies. The Company gains assurance that assets and liabilities are appropriately valued through the execution of various processes and controls designed to ensure the overall reasonableness and consistent application of valuation methodologies, including inputs and assumptions, and compliance with accounting standards. For fair values received from third parties or internally estimated, the Company's processes and controls are designed to ensure that the valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistently applied, the inputs and assumptions are reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value, and the fair values are accurately recorded. For example, on a continuing basis, the Company assesses the reasonableness of individual fair values that have stale security prices or that exceed certain thresholds as compared to previous fair values received from valuation service providers or brokers or derived from internal models. The Company performs procedures to understand and assess the methodologies, processes and controls of valuation service providers. In addition, the Company may validate the reasonableness of fair values by comparing information obtained from valuation service providers or brokers to other third party valuation sources for selected securities. The Company performs ongoing price validation procedures such as back-testing of actual sales, which corroborate the various inputs used in internal models to market observable data. When fair value determinations are expected to be more variable, the Company validates them through reviews by members of management who have relevant expertise and who are independent of those charged with executing investment transactions.

The Company has two types of situations where investments are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The first is where quotes continue to be received from independent third-party valuation service providers and all significant inputs are market observable; however, there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset when compared to normal market activity such that the degree of market observability has declined to a point where categorization as a Level 3 measurement is considered appropriate. The indicators considered in determining whether a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for a specific asset has occurred include the level of new issuances in the primary market, trading volume in the secondary market, the level of credit spreads over historical levels, applicable bid-ask spreads, and price consensus among market participants and other pricing sources.

The second situation where the Company classifies securities in Level 3 is where specific inputs significant to the fair value estimation models are not market observable. This primarily occurs in the Company's use of broker quotes to value certain securities where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable, and the use of valuation models that use significant non-market observable inputs.

Certain assets are not carried at fair value on a recurring basis, including investments such as mortgage loans, limited partnership interests, bank loans and policy loans. Accordingly, such investments are only included in the fair value hierarchy disclosure when the investment is subject to remeasurement at fair value after initial recognition and the resulting remeasurement is reflected in the condensed consolidated financial statements. In addition, derivatives embedded in fixed income securities are not disclosed in the hierarchy as free-standing derivatives since they are presented with the host contracts in fixed income securities.

In determining fair value, the Company principally uses the market approach which generally utilizes market transaction data for the same or similar instruments. To a lesser extent, the Company uses the income approach which involves determining fair values from discounted cash flow methodologies. For the majority of Level 2 and Level 3 valuations, a combination of the market and income approaches is used.

*Summary of significant valuation techniques for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis*

Level 1 measurements

- Fixed income securities: Comprise certain U.S. Treasuries. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.
- Equity securities: Comprise actively traded, exchange-listed equity securities. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.
- Short-term: Comprise actively traded money market funds that have daily quoted net asset values for identical assets that the Company can access.

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

- Separate account assets: Comprise actively traded mutual funds that have daily quoted net asset values for identical assets that the Company can access. Net asset values for the actively traded mutual funds in which the separate account assets are invested are obtained daily from the fund managers.

### Level 2 measurements

- Fixed income securities:

*U.S. government and agencies:* The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

*Municipal:* The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

*Corporate, including privately placed:* The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads. Also included are privately placed securities valued using a discounted cash flow model that is widely accepted in the financial services industry and uses market observable inputs and inputs derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data. The primary inputs to the discounted cash flow model include an interest rate yield curve, as well as published credit spreads for similar assets in markets that are not active that incorporate the credit quality and industry sector of the issuer.

*Foreign government:* The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

*RMBS and ABS:* The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, prepayment speeds, collateral performance and credit spreads. Certain ABS are valued based on non-binding broker quotes whose inputs have been corroborated to be market observable.

*CMBS:* The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, collateral performance and credit spreads.

*Redeemable preferred stock:* The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, underlying stock prices and credit spreads.

- Equity securities: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices or quoted net asset values for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active.

- Short-term: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads. For certain short-term investments, amortized cost is used as the best estimate of fair value.

- Other investments: Free-standing exchange listed derivatives that are not actively traded are valued based on quoted prices for identical instruments in markets that are not active.

OTC derivatives, including interest rate swaps, foreign currency swaps, foreign exchange forward contracts, certain options and certain credit default swaps, are valued using models that rely on inputs such as interest rate yield curves, currency rates, and counterparty credit spreads that are observable for substantially the full term of the contract. The valuation techniques underlying the models are widely accepted in the financial services industry and do not involve significant judgment.

#### Level 3 measurements

- Fixed income securities:

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

*Municipal:* ARS primarily backed by student loans that have become illiquid due to failures in the auction market are valued using a discounted cash flow model that is widely accepted in the financial services industry and uses significant non-market observable inputs, including the anticipated date liquidity will return to the market. Also included are municipal bonds that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies but are rated by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ( NAIC ). The primary inputs to the valuation of these municipal bonds include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that exhibit less liquidity relative to those markets supporting Level 2 fair value measurements, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

*Corporate, including privately placed:* Primarily valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable. Also included are equity-indexed notes which are valued using a discounted cash flow model that is widely accepted in the financial services industry and uses significant non-market observable inputs, such as volatility. Other inputs include an interest rate yield curve, as well as published credit spreads for similar assets that incorporate the credit quality and industry sector of the issuer.

*RMBS, CMBS and ABS:* Valued based on non-binding broker quotes received from brokers who are familiar with the investments and where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable.

- Equity securities: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices or quoted net asset values for identical or similar assets in markets that exhibit less liquidity relative to those markets supporting Level 2 fair value measurements.

- Other investments: Certain OTC derivatives, such as interest rate caps and floors, certain credit default swaps and certain options (including swaptions), are valued using models that are widely accepted in the financial services industry. These are categorized as Level 3 as a result of the significance of non-market



# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

observable inputs such as volatility. Other primary inputs include interest rate yield curves and credit spreads.

- **Contractholder funds:** Derivatives embedded in certain life and annuity contracts are valued internally using models widely accepted in the financial services industry that determine a single best estimate of fair value for the embedded derivatives within a block of contractholder liabilities. The models primarily use stochastically determined cash flows based on the contractual elements of embedded derivatives, projected option cost and applicable market data, such as interest rate yield curves and equity index volatility assumptions. These are categorized as Level 3 as a result of the significance of non-market observable inputs.

## Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis

Mortgage loans written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing impairments are valued based on the fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell. Limited partnership interests written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments are valued using net asset values.

The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of September 30, 2012:

(\$ in millions)	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Counterparty and cash collateral netting	Balance as of September 30, 2012
<b>Assets</b>					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 2,866	\$ 1,898	\$ 8		\$ 4,772
Municipal	--	12,808	1,162		13,970
Corporate	--	46,611	1,543		48,154
Foreign government	--	2,255	--		2,255
RMBS	--	3,345	3		3,348
CMBS	--	1,480	50		1,530
ABS	--	3,451	222		3,673
Redeemable preferred stock	--	26	1		27
Total fixed income securities	2,866	71,874	2,989		77,729
Equity securities	2,806	887	183		3,876
Short-term investments	788	2,037	--		2,825
Other investments:					
Free-standing derivatives	--	333	2	\$ (84)	251
Separate account assets	6,820	--	--		6,820
Other assets	1	--	1		2
<b>Total recurring basis assets</b>	<b>13,281</b>	<b>75,131</b>	<b>3,175</b>	<b>(84)</b>	<b>91,503</b>
Non-recurring basis (1)	--	--	22		22
<b>Total assets at fair value</b>	<b>\$ 13,281</b>	<b>\$ 75,131</b>	<b>\$ 3,197</b>	<b>\$ (84)</b>	<b>\$ 91,525</b>
% of total assets at fair value	14.5%	82.1%	3.5%	(0.1)%	100.0%
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Contractholder funds:					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ --	\$ --	(551)	\$	(551)
Other liabilities:					

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Free-standing derivatives		(1)	(150)	(32) \$	43	(140)
<b>Total liabilities at fair value</b>	\$	(1) \$	(150) \$	(583) \$	43 \$	(691)
% of total liabilities at fair value		0.1%	21.7%	84.4%	(6.2)%	100.0%

---

(1) Includes \$9 million of mortgage loans, \$6 million of limited partnership interests and \$7 million of other investments written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments.

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used in Level 3 fair value measurements as of September 30, 2012.

(\$ in millions)	Fair value	Valuation technique	Unobservable input	Range	Weighted average
ARS backed by student loans	\$ 573	Discounted cash flow model	Anticipated date liquidity will return to the market	18 - 60 months	32 - 44 months
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts Equity-indexed and forward starting options	\$ (413)	Stochastic cash flow model	Projected option cost	1.0 - 2.0 %	1.95 %

If the anticipated date liquidity will return to the market is sooner (later), it would result in a higher (lower) fair value. If the projected option cost increased (decreased), it would result in a higher (lower) liability fair value.

As of September 30, 2012, Level 3 fair value measurements include \$1.73 billion of fixed income securities valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable and \$405 million of municipal fixed income securities that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies. The Company does not develop the unobservable inputs used in measuring fair value; therefore, these are not included in the table above. However, an increase (decrease) in credit spreads for fixed income securities valued based on non-binding broker quotes would result in a lower (higher) fair value, and an increase (decrease) in the credit rating of municipal bonds that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies would result in a higher (lower) fair value.

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2011:

(\$ in millions)	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Counterparty and cash collateral netting	Balance as of December 31, 2011
<b>Assets</b>					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 4,707	\$ 1,608	--	\$	6,315
Municipal	--	12,909	1,332		14,241
Corporate	--	42,176	1,405		43,581
Foreign government	--	2,081	--		2,081
RMBS	--	4,070	51		4,121
CMBS	--	1,724	60		1,784
ABS	--	3,669	297		3,966
Redeemable preferred stock	--	23	1		24
Total fixed income securities	4,707	68,260	3,146		76,113
Equity securities	3,433	887	43		4,363
Short-term investments	188	1,103	--		1,291
Other investments:					
Free-standing derivatives	--	281	1	(114)	168
Separate account assets	6,984	--	--		6,984
Other assets	1	--	1		2
<b>Total recurring basis assets</b>	<b>15,313</b>	<b>70,531</b>	<b>3,191</b>	<b>(114)</b>	<b>88,921</b>
Non-recurring basis (1)	--	--	35		35
<b>Total assets at fair value</b>	<b>\$ 15,313</b>	<b>\$ 70,531</b>	<b>\$ 3,226</b>	<b>(114)\$</b>	<b>88,956</b>
% of total assets at fair value	17.2 %	79.3 %	3.6 %	(0.1) %	100.0 %
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Contractholder funds:					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ --	\$ --	(723)	\$	(723)
Other liabilities:					
Free-standing derivatives	(1)	(112)	(96)	77	(132)
<b>Total liabilities at fair value</b>	<b>\$ (1)</b>	<b>\$ (112)</b>	<b>\$ (819)</b>	<b>77\$</b>	<b>(855)</b>
% of total liabilities at fair value	0.1 %	13.1 %	95.8 %	(9.0) %	100.0 %

(1) Includes \$19 million of mortgage loans and \$16 million of other investments written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

(\$ in millions)	Total gains (losses) included in:				
	Balance as of June 30, 2012	Net income (1)	OCI	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3
<b>Assets</b>					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 8	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	--
Municipal	1,144	(4)	14	53	--
Corporate	1,524	10	39	74	--
RMBS	4	--	--	--	--
CMBS	47	(1)	1	--	--
ABS	334	(9)	34	43	(26)
Redeemable preferred stock	1	--	--	--	--
Total fixed income securities	3,062	(4)	88	170	(26)
Equity securities	192	(3)	--	--	--
Other investments:					
Free-standing derivatives, net	(71)	11	--	--	--
Other assets	1	--	--	--	--
<b>Total recurring Level 3 assets</b>	<b>\$ 3,184</b>	<b>\$ 4</b>	<b>\$ 88</b>	<b>\$ 170</b>	<b>(26)</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Contractholder funds:					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ (707)	\$ 160	\$ --	\$ --	--
<b>Total recurring Level 3 liabilities</b>	<b>\$ (707)</b>	<b>\$ 160</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>--</b>

  

	Purchases	Sales	Issues	Settlements	Balance as of September 30, 2012
<b>Assets</b>					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	8
Municipal	4	(49)	--	--	1,162
Corporate	62	(120)	--	(46)	1,543
RMBS	--	--	--	(1)	3
CMBS	3	--	--	--	50
ABS	--	(151)	--	(3)	222
Redeemable preferred stock	--	--	--	--	1
Total fixed income securities	69	(320)	--	(50)	2,989
Equity securities	2	(8)	--	--	183
Other investments:					
Free-standing derivatives, net	21	--	--	9	(30)(2)
Other assets	--	--	--	--	1
<b>Total recurring Level 3 assets</b>	<b>\$ 92</b>	<b>\$ (328)</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ (41)</b>	<b>3,143</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Contractholder funds:					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ --	\$ --	(24) \$	20 \$	(551)
<b>Total recurring Level 3 liabilities</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>(24) \$</b>	<b>20 \$</b>	<b>(551)</b>

(1) The effect to net income totals \$164 million and is reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$(4) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$7 million in net investment income, \$143 million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$18 million in life and annuity contract benefits.

(2) Comprises \$2 million of assets and \$32 million of liabilities.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

(\$ in millions)	Total gains (losses) included in:				
	Balance as of December 31, 2011	Net income (1)	OCI	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3
<b>Assets</b>					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 8	--
Municipal	1,332	(10)	31	53	(26)
Corporate	1,405	16	62	210	(38)
RMBS	51	--	--	--	(47)
CMBS	60	(3)	9	--	(5)
ABS	297	20	46	43	(77)
Redeemable preferred stock	1	--	--	--	--
Total fixed income securities	3,146	23	148	314	(193)
Equity securities	43	(7)	6	--	--
Other investments:					
Free-standing derivatives, net	(95)	25	--	--	--
Other assets	1	--	--	--	--
<b>Total recurring Level 3 assets</b>	\$ 3,095	\$ 41	\$ 154	\$ 314	\$ (193)
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Contractholder funds:					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ (723)	\$ 151	\$ --	\$ --	--
<b>Total recurring Level 3 liabilities</b>	\$ (723)	\$ 151	\$ --	\$ --	--

	Purchases	Sales	Issues	Settlements	Balance as of September 30, 2012
<b>Assets</b>					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	8
Municipal	46	(254)	--	(10)	1,162
Corporate	193	(219)	--	(86)	1,543
RMBS	--	--	--	(1)	3
CMBS	5	(1)	--	(15)	50
ABS	74	(162)	--	(19)	222
Redeemable preferred stock	1	(1)	--	--	1
Total fixed income securities	319	(637)	--	(131)	2,989
Equity securities	164	(23)	--	--	183
Other investments:					
Free-standing derivatives, net	27	--	--	13	(30)(2)
Other assets	--	--	--	--	1
<b>Total recurring Level 3 assets</b>	\$ 510	\$ (660)	\$ --	\$ (118)	\$ 3,143
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Contractholder funds:					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ --	\$ --	(53) \$	74 \$	(551)
<b>Total recurring Level 3 liabilities</b>	\$ --	\$ --	(53) \$	74 \$	(551)

(1) The effect to net income totals \$192 million and is reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$19 million in realized capital gains and losses, \$22 million in net investment income, \$119 million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$32 million in life and annuity contract

benefits.

(2) Comprises \$2 million of assets and \$32 million of liabilities.



Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the three months ended September 30, 2011.

(\$ in millions)		Total gains (losses) included in:			
	Balance as of June 30, 2011	Net income (1)	OCI	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3
<b>Assets</b>					
Fixed income securities:					
Municipal	\$ 1,554	\$ (10)	\$ 1	\$ --	\$ (22)
Corporate	1,720	(4)	(23)	3	(107)
RMBS	1,194	--	2	--	(1,100)
CMBS	938	(1)	(5)	20	(877)
ABS	2,167	(36)	(75)	--	(329)
Redeemable preferred stock	1	--	--	--	--
Total fixed income securities	7,574	(51)	(100)	23	(2,435)
Equity securities	42	--	--	--	--
Other investments:					
Free-standing derivatives, net	(56)	(57)	--	--	--
Other assets	1	--	--	--	--
<b>Total recurring Level 3 assets</b>	<b>\$ 7,561</b>	<b>\$ (108)</b>	<b>\$ (100)</b>	<b>\$ 23</b>	<b>\$ (2,435)</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Contractholder funds:					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ (629)	\$ 2	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
<b>Total recurring Level 3 liabilities</b>	<b>\$ (629)</b>	<b>\$ 2</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ --</b>

  

	Purchases	Sales	Issues	Settlements	Balance as of September 30, 2011
<b>Assets</b>					
Fixed income securities:					
Municipal	\$ --	\$ (70)	\$ --	\$ --	1,453
Corporate	245	(59)	--	(45)	1,730
RMBS	--	--	--	(4)	92
CMBS	2	--	--	(1)	76
ABS	165	(1)	--	(90)	1,801
Redeemable preferred stock	--	--	--	--	1
Total fixed income securities	412	(130)	--	(140)	5,153
Equity securities	--	--	--	--	42
Other investments:					
Free-standing derivatives, net	5	--	--	(2)	(110)(2)
Other assets	--	--	--	--	1
<b>Total recurring Level 3 assets</b>	<b>\$ 417</b>	<b>\$ (130)</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ (142)</b>	<b>5,086</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Contractholder funds:					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (15)	\$ 45	(597)
<b>Total recurring Level 3 liabilities</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ (15)</b>	<b>\$ 45</b>	<b>(597)</b>

(1) The effect to net income totals \$(106) million and is reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$(119) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$14 million in net investment income, \$54 million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$(55) million in life and annuity contract benefits.

(2) Comprises \$1 million of assets and \$111 million of liabilities.



# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

(\$ in millions)	Total gains (losses) included in:				
	Balance as of December 31, 2010	Net income (1)	OCI	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3
<b>Assets</b>					
Fixed income securities:					
Municipal	\$ 2,016	\$ (34)	\$ 67	\$ --	\$ (81)
Corporate	1,908	31	(5)	185	(271)
RMBS	1,794	(87)	108	--	(1,213)
CMBS	923	(43)	113	86	(946)
ABS	2,417	19	(47)	--	(642)
Redeemable preferred stock	1	--	--	--	--
Total fixed income securities	9,059	(114)	236	271	(3,153)
Equity securities	63	(10)	--	--	(10)
Other investments:					
Free-standing derivatives, net	(21)	(91)	--	--	--
Other assets	1	--	--	--	--
<b>Total recurring Level 3 assets</b>	<b>\$ 9,102</b>	<b>\$ (215)</b>	<b>\$ 236</b>	<b>\$ 271</b>	<b>\$ (3,163)</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Contractholder funds:					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ (653)	\$ (24)	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
<b>Total recurring Level 3 liabilities</b>	<b>\$ (653)</b>	<b>\$ (24)</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ --</b>

	Purchases	Sales	Issues	Settlements	Balance as of September 30, 2011
<b>Assets</b>					
Fixed income securities:					
Municipal	\$ 13	\$ (525)	\$ --	\$ (3)	1,453
Corporate	376	(437)	--	(57)	1,730
RMBS	--	(378)	--	(132)	92
CMBS	12	(66)	--	(3)	76
ABS	468	(164)	--	(250)	1,801
Redeemable preferred stock	--	--	--	--	1
Total fixed income securities	869	(1,570)	--	(445)	5,153
Equity securities	--	(1)	--	--	42
Other investments:					
Free-standing derivatives, net	72	--	--	(70)	(110)(2)
Other assets	--	--	--	--	1
<b>Total recurring Level 3 assets</b>	<b>\$ 941</b>	<b>\$ (1,571)</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ (515)</b>	<b>5,086</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Contractholder funds:					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (42)	\$ 122	(597)
<b>Total recurring Level 3 liabilities</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ --</b>	<b>\$ (42)</b>	<b>\$ 122</b>	<b>(597)</b>

(1) The effect to net income totals \$(239) million and is reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$(242) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$30 million in net investment income, \$(9) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$(18) million in life and annuity contract benefits.

(2) Comprises \$1 million of assets and 111 million of liabilities.

Transfers between level categorizations may occur due to changes in the availability of market observable inputs, which generally are caused by changes in market conditions such as liquidity, trading volume or bid-ask spreads. Transfers between level categorizations may also occur due to changes in the valuation source. For example, in situations where a fair value quote is not provided by the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider and as a result the price is stale or has been replaced with a broker quote whose inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable, the security is transferred into Level 3. Transfers in and out of level categorizations are reported as having occurred at the beginning of the quarter in which the transfer occurred. Therefore, for all transfers into Level 3, all realized and changes in unrealized gains and losses in the quarter of transfer are reflected in the Level 3 rollforward table.

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, certain U.S. government securities were transferred into Level 1 from Level 2 as a result of increased liquidity in the market and a sustained increase in the market activity for these assets.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, certain RMBS, CMBS and ABS were transferred into Level 2 from Level 3 as a result of increased liquidity in the market and a sustained increase in the market activity for these assets. When transferring these securities into Level 2, the Company did not change the source of fair value estimates or modify the estimates received from independent third-party valuation service providers or the internal valuation approach. Accordingly, for securities included within this group, there was no change in fair value in conjunction with the transfer resulting in a realized or unrealized gain or loss.

Transfers into Level 3 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 included situations where a fair value quote was not provided by the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider and as a result the price was stale or had been replaced with a broker quote where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable resulting in the security being classified as Level 3. Transfers out of Level 3 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 included situations where a broker quote was used in the prior period and a fair value quote became available from the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider in the current period. A quote utilizing the new pricing source was not available as of the prior period, and any gains or losses related to the change in valuation source for individual securities were not significant.

The following table provides the change in unrealized gains and losses included in net income for Level 3 assets and liabilities held as of September 30.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Assets</b>				
Fixed income securities:				
Municipal	\$ (5)	\$ (7)	\$ (10)	\$ (17)
Corporate	5	(12)	13	3
RMBS	--	--	(1)	--
CMBS	(1)	(1)	(2)	(10)
ABS	--	(38)	--	(26)
Total fixed income securities	(1)	(58)	--	(50)
Equity securities	(3)	--	(9)	--
Other investments:				
Free-standing derivatives, net	(7)	(57)	4	(54)
<b>Total recurring Level 3 assets</b>	<b>\$ (11)</b>	<b>\$ (115)</b>	<b>\$ (5)</b>	<b>\$ (104)</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Contractholder funds:				
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ 160	\$ 2	\$ 151	\$ (24)
<b>Total recurring Level 3 liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 160</b>	<b>\$ 2</b>	<b>\$ 151</b>	<b>\$ (24)</b>

The amounts in the table above represent the change in unrealized gains and losses included in net income for the period of time that the asset or liability was determined to be in Level 3. These gains and losses total \$149 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and are reported as follows: \$(17) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$6 million in net investment income, \$142 million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$18 million in life and annuity contract benefits. These gains and losses total \$(113) million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and are reported as follows: \$(128) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$13 million in net investment income, \$57 million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$(55) million in life and annuity contract benefits. These gains and losses total

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

\$146 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and are reported as follows: \$(20) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$16 million in net investment income, \$118 million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$32 million in life and annuity contract benefits. These gains and losses total \$(128) million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and are reported as follows: \$(145) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$41 million in net investment income, \$(6) million in

interest credited to contractholder funds and \$(18) million in life and annuity contract benefits.

Presented below are the carrying values and fair value estimates of financial instruments not carried at fair value.

## Financial assets

(\$ in millions)	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Carrying value	Fair value	Carrying value	Fair value
Mortgage loans	\$ 6,904	\$ 7,240	\$ 7,139	\$ 7,350
Cost method limited partnerships	1,456	1,756	1,569	1,838
Bank loans	410	411	339	328

The fair value of mortgage loans is based on discounted contractual cash flows or, if the loans are impaired due to credit reasons, the fair value of collateral less costs to sell. Risk adjusted discount rates are selected using current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar characteristics, using similar types of properties as collateral. The fair value of cost method limited partnerships is determined using reported net asset values of the underlying funds. The fair value of bank loans, which are reported in other investments, is based on broker quotes from brokers familiar with the loans and current market conditions. The fair value measurements for mortgage loans, cost method limited partnerships and bank loans are categorized as Level 3.

## Financial liabilities

(\$ in millions)	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Carrying value	Fair value	Carrying value	Fair value
Contractholder funds on investment contracts	\$ 27,934	\$ 29,029	\$ 30,192	\$ 30,499
Long-term debt	6,057	7,147	5,908	6,312
Liability for collateral	765	765	462	462

The fair value of contractholder funds on investment contracts is based on the terms of the underlying contracts utilizing prevailing market rates for similar contracts adjusted for the Company's own credit risk. Deferred annuities included in contractholder funds are valued using discounted cash flow models which incorporate market value margins, which are based on the cost of holding economic capital, and the Company's own credit risk. Immediate annuities without life contingencies and fixed rate funding agreements are valued at the present value of future benefits using market implied interest rates which include the Company's own credit risk. The fair value measurements for contractholder funds on investment contracts are categorized as Level 3.

The fair value of long-term debt is based on market observable data (such as the fair value of the debt when traded as an asset) or, in certain cases, is determined using discounted cash flow calculations based on current interest rates for instruments with comparable terms and considers the Company's own credit risk. The liability for collateral is valued at carrying value due to its short-term nature. The fair value measurements for long-term debt and liability for collateral are categorized as Level 2.

## 6. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivatives to manage risks with certain assets and liabilities arising from the potential adverse impacts from changes in risk-free interest rates, changes in equity market valuations, increases in credit spreads and foreign currency fluctuations, and for asset replication. The Company does not use derivatives for speculative purposes.

Property-Liability uses interest rate swaps, swaptions, futures and options to manage the interest rate risks of existing investments and to reduce exposure to rising or falling interest rates. Portfolio duration management is a risk management strategy that is principally employed by Property-Liability wherein financial futures and interest rate swaps are utilized to change the duration of the portfolio in order to offset the economic effect that interest rates would otherwise have on the fair value of its fixed income securities. Equity index futures and options are used by Property-Liability to offset valuation losses in the equity portfolio during periods of declining equity market values. Credit default swaps are typically used to mitigate the credit risk within the Property-Liability fixed income portfolio. Property-Liability uses equity futures to hedge the market risk related to deferred compensation liability



contracts and forward contracts to hedge foreign currency risk associated with holding foreign currency denominated investments and foreign operations.

Asset-liability management is a risk management strategy that is principally employed by Allstate Financial to balance the respective interest-rate sensitivities of its assets and liabilities. Depending upon the attributes of the assets acquired and liabilities issued, derivative instruments such as interest rate swaps, caps, floors, swaptions and futures are utilized to change the interest rate characteristics of existing assets and liabilities to ensure the relationship is maintained within specified ranges and to reduce exposure to rising or falling interest rates. Allstate Financial uses financial futures and interest rate swaps to hedge anticipated asset purchases and liability issuances and futures and options for hedging the equity exposure contained in its equity indexed life and annuity product contracts that offer equity returns to contractholders. In addition, Allstate Financial uses interest rate swaps to hedge interest rate risk inherent in funding agreements. Allstate Financial uses foreign currency swaps primarily to reduce the foreign currency risk associated with issuing foreign currency denominated funding agreements and holding foreign currency denominated investments. Credit default swaps are typically used to mitigate the credit risk within the Allstate Financial fixed income portfolio.

