ORION HEALTHCORP INC Form 10OSB May 14, 2007

> U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

> > FORM 10-QSB

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2007

Commission File No. 001-16587

ORION HEALTHCORP, INC. (NAME OF SMALL BUSINESS ISSUER IN ITS CHARTER)

Delaware (STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION (IRS EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO.) OF INCORPORATION OR ORGANIZATION)

58-1597246

1805 Old Alabama Road Suite 350, Roswell GA 30076 (ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES) (ZIP CODE)

REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER: (678) 832-1800

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(B) OF THE ACT:

NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON TITLE OF EACH CLASS WHICH REGISTERED _____

._____ Class A Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share The American Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes |X| No |_|

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes |_| No |X|

As of May 14, 2007, 105,504,032 shares of the registrant's Class A Common Stock, par value \$0.001, were outstanding and 24,658,955 shares of the registrant's Class D Common Stock, par value \$0.001, were outstanding.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format Yes |_| No |X|

ORION HEALTHCORP, INC. Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item Number

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

- 1. Financial Statements
- 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation
- 3. Controls and Procedures

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

- 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
- 6. Exhibits
 SIGNATURES
 UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 INDEX OF EXHIBITS

2

The following text is qualified in its entirety by reference to the more detailed information and unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements, including the notes thereto, appearing elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB. Unless otherwise indicated, the terms "we," "us" and "our" refer to Orion HealthCorp, Inc. ("Orion" or the "Company") and its consolidated subsidiaries.

NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, (the "Exchange Act," and collectively, with the Securities Act, the "Acts") as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Reform Act"). Any statements other than statements of historical fact included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB, including without limitation, statements under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation" regarding our financial position, business strategy and plans and objectives for future performance are deemed to be forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements preceded by, followed by or including the words "may," "will," "would," "could," "should," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," "continue," "strategy," "believes," "anticipates," "plans," "expects," "intends" and similar expressions. In particular, these include statements relating to our future actions, future performance or results of current and anticipated services, expenses and financial results. From time to time, we may also provide oral or written forward-looking statements in other materials we release to the public. The forward-looking statements in this report are based on current beliefs, estimates and assumptions concerning our operations, future results, and prospects described herein. As actual operations and results may materially differ from those assumed in forward-looking statements, there is no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate. Any number of factors could affect future operations, including, without limitation, changes in federal or state healthcare laws and regulations and third party payer requirements, changes in costs of supplies, the loss of major customers, increases in labor and employee benefit costs, increases in interest rates on our indebtedness as well as general market conditions, competition and pricing, and our ability to successfully implement our business strategies and integrate acquisitions, including the expense and impact of any potential acquisitions and the ability to obtain necessary approvals and financing. You are also advised to

consult the risk factors set forth in Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operations of our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on April 2, 2007.

We undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information or future events. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects in the quarterly, periodic and annual reports we file with the SEC. Other factors in addition to those described herein could also adversely affect operating or financial performance. Forward-looking statements are subject to the safe harbors created in the Reform Act.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements and related notes thereto are included as a separate section of this report, commencing on page F-1.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

The following discussion highlights the principal factors that have affected our financial condition and results of operations as well as our liquidity and capital resources for the periods described. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements and related notes thereto, which are included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB.

Overview

We are a healthcare services organization providing outsourced business services to physicians, serving the physician market through two operating segments - Revenue Cycle Management and Practice Management - via five operating subsidiaries: Medical Billing Services, Inc. ("MBS"), Rand Medical Billing, Inc. ("Rand"), On Line Alternatives, Inc. ("OLA") and On Line Payroll Services, Inc. ("OLP") (collectively with OLA, "On Line"), and Integrated Physician Solutions, Inc. ("IPS"). Our mission is to provide superior billing, collections, practice management, business and financial management services for physicians, resulting in optimal profitability for our clients and increased enterprise value for our stakeholders. We believe our core competency is our long-term experience and success in working with and creating value for physicians.

Revenue Cycle Management Segment ("RCM")

Our RCM segment includes three business units, MBS, Rand and On Line. We offer billing, collection, accounts receivable management, coding and reimbursement services, reimbursement analysis, practice consulting, managed care contract management and accounting and bookkeeping services, primarily to hospital-based physicians such as pathologists, anesthesiologists and radiologists, allowing them to avoid the infrastructure investment in their own back-office operations. In addition, we provide these services to other specialties including plastic surgery, family practice, internal medicine, orthopedics, neurologists, emergency medicine and ambulatory surgery centers. These services help clients to be financially successful by improving cash flows and reducing administrative costs and burdens. MBS currently provides services to approximately 54 clients, representing 310 providers. Rand currently provides services to approximately 58 clients, representing 171 providers. On Line currently provides services to approximately 13 billing clients, representing 32 providers, and 43 transcription clients and provides payroll processing services to 207 clients.

3

Billing and Collection Services. We offer billing and collection services to our clients. These include coding, reimbursement services, charge entry, claim submission, collection activities, and financial reporting services, including:

- o Current Procedural Terminology ("CPT") and International Classification of Diseases ("ICD-9") utilization reviews;
- o Charge ticket (superbill) evaluations;
- o Fee schedule analyses;
- o Reimbursement audits; and
- o Training seminars.
- o Patient refund processing

Managed Care Contract Management Services. We offer consulting services to assist clients in interacting with managed care organizations. Some of the managed care consulting services are:

- o Establishing the actual ownership of the managed care organization and determining that the entity is financially sound;
- o Negotiating the type of reimbursement offered;
- o Assuring that there are no "withholds" beyond the discount agreed upon;
- o Determining patient responsibility for non-covered services, as well as co-pays and deductibles;
- o Tracking managed care payments to verify the accuracy of the reimbursement rate;
- o Evaluating the appeals process in case of disputes concerning payment issues, utilization review, and medical necessity; and
- O Confirming the length of the contract, the renewal process, and the termination options.

Practice Consulting Services. We offer a wide range of management consulting services to medical practices. These management services help create a more efficient medical practice, providing assistance with the business aspects associated with operating a medical practice. Our management consulting services include the following:

- o Accounting and bookkeeping services;
- o Evaluation of staffing needs;
- o Provision of temporary staff services;
- o Quality assurance program development;
- o Physician credentialing assistance;
- o Fee schedule review, specific to locality;
- o Formulation of scheduling systems; and
- o Training and continuing education programs.
- o Payroll processing

See Note 5 in our Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements included in Part I, Item 1. Financial Statements for financial information regarding our RCM segment.

Practice Management ("PM") Segment

IPS, a Delaware corporation, was founded in 1996 to provide physician practice management services to general and subspecialty pediatric practices. IPS commenced its business activities upon consummation of the combination of several medical group businesses effective January 1, 1999.

IPS serves the general and subspecialty pediatric physician market, providing accounting and bookkeeping, human resource management, group purchasing, accounts receivable management, quality assurance services, physician credentialing, fee schedule review, training and continuing education and billing and reimbursement analysis. As of March 31, 2007, IPS managed eight practice sites, representing five medical groups in Illinois and Ohio. The physicians, who are all employed by separate corporations, provide all clinical and patient care related services. The operations of two of the affiliated medical practices managed at March 31, 2007 - Dayton Infant Care Specialists, Corp. ("Dayton ICS") and Pediatric Specialists of the Northwest, M.D.S.C. ("PSNW") - are now reflected in our consolidated statements of operations as `income from operations of discontinued components' for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. (See "Results of Operations - Discontinued Operations.")

4

There is a standard forty-year management service agreement ("MSA") between IPS and each of the various affiliated medical groups whereby a management fee is paid to IPS. IPS owns all of the assets used in the operation of the medical groups. IPS manages the day-to-day business operations of each medical group and provides the assets for the physicians to use in their practice for a fixed fee or percentage of the net operating income of the medical group. All revenues are collected by IPS, the fixed fee or percentage payment to IPS is taken from the net operating income of the medical group and the remainder of the net operating income of the medical group is paid to the physicians and treated as an expense on IPS's financial statements as "physician group distribution."

See Note 5 in our Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements included in Part I, Item 1. Financial Statements for financial information regarding the continuing operations of our PM segment.

Company History and Strategic Focus

Orion was incorporated in Delaware on February 24, 1984 as Technical Coatings, Incorporated. On December 15, 2004, we completed a series of transactions to acquire IPS (the "IPS Merger") and to acquire Dennis Cain Physician Solutions, Ltd. ("DCPS") and MBS (the "DCPS/MBS Merger") (collectively, the "2004 Mergers"). As a result of these transactions, IPS and MBS became our wholly owned subsidiaries. On December 15, 2004, and simultaneous with the consummation of the 2004 Mergers, we changed our name from SurgiCare, Inc. to Orion HealthCorp, Inc. and consummated restructuring transactions, which included issuances of new equity securities for cash and contribution of outstanding debt, and the restructuring of our debt facilities. We also created Class B Common Stock and Class C Common Stock, which were issued in connection with the equity investments and acquisitions.

In 2005, we initiated a strategic plan designed to accelerate our growth and enhance our future earnings potential. The plan focuses on our strengths, which include providing billing, collections and complementary business management services to physician practices. As part of this plan, we completed a series of transactions involving the divestiture of non-strategic assets in 2005 and early 2006. In addition, we redirected financial resources and company personnel to areas that management believed would enhance long-term growth potential. We believe that we are now positioned to focus on our physician services business and the physician billing and collections market, leveraging our existing presence to expand into additional geographic regions and increase the range of services we provide to physicians. A key component of this strategy includes acquiring financially successful billing companies focused on providing services to hospital-based physicians and increasing sales

and marketing efforts in existing markets.

On December 1, 2006 we completed the acquisition of Rand and the On Line businesses. We acquired all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Rand for an aggregate purchase price of \$9,365,333, subject to adjustments conditioned upon future revenue results. The purchase price was paid through a combination of cash, the issuance of an unsecured subordinated promissory note and the issuance of shares of our Class A Common Stock. We acquired all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of both OLA and OLP for an aggregate purchase price of \$3,310,924, subject to adjustments conditioned upon future revenue results. The purchase price was paid through a combination of cash and the issuance of unsecured subordinated promissory notes.

These acquisitions were financed in part through the proceeds of a private placement that was also completed on December 1, 2006 (the "Private Placement"). The Private Placement consisted of our issuance of (i) shares of a newly created class of our common stock, Class D Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share (the "Class D Common Stock"), which is convertible into our Class A Common Stock, to each of Phoenix Life Insurance Company ("Phoenix") and Brantley Partners IV, L.P. ("Brantley IV") for an aggregate purchase price of \$4,650,000 and (ii) senior unsecured subordinated promissory notes due 2011 in the original principal amount of \$3,350,000, bearing interest at an aggregate rate of 14% per annum, together with warrants to purchase shares of our Class A Common Stock, to Phoenix for an aggregate purchase price of \$3,350,000.

Our senior unsecured subordinated promissory notes bear interest at the combined rate of (i) 12% per annum payable in cash on a quarterly basis and (ii) 2% per annum payable in kind (meaning that the accrued interest will be capitalized as principal) on a quarterly basis, subject to our right to pay such amount in cash. The notes are unsecured and subordinated to all of our other senior debt. Upon the occurrence and during the continuance of an event of default the interest rate on the cash portion of the interest shall increase from 12% per annum to 14% per annum, for a combined rate of default interest of 16% per annum. We may prepay outstanding principal (together with accrued interest) on the notes subject to certain prepayment penalties and we are required to prepay outstanding principal (together with accrued interest) on the notes upon certain specified circumstances.

As a condition to the Private Placement, on December 1, 2006, we refinanced our existing loan facility with CIT Healthcare, LLC ("CIT") into a four year \$16,500,000 senior secured credit facility with Wells Fargo Foothill, Inc. ("Wells Fargo") consisting of a \$2,000,000 revolving loan commitment, a \$4,500,000 term loan and a \$10,000,000 acquisition facility commitment. Amounts borrowed under this facility are secured by substantially all of our assets and a pledge of the capital stock of our operating subsidiaries. Under the terms of the credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") relating to this facility, amounts borrowed bear interest at either a fluctuating rate based on the prime rate or LIBOR rate, at our election. Currently, our interest rate on the revolving loan commitment and the term loan is the prime rate plus 1.75%. In addition to refinancing our existing loan facility, a portion of the proceeds from this facility were used to fund our acquisitions of Rand and On Line and to finance our ongoing working capital, capital expenditure and general corporate needs. Upon repayment of the CIT loan facility, two of our stockholders, Brantley IV and Brantley Capital Corporation ("Brantley Capital") were released from guarantees that they had provided on our behalf in connection with the loan facility.

