

United States Natural Gas Fund, LP
Form 424B3
April 27, 2018
Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)
Registration No. 333-217257

PROSPECTUS

United States Natural Gas Fund, LP®*

262,366,476 Shares

***Principal U.S. Listing Exchange: NYSE Arca, Inc.**

The United States Natural Gas Fund, LP, (“UNG”) is an exchange traded fund organized as a limited partnership, that issues shares that trade on the NYSE Arca stock exchange (“NYSE Arca”). UNG’s investment objective is to track a benchmark of short-term natural gas futures contracts. UNG pays its general partner, United States Commodity Funds LLC (“USCF”), a limited liability company, a management fee and incurs operating costs. USCF and UNG are located at 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1530, Oakland, CA 94612. The telephone number for both USCF and UNG is 510.522.9600. In order for a hypothetical investment in shares to break even over the next 12 months, assuming a selling price of \$22.22 (the net asset value as of February 28, 2018), the investment would have to generate a 0.612% return or \$0.136, rounded to \$0.14.

UNG is an exchange traded fund. This means that most investors who decide to buy or sell shares of UNG shares place their trade orders through their brokers and may incur customary brokerage commissions and charges. Shares trade on the NYSE Arca under the ticker symbol “UNG” and are bought and sold throughout the trading day at bid and ask prices like other publicly traded securities.

Shares trade on the NYSE Arca after they are initially purchased by “Authorized Participants,” institutional firms that purchase shares in blocks of 100,000 shares called “baskets” through UNG’s marketing agent, ALPS Distributors, Inc. (the “Marketing Agent”). The price of a basket is equal to the net asset value (“NAV”) of 100,000 shares on the day that the order to purchase the basket is accepted by the Marketing Agent. The NAV per share is calculated by taking the current market value of UNG’s total assets (after close of NYSE Arca) subtracting any liabilities and dividing that total by the total number of outstanding shares. The offering of UNG’s shares is a “best efforts” offering, which means that neither the Marketing Agent nor any Authorized Participant is required to purchase a specific number or dollar amount of shares. USCF pays the Marketing Agent a marketing fee consisting of a fixed annual amount plus an incentive fee based the amount of shares sold. Authorized Participants will not receive from UNG, USCF or any of their affiliates, any fee or other compensation in connection with the sale of shares. Aggregate compensation paid to the Marketing Agent and any affiliate of USCF for distribution-related services in connection with this offering of shares will not exceed ten percent (10%) of the gross proceeds of the offering.

Investors who buy or sell shares during the day from their broker may do so at a premium or discount relative to the market value of the underlying natural gas futures contracts in which UNG invests due to supply and demand forces at work in the secondary trading market for shares that are closely related to, but not identical to, the same forces influencing the prices of natural gas and the natural gas futures contracts that serve as UNG’s investment benchmark. Investing in UNG involves risks similar to those involved with an investment directly in the natural gas market, the correlation risk described above, and other significant risks. See “**Risk Factors Involved with an Investment in UNG**” beginning on page 4.

The offering of UNG’s shares is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) in accordance with the Securities Act of 1933 (the “1933 Act”). The offering is intended to be a continuous offering and is not expected to

terminate until all of the registered shares have been sold or three years from the date of the original offering, whichever is earlier, unless extended as permitted under the rules under the 1933 Act, although the offering may be temporarily suspended if and when no suitable investments for UNG are available or practicable. UNG is not a mutual fund registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“1940 Act”) and is not subject to regulation under such Act.

NEITHER THE SEC NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THE SECURITIES OFFERED IN THIS PROSPECTUS, OR DETERMINED IF THIS PROSPECTUS IS TRUTHFUL OR COMPLETE. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

UNG is a commodity pool and USCF is a commodity pool operator subject to regulation by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission and the National Futures Association under the Commodity Exchange Act (“CEA”).

THE COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION HAS NOT PASSED UPON THE MERITS OF PARTICIPATING IN THIS POOL NOR HAS THE COMMISSION PASSED ON THE ADEQUACY OR ACCURACY OF THIS DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT.

The date of this prospectus is April 27, 2018.

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

RISK DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY CONSIDER WHETHER YOUR FINANCIAL CONDITION PERMITS YOU TO PARTICIPATE IN A COMMODITY POOL. IN SO DOING, YOU SHOULD BE AWARE THAT COMMODITY INTEREST TRADING CAN QUICKLY LEAD TO LARGE LOSSES AS WELL AS GAINS. SUCH TRADING LOSSES CAN SHARPLY REDUCE THE NET ASSET VALUE OF THE POOL AND CONSEQUENTLY THE VALUE OF YOUR INTEREST IN THE POOL. IN ADDITION, RESTRICTIONS ON REDEMPTIONS MAY AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO WITHDRAW YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THE POOL.

FURTHER, COMMODITY POOLS MAY BE SUBJECT TO SUBSTANTIAL CHARGES FOR MANAGEMENT, AND ADVISORY AND BROKERAGE FEES. IT MAY BE NECESSARY FOR THOSE POOLS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO THESE CHARGES TO MAKE SUBSTANTIAL TRADING PROFITS TO AVOID DEPLETION OR EXHAUSTION OF THEIR ASSETS. THIS DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT CONTAINS A COMPLETE DESCRIPTION OF EACH EXPENSE TO BE CHARGED THIS POOL AT PAGE 30 AND A STATEMENT OF THE PERCENTAGE RETURN NECESSARY TO BREAK EVEN, THAT IS, TO RECOVER THE AMOUNT OF YOUR INITIAL INVESTMENT, AT PAGE 31.

THIS BRIEF STATEMENT CANNOT DISCLOSE ALL THE RISKS AND OTHER FACTORS NECESSARY TO EVALUATE YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THIS COMMODITY POOL. THEREFORE, BEFORE YOU DECIDE TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS COMMODITY POOL, YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY STUDY THIS DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT, INCLUDING A DESCRIPTION OF THE PRINCIPAL RISK FACTORS OF THIS INVESTMENT, AT PAGE 4.

YOU SHOULD ALSO BE AWARE THAT THIS COMMODITY POOL MAY TRADE FOREIGN FUTURES OR OPTIONS CONTRACTS. TRANSACTIONS ON MARKETS LOCATED OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES, INCLUDING MARKETS FORMALLY LINKED TO A UNITED STATES MARKET, MAY BE SUBJECT TO REGULATIONS WHICH OFFER DIFFERENT OR DIMINISHED PROTECTION TO THE POOL AND ITS PARTICIPANTS. FURTHER, UNITED STATES REGULATORY AUTHORITIES MAY BE UNABLE TO COMPEL THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE RULES OF REGULATORY AUTHORITIES OR MARKETS IN NON-UNITED STATES JURISDICTIONS WHERE TRANSACTIONS FOR THE POOL MAY BE EFFECTED.

SWAPS TRANSACTIONS, LIKE OTHER FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS, INVOLVE A VARIETY OF SIGNIFICANT RISKS. THE SPECIFIC RISKS PRESENTED BY A PARTICULAR SWAP TRANSACTION NECESSARILY DEPEND UPON THE TERMS OF THE TRANSACTION AND YOUR CIRCUMSTANCES. IN GENERAL, HOWEVER, ALL SWAPS TRANSACTIONS INVOLVE SOME COMBINATION OF MARKET RISK, CREDIT RISK, COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK, FUNDING RISK, LIQUIDITY RISK, AND OPERATIONAL RISK.

HIGHLY CUSTOMIZED SWAPS TRANSACTIONS IN PARTICULAR MAY INCREASE LIQUIDITY RISK, WHICH MAY RESULT IN A SUSPENSION OF REDEMPTIONS. HIGHLY LEVERAGED TRANSACTIONS MAY EXPERIENCE SUBSTANTIAL GAINS OR LOSSES IN VALUE AS A RESULT OF RELATIVELY SMALL CHANGES IN THE VALUE OR LEVEL OF AN UNDERLYING OR RELATED MARKET FACTOR.

IN EVALUATING THE RISKS AND CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH A PARTICULAR SWAP TRANSACTION, IT IS IMPORTANT TO CONSIDER THAT A SWAP

TRANSACTION MAY BE MODIFIED OR TERMINATED ONLY BY MUTUAL CONSENT OF THE ORIGINAL PARTIES AND SUBJECT TO AGREEMENT ON INDIVIDUALLY NEGOTIATED TERMS. THEREFORE, IT MAY NOT BE POSSIBLE FOR THE COMMODITY POOL OPERATOR TO MODIFY, TERMINATE, OR OFFSET THE POOL'S OBLIGATIONS OR THE POOL'S EXPOSURE TO THE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH A TRANSACTION PRIOR TO ITS SCHEDULED TERMINATION DATE.

i

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Disclosure Document:	
<u>Prospectus Summary</u>	1
<u>UNG's Investment Objective and Strategy</u>	1
<u>Principal Investment Risks of an Investment in UNG</u>	2
<u>UNG's Fees and Expenses</u>	3
<u>Risk Factors Involved with an Investment in UNG</u>	4
<u>Investment Risk</u>	4
<u>Correlation Risk</u>	5
<u>Tax Risk</u>	7
<u>OTC Contract Risk</u>	9
<u>Other Risks</u>	9
<u>Additional Information about UNG, its Investment Objective and Investments</u>	14
<u>Impact of Contango and Backwardation on Total Returns</u>	17
<u>What are the Trading Policies of UNG?</u>	21
<u>Prior Performance of UNG</u>	22
<u>Composite Performance Data for UNG</u>	23
<u>UNG's Operations</u>	24
<u>USCF and its Management and Traders</u>	24
<u>UNG's Service Providers</u>	28
<u>UNG's Fees and Expenses</u>	30
<u>Breakeven Analysis</u>	31
<u>Conflicts of Interest</u>	32
<u>Ownership or Beneficial Interest in UNG</u>	33
<u>USCF's Responsibilities and Remedies</u>	33
<u>Liability and Indemnification</u>	34
<u>Meetings</u>	34
<u>Termination Events</u>	35
<u>Provisions of Law</u>	35
<u>Books and Records</u>	35
<u>Statements, Filings, and Reports</u>	35
<u>Fiscal Year</u>	36
<u>Governing Law; Consent to Delaware Jurisdiction</u>	36
<u>Legal Matters</u>	37
<u>U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations</u>	37
<u>Backup Withholding</u>	46
<u>Other Tax Considerations</u>	47
<u>Investment by ERISA Accounts</u>	47
<u>Form of Shares</u>	49
<u>Transfer of Shares</u>	49
<u>What is the Plan of Distribution?</u>	51
<u>Calculating Per Share NAV</u>	52
<u>Creation and Redemption of Shares</u>	53
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	57
<u>Information You Should Know</u>	57
<u>Summary of Promotional and Sales Material</u>	58
<u>Intellectual Property</u>	58

<u>Where You Can Find More Information</u>	58
<u>Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	59
<u>Incorporation by Reference of Certain Information</u>	59
<u>Privacy Policy</u>	59
<u>Appendix A</u>	A-1
<u>Glossary of Defined Terms</u>	A-1

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This is only a summary of the prospectus and, while it contains material information about UNG and its shares, it does not contain or summarize all of the information about UNG and the shares contained in this prospectus that is material and/or which may be important to you. You should read this entire prospectus, including “Risk Factors Involved with an Investment in UNG” beginning on page 4, before making an investment decision about the shares. For a glossary of defined terms, see Appendix A.

UNG

United States Natural Gas Fund, LP (“UNG”), a Delaware limited partnership, is a commodity pool that continuously issues common shares of beneficial interest that may be purchased and sold on the NYSE Arca stock exchange (“NYSE Arca”). UNG is managed and controlled by United States Commodity Funds LLC (“USCF”), a Delaware limited liability company. USCF is registered as a commodity pool operator (“CPO”) with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”) and is a member of the National Futures Association (“NFA”).

UNG’s Investment Objective and Strategy

The investment objective of UNG is for the daily changes in percentage terms of its shares’ per share net asset value (“NAV”) to reflect the daily changes in percentage terms of the price of natural gas delivered at the Henry Hub, Louisiana, as measured by the daily changes in the price of a specified short-term futures contract called the “Benchmark Futures Contract”, plus interest earned on UNG’s collateral holdings, less UNG’s expenses.

What Is the “Benchmark Futures Contract”?

The Benchmark Futures Contract is the futures contract on natural gas as traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange (the “NYMEX”) that is the near month contract to expire, except when the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration, in which case it will be measured by the futures contract that is the next month contract to expire.

UNG seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in futures contracts for natural gas that are traded on the NYMEX, ICE Futures Europe and ICE Futures U.S. (together, “ICE Futures”) or other U.S. and foreign exchanges (collectively, “Futures Contracts”) and to a lesser extent, in order to comply with regulatory requirements or in view of market conditions, other natural gas-related investments such as cash-settled options on Futures Contracts, forward contracts for natural gas, cleared swap contracts, and non-exchange traded (“over-the-counter” or “OTC”) transactions that are based on the price of natural gas, crude oil and other petroleum-based fuels, as well as futures contracts for crude oil, heating oil, gasoline, and other petroleum-based fuels, Futures Contracts and indices based on the foregoing (collectively, “Other Natural Gas-Related Investments”). Market conditions that USCF currently anticipates could cause UNG to invest in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments include those allowing UNG to obtain greater liquidity or to execute transactions with more favorable pricing. (For convenience and unless otherwise specified, Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments collectively are referred to as “Natural Gas Interests” in this prospectus.)

In addition, USCF believes that market arbitrage opportunities will cause daily changes in UNG’s share price on the NYSE Arca on a percentage basis to closely track daily changes in UNG’s per share NAV on a percentage basis. USCF further believes that the daily changes in prices of the Benchmark Futures Contract have historically closely tracked the daily changes in spot prices of natural gas. USCF believes that the net effect of these relationships will be that the daily changes in the price of UNG’s shares on the NYSE Arca on a percentage basis will closely track the daily changes in the spot price of natural gas on a percentage basis less UNG’s expenses.

Specifically, UNG seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing so that the average daily percentage change in UNG's NAV for any period of 30 successive valuation days will be within plus/minus ten percent (10%) of the average daily percentage change in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract over the same period.

Investors should be aware that UNG's investment objective is *not* for its NAV or market price of shares to equal, in dollar terms, the spot price of natural gas or any particular futures contract based on natural gas, *nor* is UNG's investment objective for the percentage change in its NAV to reflect the percentage change of the price of any particular futures contract as measured over a time period *greater than one day*. This is because natural market forces called contango and backwardation have impacted the total return on an investment in UNG's shares during the past year relative to a hypothetical direct investment in natural gas and, in the future, it is likely that the relationship between the market price of UNG's shares and changes in the spot prices of natural gas will continue to be so impacted by contango and backwardation. (It is important to note that the disclosure above ignores the potential costs associated with physically owning and storing natural gas, which could be substantial.)

Principal Investment Risks of an Investment in UNG

An investment in UNG involves a degree of risk. Some of the risks you may face are summarized below. A more extensive discussion of these risks appears beginning on page 4.

Investment Risk

Investors may choose to use UNG as a means of investing indirectly in natural gas. There are significant risks and hazards inherent in the natural gas industry that may cause the price of natural gas to widely fluctuate.

Correlation Risk

To the extent that investors use UNG as a means of indirectly investing in natural gas, there is the risk that the daily changes in the price of UNG's shares on the NYSE Arca on a percentage basis will not closely track the daily changes in the spot price of natural gas on a percentage basis. This could happen if the price of shares traded on the NYSE Arca does not correlate closely with the value of UNG's NAV; the changes in UNG's NAV do not correlate closely with the changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract; or the changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract do not closely correlate with the changes in the cash or spot price of natural gas. This is a risk because if these correlations do not exist, then investors may not be able to use UNG as a cost-effective way to indirectly invest in natural gas or as a hedge against the risk of loss in natural gas-related transactions.

The price relationship between the near month contract to expire and the next month contract to expire that compose the Benchmark Futures Contract will vary and may impact both the total return over time of UNG's NAV, as well as the degree to which its total return tracks other natural gas price indices' total returns. In cases in which the near month contract's price is lower than the next month contract's price (a situation known as "contango" in the futures markets), then absent the impact of the overall movement in natural gas prices the value of the benchmark contract would tend to decline as it approaches expiration. In cases in which the near month contract's price is higher than the next month contract's price (a situation known as "backwardation" in the futures markets), then absent the impact of the overall movement in natural gas prices the value of the benchmark contract would tend to rise as it approaches expiration.

Tax Risk

UNG is organized and operated as a limited partnership in accordance with the provisions of its limited partnership agreement and applicable state law, and therefore, has a more complex tax treatment than conventional mutual funds.

Over-the-Counter (OTC) Contract Risk

UNG may also invests in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, many of which are negotiated or "OTC" contracts that are not as liquid as Natural Gas Futures Contracts and expose UNG to credit risk that its counterparty may not be able to satisfy its obligations to UNG.

Other Risks

UNG pays fees and expenses that are incurred regardless of whether it is profitable.

Unlike mutual funds, commodity pools or other investment pools that manage their investments in an attempt to realize income and gains and distribute such income and gains to their investors, UNG generally does not distribute cash to limited partners or other shareholders. You should not invest in UNG if you will need cash distributions from UNG to pay taxes on your share of income and gains of UNG, if any, or for any other reason.

You will have no rights to participate in the management of UNG and will have to rely on the duties and judgment of USCF to manage UNG.

UNG is subject to actual and potential inherent conflicts involving USCF, various commodity futures brokers and “Authorized Participants,” the institutional firms that directly purchase and redeem shares in baskets. USCF’s officers, directors and employees do not devote their time exclusively to UNG. USCF’s persons are directors, officers or employees of other entities that may compete with UNG for their services, including other commodity pools (funds) that USCF manages. USCF could have a conflict between its responsibilities to UNG and to those other entities. As a result of these and other relationships, parties involved with UNG have a financial incentive to act in a manner other than in the best interests of UNG and the shareholders.

UNG's Fees and Expenses

This table describes the fees and expenses that you may pay if you buy and hold shares of UNG. You should note that you may pay brokerage commissions on purchases and sales of UNG's shares, which are not reflected in the table. Authorized Participants will pay applicable creation and redemption fees. See "Creation and Redemption of Shares-Creation and Redemption Transaction Fee," page 56.

Annual Fund Operating Expenses (expenses that you pay each year as a percentage of the value of your investment)⁽¹⁾

Management Fees	0.60 % ⁽²⁾
Distribution Fees	None
Other Expenses	0.70 %
Total Annual Fund Expenses	1.30 %

Based on amounts for the year ended December 31, 2017. The individual expense amounts in dollar terms are shown in the table below. As used in this table, (i) Professional Expenses include expenses for legal, audit, tax accounting and printing; and (ii) Independent Director and Officer Expenses include amounts paid to independent directors and for officers' liability insurance.

Management Fees	\$2,972,340
Brokerage commissions	\$1,728,440
Professional Expenses	\$1,390,315
License fees	\$74,309
Independent Director and Officer Expenses	\$64,982
Registration fees	\$204,998

These amounts are based on UNG's average total net assets, which are the sum of daily total net assets of UNG divided by the number of calendar days in the year. For the year ended December 31, 2017, UNG's average total net assets were \$495,390,077.

UNG is contractually obligated to pay USCF a management fee based on average daily net assets and paid monthly of 0.60% per annum on average net assets of \$1,000,000,000 or less. If the average daily net assets are greater than \$1,000,000,000 then the management fee would be 0.50% on the incremental average daily net assets and the Total Annual Fund expenses would be lower.

RISK FACTORS INVOLVED WITH AN INVESTMENT IN UNG

You should consider carefully the risks described below before making an investment decision. You should also refer to the other information included in this prospectus, as well as information found in our periodic reports, which include UNG's financial statements and the related notes, that are incorporated by reference. See "Incorporation By Reference of Certain Information", page 59.

UNG's investment objective is for the daily changes in percentage terms of its shares' per share NAV to reflect the daily changes in percentage terms of the spot price of natural gas delivered at the Henry Hub, Louisiana as measured by the daily changes in the price of the futures contract for natural gas traded on the NYMEX that is the near month contract to expire, except when the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration, in which case it will be measured by the futures contract that is the next month contract to expire (the "Benchmark Futures Contract"), plus interest earned on UNG's collateral holdings, less UNG's expenses. UNG seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing so that the average daily percentage change in UNG's NAV for any period of 30 successive valuation days will be within plus/minus ten percent (10%) of the average daily percentage change in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract over the same period. UNG's investment strategy is designed to provide investors with a cost-effective way to invest indirectly in natural gas and to hedge against movements in the price of natural gas. An investment in UNG involves investment risk similar to a direct investment in Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, and correlation risk, or the risk that investors purchasing shares to hedge against movements in the price of natural gas will have an efficient hedge only if the price they pay for their shares closely correlates with the price of natural gas. In addition to investment risk and correlation risk, an investment UNG involves tax risks, OTC risks and other risks.

Investment Risk

The NAV of UNG's shares relates directly to the value of the Benchmark Futures Contracts and other assets held by UNG and fluctuations in the price of these assets could materially adversely affect an investment in UNG's shares. Past performance is not necessarily indicative of futures results; all or substantially all of an investment in UNG could be lost.

The net assets of UNG consist primarily of investments in Futures Contracts and, to a lesser extent, in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments. The NAV of UNG's shares relates directly to the value of these assets (less liabilities, including accrued but unpaid expenses), which in turn relates to the price of natural gas in the marketplace. Natural gas prices depend on local, regional and global events or conditions that affect supply and demand for natural gas.

Economic conditions impacting natural gas. The demand for natural gas correlates closely with general economic growth rates. The occurrence of recessions or other periods of low or negative economic growth will typically have a direct adverse impact on natural gas demand and therefore may have an adverse impact on natural gas prices. Other factors that affect general economic conditions in the world or in a major region, such as changes in population growth rates, periods of civil unrest, government austerity programs, or currency exchange rate fluctuations, can also impact the demand for natural gas. Sovereign debt downgrades, defaults, inability to access debt markets due to credit or legal constraints, liquidity crises, the breakup or restructuring of fiscal, monetary, or political systems such as the European Union, and other events or conditions that impair the functioning of financial markets and institutions also may adversely impact the demand for natural gas.