Asset replication refers to the synthetic creation of assets through the use of derivatives and primarily investment grade host bonds to replicate securities that are either unavailable in the cash markets or more economical to acquire in synthetic form. The Company replicates fixed income securities using a combination of a credit default swap and one or more highly rated fixed income securities to synthetically replicate the economic characteristics of one or more cash market securities.

The Company also has derivatives embedded in non-derivative host contracts that are required to be separated from the host contracts and accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value of embedded derivatives reported in net income. The Company's primary embedded derivatives are equity options in life and annuity product contracts, which provide equity returns to contractholders; equity-indexed notes containing equity call options, which provide a coupon payout that is determined using one or more equity-based indices; credit default swaps in synthetic collateralized debt obligations, which provide enhanced coupon rates as a result of selling credit protection; and conversion options in fixed income securities, which provide the Company with the right to convert the instrument into a predetermined number of shares of common stock.

When derivatives meet specific criteria, they may be designated as accounting hedges and accounted for as fair value, cash flow, foreign currency fair value or foreign currency cash flow hedges. Allstate Financial designates certain of its interest rate and foreign currency swap contracts and certain investment risk transfer reinsurance agreements as fair value hedges when the hedging instrument is highly effective in offsetting the risk of changes in the fair value of the hedged item. Allstate Financial designates certain of its foreign currency swap contracts as cash flow hedges when the hedging instrument is highly effective in offsetting the exposure of variations in cash flows for the hedged risk that could affect net income. Amounts are reclassified to net investment income or realized capital gains and losses as the hedged item affects net income.

The notional amounts specified in the contracts are used to calculate the exchange of contractual payments under the agreements and are generally not representative of the potential for gain or loss on these agreements. However, the notional amounts specified in credit default swaps where the Company has sold credit protection represent the maximum amount of potential loss, assuming no recoveries.

Fair value, which is equal to the carrying value, is the estimated amount that the Company would receive or pay to terminate the derivative contracts at the reporting date. The carrying value amounts for OTC derivatives are further adjusted for the effects, if any, of legally enforceable master netting agreements and are presented on a net basis, by counterparty agreement, in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. For certain exchange traded derivatives, the exchange requires margin deposits as well as daily cash settlements of margin accounts. As of September 30, 2012, the Company pledged \$9 million of cash and securities in the form of margin deposits.

For those derivatives which qualify for fair value hedge accounting, net income includes the changes in the fair value of both the derivative instrument and the hedged risk, and therefore reflects any hedging ineffectiveness. For cash flow hedges, gains and losses are amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income and are reported in net income in the same period the forecasted transactions being hedged impact net income. For embedded derivatives in fixed income securities, net income includes the change in fair value of the embedded derivative and accretion income related to the host instrument.

Non-hedge accounting is generally used for portfolio level hedging strategies where the terms of the individual hedged items do not meet the strict homogeneity requirements to permit the application of hedge accounting. For non-hedge derivatives, net income includes changes in fair value and accrued periodic settlements, when applicable. With the exception of non-hedge derivatives used for asset replication and non-hedge embedded derivatives, all of the Company's derivatives are evaluated for their ongoing effectiveness as either accounting hedge or non-hedge derivative financial instruments on at least a quarterly basis.

The following table provides a summary of the volume and fair value positions of derivative instruments as well as their reporting location in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of September 30, 2012.

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)		Asset derivatives					
		Volume (1)					
	Balance sheet location	Notional amount	Number of contracts	Fair value, net	Gross asset	Gross liability	
<b>Derivatives designated as accounting hedging instruments</b>							
Foreign currency swap agreements	Other investments	\$ 101	n/a	\$ (6)	\$ 2	\$ (8)	
Total		101	n/a	(6)	2	(8)	
<b>Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments</b>							
<b>Interest rate contracts</b>							
Interest rate swap agreements	Other investments	7,941	n/a	56	74	(18)	
Interest rate swaption agreements	Other investments	250	n/a	--	--	--	
Interest rate cap and floor agreements	Other investments	673	n/a	2	2	--	
Financial futures contracts and options	Other assets	--	2	--	--	--	
<b>Equity and index contracts</b>							
Options, futures and warrants (2)	Other investments	147	14,600	226	226	--	
Options, futures and warrants	Other assets	--	1,667	1	1	--	
<b>Foreign currency contracts</b>							
Foreign currency forwards and options	Other investments	206	n/a	4	5	(1)	
<b>Embedded derivative financial instruments</b>							
Conversion options	Fixed income securities	5	n/a	1	1	--	
Equity-indexed call options	Fixed income securities	90	n/a	10	10	--	
Credit default swaps	Fixed income securities	12	n/a	(12)	--	(12)	
Other embedded derivative financial instruments	Other investments	1,000	n/a	--	--	--	
<b>Credit default contracts</b>							
Credit default swaps - buying protection	Other investments	470	n/a	2	6	(4)	
Credit default swaps - selling protection	Other investments	275	n/a	2	2	--	
<b>Other contracts</b>							
Other contracts	Other assets	4	n/a	1	1	--	
Total		11,073	16,269	293	328	(35)	
<b>Total asset derivatives</b>		\$ 11,174	16,269	\$ 287	\$ 330	\$ (43)	

(1) Volume for OTC derivative contracts is represented by their notional amounts. Volume for exchange traded derivatives is represented by the number of contracts, which is the basis on which they are traded. (n/a = not applicable)

(2) In addition to the number of contracts presented in the table, the Company held 7,307 stock rights and 3,915,485 stock warrants. Stock rights and warrants can be converted to cash upon sale of those instruments or exercised for shares of common stock.



		Liability derivatives				
		Volume (1)		Fair value, net	Gross asset	Gross liability
Balance sheet location	Notional amount	Number of contracts				
Derivatives designated as accounting hedging instruments						
Foreign currency swap agreements	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	\$ 50	n/a	\$ (9)	\$ --	\$ (9)
Total		50	n/a	(9)	--	(9)
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments						
Interest rate contracts						
Interest rate swap agreements	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	85	n/a	9	9	--
Interest rate cap and floor agreements	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	359	n/a	--	--	--
Financial futures contracts and options	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	--	496	--	--	--
Equity and index contracts						
Options and futures	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	--	13,919	(106)	--	(106)
Foreign currency contracts						
Foreign currency forwards and options	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	222	n/a	6	6	--
Embedded derivative financial instruments						
Guaranteed accumulation benefits	Contractholder funds	853	n/a	(85)	--	(85)
Guaranteed withdrawal benefits	Contractholder funds	573	n/a	(44)	--	(44)
Equity-indexed and forward starting options in life and annuity product contracts	Contractholder funds	3,835	n/a	(413)	--	(413)
Other embedded derivative financial instruments	Contractholder funds	85	n/a	(9)	--	(9)
Credit default contracts						
Credit default swaps buying protection	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	314	n/a	(1)	2	(3)
Credit default swaps selling protection	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	338	n/a	(33)	1	(34)
Total		6,664	14,415	(676)	18	(694)
Total liability derivatives		6,714	14,415	(685)	\$ 18	\$ (703)
Total derivatives		\$ 17,888	30,684	\$ (398)		

(1) Volume for OTC derivative contracts is represented by their notional amounts. Volume for exchange traded derivatives is represented by the number of contracts, which is the basis on which they are traded. (n/a = not applicable)

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table provides a summary of the volume and fair value positions of derivative instruments as well as their reporting location in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of December 31, 2011.

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)		Asset derivatives				
			Volume (1)			
	Balance sheet location	Notional amount	Number of contracts	Fair value, net	Gross asset	Gross liability
<b>Derivatives designated as accounting hedging instruments</b>						
Interest rate swap agreements	Other investments	\$ 144	n/a	\$ (8)	\$ --	\$ (8)
Foreign currency swap agreements	Other investments	127	n/a	(5)	3	(8)
Total		271	n/a	(13)	3	(16)
<b>Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments</b>						
<b>Interest rate contracts</b>						
Interest rate swap agreements	Other investments	8,028	n/a	122	137	(15)
Interest rate swaption agreements	Other investments	1,750	n/a	--	--	--
Interest rate cap and floor agreements	Other investments	1,591	n/a	(12)	--	(12)
Financial futures contracts and options	Other assets	n/a	40	--	--	--
<b>Equity and index contracts</b>						
Options, futures and warrants (2)	Other investments	163	15,180	104	104	--
Options, futures and warrants	Other assets	n/a	2,132	1	1	--
<b>Foreign currency contracts</b>						
Foreign currency swap agreements	Other investments	50	n/a	6	6	--
Foreign currency forwards and options	Other investments	190	n/a	1	3	(2)
<b>Embedded derivative financial instruments</b>						
Conversion options	Fixed income securities	5	n/a	--	--	--
Equity-indexed call options	Fixed income securities	150	n/a	11	11	--
Credit default swaps	Fixed income securities	172	n/a	(115)	--	(115)
Other embedded derivative financial instruments	Other investments	1,000	n/a	--	--	--
<b>Credit default contracts</b>						
Credit default swaps - buying protection	Other investments	265	n/a	3	6	(3)
Credit default swaps - selling protection	Other investments	167	n/a	(4)	1	(5)
<b>Other contracts</b>						
Other contracts	Other investments	5	n/a	--	--	--
Other contracts	Other assets	4	n/a	1	1	--
Total		13,540	17,352	118	270	(152)
<b>Total asset derivatives</b>		\$ 13,811	17,352	\$ 105	\$ 273	\$ (168)

- (1) Volume for OTC derivative contracts is represented by their notional amounts. Volume for exchange traded derivatives is represented by the number of contracts, which is the basis on which they are traded. (n/a = not applicable)
- (2) In addition to the number of contracts presented in the table, the Company held 10,798 stock rights and 4,392,937 stock warrants. Stock rights and warrants can be converted to cash upon sale of those instruments or exercised for shares of common stock.

		Liability derivatives				
		Volume (1)				
	Balance sheet location	Notional amount	Number of contracts	Fair value, net	Gross asset	Gross liability
<b>Derivatives designated as accounting hedging instruments</b>						
Interest rate swap agreements	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	\$ 28	n/a	\$ (5)	\$ --	\$ (5)
Foreign currency swap agreements	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	50	n/a	(7)	--	(7)
Total		78	n/a	(12)	--	(12)
<b>Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments</b>						
<b>Interest rate contracts</b>						
Interest rate swap agreements	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	85	n/a	8	8	--
Interest rate swaption agreements	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	1,250	n/a	--	--	--
Interest rate cap and floor agreements	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	914	n/a	(9)	--	(9)
<b>Equity and index contracts</b>						
Options and futures	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	n/a	15,677	(50)	--	(50)
<b>Foreign currency contracts</b>						
Foreign currency forwards and options	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	96	n/a	(1)	--	(1)
<b>Embedded derivative financial instruments</b>						
Guaranteed accumulation benefits	Contractholder funds	917	n/a	(105)	--	(105)
Guaranteed withdrawal benefits	Contractholder funds	613	n/a	(57)	--	(57)
Equity-indexed and forward starting options in life and annuity product contracts	Contractholder funds	3,996	n/a	(553)	--	(553)
Other embedded derivative financial instruments	Contractholder funds	85	n/a	(8)	--	(8)
<b>Credit default contracts</b>						
Credit default swaps buying protection	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	509	n/a	7	12	(5)
Credit default swaps selling protection	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	503	n/a	(77)	2	(79)
Total		8,968	15,677	(845)	22	(867)
<b>Total liability derivatives</b>		9,046	15,677	(857)	\$ 22	\$ (879)
<b>Total derivatives</b>		\$ 22,857	33,029	\$ (752)		

(1) Volume for OTC derivative contracts is represented by their notional amounts. Volume for exchange traded derivatives is represented by the number of contracts, which is the basis on which they are traded. (n/a = not applicable)

The following table provides a summary of the impacts of the Company's foreign currency contracts in cash flow hedging relationships. Amortization of net losses from accumulated other comprehensive income related to cash flow hedges is expected to be less than \$1 million during the next twelve months.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Effective portion</b>				
(Loss) gain recognized in OCI on derivatives during the period	\$ (3)	\$ 20	\$ (3)	\$ 7
Loss recognized in OCI on derivatives during the term of the hedging relationship	(19)	(15)	(19)	(15)
Loss reclassified from AOCI into income (net investment income)	--	(1)	--	--
Loss reclassified from AOCI into income (realized capital gains and losses)	--	--	(1)	--
<b>Ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness</b>				
Gain recognized in income on derivatives (realized capital gains and losses)	--	--	--	--

The following tables present gains and losses from valuation, settlements and hedge ineffectiveness reported on derivatives used in fair value hedging relationships and derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30, 2012						Total gain (loss) recognized in net income on derivatives
	Net investment income	Realized capital gains and losses	Life and annuity contract benefits	Interest credited to contractholder funds	Operating costs and expenses		
<b>Derivatives in fair value accounting hedging relationships</b>							
Interest rate contracts	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Subtotal	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments</b>							
Interest rate contracts	--	(2)	--	--	--	--	(2)
Equity and index contracts	--	(6)	--	19	6	--	19
Embedded derivative financial instruments	--	2	18	138	--	--	158
Foreign currency contracts	--	1	--	--	5	--	6
Credit default contracts	--	3	--	--	--	--	3
Other contracts	--	--	--	1	--	--	1
Subtotal	--	(2)	18	158	11	--	185
Total	\$ --	\$ (2)	\$ 18	\$ 158	\$ 11	\$ --	\$ 185



**Nine months ended September 30, 2012**

	<b>Net investment income</b>	<b>Realized capital gains and losses</b>	<b>Life and annuity contract benefits</b>	<b>Interest credited to contractholder funds</b>	<b>Operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>Total gain (loss) recognized in net income on derivatives</b>
<b>Derivatives in fair value accounting hedging relationships</b>						
Interest rate contracts	\$ (1)	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (1)
Subtotal	(1)	--	--	--	--	(1)
<b>Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments</b>						
Interest rate contracts	--	(1)	--	--	--	(1)
Equity and index contracts	--	(5)	--	56	15	66
Embedded derivative financial instruments	--	21	32	140	--	193
Foreign currency contracts	--	1	--	--	7	8
Credit default contracts	--	11	--	--	--	11
Other contracts	--	--	--	3	--	3
Subtotal	--	27	32	199	22	280
<b>Total</b>	\$ (1)	\$ 27	\$ 32	\$ 199	\$ 22	\$ 279

**Three months ended September 30, 2011**

	<b>Net investment income</b>	<b>Realized capital gains and losses</b>	<b>Life and annuity contract benefits</b>	<b>Interest credited to contractholder funds</b>	<b>Operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>Total gain (loss) recognized in net income on derivatives</b>
<b>Derivatives in fair value accounting hedging relationships</b>						
Interest rate contracts	\$ (1)	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (1)
Subtotal	(1)	--	--	--	--	(1)
<b>Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments</b>						
Interest rate contracts	--	(202)	--	--	--	(202)
Equity and index contracts	--	1	--	(71)	(22)	(92)
Embedded derivative financial instruments	--	(50)	(55)	87	--	(18)
Foreign currency contracts	--	(9)	--	--	(1)	(10)
Credit default contracts	--	26	--	--	--	26
Other contracts	--	--	--	1	--	1
Subtotal	--	(234)	(55)	17	(23)	(295)
<b>Total</b>	\$ (1)	\$ (234)	\$ (55)	\$ 17	\$ (23)	\$ (296)



**Nine months ended September 30, 2011**

	<b>Net investment income</b>	<b>Realized capital gains and losses</b>	<b>Life and annuity contract benefits</b>	<b>Interest credited to contractholder funds</b>	<b>Operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>Total gain (loss) recognized in net income on derivatives</b>
<b>Derivatives in fair value accounting hedging relationships</b>						
Interest rate contracts	\$ (2)	\$ (8)	\$ --	\$ (5)	\$ --	\$ (15)
Foreign currency and interest rate contracts	--	--	--	(32)	--	(32)
Subtotal	(2)	(8)	--	(37)	--	(47)
<b>Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments</b>						
Interest rate contracts	--	(306)	--	--	--	(306)
Equity and index contracts	--	(18)	--	(25)	(15)	(58)
Embedded derivative financial instruments	--	(45)	(18)	74	--	11
Foreign currency contracts	--	(14)	--	--	1	(13)
Credit default contracts	--	37	--	--	--	37
Other contracts	--	--	--	6	--	6
Subtotal	--	(346)	(18)	55	(14)	(323)
Total	\$ (2)	\$ (354)	\$ (18)	\$ 18	\$ (14)	\$ (370)

The following tables provide a summary of the changes in fair value of the Company's fair value hedging relationships in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(\$ in millions)	<b>Gain (loss) on derivatives</b>		<b>Gain (loss) on hedged risk</b>	
<b>Location of gain or (loss) recognized in net income on derivatives</b>	<b>Interest rate contracts</b>	<b>Foreign currency &amp; interest rate contracts</b>	<b>Contractholder funds</b>	<b>Investments</b>
<b>Three months ended September 30, 2012</b>				
Net investment income	\$ 1	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (1)
Total	\$ 1	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (1)
<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2012</b>				
Net investment income	\$ 3	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (3)
Total	\$ 3	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (3)
<b>Three months ended September 30, 2011</b>				
Net investment income	\$ 1	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (1)
Total	\$ 1	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (1)
<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2011</b>				
Interest credited to contractholder funds	\$ (7)	\$ (34)	\$ 41	\$ --
Net investment income	24	--	--	(24)
Realized capital gains and losses	(8)	--	--	--
Total	\$ 9	\$ (34)	\$ 41	\$ (24)

The Company manages its exposure to credit risk by utilizing highly rated counterparties, establishing risk control limits, executing legally enforceable master netting agreements ( MNAs ) and obtaining collateral where appropriate. The Company uses MNAs for OTC derivative transactions that permit either party to net payments due for transactions and collateral is either pledged or obtained when certain predetermined exposure limits are exceeded. As of September 30, 2012, counterparties pledged \$94 million in cash and securities to the Company, and the

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Company pledged \$38 million in securities to counterparties which includes \$20 million of collateral posted under MNAs for contracts containing credit-risk-contingent provisions that are in a liability position and \$18 million of collateral posted under MNAs for contracts without credit-risk-contingent liabilities. The Company has not incurred any losses on derivative financial instruments due to counterparty nonperformance. Other derivatives, including futures and certain option contracts, are traded on organized exchanges which require margin deposits and guarantee the execution of trades, thereby mitigating any potential credit risk.

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Counterparty credit exposure represents the Company's potential loss if all of the counterparties concurrently fail to perform under the contractual terms of the contracts and all collateral, if any, becomes worthless. This exposure is measured by the fair value of OTC derivative contracts with a positive fair value at the reporting date reduced by the effect, if any, of legally enforceable master netting agreements.

The following table summarizes the counterparty credit exposure by counterparty credit rating as it relates to the Company's OTC derivatives.

(\$ in millions)		September 30, 2012				December 31, 2011			
Rating (1)	Number of counter-parties	Notional amount (2)	Credit exposure (2)	Exposure, net of collateral (2)	Number of counter-parties	Notional amount (2)	Credit exposure (2)	Exposure, net of collateral (2)	
AA-	--	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	1	\$ 25	\$ 1	\$ 1	
A+	2	1,578	8	3	4	3,026	26	5	
A	5	4,081	26	4	3	5,307	15	1	
A-	3	892	9	1	2	3,815	25	--	
BBB+	1	3,617	23	--	2	57	41	41	
Total	11	\$ 10,168	\$ 66	\$ 8	12	\$ 12,230	\$ 108	\$ 48	

(1) Rating is the lower of S&P or Moody's ratings.

(2) Only OTC derivatives with a net positive fair value are included for each counterparty.

Market risk is the risk that the Company will incur losses due to adverse changes in market rates and prices. Market risk exists for all of the derivative financial instruments the Company currently holds, as these instruments may become less valuable due to adverse changes in market conditions. To limit this risk, the Company's senior management has established risk control limits. In addition, changes in fair value of the derivative financial instruments that the Company uses for risk management purposes are generally offset by the change in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged risk component of the related assets, liabilities or forecasted transactions.

Certain of the Company's derivative instruments contain credit-risk-contingent termination events, cross-default provisions and credit support annex agreements. Credit-risk-contingent termination events allow the counterparties to terminate the derivative on certain dates if AIC's, ALIC's or Allstate Life Insurance Company of New York's (ALNY) financial strength credit ratings by Moody's or S&P fall below a certain level or in the event AIC, ALIC or ALNY are no longer rated by both Moody's and S&P. Credit-risk-contingent cross-default provisions allow the counterparties to terminate the derivative instruments if the Company defaults by pre-determined threshold amounts on certain debt instruments. Credit-risk-contingent credit support annex agreements specify the amount of collateral the Company must post to counterparties based on AIC's, ALIC's or ALNY's financial strength credit ratings by Moody's or S&P, or in the event AIC, ALIC or ALNY are no longer rated by both Moody's and S&P.

The following summarizes the fair value of derivative instruments with termination, cross-default or collateral credit-risk-contingent features that are in a liability position, as well as the fair value of assets and collateral that are netted against the liability in accordance with provisions within legally enforceable MNAs.

(\$ in millions)	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Gross liability fair value of contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features	\$ 61	\$ 153

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Gross asset fair value of contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features and subject to MNAs		(40)	(69)
Collateral posted under MNAs for contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features		(20)	(76)
Maximum amount of additional exposure for contracts with credit-risk-contingent features if all features were triggered concurrently	\$	1	\$ 8

### Credit derivatives - selling protection

Free-standing credit default swaps ( CDS ) are utilized for selling credit protection against a specified credit event. A credit default swap is a derivative instrument, representing an agreement between two parties to exchange the credit risk of a specified entity (or a group of entities), or an index based on the credit risk of a group of entities (all commonly referred to as the reference entity or a portfolio of reference entities ), in return for a periodic premium. In selling protection, CDS are used to replicate fixed income securities and to complement the cash market when credit exposure to certain issuers is not available or when the derivative alternative is less expensive than the cash market alternative. CDS typically have a five-year term.

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table shows the CDS notional amounts by credit rating and fair value of protection sold.

(\$ in millions)	Notional amount										
	AAA		AA		A		BBB		BB and lower	Total	Fair value
<b>September 30, 2012</b>											
<b>Single name</b>											
Investment grade											
corporate debt	\$	5	\$	20	\$	48	\$	105	\$	10	\$ 188 \$ --
Municipal		--		25		--		--		--	25 (4)
Subtotal		5		45		48		105		10	213 (4)
<b>Baskets</b>											
<b>First-to-default</b>											
Municipal		--		--		100		--		--	100 (28)
Subtotal		--		--		100		--		--	100 (28)
<b>Index</b>											
Investment grade corporate debt		--		2		79		204		15	300 1
<b>Total</b>	\$	5	\$	47	\$	227	\$	309	\$	25	\$ 613 \$ (31)
<b>December 31, 2011</b>											
<b>Single name</b>											
Investment grade											
corporate debt	\$	--	\$	90	\$	88	\$	160	\$	30	\$ 368 \$ (7)
High yield debt		--		--		--		--		2	2 --
Municipal		--		135		--		--		--	135 (12)
Subtotal		--		225		88		160		32	505 (19)
<b>Baskets</b>											
<b>Tranche</b>											
Investment grade											
corporate debt		--		--		--		--		65	65 (29)
<b>First-to-default</b>											
Municipal		--		--		100		--		--	100 (33)
Subtotal		--		--		100		--		65	165 (62)
<b>Total</b>	\$	--	\$	225	\$	188	\$	160	\$	97	\$ 670 \$ (81)

In selling protection with CDS, the Company sells credit protection on an identified single name, a basket of names in a first-to-default ( FTD ) structure or a specific tranche of a basket, or credit derivative index ( CDX ) that is generally investment grade, and in return receives periodic premiums through expiration or termination of the agreement. With single name CDS, this premium or credit spread generally corresponds to the difference between the yield on the reference entity's public fixed maturity cash instruments and swap rates at the time the agreement is executed. With a FTD basket or a tranche of a basket, because of the additional credit risk inherent in a basket of named reference entities, the premium generally corresponds to a high proportion of the sum of the credit spreads of the names in the basket and the correlation between the names. CDX index is utilized to take a position on multiple (generally 125) reference entities. Credit events are typically defined as bankruptcy, failure to pay, or restructuring, depending on the nature of the reference entities. If a credit event occurs, the Company settles with the counterparty, either through physical settlement or cash settlement. In a physical settlement, a reference asset is delivered by the buyer of protection to the Company, in exchange for cash payment at par, whereas in a cash settlement, the Company pays the difference between par and the prescribed value of the reference asset. When a credit event occurs in a single name or FTD basket (for FTD, the first credit event occurring for any one name in the basket), the contract terminates at the time of settlement. When a credit event occurs in a tranche of a basket, there is no immediate impact to the Company until cumulative losses in the basket exceed the contractual subordination. To date, realized losses have not exceeded the subordination. For CDX index, the reference entity's name incurring the credit event is removed from the index while the contract continues until expiration. The maximum payout on a CDS is the contract notional amount. A physical settlement may afford the Company with recovery rights as the new owner of the asset.

The Company monitors risk associated with credit derivatives through individual name credit limits at both a credit derivative and a combined cash instrument/credit derivative level. The ratings of individual names for which protection has been sold are also monitored.

In addition to the CDS described above, the Company's synthetic collateralized debt obligations contain embedded credit default swaps which sell protection on a basket of reference entities. The synthetic collateralized debt obligations are fully funded; therefore, the Company is not obligated to contribute additional funds when credit events occur related to the reference entities named in the embedded credit default swaps. The Company's maximum amount at risk equals the amount of its aggregate initial investment in the synthetic collateralized debt obligations.

## 7. Reserve for Property-Liability Insurance Claims and Claims Expense

The Company establishes reserves for claims and claims expense on reported and unreported claims of insured losses. The Company's reserving process takes into account known facts and interpretations of circumstances and factors including the Company's experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, historical trends involving claim payment patterns and pending levels of unpaid claims, loss management programs, product mix and contractual terms, changes in law and regulation, judicial decisions, and economic conditions. In the normal course of business, the Company may also supplement its claims processes by utilizing third party adjusters, appraisers, engineers, inspectors, and other professionals and information sources to assess and settle catastrophe and non-catastrophe related claims. The effects of inflation are implicitly considered in the reserving process.

Because reserves are estimates of unpaid portions of losses that have occurred, including incurred but not reported losses, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophe losses, is an inherently uncertain and complex process. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on management's best estimates. The highest degree of uncertainty is associated with reserves for losses incurred in the current reporting period as it contains the greatest proportion of losses that have not been reported or settled. The Company regularly updates its reserve estimates as new information becomes available and as events unfold that may affect the resolution of unsettled claims. Changes in prior year reserve estimates, which may be material, are reported in property-liability insurance claims and claims expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period such changes are determined.