5

Also on December 1, 2006 in connection with the consummation of the Private Placement and the execution of the Credit Agreement, the following

actions were taken:

- o We amended our certificate of incorporation to create the Class D Common Stock and eliminate the Class B Common Stock and Class C Common Stock:
- o We purchased and retired all 1,722,983 shares of our Class B Common Stock owned by Brantley Capital for an aggregate purchase price of \$482,435;
- o Brantley IV converted the entire unpaid principal balance, and accrued but unpaid interest, of two convertible subordinated promissory notes in the original aggregate amount of \$1,250,000 (the "Brantley IV Notes") into 1,383,825 shares of our Class A Common Stock;
- o All of our remaining holders of Class B Common Stock and Class C Common Stock converted their shares into 87,761,969 shares of our Class A Common Stock;
- o We extended the maturity date and increased the interest rate on certain unsecured subordinated promissory notes totaling in the aggregate \$1,714,336 (the "DCPS/MBS Notes") issued to certain of the former equity holders of the businesses we acquired in 2004 as part of the DCPS/MBS Merger, including two of our executive officers, Dennis Cain, CEO of MBS, and Tommy Smith, President and COO of MBS; and
- O We restructured certain unsecured notes issued to DVI Financial Services, Inc. ("DVI") and serviced by U.S. Bank Portfolio Services ("USBPS") to reduce the outstanding balance from \$3,750,000 to \$2,750,000.

As of March 31, 2007, Brantley IV owned 62,555,686 shares of our Class A Common Stock, warrants to purchase 20,455 shares of our Class A Common Stock and 8,749,952 shares of our Class D Common Stock which are currently convertible into 8,749,952 shares of our Class A Common Stock. As of March 31, 2007, this represented 52.1% of our voting power on an as-converted, fully-diluted basis. As of December 1, 2006, we qualified as a "controlled company" under the listing rules of the American Stock Exchange ("AMEX"). Two of our directors, Paul H. Cascio and Michael J. Finn, are affiliated with Brantley IV and its related entities. Messrs. Cascio and Finn serve as general partners of the general partner of Brantley Venture Partners III, L.P. ("Brantley III") and Brantley IV and are limited partners in these funds. The advisor to Brantley III is Brantley Venture Management III, L.P. and the advisor to Brantley IV is Brantley Management IV, L.P.

Phoenix is a limited partner in Brantley IV and Brantley Partners V, L.P and has also co-invested with Brantley IV and its affiliates in a number of transactions. Prior to the closing of the Private Placement, Phoenix did not own, of record, any shares of our capital stock. As part of the Private Placement, Phoenix received (i) 15,909,003 shares of Class D Common Stock, representing upon conversion 15,909,003, or 11.7%, of our outstanding Class A Common Stock as of March 31, 2007, on an as-converted, fully-diluted basis taking into account the issuance of the shares of Class D Common Stock and (ii) warrants to purchase 1,421,629 shares of our Class A Common Stock representing 1.0% of the voting power as of March 31, 2007 on an as-converted, fully-diluted basis.

Financial Overview

As more fully described below, our results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2007 as compared to the same period in 2006 reflect several important factors, many related to the impact of the transactions which occurred as part of our strategic plan referred to above.

Changes in revenues, resulting from the reclassification of some of IPS's operations into discontinued operations as well as the inclusion of revenues for Rand and On Line in the first quarter of 2007 as

- compared to no revenue in the same period in 2006;
- o Inclusion of legal expenses in the first quarter of 2007 related to IPS's discontinued operations; and
- o Inclusion of expenses for Rand and On Line for the three months ended March 31, 2007 as compared to no expenses in the first quarter of 2006.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements is in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and footnotes. Our management bases these estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments that are not readily apparent from other sources. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Changes in the facts or circumstances underlying these estimates could result in material changes and actual results could differ from these estimates. We believe the following critical accounting policies affect the most significant areas involving management's judgments and estimates. In addition, please refer to Note 1, General, of our unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements included beginning on Page F-1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for further discussion of our accounting policies.

6

Consolidation of Physician Practice Management Companies. In March 1998, the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued its Consensus on Issue 97-2 ("EITF 97-2"). EITF 97-2 addresses the ability of physician practice management ("PPM") companies to consolidate the results of medical groups with which it has an existing contractual relationship. Specifically, EITF 97-2 provides guidance for consolidation where PPM companies can establish a controlling financial interest in a physician practice through contractual management arrangements. A controlling financial interest exists, if, for a requisite period of time, the PPM has "control" over the physician practice and has a "financial interest" that meets six specific requirements. The six requirements for a controlling financial interest include:

- (a) the contractual arrangement between the PPM and physician practice (1) has a term that is either the entire remaining legal life of the physician practice or a period of 10 years or more, and (2) is not terminable by the physician practice except in the case of gross negligence, fraud, or other illegal acts by the PPM or bankruptcy of the PPM;
- (b) the PPM has exclusive authority over all decision making related to (1) ongoing, major, or central operations of the physician practice, except the dispensing of medical services, and (2) total practice compensation of the licensed medical professionals as well as the ability to establish and implement guidelines for the selection, hiring, and firing of them;
- (c) the PPM must have a significant financial interest in the physician practice that (1) is unilaterally saleable or transferable by the PPM and (2) provides the PPM with the right to receive income, both as ongoing fees and as proceeds from the sale of its interest in the physician practice, in an amount that fluctuates based upon the

performance of the operations of the physician practice and the change in fair value thereof.

IPS is a PPM company. IPS's MSAs governing the contractual relationship with its affiliated medical groups are for forty year terms; are not terminable by the physician practice other than for bankruptcy or fraud; provide IPS with decision making authority other than related to the practice of medicine; provide for employment and non-compete agreements with the physicians governing compensation; provide IPS the right to assign, transfer or sell its interest in the physician practice and assign the rights of the MSAs; provide IPS with the right to receive a management fee based on results of operations and the right to the proceeds from a sale of the practice to an outside party or, at the end of the MSA term, to the physician group. Based on this analysis, IPS has determined that its contracts meet the criteria of EITF 97-2 for consolidating the results of operations of the affiliated medical groups and has adopted EITF 97-2 in its statement of operations. EITF 97-2 also has addressed the accounting method for future combinations with individual physician practices. IPS believes that, based on the criteria set forth in EITF 97-2, any future acquisitions of individual physician practices would be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting.

Revenue Recognition. MBS, Rand and OLA's principal source of revenues is fees charged to clients based on a percentage of net collections of the client's accounts receivable. They recognize revenue and bill their clients when the clients receive payment on those accounts receivable. Our RCM businesses typically receive payment from the client within 30 days of billing. The fees vary depending on specialty, size of practice, payer mix, and complexity of the billing. In addition to the collection fee revenue, MBS, Rand and OLA also earn fees from the various consulting services that they provide, including medical practice management services, managed care contracting, coding and reimbursement services and transcription services. OLP earns revenue based on a contracted rate per transaction and recognizes revenue when the service is provided.

IPS records revenue based on patient services provided by its affiliated medical groups. Net patient service revenue is impacted by billing rates, changes in current procedural terminology code reimbursement and collection trends. IPS reviews billing rates at each of its affiliated medical groups on at least an annual basis and adjusts those rates based on each insurer's current reimbursement practices. Amounts collected by IPS for treatment by its affiliated medical groups of patients covered by Medicare, Medicaid and other contractual reimbursement programs, which may be based on cost of services provided or predetermined rates, are generally less than the established billing rates of IPS's affiliated medical groups. IPS estimates the amount of these contractual allowances and records a reserve against accounts receivable based on historical collection percentages for each of the affiliated medical groups, which include various payer categories. When payments are received, the contractual adjustment is written off against the established reserve for contractual allowances. The historical collection percentages are adjusted quarterly based on actual payments received, with any differences charged against net revenue for the quarter. Additionally, IPS tracks cash collection percentages for each medical group on a monthly basis, setting quarterly and annual goals for cash collections, bad debt write-offs and aging of accounts receivable. IPS is not aware of any material claims, disputes or unsettled matters with third party payers and there have been no material settlements with third party payers for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006.

7

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. MBS, Rand and On Line record uncollectible accounts receivable using the direct write-off

method of accounting for bad debts. Historically, they have experienced minimal credit losses and have not written-off any material accounts during 2007 or 2006.

IPS's affiliated medical groups grant credit without collateral to its patients, most of which are insured under third-party payer arrangements. The provision for bad debts that relates to patient service revenues is based on an evaluation of potentially uncollectible accounts. The provision for bad debts includes a reserve for 100% of the accounts receivable older than 180 days. Establishing an allowance for bad debt is subjective in nature. IPS uses historical collection percentages to determine the estimated allowance for bad debts, and adjusts the percentage on a quarterly basis.

Investment in Limited Partnerships. At December 31, 2005, we owned a 10% general partnership interest in San Jacinto Surgery Center, Ltd. ("San Jacinto"). The investment was accounted for using the equity method. Under the equity method, the investment is initially recorded at cost and is subsequently increased to reflect our share of the income of the investee and reduced to reflect the share of the losses of the investee or distributions from the investee. Effective March 1, 2006, we sold our interest in San Jacinto. (See "Results of Operations - Discontinued Operations" for additional discussion regarding the sale of San Jacinto.)

The general partnership interest was accounted for as an investment in limited partnership due to the interpretation of SFAS 94/Accounting Research Bulletin ("ARB") 51 and the interpretations of such by Issue 96-16 and Statement of Position "SOP" 78-9. Under those interpretations, the Company could not consolidate its interest in an entity in which it held a minority general partnership interest due to management restrictions, shared operating decision-making, and capital expenditure and debt approval by limited partners and the general form versus substance analysis.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Goodwill and intangible assets represent the excess of cost over the fair value of net assets of companies acquired in business combinations accounted for using the purchase method. In July 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations," and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." SFAS No. 141 eliminates pooling-of-interest accounting and requires that all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001, be accounted for using the purchase method. SFAS No. 142 eliminates the amortization of goodwill and certain other intangible assets and requires us to evaluate goodwill for impairment on an annual basis by applying a fair value test. SFAS No. 142 also requires that an identifiable intangible asset that is determined to have an indefinite useful economic life not be amortized, but separately tested for impairment using a fair value-based approach at least annually. We evaluate our goodwill and other intangible assets in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year, unless circumstances require testing at other times. (See "Results of Operations -- Discontinued Operations" for additional discussion regarding the impairment testing of identifiable intangible assets.)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements When Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements," ("SAB 108") which provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The adoption of SAB 108 was not material to our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value

Measurements," ("SFAS 157") which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is encouraged provided that the reporting entity has not yet issued financial statements for that fiscal year, including financial statements for an interim period within that fiscal year. We do not expect the impact of SFAS 157 to be material to our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Financial Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes," ("FIN 48") which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." FIN 48 provides that a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position may be recognized when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, based on the technical merits. This interpretation also provides guidance on measurement, de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007 and analyzed filing positions in our federal and state jurisdictions where we are required to file income tax returns, as well as for all open tax years in these jurisdictions. Our reserve for uncertain tax positions was insignificant upon adoption of FIN 48 and we did not record a cumulative effect adjustment to opening retained earnings related to the adoption of FIN 48. We believe our income tax filing positions and deductions will be sustained under audit and we believe we do not have significant uncertain tax positions that, in the event of adjustment, will result in a material effect on our results of operations or financial position.

8

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections" ("SFAS 154"). SFAS 154 replaces Auditing Practices Board ("APB") Opinion No. 20, "Accounting Changes" ("APB 20") and SFAS No. 3, "Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements," and changes the requirements for the accounting and reporting of a change in accounting principle. SFAS 154 applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle as well as to changes required by an accounting pronouncement that does not include specific transition provisions. Previously, most changes in accounting principles were required to be recognized by way of including the cumulative effect of the changes in accounting principle in the income statement of the period of change. SFAS 154 requires that such changes in accounting principle be retrospectively applied as of the beginning of the first period presented as if that accounting principle had always been used, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. However, SFAS 154 does not change the transition provisions of any existing accounting pronouncements. The adoption of SFAS 154 was not material to our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB published SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123(R)"). SFAS 123(R) requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions, including grants of employee stock options, be recognized in the financial statements. That cost will be measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. SFAS 123(R) covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including stock options, restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights, and employee share purchase plans. SFAS 123(R) is a replacement of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and

supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and its related interpretive guidance ("APB 25").