Other natural gas demand-related factors. Other factors that may affect the demand for natural gas and therefore its price, include technological improvements in energy efficiency; seasonal weather patterns, which affect the demand for natural gas associated with heating and cooling; increased competitiveness of alternative energy sources that have so far generally not been competitive with natural gas without the benefit of government subsidies or mandates; and changes in technology or consumer preferences that alter fuel choices, such as toward alternative fueled vehicles.

Other natural gas supply-related factors. Natural gas prices also vary depending on a number of factors affecting supply. For example, increased supply from the development of new natural gas sources and technologies to enhance recovery from existing sources tends to reduce natural gas prices to the extent such supply increases are not offset by commensurate growth in demand. Similarly, increases in industry refining or manufacturing capacity may impact the supply of natural gas. Natural gas supply levels can also be affected by factors that reduce available supplies, such as natural disasters, disruptions in competitors' operations, or unexpected unavailability of distribution channels that may disrupt supplies. Technological change can also alter the relative costs for companies in the natural gas industry to find, produce, and transport natural gas, which in turn, may affect the supply of and demand for natural gas.

Other factors impacting the natural gas market. The supply of and demand for natural gas may also be impacted by changes in interest rates, inflation, and other local or regional market conditions, as well as by the development of alternative energy sources.

Price Volatility May Possibly Cause the Total Loss of Your Investment. Futures contracts have a high degree of price variability and are subject to occasional rapid and substantial changes. Consequently, you could lose all or substantially all of your investment in UNG.

Correlation Risk

Investors purchasing shares to hedge against movements in the price of natural gas will have an efficient hedge only if the price investors pay for their shares closely correlates with the price of natural gas. Investing in UNG's shares for hedging purposes involves the following risks:

- The market price at which the investor buys or sells shares may be significantly less or more than NAV.
- Daily percentage changes in NAV may not closely correlate with daily percentage changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract.
- Daily percentage changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract may not closely correlate with daily percentage changes in the price of natural gas.

The market price at which investors buy or sell shares may be significantly less or more than NAV.

UNG's NAV per share will change throughout the day as fluctuations occur in the market value of UNG's portfolio investments. The public trading price at which an investor buys or sells shares during the day from their broker may be different from the NAV of the shares. Price differences may relate primarily to supply and demand forces at work in the secondary trading market for shares that are closely related to, but not identical to, the same forces influencing the prices of the natural gas and the Benchmark Futures Contract at any point in time. USCF expects that exploitation of certain arbitrage opportunities by Authorized Participants and their clients and customers will tend to cause the public trading price to track NAV per share closely over time, but there can be no assurance of that.

The NAV of UNG's shares may also be influenced by non-concurrent trading hours between the NYSE Arca and the various futures exchanges on which natural gas is traded. While the shares trade on the NYSE Arca from 9:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time, the trading hours for the futures exchanges on which natural gas trades may not necessarily coincide during all of this time. For example, while the shares trade on the NYSE Arca until 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time, liquidity in the natural gas market will be reduced after the close of the NYMEX at 2:30 p.m. Eastern Time. As a result, during periods when the NYSE Arca is open and the futures exchanges on which natural gas is traded are closed, trading spreads and the resulting premium or discount on the shares may widen and, therefore, increase the difference between the price of the shares and the NAV of the shares.

Daily percentage changes in UNG's NAV may not correlate with daily percentage changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract.

It is possible that the daily percentage changes in UNG's NAV per share may not closely correlate to daily percentage changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract. Non-correlation may be attributable to disruptions in the market for natural gas, the imposition of position or accountability limits by regulators or exchanges, or other extraordinary circumstances. As UNG approaches or reaches position limits with respect to the Benchmark Futures Contract and other Futures Contracts or in view of market conditions, UNG may begin investing in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments. In addition, UNG is not able to replicate exactly the changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract because the total return generated by UNG is reduced by expenses and transaction costs, including those incurred in connection with UNG's trading activities, and increased by interest income from UNG's holdings of Treasuries (defined below). Tracking the Benchmark Futures Contract requires trading of UNG's portfolio with a view

to tracking the Benchmark Futures Contract over time and is dependent upon the skills of USCF and its trading principals, among other factors.

Daily percentage changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract may not correlate with daily percentage changes in the spot price of natural gas.

The correlation between changes in prices of the Benchmark Futures Contract and the spot price of natural gas may at times be only approximate. The degree of imperfection of correlation depends upon circumstances such as variations in the speculative natural gas market, supply of and demand for Futures Contracts (including the Benchmark Futures Contract) and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, and technical influences in natural gas futures trading.

Natural forces in the natural gas futures market known as “backwardation” and “contango” may increase UNG’s tracking error and/or negatively impact total return.

The design of UNG’s Benchmark Futures Contract is such that every month it begins by using the near month contract to expire until the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration, when, over a four day period, it transitions to the next month contract to expire as its benchmark contract and keeps that contract as its benchmark until it becomes the near month contract and close to expiration. In the event of a natural gas futures market where near month contracts trade at a higher price than next month to expire contracts, a situation described as “backwardation” in the futures market, then absent the impact of the overall movement in natural gas prices the value of the benchmark contract would tend to rise as it approaches expiration. Conversely, in the event of a natural gas futures market where near month contracts trade at a lower price than next month contracts, a situation described as “contango” in the futures market, then absent the impact of the overall movement in natural gas prices the value of the benchmark contract would tend to decline as it approaches expiration. When compared to total return of other price indices, such as the spot price of natural gas, the impact of backwardation and contango may cause the total return of UNG’s per share NAV to vary significantly. Moreover, absent the impact of rising or falling natural gas prices, a prolonged period of contango could have a significant negative impact on UNG’s per share NAV and total return and investors could lose part or all of their investment. See “Additional Information About UNG, its Investment Objective and Investments” for a discussion of the potential effects of contango and backwardation.

Accountability levels, position limits, and daily price fluctuation limits set by the exchanges have the potential to cause tracking error, which could cause the price of shares to substantially vary from the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract.

Designated contract markets, such as the NYMEX and ICE Futures have established accountability levels and position limits on the maximum net long or net short futures contracts in commodity interests that any person or group of persons under common trading control (other than as a hedge, which an investment by UNG is not) may hold, own or control. These levels and position limits apply to the futures contracts that UNG invests in to meet its investment objective. In addition to accountability levels and position limits, the NYMEX and ICE Futures also set daily price limits on futures contracts. The daily price fluctuation limit establishes the maximum amount that the price of a futures contract may vary either up or down from the previous day’s settlement price. Once the daily price fluctuation limit has been reached in a particular futures contract, no trades may be made at a price beyond that limit.

The accountability levels for the Benchmark Futures Contract and other Futures Contracts traded on U.S.-based futures exchanges, such as the NYMEX, are not a fixed ceiling, but rather a threshold above which the NYMEX may exercise greater scrutiny and control over an investor’s positions. The current accountability level for investments for any one-month in the Benchmark Futures Contract is 6,000 contracts. In addition, the NYMEX imposes an accountability level for all months of 12,000 net futures contracts for natural gas. In addition, the ICE Futures maintains the same accountability levels, position limits and monitoring authority for its natural gas contract as the NYMEX. If UNG and the Related Public Funds exceed these accountability levels for investments in the futures contracts for natural gas, the NYMEX and ICE Futures will monitor such exposure and may ask for further information on their activities, including the total size of all positions, investment and trading strategy, and the extent of liquidity resources of UNG and the Related Public Funds. If deemed necessary by the NYMEX and/or ICE Futures, UNG could be ordered to reduce its aggregate net futures contracts back to the accountability level. As of December 31, 2017, UNG held 17,082 NYMEX natural gas Futures NG contracts. As of December 31, 2017, UNG held 20,000 natural gas Futures LD1 H Contracts traded on the ICE Futures. UNG exceeded accountability levels of the NYMEX during the year ended December 31, 2017, when it held a maximum of 19,572 natural gas Futures NG contracts, exceeding both the “any” and “all” month limits. No action was taken by the NYMEX and UNG did not reduce the number of Futures Contracts held as a result. UNG exceeded accountability levels of ICE Futures during the year ended December 31, 2017, when it held a maximum of 20,000 natural gas Futures LD1 H Contracts, exceeding the “any” month limit. No action was taken by ICE Futures and UNG did not reduce the number of futures contracts held as

a result.

Position limits differ from accountability levels in that they represent fixed limits on the maximum number of futures contracts that any person may hold and cannot allow such limits to be exceeded without express Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”) authority to do so. In addition to accountability levels and position limits that may apply at any time, the NYMEX and ICE Futures impose position limits on contracts held in the last few days of trading in the near month contract to expire. It is unlikely that UNG will run up against such position limits because UNG’s investment strategy is to close out its positions and “roll” from the near month contract to expire to the next month contract during a four-day period beginning two weeks from expiration of the contract. For the year ended December 31, 2017, UNG did not exceed position limits imposed by the NYMEX and ICE Futures.

6

The CFTC has proposed to adopt limits on speculative positions in 25 physical commodity futures and option contracts as well as swaps that are economically equivalent to such contracts in the agriculture, energy and metals markets (the “Position Limit Rules”). The Position Limit Rules would, among other things: identify which contracts are subject to speculative position limits; set thresholds that restrict the size of speculative positions that a person may hold in the spot month, other individual months, and all months combined; create an exemption for positions that constitute bona fide hedging transactions; impose responsibilities on designated contract markets (“DCMs”) and swap execution facilities (“SEFs”) to establish position limits or, in some cases, position accountability rules; and apply to both futures and swaps across four relevant venues: OTC, DCMs, SEFs as well as certain non-U.S. located platforms. The CFTC’s first attempt at finalizing the Position Limit Rules, in 2011, was successfully challenged by market participants in 2012 and, since then, the CFTC has repropounded them and solicited comments from market participants multiple times. At this time, it is unclear how the Position Limit Rules may affect UNG, but the effect may be substantial and adverse. By way of example, the Position Limit Rules may negatively impact the ability of UNG to meet its investment objectives through limits that may inhibit USCF’s ability to sell additional Creation Baskets of UNG.

Until such time as the Position Limit Rules are adopted, the regulatory architecture in effect prior to the adoption of the Position Limit Rules will govern transactions in commodities and related derivatives. Under that system, the CFTC enforces federal limits on speculation in nine agricultural products (e.g., corn, wheat and soy), while futures exchanges establish and enforce position limits and accountability levels for other agricultural products and certain energy products (e.g., oil and natural gas). As a result, UNG may be limited with respect to the size of its investments in any commodities subject to these limits.

Under existing and recently adopted CFTC regulations, for the purpose of position limits, a market participant is generally required, subject to certain narrow exceptions, to aggregate all positions for which that participant controls the trading decisions with all positions for which that participant has a 10 percent or greater ownership interest in an account or position, as well as the positions of two or more persons acting pursuant to an express or implied agreement or understanding with that market participant (the “Aggregation Rules”). The Aggregation Rules will also apply with respect to the Position Limit Rules if and when such Position Limit Rules are adopted.

Tax Risk

An investor’s tax liability may exceed the amount of distributions, if any, on its shares.

Cash or property will be distributed at the sole discretion of USCF. USCF has not and does not currently intend to make cash or other distributions with respect to shares. Investors will be required to pay U.S. federal income tax and, in some cases, state, local, or foreign income tax, on their allocable share of UNG’s taxable income, without regard to whether they receive distributions or the amount of any distributions. Therefore, the tax liability of an investor with respect to its shares may exceed the amount of cash or value of property (if any) distributed.

An investor’s allocable share of taxable income or loss may differ from its economic income or loss on its shares.

Due to the application of the assumptions and conventions applied by UNG in making allocations for tax purposes and other factors, an investor’s allocable share of UNG’s income, gain, deduction or loss may be different than its economic profit or loss from its shares for a taxable year. This difference could be temporary or permanent and, if permanent, could result in it being taxed on amounts in excess of its economic income.

Items of income, gain, deduction, loss and credit with respect to shares could be reallocated UNG could be liable for U.S. federal income tax, if the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) does not accept the assumptions and conventions applied by UNG in allocating those items, with potential adverse consequences for an investor.

The U.S. tax rules pertaining to partnerships are complex and their application to large, publicly traded partnerships such as UNG is in many respects uncertain. UNG applies certain assumptions and conventions in an attempt to comply with the intent of the applicable rules and to report taxable income, gains, deductions, losses and credits in a manner that properly reflects shareholders' economic gains and losses. These assumptions and conventions may not fully comply with all aspects of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code") and applicable Treasury Regulations, however, and it is possible that the IRS will successfully challenge UNG's allocation methods and require UNG to reallocate items of income, gain, deduction, loss or credit in a manner that adversely affects investors.

UNG may be liable for U.S. federal income tax on any “imputed understatement” of tax resulting from an adjustment as a result of an IRS audit. The amount of the imputed understatement generally includes increases in allocations of items of income or gains to any investor and decreases in allocations of items of deduction, loss, or credit to any investor without any offset for any corresponding reductions in allocations of items of income or gain to any investor or increases in allocations of items of deduction, loss, or credit to any investor. If UNG is required to pay any U.S. federal income taxes on any imputed understatement, the resulting tax liability would reduce the net assets of UNG and would likely have an adverse impact on the value of the shares. Under certain circumstances, UNG may be eligible to make an election to cause the investors to take into account the amount of any imputed understatement, including any interest and penalties. The ability of a publicly traded partnership such as UNG to make this election is uncertain. If the election is made, UNG would be required to provide investors who owned beneficial interests in the shares in the year to which the adjusted allocations relate with a statement setting forth their proportionate shares of the adjustment (“Adjusted K-1s”). The investors would be required to take the adjustment into account in the taxable year in which the Adjusted K-1s are issued.

UNG could be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, which may substantially reduce the value of the shares.

UNG has received an opinion of counsel that, under current U.S. federal income tax laws, UNG will be treated as a partnership that is not taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, provided that (i) at least 90 percent of UNG’s annual gross income will be derived from (x) income and gains from commodities (not held as inventory) or futures, forwards, options, swaps and other notional principal contracts with respect to commodities, and (y) interest income, (ii) UNG is organized and operated in accordance with its governing agreements and applicable law and (iii) UNG does not elect to be taxed as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. Although USCF anticipates that UNG has satisfied and will continue to satisfy the “qualifying income” requirement for all of its taxable years, that result cannot be assured. UNG has not requested and will not request any ruling from the IRS with respect to its classification as a partnership not taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. If the IRS were to successfully assert that UNG is taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes in any taxable year, rather than passing through its income, gains, losses and deductions proportionately to shareholders, UNG would be subject to tax on its net income for the year at corporate tax rates. In addition, although USCF does not currently intend to make distributions with respect to shares, any distributions would be taxable to shareholders as dividend income. Taxation of UNG as a corporation could materially reduce the after-tax return on an investment in shares and could substantially reduce the value of the shares.

UNG is organized and operated as a limited partnership in accordance with the provisions of the LP Agreement and applicable state law, and therefore, UNG has a more complex tax treatment than traditional mutual funds.

UNG is organized and operated as a limited partnership in accordance with the provisions of the LP Agreement and applicable state law. No U.S. federal income tax is paid by UNG on its income. Instead, UNG will furnish shareholders each year with tax information on IRS Schedule K-1 (Form 1065) and each U.S. shareholder is required to report on its U.S. federal income tax return its allocable share of the income, gain, loss and deduction of UNG.

This must be reported without regard to the amount (if any) of cash or property the shareholder receives as a distribution from UNG during the taxable year. A shareholder, therefore, may be allocated income or gain by UNG but receive no cash distribution with which to pay the tax liability resulting from the allocation, or may receive a distribution that is insufficient to pay such liability.

In addition to federal income taxes, shareholders may be subject to other taxes, such as state and local income taxes, unincorporated business taxes, business franchise taxes and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes that may be imposed by the various jurisdictions in which UNG does business or owns property or where the shareholders reside. Although an analysis of those various taxes is not presented here, each prospective shareholder should consider their potential

impact on its investment in UNG. It is each shareholder's responsibility to file the appropriate U.S. federal, state, local and foreign tax returns.

If UNG is required to withhold tax with respect to any Non-U.S. shareholders, the cost of such withholding may be borne by all shareholders.

Under certain circumstances, UNG may be required to pay withholding tax with respect to allocations to Non-U.S. shareholders. Although the LP Agreement provides that any such withholding will be treated as being distributed to the Non-U.S. shareholder, UNG may not be able to cause the economic cost of such withholding to be borne by the Non-U.S. shareholder on whose behalf such amounts were withheld since it does not generally expect to make any distributions. Under such circumstances, the economic cost of the withholding may be borne by all shareholders, not just the shareholders on whose behalf such amounts were withheld. This could have a material impact on the value of the shares.

The impact of U.S. tax reform on UNG is uncertain.

On December 22, 2017, H.R. 1, the bill formerly known as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (the “Tax Act”), was signed into law. The Tax Act substantially alters the U.S. federal tax system in a variety of ways, including significant changes to the taxation of business entities, the deductibility of interest expense, and the tax treatment of capital investment. We cannot predict with certainty how any changes in the tax laws might affect the U.S. economy or the demand for and the price of commodities. As a result, it is possible that the Tax Act, as well as any U.S. Treasury regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions interpreting the Tax Act and any future legislation related to tax reform, could have unexpected or negative impacts on UNG and some or all of its shareholders. Shareholders are urged to consult with their tax advisor regarding tax legislative, regulatory, or administrative developments and proposals and their potential effect on an investment in UNG.

OTC Contract Risk

UNG will be subject to credit risk with respect to counterparties to OTC contracts entered into by UNG or held by special purpose or structured vehicles.

UNG faces the risk of non-performance by the counterparties to the OTC contracts. Unlike in futures contracts, the counterparty to these contracts is generally a single bank or other financial institution, rather than a clearing organization backed by a group of financial institutions. As a result, there will be greater counterparty credit risk in these transactions. A counterparty may not be able to meet its obligations to UNG, in which case UNG could suffer significant losses on these contracts.

If a counterparty becomes bankrupt or otherwise fails to perform its obligations due to financial difficulties, UNG may experience significant delays in obtaining any recovery in a bankruptcy or other reorganization proceeding. UNG may obtain only limited recovery or may obtain no recovery in such circumstances.

Valuing OTC derivatives may be less certain than actively traded financial instruments.

In general, valuing OTC derivatives is less certain than valuing actively traded financial instruments such as exchange traded futures contracts and securities or cleared swaps because the price and terms on which such OTC derivatives are entered into or can be terminated are individually negotiated, and those prices and terms may not reflect the best price or terms available from other sources. In addition, while market makers and dealers generally quote indicative prices or terms for entering into or terminating OTC contracts, they typically are not contractually obligated to do so, particularly if they are not a party to the transaction. As a result, it may be difficult to obtain an independent value for an outstanding OTC derivatives transaction.

Other Risks

Certain of UNG’s investments could be illiquid, which could cause large losses to investors at any time or from time to time.

Futures positions cannot always be liquidated at the desired price. It is difficult to execute a trade at a specific price when there is a relatively small volume of buy and sell orders in a market. A market disruption, such as a foreign government taking political actions that disrupt the market for its currency, its natural gas production or exports, or another major export, can also make it difficult to liquidate a position. Because both Futures Contracts and Other

Natural Gas-Related Investments may be illiquid, UNG's Natural Gas Interests may be more difficult to liquidate at favorable prices in periods of illiquid markets and losses may be incurred during the period in which positions are being liquidated. The large size of the positions that UNG may acquire increases the risk of illiquidity both by making its positions more difficult to liquidate and by potentially increasing losses while trying to do so.

OTC contracts that are not subject to clearing may be even less marketable than futures contracts because they are not traded on an exchange, do not have uniform terms and conditions, and are entered into based upon the creditworthiness of the parties and the availability of credit support, such as collateral, and in general, they are not transferable without the consent of the counterparty. These conditions make such contracts less liquid than standardized futures contracts traded on a commodities exchange and could adversely impact UNG's ability to realize the full value of such contracts. In addition, even if collateral is used to reduce counterparty credit risk, sudden changes in the value of OTC transactions may leave a party open to financial risk due to a counterparty default since the collateral held may not cover a party's exposure on the transaction in such situations.

UNG is not actively managed and tracks the Benchmark Futures Contract during periods in which the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract is flat or declining as well as when the price is rising.

UNG is not actively managed by conventional methods. Accordingly, if UNG's investments in Natural Gas Interests are declining in value, UNG will not close out such positions except in connection with paying the proceeds to an Authorized Participant upon the redemption of a basket or closing out futures positions in connection with the monthly change in the Benchmark Futures Contract. USCF will seek to cause the NAV of UNG's shares to track the Benchmark Futures Contract during periods in which its price is flat or declining as well as when the price is rising.

The NYSE Arca may halt trading in UNG's shares, which would adversely impact an investor's ability to sell shares.

UNG's shares are listed for trading on the NYSE Arca under the market symbol "UNG." Trading in shares may be halted due to market conditions or, in light of NYSE Arca rules and procedures, for reasons that, in the view of the NYSE Arca, make trading in shares inadvisable. In addition, trading is subject to trading halts caused by extraordinary market volatility pursuant to "circuit breaker" rules that require trading to be halted for a specified period based on a specified market decline. Additionally, there can be no assurance that the requirements necessary to maintain the listing of UNG's shares will continue to be met or will remain unchanged.

The liquidity of the shares may also be affected by the withdrawal from participation of Authorized Participants, which could adversely affect the market price of the shares.

In the event that one or more Authorized Participants which have substantial interests in the shares withdraw from participation, the liquidity of the shares will likely decrease, which could adversely affect the market price of the shares and result in investors incurring a loss on their investment.

Shareholders that are not Authorized Participants may only purchase or sell their shares in secondary trading markets, and the conditions associated with trading in secondary markets may adversely affect investors' investment in the shares.

Only Authorized Participants may create or redeem Redemption Baskets. All other investors that desire to purchase or sell shares must do so through the NYSE Arca or in other markets, if any, in which the shares may be traded. Shares may trade at a premium or discount to NAV per share.

The lack of an active trading market for UNG's shares may result in losses on an investor's investment in UNG at the time the investor sells the shares.