Management believes that the reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense, net of reinsurance recoverables, is appropriately established in the aggregate and adequate to cover the ultimate net cost of reported and unreported claims arising from losses which had occurred by the date of the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position based on available facts, technology, laws and regulations.

## 8. Reinsurance

Property-liability insurance premiums earned and life and annuity premiums and contract charges have been reduced by the reinsurance ceded amounts shown in the following table:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Property-liability insurance premiums earned	\$ 272	\$ 277	\$ 813	\$ 821



# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Life and annuity premiums and contract charges	161	180	500	558
--	-----	-----	-----	-----

Property-liability insurance claims and claims expense, life and annuity contract benefits and interest credited to contractholder funds have been reduced by the reinsurance ceded amounts shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Property-liability insurance claims and claims expense	\$ 188	\$ 287	\$ 327	\$ 485
Life and annuity contract benefits	184	207	388	343
Interest credited to contractholder funds	7	7	21	21

## 9. Company Restructuring

The Company undertakes various programs to reduce expenses. These programs generally involve a reduction in staffing levels, and in certain cases, office closures. Restructuring and related charges include employee termination and relocation benefits, and post-exit rent expenses in connection with these programs, and non-cash charges resulting from pension benefit payments made to agents in connection with the 1999 reorganization of Allstate's multiple agency programs to a single exclusive agency program. The expenses related to these activities are included in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as restructuring and related charges, and totaled \$9 million and \$8 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$25 million and \$28 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table presents changes in the restructuring liability during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

(\$ in millions)	Employee costs		Exit costs		Total liability
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$	5	\$	5	\$ 10
Expense incurred		6		3	9
Payments applied against liability		(6)		(3)	(9)
Balance as of September 30, 2012	\$	5	\$	5	\$ 10

The payments applied against the liability for employee costs primarily reflect severance costs, and the payments for exit costs generally consist of post-exit rent expenses and contract termination penalties. As of September 30, 2012, the cumulative amount incurred to date for active programs totaled \$81 million for employee costs and \$49 million for exit costs.

## 10. Guarantees and Contingent Liabilities

### Shared markets and state facility assessments

The Company is required to participate in assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities and joint underwriting associations in various states that provide insurance coverage to individuals or entities that otherwise are unable to purchase such coverage from private insurers. Underwriting results related to these arrangements, which tend to be adverse, have been immaterial to the Company's results of operations. Because of the Company's participation, it may be exposed to losses that surpass the capitalization of these facilities and/or assessments from these facilities.

### Guarantees

The Company owns certain fixed income securities that obligate the Company to exchange credit risk or to forfeit principal due, depending on the nature or occurrence of specified credit events for the reference entities. In the event all such specified credit events were to occur, the Company's maximum amount at risk on these fixed income securities, as measured by the amount of the aggregate initial investment, was \$4 million as of September 30, 2012. The obligations associated with these fixed income securities expire at various dates on or before March 11, 2018.

Related to the disposal through reinsurance of substantially all of Allstate Financial's variable annuity business to Prudential in 2006, the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries, ALIC and ALNY, have agreed to indemnify Prudential for certain pre-closing contingent liabilities (including extra-contractual liabilities of ALIC and ALNY and liabilities specifically excluded from the transaction) that ALIC and ALNY have agreed to retain. In addition, the Company, ALIC and ALNY will each indemnify Prudential for certain post-closing liabilities that may arise from the acts of ALIC, ALNY and their agents, including in connection with ALIC's and ALNY's provision of transition services. The reinsurance agreements contain no limitations or indemnifications with regard to insurance risk transfer, and transferred all of the future risks and responsibilities for performance on the underlying variable annuity contracts to Prudential, including those related to benefit guarantees. Management does not believe this agreement will have a material effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Company.

The Company provides residual value guarantees on Company leased automobiles. If all outstanding leases were terminated effective September 30, 2012, the Company's maximum obligation pursuant to these guarantees, assuming the automobiles have no residual value, would be \$42 million as of September 30, 2012. The remaining term of each residual value guarantee is equal to the term of the underlying lease that ranges from less than one year to three years. Historically, the Company has not made any material payments pursuant to these guarantees.

In the normal course of business, the Company provides standard indemnifications to contractual counterparties in connection with numerous transactions, including acquisitions and divestitures. The types of indemnifications typically provided include indemnifications for breaches of representations and warranties, taxes and certain other liabilities, such as third party lawsuits. The indemnification clauses are often standard contractual terms and are entered into in the normal course of business based on an assessment that the risk of loss would be remote. The terms of the indemnifications vary in duration and nature. In many cases, the maximum obligation is not explicitly stated and the contingencies triggering the obligation to indemnify have not occurred and are not expected to occur. Consequently, the maximum amount of the obligation under such indemnifications is not determinable. Historically, the Company has not made any material payments pursuant to these obligations.

The aggregate liability balance related to all guarantees was not material as of September 30, 2012.

### **Regulation and Compliance**

The Company is subject to changing social, economic and regulatory conditions. From time to time, regulatory authorities or legislative bodies seek to influence and restrict premium rates, require premium refunds to policyholders, require reinstatement of terminated policies, restrict the ability of insurers to cancel or non-renew policies, require insurers to continue to write new policies or limit their ability to write new policies, limit insurers' ability to change coverage terms or to impose underwriting standards, impose additional regulations regarding agent and broker compensation, regulate the nature of and amount of investments, and otherwise expand overall regulation of insurance products and the insurance industry. The Company has established procedures and policies to facilitate compliance with laws and regulations, to foster prudent business operations, and to support financial reporting. The Company routinely reviews its practices to validate compliance with laws and regulations and with internal procedures and policies. As a result of these reviews, from time to time the Company may decide to modify some of its procedures and policies. Such modifications, and the reviews that led to them, may be accompanied by payments being made and costs being incurred. The ultimate changes and eventual effects of these actions on the Company's business, if any, are uncertain.

### **Legal and regulatory proceedings and inquiries**

The Company and certain subsidiaries are involved in a number of lawsuits, regulatory inquiries, and other legal proceedings arising out of various aspects of its business.

### ***Background***

These matters raise difficult and complicated factual and legal issues and are subject to many uncertainties and complexities, including the underlying facts of each matter; novel legal issues; variations between jurisdictions in which matters are being litigated, heard, or investigated; differences in applicable laws and judicial interpretations; the length of time before many of these matters might be resolved by settlement, through litigation, or otherwise; the fact that some of the lawsuits are putative class actions in which a class has not been certified and in which the purported class may not be clearly defined; the fact that some of the lawsuits involve multi-state class actions in which the applicable law(s) for the claims at issue is in dispute and therefore unclear; and the current challenging legal environment faced by large corporations and insurance companies.

The outcome of these matters may be affected by decisions, verdicts, and settlements, and the timing of such decisions, verdicts, and settlements, in other individual and class action lawsuits that involve the Company, other insurers, or other entities and by other legal, governmental, and

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

regulatory actions that involve the Company, other insurers, or other entities. The outcome may also be affected by future state or federal legislation, the timing or substance of which cannot be predicted.

In the lawsuits, plaintiffs seek a variety of remedies which may include equitable relief in the form of injunctive and other remedies and monetary relief in the form of contractual and extra-contractual damages. In some cases, the monetary damages sought may include punitive or treble damages. Often specific information about the relief sought, such as the amount of damages, is not available because plaintiffs have not requested specific relief in their pleadings. When specific monetary demands are made, they are often set just below a state court jurisdictional limit in order to seek the maximum amount available in state court, regardless of the specifics of the case, while still avoiding the risk of removal to federal court. In Allstate's experience, monetary demands in pleadings bear little relation to the ultimate loss, if any, to the Company.

In connection with regulatory examinations and proceedings, government authorities may seek various forms of relief, including penalties, restitution, and changes in business practices. The Company may not be advised of the nature and extent of relief sought until the final stages of the examination or proceeding.

***Accrual and disclosure policy***

The Company reviews its lawsuits, regulatory inquiries, and other legal proceedings on an ongoing basis and follows appropriate accounting guidance when making accrual and disclosure decisions. The Company establishes accruals for such matters at management's best estimate when the Company assesses that it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company does not establish accruals for such matters when the Company does not believe both that it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company's assessment of whether a loss is reasonably possible or probable is based on its assessment of the ultimate outcome of the matter following all appeals. The Company does not include potential recoveries in its estimates of reasonably possible or probable losses. Legal fees are expensed as incurred.

The Company continues to monitor its lawsuits, regulatory inquiries, and other legal proceedings for further developments that would make the loss contingency both probable and estimable, and accordingly accruable, or that could affect the amount of accruals that have been previously established. There may continue to be exposure to loss in excess of any amount accrued. Disclosure of the nature and amount of an accrual is made when there have been sufficient legal and factual developments such that the Company's ability to resolve the matter would not be impaired by the disclosure of the amount of accrual.

When the Company assesses it is reasonably possible or probable that a loss has been incurred, it discloses the matter. When it is possible to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, if any, for the matters disclosed, that estimate is aggregated and disclosed. Disclosure is not required when an estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss cannot be made.

For certain of the matters described below in the *Claims related proceedings* and *Other proceedings* subsections, the Company is able to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, if any. In determining whether it is possible to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss, the Company reviews and evaluates the disclosed matters, in conjunction with counsel, in light of potentially relevant factual and legal developments.

These developments may include information learned through the discovery process, rulings on dispositive motions, settlement discussions, information obtained from other sources, experience from managing these and other matters, and other rulings by courts, arbitrators or others. When the Company possesses sufficient appropriate information to develop an estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, if any, that estimate is aggregated and disclosed below. There may be other disclosed matters for which a loss is probable or reasonably possible but such an estimate is not possible. Disclosure of the estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, if any, for any individual matter would only be considered when there have been sufficient legal and factual developments such that the Company's ability to resolve the matter would not be impaired by the disclosure of the individual estimate.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company estimates that the aggregate range of reasonably possible loss in excess of the amount accrued, if any, for the disclosed matters where such an estimate is possible is zero to \$855 million, pre-tax. This disclosure is not an indication of expected loss, if any. Under accounting guidance, an event is *reasonably possible* if the chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely and an event is *remote* if the chance of the future event or events occurring is slight. This estimate is based upon currently available information and is subject to significant judgment and a variety of assumptions, and known and unknown uncertainties. The matters

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

underlying the estimate will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from the current estimate. The estimate does not include matters or losses for which an estimate is not possible. Therefore, this estimate represents an estimate of possible loss only for certain matters meeting these criteria. It does not represent the Company's maximum possible loss exposure. Information is provided below regarding the nature of all of the disclosed matters and, where specified, the amount, if any, of plaintiff claims associated with these loss contingencies.

Due to the complexity and scope of the matters disclosed in the Claims related proceedings and Other proceedings subsections below and the many uncertainties that exist, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be predicted. In the event of an unfavorable outcome in one or more of these matters, the ultimate liability may be

in excess of amounts currently accrued, if any, and may be material to the Company's operating results or cash flows for a particular quarterly or annual period. However, based on information currently known to it, management believes that the ultimate outcome of all matters described below, as they are resolved over time, is not likely to have a material effect on the financial position of the Company.

#### *Claims related proceedings*

Allstate is vigorously defending a lawsuit filed in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina and currently pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana ( District Court ). This matter was filed by the Louisiana Attorney General against Allstate and every other homeowner insurer doing business in the State of Louisiana, on behalf of the State of Louisiana, as assignee, and on behalf of certain Road Home fund recipients. Although this lawsuit was originally filed as a class action, the Louisiana Attorney General moved to dismiss the class in 2011 and that motion was granted. In this matter the State alleged that the insurers failed to pay all damages owed under their policies. The claims currently pending in this matter are for breach of contract and for declaratory relief on the alleged underpayment of claims by the insurers. All other claims, including extra-contractual claims, have been dismissed. The Company had moved to dismiss the complaint on the grounds that the State had no standing to bring the lawsuit as an assignee of insureds because of anti-assignment language in the underlying insurance policies. The Louisiana Supreme Court denied the motion.

The District Court has issued a case management order requiring the State to produce specific detail by property supporting its allegations of breach of contract. Additionally, the case management order requires the State to deliver a settlement proposal to Allstate and the other defendant insurance companies. There are many potential individual claims at issue in this matter, each of which will require individual analysis and a number of which may be subject to individual defenses, including release, accord and satisfaction, prescription, waiver, and estoppel. The Company has filed a motion seeking to force the State to provide more specificity as to its claims in this matter. The Company believes that its adjusting practices in connection with Katrina homeowners claims were sound and in accordance with industry standards and state law. There remain significant questions of Louisiana law that have yet to be decided. In the Company's judgment, given the issues discussed above, a loss is not probable.

Allstate is vigorously defending a class action lawsuit in Montana state court challenging aspects of its claim handling practices in Montana. The plaintiff alleges that the Company adjusts claims made by individuals who do not have attorneys in a manner that unfairly resulted in lower payments compared to claimants who were represented by attorneys. In January 2012, the court certified a class of Montana claimants who were not represented by attorneys with respect to the resolution of auto accident claims. The court certified the class to cover an indefinite period that commences in the mid-1990's. The certified claims include claims for declaratory judgment, injunctive relief and punitive damages in an unspecified amount. Injunctive relief may include a claim process by which unrepresented claimants could request that their claims be readjusted. No compensatory damages are sought on behalf of the class. To date no discovery has occurred related to the potential value of the class members' claims. The Company has asserted various defenses with respect to the plaintiff's claims which have not been finally resolved, and has appealed the order certifying the class. The proposed injunctive relief claim process would be subject to defenses and offsets ordinarily associated with the adjustment of claims. Any differences in amounts paid to class members compared to what class members might be paid under a different process would be speculative and subject to individual variation and determination dependent upon the individual circumstances presented by each class claimant. In the Company's judgment a loss is not probable.

Allstate has been vigorously defending a lawsuit in regards to certain claims employees involving worker classification issues. This lawsuit is a certified class action challenging a state wage and hour law. In this case, plaintiffs sought actual damages in an amount to be proven at trial, liquidated damages in an amount equal to an unspecified percentage of the aggregate underpayment of wages to be proven at trial, as well as attorneys' fees and costs. Plaintiffs have not made a settlement demand nor have they alleged the amount of damages with any specificity. The case was bifurcated between liability and damages and is currently focused only on liability issues. No discovery has taken place regarding plaintiffs' alleged damages. In December 2009, the liability phase of the case was tried, and, in July 2010, the trial court issued its decision finding in favor of Allstate on all claims. The plaintiffs appealed the decision in favor of Allstate to the first level appellate court. In May 2012, the court heard oral argument on the plaintiffs' appeal and affirmed the trial court's decision. The plaintiffs have subsequently filed a petition for review with the Illinois Supreme Court asking it to review the lower courts' decisions. Only liability





issues are being addressed on appeal and no damages may be awarded at this stage of the proceedings. In the event the trial court's order were to be overturned, however, the parties would need to conduct damages discovery, and a trial on damages would have to take place, before any damages could be awarded. In the Company's judgment a loss is not probable.

#### *Other proceedings*

The Company is defending certain matters relating to the Company's agency program reorganization announced in 1999. Although these cases have been pending for many years, they currently are in the early stages of litigation because of appellate court proceedings and threshold procedural issues.

- These matters include a lawsuit filed in 2001 by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ( EEOC ) alleging retaliation under federal civil rights laws ( EEOC I ) and a class action filed in 2001 by former employee agents alleging retaliation and age discrimination under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ( ADEA ), breach of contract and ERISA violations ( Romero I ). In 2004, in the consolidated EEOC I and Romero I litigation, the trial court issued a memorandum and order that, among other things, certified classes of agents, including a mandatory class of agents who had signed a release, for purposes of effecting the court's declaratory judgment that the release was voidable at the option of the release signer. The court also ordered that an agent who voided the release must return to Allstate any and all benefits received by the [agent] in exchange for signing the release. The court also stated that, on the undisputed facts of record, there is no basis for claims of age discrimination. The EEOC and plaintiffs asked the court to clarify and/or reconsider its memorandum and order and in January 2007, the judge denied their request. In June 2007, the court reversed its prior ruling that the release was voidable and granted the Company's motions for summary judgment, ruling that the asserted claims were barred by the release signed by most plaintiffs. Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit ( Third Circuit ). In July 2009, the Third Circuit vacated the trial court's entry of summary judgment in the Company's favor and remanded the cases to the trial court for additional discovery, including additional discovery related to the validity of the release and waiver. In its opinion, the Third Circuit held that if the release and waiver is held to be valid, then all of the claims in Romero I and EEOC I are barred. Thus, if the waiver and release is upheld, then only the claims in Romero I asserted by the small group of employee agents who did not sign the release and waiver would remain for adjudication. In January 2010, following the remand, the cases were assigned to a new judge for further proceedings in the trial court. Plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Complaint on July 28, 2010. Plaintiffs seek broad but unspecified make whole relief, including back pay, compensatory and punitive damages, liquidated damages, lost investment capital, attorneys' fees and costs, and equitable relief, including reinstatement to employee agent status with all attendant benefits for up to approximately 6,500 former employee agents. Despite the length of time that these matters have been pending, to date only limited discovery has occurred related to the damages claimed by individual plaintiffs, and no damages discovery has occurred related to the claims of the putative class. Nor have plaintiffs provided any calculations of the putative class's alleged back pay or the alleged liquidated, compensatory or punitive damages, instead asserting that such calculations will be provided at a later stage during expert discovery. Damage claims are subject to reduction by amounts and benefits received by plaintiffs and putative class members subsequent to their employment termination. Little to no discovery has occurred with respect to amounts earned or received by plaintiffs and putative class members in mitigation of their alleged losses. Alleged damage amounts and lost benefits of the approximately 6,500 putative class members also are subject to individual variation and determination dependent upon retirement dates, participation in employee benefit programs, and years of service. Discovery limited to the validity of the waiver and release is in process and the court is currently considering various motions relating to discovery. At present, no class is certified. Summary judgment proceedings on the validity of the waiver and release are expected to occur in the first half of 2013.
- A putative nationwide class action has also been filed by former employee agents alleging various violations of ERISA, including a worker classification issue ( Romero II ). These plaintiffs are challenging certain amendments to the Agents Pension Plan and are seeking to have exclusive agent independent contractors treated as employees for benefit purposes. Romero II was dismissed with prejudice by the trial court, was the subject of further proceedings on appeal, and was reversed and remanded to the trial court in 2005. In June 2007, the court granted the Company's motion to dismiss the case. Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal with the Third Circuit. In July 2009, the Third Circuit vacated the district court's dismissal of the case and remanded the case to the trial court for additional discovery, and



directed that the case be reassigned to another trial court judge. In its opinion, the Third Circuit held that if the release and waiver is held to be valid, then one of plaintiffs' three claims asserted in *Romero II* is barred. The Third Circuit directed the district court to consider on remand whether the other two claims asserted in *Romero II* are barred by the release and waiver. In January 2010, following the remand, the case was assigned to a new judge (the same judge for the *Romero I* and *EEOC I* cases) for further proceedings in the trial court. On April 23, 2010, plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs seek broad but unspecified "make whole" or other equitable relief, including losses of income and benefits as a result of their decision to retire from the Company between November 1, 1999 and December 31, 2000. They also seek repeal of the challenged amendments to the Agents Pension Plan with all attendant benefits revised and recalculated for thousands of former employee agents, and attorney's fees and costs. Despite the length of time that this matter has been pending, to date only limited discovery has occurred related to the damages claimed by individual plaintiffs, and no damages discovery has occurred related to the claims of the putative class. Nor have plaintiffs provided any calculations of the putative class's alleged losses, instead asserting that such calculations will be provided at a later stage during expert discovery. Damage claims are subject to reduction by amounts and benefits received by plaintiffs and putative class members subsequent to their employment termination. Little to no discovery has occurred with respect to amounts earned or received by plaintiffs and putative class members in mitigation of their alleged losses. Alleged damage amounts and lost benefits of the putative class members also are subject to individual variation and determination dependent upon retirement dates, participation in employee benefit programs, and years of service. As in *Romero I* and *EEOC I*, discovery at this time is limited to issues relating to the validity of the waiver and release and the court is considering various motions related to discovery. Class certification has not been decided. Summary judgment proceedings on the validity of the waiver and release are expected to occur in the first half of 2013.

In these agency program reorganization matters, the threshold issue of the validity and scope of the waiver and release is yet to be decided and, if decided in favor of the Company, would preclude any damages being awarded in *Romero I* and *EEOC I* and may also preclude damages from being awarded in *Romero II*. In the Company's judgment a loss is not probable. Allstate has been vigorously defending these lawsuits and other matters related to its agency program reorganization.

#### **Asbestos and environmental**

Allstate's reserves for asbestos claims were \$1.05 billion and \$1.08 billion, net of reinsurance recoverables of \$502 million and \$529 million, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Reserves for environmental claims were \$201 million and \$185 million, net of reinsurance recoverables of \$48 million and \$40 million, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Approximately 59% of the total net asbestos and environmental reserves as of September 30, 2012 were for incurred but not reported estimated losses with no change from December 31, 2011.

Management believes its net loss reserves for asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines exposures are appropriately established based on available facts, technology, laws and regulations. However, establishing net loss reserves for asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims is subject to uncertainties that are much greater than those presented by other types of claims. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on management's best estimate. Among the complications are lack of historical data, long reporting delays, uncertainty as to the number and identity of insureds with potential exposure and unresolved legal issues regarding policy coverage; unresolved legal issues regarding the determination, availability and timing of exhaustion of policy limits; plaintiffs' evolving and expanding theories of liability; availability and collectability of recoveries from reinsurance; retrospectively determined premiums and other contractual agreements; estimates of the extent and timing of any contractual liability; the impact of bankruptcy protection sought by various asbestos producers and other asbestos defendants; and other uncertainties. There are also complex legal issues concerning the interpretation of various insurance policy provisions and whether those losses are covered, or were ever intended to be covered, and could be recoverable through retrospectively determined premium, reinsurance or other contractual agreements. Courts have reached different and sometimes inconsistent conclusions as to when losses are deemed to have occurred and which policies provide coverage; what types of losses are covered; whether there is an insurer obligation to defend; how policy limits are determined; how policy exclusions and conditions are applied and interpreted; and whether clean-up costs represent insured property damage. Management believes these issues are not likely to be resolved in the near future, and the ultimate costs may vary materially from the amounts currently recorded resulting in material changes in loss reserves. In addition, while the Company believes that improved



actuarial techniques and databases have assisted in its ability to estimate asbestos, environmental, and other discontinued lines net loss reserves, these refinements may subsequently prove to be inadequate indicators of the extent of probable losses. Due to the uncertainties and factors described above, management believes it is not practicable to develop a meaningful range for any such additional net loss reserves that may be required.

# 11. Components of Net Periodic Pension and Postretirement Benefit Costs

The components of net periodic cost for the Company's pension and postretirement benefit plans are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Pension benefits</b>				
Service cost	\$ 38	\$ 37	\$ 114	\$ 113
Interest cost	74	81	223	242
Expected return on plan assets	(98)	(92)	(295)	(276)
Amortization of:				
Prior service credit	(1)	--	(2)	(1)
Net actuarial loss	45	39	134	116
Settlement loss	10	9	29	26
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 68	\$ 74	\$ 203	\$ 220
<b>Postretirement benefits</b>				
Service cost	\$ 3	\$ 2	\$ 9	\$ 8
Interest cost	9	9	27	27
Amortization of:				
Prior service credit	(6)	(6)	(17)	(17)
Net actuarial gain	(5)	(7)	(15)	(22)
Settlement loss	--	1	--	1
Net periodic postretirement benefit cost (credit)	\$ 1	\$ (1)	\$ 4	\$ (3)

## 12. Business Segments

Summarized revenue data for each of the Company's reportable segments are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Revenues</b>				
<i>Property-Liability</i>				
Property-liability insurance premiums				
Standard auto	\$ 4,310	\$ 4,070	\$ 12,875	\$ 12,251
Non-standard auto	177	196	544	613
Total auto	4,487	4,266	13,419	12,864
Homeowners	1,595	1,553	4,747	4,640
Other personal lines	614	613	1,826	1,834
Allstate Protection	6,696	6,432	19,992	19,338
Discontinued Lines and Coverages	1	--	1	(1)
Total property-liability insurance premiums	6,697	6,432	19,993	19,337
Net investment income	299	298	964	892
Realized capital gains and losses	(16)	24	192	73
Total Property-Liability	6,980	6,754	21,149	20,302
<i>Allstate Financial</i>				
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges				
Traditional life insurance	117	111	347	328
Immediate annuities with life contingencies	10	16	36	74
Accident and health insurance	164	160	486	483
Total life and annuity premiums	291	287	869	885
Interest-sensitive life insurance	267	258	790	759
Fixed annuities	5	7	16	24
Total contract charges	272	265	806	783
Total life and annuity premiums and contract charges	563	552	1,675	1,668
Net investment income	632	682	1,982	2,060
Realized capital gains and losses	(56)	219	(69)	320
Total Allstate Financial	1,139	1,453	3,588	4,048
<i>Corporate and Other</i>				
Service fees	1	1	3	5
Net investment income	9	14	31	44
Realized capital gains and losses	--	21	--	24
Total Corporate and Other before reclassification of service fees	10	36	34	73
Reclassification of service fees (1)	(1)	(1)	(3)	(5)
Total Corporate and Other	9	35	31	68
Consolidated revenues	\$ 8,128	\$ 8,242	\$ 24,768	\$ 24,418

(1) For presentation in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, service fees of the Corporate and Other segment are reclassified to operating costs and expenses.





Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Summarized financial performance data for each of the Company's reportable segments are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,			Nine months ended September 30,		
	2012		2011	2012		2011
<b>Net income</b>						
<i>Property-Liability</i>						
Underwriting income (loss)						
Allstate Protection	\$ 701	\$	(297)	\$ 1,365	\$	(1,461)
Discontinued Lines and Coverages	(42)		(12)	(49)		(22)
Total underwriting income (loss)	659		(309)	1,316		(1,483)
Net investment income	299		298	964		892
Income tax (expense) benefit on operations	(308)		37	(717)		316
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	(11)		15	125		47
Property-Liability net income (loss)	639		41	1,688		(228)
<i>Allstate Financial</i>						
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges	563		552	1,675		1,668
Net investment income	632		682	1,982		2,060
Periodic settlements and accruals on non-hedge derivative instruments	15		18	45		54
Contract benefits and interest credited to contractholder funds	(810)		(850)	(2,441)		(2,563)
Operating costs and expenses and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	(264)		(212)	(703)		(661)
Restructuring and related charges	--		--	--		2
Income tax expense on operations	(39)		(61)	(173)		(183)
Operating income	97		129	385		377
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	(36)		142	(45)		207
Valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged, after-tax	97		(4)	88		1
DAC and DSI amortization related to realized capital gains and losses and valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged, after-tax	(28)		(65)	(38)		(92)
DAC and DSI unlocking related to realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	4		--	4		3
Reclassification of periodic settlements and accruals on non-hedge derivative instruments, after-tax	(9)		(12)	(29)		(35)
Gain (loss) on disposition of operations, after-tax	6		2	10		(6)
Allstate Financial net income	131		192	375		455
<i>Corporate and Other</i>						
Service fees (1)	1		1	3		5
Net investment income	9		14	31		44
Operating costs and expenses (1)	(91)		(117)	(286)		(310)
Income tax benefit on operations	34		31	101		94
Operating loss	(47)		(71)	(151)		(167)
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	--		13	--		15
Corporate and Other net loss	(47)		(58)	(151)		(152)
Consolidated net income	\$ 723	\$	175	\$ 1,912	\$	75

---

(1) For presentation in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, service fees of the Corporate and Other segment are reclassified to operating costs and expenses.