The effect of SFAS 123(R) was to require entities to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for stock options based on the grant-date fair value of the award, and to recognize the cost over the period the employee is required to provide services for the award. SFAS 123(R) permits entities to use any option-pricing model that meets the fair value objective in SFAS 123(R). We adopted the provisions of SFAS 123(R) for the quarter ending March 31, 2006.

SFAS 123(R) allows two methods for determining the effects of the transition: the modified prospective transition method and the modified retrospective method of transition. We adopted the modified prospective transition method beginning in 2006.

Results of Operations

The acquisitions of Rand and On Line were accounted for using the purchase accounting method, meaning that the purchase price, comprised of the consideration paid to the stockholders of Rand and On Line at closing, the fair value of the liabilities assumed and the transaction costs associated with the acquisitions, was allocated to the fair value of the tangible and identifiable intangible assets of Rand and On Line, with any excess being considered goodwill. Our results for the three months ended March 31, 2007 include the results of MBS, Rand, On Line and IPS. Our results for the three months ended March 31, 2006 include the results of MBS and IPS. We did not acquire Rand and On Line until December 1, 2006.

Pursuant to paragraph 43 of SFAS 144, which states that, in a period in which a component of an entity either has been disposed of is classified as held for sale, the income statement of a business enterprise for current and prior periods shall report the results of operations of the component, including any gain or loss recognized, in discontinued operations. As such, our financial results for the three months ended March 31, 2006 have been reclassified to reflect the operations, including IPS operations, discontinued in 2006 and our surgery and diagnostic center businesses, which were discontinued in 2005.

This discussion should be read in conjunction with our unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements and related notes thereto, which are included as a separate section of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB beginning on page F-1.

The following table sets forth selected statements of operations data expressed as a percentage of our net operating revenues for the quarters ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Our historical results and period-to-period comparisons are not necessarily indicative of the results for any future period.

9

Net operating revenues Total operating expenses

Loss from continuing operations before other income (expenses) Total other income (expenses), net

Income (loss) from continuing operations

Discontinued operations
Income from operations of discontinued components

Net income (loss)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 - Continuing Operations

Net Operating Revenues.

RCM Segment PM Segment Other

Total consolidated net operating revenues

Our net operating revenues consist of patient service revenue, net of contractual adjustments, related to the operations of IPS's affiliated medical groups, billing services revenue related to MBS, Rand and On Line, and other revenue. Our results for the three months ended March 31, 2007 include the results of MBS, Rand, On Line and IPS. Our results for the three months ended March 31, 2006 include the results of MBS and IPS. We did not acquire Rand and On Line until December 1, 2006.

For the three months ended March 31, 2007, consolidated net operating revenues increased \$2,675,758, or 47.7%, to \$8,284,780, as compared with \$5,609,022 for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

Net operating revenues for our RCM segment, which included MBS, Rand and On Line in the first quarter of 2007 and MBS in the first quarter of 2006, totaled \$4,744,553 for the three months ended March 31, 2007, an increase of \$2,350,263, or 98.2\$, over the same period in 2006. Net operating revenues for Rand and On Line totaled \$1,605,619 and \$622,270, respectively, in the first quarter of 2007. MBS's net operating revenues increased 5.1\$ in the first quarter of 2007, increasing from \$2,394,290 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 to \$2,516,664 for the same period in 2007.

The following table illustrates, by customer category, the contribution of existing, new and lost customers to MBS's net operating revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively:

Three mo

MBS net operating revenues:
Existing customers
New customers in 2006
Customers lost in 2006
Customers lost in 2007

2,244 4,058 -----\$2,516,664

\$2,266,883

243,479

Total consolidated net operating revenues

Net operating revenues for our PM segment, which consists of net patient service revenue from IPS's affiliated medical groups, increased \$290,010, or 9.2%, from \$3,135,283 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 to \$3,425,293 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. IPS's clinic-based affiliated pediatric groups experienced increases in patient volume in the first quarter of 2007, with total procedures and immunizations increasing 7,111 and 3,018, respectively, to 87,573 and 15,388 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. One medical practice relocated a satellite location in 2006, which has resulted in higher than average patient volume and immunizations.

1.0

Other revenue totaled \$79,449 for the first quarter of 2006, increasing \$35,485, or 44.7%, to \$114,934 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. This represents revenue from our vaccine program, which is a group purchasing alliance for vaccines and medical supplies. The vaccine program, which had 493 enrolled participants at the end of 2006, added a net of approximately 14 members during the quarter ended March 31, 2007.

Operating Expenses.

Salaries and benefits
Physician group distribution
Facility rent and related costs
Depreciation and amortization
Professional and consulting fees
Insurance
Provision for doubtful accounts
Other

Total consolidated operating expenses

Our expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 include the results of MBS, Rand, On Line and IPS. Our expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2006 include the results of MBS and IPS. We did not acquire Rand and On Line until December 1, 2006.

Consolidated operating expenses totaled \$8,760,395 for the three months ended March 31, 2007, an increase of \$2,673,620 over the same period in 2006.

Salaries and Benefits. Consolidated salaries and benefits increased \$1,580,273 to \$4,095,497 for the three months ended March 31, 2007, as compared

to \$2,515,224 in the first quarter of 2006. Salaries and benefits for Rand and On Line totaled \$1,030,738 and \$360,323, respectively, for the three months ended March 31,2007.

MBS's salaries and benefits totaled \$1,602,622 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 as compared to \$1,451,043 for the same three months in 2006, an increase of \$151,580. Staffing levels increased quarter over quarter, with salaries increasing \$91,485 and temporary help increasing \$61,739 in the first quarter of 2007 as compared to the first quarter of 2006.

Clinical salaries and benefits include wages for the nurse practitioners, nursing staff and medical assistants employed by the affiliated medical groups and may fluctuate indirectly to increases and decreases in productivity and patient volume. Clinical salaries, bonuses, overtime and health insurance collectively totaled \$321,484 for the three months ended March 31, 2007, an increase of \$15,267 over the same period in 2006. These expenses represented approximately 9.4% and 9.8% of net operating revenues for the quarters ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Administrative salaries and benefits, excluding MBS, Rand and On Line, represent the employee-related costs of all non-clinical practice personnel at IPS's affiliated medical groups as well as our corporate staff in Roswell, Georgia. These expenses increased \$22,146, or 3.0%, from \$728,960 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 to \$751,106 for the same period in 2007. The additional expense can be attributed primarily to the \$44,885 increase in stock option compensation expense in the first quarter of 2007 as a result of options granted to employees and directors in December 2006.

Physician Group Distribution. Physician group distribution increased \$105,097, or 8.0%, for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$1,412,199, as compared with \$1,307,102 for the three months ended March 31, 2006. Pursuant to the terms of the MSAs governing each of IPS's affiliated medical groups, the physicians of each medical group receive disbursements after the payment of all clinic facility expenses as well as a management fee to IPS. The management fee revenue and expense, which is eliminated in the consolidation of our financial statements, is either a fixed fee or is calculated based on a percentage of net operating income. For the quarter ended March 31, 2007, management fee revenue totaled \$224,076 and represented approximately 13.7% of net operating income as compared to management fee revenue totaling \$216,969 and representing approximately 14.2% of net operating income for the same period in 2006. Physician group distributions represented 41.2% of net operating revenues in the first quarter of 2007, compared to 41.7% of net operating revenues for the same period in 2006. The increase in physician group distribution for the three months ended March 31, 2007 was directly related to the increase in IPS's net patient service revenue, which was primarily the result of increased patient volume during the first quarter.

11

Facility Rent and Related Costs. Facility rent and related costs increased \$122,399, or 35.9%, from \$340,564 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 to \$462,963 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. Rent and related expenses for Rand and On Line totaled \$67,433 and \$30,955, respectively, for the first quarter of 2007.

MBS's facility rent and related costs totaled \$146,688 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 as compared to \$129,453 for the same period in 2006. This increase can be explained generally by increases in base rent at all of MBS's operating locations.

Facility rent and related costs associated with IPS's affiliated

medical groups and Orion's corporate office totaled \$217,887 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$211,111 for the same period in 2006.

Depreciation and Amortization. Consolidated depreciation and amortization expense totaled \$708,745 for the three months ended March 31, 2007, an increase of \$304,100 over the three months ended March 31, 2006.

In the first quarter of 2007, depreciation expense related to our fixed assets totaled \$74,987 as compared to \$52,911 for the same period in 2006. Following is a table that illustrates, by business unit, the depreciation expense for our fixed assets for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

Depreciation expense:

Rand On Line MBS IPS

Orion

Total consolidated depreciation expense

Amortization expense related to our intangible assets totaled \$633,758 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 as compared to \$351,734 for the same period in 2006. Following is a table that illustrates, by business unit, the amortization expense related to our intangible assets in the first quarter of 2007 and 2006:

Amortization expense:

Rand On Line MBS IPS Orion

Total consolidated depreciation expense

Rand. Effective December 1, 2006, we purchased Rand for a combination of cash, notes and stock. Since the consideration for this purchase transaction exceeded the fair value of the net assets of Rand at the time of the purchase, a portion of the purchase price was allocated to intangible assets and goodwill. The amortization expense related to the intangible assets recorded as a result of the acquisition of Rand totaled \$131,914 for the three months ended March 31, 2007.

On Line. Effective December 1, 2006, we purchased On Line for a combination of cash and notes. Since the consideration for this purchase transaction exceeded the fair value of the net assets of On Line at the time of the purchase, a portion of the purchase price was allocated to intangible assets

Three m
2007
----\$ 12,
10,
17,
16,

18,

\$ 74,

Three mc

131,9 92,4 265,5 40,7

> 103,1 -----633,7

and goodwill. The amortization expense related to the intangible assets recorded as a result of the acquisition of On Line totaled \$92,444 for the three months ended March 31, 2007.

MBS. As part of the DCPS/MBS Merger, we purchased MBS and DCPS for a combination of cash, notes and stock. Since the consideration for this purchase transaction exceeded the fair value of the net assets of MBS and DCPS at the time of the purchase, a portion of the purchase price was allocated to intangible assets. The amortization expense related to the intangible assets recorded as a result of the DCPS/MBS Merger totaled \$265,523 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

IPS. Amortization expense related to the MSAs for IPS's affiliated medical groups totaled \$40,700 and \$86,211 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The decrease is directly related to IPS operations discontinued in 2006, which resulted in the impairment of the intangible assets related to those operations at December 31, 2006.

12

Orion. There were significant costs associated with the acquisitions of Rand and On Line as well as the Private Placement, the Credit Agreement and the restructured USBPS loan.

Those costs that were specifically related to a particular component of the transactions were allocated directly to that component. In cases where it was not possible to specifically allocate certain costs to each identifiable component, we allocated the costs on a pro-rata basis based on each component's transaction value relative to the value of all of the transactions in the aggregate. With respect to the costs that we determined to be allocable to the equity portion of the Private Placement, we determined that, since the proceeds from the Private Placement were used to acquire Rand and On Line, those costs were to be further allocated to Rand and On Line on a pro-rata basis based on each company's acquisition consideration relative to the total aggregate acquisition consideration.

Amortization expense related to the transaction costs described above totaled \$103,177\$ for the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Professional and Consulting Fees. For the three months ended March 31, 2007, professional and consulting fees totaled \$353,031, an increase of \$31,063, or 9.6%, over the same period in 2006. Professional and consulting fees for Rand and On Line totaled \$10,822 and \$43,205 in the first quarter of 2007.

For the three months ended March 31, 2007, MBS recorded professional and consulting expenses totaling \$34,605 as compared with \$40,855 for the same period in 2006, a decrease of \$6,250. This change is primarily the result of a decrease in contract labor used in early 2006 as a result of staffing shortages.

IPS's and Orion's professional and consulting fees, which include the costs of corporate accounting, financial reporting and compliance, and legal fees, decreased from \$281,113 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 to \$264,398 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. Legal fees related to the Dayton ICS and PSNW matters totaled approximately \$77,000. However, those expenses were more than offset by reduced consulting fees and purchased services during the quarter.

Insurance. Consolidated insurance expense, which includes the costs of professional liability insurance for affiliated physicians, property and casualty insurance and general liability insurance and directors and officers' liability insurance, decreased from \$127,194 for the three months ended March

31, 2006 to \$125,204 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. Slight increases in professional liability insurance and worker's compensation insurance at IPS were more than offset by a \$10,000 credit on the general liability policy at the corporate office.

Provision for Doubtful Accounts. The consolidated provision for doubtful accounts, or bad debt expense, decreased \$1,601, or 2.6%, for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$60,522. The entire provision for doubtful accounts for the three months ended March 31, 2007 related to IPS's affiliated medical groups and accounted for 1.8% of IPS's net operating revenues as compared to 2.0% of IPS's net operating revenues for the same period in 2006. The total collection rate, after contractual allowances, for IPS's affiliated medical groups was 69.4% in the first quarter of 2007, compared to 66.3% for the same period in 2006.