Although UNG's shares are listed and traded on the NYSE Arca, there can be no guarantee that an active trading market for the shares will be maintained. If an investor needs to sell shares at a time when no active trading market for them exists, the price the investor receives upon sale of the shares, assuming they were able to be sold, likely would be lower than if an active market existed.

Limited partners and shareholders do not participate in the management of UNG and do not control USCF, so they do not have any influence over basic matters that affect UNG.

The limited partners and shareholders take no part in the management or control, and have a minimal voice in UNG's operations or business. Limited partners and shareholders must therefore rely upon the duties and judgment of USCF to manage UNG's affairs. Limited partners and shareholders have no right to elect USCF on an annual or any other continuing basis. If USCF voluntarily withdraws, however, the holders of a majority of UNG's outstanding shares (excluding for purposes of such determination shares owned, if any, by the withdrawing general partner and its

affiliates) may elect its successor. USCF may not be removed as general partner except upon approval by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 66 2/3 percent of UNG's outstanding shares (excluding shares, if any, owned by USCF and its affiliates), subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the LP Agreement.

Limited partners may have limited liability in certain circumstances, including potentially having liability for the return of wrongful distributions.

Under Delaware law, a limited partner might be held liable for UNG's obligations as if it were a general partner if the limited partner participates in the control of the partnership's business and the persons who transact business with the partnership think the limited partner is the general partner.

A limited partner will not be liable for assessments in addition to its initial capital investment in any of UNG's shares. However, a limited partner may be required to repay to UNG any amounts wrongfully returned or distributed to it under some circumstances. Under Delaware law, UNG may not make a distribution to limited partners if the distribution causes UNG's liabilities (other than liabilities to partners on account of their partnership interests and nonrecourse liabilities) to exceed the fair value of UNG's assets. Delaware law provides that a limited partner who receives such a distribution and knew at the time of the distribution that the distribution violated the law will be liable to the limited partnership for the amount of the distribution for three years from the date of the distribution.

The LLC Agreement provides limited authority to the Non-Management Directors, and any Director of USCF may be removed by USCF's parent company, which is wholly owned by Concierge, a controlled public company where the majority of shares are owned by Nicholas Gerber along with certain other family members and certain other shareholders.

USCF's Board of Directors (the "Board") currently consists of four Management Directors, each of whom are also executive officers or employees of USCF ("Management Directors"), and three Non-Management Directors, each of whom are considered independent for purposes of applicable NYSE Arca and Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rules. Under USCF's Sixth Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement, dated as of May 15, 2015 (as amended from time to time), the ("LLC Agreement"), the Non-Management Directors have only such authority as the Management Directors expressly confer upon them, which means that the Non-Management Directors may have less authority to control the actions of the Management Directors than is typically the case with the independent members of a company's Board. In addition, any Director may be removed by written consent of Wainwright Holdings, Inc. ("Wainwright"), which is the sole member of USCF. The sole shareholder of Wainwright is Concierge Technologies Inc., a company publicly traded under the ticker symbol "CNCG" ("Concierge"). Mr. Nicholas Gerber along with certain family members and certain other shareholders, own the majority of the shares in Concierge, which is the sole shareholder of Wainwright, the sole member of USCF. Accordingly, although USCF is governed by the Board, which consists of both Management Directors and Non-Management Directors, pursuant to the LLC Agreement, it is possible for Mr. Gerber to exercise his indirect control of Wainwright to effect the removal of any Director (including the Non-Management Directors which comprise the Audit Committee) and to replace that Director with another Director. Having control in one person could have a negative impact on USCF and UNG, including their regulatory obligations.

There is a risk that UNG will not earn trading gains sufficient to compensate for the fees and expenses that it must pay and as such UNG may not earn any profit.

UNG pays brokerage charges of approximately 0.35% of average total net assets based on brokerage fees of \$3.50 per buy or sell, management fees of 0.60% of NAV on its average net assets of \$1,000,000 or less and 0.50% of net asset value on its average net assets that are greater than \$1,000,000, and OTC spreads and extraordinary expenses (e.g., subsequent offering expenses, other expenses not in the ordinary course of business, including the indemnification of any person against liabilities and obligations to the extent permitted by law and required under the LP Agreement and under agreements entered into by USCF on UNG's behalf and the bringing and defending of actions at law or in equity and otherwise engaging in the conduct of litigation and the incurring of legal expenses and the settlement of claims and litigation) that cannot be quantified.

These fees and expenses must be paid in all cases regardless of whether UNG's activities are profitable. Accordingly, UNG must earn trading gains sufficient to compensate for these fees and expenses before it can earn any profit.

Regulation of the commodity interests and energy markets is extensive and constantly changing; future regulatory developments are impossible to predict but may significantly and adversely affect UNG.

The futures markets are subject to comprehensive statutes, regulations, and margin requirements. In addition, the CFTC and futures exchanges are authorized to take extraordinary actions in the event of a market emergency, including, for example, the retroactive implementation of speculative position limits or higher margin requirements, the establishment of daily price limits and the suspension of trading. Regulation of commodity interest transactions in the United States is a rapidly changing area of law and is subject to ongoing modification by governmental and judicial action. Considerable regulatory attention has been focused on non-traditional investment pools that are publicly distributed in the United States. In addition, various national governments outside of the United States have expressed concern regarding the disruptive effects of speculative trading in the energy markets and the need to regulate the derivatives markets in general. The effect of any future regulatory change on UNG is impossible to predict, but it could be substantial and adverse.

An investment in UNG may provide little or no diversification benefits. Thus, in a declining market, UNG may have no gains to offset losses from other investments, and an investor may suffer losses on an investment in UNG while incurring losses with respect to other asset classes.

Historically, Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments have generally been non-correlated to the performance of other asset classes such as stocks and bonds. Non-correlation means that there is a low statistically valid relationship between the performance of futures and other commodity interest transactions, on the one hand, and stocks or bonds, on the other hand.

However, there can be no assurance that such non-correlation will continue during future periods. If, contrary to historic patterns, UNG's performance were to move in the same general direction as the financial markets, investors will obtain little or no diversification benefits from an investment in UNG's shares. In such a case, UNG may have no gains to offset losses from other investments, and investors may suffer losses on their investment in UNG at the same time they incur losses with respect to other investments.

Variables such as drought, floods, weather, embargoes, tariffs and other political events may have a larger impact on natural gas prices and natural gas-linked instruments, including Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, than on traditional securities. These additional variables may create additional investment risks that subject UNG's investments to greater volatility than investments in traditional securities.

Non-correlation should not be confused with negative correlation, where the performance of two asset classes would be opposite of each other. There is no historical evidence that the spot price of natural gas and prices of other financial assets, such as stocks and bonds, are negatively correlated. In the absence of negative correlation, UNG cannot be expected to be automatically profitable during unfavorable periods for the stock market, or vice versa.

UNG is not a registered investment company so shareholders do not have the protections of the 1940 Act.

UNG is not an investment company subject to the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("1940 Act"). Accordingly, investors do not have the protections afforded by that statute which, for example, requires investment companies to have a majority of disinterested directors and regulates the relationship between the investment company and its investment manager.

Trading in international markets could expose UNG to credit and regulatory risk.

UNG invests primarily in Futures Contracts, a significant portion of which are traded on United States exchanges, including the NYMEX. However, a portion of UNG's trades may take place on markets and exchanges outside the United States. Some non-U.S. markets present risks because they are not subject to the same degree of regulation as their U.S. counterparts. Trading on such non-U.S. markets or exchanges presents risks because they are not subject to the same degree of regulation as their U.S. counterparts, including potentially different or diminished investor protections. In trading contracts denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars, UNG is subject to the risk of adverse exchange-rate movements between the dollar and the functional currencies of such contracts. Additionally, trading on non-U.S. exchanges is subject to the risks presented by exchange controls, expropriation, increased tax burdens and exposure to local economic declines and political instability. An adverse development with respect to any of these variables could reduce the profit or increase the loss earned on trades in the affected international markets.

UNG and USCF may have conflicts of interest, which may permit them to favor their own interests to the detriment of shareholders.

UNG is subject to actual and potential inherent conflicts involving USCF, various commodity futures brokers and Authorized Participants. USCF's officers, directors and employees do not devote their time exclusively to UNG and also are directors, officers or employees of other entities that may compete with UNG for their services. They could have a conflict between their responsibilities to UNG and to those other entities. As a result of these and other relationships, parties involved with UNG have a financial incentive to act in a manner other than in the best interests of UNG and the shareholders. USCF has not established any formal procedure to resolve conflicts of interest. Consequently, investors are dependent on the good faith of the respective parties subject to such conflicts of interest to resolve them equitably. Although USCF attempts to monitor these conflicts, it is extremely difficult, if not impossible, for USCF to ensure that these conflicts do not, in fact, result in adverse consequences to the shareholders.

UNG may also be subject to certain conflicts with respect to the Futures Commission Merchant ("FCM"), including, but not limited to, conflicts that result from receiving greater amounts of compensation from other clients, or purchasing opposite or competing positions on behalf of third party accounts traded through the FCM. In addition, USCF's principals, officers, directors or employees may trade futures and related contracts for their own account. A conflict of interest may exist if their trades are in the same markets and at the same time as UNG trades using the clearing broker to be used by UNG. A potential conflict also may occur if USCF's principals, officers, directors or employees trade their accounts more aggressively or take positions in their accounts which are opposite, or ahead of, the positions taken by UNG.

UNG could terminate at any time and cause the liquidation and potential loss of an investor's investment and could upset the overall maturity and timing of an investor's investment portfolio.

UNG may terminate at any time, regardless of whether UNG has incurred losses, subject to the terms of the LP Agreement. In particular, unforeseen circumstances, including the adjudication of incompetence, bankruptcy, dissolution, or removal of USCF as the general partner of UNG could cause UNG to terminate unless a majority interest of the limited partners within 90 days of the event elects to continue the partnership and appoints a successor general partner, or the affirmative vote of a majority in interest of the limited partners subject to certain conditions. However, no level of losses will require USCF to terminate UNG. UNG's termination would cause the liquidation and potential loss of an investor's investment. Termination could also negatively affect the overall maturity and timing of an investor's investment portfolio.

UNG does not expect to make cash distributions.

UNG has not previously made any cash distributions and intends to reinvest any realized gains in additional Natural Gas Interests rather than distributing cash to limited partners or other shareholders. Therefore, unlike mutual funds, commodity pools or other investment pools that actively manage their investments in an attempt to realize income and gains from their investing activities and distribute such income and gains to their investors, UNG generally does not expect to distribute cash to limited partners. An investor should not invest in UNG if the investor will need cash distributions from UNG to pay taxes on its share of income and gains of UNG, if any, or for any other reason. Nonetheless, although UNG does not intend to make cash distributions, the income earned from its investments held directly or posted as margin may reach levels that merit distribution, *e.g.*, at levels where such income is not necessary to support its underlying investments in Natural Gas Interests and investors adversely react to being taxed on such income without receiving distributions that could be used to pay such tax. If this income becomes significant then cash distributions may be made.

An unanticipated number of redemption requests during a short period of time could have an adverse effect on UNG's NAV.

If a substantial number of requests for redemption of Redemption Baskets are received by UNG during a relatively short period of time, UNG may not be able to satisfy the requests from UNG's assets not committed to trading. As a consequence, it could be necessary to liquidate positions in UNG's trading positions before the time that the trading strategies would otherwise dictate liquidation.

Money Market Reform

The SEC adopted amendments to Rule 2a-7 under the Investment Company Act of 1940, which became effective in 2016, to reform money market funds ("MMFs"). While the new rule applies only to MMFs, it may indirectly affect institutional investors such as UNG. A portion of UNG's assets that are not used for margin or collateral in the Futures Contracts currently are invested in government MMFs. UNG does not hold any non-government MMFs and, particularly in light of recent changes to the rule governing the operation of MMFs, does not anticipate investing in any non-government MMFs. However, if UNG invests in other types of MMFs besides government MMFs in the future, UNG could be negatively impacted by investing in an MMF that does not maintain a stable \$1.00 NAV or that has the potential to impose redemption fees and gates (temporary suspension of redemptions).

The failure or bankruptcy of a clearing broker could result in a substantial loss of UNG's assets and could impair UNG in its ability to execute trades.

In the event of the bankruptcy of a clearing broker or an Exchange's clearing house, UNG could be exposed to a risk of loss with respect to its assets that are posted as margin. If such a bankruptcy were to occur, UNG would be afforded the protections granted to customers of an FCM, and participants to transactions cleared through a clearing house, under the United States Bankruptcy Code and applicable CFTC regulations. Such provisions generally provide for a pro rata distribution to customers of customer property held by the bankrupt FCM or an Exchange's clearing house if the customer property held by the FCM or the Exchange's clearing house is insufficient to satisfy all customer claims. In any case, there can be no assurance that these protections will be effective in allowing UNG to recover all, or even any, of the amounts it has deposited as margin.

Bankruptcy of a clearing FCM can be caused by, among other things, the default of one of the FCM's customers. In this event, the Exchange's clearing house is permitted to use the entire amount of margin posted by UNG (as well as margin posted by other customers of the FCM) to cover the amounts owed by the bankrupt FCM. Consequently, UNG could be unable to recover amounts due to it on its futures positions, including assets posted as margin, and could sustain substantial losses.

CFTC regulations impose several requirements on FCMs that are designed to protect customers, including mandating certain customer protections, and the implementation of risk management programs, internal monitoring and controls, capital and liquidity standards, customer disclosures and auditing and 20 examination programs. There can be no assurance these regulations will prevent losses to, or not materially adversely affect, UNG or its investors.

Notwithstanding that UNG could sustain losses upon the failure or bankruptcy of its FCM, the majority of UNG's assets are held in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents with Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. (the "Custodian") and would not be impacted by the bankruptcy of an FCM.

The failure or bankruptcy of UNG's Custodian could result in a substantial loss of UNG's assets.

The majority of UNG's assets are held in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents with the Custodian. The insolvency of the Custodian could result in a complete loss of UNG's assets held by that Custodian, which, at any given time, would likely comprise a substantial portion of UNG's total assets.

Third parties may infringe upon or otherwise violate intellectual property rights or assert that USCF has infringed or otherwise violated their intellectual property rights, which may result in significant costs and diverted attention.

It is possible that third parties might utilize UNG's intellectual property or technology, including the use of its business methods, trademarks and trading program software, without permission. USCF has a patent for UNG's business method and has registered its trademarks. UNG does not currently have any proprietary software. However, if it obtains proprietary software in the future, any unauthorized use of UNG's proprietary software and other technology could also adversely affect its competitive advantage. UNG may not have adequate resources to implement procedures for monitoring unauthorized uses of its patents, trademarks, proprietary software and other technology. Also, third parties may independently develop business methods, trademarks or proprietary software and other technology similar to that of USCF or claim that USCF has violated their intellectual property rights, including their copyrights, trademark rights, trade names, trade secrets and patent rights. As a result, USCF may have to litigate in the future to protect its trade secrets, determine the validity and scope of other parties' proprietary rights, defend itself against claims that it has infringed or otherwise violated other parties' rights, or defend itself against claims that its rights are invalid. Any litigation of this type, even if USCF is successful and regardless of the merits, may result in significant costs, divert its resources from UNG, or require it to change its proprietary software and other technology or enter into royalty or licensing agreements.

Due to the increased use of technologies, intentional and unintentional cyber-attacks pose operational and information security risks.

With the increased use of technologies such as the internet and the dependence on computer systems to perform necessary business functions, UNG is susceptible to operational and information security risks. In general, cyber incidents can result from deliberate attacks or unintentional events. Cyber-attacks include, but are not limited to, gaining unauthorized access to digital systems for purposes of misappropriating assets or sensitive information, corrupting data, or causing operational disruption. Cyber-attacks may also be carried out in a manner that does not require gaining unauthorized access, such as causing denial-of-service attacks on websites. Cyber security failures or breaches of UNG's clearing broker or third party service provider (including, but not limited to, index providers, the administrator and transfer agent, the custodian), have the ability to cause disruptions and impact business operations, potentially resulting in financial losses, the inability of UNG shareholders to transact business, violations of applicable privacy and other laws, regulatory fines, penalties, reputational damage, reimbursement or other compensation costs, and/or additional compliance costs.

In addition, substantial costs may be incurred in order to prevent any cyber incidents in the future. UNG and its shareholders could be negatively impacted as a result. While UNG has established business continuity plans, there are inherent limitations in such plans.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT UNG, ITS INVESTMENT OBJECTIVE AND INVESTMENTS

UNG is a Delaware limited partnership organized on September 11, 2006. It operates pursuant to the terms of the Fifth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership dated as of December 15, 2017, (as amended from time to time, the “LP Agreement”), which grants full management control of UNG to USCF. UNG maintains its main business office at 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1530, Oakland, California 94612.

The net assets of UNG consist primarily of investments in Futures Contracts and, to a lesser extent, in order to comply with regulatory requirements or in view of market conditions, Other Natural Gas-Related Investments. Market conditions that USCF currently anticipates could cause UNG to invest in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments include those allowing UNG to obtain greater liquidity or to execute transactions with more favorable pricing.

UNG invests substantially the entire amount of its assets in Futures Contracts while supporting such investments by holding the amounts of its margin, collateral and other requirements relating to these obligations in short-term obligations of the United States of two years or less (“Treasuries”), cash and cash equivalents. The daily holdings of UNG are available on UNG’s website at www.uscfinvestments.com.

UNG invests in Natural Gas Interests to the fullest extent possible without being leveraged or unable to satisfy its current or potential margin or collateral obligations with respect to its investments in Natural Gas Interests. In pursuing this objective, the primary focus of USCF, is the investment in Futures Contracts and the management of UNG’s investments in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents for margining purposes and as collateral.

UNG seeks to invest in a combination of Natural Gas Interests such that the daily changes in its NAV, measured in percentage terms, will closely track the daily changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract, also measured in percentage terms. As a specific benchmark, USCF endeavors to place UNG’s trades in Natural Gas Interests and otherwise manage UNG’s investments so that “A” will be within plus/minus ten percent (10%) of “B,” where:

A is the average daily percentage change in UNG’s per share NAV for any period of 30 successive valuation days; *i.e.*, any NYSE Arca trading day as of which UNG calculates its per share NAV; and
B is the average daily percentage change in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract over the same period. USCF believes that market arbitrage opportunities will cause the daily changes in UNG’s share price on the NYSE Arca to closely track the daily changes in UNG’s per share NAV. USCF further believes that the daily changes in UNG’s NAV in percentage terms will closely track the daily changes in percentage terms in the Benchmark Futures Contract, less UNG’s expenses.

The following two graphs demonstrate the correlation between the changes in UNG’s NAV and the changes in the Benchmark Futures Contract. The first graph exhibits the daily changes for the last 30 valuation days ended December 31, 2017. The second graph measures monthly changes since December 31, 2012 through December 31, 2017.

****PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS***

****PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS***

USCF employs a “neutral” investment strategy in order to track changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract regardless of whether the price goes up or goes down. UNG’s “neutral” investment strategy is designed to permit investors generally to purchase and sell UNG’s shares for the purpose of investing indirectly in natural gas in a cost-effective manner, and/or to permit participants in the natural gas or other industries to hedge the risk of losses in their natural gas-related transactions. Accordingly, depending on the investment objective of an individual investor, the risks generally associated with investing in natural gas and/or the risks involved in hedging may exist. In addition, an investment in UNG involves the risk that the daily changes in the price of UNG’s shares, in percentage terms, will not accurately track the daily changes in the Benchmark Futures Contract, in percentage terms, and that daily changes in the Benchmark Futures Contract, in percentage terms, will not closely correlate with daily changes in the spot prices of natural gas, in percentage terms.

An alternative tracking measurement of the return performance of UNG versus the return of its Benchmark Futures Contract can be calculated by comparing the actual return of UNG, measured by changes in its per share NAV, versus the expected changes in its per share NAV under the assumption that UNG’s returns had been exactly the same as the daily changes in its Benchmark Futures Contract.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the actual total return of UNG as measured by changes in its per share NAV was (37.22)%. This is based on an initial per share NAV of \$37.18* as of December 31, 2016 and an ending per share NAV as of December 31, 2017 of \$23.34*. During this time period, UNG made no distributions to its shareholders. However, if UNG’s daily changes in its per share NAV had instead exactly tracked the changes in the daily total return of the Benchmark Futures Contract, UNG would have had an estimated per share NAV of \$23.44 as of December 31, 2017, for a total return over the relevant time period of (36.96)%. The difference between the actual per share NAV total return of UNG of (37.22)% and the expected total return based on the Benchmark Futures Contract of (36.96)% was an error over the time period of (0.26)%, which is to say that UNG’s actual total return underperformed the benchmark result by that percentage. UNG incurs expenses primarily composed of the management fee, brokerage commissions for the buying and selling of futures contracts, and other expenses. The impact of these expenses, offset by interest and dividend income, and net of positive or negative execution, tends to cause daily changes in the per share NAV of UNG to track slightly lower than daily changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract.

* Adjusted to give effect to the reverse share split of 1-for-4 executed on January 4, 2018

Impact of Contango and Backwardation on Total Returns

Several factors determine the total return from investing in futures contracts. One factor arises from “rolling” futures contracts that will expire at the end of the current month (the “near” or “front” month contract) forward each month prior to expiration. For a strategy that entails holding the near month contract, the price relationship between that futures contract and the next month futures contract will impact returns. For example, if the price of the near month futures contract is higher than the next futures month contract (a situation referred to as “backwardation”), then absent any other change, the price of a next month futures contract tends to rise in value as it becomes the near month futures contract and approaches expiration. Conversely, if the price of a near month futures contract is lower than the next month futures contract (a situation referred to as “contango”), then absent any other change, the price of a next month futures contract tends to decline in value as it becomes the near month futures contract and approaches expiration.

As an example, assume that the price of natural gas for immediate delivery, is \$3 per MMBtu, and the value of a position in the near month futures contract is also \$3. Over time, the price of natural gas will fluctuate based on a number of market factors, including demand for oil relative to supply. The value of the near month futures contract will likewise fluctuate in reaction to a number of market factors. If an investor seeks to maintain a position in a near month futures contract and not take delivery of physical MMBtu of natural gas, the investor must sell the current near month futures contract as it approaches expiration and invest in the next month futures contract. In order to continue holding a position in the current near month futures contract, this “roll” forward of the futures contract must be executed every month.