### 13. Other Comprehensive Income

The components of other comprehensive income (loss) on a pre-tax and after-tax basis are as follows:

(\$ in millions)

(\$ in millions)	2012		Three months ended September 30,		2011		After-tax
	Pre-tax	Tax	After-tax	Pre-tax	Tax		
Unrealized net holding gains and losses arising during the period, net of related offsets	\$ 1,177	\$ (412)	\$ 765	\$ (103)	\$ 36		\$ (67)
Less: reclassification adjustment of realized capital gains and losses	(70)	25	(45)	527	(184)		343
Unrealized net capital gains and losses	1,247	(437)	810	(630)	220		(410)
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	19	(7)	12	(51)	18		(33)
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost	30	(10)	20	32	(11)		21
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 1,296	\$ (454)	842	\$ (649)	\$ 227		(422)
Net income			723				175
Comprehensive income (loss)			\$ 1,565				\$ (247)

	Nine months ended September 30,						
	2012		After-tax		2011		After-tax
	Pre-tax	Tax		Pre-tax	Tax		
Unrealized net holding gains arising during the period, net of related offsets	\$ 2,337	\$ (816)	\$ 1,521	\$ 869	\$ (305)		\$ 564
Less: reclassification adjustment of realized capital gains and losses	63	(22)	41	688	(241)		447
Unrealized net capital gains and losses	2,274	(794)	1,480	181	(64)		117
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	22	(8)	14	(29)	10		(19)
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost	96	(32)	64	79	(26)		53
Other comprehensive income	\$ 2,392	\$ (834)	1,558	\$ 231	\$ (80)		151
Net income			1,912				75
Comprehensive income			\$ 3,470				\$ 226

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

The Allstate Corporation

Northbrook, IL 60062

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of financial position of The Allstate Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company ) as of September 30, 2012, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, and of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statement of financial position of The Allstate Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 22, 2012 (which report includes an explanatory paragraph relating to a change in the Company's recognition and presentation for other-than-temporary impairments of debt securities in 2009 and dated May 2, 2012 as to the effects of the retrospective adoption of a change in accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts), we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of financial position as of December 31, 2011 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated statement of financial position from which it has been derived.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Chicago, Illinois

October 31, 2012



**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE-MONTH AND NINE-MONTH PERIODS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011**

**OVERVIEW**

The following discussion highlights significant factors influencing the consolidated financial position and results of operations of The Allstate Corporation (referred to in this document as we, our, us, the Company or Allstate). It should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto found under Part I. Item 1. contained herein, and with the discussion, analysis, consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in Part I. Item 1. and Part II. Item 7. and Item 8. of The Allstate Corporation Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2011 and Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 2, 2012. Further analysis of our insurance segments is provided in the Property-Liability Operations (which includes the Allstate Protection and the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segments) and in the Allstate Financial Segment sections of Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A). The segments are consistent with the way in which we use financial information to evaluate business performance and to determine the allocation of resources.

Allstate is focused on the following priorities:

- maintain auto profitability;
- raise returns in homeowners and annuity businesses;
- grow insurance premiums; and
- proactively manage investments and capital.

**HIGHLIGHTS**

- Consolidated net income was \$723 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$175 million in the third quarter of 2011, and \$1.91 billion in the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$75 million in the first nine months of 2011. Net income per diluted share was \$1.48 in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$0.34 in the third quarter of 2011, and \$3.86 in the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$0.14 in the first nine months of 2011.
- Property-Liability net income was \$639 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$41 million in the third quarter of 2011, and net income was \$1.69 billion in the first nine months of 2012 compared to a net loss of \$228 million in the first nine months of 2011.
- The Property-Liability combined ratio was 90.2 in the third quarter of 2012 compared to 104.8 in the third quarter of 2011 and 93.4 in the first nine months of 2012 compared to 107.7 in the first nine months of 2011.
- Allstate Financial net income was \$131 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$192 million in the third quarter of 2011, and \$375 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$455 million in the first nine months of 2011.

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

- Total revenues were \$8.13 billion in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$8.24 billion in the third quarter of 2011, and \$24.77 billion in the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$24.42 billion in the first nine months of 2011.
- Property-Liability premiums earned totaled \$6.70 billion in the third quarter of 2012, an increase of 4.1% from \$6.43 billion in the third quarter of 2011, and \$19.99 billion in the first nine months of 2012, an increase of 3.4% from \$19.34 billion in the first nine months of 2011.
- Net realized capital losses were \$72 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to net realized capital gains of \$264 million in the third quarter of 2011, and net realized capital gains were \$123 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$417 million in the first nine months of 2011.
- Investments totaled \$98.52 billion as of September 30, 2012, an increase of 3.0% from \$95.62 billion as of December 31, 2011. Net investment income in the third quarter of 2012 was \$940 million, a decrease of 5.4% from \$994 million in the third quarter of 2011, and \$2.98 billion in the first nine months of 2012, a decrease of 0.6% from \$3.00 billion in the first nine months of 2011.
- Book value per diluted share (ratio of shareholders' equity to total shares outstanding and dilutive potential shares outstanding) was \$42.64 as of September 30, 2012, an increase of 22.4% from \$34.84 as of September 30, 2011 and an increase of 17.9% from \$36.18 as of December 31, 2011.
- For the twelve months ended September 30, 2012, return on the average of beginning and ending period shareholders' equity was 13.6%, an increase of 11.6 points from 2.0% for the twelve months ended September 30, 2011.
- As of September 30, 2012, shareholders' equity was \$20.84 billion. This total included \$2.34 billion in deployable invested assets at the parent holding company level.

**CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME**

(\$ in millions)

	<b>Three months ended September 30,</b>		<b>Nine months ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
<b>Revenues</b>				
Property-liability insurance premiums	\$ 6,697	\$ 6,432	\$ 19,993	\$ 19,337
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges	563	552	1,675	1,668
Net investment income	940	994	2,977	2,996
Realized capital gains and losses:				
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(39)	(197)	(195)	(435)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income	(7)	(6)	16	(37)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings	(46)	(203)	(179)	(472)
Sales and other realized capital gains and losses	(26)	467	302	889
Total realized capital gains and losses	(72)	264	123	417
Total revenues	8,128	8,242	24,768	24,418
<b>Costs and expenses</b>				
Property-liability insurance claims and claims expense	(4,293)	(5,132)	(13,442)	(15,963)
Life and annuity contract benefits	(453)	(455)	(1,354)	(1,331)
Interest credited to contractholder funds	(215)	(405)	(959)	(1,240)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	(1,016)	(1,046)	(2,937)	(2,990)
Operating costs and expenses	(1,010)	(888)	(3,023)	(2,656)
Restructuring and related charges	(9)	(8)	(25)	(28)
Interest expense	(93)	(92)	(281)	(275)
Total costs and expenses	(7,089)	(8,026)	(22,021)	(24,483)
Gain (loss) on disposition of operations	9	3	15	(10)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(325)	(44)	(850)	150
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 723</b>	<b>\$ 175</b>	<b>\$ 1,912</b>	<b>\$ 75</b>
Property-Liability	\$ 639	\$ 41	\$ 1,688	\$ (228)
Allstate Financial	131	192	375	455
Corporate and Other	(47)	(58)	(151)	(152)
Net income	\$ 723	\$ 175	\$ 1,912	\$ 75

**PROPERTY-LIABILITY HIGHLIGHTS**

- Premiums written increased 5.0% to \$7.06 billion in the third quarter of 2012 from \$6.73 billion in the third quarter of 2011, and increased 4.3% to \$20.39 billion in the first nine months of 2012 from \$19.55 billion in the first nine months of 2011.

Allstate brand standard auto premiums written of \$3.99 billion in the third quarter of 2012 were comparable to \$4.00 billion in the third quarter of 2011, and decreased 0.5% to \$11.83 billion in the first nine months of 2012 from \$11.89 billion in the first nine months of 2011.

Allstate brand homeowners premiums written increased 3.2% to \$1.69 billion in the third quarter of 2012 from \$1.63 billion in the third quarter of 2011, and increased 2.6% to \$4.58 billion in the first nine months of 2012 from \$4.47 billion in the first nine months of 2011.



## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Encompass brand premiums written increased 5.3% to \$297 million in the third quarter of 2012 from \$282 million in the third quarter of 2011, and 4.4% to \$835 million in the first nine months of 2012 from \$800 million in the first nine months of 2011.

Esurance brand premiums written were \$282 million and \$768 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively. Esurance began offering renters insurance in third quarter 2012.

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

- Premium measures and statistics contributing to overall Allstate brand standard auto premiums written results were the following:

2.0% decrease in policies in force ( PIF ) as of September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011

0.9% increase in the six month policy term average gross premium before reinsurance to \$450 in the third quarter of 2012 from \$446 in the third quarter of 2011, and 1.4% increase in the six month policy term average gross premium before reinsurance to \$448 in the first nine months of 2012 from \$442 in the first nine months of 2011

0.1 point decrease in the six month renewal ratio to 89.0% in the third quarter of 2012 compared to 89.1% in the third quarter of 2011, and a 0.2 point decrease in the six month renewal ratio to 88.9% in the first nine months of 2012 compared to 89.1% in the first nine months of 2011

1.3% and 5.2% decrease in new issued applications in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011

- Premium measures and statistics contributing to overall Allstate brand homeowners premiums written increase were the following:

6.5% decrease in PIF as of September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011

9.5% increase in the twelve month policy term average gross premium before reinsurance to \$1,096 in the third quarter of 2012 from \$1,001 in the third quarter of 2011, and 9.3% increase in the twelve month policy term average gross premium before reinsurance to \$1,081 in the first nine months of 2012 from \$989 in the first nine months of 2011

1.2 point decrease in the twelve month renewal ratio to 87.2% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 compared to 88.4% in the same periods of 2011

new issued applications of 116 thousand in the third quarter of 2012 was comparable to the same period of prior year and decreased 5.7% in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011

\$7 million decrease in catastrophe reinsurance costs to \$117 million in the third quarter of 2012 from \$124 million in the third quarter of 2011, and \$17 million decrease in catastrophe reinsurance costs to \$358 million in the first nine months of 2012 from \$375 million in the first nine months of 2011

- Factors comprising the Allstate brand standard auto loss ratio decrease of 2.4 points to 66.9 in the third quarter of 2012 from 69.3 in the third quarter of 2011, and a decrease of 2.1 points to 68.8 in the first nine months of 2012 from 70.9 in the first nine months of 2011 were the following:

1.6 point decrease in the effect of catastrophe losses to 1.3 points in the third quarter of 2012 compared to 2.9 points in the third quarter of 2011, and 1.2 point decrease in the effect of catastrophe losses to 2.1 points in the first nine months of 2012 compared to 3.3 points in the first nine months of 2011

1.2% and 1.3% decrease in standard auto claim frequency (rate of claim occurrence per policy in force) for property damage in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

1.2% and 0.5% decrease in standard auto claim frequency for bodily injury in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011

3.9% increase in auto paid claim severities (average cost per claim) for property damage in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011

6.8% and 3.8% increase in auto paid claim severities for bodily injury in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011

- Factors comprising the Allstate brand homeowners loss ratio, which includes catastrophe losses, decrease of 59.6 points to 49.0 in the third quarter of 2012 from 108.6 in the third quarter of 2011, and a decrease of 53.4 points to 62.5 in the first nine months of 2012 from 115.9 in the first nine months of 2011 were the following:

48.0 point decrease in the effect of catastrophe losses to 7.8 points in the third quarter of 2012 compared to 55.8 points in the third quarter of 2011, and 45.6 point decrease in the effect of catastrophe losses to 20.1 points in the first nine months of 2012 compared to 65.7 points in the first nine months of 2011

11.4% and 7.9% decrease in homeowner claim frequency, excluding catastrophe losses, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011

5.8% and 2.6% increase in paid claim severity, excluding catastrophe losses, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011

- Factors comprising the \$871 million decrease in catastrophe losses to \$206 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$1.08 billion in the third quarter of 2011, and \$2.47 billion decrease to \$1.28 billion in the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$3.75 billion in the first nine months of 2011 were the following:

29 events with losses of \$313 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to 23 events with losses of \$1.10 billion in the third quarter of 2011, and 74 events with losses of \$1.61 billion in the first nine months of 2012 compared to 72 events with losses of \$3.85 billion in the first nine months of 2011. There were no events with losses greater than \$250 million in third quarter of 2012, compared to one event with losses greater than \$250 million in third quarter of 2011.

\$31 million of favorable prior quarter reserve reestimates in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$29 million unfavorable reserve reestimates in the third quarter of 2011

\$76 million favorable prior year reserve reestimates in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$47 million favorable reserve reestimates in the third quarter of 2011, and \$330 million favorable reserve reestimates in the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$98 million favorable reserve reestimates in the first nine months of 2011

- Factors comprising the \$149 million favorable prior year reserve reestimates in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$117 million favorable in the third quarter of 2011, and favorable prior year reserve reestimates of \$511 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$205 million favorable in the first nine months of 2011 included:

prior year reserve reestimates related to auto, homeowners and other personal lines in the third quarter of 2012 contributed \$134 million favorable, \$72 million favorable and \$15 million unfavorable, respectively, compared to prior year reserve reestimates in the third quarter of 2011 of \$136 million favorable, \$4 million favorable and \$12 million unfavorable, respectively, and prior year reserve reestimates related to auto, homeowners and other personal lines in the first nine months of 2012 contributed \$265 million favorable, \$247 million favorable and \$47 million favorable, respectively, compared to prior year reserve reestimates in the first nine months of 2011 of \$245 million favorable, \$39 million favorable and \$61 million unfavorable, respectively

prior year reestimates in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 and 2011 are largely attributable to prior year catastrophes and severity development that was better than expected

- Our 2012 annual review resulted in a \$26 million unfavorable reestimate of asbestos reserves, a \$22 million unfavorable reestimate of environmental reserves and a \$5 million unfavorable reestimate of other reserves, partially offset by a \$14 million decrease in our allowance for future uncollectable reinsurance in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$26 million unfavorable reestimate of asbestos reserves and a \$5 million unfavorable reestimate of other reserves, partially offset by a \$26 million decrease of the allowance for future uncollectable reinsurance in the third quarter of 2011. Environmental reserves were essentially unchanged in the third quarter of 2011.

- Property-Liability underwriting income was \$659 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to an underwriting loss of \$309 million in the third quarter of 2011, and Property-Liability underwriting income was \$1.32 billion in the first nine months of 2012 compared to an underwriting loss of \$1.48 billion in the first nine months of 2011. Underwriting income (loss), a measure not based on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ), is defined below.

- Property-Liability investments as of September 30, 2012 were \$38.01 billion, an increase of 5.6% from \$36.00 billion as of December 31, 2011. Net investment income of \$299 million in the third quarter of 2012 was comparable to \$298 million in the third quarter of 2011, and increased 8.1% to \$964 million in the first nine months of 2012 from \$892 million in the first nine months of 2011.

- Net realized capital losses were \$16 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to net realized capital gains of \$24 million in the third quarter of 2011, and net realized capital gains were \$192 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$73 million in the first nine months of 2011.

## PROPERTY-LIABILITY OPERATIONS

**Overview** Our Property-Liability operations consist of two reporting segments: Allstate Protection and Discontinued Lines and Coverages. Allstate Protection comprises three brands: Allstate, Encompass and Esurance. Allstate Protection is principally engaged in the sale of personal property and casualty insurance, primarily private passenger auto and homeowners insurance, to individuals in the United States and Canada. Discontinued Lines and Coverages includes results from insurance coverage that we no longer write and results for certain commercial and

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

other businesses in run-off. These segments are consistent with the groupings of financial information that management uses to evaluate performance and to determine the allocation of resources.

Underwriting income (loss), a measure that is not based on GAAP and is reconciled to net income (loss) below, is calculated as premiums earned, less claims and claims expense ( losses ), amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs ( DAC ), operating costs and expenses and restructuring and related charges, as determined using GAAP. We use this measure in our evaluation of results of operations to analyze the profitability of the Property-Liability insurance operations separately from investment results. It is also an integral component of incentive compensation. It is useful for investors to evaluate the components of income separately and in the aggregate when reviewing performance. Net income (loss) is the GAAP measure most directly comparable to underwriting income (loss). Underwriting income (loss) should not be considered as a substitute for net income and does not reflect the overall profitability of the business.

The table below includes GAAP operating ratios we use to measure our profitability. We believe that they enhance an investor's understanding of our profitability. They are calculated as follows:

- Claims and claims expense ( loss ) ratio - the ratio of claims and claims expense to premiums earned. Loss ratios include the impact of catastrophe losses.
- Expense ratio - the ratio of amortization of DAC, operating costs and expenses, and restructuring and related charges to premiums earned.
- Combined ratio - the ratio of claims and claims expense, amortization of DAC, operating costs and expenses, and restructuring and related charges to premiums earned. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio and the expense ratio. The difference between 100% and the combined ratio represents underwriting income (loss) as a percentage of premiums earned, or underwriting margin.

We have also calculated the following impacts of specific items on the GAAP operating ratios because of the volatility of these items between fiscal periods.

- Effect of catastrophe losses on combined ratio - the percentage of catastrophe losses included in claims and claims expense to premiums earned. This ratio includes prior year reserve reestimates of catastrophe losses.
- Effect of prior year reserve reestimates on combined ratio - the percentage of prior year reserve reestimates included in claims and claims expense to premiums earned. This ratio includes prior year reserve reestimates of catastrophe losses.
- Effect of business combination expenses and the amortization of purchased intangible assets on combined and expense ratio - the percentage of business combination expenses and the amortization of purchased intangible assets to premiums earned.
- Effect of restructuring and related charges on combined ratio - the percentage of restructuring and related charges to premiums earned.
- Effect of Discontinued Lines and Coverages on combined ratio - the ratio of claims and claims expense and operating costs and expenses in the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment to Property-Liability premiums earned. The sum of the effect of Discontinued Lines and Coverages on the combined ratio and the Allstate Protection combined ratio is equal to the Property-Liability combined ratio.



# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Summarized financial data, a reconciliation of underwriting income (loss) to net income (loss), and GAAP operating ratios for our Property-Liability operations are presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions, except ratios)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Premiums written	\$ 7,063	\$ 6,728	\$ 20,390	\$ 19,554
<b>Revenues</b>				
Premiums earned	\$ 6,697	\$ 6,432	\$ 19,993	\$ 19,337
Net investment income	299	298	964	892
Realized capital gains and losses	(16)	24	192	73
Total revenues	6,980	6,754	21,149	20,302
<b>Costs and expenses</b>				
Claims and claims expense	(4,293)	(5,132)	(13,442)	(15,963)
Amortization of DAC	(870)	(866)	(2,613)	(2,597)
Operating costs and expenses	(866)	(735)	(2,597)	(2,230)
Restructuring and related charges	(9)	(8)	(25)	(30)
Total costs and expenses	(6,038)	(6,741)	(18,677)	(20,820)
Loss on disposition of operations	--	(1)	--	(1)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(303)	29	(784)	291
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	\$ 639	\$ 41	\$ 1,688	\$ (228)
<b>Underwriting income (loss)</b>	\$ 659	\$ (309)	\$ 1,316	\$ (1,483)
Net investment income	299	298	964	892
Income tax (expense) benefit on operations	(308)	37	(717)	316
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	(11)	15	125	47
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	\$ 639	\$ 41	\$ 1,688	\$ (228)
Catastrophe losses (1)	\$ 206	\$ 1,077	\$ 1,284	\$ 3,749
<b>GAAP operating ratios</b>				
Claims and claims expense ratio	64.1	79.8	67.2	82.6
Expense ratio	26.1	25.0	26.2	25.1
Combined ratio	90.2	104.8	93.4	107.7
Effect of catastrophe losses on combined ratio (1)	3.1	16.7	6.4	19.4
Effect of prior year reserve reestimates on combined ratio (1)	(2.2)	(1.8)	(2.6)	(1.1)
Effect of business combination expenses and the amortization of purchased intangible assets on combined ratio	0.4	--	0.5	--
Effect of restructuring and related charges on combined ratio	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
Effect of Discontinued Lines and Coverages on combined ratio	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.1

(1) Prior year reserve reestimates included in catastrophe losses totaled \$76 million and \$330 million favorable in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$47 million and \$98 million favorable in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.



**Premiums written** is the amount of premiums charged for policies issued during a fiscal period. Premiums are considered earned and are included in the financial results on a pro-rata basis over the policy period. The portion of premiums written applicable to the unexpired terms of the policies is recorded as unearned premiums on our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

A reconciliation of premiums written to premiums earned is shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Premiums written:</b>				
Allstate Protection	\$ 7,064	\$ 6,728	\$ 20,390	\$ 19,555
Discontinued Lines and Coverages	(1)	--	--	(1)
Property-Liability premiums written	7,063	6,728	20,390	19,554
Increase in unearned premiums	(411)	(276)	(442)	(207)
Other	45	(20)	45	(10)
Property-Liability premiums earned	\$ 6,697	\$ 6,432	\$ 19,993	\$ 19,337
<b>Premiums earned:</b>				
Allstate Protection	\$ 6,696	\$ 6,432	\$ 19,992	\$ 19,338
Discontinued Lines and Coverages	1	--	1	(1)
Property-Liability	\$ 6,697	\$ 6,432	\$ 19,993	\$ 19,337

## ALLSTATE PROTECTION SEGMENT

Premiums written by brand are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)			Three months ended September 30,					
	Allstate brand		Encompass brand		Esurance brand (2)		Allstate Protection	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2012	2011	
Standard auto	\$ 3,988	\$ 3,996	\$ 163	\$ 159	\$ 282	\$ 4,433	\$ 4,155	
Non-standard auto	176	194	--	--	--	176	194	
Homeowners	1,686	1,634	108	100	--	1,794	1,734	
Other personal lines	635	622	26	23	--	661	645	
(1)								
Total	\$ 6,485	\$ 6,446	\$ 297	\$ 282	\$ 282	\$ 7,064	\$ 6,728	

	Nine months ended September 30,							
	Allstate brand		Encompass brand		Esurance brand (2)		Allstate Protection	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2012	2011	
Standard auto	\$ 11,828	\$ 11,891	\$ 465	\$ 457	\$ 768	\$ 13,061	\$ 12,348	
Non-standard auto	539	601	--	1	--	539	602	
Homeowners	4,583	4,465	297	273	--	4,880	4,738	
Other personal lines	1,837	1,798	73	69	--	1,910	1,867	
(1)								
Total	\$ 18,787	\$ 18,755	\$ 835	\$ 800	\$ 768	\$ 20,390	\$ 19,555	

- 
- (1) Other personal lines include commercial, condominium, renters, involuntary auto and other personal lines.
  - (2) Esurance brand business was acquired on October 7, 2011.

Premiums earned by brand are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)

	Three months ended September 30,							
	Allstate brand		Encompass brand		Esurance brand		Allstate Protection	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Standard auto	\$ 3,910	\$ 3,916	\$ 152	\$ 154	\$ 248	\$ 248	\$ 4,310	\$ 4,070
Non-standard auto	177	196	--	--	--	--	177	196
Homeowners	1,499	1,462	96	91	--	--	1,595	1,553
Other personal lines	591	590	23	23	--	--	614	613
Total	\$ 6,177	\$ 6,164	\$ 271	\$ 268	\$ 248	\$ 248	\$ 6,696	\$ 6,432

	Nine months ended September 30,							
	Allstate brand		Encompass brand		Esurance brand		Allstate Protection	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Standard auto	\$ 11,716	\$ 11,782	\$ 456	\$ 469	\$ 703	\$ 703	\$ 12,875	\$ 12,251
Non-standard auto	544	611	--	2	--	--	544	613
Homeowners	4,466	4,367	281	273	--	--	4,747	4,640
Other personal lines	1,757	1,765	69	69	--	--	1,826	1,834
Total	\$ 18,483	\$ 18,525	\$ 806	\$ 813	\$ 703	\$ 703	\$ 19,992	\$ 19,338

Premium measures and statistics that are used to analyze the business are calculated and described below. Measures and statistics presented exclude Allstate Canada and specialty auto.

- **PIF:** Policy counts are based on items rather than customers. A multi-car customer would generate multiple item (policy) counts, even if all cars were insured under one policy.
- **Average premium-gross written:** Gross premiums written divided by issued item count. Gross premiums written include the impacts from discounts and surcharges and exclude the impacts from mid-term premium adjustments, ceded reinsurance premiums, and premium refund accruals. Allstate brand average gross premiums represent the appropriate policy term for each line, which is 6 months for standard and non-standard auto and 12 months for homeowners. Encompass brand average gross premiums represent the appropriate policy term for each line, which is 12 months for standard auto and homeowners and 6 months for non-standard auto. Esurance brand average gross premiums represent the appropriate policy term, which is 6 months for standard auto.
- **Renewal ratio:** Renewal policies issued during the period, based on contract effective dates, divided by the total policies issued 6 months prior for standard and non-standard auto (12 months prior for Encompass brand standard auto) or 12 months prior for homeowners.
- **New issued applications:** Item counts of automobiles or homeowners insurance applications for insurance policies that were issued during the period, regardless of whether the customer was previously insured by another Allstate Protection market segment. Does not include automobiles that are added by existing customers.

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Standard auto premiums written totaled \$4.43 billion in the third quarter of 2012, an increase of 6.7% from \$4.16 billion in the third quarter of 2011, and \$13.06 billion in the first nine months of 2012, an increase of 5.8% from \$12.35 billion in the first nine months of 2011.

	Allstate brand		Encompass brand		Esurance brand
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012
<b>Standard auto</b>					
<b>Three months ended September 30,</b>					
PIF (thousands)	16,941	17,286	697	671	962
Average premium-gross written (1)	\$ 450	\$ 446	\$ 912	\$ 929	\$ 485
Renewal ratio (%) (1)	89.0	89.1	77.0	69.8	77.0
Approved rate changes (2):					
# of states	13	10	3	8	7
Countrywide (%) (3)	0.3	0.9	0.7	0.7	1.2
State specific (%) (4) (5)	1.8	7.3 (6)	4.5	3.9	4.2
<b>Nine months ended September 30,</b>					
PIF (thousands)	16,941	17,286	697	671	962
Average premium-gross written (1)	\$ 448	\$ 442	\$ 914	\$ 940	\$ 496
Renewal ratio (%) (1)	88.9	89.1	74.7	70.4	78.3
Approved rate changes (2):					
# of states	34	25	18	14	28
Countrywide (%) (3)	2.3	3.9	2.4	1.6	2.4
State specific (%) (4) (5)	4.2	7.2 (6)	4.2	4.3	3.8

(1) Policy term is six months for Allstate and Esurance brands and twelve months for Encompass brand.