Other. Other expenses include general and administrative expenses such as office supplies, telephone and data communications, printing and postage, and board of directors' compensation and meeting expenses, as well as some direct clinical expenses, including vaccine costs, which are expenses that are directly related to the practice of medicine by the physicians that practice at the affiliated medical groups managed by IPS. Consolidated other expenses totaled \$1,542,235 for the three months ended March 31, 2007, an increase of \$534,280 over the same period in 2006.

Following is a table illustrating the composition of other expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

Other expenses:

Vaccine costs
Medical supplies
Other direct clinical expenses
Travel
Office supplies and printing
Telephone and data communications
Postage and courier
Board of directors' compensation and meeting expenses
Bank charges
Taxes and licenses
Other general and administrative expenses

13

Total consolidated other expenses

Other expenses for Rand and On Line totaled \$159,778 and \$100,911 for the first quarter of 2007. More than 60% of Rand and On Line's other expenses in the first three months of 2007 related to postage, courier and office supplies.

MBS's other expenses totaled \$286,698 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to \$307,139 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. The increase can be explained generally by an aggregate increase of approximately \$21,000 in postage and courier expenses in the first quarter of 2007 as compared to the same three-month period in 2006.

\$ 554

Three

69

47

152

336 14 54

\$ 1,542

For the three months ended March 31, 2007, IPS's direct clinical expenses, other than salaries and benefits, totaled \$663,471, an increase of \$193,903 over direct clinical expenses in the same period in 2006, which totaled \$459,568. Vaccine expenses increased approximately \$180,000 in the first quarter of 2007 when compared with the three months ended March 31, 2006. In addition to price increases for certain vaccines that took effect in late 2006, vaccine purchases increased in the first quarter of 2007 as a result of increased patient volume as well as the release of a new vaccine for adolescent females to prevent cervical cancer.

General and administrative expenses other than those incurred by our RCM segment totaled \$320,937 for the three months ended March 31, 2007, an increase of \$63,349 over the same period in 2006. Franchise taxes for Illinois increased approximately \$30,000 in the first quarter of 2007 as a result of changes in our corporate structure. Business promotion expenses totaled approximately \$12,000 in the first quarter of 2007 and related to printed marketing materials to be used at trade shows and in conjunction with other corporate presentations.

Other Income (Expenses).

Interest expense
Gain on forgiveness of debt
Other expense, net

Total other income (expenses), net

Other expenses, net, totaled \$340,071 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 as compared with total other income, net, of \$546,053 for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

Interest Expense. Consolidated interest expense totaled \$331,217 for the three months ended March 31, 2007, an increase of \$187,339 over the same period in 2006. Interest expense activity in the first quarter of 2007, including increases over 2006, can be explained generally by the following:

- o MBS Notes. On April 19, 2006, we executed subordinated promissory notes with the former equity owners of MBS and DCPS for an aggregate of \$714,336. These notes, in addition to the \$1,000,000 in notes payable issued as a result of the DCPS/MBS Merger, represented the retroactive purchase price increase owed to the former equity owners of MBS and DCPS based on the financial results of the newly formed MBS, as required by the merger agreement governing the DCPS/MBS Merger. On December 1, 2006, we executed the DCPS/MBS Notes, which extended the maturity of the amounts outstanding to the former equity owners of MBS and DCPS from December 15, 2007 to a quarterly principal payment amortization schedule that begins on December 15, 2007 and extends to December 15, 2008, and increased the annual interest rate from 8% to 9%. Interest expense related to these notes totaled approximately \$38,500 and \$20,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.
- O Loan Facilities with Wells Fargo. On December 1, 2006, we entered into the Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo, which provides for a four year

Three 200

\$ (331

(8

\$ (340

\$ (340 =====

\$16.5 million senior secured credit facility consisting of a \$2 million revolving loan commitment, a \$4.5 million term loan and a \$10 million acquisition facility commitment. (See Part I, Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation - Company History and Recent Developments.) As of March 31, 2007, we had amounts outstanding under the revolving loan commitment and term loan of \$1,612,751 and \$4,421,250, respectively. Interest expense related to these loan facilities totaled approximately \$144,000 in the first quarter of 2007.

Phoenix Subordinated Debt. On December 1, 2006 we closed the Private Placement with Phoenix and Brantley IV. (See Part I, Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation - Company History and Recent Developments.) As part of the Private Placement, we issued a senior unsecured subordinated promissory note to Phoenix in the amount of \$3.35 million, bearing interest at the combined rate of (i) 12% per annum payable in cash on a quarterly basis and (ii) 2% per annum payable in kind (meaning that the accrued interest will be capitalized as principal) on a quarterly basis, subject to our right to pay such amount in cash. We accrued interest expense of approximately \$117,000 on this note in the first quarter of 2007, in addition to \$13,000 in additional interest expense related to the amortization of the debt discount that was applied to the warrants issued in conjunction with the subordinated note to Phoenix.

14

- Brantley Debt. In March and April 2005, we borrowed an aggregate of \$1,250,000 from Brantley IV. We converted the Brantley IV Notes to Class A Common Stock on December 1, 2006. (See Part I, Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation Company History and Recent Developments.) Interest expense related to these notes totaled approximately \$18,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006.
- o CIT Line of Credit. In conjunction with the 2004 Mergers, we also entered into a new secured two-year revolving credit facility with CIT. On December 1, 2006, in conjunction with the new loan facilities under the Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo, we paid CIT a total of \$1,027,321, which represented full payment of all obligations under the loan and security agreement with CIT, plus expenses. We no longer have any amounts due to CIT. Interest expense related to the CIT credit facility totaled approximately \$63,000 in the first quarter of 2006

Gain on Forgiveness of Debt. On August 25, 2003, our lender, DVI, announced that it was seeking protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy laws. IPS and SurgiCare also had loans outstanding to DVI in the form of term loans and revolving lines of credit. As part of the IPS Merger, we negotiated a discount on the term loans revolving lines of credit and, as part of that agreement we executed a new loan agreement with USBPS, as Servicer for payees, for payment of the revolving lines of credit and renegotiation of the term loans. In the first quarter of 2006, we negotiated an 85% discount on the revolving line of credit, which had a balance of \$778,000 at December 31, 2005. As of March 13, 2006, we had made aggregate payments in the amount of \$112,500 in satisfaction of the \$778,000 debt, and recognized a gain on forgiveness of debt totaling \$665,463 in the first quarter of 2006. Immediately prior to December 1, 2006, there was \$3,750,000 outstanding under term loan obligation. On December 1, 2006, we entered into a Restructured Loan Agreement with USBPS, as Servicer, which provides for the outstanding amount to be reduced to \$2,750,000 and for monthly principal payments, totaling, in the aggregate,

\$570,000, until October 1, 2013, when the remaining amount becomes due. We recognized a gain on forgiveness of debt totaling \$340,701 in the fourth quarter of 2006 with respect to the Restructured Loan Agreement.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 - Discontinued Operations

Memorial Village. As a result of the uncertainty of future cash flows related to our surgery center business, we determined that the joint venture interest associated with Memorial Village was impaired and recorded a charge for impairment of intangible assets related to Memorial Village of \$3,229,462 for the three months ended June 30, 2005. In November 2005, we decided that, as a result of ongoing losses at Memorial Village, we would need to either find a buyer for our equity interests in Memorial Village or close the facility. In preparation for this pending transaction, we tested the identifiable intangible assets and goodwill related to the surgery center business using the present value of cash flows method. As a result of the decision to sell or close Memorial Village, as well as the uncertainty of cash flows related to our surgery center business, we recorded an additional charge for impairment of intangible assets of \$1,348,085 for the three months ended September 30, 2005. On February 8, 2006, Memorial Village executed an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Memorial Agreement") for the sale of substantially all of its assets to First Surgical. Memorial Village was approximately 49% owned by Town & Country SurgiCare, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Orion. The Memorial Agreement was deemed to be effective as of January 31, 2006. As a result of this transaction, we recorded a gain on the disposal of this discontinued component (in addition to the charge for impairment of intangible assets) of \$574,321 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. We allocated the goodwill recorded as part of the IPS Merger to each of the surgery center reporting units and recorded a loss on the write-down of goodwill related to Memorial Village totaling \$2,005,383 for the quarter ended December 31, 2005. There were no operations for this component in our financial statements after March 31, 2006.

San Jacinto. On March 1, 2006, San Jacinto executed an Asset Purchase Agreement for the sale of substantially all of its assets to Methodist. San Jacinto was approximately 10% owned by Baytown SurgiCare, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Orion, and was not consolidated in our financial statements. As a result of this transaction, we recorded a gain on disposal of this discontinued operation of \$94,066 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. As a result of the uncertainty of future cash flows related to the surgery center business, we determined that the joint venture interest associated with San Jacinto was impaired and recorded a charge for impairment of intangible assets related to San Jacinto of \$734,522 for the three months ended June 30, 2005. We also recorded an additional \$2,113,262 charge for impairment of intangible assets for the three months ended September 30, 2005 related to the management contracts with San Jacinto. We allocated the goodwill recorded as part of the IPS Merger to each of the surgery center reporting units and recorded a loss on the write-down of goodwill related to San Jacinto totaling \$694,499 for the quarter ended December 31, 2005. There were no operations for this component in our financial statements after March 31, 2006.

15

Dayton ICS. IPS is party to a management services agreement ("the Dayton MSA") with Dayton ICS. The sole remaining shareholder of Dayton ICS has notified both IPS and the hospitals at which Dayton ICS has contracts that he intends to dissolve Dayton ICS, cease practicing at the hospitals and cease utilizing the services of IPS. IPS believes that the unilateral decision to dissolve Dayton ICS and terminate the business of Dayton ICS breaches the Dayton MSA and violates duties owed by Dayton ICS to IPS as a creditor of Dayton ICS. As a result of the pending litigation and the uncertainty of the outcome, the operations of Dayton ICS are now reflected in our consolidated statements of

operations as `income from operations of discontinued components' for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Additionally, we recorded a charge for impairment of intangible assets of \$1,845,669 for Dayton ICS for the quarter ended December 31, 2006.

PSNW. IPS is party to a management services agreement ("the Illinois MSA") with PSNW. IPS and PSNW were in arbitration regarding claims relating to the Illinois MSA. In connection therewith, on February 9, 2007, IPS and PSNW entered into the PSNW Settlement to settle disputes that had arisen between IPS and PSNW and to avoid the risk and expense of further litigation. As part of the PSNW Settlement, PSNW and IPS agreed that PSNW would purchase the assets owned by IPS and used in connection with PSNW's practice, in exchange for a negotiated cash consideration and termination of the Illinois MSA. Additionally, among other provisions, after May 31, 2007, which is the anticipated closing date of the transaction contemplated by the PSNW Settlement, PSNW and IPS will be released from any further obligation to each other from any previous agreement. As a result of the PSNW Settlement, the operations of PSNW are now reflected in our consolidated statements of operations as `income from operations of discontinued components' for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and the assets and liabilities of PSNW are reflected as `assets held for sale' and `liabilities held for sale' on our consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2007. Additionally, we recorded a charge for impairment of intangible assets of \$1,249,080 for PSNW for the quarter ended December 31, 2006.

Orion. Prior to the divestiture of our ambulatory surgery center businesses, we recorded management fee revenue, which was eliminated in the consolidation of our financial statements, from our surgery centers. The management fee revenue for San Jacinto was not eliminated in consolidation. The management fee revenue associated with the discontinued operations in the surgery center business totaled \$60,070 for the three months ended December 31, 2006.

The following table contains selected financial information regarding our discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

Net operating revenues from discontinued operations Total expenses from discontinued operations

Income from discontinued operations
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations

Net income from discontinued operations

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash used in operating activities totaled \$598,145 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 as compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$486,329 for the three months ended March 31, 2006. Net cash used in operations increased in the first quarter of 2007 largely as a result of (i) increased interest expense in 2007 as a result of the Wells Fargo loan facilities and the subordinated debt with Phoenix: and (ii) increased legal expenses related to operations we discontinued at the end of 2006. The net

Three 200

\$ 93 (90 -----

\$ 3

impact of discontinued operations on net cash used by operating activities in the first quarter of 2007 was \$92,096.

For the three months ended March 31, 2007, net cash used in investing activities totaled \$39,450 compared to \$461,327 in net cash provided by investing activities for the same period in 2006. The net impact of discontinued operations on net cash provided by investing activities in the first quarter of 2006 related to the transactions involving the sale of Memorial Village and San Jacinto.