Contango and backwardation are natural market forces that have impacted the total return on an investment in UNG’s shares during the past year relative to a hypothetical direct investment in natural gas. In the future, it is likely that the relationship between the market price of UNG’s shares and changes in the spot prices of natural gas will continue to be impacted by contango and backwardation. It is important to note that this comparison ignores the potential costs associated with physically owning and storing natural gas, which could be substantial.

If the futures market is in backwardation, e.g., when the price of the near month futures contract is higher than the price of the next month futures contract, the investor would buy a next month futures contract for a lower price than the current near month futures contract. Assuming the price of the next month futures contract was \$2.94 per MMBtu, or 2% cheaper than the \$3 near month futures contract, then, hypothetically, and assuming no other changes (e.g., to either prevailing natural gas prices or the price relationship between the spot price, the near month contract and the next month contract, and, ignoring the impact of commission costs and the income earned on cash and/or cash equivalents), the value of the \$2.94 next month futures contract would rise to \$3 as it approaches expiration. In this example, the value of an investment in the next month futures contract would tend to outperform the spot price of natural gas. As a result, it would be possible for the new near month futures contract to rise 12% while the spot price of natural gas may have risen a lower amount, e.g., only 10%. Similarly, the spot price of natural gas could have fallen 10% while the value of an investment in the futures contract might have fallen another amount, e.g., only 8%. Over time, if backwardation remained constant, this difference between the spot price and the futures contract price would continue to increase.

If the futures market is in contango, an investor would be buying a next month futures contract for a higher price than the current near month futures contract. Again, assuming the near month futures contract is \$3 per MMBtu, the price of the next month futures contract might be \$3.06 per MMBtu, or 2% more expensive than the front month futures contract. Hypothetically, and assuming no other changes, the value of the \$3.06 next month futures contract would fall to \$3 as it approaches expiration. In this example, the value of an investment in the second month would tend to underperform the spot price of natural gas. As a result, it would be possible for the new near month futures contract to rise only 10% while the spot price of natural gas may have risen a higher amount, e.g., 12%. Similarly, the spot price of natural gas could have fallen 10% while the value of an investment in the second month futures contract might have

fallen another amount, e.g., 12%. Over time, if contango remained constant, this difference between the spot price and the futures contract price would continue to increase.

The chart below compares the daily price of the near month natural gas futures contract to the price of 13th month natural gas futures contract (i.e. a contract one year forward) over the last 10 years. When the price of the near month futures contract is higher than the price of the 13th month futures contract, the market would be described as being in backwardation. When the price of the near month futures contract is lower than the 13th month futures contract, the market would be described as being in contango. Although the price of the near month futures contract and the price of the 13th month futures contract tend to move together, it can be seen that at times the near month futures contract prices are higher than the 13th month futures contract prices (backwardation) and, at other times, the near month futures contract prices are lower than the 13th month futures contract prices (contango).

***PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS**

An alternative way to view the same data is to subtract the dollar price of the 13th month natural gas futures contract from the dollar price of the near month natural gas futures contract, as shown in the chart below. When the difference is positive, the market is in backwardation. When the difference is negative, the market is in contango. The natural gas market spent time in both backwardation and contango during the last ten years. The chart below shows the results from subtracting the average dollar price of the near 12 month contracts from the near month price for the 10 year period between December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2017. Investors will note that the natural gas market spent time in both backwardation and contango.

***PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS**

An investment in a portfolio that owned only the near month natural gas futures contract would likely produce a different result than an investment in a portfolio that owned an equal number of each of the near 12 months' of natural gas futures contracts. Generally speaking, when the natural gas futures market is in backwardation, a portfolio of only the near month natural gas futures contract may tend to have a higher total return than a portfolio of 12 months' of the natural gas futures contract. Conversely, if the natural gas futures market was in contango, the portfolio containing only 12 months' of natural gas futures contracts may tend to outperform the portfolio holding only the near month natural gas futures contract.

Historically, the natural gas futures markets have experienced periods of contango and backwardation. Because natural gas demand is seasonal, it is possible for the price of natural gas futures contracts for delivery within one or two months to rapidly move from backwardation into contango and back again within the relatively short period of time of less than one year. While the investment objective of UNG is not to have the market price of its shares match, dollar for dollar, changes in the spot price of natural gas, both contango and backwardation impacted the total return on an investment in UNG shares during the year ended December 31, 2017 relative to a hypothetical direct investment in natural gas. For example, an investment in UNG shares made on December 31, 2016 and held to December 31, 2017 decreased, based upon the changes in the NAV for UNG shares on those days, by approximately 37.22%*, while the spot price of natural gas for immediate delivery (as represented by the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract) during the same period decreased by approximately 20.70%* (note: this comparison ignores the potential costs associated with physically owning and storing natural gas, which could be substantial). By comparison, an investment in UNG shares made on December 31, 2015 and held to December 31, 2016 increased, based upon the changes in the NAV for UNG shares on those days, by approximately 6.90%*, while the spot price of natural gas for immediate delivery (as represented by the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract) during the same period increased by approximately 59.35%* (note: this comparison ignores the potential costs associated with physically owning and storing natural gas, which could be substantial).

* Adjusted to give effect to the reverse share split of 1-for-4 executed on January 4, 2018.

Periods of contango or backwardation do not materially impact UNG's investment objective of having the daily percentage changes in its per share NAV track the daily percentage changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract since the impact of backwardation and contango tend to equally impact the daily percentage changes in price of both UNG's shares and the Benchmark Futures Contract. It is impossible to predict with any degree of certainty whether backwardation or contango will occur in the future. It is likely that both conditions will occur during different periods and, because of the seasonal nature of natural gas demand, both may occur within a single year's time.

In managing UNG's assets, USCF does not use a technical trading system that issues buy and sell orders. USCF instead employs a quantitative methodology whereby each time a Creation Basket is sold, USCF purchases Natural Gas Interests, such as the Benchmark Futures Contract, that have an aggregate market value that approximates the amount of Treasuries and/or cash received upon the issuance of the Creation Basket.

The specific Futures Contracts purchased depend on various factors, including a judgment by USCF as to the appropriate diversification of UNG's investments in futures contracts with respect to the month of expiration, and the prevailing price volatility of particular contracts. While USCF has made significant investments in NYMEX Futures Contracts, for various reasons, including the ability to enter into the precise amount of exposure to the natural gas market, position limits or other regulatory requirements limiting UNG's holdings, and market conditions, it may invest in Futures Contracts traded on other exchanges or invest in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments. To the extent that UNG invests in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, it would prioritize investments in contracts and instruments that are economically equivalent to the Benchmark Futures Contract, including cleared swaps that satisfy such criteria, and then, to a lesser extent, it would invest in other types of cleared swaps and other contracts, instruments and non-cleared swaps, such as swaps in the over-the-counter market (or commonly referred to as the "OTC market"). If UNG is required by law or regulation, or by one of its regulators, including a futures exchange, to reduce its position in the Benchmark Futures Contract to the applicable position limit or to a specified accountability level or if market conditions dictate it would be more appropriate to invest in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, a substantial portion of UNG's assets could be invested in accordance with such priority in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments that are intended to replicate the return on the Benchmark Futures Contract. As UNG's assets reach higher levels, it is more likely to exceed position limits, accountability levels or other regulatory limits and, as a result, it is more likely that it will invest in accordance with such priority in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments at such higher levels. In addition, market conditions that USCF currently anticipates could cause UNG to invest in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments include those allowing UNG to obtain greater liquidity or to execute transactions with more favorable pricing. See "Risk Factors Involved with an Investment in UNG" for a discussion of the potential impact of regulation on UNG's ability to invest in OTC transactions and cleared swaps.

USCF may not be able to fully invest UNG's assets in the Benchmark Futures Contracts having an aggregate notional amount exactly equal to UNG's NAV. For example, as standardized contracts, the Benchmark Futures Contracts are for a specified amount of a particular commodity, and UNG's NAV and the proceeds from the sale of a Creation Basket are unlikely to be an exact multiple of the amounts of those contracts. As a result, in such circumstances, UNG may be better able to achieve the exact amount of exposure to changes in price of the Benchmark Futures Contract through the use of Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, such as OTC contracts that have better correlation with changes in price of the Benchmark Futures Contract.

UNG anticipates that to the extent it invests in Futures Contracts other than contracts on natural gas (such as futures contracts for light, sweet crude oil, diesel-heating oil and other petroleum-based fuels) and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, it will enter into various non-exchange-traded derivative contracts to hedge the short-term price movements of such Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments against the current Benchmark Futures Contract.

USCF does not anticipate letting UNG's Futures Contracts expire and taking delivery of the underlying commodity. Instead, USCF closes existing positions, e.g., when it changes the Benchmark Futures Contract or Other Natural Gas-Related Investments or it otherwise determines it would be appropriate to do so and reinvests the proceeds in new Futures Contracts or Other Natural Gas-Related Investments. Positions may also be closed out to meet orders for Redemption Baskets and in such case proceeds for such baskets will not be reinvested.

The Benchmark Futures Contract is changed from the near month contract to the next month contract over a four-day period. Each month, the Benchmark Futures Contract changes starting at the end of the day on the date two weeks prior to expiration of the near month contract for that month. During the first three days of the period, the applicable value of the Benchmark Futures Contract is based on a combination of the near month contract and the next month contract as follows: (1) day 1 consists of 75% of the then near month contract's price plus 25% of the price of the next month contract, divided by 75% of the near month contract's prior day's price plus 25% of the price of the next month contract, (2) day 2 consists of 50% of the then near month contract's price plus 50% of the price of the next month contract, divided by 50% of the near month contract's prior day's price plus 50% of the price of the next month contract, and (3) day 3 consists of 25% of the then near month contract's price plus 75% of the price of the next month contract, divided by 25% of the near month contract's prior day's price plus 75% of the price of the next month contract. On day 4, the Benchmark Futures Contract is the next month contract to expire at that time and that contract remains the Benchmark Futures Contract until the beginning of the following month's change in the Benchmark Futures Contract over a four-day period.

On each day during the four-day period, USCF anticipates it will "roll" UNG's positions in Natural Gas Interests by closing, or selling, a percentage of UNG's positions in Natural Gas Interests and reinvesting the proceeds from closing those positions in new Natural Gas Interests that reflect the change in the Benchmark Futures Contract.

The anticipated dates that the monthly four-day roll period will commence are posted on UNG's website at www.uscfinvestments.com, and are subject to change without notice.

By remaining invested as fully as possible in Futures Contracts or Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, USCF believes that the daily changes in percentage terms in UNG's per share NAV will continue to closely track the daily changes in percentage terms in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract. USCF believes that certain arbitrage opportunities result in the price of the shares traded on the NYSE Arca closely tracking the per share NAV of UNG. Additionally, Futures Contracts traded on the NYMEX have closely tracked the spot price of natural gas. Based on these expected interrelationships, USCF believes that the changes in the price of UNG's shares traded on the NYSE Arca have closely tracked and will continue to closely track on a daily basis the changes in the spot price of natural gas, on a percentage basis.

What are the Trading Policies of UNG?

Investment Objective

The investment objective of UNG is for the daily changes in percentage terms of its shares' per share net asset value ("NAV") to reflect the daily changes in percentage terms of the price of natural gas delivered at the Henry Hub, Louisiana, as measured by the daily changes in the price of a specified short-term futures contract called the "Benchmark Futures Contract", plus interest earned on UNG's collateral holdings, less UNG's expenses. The Benchmark Futures Contract is the futures contract on natural gas as traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange (the "NYMEX") that is the near month contract to expire, except when the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration, in which case it will be measured by the futures contract that is the next month contract to expire.

Liquidity

UNG invests only in Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments that, in the opinion of USCF, are traded in sufficient volume to permit the ready taking and liquidation of positions in these financial interests and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments that, in the opinion of USCF, may be readily liquidated with the original counterparty or through a third party assuming the position of UNG.

Spot Commodities

While the Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments traded can be physically settled, UNG does not intend to take or make physical delivery. UNG may from time to time trade in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, including contracts based on the spot price of natural gas.

Leverage

USCF endeavors to have the value of UNG's Treasuries, cash and cash equivalents, whether held by UNG or posted as margin or other collateral, at all times approximate the aggregate market value of its obligations under its Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments. Commodity pools' trading positions in futures contracts or other related investments are typically required to be secured by the deposit of margin funds that represent only a small percentage of a futures contract's (or other commodity interest's) entire market value. While USCF has not and does not intend to leverage UNG's assets, it is not prohibited from doing so under the LP Agreement.

Borrowings

Borrowings are not used by UNG, unless UNG is required to borrow money in the event of physical delivery, if UNG trades in cash commodities, or for short-term needs created by unexpected redemptions.

OTC Derivatives (Including Spreads and Straddles)

In addition to Futures Contracts, there are also a number of listed options on the Futures Contracts on the principal futures exchanges. These contracts offer investors and hedgers another set of financial vehicles to use in managing exposure to the natural gas market. Consequently, UNG may purchase options on Futures Contracts on these exchanges in pursuing its investment objective.

In addition to the Futures Contracts and options on the Futures Contracts, there also exists an active non-exchange-traded market in derivatives tied to natural gas. These derivatives transactions (also known as OTC contracts) are usually entered into between two parties in private contracts. Unlike most of the exchange-traded Futures Contracts or exchange-traded options on the Futures Contracts, each party to such contract bears the credit risk of the other party, *i.e.*, the risk that the other party may not be able to perform its obligations under its contract. To reduce the credit risk that arises in connection with such contracts, UNG will generally enter into an agreement with each counterparty based on the Master Agreement published by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (“ISDA”) that provides for the netting of its overall exposure to its counterparty.

USCF assesses or reviews, as appropriate, the creditworthiness of each potential or existing counterparty to an OTC contract pursuant to guidelines approved by the Board.

UNG may enter into certain transactions where an OTC component is exchanged for a corresponding futures contract (“Exchange for Related Position” or “EFRP” transactions). In the most common type of EFRP transaction entered into by UNG, the OTC component is the purchase or sale of one or more baskets of UNG shares. These EFRP transactions may expose UNG to counterparty risk during the interim period between the execution of the OTC component and the exchange for a corresponding futures contract. Generally, the counterparty risk from the EFRP transaction will exist only on the day of execution.

UNG may employ spreads or straddles in its trading to mitigate the differences in its investment portfolio and its goal of tracking the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract. UNG would use a spread when it chooses to take simultaneous long and short positions in futures written on the same underlying asset, but with different delivery months.

All of UNG’s assets in 2017 and through February 28, 2018, were allocated to Futures Contracts listed on a U.S. Exchange, Treasuries and money market funds. UNG did not engage in trading in futures contracts listed on a foreign exchange or forward contracts, including options on such contracts. UNG does not anticipate engaging in trading in futures contracts listed on a foreign exchange, forward contracts or options on such contracts, but it may do so as outlined in UNG’s listing exemptive order or as permitted under current regulations.

Pyramiding

UNG has not and will not employ the technique, commonly known as pyramiding, in which the speculator uses unrealized profits on existing positions as variation margin for the purchase or sale of additional positions in the same or another commodity interest.

Prior Performance of UNG

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS

USCF manages UNG which is a commodity pool that issues shares traded on the NYSE Arca. The chart below shows, as of February 28, 2018, the number of Authorized Participants, the total number of baskets created and redeemed since inception and the number of outstanding shares for UNG.

# of Authorized Participants	Baskets Purchased	Baskets Redeemed	Outstanding Shares
16	19,847	18,947	89,959,445

22

Since the commencement of the offering of UNG's shares to the public on April 18, 2007 to February 28, 2018, the simple average daily change in the Benchmark Futures Contract was (0.116)%, while the simple average daily change in the per share NAV of UNG over the same time period was (0.117)%. The average daily difference was (0.001)% (or (0.1) basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the Benchmark Futures Contract, the average error in daily tracking by the per share NAV was (0.248)% meaning that over this time period UNG's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal.

The table below shows the relationship between the trading prices of the shares and the daily NAV of UNG, since inception through February 28, 2018. The first row shows the average amount of the variation between UNG's closing market price and NAV, computed on a daily basis since inception, while the second and third rows depict the maximum daily amount of the end of day premiums and discounts to NAV since inception, on a percentage basis. USCF believes that maximum and minimum end of day premiums and discounts typically occur because trading in the shares continues on the NYSE Arca until 4:00 p.m. New York time while regular trading in the benchmark futures contract on the NYMEX ceases at 2:30 p.m. New York time and the value of the relevant benchmark futures contract, for purposes of determining its end of day NAV, can be determined at that time.

	UNG
Average Difference	\$0.83
Max Premium %	16.86%
Max Discount %	(2.42)%

For more information on the performance of UNG, see the Performance Tables below.

***PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS**

COMPOSITE PERFORMANCE DATA FOR UNG

Name of Commodity Pool: United States Natural Gas Fund, LP

Type of Commodity Pool: Exchange traded security

Inception of Trading: April 18, 2007

Aggregate Subscriptions (from inception through February 28, 2018): \$27,461,551,267

Total Net Assets as of February 28, 2018: \$406,356,724

NAV per Share as of February 28, 2018: \$22.22

Worst Monthly Percentage Draw-down: December 2014 (29.76)%

Worst Peak-to-Valley Draw-down: June 2008 - February 2018 (98.89)%

Month	Rates of Return*					
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
January	(0.42)%	18.46%	(6.15)%	(2.99)%	(16.04)%	8.49%
February	2.18%	4.63%	0.95%	(28.00)%	(13.85)%	(12.17)%
March	14.22%	(4.58)%	(4.33)%	9.39%	12.65%	
April	7.02%	9.57%	2.49%	6.02%	0.00%	

Edgar Filing: United States Natural Gas Fund, LP - Form 424B3

May	(9.46)%	(5.88)%	(5.74)%	(1.85)%	(8.59)%
June	(11.11)%	(2.11)%	6.09 %	25.18 %	(2.02)%
July	(3.44)%	(14.00)%	(4.19)%	(0.69)%	(7.52)%
August	3.13 %	4.87 %	(2.38)%	(1.16)%	7.50 %
September	(2.71)%	(0.32)%	(8.73)%	(1.88)%	(2.97)%
October	(3.01)%	(8.37)%	(15.69)%	(2.52)%	(9.02)%
November	8.85 %	2.86 %	(10.63)%	4.06 %	1.18 %
December	6.57 %	(29.76)%	(0.57)%	9.94 %	(3.16)%

Annual Rate of Return 9.11 % (28.95)% (40.60)% 6.90 % (37.24)% (4.72)**

* The monthly rate of return is calculated by dividing the ending NAV of a given month by the ending NAV of the previous month, subtracting 1 and multiplying this number by 100 to arrive at a percentage increase or decrease.

**Through February 28, 2018.

Draw-down: Losses experienced by the fund over a specified period. Draw-down is measured on the basis of monthly returns only and does not reflect intra-month figures.

Worst Monthly Percentage Draw-down: The largest single month loss sustained during the most recent five calendar years and year-to-date.

Worst Peak-to-Valley Draw-down: The largest percentage decline in the NAV per share over the history of the fund. This need not be a continuous decline, but can be a series of positive and negative returns where the negative returns are larger than the positive returns. Worst Peak-to-Valley Draw-down represents the greatest cumulative percentage decline in month-end per share NAV is not equaled or exceeded by a subsequent month-end per share NAV.

UNG'S OPERATIONS

USCF and its Management and Traders

USCF is a single member limited liability company that was formed in the state of Delaware on May 10, 2005. USCF maintains its main business office at 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1530, Oakland, California 94612. USCF is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Wainwright Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Wainwright”), which is an intermediate holding company that owns USCF and another advisor of exchange traded funds and mutual funds. Wainwright is a wholly owned subsidiary of Concierge Technologies, Inc. (publicly traded under the ticker CNCG) (“Concierge”), a publicly traded holding company that owns various financial and non-financial businesses. Mr. Nicholas Gerber (discussed below), along with certain family members and certain other shareholders, owns the majority of the shares in Concierge. Wainwright is a holding company that currently holds both USCF, as well as USCF Advisers LLC, an investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended. USCF Advisers LLC serves as the investment adviser for the USCF SummerHaven SHPEN Index Fund (“BUYN”), the USCF SummerHaven SHPEI Index Fund (“BUY”), each a series of the USCF ETF Trust, as well as the USCF Commodity Strategy Fund, a series of the USCF Mutual Funds Trust. USCF ETF Trust and USCF Mutual Funds Trust are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “1940 Act”). USCF Advisers LLC was also the investment adviser for the Stock Split Index Fund (“TOFR”) and the USCF Restaurant Leaders Fund (“MENU”), each a series of the USCF ETF Trust, until October 2017 when both funds liquidated all of their assets and distributed cash pro rata to all remaining shareholders. The Board of Trustees for the USCF ETF Trust and USCF Mutual Funds Trust consist of different independent trustees than those independent directors who serve on the Board of Directors of USCF. USCF is a member of the National Futures Association (the “NFA”) and registered as a commodity pool operator (“CPO”) with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the “CFTC”) on December 1, 2005 and as a swaps firm on August 8, 2013.

USCF serves as general partner of UNG. USCF also serves as the general partner of the United States Short Oil Fund, LP (“DNO”), the United States 12 Month Oil Fund, LP (“USL”), the United States Gasoline Fund, LP (“UGA”), the United States Diesel-Heating Oil Fund, LP (“UHN”), the United States Oil Fund, LP (“USO”), the United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP (“UNL”) and the United States Brent Oil Fund, LP (“BNO”). USCF is also the sponsor of the United States Commodity Index Fund (“USCI”), the United States Copper Index Fund (“CPER”), the United States Agriculture Index Fund (“USAG”), and the USCF Canadian Crude Oil Index Fund (“UCCO”), each a series of the United States Commodity Index Funds Trust. UCCO is currently in registration and has not commenced operations.