(2) Rate changes that are indicated based on loss trend analysis to achieve a targeted return will continue to be pursued. Rate changes do not include rating plan enhancements, including the introduction of discounts and surcharges that result in no change in the overall rate level in the state. These rate changes do not reflect initial rates filed for insurance subsidiaries initially writing business in a state. Rate changes exclude Allstate Canada and specialty auto.

(3) Represents the impact in the states where rate changes were approved during the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, as a percentage of total countrywide prior year-end premiums written.

(4) Represents the impact in the states where rate changes were approved during the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, as a percentage of its respective total prior year-end premiums written in those states.

(5) Based on historical premiums written in those states, rate changes approved for standard auto totaled \$62 million and \$384 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$134 million and \$608 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

(6) Three months ended September 30, 2011 includes the impact of a New York rate increase averaging 9.9%. Nine months ended September 30, 2011 includes the impact of Florida rate increases averaging 16.1%, and New York rate increases averaging 10.4%.

Allstate brand standard auto premiums written totaled \$3.99 billion in the third quarter of 2012, comparable to \$4.00 billion in the third quarter of 2011 and \$11.83 billion in the first nine months of 2012, a decrease of 0.5% from \$11.89 billion in the first nine months of 2011. Excluding Florida and New York, Allstate brand standard auto premiums written totaled \$3.23 billion in the third quarter of 2012, an increase of 1.4% from \$3.19 billion in the third quarter of 2011 and \$9.55 billion in the first nine months of 2012, an increase of 1.1% from \$9.45 billion in the first nine months of 2011. Contributing to the Allstate brand standard auto premiums written results in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012

compared to the same periods of 2011 were the following:

2.0% decrease in PIF as of September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011 due to fewer new issued applications and fewer policies available to renew. Excluding Florida and New York, PIF decreased 1.0% as of September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011.

1.3% decrease in new issued applications on a countrywide basis to 460 thousand in the third quarter of 2012 from 466 thousand in the third quarter of 2011, and 5.2% decrease to 1,381 thousand in the first nine months of 2012 from 1,457 thousand in the first nine months of 2011. Excluding Florida and New York, new issued applications on a countrywide basis decreased 3.1% to 405 thousand in the third quarter of 2012 from 418 thousand in the third quarter of 2011, and decreased 5.4% to 1,220 thousand in the first nine months of 2012 from 1,289 thousand in the first nine months of 2011. New issued applications increased in 11 states in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011.

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

increase in average gross premium in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011.

0.1 point and 0.2 point decrease in the renewal ratio in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011. In the first nine months of 2012, 28 states are showing favorable comparisons to prior year.

Encompass brand standard auto premiums written totaled \$163 million in the third quarter of 2012, an increase of 2.5% from \$159 million in the third quarter of 2011 and \$465 million in the first nine months of 2012, an increase of 1.8% from \$457 million in the first nine months of 2011. The increases are primarily due to a 3.9% increase in PIF as of September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011 and actions taken to enhance our premier package policy. New issued applications increased 23.3% in the third quarter of 2012 compared to the third quarter of 2011 and 28.0% in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the first nine months of 2011. The renewal ratio increased 7.2 points and 4.3 points in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011 driven primarily by retaining more package business.

Esurance brand standard auto premiums written totaled \$282 million, \$224 million and \$262 million in the third, second and first quarter of 2012, respectively, reflecting expected seasonality in this business. PIF as of September 30, 2012 increased 7.8% compared to June 30, 2012 and 22.4% compared to December 31, 2011.

*Non-standard auto premiums written* totaled \$176 million in the third quarter of 2012, a decrease of 9.3% from \$194 million in the third quarter of 2011, and \$539 million in the first nine months of 2012, a decrease of 10.5% from \$602 million in the first nine months of 2011.

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
<b>Allstate brand</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
<b><u>Non-standard auto</u></b>				
PIF (thousands)	528	599	528	599
Average premium-gross written (6 months)	\$ 596	\$ 586	\$ 598	\$ 609
Renewal ratio (%) (6 months)	70.1	70.6	70.1	70.6
Approved rate changes:				
# of states	4	3	8	9
Countrywide (%)	0.2	0.9	0.8	4.9
State specific (%) (1)	5.8	11.5	3.8	14.4

(1) Based on historical premiums written in those states, rate changes approved for non-standard auto totaled \$1 million and \$5 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$8 million and \$41 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

Allstate brand non-standard auto premiums written totaled \$176 million in the third quarter of 2012, a decrease of 9.3% from \$194 million in the third quarter of 2011, and \$539 million in the first nine months of 2012, a decrease of 10.3% from \$601 million in the first nine months of 2011. Contributing to the Allstate brand non-standard auto premiums written decrease in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were the following:

decrease in PIF as of September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011 due to fewer number of policies available to renew

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

8.2% decrease in new issued applications to 56 thousand in the third quarter of 2012 from 61 thousand in the third quarter of 2011, and 2.5% decrease to 193 thousand in the first nine months of 2012 from 198 thousand in the first nine months of 2011

increase in average gross premium in the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 and decrease in average gross premium in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011

0.5 point decrease in the renewal ratio in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011

Homeowners premiums written totaled \$1.79 billion in the third quarter of 2012, an increase of 3.5% from \$1.73 billion in the third quarter of 2011, and \$4.88 billion in the first nine months of 2012, an increase of 3.0% from \$4.74 billion in the first nine months of 2011. Excluding the cost of catastrophe reinsurance, premiums written increased 2.9% and 2.4% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011.

<u>Homeowners</u>	Allstate brand		Encompass brand	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Three months ended September 30,</b>				
PIF (thousands) (1)	6,042	6,459	320	306
Average premium-gross written (12 months)	\$ 1,096	\$ 1,001	\$ 1,323	\$ 1,306
Renewal ratio (%) (12 months)	87.2	88.4	84.5	79.6
Approved rate changes (2):				
# of states	10	15	5 (4)	7
Countrywide (%)	0.8	2.3	0.3	0.7
State specific (%) (3)	7.3	13.9	2.5	3.0
<b>Nine months ended September 30,</b>				
PIF (thousands) (1)	6,042	6,459	320	306
Average premium-gross written (12 months)	\$ 1,081	\$ 989	\$ 1,311	\$ 1,300
Renewal ratio (%) (12 months)	87.2	88.4	82.3	80.9
Approved rate changes (2):				
# of states	28	37 (4)	19 (4)	22 (4)
Countrywide (%)	4.0	5.6	3.0	2.3
State specific (%) (3)	8.9	10.5	5.1	3.5

(1) Beginning in first quarter 2012, excess and surplus lines PIF are not included in the homeowners PIF totals. Previously, these policy counts were included in the homeowners totals. Excess and surplus lines represent policies written by North Light Specialty Insurance Company. All other total homeowners measures and statistics include excess and surplus lines except for new issued applications.

(2) Includes rate changes approved based on our net cost of reinsurance. Rate changes exclude excess and surplus lines.

(3) Based on historical premiums written in those states, rate changes approved for homeowners totaled \$48 million and \$257 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$140 million and \$350 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

(4) Includes Washington D.C.

Allstate brand homeowners premiums written totaled \$1.69 billion in the third quarter of 2012, an increase of 3.2% from \$1.63 billion in the third quarter of 2011, and \$4.58 billion in the first nine months of 2012, an increase of 2.6% from \$4.47 billion in the first nine months of 2011. Contributing to the Allstate brand homeowners premiums written increase in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were the following:

6.5% decrease in PIF as of September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011 due to fewer policies available to renew and fewer new issued applications

new issued applications of 116 thousand in the third quarter of 2012 were comparable to the third quarter of 2011, and decreased 5.7% to 333 thousand in the first nine months of 2012 from 353 thousand in the first nine months of 2011. We have new business underwriting



## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

restrictions in certain states. We also continue to take actions to maintain an appropriate level of exposure to catastrophic events while continuing to meet the needs of our customers, including selectively not offering continuing coverage in coastal areas of certain states.

increase in average gross premium in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 primarily due to rate changes

1.2 point decrease in the renewal ratio in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011

decrease in the cost of our catastrophe reinsurance program in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011

Allstate House and HomeSM premiums written totaled \$27 million and \$38 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively. Our Allstate House and Home product provides greater options of coverage for roof damage including depreciated value versus replacement value and uses a number of factors to determine the pricing, some of which relate to underwriting information normally obtained to evaluate auto insurance risks. The Allstate House and Home product has been rolled out to 12 states as of September 30, 2012 and we expect a continued countrywide roll out for new business over the next two years.

Encompass brand homeowners premiums written totaled \$108 million in the third quarter of 2012, an increase of 8.0% from \$100 million in the third quarter of 2011 and \$297 million in the first nine months of 2012, an increase of 8.8% from \$273 million in the first nine months of 2011. The increases are primarily due to a 4.6% increase in PIF as of September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011 and actions taken to enhance our premier package policy. New issued applications increased 35.7% in the third quarter of 2012 compared to the third quarter of 2011 and 40.5% in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the first nine months of 2011. The renewal ratio increased 4.9 points and 1.4 points in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011 driven primarily by retaining more package business.

*Other personal lines* Allstate brand other personal lines premium written totaled \$635 million in the third quarter of 2012, an increase of 2.1% from \$622 million in the third quarter of 2011 and \$1.84 billion in the first nine months of 2012, an increase of 2.2% from \$1.80 billion in the first nine months of 2011. Allstate brand other personal lines includes Emerging Businesses other personal lines (renters, condominium, other property, Allstate Roadside Services and Allstate Dealer Services) for which premiums written increased \$18 million to \$496 million and \$55 million to \$1.41 billion in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011.

**Underwriting results** are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Premiums written	\$ 7,064	\$ 6,728	\$ 20,390	\$ 19,555
Premiums earned	\$ 6,696	\$ 6,432	\$ 19,992	\$ 19,338
Claims and claims expense	(4,251)	(5,121)	(13,395)	(15,945)
Amortization of DAC	(870)	(866)	(2,613)	(2,597)
Other costs and expenses	(865)	(734)	(2,594)	(2,227)
Restructuring and related charges	(9)	(8)	(25)	(30)
Underwriting income (loss)	\$ 701	\$ (297)	\$ 1,365	\$ (1,461)
Catastrophe losses	\$ 206	\$ 1,077	\$ 1,284	\$ 3,749
<b>Underwriting income (loss) by line of business</b>				
Standard auto	\$ 256	\$ 206	\$ 493	\$ 451
Non-standard auto	33	34	79	75
Homeowners	416	(512)	652	(1,779)
Other personal lines	(4)	(25)	141	(208)
Underwriting income (loss)	\$ 701	\$ (297)	\$ 1,365	\$ (1,461)
<b>Underwriting income (loss) by brand</b>				
Allstate brand	\$ 746	\$ (226)	\$ 1,514	\$ (1,335)
Encompass brand	1	(71)	(3)	(126)
Esurance brand	(46)	--	(146)	--
Underwriting income (loss)	\$ 701	\$ (297)	\$ 1,365	\$ (1,461)

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Allstate Protection had underwriting income of \$701 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to an underwriting loss of \$297 million in the third quarter of 2011 primarily due to underwriting income for homeowners compared to an underwriting loss in the prior year, an increase in standard auto underwriting income and a decrease in other personal lines underwriting loss. Allstate Protection had underwriting income of \$1.37 billion in the first nine months of 2012 compared to an underwriting loss of \$1.46 billion in the first nine months of 2011 primarily due to underwriting income in homeowners and other personal lines compared to underwriting losses in the prior year and

an increase in standard auto underwriting income. Homeowners underwriting income was \$416 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to an underwriting loss of \$512 million in the third quarter of 2011, and homeowners underwriting income was \$652 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to an underwriting loss of \$1.78 billion in the first nine months of 2011. Both periods were primarily impacted by decreases in catastrophe losses and average earned premiums increasing faster than loss costs, partially offset by higher expenses. Other personal lines underwriting loss was \$4 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$25 million in the third quarter of 2011, and other personal lines underwriting income was \$141 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to an underwriting loss of \$208 million in the first nine months of 2011, primarily due to decreases in catastrophe losses. Standard auto underwriting income increased \$50 million to \$256 million in the third quarter of 2012 from \$206 million in the third quarter of 2011 primarily due to decreases in catastrophe losses, partially offset by higher expenses and inclusion of Esurance brand's underwriting loss of \$46 million in the third quarter of 2012. Standard auto underwriting income increased \$42 million to \$493 million in the first nine months of 2012 from \$451 million in the first nine months of 2011 primarily due to decreases in catastrophe losses and favorable reserve reestimates, partially offset by higher expenses and inclusion of Esurance brand's underwriting loss of \$146 million in the first nine months of 2012.

**Catastrophe losses** in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 were \$206 million and \$1.28 billion, respectively, as detailed in the table below. This compares to catastrophe losses in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 of \$1.08 billion and \$3.75 billion, respectively.

We define a catastrophe as an event that produces pre-tax losses before reinsurance in excess of \$1 million and involves multiple first party policyholders, or an event that produces a number of claims in excess of a preset, per-event threshold of average claims in a specific area, occurring within a certain amount of time following the event. Catastrophes are caused by various natural events including high winds, winter storms, tornadoes, hailstorms, wildfires, tropical storms, hurricanes, earthquakes and volcanoes. We are also exposed to man-made catastrophic events, such as certain types of terrorism or industrial accidents. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be reliably predicted.

Catastrophe losses related to events that occurred by the size of the event are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)

			Three months ended September 30, 2012		Combined ratio impact	Average catastrophe loss per event
	Number of events	Claims and claims expense				
<b>Size of catastrophe loss</b>						
\$101 million to \$250 million	--	--%	\$ --	--%	--	\$ --
\$50 million to \$100 million	1	3.4	81	39.3	1.2	81
Less than \$50 million	28	96.6	232	112.6	3.5	8
Total	29	100.0%	313	151.9	4.7	11
Prior year reserve reestimates			(76)	(36.9)	(1.1)	
Prior quarter reserve reestimates			(31)	(15.0)	(0.5)	
Total catastrophe losses			\$ 206	100.0%	3.1	
<b>Nine months ended</b>						
			September 30, 2012		Combined ratio impact	Average catastrophe loss per event
	Number of events	Claims and claims expense				
<b>Size of catastrophe loss</b>						
\$101 million to \$250 million	5	6.8%	\$ 685	53.4%	3.4	\$ 137
\$50 million to \$100 million	4	5.4	316	24.6	1.6	79
Less than \$50 million	65	87.8	613	47.7	3.1	9
Total	74	100.0%	1,614	125.7	8.1	22

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Prior year reserve reestimates		(330)	(25.7)	(1.7)
Total catastrophe losses	\$	1,284	100.0%	6.4

Catastrophe losses incurred by the type of event are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)		Three months ended September 30,			Nine months ended September 30,				
	2012	Number of events	2011	Number of events	2012	Number of events	2011	Number of events	
Hurricanes/Tropical storms	\$ 81	1	\$ 632	2	\$ 89	2	\$ 632	2	
Tornadoes	--	--	--	--	295	5	1,280	6	
Wind/Hail	225	26	413	17	1,156	56	1,689	52	
Wildfires	7	2	50	3	67	10	65	8	
Other events	--	--	--	1	7	1	181	4	
Prior year reserve reestimates	(76)		(47)		(330)		(98)		
Prior quarter reserve reestimates	(31)		29		--		--		
Total catastrophe losses	\$ 206	29	\$ 1,077	23	\$ 1,284	74	\$ 3,749	72	

Catastrophe losses, including prior year reserve reestimates, excluding hurricanes named or numbered by the National Weather Service, fires following earthquakes and earthquakes totaled \$1.30 billion in the first nine months of 2012.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

**Combined ratio** Loss ratios are a measure of profitability. Loss ratios by product, and expense and combined ratios by brand, are shown in the following table. These ratios are defined in the Property-Liability Operations section of the MD&A.

	Three months ended September 30,						Effect of business combination expenses and the amortization of purchased intangible assets on combined ratio	Nine months ended September 30,						Effect of business combination expenses and the amortization of purchased intangible assets on combined ratio
	Loss ratio (1)		Effect of catastrophe losses on combined ratio		Effect of prior year reserve reestimates on combined ratio			Loss ratio (1)		Effect of catastrophe losses on combined ratio		Effect of prior year reserve reestimates on combined ratio		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011		2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Allstate brand loss ratio:														
Standard auto	66.9	69.3	1.3	2.9	(3.2)	(3.3)		68.8	70.9	2.1	3.3	(2.2)	(2.0)	
Non-standard auto	58.2	57.1	1.1	0.5	(4.5)	(8.7)		62.1	63.8	0.9	1.5	(2.0)	(4.3)	
Homeowners	49.0	108.6	7.8	55.8	(4.3)	--		62.5	115.9	20.1	65.7	(5.2)	(0.8)	
Other personal lines	70.4	76.3	3.6	13.1	2.7	2.4		62.6	81.4	4.6	18.4	(2.3)	3.7	
Total Allstate brand loss ratio	62.7	78.9	3.1	16.3	(2.9)	(2.1)		66.5	82.3	6.7	19.4	(2.9)	(1.2)	
Allstate brand expense ratio	25.2	24.8	--	--	--	--	0.1	25.3	24.9	--	--	--	--	0.1
Allstate brand combined ratio	87.9	103.7	3.1	16.3	(2.9)	(2.1)	0.1	91.8	107.2	6.7	19.4	(2.9)	(1.2)	0.1
Encompass brand loss ratio:														
Standard auto	79.6	87.6	1.3	3.2	0.7	6.5		79.8	80.6	1.5	2.1	0.4	3.2	
Non-standard auto	--	--	--	--	--	--		--	150.0	--	--	--	(50.0)	
Homeowners	58.3	119.8	13.5	70.3	(8.3)	(4.4)		60.1	97.8	11.7	49.5	(5.0)	(1.5)	
Other personal lines	56.5	65.2	--	8.7	(4.3)	(8.7)		62.3	78.2	--	11.6	(10.1)	(5.8)	
Total Encompass brand loss ratio	69.4	97.0	5.5	26.5	(3.7)	1.5		71.2	86.3	5.0	18.8	(2.7)	0.7	
Encompass brand expense ratio	30.2	29.5	--	--	--	--	--	29.2	29.2	--	--	--	--	--
Encompass brand combined ratio	99.6	126.5	5.5	26.5	(3.7)	1.5	--	100.4	115.5	5.0	18.8	(2.7)	0.7	--
Esurance brand loss ratio:														
Standard auto	77.4	--	0.8	--	--	--		75.5	--	1.3	--	--	--	
Total Esurance brand loss ratio	77.4	--	0.8	--	--	--		75.5	--	1.3	--	--	--	
Esurance brand expense ratio	41.1	--	--	--	--	--	8.1	45.3	--	--	--	--	--	11.2
Esurance brand combined ratio	118.5	--	0.8	--	--	--	8.1	120.8	--	1.3	--	--	--	11.2
Allstate Protection loss ratio	63.5	79.6	3.1	16.7	(2.9)	(2.0)		67.0	82.5	6.4	19.4	(2.8)	(1.2)	
	26.0	25.0	--	--	--	--	0.4	26.2	25.1	--	--	--	--	0.5

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Allstate Protection  
expense ratio  
Allstate Protection  
combined ratio

89.5 104.6 3.1 16.7 (2.9) (2.0) 0.4 93.2 107.6 6.4 19.4 (2.8) (1.2) 0.5

---

(1) Ratios are calculated using the premiums earned for the respective line of business.

*Standard auto loss ratio* for the Allstate brand decreased 2.4 points in the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 primarily due to lower catastrophe losses. Standard auto loss ratio for the Allstate brand decreased 2.1 points in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 primarily due to lower catastrophe losses and favorable reserve reestimates. Excluding the impact of catastrophe losses, the Allstate brand standard auto loss ratio improved 0.8 points and 0.9 points in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011. Florida and New York results have shown improvement with



# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

loss ratios, including prior year reserve reestimates, of 65.6 and 67.8, respectively, in the third quarter of 2012 compared to 70.4 and 83.9, respectively, in the third quarter of 2011, and 67.9 and 66.9, respectively, in the first nine months of 2012 compared to 73.8 and 77.4, respectively, in the first nine months of 2011. Although the combined impact of these two states on countrywide results has improved as a result of management actions, including rate increases, underwriting restrictions, increased claims staffing and review, and on-going efforts to combat fraud and abuse, we continue to focus on profitability given ongoing developments in these two states. In the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, claim frequencies in the bodily injury and property damage coverages decreased compared to the same periods of 2011. Bodily injury and property damage coverage severity results increased in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012. In the first nine months of 2012 severity increased in line with historical Consumer Price Index trends.

Encompass brand standard auto loss ratio decreased 8.0 points and 0.8 points in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011 due to lower unfavorable reserve reestimates and lower catastrophe losses.

Esurance brand standard auto loss ratio increased 1.3 points in the third quarter of 2012 compared to second quarter 2012. The increase was primarily due to unfavorable results on personal injury protection coverage in New Jersey and Florida. New Jersey was impacted by an increase in the number of large personal injury protection claims. Florida was impacted by delays in reporting of smaller personal injury protection claims. Florida results improved slightly in third quarter 2012 compared to the first half of 2012, although the Florida loss ratio continues to be higher than countrywide. In third quarter 2012, Esurance implemented a number of profitability management actions, including rate increases in Florida, Michigan, Texas and certain other states, and underwriting actions in Florida and Michigan.

*Homeowners loss ratio* for the Allstate brand decreased 59.6 points to 49.0 in the third quarter of 2012 from 108.6 in the third quarter of 2011, and 53.4 points to 62.5 in the first nine months of 2012 from 115.9 in the first nine months of 2011 due to lower catastrophe losses and average earned premiums increasing faster than loss costs.

Encompass brand homeowners loss ratio decreased 61.5 points and 37.7 points in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011 due to lower catastrophe losses and favorable reserve reestimates.

**Expense ratio** for Allstate Protection increased 1.0 point and 1.1 points in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011. The increase in both periods was primarily due to additional marketing costs and the amortization of purchased intangible assets related to Esurance in the current year period. Other costs and expenses include Esurance advertising expense which had a 16.5 point and 17.6 point impact on the Esurance brand expense ratio in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, and a 0.6 point impact on the Allstate Protection expense ratio in both periods. Esurance present value of future profits balance of \$21 million as of December 31, 2011 was fully amortized in first quarter 2012. Based on our analysis, Esurance's acquisition costs, primarily advertising, are in line with other distribution channels when considering the cumulative earned premiums of policies sold.

The impact of specific costs and expenses on the expense ratio are included in the following table.

	Three months ended September 30,						
	Allstate brand		Encompass brand		Esurance Brand	Allstate Protection	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2012	2011
Amortization of DAC	13.2	13.3	17.7	17.5	2.4	13.0	13.5
Other costs and expenses	11.8	11.4	12.5	12.0	30.6	12.5	11.4

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Business combination expenses and amortization of purchased intangible assets	0.1	--	--	--	8.1	0.4	--
Restructuring and related charges	0.1	0.1	--	--	--	0.1	0.1
Total expense ratio	25.2	24.8	30.2	29.5	41.1	26.0	25.0

	Nine months ended September 30,						
	Allstate brand		Encompass brand		Esurance brand	Allstate Protection	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2012	2011
Amortization of DAC	13.2	13.3	17.5	17.5	2.4	13.0	13.4
Other costs and expenses	11.9	11.4	11.7	11.7	31.7	12.6	11.5
Business combination expenses and amortization of purchased intangible assets	0.1	--	--	--	11.2	0.5	--
Restructuring and related charges	0.1	0.2	--	--	--	0.1	0.2
Total expense ratio	25.3	24.9	29.2	29.2	45.3	26.2	25.1

**Reserve reestimates** The tables below show Allstate Protection net reserves representing the estimated cost of outstanding claims as they were recorded at the beginning of years 2012 and 2011, and the effect of reestimates in each year.

(\$ in millions)		January 1 reserves	
		2012	2011
Auto	\$	11,404	\$ 11,034
Homeowners		2,439	2,442
Other personal lines		2,237	2,141
Total Allstate Protection	\$	16,080	\$ 15,617

(\$ in millions, except ratios)		Three months ended September 30,				Nine months ended September 30,			
		Reserve reestimates (1)		Effect on combined ratio		Reserve reestimates (1)		Effect on combined ratio	
		2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Auto	\$	(134)	\$ (136)	(2.0)	(2.1)	\$ (265)	\$ (245)	(1.4)	(1.3)
Homeowners		(72)	(4)	(1.1)	(0.1)	(247)	(39)	(1.2)	(0.2)
Other personal lines		15	12	0.2	0.2	(47)	61	(0.2)	0.3
Total Allstate Protection (2)	\$	(191)	\$ (128)	(2.9)	(2.0)	\$ (559)	\$ (223)	(2.8)	(1.2)
Allstate brand	\$	(181)	\$ (132)	(2.7)	(2.1)	\$ (537)	\$ (229)	(2.7)	(1.2)
Encompass brand		(10)	4	(0.2)	0.1	(22)	6	(0.1)	--
Esurance brand		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Total Allstate Protection (2)	\$	(191)	\$ (128)	(2.9)	(2.0)	\$ (559)	\$ (223)	(2.8)	(1.2)

(1) Favorable reserve reestimates are shown in parentheses.

(2) Reserve reestimates included in catastrophe losses totaled \$76 million and \$330 million favorable in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$47 million and \$98 million favorable in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

**DISCONTINUED LINES AND COVERAGES SEGMENT**

**Overview** The Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment includes results from insurance coverage that we no longer write and results for certain commercial and other businesses in run-off. Our exposure to asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims is reported in this segment. We have assigned management of this segment to a designated group of professionals with expertise in claims handling, policy coverage interpretation, exposure identification and reinsurance collection. As part of its responsibilities, this group is also regularly engaged in policy buybacks, settlements and reinsurance assumed and ceded commutations.

Summarized underwriting results are presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Premiums written	\$ (1)	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (1)
Premiums earned	\$ 1	\$ --	\$ 1	\$ (1)
Claims and claims expense	(42)	(11)	(47)	(18)
Operating costs and expenses	(1)	(1)	(3)	(3)
Underwriting loss	\$ (42)	\$ (12)	\$ (49)	\$ (22)

Underwriting losses of \$42 million and \$49 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, were primarily related to our annual review using established industry and actuarial best practices resulting in a \$26 million unfavorable reestimate of asbestos reserves, a \$22 million unfavorable reestimate of environmental reserves and a \$5 million unfavorable reestimate of other reserves, partially offset by a \$14 million decrease in our allowance for future uncollectable reinsurance. Underwriting losses of \$12 million and \$22 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively, were primarily related to an \$26 million unfavorable reestimate of asbestos reserves and a \$5 million unfavorable reestimate of other reserves, partially offset by a \$26 million decrease of the allowance for future uncollectable reinsurance and environmental reserves essentially unchanged.