Net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$242,842 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 as compared to \$748,913 in cash used by financing activities for the same period in 2006. The change in cash sources and uses related to financing activities from the first quarter of 2006 to the first quarter of 2007 can be explained generally by the following:

- o We borrowed an aggregate of approximately \$430,000 from Wells Fargo in the first quarter of 2007 under the revolving loan commitment pursuant to the Credit Agreement;
- o We made aggregate principal payment of \$52,500 to Wells Fargo in the first quarter of 2007 pursuant to the amortization of our term loan commitment;
- o We repaid an aggregate of \$75,000 to the former shareholder of On Line as repayment for one of the notes issued on December 1, 2006 as consideration for our acquisition of On Line;

16

- o We repaid approximately \$200,000 in satisfaction of a working capital note from the sellers of MBS in the first quarter of 2006; and
- We made aggregate payments in the amount of \$112,500 in the first quarter of 2006 in satisfaction of a \$778,000 debt, and recognized a gain on forgiveness of debt totaling \$665,463.

We have financed our growth and operations primarily through the issuance of equity securities, secured and/or convertible debt, most recently by completing a series of transactions, including the Private Placement, which occurred in December 2006 and is described under the caption "Company History and Recent Developments." As a condition to the Private Placement, on December 1, 2006, we refinanced our existing loan facility with CIT into a four year \$16,500,000 senior secured credit facility with Wells Fargo consisting of a \$2,000,000 revolving loan commitment, a \$4,500,000 term loan and a \$10,000,000 acquisition facility commitment. Amounts borrowed under this facility are secured by substantially all of our assets and a pledge of the capital stock of our operating subsidiaries. Under the terms of the Credit Agreement relating to this facility, amounts borrowed bear interest at either a fluctuating rate based on the prime rate or LIBOR rate, at our election. Currently, our interest rate on the revolving loan commitment and the term loan is the prime rate plus 1.75%. In addition to refinancing our existing loan facility, a portion of the proceeds from this facility were used to fund our acquisitions of Rand and On Line and to finance our ongoing working capital, capital expenditure and general corporate needs. Upon repayment of the CIT loan facility, two of our stockholders, Brantley IV and Brantley Capital were released from guarantees that they had provided on our behalf in connection with the loan facility.

The Credit Agreement contains certain financial covenants that require us to maintain minimum levels of trailing twelve month earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA"), a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, a maximum senior debt leverage ratio and a limitation on annual capital expenditures and other customary terms and conditions. As of March 31, 2007, we were in compliance with all of the financial covenants under the Credit

Agreement.

As of March 31, 2007, our revolving loan commitment with Wells Fargo had limited availability to provide for working capital shortages. Although we believe we will generate cash flows from operations in the future, there is no quarantee that we will be able to fund our operations solely from our cash flows. In 2005, we initiated a strategic plan designed to accelerate our growth and enhance our future earnings potential. The plan focuses on our strengths, which include providing billing, collections and complementary business management services to physician practices. As part of this plan, we completed a series of transactions involving the divestiture of non-strategic assets in 2005 and early 2006. In addition, we redirected financial resources and company personnel to areas that management believed would enhance long-term growth potential. A key component of our long-term strategic plan is the identification of potential acquisition targets that will increase our presence in the markets we serve and enhance stockholder value. On December 1, 2006 we completed the acquisition of Rand and On Line. (See "Company History and Recent Developments.") In addition to Rand and On Line, we have identified other potential acquisition opportunities to expand our business that are consistent with our strategic plan. We have a \$10 million acquisition facility commitment under the Credit Agreement that will enable us to finance some or all of the cash consideration for future acquisitions based on a formula tied to our pro forma trailing twelve month EBITDA, including the EBITDA of the potential acquisition target.

We intend to continue to manage our use of cash. However, our business is still faced with many challenges. If cash flows from operations and borrowings are not sufficient to fund our cash requirements, we may be required to further reduce our operations and/or seek additional public or private equity financing or financing from other sources or consider other strategic alternatives, including possible additional divestitures of specific assets or lines of business. There can be no assurances that additional financing or strategic alternatives will be available, or that, if available, the financing or strategic alternatives will be obtainable on terms acceptable to us or that any additional financing would not be substantially dilutive to our existing stockholders.

ITEM 3. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We maintain a set of disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported accurately and within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. As of the end of the period covered by this report, we evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officer, the design and effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(c) of the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information related to us (including our consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in periodic filings.

Changes in Internal Controls. During the most recent fiscal quarter, there have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On April 23, 2007, one of our shareholders exercised its warrant for 4,545 shares of our Class A Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.01 per share. We issued these shares of our Class A Common Stock in reliance on an exception from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act based on the shareholder's representations, the absence of a general solicitation and other criteria needed to satisfy Section 4(2).

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following documents are filed as exhibits to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-B. Since our incorporation, we have operated under various names including: Technical Coatings, Inc., SurgiCare, Inc. and Orion HealthCorp, Inc. Exhibits listed below refer to these names collectively as "the Company."

18

Exhibit No.	Description
24.1	Power of Attorney (See Signatures on page 20)
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification
32.1	Section 1350 Certification
32.2	Section 1350 Certification

19

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or $15\,(d)$ of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ORION HEALTHCORP, INC.

By: /s/ Terrence L. Bauer

Dated: May 14, 2007 Terrence L. Bauer

President, Chief Executive Officer and

Director

(Duly Authorized Representative)

20

ORION HEALTHCORP, INC.

INDEX TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2007 (unaudited) and December 31, 2006

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 and 200

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 and 200

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

F-1

Orion HealthCorp, Inc.
Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

Current assets Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable, net Inventory Prepaid expenses and other current assets Assets held for sale Total current assets Property and equipment, net Other long-term assets Intangible assets, excluding goodwill, net Goodwill Other assets, net Total other long-term assets Total assets Current liabilities Accounts payable and accrued expenses Current portion of capital lease obligations Current portion of long-term debt Current portion of long-term debt held by related parties Liabilities held for sale Total current liabilities Long-term liabilities Capital lease obligations, net of current portion Long-term debt, net of current portion Long-term debt, net of current portion, held by related parties Total long-term liabilities Commitments and contingencies Stockholders' equity

Preferred stock, par value \$0.001; 20,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and

Ма

(Un

13

1

23

\$ 29

\$ 6

2

11

outstanding Common Stock, Class A, par value \$0.001; 300,000,000 shares authorized at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively; 105,499,487 and 105,374,487 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively Common Stock, Class D, par value \$0.001; 50,000,000 shares authorized at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively; 24,658,955 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 Additional paid-in capital Accumulated deficit Treasury stock - at cost; 9,140 shares Total stockholders' equity \$ 29 Total liabilities and stockholders' equity ==== The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements. F-2Orion HealthCorp, Inc. Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations Net operating revenues Operating expenses Salaries and benefits Physician group distribution Facility rent and related costs Depreciation and amortization Professional and consulting fees Insurance Provision for doubtful accounts Other expenses Total operating expenses Loss from continuing operations before other income (expenses) Other income (expenses) Interest expense Gain on forgiveness of debt Other expense, net Total other income (expenses), net Income (loss) from continuing operations Discontinued operations

Income from operations of discontinued components

63

8

For

(U

(55

Net income (loss) Weighted average common shares outstanding ______ Basic 10 Diluted 10 Income (loss) per share Net income (loss) per share from continuing operations Net income per share from discontinued operations Net income (loss) per share === Diluted Net income (loss) per share from continuing operations \$ Net income per share from discontinued operations Net income (loss) per share The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements. F-3Orion HealthCorp, Inc. Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows For t (Una Operating activities Net income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: Provision for doubtful accounts Depreciation and amortization Gain on forgiveness of debt Stock option compensation expense Impact of discontinued operations Changes in operating assets and liabilities: Accounts receivable Inventory Prepaid expenses and other assets Other assets Accounts payable and accrued expenses Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities Investing activities Sale (purchase) of property and equipment Impact of discontinued operations Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities

Net borrowings (repayments) of capital lease obligations
Net borrowings (repayments) on line of credit
Net borrowings of senior notes payable
Net repayments to related parties
Net repayments of notes payable
Net borrowings (repayments) of other obligations
Impact of discontinued operations

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period

Cash and cash equivalents, end of period

Supplemental cash flow information
Cash paid during the period for
Income taxes
Interest

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Orion HealthCorp, Inc. Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements March 31, 2007 and 2006

Unless otherwise indicated, the terms "we," "us" and "our" refer to Orion HealthCorp, Inc. and its subsidiaries (formerly SurgiCare, Inc. "SurgiCare") ("Orion" or the "Company").

Note 1. General

Financing activities

We maintain our accounts on the accrual method of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). Accounting principles followed by us and the methods of applying those principles, which materially affect the determination of financial position, results of operations and cash flows are summarized below.

Our unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all of its majority-owned subsidiaries. Our results for the three months ended March 31, 2007 include the results of Medical Billing Services, Inc. ("MBS"), Rand Medical Billing, Inc. ("Rand"), On Line Alternatives, Inc. ("OLA"), On Line Payroll Services, Inc. ("OLP") (collectively with OLA, "On Line"), and Integrated Physician Solutions, Inc. ("IPS"). Our results for the three months ended March 31, 2006 include the results of MBS and IPS. We did not acquire Rand and On Line until December 1, 2006. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP for interim financial reporting and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-QSB and Item 310(b) of Regulation S-B. Accordingly, they do not contain all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements include adjustments consisting of

\$

only normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year.

Certain reclassifications have been made in the 2006 financial statements to conform to the reporting format in 2007. Such reclassifications had no effect on previously reported earnings. In addition, the first quarter 2006 financial statements were restated, to reflect operations discontinued subsequent to the first quarter of 2006.

The accompanying unaudited consolidating condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes therein included in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Description of Business

We are a healthcare services organization providing outsourced business services to physicians, serving the physician market through two operating segments - Revenue Cycle Management and Practice Management - via our operating subsidiaries: MBS, Rand, On Line, and IPS. Our mission is to provide superior billing, collections, practice, business and financial management services for physicians, resulting in optimal profitability for our clients and increased enterprise value for our stakeholders. We believe our core competency is our long-term experience and success in working with and creating value for physicians.

Orion was incorporated in Delaware on February 24, 1984 as Technical Coatings, Incorporated. On December 15, 2004, we completed a series of transactions to acquire IPS (the "IPS Merger") and to acquire Dennis Cain Physician Solutions, Ltd. ("DCPS") and MBS (the "DCPS/MBS Merger") (collectively, the "2004 Mergers"). As a result of these transactions, IPS and MBS became our wholly owned subsidiaries. On December 15, 2004, and simultaneous with the consummation of the 2004 Mergers, we changed our name from SurgiCare, Inc. to Orion HealthCorp, Inc. and consummated restructuring transactions, which included issuances of new equity securities for cash and contribution of outstanding debt, and the restructuring of our debt facilities. We also created Class B Common Stock and Class C Common Stock, which were issued in connection with the equity investments and acquisitions.

In 2005, we initiated a strategic plan designed to accelerate our growth and enhance our future earnings potential. The plan focuses on our strengths, which include providing billing, collections and complementary business management services to physician practices. As part of this plan, we completed a series of transactions involving the divestiture of non-strategic assets in 2005 and early 2006. In addition, we redirected financial resources and company personnel to areas that management believed would enhance long-term growth potential. A key component of our long-term strategic plan was the identification of potential acquisition targets that would increase our presence in the markets we serve and enhance stockholder value.

On December 1, 2006 we completed the acquisition of Rand and On Line. We acquired all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Rand for an aggregate purchase price of \$9,365,333, subject to adjustments conditioned upon future revenue results. The purchase price was paid through a combination of cash, the issuance of an unsecured subordinated promissory note and the issuance of shares of our Class A Common Stock. We acquired all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of both OLA and OLP for an aggregate purchase price of \$3,310,924, subject to adjustments conditioned upon future revenue results. The purchase price was paid through a combination of cash and the issuance of unsecured subordinated promissory notes. (See Note 2. Acquisitions and Private

Placement for additional information on the furtherance of our strategic plan in 2006.)