In addition, USCF is the sponsor of the USCF Funds Trust, a Delaware Statutory Trust, and two of its series: the United States 3x Oil Fund (“USOU”) and the United States 3x Short Oil Fund (“USOD”), which commenced operations

on July 20, 2017.

All funds listed previously, other than UCCO, are referred to collectively herein as the “Related Public Funds.”

The Related Public Funds are subject to reporting requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”) and, if registered under the 1940 Act, a Related Public Fund also must comply with the reporting requirements under the 1940 Act. For more information about each of the Related Public Funds, investors in UNG may call 1-800-920-0259 or visit www.uscfinvestments.com or the Securities and Exchange Commission’s (the “SEC”) website at www.sec.gov.

USCF is required to evaluate the credit risk of UNG to the futures commission merchant (“FCM”), oversee the purchase and sale of UNG’s shares by certain authorized participants (“Authorized Participants”), review daily positions and margin requirements of UNG and manage UNG’s investments. USCF also pays the fees of ALPS Distributors, Inc., which serves as the marketing agent for UNG (the “Marketing Agent”), and Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. (“BBH&Co.”), which serves as the administrator (the “Administrator”) and the custodian (the “Custodian”) for UNG. In no event may the aggregate compensation paid for the Marketing Agent and any affiliate of USCF for distribution-related services in connection with the offering of shares exceed ten percent (10%) of the gross proceeds of this offering.

24

The limited partners take no part in the management or control, and have a minimal voice in UNG's operations or business. Limited partners have no right to elect USCF on an annual or any other continuing basis. If USCF voluntarily withdraws, however, the holders of a majority of UNG's outstanding shares (excluding for purposes of such determination shares owned, if any, by the withdrawing general partner and its affiliates) may elect its successor. USCF may not be removed as general partner except upon approval by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 66 2/3 percent of UNG's outstanding shares (excluding shares, if any, owned by USCF and its affiliates), subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the LP Agreement.

The business and affairs of USCF are managed by the Board, which is comprised of the Management Directors, each of whom are also executive officers and employees of USCF, and three independent directors who meet the independent director requirements established by the NYSE Arca Equities Rules and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Management Directors have the authority to manage USCF pursuant to the terms of the LLC Agreement. Through its Management Directors, USCF manages the day-to-day operations of UNG. The Board has an audit committee, which is made up of the three independent directors (Gordon L. Ellis, Malcolm R. Fobes III and Peter M. Robinson,). The audit committee is governed by an audit committee charter that is posted on UNG's website at www.uscfinvestments.com. The Board has determined that each member of the audit committee meets the financial literacy requirements of the NYSE Arca and the audit committee charter. The Board has further determined that each of Messrs. Ellis and Fobes have accounting or related financial management expertise, as required by the NYSE Arca, such that each of them is considered an "Audit Committee Finance Expert" as such term is defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K.

UNG has no executive officers. Pursuant to the terms of the LP Agreement, UNG's affairs are managed by USCF.

The following are individual Principals, as that term is defined in CFTC Rule 3.1, for USCF: John P. Love, Stuart P. Crumbaugh, Nicholas D. Gerber, Melinda D. Gerber, Andrew Ngim, Robert Nguyen, Peter Robinson, Scott Schoenberger, Gordon Ellis, Malcolm Fobes, Ray Allen, Kevin Baum, Carolyn Yu, and Wainwright Holdings Inc. The individuals who are Principals due to their positions are John P. Love, Stuart P. Crumbaugh, Nicholas D. Gerber, Andrew Ngim, Robert Nguyen, Peter Robinson, Gordon Ellis, Malcolm Fobes, Ray Allen, Kevin Baum and Carolyn Yu. In addition, Wainwright is a Principal because it is the sole member of USCF. None of the Principals owns or has any other beneficial interest in UNG. Ray Allen and Andrew Ngim make trading and investment decisions for UNG. Andrew Ngim and Ray Allen direct the execution of trades on behalf of UNG. In addition, Nicholas D. Gerber, John P. Love, Robert Nguyen, Ray Allen, Kevin Baum, Kathryn Rooney, Maya Lowry, and Ryan Katz are registered with the CFTC as Associated Persons of USCF and are NFA Associate Members. John P. Love, Robert Nguyen, Ray Allen, Kevin Baum, Kathryn Rooney, Maya Lowry, and Ryan Katz are also registered with the CFTC as Swaps Associated Persons.

Ray W. Allen, 61, Portfolio Manager of USCF since January 2008. Mr. Allen was the portfolio manager of: (1) UGA from February 2008 until March 2010, and then portfolio manager since May 2015, (2) UHN from April 2008 until March 2010, and then portfolio manager since May 2015, (3) UNL from November 2009 until March 2010, and then portfolio manager since May 2015. In addition, he has been the portfolio manager of: (1) DNO since September 2009, (2) USO and USL since March 2010, (3) BNO since June 2010, (4) UNG since May 2015, and (5) USOU and USOD since July 2017. Mr. Allen also has served as the portfolio manager of the USCF Commodity Strategy Fund, a series of USCF Mutual Funds Trust, since October 2017. Mr. Allen has been a principal of USCF listed with the CFTC and NFA since March 2009 and has been registered as an associated person of USCF since July 2015 and from March 2008 to November 2012. Additionally, Mr. Allen has been approved as an NFA swaps associated person of USCF since July 2015. As of February 2017, he also is an associated person and swap associated person of USCF Advisers LLC. USCF Advisers LLC, an affiliate of USCF, is an investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and, as of February 2017, is registered as a commodity pool operator, NFA member and swap firm. Mr.

Allen earned a B.A. in Economics from the University of California at Berkeley and holds an NFA Series 3 registration.

Kevin A. Baum, 47, has served as a Portfolio Manager of USCF since March 2016 and as the Chief Investment Officer of USCF since September 1, 2016. Prior to joining USCF, Mr. Baum temporarily retired from December 2015 to March 2016. Mr. Baum served as the Vice President and Senior Portfolio Manager for Invesco PowerShares Capital Management LLC, an investment manager that manages a family of exchange-traded funds, from October 2014 through December 2015. Mr. Baum was temporarily retired from May 2012 through September 2014. From May 1993 to April 2012, Mr. Baum worked as the Senior Portfolio Manager, Head of Commodities for OppenheimerFunds, Inc., a global asset manager. Mr. Baum has been an NFA member since March 2016 and a principal, swap associated person, and associated person of USCF since April 2016 and, as of January 2017, a branch manager of USCF. As of February 2017, he also is an associated person, swap associated person, and branch manager of USCF Advisers LLC. USCF Advisers LLC, an affiliate of USCF, is an investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and, as of February 2017, is registered as a commodity pool operator, NFA member and swap firm. Mr. Baum is a CFA Charterholder, CAIA Charterholder, and earned a B.B.A. in Finance from Texas Tech University.

Stuart P. Crumbaugh, 54, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer of USCF since May 2015 and also the Chief Financial Officer of Concierge Technologies, Inc., the parent of Wainwright Holdings, Inc. (“Wainwright”) since December 2017. In addition, Mr. Crumbaugh has served as a director of Wainwright, the parent and sole member of USCF, since December 2016. Mr. Crumbaugh has been a principal of USCF listed with the CFTC and NFA since July 1, 2015 and, as of January 2017, he is a principal of USCF Advisers LLC. USCF Advisers LLC, an affiliate of USCF, is an investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and, as of February 2017, is registered as a commodity pool operator, NFA member and swap firm. Since June 2015, Mr. Crumbaugh has been the Treasurer and Secretary of USCF Advisers LLC. He also serves as a Management Trustee of USCF ETF Trust from May 2015 to present and as Management Trustee of the USCF Mutual Funds Trust from October 2016 to present. Mr. Crumbaugh joined USCF as the Assistant Chief Financial Officer on April 6, 2015. Prior to joining USCF, Mr. Crumbaugh was the Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Sikka Software Corporation, a software service healthcare company providing optimization software and data solutions from April 2014 to April 6, 2015. Mr. Crumbaugh served as a consultant providing technical accounting, IPO readiness and M&A consulting services to various early stage companies with the Connor Group, a technical accounting consulting firm, for the periods of January 2014 through March 2014; October 2012 through November 2012; and January 2011 through February 2011. From December 2012 through December 2013, Mr. Crumbaugh was Vice President, Corporate Controller and Treasurer of Auction.com, LLC, a residential and commercial real estate online auction company. From March 2011 through September 2012, Mr. Crumbaugh was Chief Financial Officer of IP Infusion Inc., a technology company providing network routing and switching software enabling software-defined networking solutions for major mobile carriers and network infrastructure providers. Mr. Crumbaugh earned a B.A. in Accounting and Business Administration from Michigan State University in 1987 and is a Certified Public Accountant – Michigan (inactive).

Nicholas D. Gerber, 55, Chairman of the Board of Directors of USCF since June 2005. Mr. Gerber also served as President and Chief Executive Officer of USCF from June 2005 through June 2015 and Vice President since June 2015. Mr. Gerber co-founded USCF in 2005 and prior to that, he co-founded Ameristock Corporation in March 1995, a California-based investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 from March 1995 until January 2013. From January 26, 2015 to the present, Mr. Gerber is also the Chief Executive Officer, President and Secretary of Concierge Technologies, Inc. (“Concierge”), which is a company publicly traded under the ticker symbol “CNGC.” Concierge is the sole shareholder of Wainwright. From August 1995 to January 2013, Mr. Gerber served as Portfolio Manager of Ameristock Mutual Fund, Inc. On January 11, 2013, the Ameristock Mutual Fund, Inc. merged with and into the Drexel Hamilton Centre American Equity Fund, a series of Drexel Hamilton Mutual Funds. Drexel Hamilton Mutual Funds is not affiliated with Ameristock Corporation, the Ameristock Mutual Fund, Inc. or USCF. From the period June 2014 to the present, Mr. Gerber also serves as Chairman of the Board of Trustees of USCF ETF Trust, an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, and has previously served as President of USCF Advisers LLC. From October 2016 to the present, Mr. Gerber also serves as Chairman of the Board of Trustees of USCF Mutual Funds Trust, an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. USCF Advisers LLC, an affiliate of USCF, is an investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and, as of February 2017, is registered as a commodity pool operator, NFA member and swap firm. In addition to his role as Chairman of the Board of USCF ETF Trust, he also served as its President and Chief Executive Officer from June 2014 until December 2015. Mr. Gerber also has served USCF Advisers on the Board of Managers since June 2013 and as the Vice President since June 2015. In the above roles, Mr. Gerber has gained extensive experience in evaluating and retaining third-party service providers, including custodians, accountants, transfer agents, and distributors. Mr. Gerber has been a principal of USCF listed with the CFTC and NFA since November 2005, an NFA associate member and associated person of USCF since December 2005 and a Branch Manager of USCF since May 2009. Mr. Gerber is a principal of USCF Advisers LLC as of January 2017. Additionally, as of February 2017, he is an associated person, swap associated person, and branch manager of USCF Advisers LLC. Mr. Gerber earned an MBA degree in finance from the University of San Francisco, a B.A. from Skidmore College and holds an NFA Series 3 registration.

John P. Love, 46, President and Chief Executive Officer of USCF since June 2015 and Management Director of USCF since October 2016. Mr. Love previously served as a Senior Portfolio Manager for the Related Public Funds from March 2010 through June 2015. Prior to that, while still at USCF, he was a Portfolio Manager beginning with the launch of USO in April 2006. Mr. Love was the portfolio manager of USO from April 2006 until March 2010 and the portfolio manager for USL from December 2007 until March 2010. Mr. Love has been the portfolio manager of UNG since April 2007, and the portfolio manager of UGA, UHN, and UNL since March 2010. USCF Advisers LLC, an affiliate of USCF, is an investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and, as of February 2017, is registered as a commodity pool operator, NFA member and swap firm. He also acted as co-portfolio manager of the Stock Split Index Fund, a series of the USCF ETF Trust for the period from September 2014 to December 2015, when he was promoted to the position of President and Chief Executive Officer upon Mr. Gerber's resignation from those positions. In addition, Mr. Love has served as on the Board of Managers of USCF Advisers LLC since November 2016 and as its President since June 2015. Mr. Love also is a director of Wainwright Holdings Inc., a position he has held since December 2016. Mr. Love has been a principal of USCF listed with the CFTC and NFA since January 17, 2006. Mr. Love has been registered as an associated person of USCF since February 2015 and from December 1, 2005 to April 16, 2009. Mr. Love has also been registered as a branch manager of USCF since March 2016. Additionally, Mr. Love has been approved as an NFA swaps associated person since February 2015. Mr. Love is a principal of USCF Advisers LLC as of January 2017. Additionally, as of February 2017, he is an associated person, swap associated person, and branch manager of USCF Advisers LLC. Mr. Love earned a B.A. from the University of Southern California, holds an NFA Series 3 and FINRA Series 7 registrations and is a CFA Charterholder.

Andrew F Ngim, 57, co-founded USCF in 2005 and has served as a Management Director since May 2005 and, since August 15, 2016, has served as the Chief Operating Officer of USCF. Mr. Ngim has served as the portfolio manager for USCI, CPER and USAG since January 2013. Mr. Ngim also served as USCF's Treasurer from June 2005 to February 2012. In addition, he has been on the Board of Managers and has served as the Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer of USCF Advisers since its inception in June 2013. Prior to and concurrent with his services to USCF and USCF Advisers, from January 1999 to January 2013, Mr. Ngim served as a Managing Director for Ameristock Corporation, a California-based investment adviser, which he co-founded in March 1995, and was Co-Portfolio Manager of Ameristock Mutual Fund, Inc. from January 2000 to January 2013. Mr. Ngim also served as portfolio manager of (1) the Stock Split Index Fund from September 2014 to October 2017, and (2) the USCF Restaurant Leaders Fund from November 2016 to October 2017, both series of the USCF ETF Trust. From December 2017 to the present, Mr. Ngim also serves as the portfolio manager for the USCF SummerHaven SHPEI Index Fund and the USCF SummerHaven SHPEN Index Fund, both of which are series of the USCF ETF Trust. Mr. Ngim serves as a Management Trustee of the USCF ETF Trust from August 2014 to the present and as a Management Trustee for the USCF Mutual Funds Trust from October 2016 to present. Mr. Ngim has been a principal of USCF listed with the CFTC and NFA since November 2005 and a principal of USCF Advisers LLC since January 2017. USCF Advisers LLC, an affiliate of USCF, is an investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and, as of February 2017, is registered as a commodity pool operator, NFA member and swap firm. Mr. Ngim earned his B.A. from the University of California at Berkeley.

Robert L. Nguyen, 58, Management Director and principal since July 2015. Mr. Nguyen served on the Board of Wainwright from December 2014 to December 2016. Mr. Nguyen co-founded USCF in 2005 and served as a Management Director until March 2012. Mr. Nguyen was an Investment Manager with Ribera Investment Management, an investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, from January 2013 to March 2015. Prior to and concurrent with his services to USCF, from January 2000 to January 2013, Mr. Nguyen served as a Managing Principal for Ameristock Corporation, a California-based investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, which he co-founded in March 1995. Mr. Nguyen was a principal of USCF listed with the CFTC and NFA from November 2005 through March 2012 and an associated person of USCF listed with the CFTC and NFA from November 2007 through March 2012. Mr. Nguyen has been a principal of USCF listed with the CFTC and NFA since July 2015 and an associated person and a swap associated person of USCF listed with the CFTC and NFA since December 2015. As of February 2017, he also is an associated person and swap associated person of USCF Advisers LLC. USCF Advisers LLC, an affiliate of USCF, is an investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and, as of February 2017, is registered as a commodity pool operator, NFA member and swap firm. Mr. Nguyen earned his B.S. from California State University at Sacramento, and holds NFA Series 3 and FINRA Series 7 registrations.

Carolyn M. Yu, 59, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer of USCF since May 2015 and February 2013, respectively, and from August 2011 through April 2015, Ms. Yu served as Assistant General Counsel. Since May 2015, Ms. Yu has served as Chief Legal Officer and Chief Compliance Officer of USCF Advisers LLC and USCF ETF Trust as well as Chief AML Officer of USCF ETF Trust. Prior to May 2015, Ms. Yu was the Assistant Chief Compliance Officer and AML Officer of the USCF ETF Trust. Previously, Ms. Yu served as Branch Chief with the Securities Enforcement Branch for the State of Hawaii, Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs from February 2008 to August 2011. Since August 2013, in the case of USCF, and January 2017, in the case of USCF Advisers LLC, Ms. Yu has been a principal listed with the CFTC and NFA. USCF Advisers LLC, an affiliate of USCF, is an investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and, as of February 2017, is registered as a commodity pool operator, NFA member and swap firm. Ms. Yu earned her JD from Golden Gate University School of Law and a B.S. in business administration from San Francisco State University.

Gordon L. Ellis, 71, Independent Director of USCF since September 2005. Previously, Mr. Ellis was a founder of International Absorbents, Inc., Director and Chairman since July 1985 and July 1988, respectively, and Chief

Executive Officer and President since November 1996. He also served as Chairman of Absorption Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of International Absorbents, Inc., which is a leading developer and producer of environmentally friendly pet care and industrial products, from May July 1985 until July 2010 when it was sold to Kinderhook Industries, a private investment banking firm and remained as a director until March 2013 when Absorption Corp was sold again to J. Rettenmaier & Söhne Group, a German manufacturing firm. Concurrent with that, he founded and has served as Chairman from November 2010 to present of Lupaka Gold Corp., a firm that acquires, explores, develops, and evaluates gold mining properties in Peru, South America. Mr. Ellis has his Chartered Directors designation from The Director's College (a joint venture of McMaster University and The Conference Board of Canada). He has been a principal of USCF listed with the CFTC and NFA since November 2005. Mr. Ellis is an engineer and earned an MBA in international finance.

Malcolm R. Fobes III, 53, Independent Director of USCF and Chairman of USCF's audit committee since September 2005. He founded and is the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Berkshire Capital Holdings, Inc., a California-based investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 that has been sponsoring and providing portfolio management services to mutual funds since June 1997. Mr. Fobes serves as Chairman and President of The Berkshire Funds, a mutual fund investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940. Since 1997, Mr. Fobes has also served as portfolio manager of the Berkshire Focus Fund, a mutual fund registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, which concentrates its investments in the electronic technology industry. He was also contributing editor of *Start a Successful Mutual Fund: The Step-by-Step Reference Guide to Make It Happen* (JV Books, 1995). Mr. Fobes has been a principal of USCF listed with the CFTC and NFA since November 2005. He earned a B.S. in finance with a minor in economics from San Jose State University in California.

Peter M. Robinson, 60, Independent Director of USCF since September 2005. Mr. Robinson has been a Research Fellow since 1993 with the Hoover Institution, a public policy think tank located on the campus of Stanford University. He authored three books and has been published in the *New York Times*, *Red Herring*, and *Forbes ASAP* and is the editor of *Can Congress Be Fixed?: Five Essays on Congressional Reform* (Hoover Institution Press, 1995). Mr. Robinson has been a principal of USCF listed with the CFTC and NFA since December 2005. He earned an MBA from the Stanford University Graduate School of Business, graduated from Oxford University in 1982 after studying politics, philosophy, and economics and graduated summa cum laude from Dartmouth College in 1979.

UNG's Service Providers

Custodian, Registrar, Transfer Agent, and Administrator

In its capacity as the Custodian for UNG, BBH&Co. holds UNG's Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents pursuant to a custodial agreement. BBH&Co. is also the registrar and transfer agent for the shares. In addition, in its capacity as Administrator for UNG, BBH&Co. performs certain administrative and accounting services for UNG and prepares certain SEC, NFA and CFTC reports on behalf of UNG.

Currently, USCF pays BBH&Co. for its services, in the foregoing capacities, a minimum amount of \$75,000 annually for its custody, fund accounting and fund administration services rendered to UNG and each of the Related Public Funds, as well as a \$20,000 annual fee for its transfer agency services. In addition, USCF pays BBH&Co. an asset-based charge of (a) 0.06% for the first \$500 million of the Related Public Funds' combined net assets, (b) 0.0465% for the Related Public Funds' combined net assets greater than \$500 million but less than \$1 billion, and (c) 0.035% once the Related Public Funds' combined net assets exceed \$1 billion. The annual minimum amount will not apply if the asset-based charge for all accounts in the aggregate exceeds \$75,000. USCF also pays transaction fees ranging from \$7 to \$15 per transaction.

BBH&Co.'s principal business address is 50 Post Office Square, Boston, MA 02110. BBH&Co., a private bank founded in 1818, is neither a publicly held company nor insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. BBH&Co. is authorized to conduct a commercial banking business in accordance with the provisions of Article IV of the New York State Banking Law, New York Banking Law §§160–181, and is subject to regulation, supervision, and examination by the New York State Department of Financial Services. BBH&Co. is also licensed to conduct a commercial banking business by the Commonwealths of Massachusetts and Pennsylvania and is subject to supervision and examination by the banking supervisors of those states.

Marketing Agent

UNG also employs ALPS Distributors, Inc. (“ALPS Distributors”) as the Marketing Agent, which is further discussed under “What is the Plan of Distribution?” USCF pays the Marketing Agent an annual fee. In no event may the aggregate compensation paid to the Marketing Agent and any affiliate of USCF for distribution-related services in connection with the offering of shares exceed ten percent (10%) of the gross proceeds of the offering.

ALPS Distributors’ principal business address is 1290 Broadway, Suite 1100, Denver, CO 80203. ALPS Distributors is a broker-dealer registered with the FINRA and a member of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation.

Futures Commission Merchant

On October 8, 2013, USCF entered into a Futures and Cleared Derivatives Transactions Customer Account Agreement with RBC Capital Markets, LLC (“RBC Capital” or “RBC”) to serve as UNG’s FCM. This agreement requires RBC Capital to provide services to UNG, as of October 10, 2013, in connection with the purchase and sale of Natural Gas Interests that may be purchased or sold by or through RBC Capital for UNG’s account. UNG pays RBC Capital commissions for executing and clearing trades on behalf of UNG.

RBC Capital’s primary address is 3 World Financial Center 200 Vesey St. New York, New York 10281. RBC Capital is registered in the United States with FINRA as a broker-dealer and with the CFTC as a FCM. RBC Capital is a member of various U.S. futures and securities exchanges.