For asbestos exposures, our 2012 annual review resulted in an increase in estimated reserves of \$26 million for products related coverage due to increases for the assumed reinsurance portion of discontinued lines where we are reliant on our ceding companies to report claims. Reserves for asbestos claims were \$1.05 billion and \$1.08 billion, net of reinsurance recoverables of \$502 million and \$529 million, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. We continue to be encouraged that the pace of industry claim activity has slowed, reflecting various state legislative actions and increased legal scrutiny of the legitimacy of claims. Incurred but not reported ( IBNR ) represents 58% of total net asbestos reserves as of September 30, 2012 with no change from December 31, 2011. IBNR provides for estimated probable future unfavorable reserve development of known claims and future reporting of additional unknown claims from current and new policyholders and ceding companies. In the third quarter of 2011, our review resulted in an increase in estimated reserves of \$26 million.

For environmental exposures, our 2012 annual review resulted in an increase in estimated reserves of \$22 million primarily related to site-specific remediations where the clean-up cost estimates and responsibility for the clean-up were more fully determined. Reserves for environmental claims were \$201 million and \$185 million, net of reinsurance recoverables of \$48 million and \$40 million, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. IBNR represents 66% of total net environmental reserves, 2 points higher than as of December 31, 2011. In the third quarter of 2011, normal environmental claim activity resulted in essentially no change in estimated reserves.

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

As of September 30, 2012, the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance was \$87 million, or approximately 12.3% of total recoverables from reinsurers in the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment, compared to \$103 million or 13.4% of total recoverables as of December 31, 2011.

We believe that our reserves are appropriately established based on available facts, technology, laws, regulations, and assessments of other pertinent factors and characteristics of exposure (i.e. claim activity, potential liability, jurisdiction, products versus non-products exposure) presented by individual policyholders, assuming no change in the legal, legislative or economic environment.

**PROPERTY-LIABILITY INVESTMENT RESULTS**

**Net investment income** of \$299 million in the third quarter of 2012 was comparable to \$298 million in the third quarter of 2011 as higher average investment balances were offset by lower fixed income yields and lower limited partnership income. Net investment income increased 8.1% or \$72 million to \$964 million in the first nine months of 2012 from \$892 million in the first nine months of 2011 primarily due to income from limited partnerships and higher average investment balances, partially offset by lower fixed income yields. We continue to reduce interest rate risk by selling longer term fixed income securities and investing the proceeds in securities with shorter maturities, resulting in realized capital gains and lower net investment income, and positioning for reinvestment when interest rates rise.

**Net realized capital gains and losses** are presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Impairment write-downs	\$ (31)	\$ (105)	\$ (93)	\$ (196)
Change in intent write-downs	(2)	(10)	(31)	(48)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings	(33)	(115)	(124)	(244)
Sales	27	186	324	387
Valuation of derivative instruments	3	(56)	7	(42)
Settlements of derivative instruments	(13)	11	(15)	(91)
EMA limited partnership income (1)	--	(2)	--	63
Realized capital gains and losses, pre-tax	(16)	24	192	73
Income tax benefit (expense)	5	(9)	(67)	(26)
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	\$			
	(11)	\$ 15	\$ 125	\$ 47

(1) Income from limited partnerships accounted for under the equity method of accounting ( EMA ) is reported in net investment income in 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

For a further discussion of net realized capital gains and losses, see the Investments section of the MD&A.

**ALLSTATE FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS**

- Net income was \$131 million and \$375 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to \$192 million and \$455 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively.
- Premiums and contract charges on underwritten products, including traditional life, interest-sensitive life and accident and health insurance, totaled \$548 million in the third quarter of 2012, an increase of 3.6% from the prior year period, and \$1.62 billion in the first nine months of 2012, an increase of 3.4% from the prior year period.
- Investments totaled \$58.16 billion as of September 30, 2012, reflecting an increase in carrying value of \$782 million from \$57.37 billion as of December 31, 2011. Net investment income decreased 7.3% to \$632 million in the third quarter of 2012 and 3.8% to \$1.98 billion

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

in the first nine months of 2012 from \$682 million and \$2.06 billion in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively.

- Net realized capital losses totaled \$56 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to net realized capital gains of \$219 million in the third quarter of 2011. Net realized capital losses totaled \$69 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to net realized capital gains of \$320 million in the first nine months of 2011.
- During third quarter 2012, a \$27 million pre-tax charge to income was recorded related to our annual comprehensive review of the DAC, deferred sales inducement costs and secondary guarantee liability balances. This compares to a \$6 million pre-tax charge to income in the first quarter of 2011.
- Valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts resulted in a \$75 million after-tax credit to net income in third quarter 2012 and a \$66 million after-tax credit to net income in the first nine months of 2012, compared to a \$5 million after-tax charge to net income in third quarter 2011 and a \$5 million after-tax charge to net income in the first nine months of 2011.
- Contractholder funds totaled \$40.11 billion as of September 30, 2012, reflecting decreases of \$2.22 billion from \$42.33 billion as of December 31, 2011 and \$3.67 billion from \$43.78 billion as of September 30, 2011.

## ALLSTATE FINANCIAL SEGMENT

**Summary analysis** Summarized financial data is presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Revenues</b>				
Life and annuity premiums and contract charges	\$ 563	\$ 552	\$ 1,675	\$ 1,668
Net investment income	632	682	1,982	2,060
Realized capital gains and losses	(56)	219	(69)	320
Total revenues	1,139	1,453	3,588	4,048
<b>Costs and expenses</b>				
Life and annuity contract benefits	(453)	(455)	(1,354)	(1,331)
Interest credited to contractholder funds	(215)	(405)	(959)	(1,240)
Amortization of DAC	(146)	(180)	(324)	(393)
Operating costs and expenses	(147)	(129)	(424)	(396)
Restructuring and related charges	--	--	--	2
Total costs and expenses	(961)	(1,169)	(3,061)	(3,358)
Gain (loss) on disposition of operations	9	4	15	(9)
Income tax expense	(56)	(96)	(167)	(226)
Net income	\$ 131	\$ 192	\$ 375	\$ 455
Investments as of September 30			\$ 58,155	\$ 59,068
<b>Net income</b>				
Life insurance	\$ 42		\$ 165	
Accident and health insurance	23		62	
Annuities and institutional products	66		148	
Net income	\$			
	131		\$ 375	

*Net income* was \$131 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$192 million in the same period of 2011. Net income was \$375 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$455 million in the first nine months of 2011. The decreases in both periods were primarily due to net realized capital losses in the current year compared to net realized capital gains in the prior year and lower net investment income, partially offset by decreased interest credited to contractholder funds and lower amortization of DAC.

**Analysis of revenues** Total revenues decreased 21.6% or \$314 million in the third quarter of 2012 and 11.4% or \$460 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 due to net realized capital losses in the current year periods compared to net realized capital gains in the prior year periods and lower net investment income.



*Life and annuity premiums and contract charges* Premiums represent revenues generated from traditional life insurance, immediate annuities with life contingencies, and accident and health insurance products that have significant mortality or morbidity risk. Contract charges are revenues generated from interest-sensitive and variable life insurance and fixed annuities for which deposits are classified as contractholder funds or separate account liabilities. Contract charges are assessed against the contractholder account values for maintenance, administration, cost of insurance and surrender prior to contractually specified dates.

The following table summarizes life and annuity premiums and contract charges by product.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Underwritten products</b>				
Traditional life insurance premiums	\$ 117	\$ 111	\$ 347	\$ 328
Accident and health insurance premiums	164	160	486	483
Interest-sensitive life insurance contract charges	267	258	790	759
Subtotal	548	529	1,623	1,570
<b>Annuities</b>				
Immediate annuities with life contingencies premiums	10	16	36	74
Other fixed annuity contract charges	5	7	16	24
Subtotal	15	23	52	98
<b>Life and annuity premiums and contract charges (1)</b>	\$ 563	552	1,675	1,668
		\$	\$	\$

(1) Contract charges related to the cost of insurance totaled \$180 million and \$167 million in the third quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$523 million and \$491 million in the first nine months of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Total premiums and contract charges increased 2.0% in the third quarter of 2012 and 0.4% in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 primarily due to higher contract charges on interest-sensitive life insurance products primarily resulting from the aging of our policyholders and lower reinsurance ceded, and increased traditional life insurance premiums due to lower reinsurance ceded and higher sales through Allstate agencies, partially offset by lower sales of immediate annuities with life contingencies. Sales of immediate annuities with life contingencies fluctuate with changes in our pricing competitiveness relative to other insurers.

*Contractholder funds* represent interest-bearing liabilities arising from the sale of products such as interest-sensitive life insurance, fixed annuities, funding agreements and, prior to December 31, 2011, bank deposits. The balance of contractholder funds is equal to the cumulative deposits received and interest credited to the contractholder less cumulative contract maturities, benefits, surrenders, withdrawals and contract charges for mortality or administrative expenses. The following table shows the changes in contractholder funds.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Contractholder funds, beginning balance</b>	\$ 40,832	\$ 45,078	\$ 42,332	\$ 48,195
<b>Deposits</b>				
Fixed annuities	272	133	610	439
Interest-sensitive life insurance	323	320	990	966
Bank deposits	--	33	--	342
Total deposits	595	486	1,600	1,747
<b>Interest credited</b>	213	400	961	1,223
<b>Maturities, benefits, withdrawals and other adjustments</b>				
Maturities of and interest payments on institutional products	(1)	(26)	(90)	(819)
Benefits	(341)	(396)	(1,029)	(1,135)
Surrenders and partial withdrawals	(941)	(1,351)	(2,833)	(3,883)
Bank withdrawals	--	(162)	--	(646)
Contract charges	(264)	(257)	(794)	(763)
Net transfers from separate accounts	3	3	7	9
Fair value hedge adjustments for institutional products	--	--	--	(34)
Other adjustments (1)	14	1	(44)	(118)
Total maturities, benefits, withdrawals and other adjustments	(1,530)	(2,188)	(4,783)	(7,389)
<b>Contractholder funds, ending balance</b>	\$ 40,110	43,776	40,110	43,776
		\$	\$	\$

(1) The table above illustrates the changes in contractholder funds, which are presented gross of reinsurance recoverables on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. The table above is intended to supplement our discussion and analysis of revenues, which are presented net of reinsurance on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. As a result, the net change in contractholder funds associated with products reinsured to third parties is reflected as a component of the other adjustments line.

Contractholder funds decreased 1.8% and 5.2% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to decreases of 2.9% and 9.2% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively, reflecting our continuing strategy to reduce our concentration in spread-based products. Average contractholder funds decreased 8.9% and 10.4% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011.

Contractholder deposits increased 22.4% in the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 primarily due to increased fixed annuity deposits on new equity-indexed annuity products launched in second quarter 2012. Contractholder deposits decreased 8.4% in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 primarily due to the absence of Allstate Bank deposits in the current year period, partially offset by increased fixed annuity and interest-sensitive life deposits. In September 2011, Allstate Bank stopped opening new customer accounts and all funds were returned to Allstate Bank account holders prior to December 31, 2011.

Maturities of and interest payments on institutional products decreased 96.2% to \$1 million in the third quarter of 2012 and 89.0% to \$90 million in the first nine months of 2012 from \$26 million and \$819 million in the same periods of 2011, respectively, reflecting the continuing decline in these obligations.

Surrenders and partial withdrawals on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products decreased 30.3% to \$941 million in the third quarter of 2012 and 27.0% to \$2.83 billion in the first nine months of 2012 from \$1.35 billion and \$3.88 billion in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively. The prior year periods had elevated surrenders on fixed annuities resulting from a large number of contracts reaching the 30-45 day period (typically at their 5 or 6 year anniversary) during which there is no surrender charge as well as

crediting rate actions taken by management. The annualized surrender and partial withdrawal rate on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products, based on the beginning of year contractholder funds, was 10.7% in the first nine months of 2012 compared to 13.2% in the same period of 2011.

*Net investment income* decreased 7.3% or \$50 million to \$632 million in the third quarter of 2012 and 3.8% or \$78 million to \$1.98 billion in the first nine months of 2012 from \$682 million and \$2.06 billion in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively, primarily due to lower average investment balances and lower yields on fixed income securities. The decrease in the first nine months of 2012 was partially offset by income from limited partnerships.

*Net realized capital gains and losses* are presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Impairment write-downs	\$ (12)	\$ (85)	\$ (38)	\$ (178)
Change in intent write-downs	(1)	(3)	(17)	(50)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings	(13)	(88)	(55)	(228)
Sales	(51)	485	(49)	708
Valuation of derivative instruments	(3)	(198)	(6)	(240)
Settlements of derivative instruments	11	9	41	19
EMA limited partnership income (1)	--	11	--	61
Realized capital gains and losses, pre-tax	(56)	219	(69)	320
Income tax benefit (expense)	20	(77)	24	(113)
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	\$		\$	
	(36)	\$ 142	\$ (45)	\$ 207

(1) Income from EMA limited partnerships is reported in net investment income in 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

For further discussion of realized capital gains and losses, see the Investments section of the MD&A.

**Analysis of costs and expenses** Total costs and expenses decreased 17.8% or \$208 million in the third quarter of 2012 and 8.8% or \$297 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 primarily due to lower interest credited to contractholder funds and amortization of DAC.

*Life and annuity contract benefits* decreased 0.4% or \$2 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 primarily due to better mortality experience on annuities and a reduction in reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance, partially offset by worse mortality experience on life insurance. Our annual review of assumptions in third quarter 2012 resulted in a \$13 million decrease in the reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance due to favorable projected mortality. Life and annuity contract benefits increased 1.7% or \$23 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 primarily due to worse mortality experience on life insurance, partially offset by lower sales of immediate annuities with life contingencies and the reduction in reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance.

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

We analyze our mortality and morbidity results using the difference between premiums and contract charges earned for the cost of insurance and life and annuity contract benefits excluding the portion related to the implied interest on immediate annuities with life contingencies ( benefit spread ). This implied interest totaled \$135 million in both the third quarters of 2012 and 2011 and \$405 million in both the first nine months of 2012 and 2011.

The benefit spread by product group is disclosed in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Life insurance	\$ 90	\$ 90	\$ 268	\$ 281
Accident and health insurance	76	70	221	215
Annuities	(13)	(26)	(46)	(46)
Total benefit spread	\$ 153	\$ 134	\$ 443	\$ 450

Benefit spread increased 14.2% or \$19 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 primarily due to better mortality experience on annuities, the reduction in reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance and lower reinsurance ceded on life insurance, partially offset by worse mortality experience on life insurance. Benefit spread decreased 1.6% or \$7 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 primarily due to worse mortality experience on life insurance, partially offset by lower reinsurance premiums ceded on life insurance, higher cost of insurance contract charges on interest-sensitive life insurance and the reduction in reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance.

*Interest credited to contractholder funds* decreased 46.9% or \$190 million in the third quarter of 2012 and 22.7% or \$281 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 primarily due to the valuation change on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that reduced interest credited expense, lower average contractholder funds and lower interest crediting rates. Valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that are not hedged decreased interest credited to contractholder funds by \$149 million and \$135 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to a \$6 million increase and a \$2 million decrease in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively. The third quarter 2012 valuation change of \$149 million comprises a \$20 million charge for current quarter valuation changes and a \$169 million credit related to a change in a valuation input. During third quarter 2012, we reviewed the significant valuation inputs for these embedded derivatives and reduced the projected option cost to reflect management's current and anticipated crediting rate setting actions, which were informed by the existing and projected low interest rate environment and are consistent with our strategy to reduce exposure to spread-based business. The reduction in projected interest rates to the level currently being credited, approximately 2%, resulted in a reduction of contractholder funds and interest credited expense by \$169 million. Amortization of deferred sales inducement costs was \$11 million and \$13 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to \$8 million and \$23 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively.

In order to analyze the impact of net investment income and interest credited to contractholders on net income, we monitor the difference between net investment income and the sum of interest credited to contractholder funds and the implied interest on immediate annuities with life contingencies, which is included as a component of life and annuity contract benefits on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations ( investment spread ).

The investment spread by product group is shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Annuities and institutional products	\$ 39	\$ 54	\$ 207	\$ 145
Life insurance	23	17	61	42
Accident and health insurance	7	4	19	14
Allstate Bank products	--	6	--	20
Net investment income on investments supporting capital	64	67	196	192
Investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged	133	148	483	413
Valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that are not hedged	149	(6)	135	2
Total investment spread	\$ 282	\$ 142	\$ 618	\$ 415

Investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged decreased 10.1% or \$15 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 due to the continued managed reduction in our spread-based business in force and lower yields on fixed income securities, partially offset by lower crediting rates. Investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged increased 16.9% or \$70 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 due to income from limited

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

partnerships, lower crediting rates and the termination of interest rate swaps in first quarter 2011, partially offset by lower yields on fixed income securities and the continued managed reduction in our spread-based business in force. For further analysis on the valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts, see the interest credited to contractholder funds section.

To further analyze investment spreads, the following table summarizes the weighted average investment yield on assets supporting product liabilities and capital, interest crediting rates and investment spreads.

	Three months ended September 30,											
	Weighted average investment yield				Weighted average interest crediting rate				Weighted average investment spreads			
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Interest-sensitive life insurance	5.3	%	5.4	%	4.0	%	4.1	%	1.3	%	1.3	%
Deferred fixed annuities and institutional products	4.6		4.7		3.2		3.3		1.4		1.4	
Immediate fixed annuities with and without life contingencies	6.1		6.4		6.1		6.2		--		0.2	
Investments supporting capital, traditional life and other products	3.8		3.8		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	

	Nine months ended September 30,											
	Weighted average investment yield				Weighted average interest crediting rate				Weighted average investment spreads			
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Interest-sensitive life insurance	5.2	%	5.5	%	4.0	%	4.2	%	1.2	%	1.3	%
Deferred fixed annuities and institutional products	4.6		4.6		3.2		3.3		1.4		1.3	
Immediate fixed annuities with and without life contingencies	6.9		6.3		6.1		6.2		0.8		0.1	
Investments supporting capital, traditional life and other products	4.0		3.7		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a	

The following table summarizes our product liabilities and indicates the account value of those contracts and policies in which an investment spread is generated.

(\$ in millions)

	September 30,	
	2012	2011
Immediate fixed annuities with life contingencies	\$ 8,876	\$ 8,800
Other life contingent contracts and other	6,024	5,470
Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits	\$ 14,900	\$ 14,270
Interest-sensitive life insurance	\$ 10,964	\$ 10,758
Deferred fixed annuities	22,955	26,044
Immediate fixed annuities without life contingencies	3,833	3,737
Institutional products	1,874	1,914
Allstate Bank products	--	797
Other	484	526
Contractholder funds	\$ 40,110	\$ 43,776



# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Amortization of DAC decreased 18.9% or \$34 million in the third quarter of 2012 and 17.6% or \$69 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011. The components of amortization of DAC are summarized in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Amortization of DAC before amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses, valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged and changes in assumptions	\$ 77	\$ 83	\$ 239	\$ 253
Amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses(1) and valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged	35	97	51	133
Amortization acceleration for changes in assumptions ( DAC unlocking )	34	--	34	7
Total amortization of DAC	\$ 146	\$ 180	\$ 324	\$ 393

(1) The impact of realized capital gains and losses on amortization of DAC is dependent upon the relationship between the assets that give rise to the gain or loss and the product liability supported by the assets. Fluctuations result from changes in the impact of realized capital gains and losses on actual and expected gross profits.

The decrease in amortization of DAC in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 was primarily due to decreased amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses and decreased amortization on fixed annuity products due to the DAC balance for contracts issued prior to 2010 being fully amortized, partially offset by increased amortization acceleration for changes in assumptions and increased amortization relating to valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged. Amortization relating to valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts was \$26 million in third quarter 2012.

Our annual comprehensive review of the profitability of our products to determine DAC balances for our interest-sensitive life, fixed annuities and other investment contracts covers assumptions for persistency, mortality, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses, interest crediting rates to policyholders, and the effect of any hedges in all product lines. In the third quarter of 2012, the review resulted in an acceleration of DAC amortization (charge to income) of \$34 million. Amortization acceleration of \$38 million related to variable life insurance and was primarily due to an increase in projected mortality. Amortization acceleration of \$4 million related to fixed annuities and was primarily due to lower projected investment returns. Amortization deceleration of \$8 million related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was primarily due to an increase in projected persistency.

In the first quarter of 2011, the review resulted in an acceleration of DAC amortization (charge to income) of \$7 million. Amortization acceleration of \$12 million related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was primarily due to an increase in projected expenses. Amortization deceleration of \$5 million related to equity-indexed annuities and was primarily due to an increase in projected investment margins.

The following table provides the effect on DAC amortization of changes in assumptions relating to the gross profit components of investment margin, benefit margin and expense margin for the nine months ended September 30.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

(\$ in millions)

	2012	2011
Investment margin	\$ 3	\$ (3)
Benefit margin	33	(6)
Expense margin	(2)	16
Net acceleration	\$ 34	\$ 7

76

---

*Operating costs and expenses* increased 14.0% or \$18 million in the third quarter of 2012 and 7.1% or \$28 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011. The following table summarizes operating costs and expenses.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Non-deferrable commissions	\$ 27	\$ 27	\$ 78	\$ 81
General and administrative expenses	105	87	302	271
Taxes, licenses and fees	15	15	44	44
Total operating costs and expenses	\$ 147	\$ 129	\$ 424	\$ 396
Restructuring and related charges	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (2)

General and administrative expenses increased 20.7% or \$18 million in the third quarter of 2012 and 11.4% or \$31 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 primarily due to higher employee related expenses, lower reinsurance expense allowances, increased marketing costs, reduced insurance department assessments in the prior year and higher net Allstate agencies distribution channel expenses reflecting decreased fees from sales of third party financial products, partially offset by lower pension costs and the elimination of expenses following our exit from the banking business in 2011.

*Gain on disposition* of \$15 million in the first nine months of 2012 relates to the amortization of the deferred gain from the disposition through reinsurance of substantially all of our variable annuity business in 2006, and the sale of Surety Life Insurance Company, which was not used for new business, in third quarter 2012. Loss on disposition of \$9 million in the first nine months of 2011 included \$22 million related to the dissolution of Allstate Bank. In 2011, after receiving regulatory approval to dissolve, Allstate Bank ceased operations. We canceled the bank's charter in March 2012 and effective July 1, 2012 The Allstate Corporation is no longer a savings and loan holding company.

## INVESTMENTS HIGHLIGHTS

- Investments totaled \$98.52 billion as of September 30, 2012, an increase of 3.0% from \$95.62 billion as of December 31, 2011.
- Unrealized net capital gains totaled \$5.73 billion as of September 30, 2012, increasing from \$2.88 billion as of December 31, 2011.
- Net investment income was \$940 million in the third quarter of 2012, a decrease of 5.4% from \$994 million in the third quarter of 2011, and \$2.98 billion in the first nine months of 2012, a decrease of 0.6% from \$3.00 billion in the first nine months of 2011.
- Net realized capital losses were \$72 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to net realized capital gains of \$264 million in the third quarter of 2011, and net realized capital gains were \$123 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to \$417 million in the first nine months of 2011.

## INVESTMENTS

The composition of the investment portfolios as of September 30, 2012 is presented in the table below.

(\$ in millions)									
	Property-Liability (5)		Allstate Financial (5)		Corporate and Other (5)		Total		
		Percent to total		Percent to total		Percent to total		Percent to total	
Fixed income securities (1)	\$ 29,789	78.4%	\$ 46,345	79.7%	\$ 1,595	67.8%	\$ 77,729	78.9%	
Equity securities (2)	3,660	9.6	216	0.4	--	--	3,876	3.9	
Mortgage loans	498	1.3	6,406	11.0	--	--	6,904	7.0	
Limited partnership interests (3)	3,106	8.2	1,860	3.2	8	0.3	4,974	5.1	
Short-term (4)	756	2.0	1,320	2.3	749	31.9	2,825	2.9	
Other	200	0.5	2,008	3.4	--	--	2,208	2.2	
Total	\$ 38,009	100.0%	\$ 58,155	100.0%	\$ 2,352	100.0%	\$ 98,516	100.0%	

(1) Fixed income securities are carried at fair value. Amortized cost basis for these securities was \$28.37 billion, \$42.52 billion and \$1.54 billion for Property-Liability, Allstate Financial and Corporate and Other, respectively.

(2) Equity securities are carried at fair value. Cost basis for these securities was \$3.27 billion and \$158 million for Property-Liability and Allstate Financial, respectively.

(3) We have commitments to invest in additional limited partnership interests totaling \$1.22 billion and \$691 million for Property-Liability and Allstate Financial, respectively.

(4) Short-term investments are carried at fair value. Amortized cost basis for these investments was \$756 million, \$1.32 billion and \$749 million for Property-Liability, Allstate Financial and Corporate and Other, respectively.

(5) Balances reflect the elimination of related party investments between segments.

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Total investments increased to \$98.52 billion as of September 30, 2012, from \$95.62 billion as of December 31, 2011, primarily due to higher valuations of fixed income securities, partially offset by net reductions in contractholder funds. Valuations of fixed income securities are typically driven by a combination of changes in relevant risk-free interest rates and credit spreads over the period. Risk-free interest rates are typically referenced as the yield on U.S. Treasury securities, whereas credit spread is the additional yield on fixed income securities above the risk-free rate that market participants require to compensate them for assuming credit, liquidity and/or prepayment risks. The increase in valuation of fixed income securities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was due to decreasing risk-free interest rates and tightening credit spreads.

The Property-Liability investment portfolio increased to \$38.01 billion as of September 30, 2012, from \$36.00 billion as of December 31, 2011, primarily due to higher valuations of fixed income and equity securities and positive operating cash flows, partially offset by dividends paid by Allstate Insurance Company ( AIC ) to its parent, The Allstate Corporation (the Corporation ).

The Allstate Financial investment portfolio increased to \$58.16 billion as of September 30, 2012, from \$57.37 billion as of December 31, 2011, primarily due to higher valuations of fixed income securities, partially offset by net reductions in contractholder funds of \$2.22 billion.

The Corporate and Other investment portfolio increased to \$2.35 billion as of September 30, 2012, from \$2.25 billion as of December 31, 2011, primarily due to \$863 million of dividends paid by AIC to the Corporation and

proceeds of \$500 million of senior notes issued in January 2012, partially offset by share repurchases, repayment of \$350 million of debt, dividends paid to shareholders and interest paid on debt.