F-5

Revenue Cycle Management Segment ("RCM")

Our RCM segment includes three business units, MBS, Rand and On Line. We offer billing, collection, accounts receivable management, coding and reimbursement services, reimbursement analysis, practice consulting, managed care contract management and accounting and bookkeeping services, primarily to hospital-based physicians such as pathologists, anesthesiologists and radiologists, allowing them to avoid the infrastructure investment in their own back-office operations. In addition, we provide these services to other specialties including plastic surgery, family practice, internal medicine, orthopedics, neurologists, emergency medicine and ambulatory surgery centers. These services help clients to be financially successful by improving cash flows and reducing administrative costs and burdens. MBS currently provides services to approximately 54 clients, representing 310 providers. Rand currently provides services to approximately 58 clients, representing 171 providers. On Line currently provides services to approximately 13 billing clients, representing 32 providers, and 43 transcription clients and payroll processing services to 207 clients.

Billing and Collection Services. We offer billing and collection services to our clients. These include coding, reimbursement services, charge entry, claim submission, collection activities, and financial reporting services, including:

- o Current Procedural Terminology ("CPT") and International Classification of Diseases ("ICD-9") utilization reviews;
- o Charge ticket (superbill) evaluations;
- o Fee schedule analyses;
- o Reimbursement audits; and
- o Training seminars.
- o Patient refund processing

Managed Care Contract Management Services. We offer consulting services to assist clients with navigating and interacting with managed care organizations. Some of the managed care consulting services are:

- Establishing the actual ownership of the managed care organization and determining that the entity is financially sound;
- o Negotiating the type of reimbursement offered;
- o Assuring that there are no "withholds" beyond the discount agreed upon;
- o Determining patient responsibility for non-covered services, as well as co-pays and deductibles;
- o Tracking managed care payments to verify the correctness of the reimbursement rate;
- o Evaluating the appeals process in case of disputes concerning payment issues, utilization review, and medical necessity; and
- O Confirming the length of the contract, the renewal process, and the termination options.

Practice Consulting Services. We offer a wide range of management consulting services to medical practices. These management services help create a more efficient medical practice, providing assistance with the business aspects associated with operating a medical practice. Our management consulting services include the following:

- o Accounting and bookkeeping services;
- o Evaluation of staffing needs;
- o Provision of temporary staff services;
- o Quality assurance program development;
- o Physician credentialing assistance;
- o Fee schedule review, specific to locality;
- o Formulation of scheduling systems; and
- o Training and continuing education programs.
- o Payroll processing

Practice Management ("PM") Segment

IPS, a Delaware corporation, was founded in 1996 to provide physician practice management services to general and subspecialty pediatric practices. IPS commenced its business activities upon consummation of several medical group business combinations effective January 1, 1999.

F-6

IPS serves the general and subspecialty pediatric physician market, providing accounting and bookkeeping, human resource management, group purchasing, accounts receivable management, quality assurance services, physician credentialing, fee schedule review, training and continuing education and billing and reimbursement analysis. As of March 31, 2007, IPS managed eight practice sites, representing five medical groups in Illinois and Ohio. The physicians, who are all employed by separate corporations, provide all clinical and patient care related services.

There is a standard forty-year management service agreement ("MSA") between IPS and the various affiliated medical groups whereby a management fee is paid to IPS. IPS owns all of the assets used in the operation of the medical groups. IPS manages the day-to-day business operations of each medical group and provides the assets for the physicians to use in their practice for a fixed fee or percentage of the net operating income of the medical group. All revenues are collected by IPS, the fixed fee or percentage payment to IPS is taken from the net operating income of the medical group and the remainder of the net operating income of the medical group is paid to the physicians and treated as an expense on IPS's financial statements as "physician group distribution."

IPS is party to a management services agreement (the "Dayton MSA") with Dayton Infant Care Specialists, Corp. ("Dayton ICS"). The sole remaining shareholder of Dayton ICS has notified both IPS and the hospitals at which Dayton ICS has contracts that he intends to dissolve Dayton ICS, cease practicing at the hospitals and cease utilizing the services of IPS. IPS believes that the unilateral decision to dissolve Dayton ICS and terminate the business of Dayton ICS breaches the Dayton MSA and violates duties owed by Dayton ICS to IPS as a creditor of Dayton ICS. As a result of pending litigation and the uncertainty of the outcome, the operations of Dayton ICS are now reflected in our consolidated statements of operations as `income from operations of discontinued components' for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

IPS is party to a management services agreement (the "Illinois MSA") with Pediatric Specialists of the Northwest, M.D.S.C. ("PSNW"). IPS and PSNW were in arbitration regarding claims relating to the Illinois MSA. In connection therewith, on February 9, 2007, IPS and PSNW entered into a settlement agreement (the "PSNW Settlement") to settle disputes that had arisen between IPS and PSNW and to avoid the risk and expense of further litigation. As a result of the PSNW Settlement, the operations of PSNW are now reflected in our consolidated statements of operations as `income from operations of discontinued components' for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and the assets

and liabilities of PSNW are reflected as `assets held for sale' and `liabilities held for sale' on our consolidated condensed balance sheet at March 31, 2007.

Ambulatory Surgery Center Business

As of March 31, 2007, we no longer have ownership or management interests in surgery and diagnostic centers.

On January 12, 2006, we were notified by Union Hospital ("Union") that it was exercising its option to terminate the management services agreement for Tuscarawas Open MRI, L.P. ("TOM") as of March 12, 2006. In 2005, management fee revenue related to TOM was \$38,837.

On February 3, 2006, we were notified by Union that it was exercising its option to terminate the management services agreement for Tuscarawas Ambulatory Surgery Center, L.L.C. ("TASC") as of April 3, 2006. In 2005, management fee revenue related to TASC was \$95,846.

On February 8, 2006, Memorial Village executed an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Memorial Agreement") for the sale of substantially all of its assets to First Surgical Memorial Village, L.P. ("First Surgical"). Memorial Village was approximately 49% owned by Town & Country SurgiCare, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Orion. The Memorial Agreement was deemed to be effective as of January 31, 2006.

On March 1, 2006, San Jacinto Surgery Center, Ltd. ("San Jacinto"), executed an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "San Jacinto Agreement") for the sale of substantially all of its assets to San Jacinto Methodist Hospital ("Methodist"). San Jacinto was approximately 10% owned by Baytown SurgiCare, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Orion.

Note 2. Acquisitions and Private Placement

On December 1, 2006 we completed the acquisitions of Rand and On Line.

Rand. We acquired all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Rand for an aggregate purchase price of \$9,365,333, subject to adjustments conditioned upon future revenue results. The purchase price was paid through a combination of cash, the issuance of an unsecured subordinated promissory note and the issuance of shares of our Class A Common Stock. As of the closing of the Rand acquisition on December 1, 2006, \$7,200,000 of the purchase price was paid in cash, and both \$200,000 in cash and 3,314,917 shares of our Class A Common Stock (having a value of \$600,000 based on the average closing price per share of our Class A Common Stock for the twenty-day period prior to the closing of the Rand acquisition) were placed in escrow pending resolution of the purchase price adjustments and subject to claims, if any, for indemnification. The remainder of the purchase price was paid in a combination of cash and the issuance of an unsecured subordinated promissory note in the original principal amount of \$1,365,333.

F-7

The Rand stock purchase agreement includes contingent future payments (the "Rand Earn-out") to the seller based on post acquisition revenue targets for Rand in 2007 and 2008 of \$6,349,206 and \$9,600,000, respectively. The stock portion of the Rand Earn-out (3,314,917 shares) was placed into escrow at the closing of the acquisition, but the shares were considered issued and outstanding as of December 1, 2006. Therefore, the stock portion of the Rand Earn-out has been reflected in the purchase price we paid for Rand. The cash and promissory note portions of the contingent Rand Earn-out have not been reflected in the purchase price we paid for Rand on December 1, 2006, and were placed into

escrow at December 1, 2006. If the revenue targets are achieved, then the cash and shares held in escrow will be released to the seller and the full amount of the promissory note will be outstanding. If the revenue targets are not achieved, then all or a portion of the shares held in the escrow will be forfeited, all or a portion of the cash will be returned to us and/or the amount of the promissory note will be reduced. The contingent Rand Earn-out, if realized, will be accounted for at the time as an addition to (earn-out) or reduction in (reduction) the cost of the acquisition and goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets will be adjusted accordingly.

On Line. We acquired all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of On Line for an aggregate purchase price of \$3,310,924, subject to adjustments conditioned upon future revenue results. The purchase price was paid through a combination of cash and the issuance of unsecured subordinated promissory notes. As of the closing of the On Line acquisition on December 1, 2006, \$2,401,943 of the purchase price was paid in cash and the remainder through the issuance of unsecured promissory notes in the aggregate original principal amount of \$908,981. The On Line stock purchase agreement includes contingent future payments (the "On Line Earn-out") to the seller in the form of a promissory note and cash, and contingent return or adjustment of the promissory note based on a post acquisition revenue target for the twelve months after closing of \$2,500,259. The On Line Earn-out has not been reflected in the purchase price allocation. The On Line Earn-out, if realized, will be accounted for at the time as an addition to (earnout) or reduction in (reduction) the cost of the acquisition and goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets will be adjusted accordingly.

Private Placement. These acquisitions were financed in part through the proceeds of the Private Placement, which consisted of our issuance of (i) shares of a newly created class of our common stock, Class D Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share (the "Class D Common Stock"), which is convertible into our Class A Common Stock, to each of Phoenix Life Insurance Company ("Phoenix") and Brantley Partners IV, L.P. ("Brantley IV") for an aggregate purchase price of \$4,650,000 and (ii) senior unsecured subordinated promissory notes due 2011 in the original principal amount of \$3,350,000, bearing interest at an aggregate rate of 14% per annum, together with warrants to purchase shares of our Class A Common Stock, to Phoenix for an aggregate purchase price of \$3,350,000.

Our senior unsecured subordinated promissory notes bear interest at the combined rate of (i) 12% per annum payable in cash on a quarterly basis and (ii) 2% per annum payable in kind (meaning that the accrued interest will be capitalized as principal) on a quarterly basis, subject to our right to pay such amount in cash. The notes are unsecured and subordinated to all of our other senior debt. Upon the occurrence and during the continuance of an event of default the interest rate on the cash portion of the interest shall increase from 12% per annum to 14% per annum, for a combined rate of default interest of 16% per annum. We may prepay outstanding principal (together with accrued interest) on the note subject to certain prepayment penalties and we are required to prepay outstanding principal (together with accrued interest) on the note upon certain specified circumstances.

As of December 31, 2006, after giving effect to the acquisitions of Rand and On Line and the closing of the Private Placement, Brantley IV owned 62,437,789 shares of our Class A Common Stock, warrants to purchase 20,455 shares of our Class A Common Stock and 8,749,952 shares of our Class D Common Stock which are currently convertible into 8,749,952 shares of our Class A Common Stock. As of December 31, 2006, this represented 52.0% of our voting power on an as-converted, fully-diluted basis. Two of our directors, Paul H. Cascio and Michael J. Finn, are affiliated with Brantley IV and its related entities. Messrs. Cascio and Finn serve as general partners of the general partner of Brantley Venture Partners III, L.P. ("Brantley III") and Brantley IV and are limited partners in these funds. The advisor to Brantley III is Brantley

Venture Management III, L.P. and the advisor to Brantley IV is Brantley Management IV, L.P.

F-8

As a condition to the Private Placement, on December 1, 2006, we refinanced our existing loan facility with CIT Healthcare, LLC ("CIT") into a four year \$16,500,000 senior secured credit facility with Wells Fargo Foothill, Inc. ("Wells Fargo") consisting of a \$2,000,000 revolving loan commitment, a \$4,500,000 term loan and a \$10,000,000 acquisition facility commitment. Amounts borrowed under this facility are secured by substantially all of our assets and a pledge of the capital stock of our operating subsidiaries. Under the terms of the credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") relating to this facility, amounts borrowed bear interest at either a fluctuating rate based on the prime rate or LIBOR rate, at our election. Currently, our interest rate on the revolving loan commitment and the term loan is the prime rate plus 1.75%. In addition to refinancing our existing loan facility, a portion of the proceeds from this facility were used to fund our acquisitions of Rand and On Line and to finance our ongoing working capital, capital expenditure and general corporate needs. Upon repayment of the CIT loan facility, two of our stockholders, Brantley IV and Brantley Capital Corporation ("Brantley Capital") were released from guarantees that they had provided on our behalf in connection with the loan facility.

The Credit Agreement contains certain financial covenants that require us to maintain minimum levels of trailing twelve month earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA"), a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, a maximum senior debt leverage ratio and a limitation on annual capital expenditures and other customary terms and conditions. As of March 31, 2007, we were in compliance with all of the financial covenants under the Credit Agreement.