RBC Capital (“RBC Capital”), is a large broker dealer subject to many different complex legal and regulatory requirements. As a result, certain of RBC Capital’s regulators may from time to time conduct investigations, initiate enforcement proceedings and/or enter into settlements with RBC Capital with respect to issues raised in various investigations. RBC Capital complies fully with its regulators in all investigations being conducted and in all settlements it reaches. In addition, RBC Capital is and has been subject to a variety of civil legal claims in various jurisdictions, a variety of settlement agreements and a variety of orders, awards and judgments made against it by courts and tribunals, both in regard to such claims and investigations. RBC Capital complies fully with all settlements it reaches and all orders, awards and judgments made against it.

RBC Capital has been named as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions and other litigation including those described below, arising in connection with its activities as a broker-dealer. Certain of the actual or threatened legal actions include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. RBC Capital is also involved, in other reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies regarding RBC Capital’s business, including among other matters, accounting and operational matters, certain of which may result in adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions or other relief.

RBC Capital contests liability and/or the amount of damages as appropriate in each pending matter. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of such matters, particularly in cases where claimants seek substantial or indeterminate damages or where investigations and proceedings are in the early stages, RBC Capital cannot predict the loss or range of loss, if any, related to such matters; how or if such matters will be resolved; when they will ultimately be resolved; or what the eventual settlement, fine, penalty or other relief, if any, might be. Subject to the foregoing, RBC Capital believes, based on current knowledge and after consultation with counsel, that the outcome of such pending matters will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition of RBC Capital.

On April 27, 2017, pursuant to an offer of settlement, a Panel of the Chicago Board of Trade Business Conduct Committee (“Panel”) found that RBC Capital engaged in EFRP transactions which failed to satisfy the Rules of the Chicago Board of Trade (the “Exchange”) in one or more ways. Specifically, the Panel found that RBC Capital traders entered into EFRP trades in which RBC Capital accounts were on both sides of the transactions. While the purpose of the transactions was to transfer positions between the RBC Capital accounts, the Panel found that the manner in which the trades occurred violated the Exchange’s prohibition on wash trades. The Panel found that RBC Capital thereby violated CBOT Rules 534 and (legacy) 538.B. and C. In accordance with the settlement offer, the Panel ordered RBC Capital to pay a \$175,000 fine.

On June 18, 2015, in connection with the Municipalities Continuing Disclosure Cooperation initiative of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), the SEC commenced and settled an administrative proceeding against RBC Capital for willful violations of Sections 17(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (“1933 Act”) after the

firm self-reported instances in which it conducted inadequate due diligence in certain municipal securities offerings and as a result, failed to form a reasonable basis for believing the truthfulness of certain material representations in official statements issued in connection with those offerings. RBC Capital paid a fine of \$500,000.

RBC Capital and certain affiliates were named as defendants in a lawsuit relating to their role in transactions involving investments made by a number of Wisconsin school districts in certain collateralized debt obligations. These transactions were also the subject of a regulatory investigation, which was resolved in 2011. RBC Capital reached a final settlement with all parties in the civil litigation, and the civil action against RBC Capital was dismissed with prejudice on December 6, 2016.

On July 31, 2015, RBC Capital was added as a new defendant in a pending putative class action initially filed in November 2013 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The action is brought against multiple foreign exchange dealers and alleges collusive behavior, among other allegations, in foreign exchange trading. Various regulators are also conducting inquiries regarding potential violations of law by a number of banks and other entities, including RBC Capital, regarding foreign exchange trading. In September 2017, the U.S. District Court entered an order preliminarily approving a pending settlement with class plaintiffs. Canadian class actions and one other U.S. action that is purportedly brought on behalf of different classes of plaintiffs remain pending.

On April 13, 2015, RBC Capital's affiliate, Royal Bank of Canada Trust Company (Bahamas) Limited (RBC Bahamas), was charged in France with complicity in tax fraud. RBC Bahamas believes that its actions did not violate French law and contested the charge in the French court. The trial of this matter has concluded and a verdict was delivered on January 12, 2017, acquitting the company and the other defendants. The French prosecutor's office has appealed.

Thornburg Mortgage Inc. (now known as "TMST") and RBC Capital were parties to a master repurchase agreement executed in September 2003 whereby TMST financed its purchase of residential mortgage-backed securities. Upon TMST's default during the financial crisis, RBC Capital valued TMST's collateral at allegedly deflated prices. After TMST's bankruptcy filing, TMST's trustee brought suit against RBC Capital in 2011 for breach of contract. In 2015, TMST was awarded more than \$45 million in damages. RBC Capital has appealed. The appeals court set a briefing schedule and simultaneously ordered the parties to participate in a mediation. The parties have subsequently reached an agreement to settle the matter; a motion to approve the settlement was filed with the bankruptcy court on January 10, 2016 and granted on February 27, 2017.

On October 14, 2014, the Delaware Court of Chancery (the "Court of Chancery") in a class action brought by former shareholders of Rural/Metro Corporation, held RBC Capital liable for aiding and abetting a breach of fiduciary duty by three Rural/Metro directors, but did not make an additional award for attorney's fees. A final judgment was entered on February 19, 2015 in the amount of US\$93 million plus post judgment interest. RBC Capital appealed the Court of Chancery's determination of liability and quantum of damages, and the plaintiffs cross-appealed the ruling on additional attorneys' fees. On November 30, 2015, the Delaware Supreme Court affirmed the Court of Chancery with respect to both the appeal and cross-appeal. RBC Capital is cooperating with an investigation by the SEC relating to this matter. In particular, the SEC contended that RBC Capital caused materially false and misleading information to be included in the proxy statement that Rural filed to solicit shareholder approval for the sale in violation of section 14(A) of the Exchange Act and Rule 14A-9 thereunder. On August 31, 2016, RBC Capital was ordered by the SEC to cease and desist and paid \$500,000 in disgorgement, plus interest of \$77,759 and a civil penalty of \$2 million.

On March 11, 2013, the New Jersey Bureau of Securities entered a consent order settling an administrative complaint against RBC Capital, which alleged that RBC Capital failed to follow its own procedures with respect to monthly account reviews and failed to maintain copies of the monthly account reviews with respect to certain accounts that James Hankins Jr. maintained at the firm in violation of N.J.S.A. 49:3-58(a)(2)(xi) and 49:3-59(b). Without admitting or denying the findings of fact and conclusions of law, RBC Capital consented to a civil monetary penalty of \$150,000 (of which \$100,000 was suspended as a result of the firm's cooperation) and to pay disgorgement of \$300,000.

Please see RBC Capital's Form BD, which is available on the FINRA BrokerCheck program, for more details.

RBC Capital will act only as clearing broker for UNG and as such will be paid commissions for executing and clearing trades on behalf of UNG. RBC Capital has not passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this prospectus. RBC Capital will not act in any supervisory capacity with respect to USCF or participate in the management of USCF or UNG.

RBC Capital is not affiliated with UNG or USCF. Therefore, neither USCF nor UNG believes that there are any conflicts of interest with RBC Capital or its trading principals arising from its acting as UNG's FCM.

Currently, USCF does not employ commodity trading advisors for the trading of UNG contracts. USCF currently does, however, employ SummerHaven Investment Management, LLC as a commodity trading advisor for USCF's own accounts and for USCI, CPER and USAG. If, in the future, USCF does employ commodity trading advisors for UNG, it will choose each advisor based on arm's-length negotiations and will consider the advisor's experience, fees and reputation.

UNG's Fees and Expenses

This table describes the fees and expenses that you may pay if you buy and hold shares of UNG. You should note that you may pay brokerage commissions on purchases and sales of UNG's shares, which are not reflected in the table. Authorized Participants will pay applicable creation and redemption fees. See "Creation and Redemption of Shares-*Creation and Redemption Transaction Fee*," page 56.

Annual Fund Operating Expenses (expenses that you pay each year as a percentage of the value of your investment)⁽¹⁾

Management Fees	0.60 % ⁽²⁾
Distribution Fees	None
Other Expenses	0.70 %
Total Annual Fund Expenses	1.30 %

Based on amounts for the year ended December 31, 2017. The individual expense amounts in dollar terms are shown in the table below. As used in this table, (i) Professional Expenses include expenses for legal, audit, tax accounting and printing; and (ii) Independent Director and Officer Expenses include amounts paid to independent directors and for officers' liability insurance.

Management Fees	\$2,972,340
Brokerage Commissions	\$1,728,440
Professional Expenses	\$1,390,315
Licensing Fees	\$74,309
Independent Director and Officer Expenses	\$64,982
Registration fees	\$204,998

These amounts are based on UNG's average total net assets, which are the sum of daily total net assets of UNG divided by the number of calendar days in the year. For the year ended December 31, 2017, UNG's average total net assets were \$495,390,077.

UNG is contractually obligated to pay USCF a management fee based on average daily net assets and paid monthly of 0.60% per annum on average net assets of \$1,000,000,000 or less. If the average daily net assets are greater than \$1,000,000,000 then the management fee would be 0.50% on the incremental average daily net assets and the Total Annual Fund expenses would be lower.

Breakeven Analysis

The breakeven analysis below indicates the approximate dollar returns and percentage required for the redemption value of a hypothetical initial investment in a single share to equal the amount invested twelve months after the investment was made. For purposes of this breakeven analysis, we have assumed an initial selling price of \$22.22 per share which equals the NAV per share on February 28, 2018. In order for a hypothetical investment in shares to break even over the next 12 months, assuming a selling price of \$22.22 (the net asset value as of February 28, 2018), the investment would have to generate a 0.612% return or \$0.136, rounded to \$0.14.

This breakeven analysis refers to the redemption of baskets by Authorized Participants and is not related to any gains an individual investor would have to achieve in order to break even. The breakeven analysis is an approximation only. As used in this table, (i) Professional Expenses include expenses for legal, audit, tax accounting and printing; and (ii) Independent Director and Officer Expenses include amounts paid to independent directors and for officers' liability insurance.

Assumed initial selling price per share	\$22.22
Management Fees (0.600%) ⁽¹⁾	\$0.133
Creation Basket Fee (0.010%) ⁽²⁾	\$(0.002)
Estimated Brokerage Fee (0.349%) ⁽³⁾	\$0.078
Interest Income (0.674%) ⁽⁴⁾	\$(0.150)
Registration Fee (0.041%) ⁽⁵⁾	\$0.009
NYMEX Licensing Fee (0.015%) ⁽⁶⁾	\$0.003

Edgar Filing: United States Natural Gas Fund, LP - Form 424B3

Independent Director and Officer Expenses (0.013%)(7)	\$0.003
Professional Expenses (0.281%)(8)	\$0.062
Amount of trading income (loss) required for the redemption value at the end of one year to equal the initial selling price of the share	\$0.136
Percentage of initial selling price per share	0.612 %

31

UNG is contractually obligated to pay USCF a management fee of 0.600% per annum on its average total net assets. "Average total net assets" are the sum of the daily total net assets of UNG (the NAV of UNG calculated as set forth in "Calculating Per Share NAV" beginning on page 52) divided by the number of calendar days in the year. On days when markets are closed, the daily total net assets are the daily total net assets from the last day when the market was open. See page 52 for a discussion of net assets of UNG.

Authorized Participants are required to pay a Creation Basket fee of \$1,000 for each order they place to create one or more baskets. This breakeven analysis assumes a hypothetical investment in a single share, which would equal the \$1,000 Creation Basket fee divided by the total number of outstanding shares plus the 100,000 shares created by the Creation Basket. This calculation will always result in a value that is below 0.010%, but for purposes of this breakeven analysis we assume a creation basket fee of 0.010%.

This amount is based on the actual brokerage fees for UNG calculated on an annualized basis and includes an estimated half-turn commission of \$3.50. A half-turn commission is the commissions liability related to FCM transaction fees for futures contracts on a half-turn basis.

UNG earns interest on cash and cash equivalents held at the FCM and Custodian, treasuries, and money market funds at an estimated interest rate of 0.674%. This is a blended rate based on the rate of interest earned on all of the foregoing as of December 31, 2017. The actual rate may vary.

UNG pays fees to the SEC to register its shares for sale. This amount is based on actual registration fees for UNG calculated on an annualized basis. This fee may vary in future years.

The NYMEX Licensing Fee is 0.015% on aggregate net assets of the Related Public Funds, except BNO, USCI, USAG, CPER, USOU, and USOD. For more information, see "UNG's Fees and Expenses."

Independent Director and Officer Expenses include amounts paid to independent directors and for officers' liability insurance. The foregoing assumes that the average total net assets of UNG as of December 31, 2017, which were \$495,390,077, were aggregated with the average total net assets of the Related Public Funds as of December 31, 2017, that the aggregate fees paid to the independent directors for 2017 was \$536,375 and that the allocable portion of the fees borne by UNG based on the proportion of its average total net assets when aggregated with the average total net assets of the Related Public Funds equals \$64,982.

Professional Expenses include expenses for legal, audit, tax accounting and printing. UNG estimates the costs attributable to Professional Expenses for 2017 is \$1,390,315. The number in the break-even table assumes UNG had \$495,390,077 in average total net assets during the calendar year ended December 31, 2017.

Conflicts of Interest

There are present and potential future conflicts of interest in UNG's structure and operation you should consider before you purchase shares. USCF will use this notice of conflicts as a defense against any claim or other proceeding made. If USCF is not able to resolve these conflicts of interest adequately, it may impact UNG's and the Related Public Funds' ability to achieve their investment objectives.

UNG and USCF may have inherent conflicts to the extent USCF attempts to maintain UNG's asset size in order to preserve its fee income and this may not always be consistent with UNG's objective of having the value of its share's NAV track changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract.

USCF's officers, directors and employees, do not devote their time exclusively to UNG. These persons are directors, officers or employees of other entities which may compete with UNG for their services. They could have a conflict between their responsibilities to UNG and to those other entities.

USCF has adopted policies that prohibit their principals, officers, directors and employees from trading futures and related contracts in which either UNG or any of the Related Public Funds invests. These policies are intended to prevent conflicts of interest occurring where USCF, or their principals, officers, directors or employees could give preferential treatment to their own accounts or trade their own accounts ahead of or against UNG or any of the Related Public Funds.

USCF has sole current authority to manage the investments and operations of UNG, and this may allow it to act in a way that furthers its own interests which may create a conflict with your best interests. Limited partners have limited voting control, which will limit their ability to influence matters such as amendment of the LP Agreement, change in UNG's basic investment policy, dissolution of this fund, or the sale or distribution of UNG's assets.

USCF serves as the general partner or sponsor to each of UNG and the Related Public Funds, UCCO and the REX Funds. USCF may have a conflict to the extent that its trading decisions for UNG may be influenced by the effect they would have on the other funds it manages. By way of example, if, as a result of reaching position limits imposed by the NYMEX, UNG purchased gasoline futures contracts, this decision could impact UNG's ability to purchase additional gasoline futures contracts if the number of contracts held by funds managed by USCF reached the maximum allowed by the NYMEX. Similar situations could adversely affect the ability of any fund to track its benchmark futures contract.

In addition, USCF is required to indemnify the officers and directors of the other funds, if the need for indemnification arises. This potential indemnification will cause USCF's assets to decrease. If USCF's other sources of income are not sufficient to compensate for the indemnification, then USCF may terminate and you could lose your investment.

Whenever a conflict of interest exists or arises between USCF on the one hand, and the partnership or any limited partner, on the other hand, any resolution or course of action by USCF in respect of such conflict of interest shall be permitted and deemed approved by all partners and shall not constitute a breach of the LP Agreement or of any agreement contemplated hereby or of a duty stated or implied by law or equity, if the resolution or course of action is, or by operation of the LP Agreement is deemed to be, fair and reasonable to the partnership. If a dispute arises, under the LP Agreement it will be resolved either through negotiations with USCF or by courts located in the State of Delaware.

Under the LP Agreement, any resolution is deemed to be fair and reasonable to the partnership if the resolution is:

- approved by the audit committee, although no party is obligated to seek approval and USCF may adopt a resolution or course of action that has not received approval;
- on terms no less favorable to the limited partners than those generally being provided to or available from unrelated third parties; or
- fair to the limited partners, taking into account the totality of the relationships of the parties involved including other transactions that may be particularly favorable or advantageous to the limited partners.

The previous risk factors and conflicts of interest are complete as of the date of this prospectus; however, additional risks and conflicts may occur which are not presently foreseen by USCF. You may not construe this prospectus as legal or tax advice. Before making an investment in this fund, you should read this entire prospectus, including the LP Agreement which can be found on UNG's website at www.uscfinvestments.com. You should also consult with your personal legal, tax, and other professional advisors.

Interests of Named Experts and Counsel

USCF has employed Eversheds Sutherland (US) LLP to prepare this prospectus. Neither the law firm nor any other expert hired by UNG to give advice on the preparation of this offering document has been hired on a contingent fee basis. None of them have any present or future expectation of interest in USCF, Marketing Agent, Authorized Participants, Custodian, Administrator or other service providers to UNG.

Ownership or Beneficial Interest in UNG

As of February 28, 2018, no person owned more than five percent (5%) of the shares of UNG. Also, as such date, USCF and the principals of USCF do not own any of the shares of UNG.

USCF's Responsibilities and Remedies

Pursuant to the DRULPA (“Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act”), parties may contractually modify or even eliminate fiduciary duties in a limited partnership agreement to the limited partnership itself, or to another partner or person otherwise bound by the limited partnership agreement. Parties may not, however, eliminate the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. Where parties unambiguously provide for fiduciary duties in a limited partnership agreement, those expressed duties become the standard that courts will use to determine whether such duties were breached. For this reason, UNG’s limited partnership agreement does not explicitly provide for any fiduciary duties so that common law fiduciary duty principles will apply to measure USCF’s conduct.

A prospective investor should be aware that USCF has a responsibility to limited partners of UNG to exercise good faith and fairness in all dealings. The fiduciary responsibility of a general partner to limited partners is a developing and changing area of the law and limited partners who have questions concerning the duties of USCF should consult with their counsel. In the event that a limited partner of UNG believes that USCF has violated its fiduciary duty to the limited partners, he may seek legal relief individually or on behalf of UNG under applicable laws, including under DRULPA and under commodities laws, to recover damages from or require an accounting by USCF. Limited partners may also have the right, subject to applicable procedural and jurisdictional requirements, to bring class actions in federal court to enforce their rights under the federal securities laws and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder by the SEC. Limited partners who have suffered losses in connection with the purchase or sale of the shares may be able to recover such losses from USCF where the losses result from a violation by USCF of the federal securities laws. State securities laws may also provide certain remedies to limited partners. Limited partners should be aware that performance by USCF of its fiduciary duty is measured by the terms of the LP Agreement as well as applicable law. Limited partners are afforded certain rights to institute reparations proceedings under the Commodity Exchange Act (“CEA”) for violations of the CEA or of any rule, regulation or order of the CFTC by USCF.

Liability and Indemnification

Under the LP Agreement, neither a general partner nor any employee or other agent of UNG nor any officer, director, stockholder, partner, employee or agent of a general partner (a “Protected Person”) shall be liable to any partner or UNG for any mistake of judgment or for any action or inaction taken, nor for any losses due to any mistake of judgment or to any action or inaction or to the negligence, dishonesty or bad faith of any officer, director, stockholder, partner, employee, agent of UNG or any officer, director, stockholder, partner, employee or agent of such general partner, provided that such officer, director, stockholder, partner, employee, or agent of the partner or officer, director, stockholder, partner, employee or agent of such general partner was selected, engaged or retained by such general partner with reasonable care, except with respect to any matter as to which such general partner shall have been finally adjudicated in any action, suit or other proceeding not to have acted in good faith in the reasonable belief that such Protected Person’s action was in the best interests of UNG and except that no Protected Person shall be relieved of any liability to which such Protected Person would otherwise be subject by reason of willful misfeasance, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of the Protected Person’s office.

UNG shall, to the fullest extent permitted by law, but only out of UNG assets, indemnify and hold harmless a general partner and each officer, director, stockholder, partner, employee or agent thereof (including persons who serve at UNG’s request as directors, officers or trustees of another organization in which UNG has an interest as a shareholder, creditor or otherwise) and their respective Legal Representatives and successors (hereinafter referred to as a “Covered Person”) against all liabilities and expenses, including but not limited to amounts paid in satisfaction of judgments, in compromise or as fines and penalties, and counsel fees reasonably incurred by any Covered Person in connection with the defense or disposition of any action, suit or other proceedings, whether civil or criminal, before any court or administrative or legislative body, in which such Covered Person may be or may have been involved as a party or otherwise or with which such person may be or may have been threatened, while in office or thereafter, by reason of an alleged act or omission as a general partner or director or officer thereof, or by reason of its being or having been such a general partner, director or officer, except with respect to any matter as to which such Covered Person shall have been finally adjudicated in any such action, suit or other proceeding not to have acted in good faith in the reasonable belief that such Covered Person’s action was in the best interest of UNG, and except that no Covered Person shall be indemnified against any liability to UNG or limited partners to which such Covered Person would otherwise be subject by reason of willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of such Covered Person’s office. Expenses, including counsel fees so incurred by any such Covered Person, may be paid from time to time by UNG in advance of the final disposition of any such action, suit or proceeding on the condition that the amounts so paid shall be repaid to UNG if it is ultimately determined that the indemnification of such expenses is not authorized hereunder.

Meetings

Meetings of limited partners may be called by USCF and may be called by it upon the written request of limited partners holding at least 20% of the outstanding shares of UNG. USCF shall deposit written notice to all limited partners of the meeting and the purpose of the meeting, which shall be held on a date not less than 30 nor more than 60 days after the date of mailing of such notice, at a reasonable time and place. USCF may also call a meeting upon not less than 20 and not more than 60 days prior notice.

Each limited partner appoints USCF and each of its authorized officers as its attorney-in-fact with full power and authority in its name, place and stead to execute, swear to, acknowledge, deliver, file and record all ballots, consents, approval waivers, certificates and other instruments necessary or appropriate, in the sole discretion of USCF, to make, evidence, give, confirm or ratify any vote, consent, approval, agreement or other action that is made or given by the partner of UNG. However, when the LP Agreement establishes a percentage of the limited partners required to take any action, USCF may exercise such power of attorney made only after the necessary vote, consent or approval of the limited partners.