**Fixed income securities** by type are listed in the table below.

(\$ in millions)					
	Fair value as of September 30, 2012	Percent to total investments	Fair value as of December 31, 2011	Percent to total investments	
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 4,772	4.8%	\$ 6,315	6.6%	
Municipal	13,970	14.2	14,241	14.9	
Corporate	48,154	48.9	43,581	45.6	
Foreign government	2,255	2.3	2,081	2.2	
Residential mortgage-backed securities ( RMBS )	3,348	3.4	4,121	4.3	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities ( CMBS )	1,530	1.6	1,784	1.9	
Asset-backed securities ( ABS )	3,673	3.7	3,966	4.1	
Redeemable preferred stock	27	--	24	--	
Total fixed income securities	\$ 77,729	78.9%	\$ 76,113	79.6%	

As of September 30, 2012, 91.1% of the consolidated fixed income securities portfolio was rated investment grade, which is defined as a security having a rating of Aaa, Aa, A or Baa from Moody's, a rating of AAA, AA, A or BBB from Standard & Poor's (S&P), Fitch, Dominion, or Realpoint, a rating of aaa, aa, a or bbb from A.M. Best, or a comparable internal rating if an externally provided rating is not available. All of our fixed income securities are rated by third party credit rating agencies, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, and/or internally rated. Our initial investment decisions and ongoing monitoring procedures for fixed income securities are based on a thorough due diligence process which includes, but is not limited to, an assessment of the credit quality, sector, structure, and liquidity risks of each issue.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the fair value and unrealized net capital gains and losses for fixed income securities by credit rating as of September 30, 2012.

(\$ in millions)	Aaa		Aa		A	
	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 4,772	\$ 371	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Municipal						
Tax exempt	1,395	54	4,300	240	1,897	135
Taxable	281	35	2,818	436	1,040	119
Auction rate securities ( ARS )	235	(17)	203	(30)	23	(3)
Corporate						
Public	898	79	2,596	206	12,608	1,204
Privately placed	1,141	74	1,345	113	3,946	378
Foreign government	894	124	507	36	450	35
RMBS						
U.S. government sponsored entities ( U.S. Agency )	1,575	73	--	--	--	--
Prime residential mortgage-backed securities ( Prime )	128	3	24	1	170	5
Alt-A residential mortgage-backed securities ( Alt-A )	--	--	8	--	53	3
Subprime residential mortgage-backed securities ( Subprime )	--	--	23	(1)	19	(2)
CMBS	848	49	131	5	155	2
ABS						
Collateralized debt obligations ( CDO )	114	3	711	(1)	254	(37)
Consumer and other asset-backed securities ( Consumer and other ABS )	1,166	46	401	8	409	9
Redeemable preferred stock	--	--	1	--	--	--
Total fixed income securities	\$ 13,447	\$ 894	\$ 13,068	\$ 1,013	\$ 21,024	\$ 1,848

  

	Baa		Ba or lower		Total	
	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)
U.S. government and agencies	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 4,772	\$ 371
Municipal						
Tax exempt	788	33	374	(36)	8,754	426
Taxable	371	(5)	103	(10)	4,613	575
ARS	39	(10)	103	(19)	603	(79)
Corporate						
Public	14,413	1,165	3,137	145	33,652	2,799
Privately placed	6,534	406	1,536	40	14,502	1,011
Foreign government	404	45	--	--	2,255	240
RMBS						
U.S. Agency	--	--	--	--	1,575	73
Prime	22	1	449	29	793	39
Alt-A	48	--	420	(15)	529	(12)
Subprime	10	(1)	399	(92)	451	(96)

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

CMBS	178	(14)	218	(67)	1,530	(25)
ABS						
CDO	171	(32)	138	(30)	1,388	(97)
Consumer and other ABS	294	7	15	(3)	2,285	67
Redeemable preferred stock	26	5	--	--	27	5
Total fixed income securities	\$ 23,298	\$ 1,600	\$ 6,892	\$ (58)	\$ 77,729	\$ 5,297



Table of Contents

*Municipal bonds*, including tax exempt, taxable and ARS securities, totaled \$13.97 billion as of September 30, 2012 with an unrealized net capital gain of \$922 million. The municipal bond portfolio includes general obligations of state and local issuers, revenue bonds (including pre-refunded bonds), which are bonds for which an irrevocable trust has been established to fund the remaining payments of principal and interest.

*Corporate bonds*, including publicly traded and privately placed, totaled \$48.15 billion as of September 30, 2012 with an unrealized net capital gain of \$3.81 billion. Privately placed securities primarily consist of corporate issued senior debt securities that are directly negotiated with the borrower or are in unregistered form.

*RMBS, CMBS and ABS* are structured securities that are primarily collateralized by residential and commercial real estate loans and other consumer or corporate borrowings. The cash flows from the underlying collateral paid to the securitization trust are generally applied in a pre-determined order and are designed so that each security issued by the trust, typically referred to as a *class*, qualifies for a specific original rating. For example, the *senior* portion or *top* of the capital structure, or rating class, which would originally qualify for a rating of Aaa typically has priority in receiving principal repayments on the underlying collateral and retains this priority until the class is paid in full. In a sequential structure, underlying collateral principal repayments are directed to the most senior rated Aaa class in the structure until paid in full, after which principal repayments are directed to the next most senior Aaa class in the structure until it is paid in full. Senior Aaa classes generally share any losses from the underlying collateral on a pro-rata basis after losses are absorbed by classes with lower original ratings. The payment priority and class subordination included in these securities serves as credit enhancement for holders of the senior or top portions of the structures. These securities continue to retain the payment priority features that existed at the origination of the securitization trust. Other forms of credit enhancement may include structural features embedded in the securitization trust, such as overcollateralization, excess spread and bond insurance. The underlying collateral can have fixed interest rates, variable interest rates (such as adjustable rate mortgages) or may contain features of both fixed and variable rate mortgages.

*RMBS*, including U.S. Agency, Prime, Alt-A and Subprime, totaled \$3.35 billion, with 62.1% rated investment grade, as of September 30, 2012. The RMBS portfolio is subject to interest rate risk, but unlike other fixed income securities, is additionally subject to significant prepayment risk from the underlying residential mortgage loans. The credit risk associated with the U.S. Agency portfolio is mitigated because they were issued by or have underlying collateral guaranteed by U.S. government agencies. The following table shows our RMBS portfolio as of September 30, 2012 based upon vintage year of the issuance of the securities.

(\$ in millions)	U.S. Agency		Prime		Alt-A		Subprime		Total RMBS	
	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)
2012	\$ 300	\$ 6	\$ 25	\$ 1	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 325	\$ 7
2011	30	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	30	--
2010	23	--	138	4	43	2	--	--	204	6
2009	181	8	43	1	7	--	--	--	231	9
2008	264	14	--	--	--	--	--	--	264	14
2007	79	4	158	16	84	(5)	154	(38)	475	(23)
2006	69	4	148	14	142	(2)	95	(15)	454	1
2005	213	9	139	--	125	(2)	135	(31)	612	(24)
Pre-2005	416	28	142	3	128	(5)	67	(12)	753	14
Total	\$ 1,575	\$ 73	\$ 793	\$ 39	\$ 529	\$ (12)	\$ 451	\$ (96)	\$ 3,348	\$ 4

Prime are collateralized by residential mortgage loans issued to prime borrowers. Alt-A includes securities collateralized by residential mortgage loans issued to borrowers who do not qualify for prime financing terms due to high loan-to-value ratios or limited supporting documentation, but have stronger credit profiles than subprime borrowers. Subprime includes securities collateralized by residential mortgage loans issued to borrowers that cannot qualify for Prime or Alt-A financing terms due in part to weak or limited credit history. It also includes

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

securities that are collateralized by certain second lien mortgages regardless of the borrower's credit history. The Subprime portfolio consisted of \$287 million and \$164 million of first lien and second lien securities, respectively. The Subprime portfolio unrealized net capital loss of \$96 million as of September 30, 2012 was the result of wider credit spreads than at initial purchase. Wider spreads are largely due to the risk associated with the underlying collateral supporting certain Subprime securities.

*CMBS* totaled \$1.53 billion, with 85.8% rated investment grade, as of September 30, 2012. The *CMBS* portfolio is subject to credit risk, but unlike certain other structured securities, is generally not subject to prepayment risk due to protections within the underlying commercial mortgage loans. Of the *CMBS* investments, 91.9% are

Table of Contents

traditional conduit transactions collateralized by commercial mortgage loans, broadly diversified across property types and geographical area. The remainder consists of non-traditional CMBS such as small balance transactions, large loan pools and single borrower transactions.

The following table shows our CMBS portfolio as of September 30, 2012 based upon vintage year of the underlying collateral.

(\$ in millions)		Fair value		Unrealized gain/(loss)
2011	\$	4	\$	--
2010		25		2
2007		285		7
2006		446		(36)
2005		163		(13)
Pre-2005		607		15
Total CMBS	\$	1,530	\$	(25)

The unrealized net capital loss of \$25 million as of September 30, 2012 on our CMBS portfolio was primarily the result of wider credit spreads than at initial purchase in our 2005-2006 vintage year CMBS. Wider spreads are largely due to the risk associated with the underlying collateral supporting these CMBS securities.

ABS, including CDO and Consumer and other ABS, totaled \$3.67 billion, with 95.8% rated investment grade, as of September 30, 2012. Credit risk is managed by monitoring the performance of the underlying collateral. Many of the securities in the ABS portfolio have credit enhancement with features such as overcollateralization, subordinated structures, reserve funds, guarantees and/or insurance. The unrealized net capital loss of \$30 million as of September 30, 2012 on our ABS portfolio was primarily the result of wider credit spreads than at initial purchase.

CDO totaled \$1.39 billion, with 90.1% rated investment grade, as of September 30, 2012. CDO consist primarily of obligations collateralized by high yield and investment grade corporate credits including \$1.21 billion of cash flow collateralized loan obligations ( CLO ) with unrealized losses of \$33 million. Cash flow CLO are structures collateralized primarily by below investment grade senior secured corporate loans. The underlying collateral is generally actively managed by external managers that monitor the collateral's performance and is well diversified across industries and among issuers. The remaining \$179 million of securities consisted of project finance CDO, market value CDO, trust preferred CDO and collateralized bond obligations with unrealized losses of \$64 million.

Consumer and other ABS totaled \$2.29 billion, with 99.3% rated investment grade, as of September 30, 2012. Consumer and other ABS consists of \$511 million of consumer auto and \$1.77 billion of other ABS with unrealized gains of \$9 million and \$58 million, respectively.

**Mortgage loans** Our mortgage loan portfolio, which is primarily held in the Allstate Financial portfolio, totaled \$6.90 billion as of September 30, 2012, compared to \$7.14 billion as of December 31, 2011, and primarily comprises loans secured by first mortgages on developed commercial real estate. Key considerations used to manage our exposure include property type and geographic diversification.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

For further detail on our mortgage loan portfolio, see Note 4 of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

# Table of Contents

**Limited partnership interests** consist of investments in private equity/debt funds, real estate funds, hedge funds and tax credit funds. The limited partnership interests portfolio is well diversified across a number of characteristics including fund managers, vintage years, strategies, geography (including international), and company/property types. The following table presents information about our limited partnership interests as of September 30, 2012.

(\$ in millions)		Private equity/debt funds		Real estate funds		Hedge funds		Tax credit funds		Total
Cost method of accounting ( Cost )	\$	956	\$	448	\$	46	\$	6	\$	1,456
Equity method of accounting ( EMA )		1,344		1,076		428		670		3,518
Total	\$	2,300	\$	1,524	\$	474	\$	676	\$	4,974
Number of managers		97		45		14		11		
Number of individual funds		160		97		41		21		
Largest exposure to single fund	\$	122	\$	213	\$	81	\$	57		

The following table shows the earnings from our limited partnership interests by fund type and accounting classification.

		Three months ended September 30,							
(\$ in millions)		2012				2011			
	Cost	EMA (1)	Total income	Impairment write-downs	Cost	EMA (1)	Total income	Impairment write-downs	
Private equity/debt funds	\$ 15	\$ 3	\$ 18	\$ --	\$ 25	\$ 14	\$ 39	\$ --	--
Real estate funds	3	14	17	--	9	18	27	--	(2)
Hedge funds	--	(2)	(2)	(2)	--	(17)	(17)	--	--
Tax credit funds	(1)	(10)	(11)	--	(1)	(6)	(7)	--	--
Total	\$ 17	\$ 5	\$ 22	\$ (2)	\$ 33	\$ 9	\$ 42	\$ --	(2)

		Nine months ended September 30,							
(\$ in millions)		2012				2011			
	Cost	EMA (1)	Total income	Impairment write-downs	Cost	EMA (1)	Total income	Impairment write-downs	
Private equity/debt funds	\$ 48	\$ 115	\$ 163	\$ --	\$ 52	\$ 66	\$ 118	\$ --	(2)
Real estate funds	6	81	87	(3)	10	45	55	--	(2)
Hedge funds	--	9	9	(2)	--	24	24	--	--
Tax credit funds	(1)	(20)	(21)	--	(1)	(8)	(9)	--	--
Total	\$ 53	\$ 185	\$ 238	\$ (5)	\$ 61	\$ 127	\$ 188	\$ --	(4)

(1) Income from EMA limited partnerships is reported in net investment income in 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

Limited partnership interests produced income, excluding impairment write-downs, of \$22 million and \$238 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$42 million and \$188 million in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Income on EMA limited partnerships is recognized on a delay due to the availability of the related financial statements. The recognition of income on hedge funds is primarily on a one-month delay and the income recognition on private equity/debt funds, real estate funds and tax credit funds are generally on a three-month delay. Income on cost method limited partnerships is recognized

only upon receipt of amounts distributed by the partnerships.

Table of Contents

**Unrealized net capital gains** totaled \$5.73 billion as of September 30, 2012 compared to \$2.88 billion as of December 31, 2011. The increase from December 31, 2011 for fixed income securities was due to decreasing risk-free interest rates and tightening credit spreads. The improvement since December 31, 2011 for equity securities was primarily due to positive returns in the equity markets. The following table presents unrealized net capital gains and losses.

(\$ in millions)

		<b>September 30, 2012</b>		<b>December 31, 2011</b>
U.S. government and agencies	\$	371	\$	349
Municipal		922		607
Corporate		3,810		2,364
Foreign government		240		215
RMBS		4		(411)
CMBS		(25)		(178)
ABS		(30)		(214)
Redeemable preferred stock		5		2
Fixed income securities		5,297		2,734
Equity securities		447		160
EMA limited partnerships		6		2
Derivatives		(19)		(17)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax	\$	5,731	\$	2,879

The unrealized net capital gains for the fixed income portfolio totaled \$5.30 billion and comprised \$5.98 billion of gross unrealized gains and \$686 million of gross unrealized losses as of September 30, 2012. This is compared to unrealized net capital gains for the fixed income portfolio totaling \$2.73 billion, comprised of \$4.40 billion of gross unrealized gains and \$1.67 billion of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2011.

# Table of Contents

Gross unrealized gains and losses on fixed income securities by type and sector as of September 30, 2012 are provided in the table below.

(\$ in millions)

			Gross unrealized			Amortized	Fair value
	Par	Amortized	Gains	Losses	Fair	cost as a	Fair value
	value (1)	cost			value	percent of	as a
						par value (2)	percent of
							par value (2)
Corporate:							
Banking	\$ 3,516	\$ 3,507	\$ 203	\$ (64)	\$ 3,646	99.7	% 103.7
Financial services	3,438	3,383	266	(19)	3,630	98.4	105.6
Capital goods	5,236	5,255	478	(16)	5,717	100.4	109.2
Consumer goods (cyclical and non-cyclical)	9,521	9,637	798	(12)	10,423	101.2	109.5
Utilities	7,826	7,837	919	(12)	8,744	100.1	111.7
Transportation	1,959	1,962	212	(10)	2,164	100.2	110.5
Basic industry	2,752	2,764	202	(6)	2,960	100.4	107.6
Communications	3,006	3,005	275	(2)	3,278	100.0	109.0
Technology	2,159	2,187	171	(1)	2,357	101.3	109.2
Energy	3,726	3,770	350	--	4,120	101.2	110.6
Other	1,136	1,037	82	(4)	1,115	91.3	98.2
Total corporate fixed income portfolio	44,275	44,344	3,956	(146)	48,154	100.2	108.8
U.S. government and agencies	4,754	4,401	371	--	4,772	92.6	100.4
Municipal	14,431	13,048	1,083	(161)	13,970	90.4	96.8
Foreign government	2,063	2,015	240	--	2,255	97.7	109.3
RMBS	3,861	3,344	150	(146)	3,348	86.6	86.7
CMBS	1,634	1,555	66	(91)	1,530	95.2	93.6
ABS	3,818	3,703	112	(142)	3,673	97.0	96.2
Redeemable preferred stock	21	22	5	--	27	104.8	128.6
Total fixed income securities	\$ 74,857	\$ 72,432	\$ 5,983	\$ (686)	\$ 77,729	96.8	103.8

(1) Included in par value are zero-coupon securities that are generally purchased at a deep discount to the par value that is received at maturity. These primarily included corporate, U.S. government and agencies, municipal and foreign government zero-coupon securities with par value of \$447 million, \$947 million, \$2.92 billion and \$382 million, respectively.

(2) Excluding the impact of zero-coupon securities, the percentage of amortized cost to par value would be 100.4% for corporates, 101.2% for U.S. government and agencies, 103.0% for municipals and 104.5% for foreign governments. Similarly, excluding the impact of zero-coupon securities, the percentage of fair value to par value would be 109.0% for corporates, 106.0% for U.S. government and agencies, 110.1% for municipals and 113.5% for foreign governments.

The banking, financial services and capital goods sectors had the highest concentration of gross unrealized losses in our corporate fixed income securities portfolio as of September 30, 2012. In general, credit spreads remain wider than at initial purchase for most of the securities with gross unrealized losses in these categories.

The unrealized net capital gain for the equity portfolio totaled \$447 million and comprised \$509 million of gross unrealized gains and \$62 million of gross unrealized losses as of September 30, 2012. This is compared to an unrealized net capital gain for the equity portfolio totaling \$160 million, comprised of \$369 million of gross unrealized gains and \$209 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2011.





# Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2012, the total fair value of our direct investments in fixed income and equity securities in the Eurozone (European Union member states using the Euro currency) is \$1.49 billion, with net unrealized capital gains of \$84 million, comprised of \$106 million of gross unrealized gains and \$22 million of gross unrealized losses. The following table summarizes our total direct exposure related to the Eurozone and the GIIPS group of countries, including Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain. As of September 30, 2012, we do not have any direct exposure to Greece.

(\$ in millions)	Banking		Corporate		Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses
GIIPS						
Fixed income securities	\$ 18	\$ (9)	\$ 418	\$ (8)	\$ 436	\$ (17)
Equity securities	--	--	1	--	1	--
Total	18	(9)	419	(8)	437	(17)
Eurozone non-GIIPS						
Fixed income securities	106	(4)	906	(1)	1,012	(5)
Equity securities	--	--	37	--	37	--
Total	106	(4)	943	(1)	1,049	(5)
Total Eurozone	\$ 124	\$ (13)	\$ 1,362	\$ (9)	\$ 1,486	\$ (22)

We have no sovereign debt investments in the Eurozone. Other direct exposure to investments in fixed income and equity securities in European Union ( EU ) member states that do not use the Euro currency is \$2.30 billion, with net unrealized capital gains of \$181 million. Remaining direct exposure to non-EU countries total \$832 million, with net unrealized capital gains of \$76 million. The large majority of these investments are in multinational public companies with global revenue sources that are well diversified across region and sector, including a higher allocation to energy, non-cyclical consumer goods, capital goods and communications sectors. We also have additional indirect and diversified exposures through investments in multinational equity funds and limited partnership interests that invest in Europe. We estimate these indirect exposures do not exceed 1% of total investments.

We have a comprehensive portfolio monitoring process to identify and evaluate each fixed income and equity security that may be other-than-temporarily impaired. The process includes a quarterly review of all securities to identify instances where the fair value of a security compared to its amortized cost (for fixed income securities) or cost (for equity securities) is below established thresholds. The process also includes the monitoring of other impairment indicators such as ratings, ratings downgrades and payment defaults. The securities identified, in addition to other securities for which we may have a concern, are evaluated based on facts and circumstances for inclusion on our watch-list. All investments in an unrealized loss position as of September 30, 2012 were included in our portfolio monitoring process for determining whether declines in value were other than temporary.

The extent and duration of a decline in fair value for fixed income securities have become less indicative of actual credit deterioration with respect to an issue or issuer. While we continue to use declines in fair value and the length of time a security is in an unrealized loss position as indicators of potential credit deterioration, our determination of whether a security's decline in fair value is other than temporary has placed greater emphasis on our analysis of the underlying credit and collateral and related estimates of future cash flows.

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses of fixed income securities in a gross unrealized loss position by type and investment grade classification as of September 30, 2012.

(\$ in millions)	Investment grade		Below investment grade		Total	
	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 225	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 225	\$ --
Municipal	915	(92)	352	(69)	1,267	(161)
Corporate	1,320	(114)	576	(32)	1,896	(146)
Foreign government	18	--	--	--	18	--
RMBS	114	(4)	672	(142)	786	(146)
CMBS	278	(19)	192	(72)	470	(91)
ABS	989	(94)	119	(48)	1,108	(142)
Total	\$ 3,859	\$ (323)	\$ 1,911	\$ (363)	\$ 5,770	\$ (686)

We have experienced declines in the fair values of fixed income securities primarily due to wider credit spreads resulting from higher risk premiums since the time of initial purchase. Wider spreads are largely due to the risk associated with the underlying collateral supporting certain residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities and macroeconomic conditions impacting certain sectors or asset classes.

Consistent with their ratings, our portfolio monitoring process indicates that investment grade securities have a low risk of default. Securities rated below investment grade, comprising securities with a rating of Ba, B and Caa or lower, have a higher risk of default. As of September 30, 2012, RMBS represents 35% of the fair value of our below investment grade fixed income securities in a gross unrealized loss position, with Subprime RMBS comprising \$96 million of the total \$142 million RMBS gross unrealized losses.

Fair values for our structured securities are obtained from third-party valuation service providers and are subject to review as disclosed in our Application of Critical Accounting Estimates. In accordance with GAAP, when fair value is less than the amortized cost of a security and we have not made the decision to sell the security and it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, we evaluate if we expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. We calculate the estimated recovery value by discounting our best estimate of future cash flows at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, and compare this to the amortized cost of the security. If we do not expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, the credit loss component of the impairment is recorded in earnings, with the remaining amount of the unrealized loss related to other factors ( non-credit-related ) recognized in other comprehensive income.

The non-credit-related unrealized losses for our structured securities, including our below investment grade Subprime, are heavily influenced by risk factors other than those related to our best estimate of future cash flows. The difference between these securities' original or current effective rates and the yields implied by their fair value indicates that a higher risk premium is included in the valuation of these securities than existed at purchase. This risk premium represents the return that a market participant requires as compensation to assume the risk associated with the uncertainties regarding the future performance of the underlying collateral. The risk premium is comprised of: default risk, which reflects the probability of default and the uncertainty related to collection of contractual principal and interest; liquidity risk, which reflects the risk associated with exiting the investment in an illiquid market, both in terms of timeliness and cost; and volatility risk, which reflects the potential valuation volatility during an investor's holding period. Other factors reflected in the risk premium include the costs associated with underwriting, monitoring and holding these types of complex securities. Certain aspects of the default risk are included in the development of our best estimate of future cash flows, as appropriate. Other aspects of the risk premium are considered to be temporary in nature and are expected to reverse over the remaining lives of the securities as future cash flows are received.

*Other-than-temporary impairment assessment for below investment grade Subprime RMBS*

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

As of September 30, 2012, the fair value of our below investment grade Subprime securities with gross unrealized losses totaled \$358 million, a decrease of 38.9% compared to \$586 million as of December 31, 2011, primarily due to sales. As of September 30, 2012, gross unrealized losses for our below investment grade Subprime portfolio totaled \$96 million, an improvement of 71.3% compared to \$334 million as of December 31, 2011, due to sales, increased valuations, impairment write-downs and principal collections, partially offset by the downgrade of certain securities to

below investment grade. For our below investment grade Subprime with gross unrealized gains totaling \$4 million, we have recognized cumulative write-downs in earnings totaling \$85 million as of September 30, 2012.

The credit loss evaluation for Subprime securities with gross unrealized losses is performed in two phases. The first phase estimates the future cash flows of the entire securitization trust from which our security was issued. A critical part of this estimate involves forecasting default rates and loss severities of the residential mortgage loans that collateralize the securitization trust. The factors that affect the default rates and loss severities include, but are not limited to, historical collateral performance, collateral type, transaction vintage year, geographic concentrations, borrower credit quality, origination practices of the transaction sponsor, and practices of the mortgage loan servicers. Current loan-to-value ratios of underlying collateral are not consistently available and accordingly they are not a primary factor in our impairment evaluation. While our projections are developed internally and customized to our specific holdings, they are informed by and benchmarked against credit opinions obtained from third parties, such as industry analysts, nationally recognized credit rating agencies and an RMBS loss modeling advisory service. The default rate and loss severity forecasts result in an estimate of trust-level projected additional collateral loss.

We then analyze the actual cumulative collateral losses incurred to date by the securitization trust, our projected additional collateral losses expected to be incurred and the position of the class of securities we own in the securitization trust relative to the trust's other classes to determine whether any of the collateral losses will be applied to our class. If our class has remaining credit enhancement sufficient to withstand the projected additional collateral losses, no collateral losses will be realized by our class and we expect to collect all contractual principal and interest of the security we own. Remaining credit enhancement is measured in terms of (i) subordination from other classes of securities in the trust that are contractually obligated to absorb losses before the class of security we own and (ii) the expected impact of other structural features embedded in the securitization trust that could have an impact on our class, such as overcollateralization and excess spread.

For securities where there is insufficient remaining credit enhancement for the class of securities we own, a recovery value is calculated based on our best estimate of future cash flows specific to that security. This estimate is based on the contractual principal payments and current interest payments of the securities we own, adjusted for actual cumulative collateral losses incurred to date and the projected additional collateral losses expected to be incurred. This estimate also takes into consideration additional secondary sources of credit support, such as reliable bond insurance. For securities without secondary sources of credit support or for which the secondary sources do not fully offset the actual and projected additional collateral losses applied to them, a credit loss is recorded in earnings to the extent amortized cost exceeds recovery value.

90.0%, 8.1% and 1.9% of the fair value of our below investment grade Subprime securities with gross unrealized losses were issued with Aaa, Aa and A original ratings and capital structure classifications, respectively. As described previously, Subprime securities with higher original ratings typically have priority in receiving the principal repayments on the underlying collateral compared to those with lower original ratings. While the projected cash flow assumptions for our below investment grade Subprime securities with gross unrealized losses have deteriorated since the securities were originated, as reflected by their current credit ratings, these securities continue to retain the payment priority features that existed at the origination of the securitization trust.

# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following tables show trust-level, class-level and security-specific detailed information for our below investment grade Subprime securities with gross unrealized losses that are not reliably insured, by credit rating.