Phoenix is a limited partner in Brantley IV and Brantley Partners V, L.P and has also co-invested with Brantley IV and its affiliates in a number of transactions. Prior to the closing of the Private Placement, Phoenix did not own, of record, any shares of our capital stock. As part of the Private Placement, Phoenix received (i) 15,909,003 shares of Class D Common Stock, representing upon conversion 15,909,003, or 11.6%, of our outstanding Class A Common Stock as of December 31, 2006, on an as-converted, fully-diluted basis taking into account the issuance of the shares of Class D Common Stock and (ii) warrants to purchase 1,421,629 shares of our Class A Common Stock representing 1.0% of the voting power as of December 31, 2006 on an as-converted, fully-diluted basis.

Also on December 1, 2006 in connection with the consummation of the Private Placement and the execution of the Credit Agreement, the following actions were taken:

- o All of our remaining holders of Class B Common Stock and Class C Common Stock converted their shares into shares of our Class A Common Stock:
- We purchased and retired all 1,722,983 shares of our Class B Common Stock owned by Brantley Capital for an aggregate purchase price of \$482,435;
- o We amended our certificate of incorporation to create the Class D Common Stock and eliminate the Class B Common Stock and Class C Common Stock;
- o Brantley IV converted the entire unpaid principal balance, and accrued but unpaid interest, of two convertible subordinated promissory notes in the original aggregate amount of \$1,250,000 into shares of our Class A Common Stock;

- o We extended the maturity date and increased the interest rate on certain unsecured subordinated promissory notes totaling in the aggregate \$1,714,336 (the "DCPS/MBS Notes") issued to certain of the former equity holders of the businesses we acquired in 2004 as part of the DCPS/MBS Merger, including two of our executive officers, Dennis Cain, CEO of MBS, and Tommy Smith, President and COO of MBS; and
- o We restructured certain unsecured notes issued to DVI Financial Services, Inc. ("DVI") and serviced by U.S. Bank Portfolio Services ("USBPS") to reduce the outstanding balance from \$3,750,000 to \$2,750,000.

As of March 31, 2007, our revolving loan commitment with Wells Fargo had limited availability to provide for working capital shortages. Although we believe we will generate cash flows from operations in the future, there is no quarantee that we will be able to fund our operations solely from our cash flows. In 2005, we initiated a strategic plan designed to accelerate our growth and enhance our future earnings potential. The plan focuses on our strengths, which include providing billing, collections and complementary business management services to physician practices. As part of this plan, we completed a series of transactions involving the divestiture of non-strategic assets in 2005 and early 2006. In addition, we redirected financial resources and company personnel to areas that management believed would enhance long-term growth potential. A key component of our long-term strategic plan was the identification of potential acquisition targets that would increase our presence in the markets we serve and enhance stockholder value. On December 1, 2006 we completed the acquisition of Rand and On Line. In addition to Rand and On Line, we have identified other potential acquisition opportunities to expand our business that are consistent with our strategic plan. We have a \$10 million acquisition facility commitment under the Credit Agreement that will enable us to finance some or all of the cash consideration for future acquisitions based on a formula tied to our pro forma trailing twelve month EBITDA, including the EBITDA of the potential acquisition target.

We intend to continue to manage our use of cash. However, our business is still faced with many challenges. If cash flows from operations and borrowings are not sufficient to fund our cash requirements, we may be required to further reduce our operations and/or seek additional public or private equity financing or financing from other sources or consider other strategic alternatives, including possible additional divestitures of specific assets or lines of business. There can be no assurances that additional financing or strategic alternatives will be available, or that, if available, the financing or strategic alternatives will be obtainable on terms acceptable to us or that any additional financing would not be substantially dilutive to our existing stockholders.

F-9

Note 3. Revenue Recognition

MBS, Rand and On Line's principal source of revenues is fees charged to clients based on a percentage of net collections of the client's accounts receivable. They recognize revenue and bill their clients when the clients receive payment on those accounts receivable. Our RCM businesses typically receive payment from the client within 30 days of billing. The fees vary depending on specialty, size of practice, payer mix, and complexity of the billing. In addition to the collection fee revenue, MBS, Rand and OLA also earn fees from the various consulting services that they provide, including medical practice management services, managed care contracting, coding and reimbursement services and transcription services. OLP earns revenue based on a contracted rate per transaction and recognizes revenue when the service is provided.

IPS records revenue based on patient services provided by its affiliated medical groups. Net patient service revenue is impacted by billing rates, changes in current procedural terminology code reimbursement and collection trends. IPS reviews billing rates at each of its affiliated medical groups on at least an annual basis and adjusts those rates based on each insurer's current reimbursement practices. Amounts collected by IPS for treatment by its affiliated medical groups of patients covered by Medicare, Medicaid and other contractual reimbursement programs, which may be based on cost of services provided or predetermined rates, are generally less than the established billing rates of IPS's affiliated medical groups. IPS estimates the amount of these contractual allowances and records a reserve against accounts receivable based on historical collection percentages for each of the affiliated medical groups, which include various payer categories. When payments are received, the contractual adjustment is written off against the established reserve for contractual allowances. The historical collection percentages are adjusted quarterly based on actual payments received, with any differences charged against net revenue for the quarter. Additionally, IPS tracks cash collection percentages for each medical group on a monthly basis, setting quarterly and annual goals for cash collections, bad debt write-offs and aging of accounts receivable. IPS is not aware of any material claims, disputes or unsettled matters with third party payers and there have been no material settlements with third party payers for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006.

Our principal source of revenues from our surgery center business was a surgical facility fee charged to patients for surgical procedures performed in its ASCs and for diagnostic services performed at TOM. We depended upon third-party programs, including governmental and private health insurance programs to pay these fees on behalf of its patients. Patients were responsible for the co-payments and deductibles when applicable. The fees varied depending on the procedure, but usually included all charges for operating room usage, special equipment usage, supplies, recovery room usage, nursing staff and medications. Facility fees did not include the charges of the patient's surgeon, anesthesiologist or other attending physicians, which were billed directly to third-party payers by such physicians. In addition to the facility fee revenues, we also earned management fees from its operating facilities and development fees from centers that it developed. As more fully described in Note 1. General under the caption "Description of Business," we no longer have ownership or management interests in surgery and diagnostic centers.

Note 4. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. While management believes current estimates are reasonable and appropriate, results could differ from these estimates.

F-10

Note 5. Segment Reporting

The following table summarizes key financial information, by reportable segment, as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively:

For the Three Months

\$ 4,744,553	\$ 3
292 , 998	
530,242	
20,862,346	4
For the Three	Months
RCM	
0 004 000	^ ^
, ,	\$ 3
•	
•	
10,180,245	8
	292,998 530,242 20,862,346 For the Three

The following schedules provide a reconciliation of the key financial information by reportable segment to the consolidated totals found in our consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively:

Net operating revenues: Total net operating revenues for reportable segments Other revenue Total consolidated net operating revenues Income (loss) from continuing operations: Total income from continuing operations for reportable segments Extraordinary gain Overhead Total consolidated income (loss) from continuing operations Depreciation and amortization: Total depreciation and amortization for reportable segments Other depreciation and amortization Total consolidated depreciation and amortization Total assets: Total assets for reportable segments Other assets Assets held for sale or related to discontinued operations Total consolidated assets

==

(

\$

\$2

RCM

Note 6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets represent the excess of cost over the fair value of net assets of companies acquired in business combinations accounted for using the purchase method. In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations," and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." SFAS No. 141 eliminates pooling-of-interest accounting and requires that all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001, be accounted for using the purchase method. SFAS No. 142 eliminates the amortization of goodwill and certain other intangible assets and requires us to evaluate goodwill for impairment on an annual basis by applying a fair value test. SFAS No. 142 also requires that an identifiable intangible asset that is determined to have an indefinite useful economic life not be amortized, but separately tested for impairment using a fair value-based approach at least annually.

Note 7. Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share are calculated on the basis of the weighted average number of shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding at year-end. Diluted earnings per share, in addition to the weighted average determined for basic loss per share, include common stock equivalents which would arise from the exercise of stock options and warrants using the treasury stock method, conversion of debt and conversion of Class B Common Stock, Class C Common Stock and Class D Common Stock.

		2007
Net income (loss)	\$	(785,687)
Weighted average number of shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding for		
basic net income (loss) per share		105,492,543
Dilutive stock options, warrants and restricted stock units (1)		(a)
Convertible notes payable (2)		(b)
Class B Common Stock (3)		(c)
Class C Common Stock (4)		(d)
Class D Common Stock		(e)
Weighted average number of shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding for		
diluted net income (loss) per share		105,492,543
Net income (loss) per share - Basic	\$	(0.01)
Net income (loss) per share - Diluted	\$	(0.01)

The following potentially dilutive securities are not included in the March 31, 2007 calculation of weighted average number of shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding for diluted net income (loss) per share, because the effect would be anti-dilutive due to the net loss for the quarter:

- a) 6,838,976 options, warrants and restricted stock units were outstanding at March 31, 2007. (See Note 2. Acquisitions and Private Placement for information on warrants issued to Phoenix.)
- b) A \$50,000 note was convertible into 442,152 shares of our Class A Common Stock at March 31, 2007 based on 75% of the average closing price for the 20 trading days immediately prior to the conversion date.
- There were no shares of our Class B Common Stock outstanding at March 31, 2007. On December 1, 2006, we purchased all 1,722,983 shares of our Class B Common Stock owned by Brantley Capital and retired them in

For the Three M

accordance with the terms of our Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation. Also on December 1, 2006, in connection with the Private Placement, all of the other holders of our Class B Common Stock converted those shares into 67,742,350 shares of our Class A Common Stock. (See Note 2. Acquisitions and Private Placement.)

- d) There were no shares of our Class C Common Stock outstanding at March 31, 2007. On December 1, 2006, in connection with the Private Placement, all of the holders of our Class C Common Stock converted those shares into 20,019,619 shares of our Class A Common Stock. (See Note 2. Acquisitions and Private Placement.)
- 24,658,955 shares of our Class D Common Stock were outstanding at March 31, 2007. On December 1, 2006, pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, Phoenix and Brantley IV purchased an aggregate of 24,658,955 shares of our Class D Common Stock for a total purchase price of \$4,650,000. Each share of our Class D Common Stock is currently convertible into one share of our Class A Common Stock. (See Note 2. Acquisitions and Private Placement.)

F-12

The following potentially dilutive securities were included in the March 31, 2006 calculation of weighted average number of shares outstanding for diluted net income per share:

- (1) 2,510,347 options, warrants and restricted stock units were outstanding as of March 31, 2006.
- (2) \$1,300,000 of notes were convertible into Class A Common Stock at March 31, 2006. Of the total, \$50,000 was convertible into 242,494 shares of Class A Common Stock based on a conversion price equal to 75% of the average closing price for the 20 trading days immediately prior to March 31, 2006. The remaining \$1,250,000 was convertible into 1,310,706 shares of Class A Common Stock at March 31, 2006.
- (3) 10,448,470 shares of Class B Common Stock were outstanding at March 31, 2006. Each share of Class B Common Stock was convertible into 5.140508175 shares of Class A Common Stock as of March 31, 2006.
- (4) 1,437,572 shares of Class C Common Stock were outstanding at March 31, 2006. If all of the Class B Common Stock had been converted at March 31, 2006, the holders of Class C Common Stock would have been eligible to convert 1,308,142 shares of Class C Common Stock into 13,925,383 shares of Class A Common Stock under the anti-dilution provision.

Note 8. Employee Stock Based Compensation

At March 31, 2007, we had two stock-based employee compensation plans. Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for grants for these plans under Accounting Principals Board Opinion ("APB") No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25") and related interpretations, and applied SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," as amended by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure," for disclosure purposes only. Under APB 25, stock-based compensation cost related to stock options was not recognized in net income since the options underlying those plans had exercise prices greater than or equal to the market value of the underlying stock on the date of the grant. Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123(R), which requires that all share-based payments to employees be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values at the date of grant. The calculated fair value is recognized as expense (net of any capitalization) over the requisite service period, net of estimated forfeitures, using the straight-line method under SFAS No. 123(R). We consider many factors when estimated expected forfeitures, including types of awards, employee class and historical experience. The statement was adopted using the modified

prospective method of application which requires compensation expense to be recognized in the financial statements for all unvested stock options beginning in the quarter of adoption. No adjustments to prior periods have been made as a result of adopting SFAS No. 123(R). Under this transition method, compensation expense for share-based awards granted prior to January 1, 2006, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, will be recognized in our financial statements over their remaining service period. The cost was based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123. As required by SFAS No. 123(R), compensation expense recognized in future periods for share-based compensation granted prior to adoption of the standard will be adjusted for the effects of estimated forfeitures.