Termination Events

UNG will dissolve at any time upon the happening of any of the following events:

The bankruptcy, dissolution, withdrawal, or removal of USCF, unless a majority in interest of the limited partners within 90 days after such event elects to continue UNG and appoints a successor general partner; or
The affirmative vote of a majority in interest of the limited partners, provided that prior to or concurrently with such vote, there shall have been established procedures for the assumption of UNG's obligations arising under any agreement to which UNG is a party and which is still in force immediately prior to such vote regarding termination, and there shall have been an irrevocable appointment of an agent who shall be empowered to give and receive notices, reports and payments under such agreements, and hold and exercise such other powers as are necessary to permit all other parties to such agreements to deal with such agent as if the agent were the sole owner of UNG's interest, which procedures are agreed to in writing by each of the other parties to such agreements.

Provisions of Law

According to applicable law, indemnification of USCF is payable only if USCF determined, in good faith, that the act, omission or conduct that gave rise to the claim for indemnification was in the best interest of UNG and the act, omission or activity that was the basis for such loss, liability, damage, cost or expense was not the result of negligence or misconduct and such liability or loss was not the result of negligence or misconduct by USCF, and such indemnification or agreement to hold harmless is recoverable only out of the assets of UNG and not from the members, individually.

Provisions of Federal and State Securities Laws

This offering is made pursuant to federal and state securities laws. The SEC and state securities agencies take the position that indemnification of USCF that arises out of an alleged violation of such laws is prohibited unless certain conditions are met.

Those conditions require that no indemnification of USCF or any underwriter for UNG may be made in respect of any losses, liabilities or expenses arising from or out of an alleged violation of federal or state securities laws unless: (i) there has been a successful adjudication on the merits of each count involving alleged securities law violations as to the party seeking indemnification and the court approves the indemnification; (ii) such claim has been dismissed with prejudice on the merits by a court of competent jurisdiction as to the party seeking indemnification; or (iii) a court of competent jurisdiction approves a settlement of the claims against the party seeking indemnification and finds that indemnification of the settlement and related costs should be made, provided that, before seeking such approval, USCF or other indemnitee must apprise the court of the position held by regulatory agencies against such indemnification. These agencies are the SEC and the securities administrator of the State or States in which the plaintiffs claim they were offered or sold membership interests.

Provisions of the 1933 Act and NASAA Guidelines

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the 1933 Act may be permitted to USCF or its directors, officers, or persons controlling UNG, UNG has been informed that SEC and the various State administrators believe that such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the 1933 Act and the North American Securities Administrators Association, Inc. ("NASAA") commodity pool guidelines and is therefore unenforceable.

Books and Records

UNG keeps its books of record and account at its office located at 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1530, Oakland, California 94612 or at the offices of the Administrator at its office located at 50 Post Office Square, Boston, Massachusetts, 02110, or such office, including of an administrative agent, as it may subsequently designate upon notice. These books and records are open to inspection by any person who establishes to UNG's satisfaction that such person is a limited partner upon reasonable advance notice at all reasonable times during the usual business hours of UNG.

UNG keeps a copy of UNG's LP Agreement on file in its office which is available for inspection on reasonable advance notice at all reasonable times during its usual business hours by any limited partner.

Statements, Filings, and Reports

At the end of each fiscal year, UNG will furnish to banks, broker dealers and trust companies ("DTC Participants") for distribution to each person who is a shareholder at the end of the fiscal year an annual report containing UNG's audited financial statements and other information about UNG. USCF is responsible for the registration and qualification of the shares under the federal securities laws and federal commodities laws and any other securities and blue sky laws of the United States or any other jurisdiction as USCF may select. USCF is responsible for preparing all reports required by the SEC, NYSE Arca and the CFTC, but has entered into an agreement with the Administrator to prepare these reports as required by the SEC, CFTC and the NYSE Arca on UNG's behalf.

The financial statements of UNG will be audited, as required by law and as may be directed by USCF, by an independent registered public accounting firm designated from time to time by USCF. The accountants report will be furnished by UNG to shareholders upon request. UNG will make such elections, file such tax returns, and prepare, disseminate and file such tax reports, as it is advised by its counsel or accountants are from time to time required by any applicable statute, rule or regulation.

Reports to Limited Partners

In addition to periodic reports filed with the SEC, including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, all of which can be accessed on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov or on UNG's website at www.uscfinvestments.com, UNG, pursuant to the LP Agreement, will provide the following reports to limited partners in the manner prescribed below:

Annual Reports. Within 90 days after the end of each fiscal year, USCF shall cause to be delivered to each limited partner who was a limited partner at any time during the fiscal year, an annual report containing the following:

- financial statements of the partnership, including, without limitation, a balance sheet as of the end of the partnership's fiscal year and statements of income, partners' equity and changes in financial position, for such fiscal (i) year, which shall be prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America consistently applied and shall be audited by a firm of independent certified public accountants registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board,
- (ii) a general description of the activities of the partnership during the period covered by the report, and a report of any material transactions between the partnership and USCF or any of its affiliates, including fees or
- (iii) compensation paid by the partnership and the services performed by USCF or any such affiliate for such fees or compensation.

Quarterly Reports. Within 45 days after the end of each quarter of each fiscal year, USCF shall cause to be delivered to each limited partner who was a limited partner at any time during the quarter then ended, a quarterly report containing a balance sheet and statement of income for the period covered by the report, each of which may be unaudited but shall be certified by USCF as fairly presenting the financial position and results of operations of the partnership during the period covered by the report. The report shall also contain a description of any material event regarding the business of the partnership during the period covered by the report.

Monthly Reports. Within 30 days after the end of each month, USCF shall cause to be posted on its website and, upon request, to be delivered to each limited partner who was a limited partner at any time during the month then ended, a monthly report containing an account statement, which will include a statement of income (loss) and a statement of changes in NAV, for the prescribed period. In addition, the account statement will disclose any material business dealings between the partnership, USCF, commodity trading advisor (if any), FCM, or the principals thereof that previously have not been disclosed in this prospectus or any amendment thereto, other account statements or annual reports.

UNG will provide information to its shareholders to the extent required by applicable SEC, CFTC, and NYSE Arca requirements. An issuer, such as UNG, of exchange-traded securities may not always readily know the identities of the investors who own those securities. UNG will post the same information that would otherwise be provided in UNG's reports to limited partners described above including its monthly account statements, which will include, without limitation, UNG's NAV, on UNG's website www.uscfinvestments.com.

Fiscal Year

The fiscal year of UNG is the calendar year. USCF may select an alternate fiscal year.

Governing Law; Consent to Delaware Jurisdiction

The rights of USCF, UNG, DTC (as registered owner of UNG's global certificate for shares) and the shareholders, are governed by the laws of the State of Delaware. USCF, UNG and DTC and, by accepting shares, each DTC Participant and each shareholder, consent to the jurisdiction of the courts of the State of Delaware and any federal courts located in Delaware. Such consent is not required for any person to assert a claim of Delaware jurisdiction over USCF or UNG.

36

Legal Matters

Litigation and Claims

Within the past 5 years of the date of this prospectus, there have been no material administrative, civil or criminal actions against USCF, UNG, or any principal or affiliate of any of them. This includes any actions pending, on appeal, concluded, threatened, or otherwise known to them.

Legal Opinion

Eversheds Sutherland (US) LLP is counsel to advise UNG and USCF with respect to the shares being offered hereby and has passed upon the validity of the shares being issued hereunder. Eversheds Sutherland (US) LLP has also provided USCF with its opinion with respect to federal income tax matters addressed herein.

Experts

Spicer Jeffries LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the effectiveness of UNG's internal control over financial reporting and the statements of financial condition of UNG as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, including the schedule of investments as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of operations, changes in partners' capital and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, that appear in the annual report on Form 10-K that is incorporated by reference. The financial statements of UNG and management's assessment of the internal control over financial reporting in the Form 10-K were included herein in reliance upon the reports of Spicer Jeffries LLP dated February 28, 2018, given on its authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

BPM LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the statements of financial condition of United States Commodity Funds, LLC as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 that appear in the annual report on Form 10-K of UNG that is incorporated by reference herein. Such financial statements are included in reliance upon the report of such firm, dated February 28, 2018, given their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following discussion summarizes the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of shares in UNG, and the U.S. federal income tax treatment of UNG, as of the date hereof. This discussion is applicable to a beneficial owner of shares who purchases shares in the offering to which this prospectus relates, including a beneficial owner who purchases shares from an Authorized Participant. Except where noted otherwise, it deals only with shares held as capital assets and does not deal with special situations, such as those of dealers in securities or currencies, financial institutions, tax-exempt entities, insurance companies, persons holding shares as a part of a position in a "straddle" or as part of a "hedging," "conversion" or other integrated transaction for federal income tax purposes, traders in securities or commodities that elect to use a mark-to-market method of accounting, or holders of shares whose "functional currency" is not the U.S. dollar.

Furthermore, the discussion below is based upon the provisions of the Code, as amended, and regulations ("Treasury Regulations"), rulings and judicial decisions thereunder as of the date hereof, and such authorities may be repealed, revoked or modified so as to result in U.S. federal income tax consequences different from those discussed below.

Persons considering the purchase, ownership or disposition of shares should consult their own tax advisors concerning the United States federal income tax consequences in light of their particular situations as well as any consequences

arising under the laws of any other taxing jurisdiction.

As used herein, a “U.S. shareholder” of a share means a beneficial owner of a share that is a U.S. person. A “U.S. person,” for United States federal income tax purposes, is (i) a citizen or resident of the United States, (ii) a corporation or partnership created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any political subdivision thereof, (iii) an estate the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source or (iv) a trust (X) that is subject to the supervision of a court within the United States and the control of one or more United States persons as described in section 7701(a)(30) of the Code or (Y) that has a valid election in effect under applicable Treasury Regulations to be treated as a United States person. A “non-U.S. shareholder” is a holder that is not a U.S. shareholder and a “non-U.S. person” is an individual or entity that is not a U.S. person. If a partnership holds our shares, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner of a partnership holding our shares, you should consult your own tax advisor regarding the tax consequences.

UNG has received the opinion of Eversheds Sutherland (US) LLP, counsel to UNG, that the material U.S. federal income tax consequences to UNG and to U.S. shareholders and non-U.S. shareholders will be as described below. In rendering its opinion, Eversheds Sutherland (US) LLP has relied on the facts described in this prospectus as well as certain factual representations made by UNG and USCF. The opinion of Eversheds Sutherland (US) LLP is not binding on the IRS, and as a result, the IRS may not agree with the tax positions taken by UNG. If challenged by the IRS, UNG's tax positions might not be sustained by the courts. No ruling has been requested from the IRS with respect to any matter affecting UNG or prospective investors.

EACH PROSPECTIVE INVESTOR IS ADVISED TO CONSULT ITS OWN TAX ADVISOR AS TO HOW U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF AN INVESTMENT IN UNG APPLY TO YOU AND AS TO HOW THE APPLICABLE STATE, LOCAL OR FOREIGN TAXES APPLY TO YOU.

Tax Status of UNG

UNG is organized and operated as a limited partnership in accordance with the provisions of the LP Agreement and applicable state law. Under the Code, an entity classified as a partnership that is deemed to be a "publicly traded partnership" is generally taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. The Code provides an exception to this general rule for a publicly traded partnership whose gross income for each taxable year of its existence consists of at least 90% "qualifying income" ("qualifying income exception"). For this purpose, section 7704 defines "qualifying income" as including, in pertinent part, interest (other than from a financial business), dividends and gains from the sale or disposition of capital assets held for the production of interest or dividends. In addition, in the case of a partnership a principal activity of which is the buying and selling of commodities (other than as inventory) or of futures, forwards and options with respect to commodities, "qualifying income" includes income and gains from such commodities and futures, forwards and options with respect to commodities. UNG and USCF have represented the following to Eversheds Sutherland (US) LLP:

At least 90% of UNG's gross income for each taxable year will be derived from (i) income and gains from commodities (not held as inventory) or futures, forwards, options, swaps and other notional principal contracts with respect to commodities, and (ii) interest income;

· UNG is organized and operated in accordance with its governing agreements and applicable law;

· UNG has not elected, and will not elect, to be classified as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Based in part on these representations, Eversheds Sutherland (US) LLP is of the opinion that UNG will be classified as a partnership for federal income tax purposes and that it is not taxable as a corporation for such purposes. UNG's taxation as a partnership rather than a corporation will require USCF to conduct UNG's business activities in such a manner that it satisfies the qualifying income exception on a continuing basis. No assurance can be given that UNG's operations for any given year will produce income that satisfies the requirements of the qualifying income exception. Eversheds Sutherland (US) LLP will not review UNG's ongoing compliance with these requirements and will have no obligation to advise UNG or UNG's shareholders in the event of any subsequent change in the facts, representations or applicable law relied upon in reaching its opinion.

If UNG failed to satisfy the qualifying income exception in any year, other than a failure that is determined by the IRS to be inadvertent and that is cured within a reasonable time after discovery, UNG would be taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes and would pay federal income tax on its income at regular corporate rates. In that event, shareholders would not report their share of UNG's income or loss on their returns. In addition, distributions to shareholders would be treated as dividends to the extent of UNG's current and accumulated earnings and profits. Subject to holding period and other requirements, any such dividend would be a qualifying dividend subject to U.S. federal income tax at the lower maximum tax rates applicable to long-term capital gains. To the extent a distribution exceeded UNG's earnings and profits, the distribution would be treated as a return of capital to the extent of a shareholder's basis in its shares, and thereafter as gain from the sale of shares. Accordingly, if UNG were to be taxable

as a corporation, it would likely have a material adverse effect on the economic return from an investment in UNG and on the value of the shares.

The remainder of this summary assumes that UNG is classified as a partnership for federal income tax purposes and that it is not taxable as a corporation.

U.S. Shareholders

Tax Consequences of Ownership of Shares

Taxation of UNG's Income. No U.S. federal income tax is paid by UNG on its income. Instead, UNG files annual information returns, and each U.S. shareholder is required to report on its U.S. federal income tax return its allocable share of the income, gain, loss, deduction, and credit of UNG. For example, shareholders must take into account their share of ordinary income realized by UNG from accruals of interest on Treasuries and other investments, and their share of gain from Natural Gas Interests. These items must be reported without regard to the amount (if any) of cash or property the shareholder receives as a distribution from UNG during the taxable year. Consequently, a shareholder may be allocated income or gain by UNG but receive no cash distribution with which to pay its tax liability resulting from the allocation, or may receive a distribution that is insufficient to pay such liability. Because USCF currently does not intend to make distributions, it is likely that in any year UNG realizes net income and/or gain that a U.S. shareholder will be required to pay taxes on its allocable share of such income or gain from sources other than UNG distributions. In addition, individuals with income in excess of \$200,000 (\$250,000 in the case of married individuals filing jointly) and certain estates and trusts are subject to an additional 3.8% tax on their "net investment income," which generally includes net income from interest, dividends, annuities, royalties, and rents, and net capital gains (other than certain amounts earned from trades or businesses). The income subject to the additional 3.8% tax includes any income from businesses involved in the trading of financial instruments or commodities.

Allocations of UNG's Profit and Loss. Under Code section 704, the determination of a partner's distributive share of any item of income, gain, loss, deduction or credit is governed by the applicable organizational document unless the allocation provided by such document lacks "substantial economic effect." An allocation that lacks substantial economic effect nonetheless will be respected if it is in accordance with the partners' interests in the partnership, determined by taking into account all facts and circumstances relating to the economic arrangements among the partners. Subject to the discussion below, concerning certain conventions to be used by UNG, allocations of UNG income pursuant to the Partnership Agreement should be considered as having substantial economic effect or as being in accordance with a shareholder's interest in UNG.

In general, UNG applies a monthly closing-of-the-books convention in determining allocations of economic profit or loss to shareholders. Income, gain, loss and deduction are determined on a monthly "mark-to-market" basis, taking into account our accrued income and deductions and realized and unrealized gains and losses for the month. Items of taxable income, deduction, gain, loss and credit recognized by UNG for federal income tax purposes for any taxable year are allocated among holders in a manner that equitably reflects the allocation of economic profit or loss.

For any applicable period ending on or before December 31, 2017, under the monthly allocation convention used by UNG, the investor who holds a share as of the close of business on the last trading day of the current month is treated for purposes of making allocations as if it owned the share throughout the current month regardless of when such shareholder acquired such share. For example, an investor who buys a share on April 10 of a year and sells it on May 20 of the same year will be allocated all of the tax items attributable to April (because he is deemed to hold it through the month of April) but will not be allocated any of the tax items attributable to May. The tax items attributable to that share for May will be allocated to the person who is the actual or deemed holder of the share as of the close of business on the last trading day of May.

Effective for any applicable period beginning on or after January 1, 2018, UNG intends to modify its monthly allocation convention. Under the modified monthly allocation convention for any such period, an investor who holds a share as of the close of business on the last trading day of the previous month, such investor will be treated for purposes of making allocations as if it owned the share throughout the current month even if such investor disposes of such share during the current month. For example, an investor who buys a share on April 10 of a year and sells it on May 20 of the same year will be allocated all of the tax items attributable to May (because he is deemed to hold it through the last day of May) but will not be allocated any of the tax items attributable to April. The tax items attributable to that share for April will be allocated to the person who is the actual or deemed holder of the share as of the close of business on the last trading day of March.

Under the monthly convention, an investor who purchases and sells a share during the same month, and therefore does not hold (and is not deemed to hold) the share at the close of business on the last trading day of either that month or the previous month, will receive no allocations with respect to that share for any period. Accordingly, investors may receive no allocations with respect to shares that they actually held, or may receive allocations with respect to shares attributable to periods that they did not actually hold the shares. As a result of the transition to the new monthly convention, an investor who holds shares as of the last business day of December 2017 will be treated for purposes of making allocations as if it owned the share throughout December 2017 and January 2018 regardless of when such investor acquired or sold such share and therefor will be allocated the tax items for that month, as well as the tax items for December 2017 and January 2018.

By investing in shares, a U.S. Shareholder agrees that, in the absence of new legislation, regulatory or administrative guidance, or judicial rulings to the contrary, it will file its U.S. income tax returns in a manner that is consistent with the monthly allocation convention as described above and with the IRS Schedule K-1 or any successor form provided to shareholders by UNG.

In addition, for any month in which a Creation Basket is issued or a Redemption Basket is redeemed, UNG generally will credit or debit the “book” capital accounts of its existing shareholders with any unrealized gain or loss on UNG’s assets. The capital accounts as adjusted in this manner will be used in making tax allocations intended to account for the differences between the tax basis and fair market value of the assets of UNG at the time new shares are issued or outstanding shares are redeemed (so-called “reverse Code section 704(c) allocations”). The intended effect of these adjustments is to equitably allocate among shareholders any unrealized appreciation or depreciation in UNG’s assets existing at the time of a contribution or redemption for book and tax purposes.

UNG applies certain conventions in determining and allocating items for tax purposes in order to reduce the complexity and costs of administration. USCF believes that application of these conventions is consistent with the intent of the partnership provisions of the Code and the applicable Treasury Regulations, and that the resulting allocations will have substantial economic effect or otherwise should be respected as being in accordance with shareholders’ interests in UNG for federal income tax purposes. The Code and existing Treasury Regulations do not expressly permit adoption of these conventions although the monthly allocation convention described above is consistent with methods permitted under the applicable Treasury Regulations, as well as the legislative history for the provisions that require allocations to appropriately reflect changes in ownership interests. It is possible that the IRS could successfully challenge UNG’s allocations methods on the ground that they do not satisfy the technical requirements of the Code or Treasury Regulations, requiring a shareholder to report a greater or lesser share of items of income, gain, loss, deduction, or credit than if our method were respected. USCF is authorized to revise our allocation method to conform to any method permitted under future Treasury Regulations.

The assumptions and conventions used in making tax allocations may cause a shareholder to be allocated more or less income or loss for federal income tax purposes than its proportionate share of the economic income or loss realized by UNG during the period it held its shares. This “mismatch” between taxable and economic income or loss in some cases may be temporary, reversing itself in a later period when the shares are sold, but could be permanent.

Section 754 Election. UNG has made the election permitted by section 754 of the Code, which election is irrevocable without the consent of the Service. The effect of this election is that, in connection with secondary market sales, we adjust the purchaser’s proportionate share of the tax basis of our assets to fair market value, as reflected in the price paid for the shares, as if the purchaser had directly acquired an interest in our assets. The section 754 election is intended to eliminate disparities between a partner’s basis in its partnership interest and its share of the tax bases of the partnership’s assets, so that the partner’s allocable share of taxable gain or loss on a disposition of an asset will correspond to its share of the appreciation or depreciation in the value of the asset since it acquired its interest. Depending on the price paid for shares and the tax bases of UNG’s assets at the time of the purchase, the effect of the section 754 election on a purchaser of shares may be favorable or unfavorable. In order to make the appropriate basis adjustments in a cost-effective manner, UNG will use certain simplifying conventions and assumptions. In particular, all transfers of shares in UNG will be deemed to take place at a price (the “single monthly price”) equal to the value of such share at the end of the Business Day during the month in which the transfer takes place on which the value of a share is lowest at close of the market. Adjustments to be made under Sections 734(b) and 743(b) of the Code will be made using the same monthly convention, including by reference to the single monthly price. It is possible the IRS will successfully assert that the conventions and assumptions applied are improper and require different basis adjustments to be made, which could adversely affect some shareholders.

Mark to Market of Certain Exchange-Traded Contracts. For federal income tax purposes, UNG generally is required to use a “mark-to-market” method of accounting under which unrealized gains and losses on instruments constituting “section 1256 contracts” are recognized currently. A section 1256 contract is defined as: (1) a futures contract that is traded on or subject to the rules of a national securities exchange which is registered with the SEC, a domestic board of trade designated as a contract market by the CFTC, or any other board of trade or exchange designated by the Secretary of the Treasury, and with respect to which the amount required to be deposited and the amount that may be withdrawn depends on a system of “marking to market”; (2) a forward contract on exchange-traded foreign currencies, where the contracts are traded in the interbank market; (3) a non-equity option traded on or subject to the rules of a qualified board or exchange; (4) a dealer equity option; or (5) a dealer securities futures contract.