(\$ in millions)

	September 30, 2012						September 30, 2012							
	With other-than-temporary impairments recorded in earnings			Without other-than-temporary impairments recorded in earnings			With other-than-temporary impairments recorded in earnings			Without other-than-temporary impairments recorded in earnings				
	B	Caa or lower	Total	B	Caa or lower	Total	Ba	B	Caa or lower	Total	Ba	B	Caa or lower	Total
Trust-level														
Actual cumulative collateral losses incurred														
to date (1)	17.4	%	15.5	%	15.5	%	7.3	%	5.1	%	8.1	%	7.4	%
Projected additional collateral losses to be incurred (2)	40.0	%	35.2	%	35.4	%	24.2	%	21.0	%	27.5	%	25.8	%
Class-level														
Average remaining credit enhancement (3)	23.1	%	15.7	%	16.0	%	35.9	%	29.4	%	33.4	%	33.0	%
Security-specific														
Number of positions	2		38		40		3		3		11		17	
Par value	\$ 15	\$	400	\$	415	\$	7	\$	11	\$	38	\$	56	\$
Amortized cost	\$ 10	\$	278	\$	288	\$	7	\$	11	\$	38	\$	56	\$
Fair value	\$ 7	\$	226	\$	233	\$	7	\$	8	\$	28	\$	43	\$
Gross unrealized losses														
Total	\$ (3)	\$	(52)	\$	(55)	\$	--	\$	(3)	\$	(10)	\$	(13)	\$
Over 24 months (4)	\$ (3)	\$	(52)	\$	(55)	\$	--	\$	(3)	\$	(10)	\$	(13)	\$
Cumulative write-downs recognized	\$ (5)	\$	(110)	\$	(115)	\$	--	\$	--	\$	--	\$	--	\$
Principal payments received during the period														\$ 15

	December 31, 2011						December 31, 2011							
	With other-than-temporary impairments recorded in earnings			Without other-than-temporary impairments recorded in earnings			With other-than-temporary impairments recorded in earnings			Without other-than-temporary impairments recorded in earnings				
	B	Caa or lower	Total	B	Caa or lower	Total	Ba	B	Caa or lower	Total	Ba	B	Caa or lower	Total
Trust-level														
Actual cumulative collateral losses incurred														
to date	14.6	%	19.1	%	18.8	%	3.8	%	6.6	%	13.2	%	8.8	%
Projected additional collateral losses to be incurred	40.0	%	42.9	%	42.8	%	32.6	%	31.6	%	40.2	%	35.7	%
Class-level														
Average remaining credit enhancement	28.7	%	19.7	%	20.2	%	46.8	%	43.9	%	46.9	%	46.0	%
Security-specific														
Number of positions	5		66		71		9		15		24		48	
Par value	\$ 41	\$	728	\$	769	\$	84	\$	78	\$	132	\$	294	\$
Amortized cost	\$ 34	\$	469	\$	503	\$	84	\$	78	\$	132	\$	294	\$
Fair value	\$ 26	\$	301	\$	327	\$	60	\$	45	\$	67	\$	172	\$
Gross unrealized losses														
Total	\$ (8)	\$	(168)	\$	(176)	\$	(24)	\$	(33)	\$	(65)	\$	(122)	\$
Over 24 months (4)	\$ (8)	\$	(167)	\$	(175)	\$	(24)	\$	(33)	\$	(65)	\$	(122)	\$
Cumulative write-downs recognized	\$ (7)	\$	(249)	\$	(256)	\$	--	\$	--	\$	--	\$	--	\$
Principal payments received during the period														\$ 67

(1)

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

Weighted average actual cumulative collateral losses incurred to date as of period end are based on the actual principal losses incurred as a percentage of the remaining principal amount of the loans in the trust. The weighting calculation is based on the par value of each security. Actual losses on the securities we hold are less than the losses on the underlying collateral as presented in this table. Actual cumulative realized principal losses on the below investment grade Subprime securities we own, as reported by the trust servicers, were \$5 million as of September 30, 2012.

- (2) Weighted average projected additional collateral losses to be incurred as of period end are based on our projections of future losses to be incurred by the trust, taking into consideration the actual cumulative collateral losses incurred to date, as a percentage of the remaining principal amount of the loans in the trust. Our projections are developed internally and customized to our specific holdings and are informed by and benchmarked against credit opinions obtained from third parties, such as industry analysts, nationally recognized credit rating agencies and an RMBS loss modeling advisory service. Projected additional collateral losses to be incurred are compared to average remaining credit enhancement for each security. For securities where the projected additional collateral losses exceed remaining credit enhancement, a recovery value is calculated to determine whether impairment losses should be recorded in earnings. The weighting calculation is based on the par value of each security.
- (3) Weighted average remaining credit enhancement as of period end is based on structural subordination and the expected impact of other structural features existing in the securitization trust beneficial to our class and reflects our projection of future principal losses that can occur

as a percentage of the remaining principal amount of the loans in the trust before the class of the security we own will incur its first dollar of principal loss. The weighting calculation is based on the par value of each security.

- (4) As of September 30, 2012, \$28 million of unrealized losses on securities with other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings and \$11 million of unrealized losses on securities without other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings have been greater than or equal to 20% of those securities amortized cost for a period of more than 24 consecutive months. As of December 31, 2011, \$122 million of unrealized losses on securities with other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings and \$104 million of unrealized losses on securities without other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings have been greater than or equal to 20% of those securities amortized cost for a period of more than 24 consecutive months.

The above tables include information only about below investment grade Subprime securities with gross unrealized losses that are not reliably insured as of each period presented. As such, the par value and composition of securities included can vary significantly from period to period due to changes in variables such as credit ratings, principal payments, sales, purchases and realized principal losses.

As of September 30, 2012, our Subprime securities that are reliably insured include nine below investment grade Subprime securities with a total fair value of \$82 million and aggregate gross unrealized losses of \$28 million, all of which are rated B. These securities are insured by one bond insurer rated B that we estimate has sufficient claims paying capacity to service its obligations on these securities. The securitization trusts from which our securities were issued are currently receiving contractual payments from the bond insurer and considering the combination of expected future payments from the bond insurer and cash flows available from the underlying collateral, we expect the trust to have adequate cash flows to make all contractual payments due to the class of securities we own. As a result, our security-specific estimates of future cash flows indicate that these securities estimated recovery values equal or exceed their amortized cost. Accordingly, no other-than-temporary impairments have been recognized on these securities. As of December 31, 2011, our Subprime securities that are reliably insured included nine below investment grade Subprime securities with a total fair value of \$87 million and aggregate gross unrealized losses of \$36 million.

As of September 30, 2012, our below investment grade Subprime securities with gross unrealized losses that are not reliably insured and without other-than-temporary impairments recorded in earnings had incurred actual cumulative collateral losses of 7.4%. Our impairment evaluation forecasts more severe assumptions than the trusts are actually experiencing, including a projected weighted average underlying default rate of 37.6% and a projected weighted average loss severity of 69.6%, which resulted in projected additional collateral losses of 25.8%. As the average remaining credit enhancement for these securities of 33.0% exceeds the projected additional collateral losses of 25.8%, these securities have not been impaired.

As of September 30, 2012, our below investment grade Subprime securities with gross unrealized losses that are not reliably insured and with other-than-temporary impairments recorded in earnings had incurred actual cumulative collateral losses of 15.5%. Our impairment evaluation forecasts more severe assumptions than the trusts are actually experiencing, including a projected weighted average underlying default rate of 50.8% and a projected weighted average loss severity of 70.5%, which resulted in projected additional collateral losses of 35.4%. As the average remaining credit enhancement for these securities of 16.0% is insufficient to withstand the projected additional collateral losses, we have recognized cumulative write-downs in earnings on the securities as reflected in the table above using our calculated recovery value at the time of impairment. The current average recovery value of these securities as a percentage of par was 71.9% and exceeded these securities current average amortized cost as a percentage of par of 69.4%, which demonstrates our conclusion that the nature of the remaining unrealized loss on these securities is temporary and will reverse over time. The comparison indicates that recovery value exceeds amortized cost based on a comprehensive evaluation of financial, economic and capital markets assumptions developed for this reporting period.

We believe the unrealized losses on our Subprime securities, including those over 24 months, result from the current risk premium on these securities, which should continue to reverse over the securities remaining lives. We expect to receive our estimated share of contractual principal and interest collections used to determine the securities recovery value. As of September 30, 2012, we do not have the intent to sell and it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell these securities before the recovery of their amortized cost basis. We believe that our valuation and impairment processes are comprehensive, employ the most current views about collateral and securitization trust financial positions, and demonstrate our recorded impairments and that the remaining unrealized losses on these positions are temporary.





**Net investment income** The following table presents net investment income.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Fixed income securities	\$ 817	\$ 862	\$ 2,441	\$ 2,661
Equity securities	29	23	74	76
Mortgage loans	92	91	277	267
Limited partnership interests (1)	22	33	238	61
Short-term investments	2	2	4	5
Other	33	27	97	64
Investment income, before expense	995	1,038	3,131	3,134
Investment expense	(55)	(44)	(154)	(138)
Net investment income	\$ 940	\$ 994	\$ 2,977	\$ 2,996

(1) Income from EMA limited partnerships is reported in net investment income in 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

Net investment income decreased 5.4% or \$54 million in the third quarter of 2012 primarily due to lower average investment balances and lower fixed income yields and lower limited partnership income. Net investment income decreased 0.6% or \$19 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 primarily due to lower average investment balances and lower fixed income yields, partially offset by income from limited partnerships.

**Realized capital gains and losses** The following table presents the components of realized capital gains and losses and the related tax effect.

(\$ in millions)	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Impairment write-downs	\$ (43)	\$ (190)	\$ (131)	\$ (374)
Change in intent write-downs	(3)	(13)	(48)	(98)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings	(46)	(203)	(179)	(472)
Sales	(24)	692	275	1,116
Valuation of derivative instruments	--	(254)	1	(282)
Settlements of derivative instruments	(2)	20	26	(72)
EMA limited partnership income (1)	--	9	--	127
Realized capital gains and losses, pre-tax	(72)	264	123	417
Income tax benefit (expense)	25	(94)	(43)	(148)
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	\$ (47)	\$ 170	\$ 80	\$ 269

(1) Income from EMA limited partnerships is reported in net investment income in 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

*Impairment write-downs* are presented in the following table.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

(\$ in millions)		Three months ended		Nine months ended	
		September 30,		September 30,	
		2012	2011	2012	2011
Fixed income securities	\$	(18)	\$ (81)	\$ (73)	\$ (215)
Equity securities		(19)	(73)	(46)	(106)
Mortgage loans		(1)	(29)	3	(42)
Limited partnership					
interests		(2)	(2)	(5)	(4)
Other investments		(3)	(5)	(10)	(7)
Impairment write-downs	\$	(43)	\$ (190)	\$ (131)	\$ (374)

Impairment write-downs on fixed income securities for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were primarily driven by RMBS and CMBS that experienced deterioration in expected cash flows and

corporate and municipal fixed income securities impacted by issuer specific circumstances. Impairment write-downs on below investment grade RMBS and CMBS were \$9 million and \$1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and \$29 million and \$10 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Equity securities were written down primarily due to the length of time and extent to which fair value was below cost, considering our assessment of the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issuer, including relevant industry conditions and trends. The valuation allowance on mortgage loans was primarily reduced by \$8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 due to increases in the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell for certain impaired loans.

*Change in intent write-downs* were \$3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$13 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, and \$48 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$98 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The change in intent write-downs in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were primarily a result of ongoing comprehensive reviews of our portfolios resulting in write-downs of individually identified investments, primarily RMBS, equity securities and corporate fixed income securities.

*Sales* generated \$24 million of net realized losses for the three months ended September 30, 2012 primarily related to sales of \$722 million in amortized cost of structured fixed income securities in connection with risk reduction activities resulting in a net loss of \$119 million. Net realized gains on sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$275 million and primarily related to sales of equity securities, corporate fixed income securities and municipal bonds.

*Valuation and settlements of derivative instruments* net realized capital losses totaling \$2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 related to the settlement of derivative instruments. This is compared to net realized capital losses totaling \$234 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, including \$254 million of losses on the valuation of derivative instruments and \$20 million of gains on the settlement of derivative instruments. Valuation and settlements of derivative instruments net realized capital gains totaling \$27 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 included \$1 million of gains on the valuation of derivative instruments and \$26 million of gains on the settlement of derivative instruments. This is compared to net realized capital losses totaling \$354 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, including \$282 million of losses on the valuation of derivative instruments and \$72 million of losses on the settlement of derivative instruments. The net realized capital gains on derivative instruments for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily included gains on credit default swaps due to the tightening of credit spreads on the underlying credit names. As a component of our approach to managing interest rate risk, realized gains and losses on certain derivative instruments are most appropriately considered in conjunction with the unrealized gains and losses on the fixed income portfolio. This approach mitigates the impacts of general interest rate changes to our overall financial condition.

**CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY HIGHLIGHTS**

- Shareholders' equity as of September 30, 2012 was \$20.84 billion, an increase of 13.9% from \$18.30 billion as of December 31, 2011.
- On January 3, 2012, April 2, 2012, July 2, 2012 and October 1, 2012, we paid quarterly shareholder dividends of \$0.21, \$0.22, \$0.22 and \$0.22, respectively.
- During the first nine months of 2012, we repurchased 22.1 million common shares for \$728 million. As of September 30, 2012, our current \$1.00 billion share repurchase program had \$166 million remaining and is expected to be completed by March 31, 2013.

**CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY**

**Capital resources** consist of shareholders' equity and debt, representing funds deployed or available to be deployed to support business operations or for general corporate purposes. The following table summarizes our capital resources.

(\$ in millions)	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Common stock, retained income and other shareholders' equity items	\$ 19,250	\$ 18,269
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,587	29
Total shareholders' equity	20,837	18,298
Debt	6,057	5,908
Total capital resources	\$ 26,894	\$ 24,206
Ratio of debt to shareholders' equity	29.1%	32.3%
Ratio of debt to capital resources	22.5%	24.4%

*Shareholders' equity* increased in the first nine months of 2012, primarily due to net income and increased unrealized net capital gains on investments, partially offset by share repurchases and dividends paid to shareholders.

*Debt* On January 11, 2012, we issued \$500 million of 5.20% Senior Notes due 2042, utilizing the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 8, 2009. The proceeds of this issuance were used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of \$350 million of 6.125% Senior Notes on February 15, 2012. The next debt maturity is on June 15, 2013 when \$250 million of 7.50% Debentures are due.

*Share repurchases* During the first nine months of 2012, we repurchased 22.1 million common shares for \$728 million. As of September 30, 2012, \$166 million remained on our \$1.00 billion share repurchase program that we commenced in November 2011, and is expected to be completed by March 31, 2013.

**Financial ratings and strength** Our ratings are influenced by many factors including our operating and financial performance, asset quality, liquidity, asset/liability management, overall portfolio mix, financial leverage (i.e., debt), exposure to risks such as catastrophes and the current level of operating leverage. On January 26, 2012, A.M. Best affirmed The Allstate Corporation's debt and commercial paper ratings of a- and AMB-1, respectively, and our insurance entities financial strength ratings of A+ for AIC and Allstate Life Insurance Company (ALIC). The outlook for AIC is stable and ALIC was revised to stable from negative. In April 2012, S&P affirmed The Allstate Corporation's debt and

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

commercial paper ratings of A- and A-2, respectively, AIC's financial strength ratings of AA- and ALIC's financial strength rating of A+. The outlook for all S&P ratings remained negative. There have been no changes to our debt, commercial paper and insurance financial strength ratings from Moody's since December 31, 2011. In the future, if our financial position is less than rating agency expectations including those related to capitalization at the parent company, AIC or ALIC, we could be exposed to a downgrade in our ratings of one notch or more which we do not view as being material to our business model or strategies.

ALIC, AIC and The Allstate Corporation are party to the Amended and Restated Intercompany Liquidity Agreement (Liquidity Agreement) which allows for short-term advances of funds to be made between parties for liquidity and other general corporate purposes. The Liquidity Agreement does not establish a commitment to advance funds on the part of any party. ALIC and AIC each serve as a lender and borrower and the Corporation serves only as a lender. AIC also has a capital support agreement with ALIC. Under the capital support agreement, AIC is committed to provide capital to ALIC to maintain an adequate capital level. The maximum amount of potential funding under each of these agreements is \$1.00 billion.

In addition to the Liquidity Agreement, the Corporation also has an intercompany loan agreement with certain of its subsidiaries, which include, but are not limited to, AIC and ALIC. The amount of intercompany loans available to the Corporation's subsidiaries is at the discretion of the Corporation. The maximum amount of loans the Corporation will have outstanding to all its eligible subsidiaries at any given point in time is limited to \$1.00 billion. The Corporation may use commercial paper borrowings, bank lines of credit and securities lending to fund intercompany borrowings.

**Liquidity sources and uses** We actively manage our financial position and liquidity levels in light of changing market, economic, and business conditions. Liquidity is managed at both the entity and enterprise level across the Company, and is assessed on both base and stressed level liquidity needs. We believe we have sufficient liquidity to meet these needs. Additionally, we have existing intercompany agreements in place that facilitate liquidity management across the Company to enhance flexibility.

*Parent company capital capacity* At the parent holding company level, we have deployable invested assets totaling \$2.34 billion as of September 30, 2012. These assets include investments that are generally saleable within one quarter totaling \$1.92 billion. This provides funds for the parent company's relatively low fixed charges and other corporate purposes.

In the first nine months of 2012, AIC paid dividends totaling \$1.31 billion. These dividends comprised \$863 million in cash paid to its ultimate parent, the Corporation, and the transfer of ownership (valued at \$450 million) to Allstate Insurance Holdings, LLC of three insurance companies that were formerly subsidiaries of AIC (Allstate Indemnity Company, Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company).

The Corporation has access to additional borrowing to support liquidity as follows:

- A commercial paper facility with a borrowing limit of \$1.00 billion to cover short-term cash needs. As of September 30, 2012, there were no balances outstanding and therefore the remaining borrowing capacity was \$1.00 billion; however, the outstanding balance can fluctuate daily.
- Our credit facility is available for short-term liquidity requirements and backs our commercial paper facility. Our \$1.00 billion unsecured revolving credit facility has an initial term of five years expiring in April 2017. The facility is fully subscribed among 12 lenders with the largest commitment being \$115 million. We have the option to extend the expiration by one year at the first and second anniversary of the facility, upon approval of existing or replacement lenders. The commitments of the lenders are several and no lender is responsible for any other lender's commitment if such lender fails to make a loan under the facility. This facility contains an increase provision that would allow up to an additional \$500 million of borrowing. This facility has a financial covenant requiring that we not exceed a 37.5% debt to capitalization ratio as defined in the agreement. This ratio was 19.9% as of September 30, 2012. Although the right to borrow under the facility is not subject to a minimum rating requirement, the costs of maintaining the facility and borrowing under it are based on the ratings of our senior unsecured, unguaranteed long-term debt. There were no borrowings under the credit facility during the third quarter and first nine months of 2012. The total amount outstanding at any point in time under the combination of the commercial paper program and the credit facility cannot exceed the amount that can be borrowed under the credit facility.
- A universal shelf registration statement was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 30, 2012. We can use this shelf registration to issue an unspecified amount of debt securities, common stock (including 417 million shares of treasury stock as of September 30, 2012), preferred stock, depository shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts, stock purchase units and securities of trust subsidiaries. The specific terms of any securities we issue under this registration statement will be provided in the applicable prospectus supplements.

*Liquidity exposure* Contractholder funds were \$40.11 billion as of September 30, 2012. The following table summarizes contractholder funds by their contractual withdrawal provisions as of September 30, 2012.

(\$ in millions)		Percent to total
Not subject to discretionary withdrawal	\$ 6,094	15.2%
Subject to discretionary withdrawal with adjustments:		
Specified surrender charges (1)	13,940	34.8
Market value adjustments (2)	5,575	13.9
Subject to discretionary withdrawal without adjustments (3)	14,501	36.1
Total contractholder funds (4)	\$ 40,110	100.0%

(1) Includes \$7.34 billion of liabilities with a contractual surrender charge of less than 5% of the account balance.

(2) \$4.61 billion of the contracts with market value adjusted surrenders have a 30-45 day period at the end of their initial and subsequent interest rate guarantee periods (which are typically 5 or 6 years) during which there is no surrender charge or market value adjustment.

(3) 74% of these contracts have a minimum interest crediting rate guarantee of 3% or higher.

(4) Includes \$1.05 billion of contractholder funds on variable annuities reinsured to The Prudential Insurance Company of America, a subsidiary of Prudential Financial Inc., in 2006.

While we are able to quantify remaining scheduled maturities for our institutional products, anticipating retail product surrenders is less precise. Retail life and annuity products may be surrendered by customers for a variety of reasons. Reasons unique to individual customers include a current or unexpected need for cash or a change in life insurance coverage needs. Other key factors that may impact the likelihood of customer surrender include the level of the contract surrender charge, the length of time the contract has been in force, distribution channel, market interest rates, equity market conditions and potential tax implications. In addition, the propensity for retail life insurance policies to lapse is lower than it is for fixed annuities because of the need for the insured to be re-underwritten upon policy replacement. Surrenders and partial withdrawals for our retail annuities decreased 31.5% and 28.5% in the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011. The annualized surrender and partial withdrawal rate on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products, based on the beginning of year contractholder funds, was 10.7% and 13.2% for the first nine months of 2012 and 2011, respectively. Allstate Financial strives to promptly pay customers who request cash surrenders; however, statutory regulations generally provide up to six months in most states to fulfill surrender requests.

Our institutional products are primarily funding agreements sold to unaffiliated trusts used to back medium-term notes. As of September 30, 2012, total institutional products outstanding were \$1.84 billion, with scheduled maturities of \$1.75 billion in 2013 and \$85 million in 2016.

Our asset-liability management practices limit the differences between the cash flows generated by our investment portfolio and the expected cash flow requirements of our life insurance, annuity and institutional product obligations.



# Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes consolidated cash flow activities by segment for the first nine months ended September 30.

(\$ in millions)	Property-Liability (1)		Allstate Financial (1)		Corporate and Other (1)		Consolidated	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net cash provided by (used in):								
Operating activities	\$ 1,782	\$ 535	\$ 817	\$ 1,106	\$ 19	\$ 30	\$ 2,618	\$ 1,671
Investing activities	(813)	434	1,470	3,904	(160)	467	497	4,805
Financing activities	(21)	(4)	(2,376)	(4,842)	(852)	(1,166)	(3,249)	(6,012)
Net (decrease) increase in consolidated cash							\$ (134)	\$ 464

(1) Business unit cash flows reflect the elimination of intersegment dividends, contributions and borrowings.

*Property-Liability* Higher cash provided by operating activities in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the first nine months of 2011 was primarily due to lower claim payments, partially offset by higher income tax payments.

Cash used in investing activities in the first nine months of 2012 compared to cash provided by investing activities the first nine months of 2011 was primarily due to 2012 operating cash flows being invested, as reflected in lower net sales of fixed income and equity securities, partially offset by decreased purchases of fixed income and equity securities.

*Allstate Financial* Lower cash provided by operating cash flows in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the first nine months of 2011 was primarily due to higher contract benefits paid.

Lower cash provided by investing activities in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the first nine months of 2011 was primarily due to lower financing needs as reflected in lower sales of fixed income securities, partially offset by decreased purchases of fixed income securities.

Lower cash used in financing activities in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the first nine months of 2011 was primarily due to lower surrenders and partial withdrawals on fixed annuities, decreased maturities of institutional products and the absence of Allstate Bank activity in the current year.

*Corporate and Other* Fluctuations in the Corporate and Other operating cash flows were primarily due to the timing of intercompany settlements. Investing activities primarily relate to investments in the parent company portfolio. Financing cash flows of the Corporate and Other segment reflect actions such as fluctuations in short-term debt, repayment of debt, proceeds from the issuance of debt, dividends to shareholders of The Allstate Corporation and share repurchases; therefore, financing cash flows are affected when we increase or decrease the level of these activities.



**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

*Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.* We maintain disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon this evaluation, the principal executive officer and the principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in providing reasonable assurance that material information required to be disclosed in our reports filed with or submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act is made known to management, including the principal executive officer and the principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

*Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.* During the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2012, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

Information required for Part II, Item 1 is incorporated by reference to the discussion under the heading "Regulation and Compliance" and under the heading "Legal and regulatory proceedings and inquiries" in Note 10 of the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

This document contains forward-looking statements that anticipate results based on our estimates, assumptions and plans that are subject to uncertainty. These statements are made subject to the safe-harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements as a result of new information or future events or developments.

These forward-looking statements do not relate strictly to historical or current facts and may be identified by their use of words like "plans," "seeks," "expects," "will," "should," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "believes," "likely," "targets" and other words with similar meanings. These statements address, among other things, our strategy for growth, catastrophe exposure management, product development, investment results, regulatory approvals, market position, expenses, financial results, litigation and reserves. We believe that these statements are based on reasonable estimates, assumptions and plans. However, if the estimates, assumptions or plans underlying the forward-looking statements prove inaccurate or if other risks or uncertainties arise, actual results could differ materially from those communicated in these forward-looking statements. Risk factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by such forward-looking statements include but are not limited to those discussed or identified in this document, in our public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and those incorporated by reference in Part I, Item 1A of The Allstate Corporation Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2011.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds****Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

<b>Period</b>	<b>Total number of shares (or units) purchased (1)</b>	<b>Average price paid per share (or unit)</b>	<b>Total number of shares (or units) purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs (2)</b>	<b>Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares (or units) that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs (3)</b>
July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012	1,423,340	\$ 34.3183	1,423,315	270 million
August 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012	1,608,143	\$ 37.6473	1,607,521	210 million
September 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012	1,110,333	\$ 39.4102	1,106,320	166 million
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,141,816</b>	<b>\$ 36.9759</b>	<b>4,137,156</b>	

## Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

(1) In accordance with the terms of its equity compensation plans, Allstate acquired the following shares in connection with stock option exercises by employees and/or directors. The stock was received in payment of the exercise price of the options and in satisfaction of withholding taxes due upon exercise or vesting.

July: 25

August: 622

September: 4,013

(2) Repurchases under our programs are, from time to time, executed under the terms of a pre-set trading plan meeting the requirements of Rule 10b5-1(c) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

(3) On November 8, 2011, we announced the approval of a new share repurchase program for \$1.00 billion. This program is expected to be completed by March 31, 2013.

### Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

An Exhibit Index has been filed as part of this report on page E-1.

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

The Allstate Corporation  
(Registrant)

October 31, 2012

By /s/ Samuel H. Pilch  
Samuel H. Pilch  
(chief accounting officer and duly  
authorized officer of Registrant)

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-Q

<b><u>Exhibit No.</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
4	Registrant hereby agrees to furnish the Commission, upon request, with the instruments defining the rights of holders of each issue of long-term debt of the Registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries.
15	Acknowledgment of awareness from Deloitte & Touche LLP, dated October 31, 2012, concerning unaudited interim financial information.
31 (i)	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer
31 (i)	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer
32	Section 1350 Certifications
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

E-1

---