On June 17, 2005, we granted 1,357,000 stock options to certain of our employees, officers, directors and former directors under our 2004 Incentive Plan, as amended. In the third quarter of 2005, stock options totaling 360,000 to certain employees were cancelled as a result of staff reductions related to the consolidation of corporate functions duplicated at our Houston, Texas and Roswell, Georgia facilities. On May 12, 2006, we granted 102,000 stock options to certain of our employees and directors under our 2004 Incentive Plan, as amended. On December 4, 2006, we granted 2,500,000 stock options to certain of our employees, officers and directors under our 2004 Incentive Plan, as amended.

On August 31, 2005, we granted 650,000 restricted stock units to certain of our officers under our 2004 Incentive Plan, as amended.

For the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, the impact of adopting SFAS No. 123(R) on our consolidated statements of operations was an increase in salaries and benefits expense of \$92,956 and \$48,071, respectively, with a corresponding decrease in our income from continuing operations, income before provision for income taxes and net income resulting from the recognition of compensation expense associated with employee stock options. There was no material impact on our basic and diluted net income per share as a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

The adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) has no effect on net cash flow. Since we are not presently a taxpayer and have provided a valuation allowance against deferred income tax assets net of liabilities, there is also no effect on our consolidated statement of cash flows. Had we been a taxpayer, we would have recognized cash flow resulting from tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost as a financing cash flow.

F-13

Note 9. Discontinued Operations

Memorial Village. As a result of the uncertainty of future cash flows related to our surgery center business as well as the transactions related to TASC and TOM, we determined that the joint venture interest associated with Memorial Village was impaired and recorded a charge for impairment of intangible assets related to Memorial Village of \$3,229,462 for the three months ended June 30, 2005. In November 2005, we decided that, as a result of ongoing losses at Memorial Village, it would need to either find a buyer for our equity interests in Memorial Village or close the facility. In preparation for this pending transaction, we tested the identifiable intangible assets and goodwill related to the surgery center business using the present value of cash flows method. As a result of the decision to sell or close Memorial Village, as well as the uncertainty of cash flows related to our surgery center business, we recorded an additional charge for impairment of intangible assets of \$1,348,085 for the three months ended September 30, 2005. On February 8, 2006, Memorial Village executed an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Memorial Agreement") for the sale of substantially all of its assets to First Surgical. Memorial Village was

approximately 49% owned by Town & Country SurgiCare, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Orion. The Memorial Agreement was deemed to be effective as of January 31, 2006. As a result of this transaction, we recorded a gain on the disposal of this discontinued component (in addition to the charge for impairment of intangible assets) of \$574,321 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. We allocated the goodwill recorded as part of the IPS Merger to each of the surgery center reporting units and recorded a loss on the write-down of goodwill related to Memorial Village totaling \$2,005,383 for the quarter ended December 31, 2005. There were no operations for this component in our financial statements after March 31, 2006.

San Jacinto. On March 1, 2006, San Jacinto executed an Asset Purchase Agreement for the sale of substantially all of its assets to Methodist. San Jacinto was approximately 10% owned by Baytown SurgiCare, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Orion, and was not consolidated in our financial statements. As a result of this transaction, we recorded a gain on disposal of this discontinued operation of \$94,066 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. As a result of the uncertainty of future cash flows related to the surgery center business, and in conjunction with the transactions related to TASC and TOM, we determined that the joint venture interest associated with San Jacinto was impaired and recorded a charge for impairment of intangible assets related to San Jacinto of \$734,522for the three months ended June 30, 2005. We also recorded an additional \$2,113,262 charge for impairment of intangible assets for the three months ended September 30, 2005 related to the management contracts with San Jacinto. We allocated the goodwill recorded as part of the IPS Merger to each of the surgery center reporting units and recorded a loss on the write-down of goodwill related to San Jacinto totaling \$694,499 for the quarter ended December 31, 2005. There were no operations for this component in our financial statements after March 31, 2006.

Dayton ICS. IPS is party to the Dayton MSA with Dayton ICS. The sole remaining shareholder of Dayton ICS has notified both IPS and the hospitals at which Dayton ICS has contracts that he intends to dissolve Dayton ICS, cease practicing at the hospitals and cease utilizing the services of IPS. IPS believes that the unilateral decision to dissolve Dayton ICS and terminate the business of Dayton ICS breaches the Dayton MSA and violates duties owed by Dayton ICS to IPS as a creditor of Dayton ICS. As a result of the pending litigation and the uncertainty of the outcome, the operations of Dayton ICS are now reflected in our consolidated statements of operations as `income from operations of discontinued components' for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Additionally, we recorded a charge for impairment of intangible assets of \$1,845,669 for Dayton ICS for the quarter ended December 31, 2006.

PSNW. IPS is party to the Illinois MSA with PSNW. IPS and PSNW were in arbitration regarding claims relating to the Illinois MSA. In connection therewith, on February 9, 2007, IPS and PSNW entered into the PSNW Settlement to settle disputes that had arisen between IPS and PSNW and to avoid the risk and expense of further litigation. As part of the PSNW Settlement, PSNW and IPS agreed that PSNW would purchase the assets owned by IPS and used in connection with PSNW's practice, in exchange for a negotiated cash consideration and termination of the Illinois MSA. Additionally, among other provisions, after May 31, 2007, which is the anticipated closing date of the transaction contemplated by the PSNW Settlement, PSNW and IPS will be released from any further obligation to each other from any previous agreement. As a result of the PSNW Settlement, the operations of PSNW are now reflected in our consolidated statements of operations as `income from operations of discontinued components' for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and the assets and liabilities of PSNW are reflected as `assets held for sale' and `liabilities held for sale' on our consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2007. Additionally, we recorded a charge for impairment of intangible assets of \$1,249,080 for PSNW for the quarter ended December 31, 2006.

Orion. Prior to the divestiture of our ambulatory surgery center business, we recorded management fee revenue, which was eliminated in the consolidation of our financial statements, from our surgery centers. The management fee revenue for San Jacinto was not eliminated in consolidation. The management fee revenue associated with the discontinued operations in the surgery center business totaled \$60,070 for the three months ended March 31, 2007.

F - 14

The following table contains selected financial information regarding

our discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006: Three m 200 Net operating revenues from discontinued operations 9 Total expenses from discontinued operations (9 Income from discontinued operations Gain on disposal of discontinued operations Net income from discontinued operations _____ Note 10. Long-Term Debt Long-term debt is as follows: March 31, 2 Long-term debt: \$4,500,000 senior note payable to a financial institution, bearing interest at the Prime Rate (8.25% at March 31, 2007) plus 1.75%, interest payable monthly, principal payments monthly based on schedule, matures December 1, 2010 4,421, \$2,000,000 senior revolving line of credit with a financial institution, bearing interest at the Prime Rate (8.25% at March 31, 2007) plus 1.75%, interest payable monthly, matures December 1, 2010 1,612, \$10,000,000 senior acquisition line of credit with a financial institution, bearing interest at the Prime Rate (8.25% at March 31, 2007) plus 1.75%, interest payable monthly, principal payments monthly based on schedule, matures December 1, 2010 Term loan payable to a financial institution, non-interest bearing, matures October 1, 2013 2,715, Convertible notes, bearing interest at 18%, interest payable monthly, convertible on

Insurance financing note payable, bearing interest at 5.25%, interest payable

demand

monthly

50,

105,

Total debt Less: Current portion of long-term debt		8,904, (2,177,
Total long-term debt	\$	6,727, ======
Long-term debt held by related parties:		
Promissory notes payable to sellers of MBS, bearing interest at 9%, interest payable monthly, principal payments quarterly beginning on December 15, 2007 based on schedule, matures December 15, 2008)	1,714,
\$3,350,000 senior subordinated promissory note payable to a related party, bearing interest at 12% plus 2% PIK, interest payable quarterly, principal due on December 1, 2011		3,091
\$75,000 unsecured subordinated promissory note payable to the stockholders of OLA, bearing interest at 7%, interest payable monthly in arrears, principal payable February 1, 2007		
Total debt held by related parties Less: Current portion of long-term debt held by related parties	\$	4,805, (550)
Total long-term debt held by related parties	\$	4,255

F-15

Note 11. Litigation

On July 12, 2005, we were named as a defendant in a suit entitled American International Industries, Inc. ("AII") vs. Orion HealthCorp, Inc. (previously known as SurgiCare, Inc.), Keith G. LeBlanc, Paul Cascio, Brantley Capital Corporation, Brantley Venture Partners III, L.P., Brantley Partners IV, L.P. (collectively, "the Named Defendants") and UHY Mann Frankfort Stein & Lipp CPAs, LLP ("UHY Mann") in the 80th Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas, Cause No. 2005-44326. The case involved allegations that we made material and intentional misrepresentations regarding the financial condition of the parties to the acquisition and restructuring transactions effected on December 15, 2004 for the purpose of inducing AII to convert its SurgiCare Class AA convertible preferred stock into shares of our Class A Common Stock. AII asserted that the value of its Class A Common Stock of Orion had fallen as a direct result of the alleged material misrepresentations by us. AII was seeking an aggregate of \$7,600,000 in damages (actual damages of \$3,800,000 and punitive damages of \$3,800,000), and rescission of the agreement to convert the SurgiCare Class AA convertible preferred stock into our Class A Common Stock.

On September 8, 2006, we entered into a Settlement Agreement with a Joint and Mutual Release and Indemnity Agreement (the "AII Settlement Agreement") in which the claims by AII against the Named Defendants were fully settled as to all claims, with complete mutual releases for all of the Named Defendants and AII. Under the terms of the AII Settlement Agreement, AII received \$750,000, paid primarily by various insurance carriers of the Named Defendants, forty-five days from the execution of the AII Settlement Agreement. As part of the AII Settlement Agreement, the Named Defendants vigorously denied any liability and AII acknowledged the highly disputed nature of its claims against the Named Defendants. Both the Named Defendants and AII acknowledged that the AII Settlement Agreement was made as a compromise to avoid further expense and to terminate for all time the controversy underlying the lawsuit.

IPS is party to the Dayton MSA with Dayton ICS. The sole remaining shareholder of Dayton ICS has notified both IPS and the hospitals at which Dayton ICS has contracts that he intends to dissolve Dayton ICS, cease practicing at the hospitals and cease utilizing the services of IPS. On November 28, 2006, we were named as a defendant in a suit entitled Dayton Infant Care Specialists, Corp. vs. Integrated Physician Solutions, Inc., et al. in the United States District Court of the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, Case No. 3:06-cv-00374, in which Dayton ICS was seeking certain injunctive relief ordering that certain funds derived from accounts receivable and held in a lockbox be released to Dayton ICS. On November 29, 2006, the Court denied Dayton ICS's motion for a temporary restraining order. There is an arbitration clause in the Dayton MSA. IPS asserts that Dayton ICS waived arbitration and, therefore, has filed a counterclaim against Dayton ICS for breach of contract and other causes of action. Also on November 29, 2006, IPS filed a suit entitled Integrated Physician Solutions, Inc. vs. Don T. Granger, M.D., et al. in the United States District Court of the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, Case No 3:06-cv-00377 against the shareholder of Dayton ICS and physicians who are under employment agreements with Dayton ICS stating various claims arising out of their involvement with the termination of the business. Certain of the employees have filed a motion to dismiss the counterclaim against them. Both cases are assigned to the same judge in the Western Division of the United States District Court of the Southern District of Ohio and may be consolidated. Trial dates have been scheduled for both cases in July 2008.

IPS is party to the Illinois MSA with PSNW. IPS and PSNW were in arbitration regarding claims relating to the Illinois MSA. In connection therewith, on February 9, 2007, IPS and PSNW entered into the PSNW Settlement to settle disputes that had arisen between IPS and PSNW and to avoid the risk and expense of further litigation. As part of the PSNW Settlement, PSNW and IPS agreed that PSNW would purchase the assets owned by IPS and used in connection with PSNW's practice, in exchange for a negotiated cash consideration and termination of the Illinois MSA. Additionally, among other provisions, after May 31, 2007, which is the anticipated closing date of the transaction contemplated by the PSNW Settlement, PSNW and IPS will be released from any further obligation to each other from any previous agreement.

In addition, we are involved in various other legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. Our management believes that the disposition of these additional matters, individually or in the aggregate, is not expected to have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition. However, depending on the amount and timing of such disposition, an unfavorable resolution of some or all of these matters could materially affect our future results of operations or cash flows in a particular period.

F-16

Exhibit Index

Exhibit No.	Description
24.1	Power of Attorney (See Signatures on page 20)
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification
31.2	Rule $13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)$ Certification
32.1	Section 1350 Certification
32.2	Section 1350 Certification