Under these rules, section 1256 contracts held by UNG at the end of each taxable year, including for example Futures Contracts and options on Futures Contracts traded on a U.S. exchange or board of trade or certain foreign exchanges, are treated as if they were sold by UNG for their fair market value on the last business day of the taxable year. A shareholder’s distributive share of UNG’s net gain or loss with respect to each section 1256 contract generally is treated as long-term capital gain or loss to the extent of 60 percent thereof, and as short-term capital gain or loss to the extent of 40 percent thereof, without regard to the actual holding period (“60 – 40 treatment”).

Many of UNG's Futures Contracts and some of their other commodity interests will qualify as "section 1256 contracts" under the Code. Gain or loss recognized through disposition, termination or marking-to-market of UNG's section 1256 contracts will be subject to 60 – 40 treatment and allocated to shareholders in accordance with the monthly allocation convention. Cleared swaps and other commodity swaps will most likely not qualify as section 1256 contracts. If a commodity swap is not treated as a section 1256 contract, any gain or loss on the swap recognized at the time of disposition or termination will be long-term or short-term capital gain or loss depending on the holding period of the swap.

Limitations on Deductibility of Losses and Certain Expenses. A number of different provisions of the Code may defer or disallow the deduction of losses or expenses allocated to you by UNG, including but not limited to those described below.

A shareholder's deduction of its allocable share of any loss of UNG is limited to the lesser of (1) the tax basis in its shares or (2) in the case of a shareholder that is an individual or a closely held corporation, the amount which the shareholder is considered to have "at risk" with respect to our activities. In general, the amount at risk will be your invested capital plus your share of any recourse debt of UNG for which you are liable. Losses in excess of the lesser of tax basis or the amount at risk must be deferred until years in which UNG generates additional taxable income against which to offset such carryover losses or until additional capital is placed at risk.

Noncorporate taxpayers are permitted to deduct capital losses only to the extent of their capital gains for the taxable year plus \$3,000 of other income. Unused capital losses can be carried forward and used to offset capital gains in future years. In addition, a noncorporate taxpayer may elect to carry back net losses on section 1256 contracts to each of the three preceding years and use them to offset section 1256 contract gains in those years, subject to certain limitations. Corporate taxpayers generally may deduct capital losses only to the extent of capital gains, subject to special carryback and carryforward rules.

For taxable years beginning before January 1, 2026, otherwise deductible expenses incurred by noncorporate taxpayers constituting "miscellaneous itemized deductions," generally including investment-related expenses (other than interest and certain other specified expenses), are not deductible. For taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2026, such miscellaneous itemized deductions are deductible only to the extent they exceed 2 percent of the taxpayer's adjusted gross income for the year. Although the matter is not free from doubt, we believe management fees we pay to USCF and other expenses we incur will constitute investment-related expenses subject to the miscellaneous itemized deduction limitation, rather than expenses incurred in connection with a trade or business, and will report these expenses consistent with that interpretation. In addition, for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2026, the Code imposes additional limitations on the amount of certain itemized deductions allowable to individuals with adjusted gross income in excess of certain amounts by reducing the otherwise allowable portion of such deductions by an amount equal to the lesser of:

- 3% of the individual's adjusted gross income in excess of certain threshold amounts; or
- 80% of the amount of certain itemized deductions otherwise allowable for the taxable year.

For taxable years beginning before January 1, 2026, noncorporate shareholders are entitled to a deduction (subject to certain limitations) equal to their "combined qualified business income." "Combined qualified business income" for this purpose includes 20% of a noncorporate taxpayer's "qualified publicly traded partnership income." In general, "qualified publicly traded partnership income" includes a noncorporate taxpayer's allocable share of "qualified items" of income, gain, deduction, and loss. A "qualified item" for this purpose is an item of income, gain deduction, or loss that is

effectively connected with a US trade or business and includible income for the year. As discussed below, although the matter is not free from doubt, UNG believes that the activities directly conducted by UNG will not result in UNG being engaged in a trade or business within in the United States. *See* “Non-U.S. Shareholders—Withholding on Allocations and Distributions” below. As a result, we do not anticipate that any of our items of income, gain, deduction, or loss will be reported as “qualified publicly traded partnership income” eligible for the deduction for “combined qualified business income.” “Qualified publicly traded partnership income” also includes any gain or loss from the sale of an interest in a partnership to extent attributable to “unrealized receivables” or “inventory” under section 751. (For a discussion of section 751, *see* “Tax Consequences of Disposition of Shares” below.) A noncorporate taxpayer that recognizes any gain or loss from the sale of an interest in UNG that is attributable to “unrealized receivables” or “inventory” under section 751 should consult with such taxpayer’s tax advisor to determine whether any portion of such gain or loss constitutes “qualified publicly traded partnership income” eligible for the deduction for “combined qualified business income.”

A taxpayer is generally prohibited from deducting business interest to the extent that it exceeds the sum of (i) business interest income of such taxpayer, (ii) 30% of the adjusted taxable income of such taxpayer, plus (iii) the floor plan financing interest of such taxpayer. In the case of partnerships, this determination is made at the partnership level. To the extent that the business income of the partnership exceeds the amount necessary to absorb all of the partnership's business interest, such excess amount is allocated to the partners as excess business income, which amount may be used against any business interest of the partner (but not any other partnerships). To the extent that the partnership has any disallowed business interest expense, such amount is allocated among the partners, reduces the partners' outside basis in their partnership interests by their allocable shares, and is carried forward to future years. Such carry forward may only be used as a deduction to the extent that the partnership has excess business income in the future. In the event that a partner transfers a partnership interest with any excess business interest carry forward amounts, such amounts increase the partner's basis in its partnership interest immediately before the transfer. Although it is not free from doubt, UNG does not anticipate that it will be treated as engaged in a trade or business. As a result, UNG does not anticipate that any portion of its interest expense (if any) will constitute business interest or that shareholders will be allocated any excess business income as a result of holding UNG shares.

Noncorporate shareholders generally may deduct "investment interest expense" only to the extent of their "net investment income." Investment interest expense of a shareholder will generally include any interest accrued by UNG and any interest paid or accrued on direct borrowings by a shareholder to purchase or carry its shares, such as interest with respect to a margin account. Net investment income generally includes gross income from property held for investment (including "portfolio income" under the passive loss rules but not, absent an election, long-term capital gains or certain qualifying dividend income) less deductible expenses other than interest directly connected with the production of investment income.

To the extent that we allocate losses or expenses to you that must be deferred or disallowed as a result of these or other limitations in the Code, you may be taxed on income in excess of your economic income or distributions (if any) on your shares. As one example, you could be allocated and required to pay tax on your share of interest income accrued by UNG for a particular taxable year, and in the same year be allocated a share of a capital loss that you cannot deduct currently because you have insufficient capital gains against which to offset the loss. As another example, you could be allocated and required to pay tax on your share of interest income and capital gain for a year, but be unable to deduct some or all of your share of management fees and/or margin account interest incurred by you with respect to your shares. Shareholders are urged to consult their own professional tax advisors regarding the effect of limitations under the Code on your ability to deduct your allocable share of UNG's losses and expenses.

Tax Basis of Shares

A shareholder's tax basis in its shares is important in determining (1) the amount of taxable gain or loss it will realize on the sale or other disposition of its shares, (2) the amount of non-taxable distributions that it may receive from UNG and (3) its ability to utilize its distributive share of any losses of UNG on its tax return. A shareholder's initial tax basis of its shares will equal its cost for the shares plus its share of UNG's liabilities (if any) at the time of purchase. In general, a shareholder's "share" of those liabilities will equal the sum of (i) the entire amount of any otherwise nonrecourse liability of UNG as to which the shareholder or an affiliate is the creditor (a "partner nonrecourse liability") and (ii) a *pro rata* share of any nonrecourse liabilities of UNG that are not partner nonrecourse liabilities as to any shareholder.

A shareholder's tax basis in its shares generally will be (1) increased by (a) its allocable share of UNG's taxable income and gain and (b) any additional contributions by the shareholder to UNG and (2) decreased (but not below zero) by (a) its allocable share of UNG's tax deductions and losses and (b) any distributions by UNG to the shareholder. For this purpose, an increase in a shareholder's share of UNG's liabilities will be treated as a contribution of cash by the shareholder to UNG and a decrease in that share will be treated as a distribution of cash by UNG to the shareholder.

Pursuant to certain IRS rulings, a shareholder will be required to maintain a single, “unified” basis in all shares that it owns. As a result, when a shareholder that acquired its shares at different prices sells less than all of its shares, such shareholder will not be entitled to specify particular shares (*e.g.*, those with a higher basis) as having been sold. Rather, it must determine its gain or loss on the sale by using an “equitable apportionment” method to allocate a portion of its unified basis in its shares to the shares sold.

Treatment of UNG Distributions. If UNG makes non-liquidating distributions to shareholders, such distributions generally will not be taxable to the shareholders for federal income tax purposes except to the extent that the sum of (i) the amount of cash and (ii) the fair market value of marketable securities distributed exceeds the shareholder’s adjusted basis of its interest in UNG immediately before the distribution. Any cash distributions in excess of a shareholder’s tax basis generally will be treated as gain from the sale or exchange of shares.

Tax Consequences of Disposition of Shares

If a shareholder sells its shares, it will recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and its adjusted tax basis for the shares sold. A shareholder's amount realized will be the sum of the cash or the fair market value of other property received plus its share of any UNG debt outstanding.

Gain or loss recognized by a shareholder on the sale or exchange of shares held for more than one year will generally be taxable as long-term capital gain or loss; otherwise, such gain or loss will generally be taxable as short-term capital gain or loss. A special election is available under the Treasury Regulations that will allow shareholders to identify and use the actual holding periods for the shares sold for purposes of determining whether the gain or loss recognized on a sale of shares will give rise to long-term or short-term capital gain or loss. It is expected that most shareholders will be eligible to elect, and generally will elect, to identify and use the actual holding period for shares sold. If a shareholder fails to make the election or is not able to identify the holding periods of the shares sold, the shareholder may have a split holding period in the shares sold. Under such circumstances, a shareholder will be required to determine its holding period in the shares sold by first determining the portion of its entire interest in UNG that would give rise to long-term capital gain or loss if its entire interest were sold and the portion that would give rise to short-term capital gain or loss if the entire interest were sold. The shareholder would then treat each share sold as giving rise to long-term capital gain or loss and short-term capital gain or loss in the same proportions as if it had sold its entire interest in UNG.

Under Section 751 of the Code, a portion of a shareholder's gain or loss from the sale of shares (regardless of the holding period for such shares), will be separately computed and taxed as ordinary income or loss to the extent attributable to "unrealized receivables" or "inventory" owned by UNG. The term "unrealized receivables" includes, among other things, market discount bonds and short-term debt instruments to the extent such items would give rise to ordinary income if sold by UNG. However, the short term capital gain on section 1256 contracts resulting from 60 – 40 treatment, described above, should not be subject to this rule.

If some or all of your shares are lent by your broker or other agent to a third party - for example, for use by the third party in covering a short sale - you may be considered as having made a taxable disposition of the loaned shares, in which case -

- you may recognize taxable gain or loss to the same extent as if you had sold the shares for cash;
 - any of UNG's income, gain, loss or deduction allocable to those shares during the period of the loan will not be reportable by you for tax purposes; and
 - any distributions you receive with respect to the shares will be fully taxable, most likely as ordinary income.
- Shareholders desiring to avoid these and other possible consequences of a deemed disposition of their shares should consider modifying any applicable brokerage account agreements to prohibit the lending of their shares.

Other Tax Matters

Information Reporting. We report tax information to the beneficial owners of shares. The IRS has ruled that assignees of partnership interests who have not been admitted to a partnership as partners but who have the capacity to exercise substantial dominion and control over the assigned partnership interests will be considered beneficial owners for federal income tax purposes. On the basis of such ruling, except as otherwise provided herein, we treat the following persons as partners for federal income tax purposes: (1) assignees of shares who are pending admission as limited partners, and (2) shareholders whose shares are held in street name or by another nominee and who have the right to direct the nominee in the exercise of all substantive rights attendant to the ownership of their shares. UNG will furnish shareholders each year with tax information on IRS Schedule K-1 (Form 1065), which will be used by the shareholders in completing their tax returns.

Persons who hold an interest in UNG as a nominee for another person are required to furnish to us the following information: (1) the name, address and taxpayer identification number of the beneficial owner and the nominee; (2) whether the beneficial owner is (a) a person that is not a U.S. person, (b) a foreign government, an international organization or any wholly-owned agency or instrumentality of either of the foregoing, or (c) a tax-exempt entity; (3) the number and a description of shares acquired or transferred for the beneficial owner; and (4) certain information including the dates of acquisitions and transfers, means of acquisitions and transfers, and acquisition cost for purchases, as well as the amount of net proceeds from sales. Brokers and financial institutions are required to furnish additional information, including whether they are U.S. persons and certain information on shares they acquire, hold or transfer for their own account. The nominee is required to supply the beneficial owner of the shares with the information furnished to us. Penalties may apply for failure to report required information.

Additional 3.8% Tax on Net Investment Income. Individuals with income in excess of \$200,000 (\$250,000 in the case of married individuals filing jointly) and certain estates and trusts are subject to an additional 3.8% tax on their “net investment income,” which generally includes net income from interest, dividends, annuities, royalties, and rents, and net capital gains (other than certain amounts earned from trades or businesses). The income subject to the additional 3.8% tax includes any income from businesses involved in the trading of financial instruments or commodities.

Partnership Audit Procedures. The IRS may audit the federal income tax returns filed by UNG. Partnerships are generally treated as separate entities for purposes of federal tax audits, judicial review of administrative adjustments by the IRS, and tax settlement proceedings. The tax treatment of partnership items of income, gain, loss and deduction are determined at the partnership level in a unified partnership proceeding rather than in separate proceedings with the shareholders.

UNG may be liable for U.S. federal income tax on any “imputed understatement” of tax resulting from an adjustment as a result of an IRS audit. The amount of the imputed understatement generally includes increases in allocations of items of income or gains to any investor and decreases in allocations of items of deduction, loss, or credit to any investor without any offset for any corresponding reductions in allocations of items of income or gain to any investor or increases in allocations of items of deduction, loss, or credit to any shareholder. If UNG is required to pay any U.S. federal income taxes on any imputed understatement, the resulting tax liability would reduce the net assets of UNG and would likely have an adverse impact on the value of the shares. Under certain circumstances, UNG may be eligible to make an election to cause the investors to take into account the amount of any imputed understatement, including any interest and penalties. The ability of a publicly traded partnership such as UNG to make this election is uncertain. If the election is made, UNG would be required to provide investors who owned beneficial interests in the shares in the year to which the adjusted allocations relate with a statement setting forth their proportionate shares of the adjustment (“Adjusted K-1s”). The investors would be required to take the adjustment into account in the taxable year in which the Adjusted K-1s are issued. The Code generally requires UNG to designate one person as the “partnership representative” who has sole authority to conduct an audit with the IRS, challenge any adjustment in a court of law, and settle any audit or other proceeding. The LP Agreement appoints USCF as the partnership representative of UNG.

Tax Shelter Disclosure Rules. In certain circumstances the Code and Treasury Regulations require that the IRS be notified of taxable transactions through a disclosure statement attached to a taxpayer’s United States federal income tax return. These disclosure rules may apply to transactions irrespective of whether they are structured to achieve particular tax benefits. They could require disclosure by UNG or shareholders if a shareholder incurs a loss in excess a specified threshold from a sale or redemption of its shares or possibly in other circumstances. While these rules generally do not require disclosure of a loss recognized on the disposition of an asset in which the taxpayer has a “qualifying basis” (generally a basis equal to the amount of cash paid by the taxpayer for such asset), they apply to a loss recognized with respect to interests in a pass-through entity, such as the shares, even if the taxpayer’s basis in such interests is equal to the amount of cash it paid. In addition, under recently enacted legislation, significant penalties may be imposed in connection with a failure to comply with these reporting requirements. *Investors should consult their own tax advisors concerning the application of these reporting requirements to their specific situation.*

Tax-Exempt Organizations. Subject to numerous exceptions, qualified retirement plans and individual retirement accounts, charitable organizations and certain other organizations that otherwise are exempt from federal income tax (collectively “exempt organizations”) nonetheless are subject to the tax on unrelated business taxable income (“UBTI”). Generally, UBTI means the gross income derived by an exempt organization from a trade or business that it regularly carries on, the conduct of which is not substantially related to the exercise or performance of its exempt purpose or function, less allowable deductions directly connected with that trade or business. If UNG were to regularly carry on (directly or indirectly) a trade or business that is unrelated with respect to an exempt organization shareholder, then in computing its UBTI, the shareholder must include its share of (1) UNG’s gross income from the unrelated trade or business, whether or not distributed, and (2) UNG’s allowable deductions directly connected with that gross income.

UBTI generally does not include dividends, interest, or payments with respect to securities loans and gains from the sale of property (other than property held for sale to customers in the ordinary course of a trade or business). Nonetheless, income on, and gain from the disposition of, “debt-financed property” is UBTI. Debt-financed property generally is income-producing property (including securities), the use of which is not substantially related to the

exempt organization's tax-exempt purposes, and with respect to which there is "acquisition indebtedness" at any time during the taxable year (or, if the property was disposed of during the taxable year, the 12-month period ending with the disposition). Acquisition indebtedness includes debt incurred to acquire property, debt incurred before the acquisition of property if the debt would not have been incurred but for the acquisition, and debt incurred subsequent to the acquisition of property if the debt would not have been incurred but for the acquisition and at the time of acquisition the incurrence of debt was foreseeable. The portion of the income from debt-financed property attributable to acquisition indebtedness is equal to the ratio of the average outstanding principal amount of acquisition indebtedness over the average adjusted basis of the property for the year. UNG currently does not anticipate that it will borrow money to acquire investments; however, UNG cannot be certain that it will not borrow for such purpose in the future. In addition, an exempt organization shareholder that incurs acquisition indebtedness to purchase its shares in UNG may have UBTI.

The federal tax rate applicable to an exempt organization shareholder on its UBTI generally will be either the corporate or trust tax rate, depending upon the shareholder's form of organization. UNG may report to each such shareholder information as to the portion, if any, of the shareholder's income and gains from UNG for any year that will be treated as UBTI; the calculation of that amount is complex, and there can be no assurance that UNG's calculation of UBTI will be accepted by the Service. An exempt organization shareholder will be required to make payments of estimated federal income tax with respect to its UBTI.

Regulated Investment Companies. Interests in and income from "qualified publicly traded partnerships" satisfying certain gross income tests are treated as qualifying assets and income, respectively, for purposes of determining eligibility for regulated investment company ("RIC") status. A RIC may invest up to 25% of its assets in interests in a qualified publicly traded partnership. The determination of whether a publicly traded partnership such as UNG is a qualified publicly traded partnership is made on an annual basis. UNG expects to be a qualified publicly traded partnership in each of its taxable years. However, such qualification is not assured.

Non-U.S. Shareholders

Generally, non-U.S. persons who derive U.S. source income or gain from investing or engaging in a U.S. business are taxable on two categories of income. The first category consists of amounts that are fixed, determinable, annual and periodic income, such as interest, dividends and rent that are not connected with the operation of a U.S. trade or business ("FDAP"). The second category is income that is effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business ("ECI"). FDAP income (other than interest that is considered "portfolio interest") is generally subject to a 30 percent withholding tax, which may be reduced for certain categories of income by a treaty between the U.S. and the recipient's country of residence. In contrast, ECI is generally subject to U.S. tax on a net basis at graduated rates upon the filing of a U.S. tax return. Where a non-U.S. person has ECI as a result of an investment in a partnership, the ECI is subject to a withholding tax at a rate of 37% (39.6% for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025) individual shareholders and a rate of 21% for corporate shareholders.

Withholding on Allocations and Distributions. The Code provides that a non-U.S. person who is a partner in a partnership that is engaged in a U.S. trade or business during a taxable year will also be considered to be engaged in a U.S. trade or business during that year. Classifying an activity by a partnership as an investment or an operating business is a factual determination. Under certain safe harbors in the Code, an investment fund whose activities consist of trading in stocks, securities, or commodities for its own account generally will not be considered to be engaged in a U.S. trade or business unless it is a dealer in such stocks, securities, or commodities. This safe harbor applies to investments in commodities only if the commodities are of a kind customarily dealt in on an organized commodity exchange and if the transaction is of a kind customarily consummated at such place. Although the matter is not free from doubt, UNG believes that the activities directly conducted by UNG will not result in UNG being engaged in a trade or business within in the United States. However, there can be no assurance that the IRS would not successfully assert that UNG's activities constitute a U.S. trade or business.

In the event that UNG's activities were considered to constitute a U.S. trade or business, UNG would be required to withhold at the highest rate specified in Code section 1 (currently 37% (39.6% for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2026)) on allocations of our income to individual non-U.S. Shareholders and the highest rate specified in Code section 11(b) (currently 21%) on allocations of our income to corporate non-U.S. Shareholders, when such income is allocated or distributed. A non-U.S. shareholder with ECI will generally be required to file a U.S. federal income tax return, and the return will provide the non-U.S. shareholder with the mechanism to seek a refund of any withholding in excess of such shareholder's actual U.S. federal income tax liability. Any amount withheld by UNG on behalf of a non-U.S. shareholder will be treated as a distribution to the non-U.S. shareholder to the extent possible. In some cases, UNG may not be able to match the economic cost of satisfying its withholding obligations to a particular non-U.S. shareholder, which may result in such cost being borne by UNG, generally, and accordingly, by all

shareholders.

If UNG is not treated as engaged in a U.S. trade or business, a non-U.S. shareholder may nevertheless be treated as having FDAP income, which would be subject to a 30 percent withholding tax (possibly subject to reduction by treaty), with respect to some or all of its distributions from UNG or its allocable share of UNG income. Amounts withheld on behalf of a non-U.S. shareholder will be treated as being distributed to such shareholder.

To the extent any interest income allocated to a non-U.S. shareholder that otherwise constitutes FDAP is considered “portfolio interest,” neither the allocation of such interest income to the non-U.S. shareholder nor a subsequent distribution of such interest income to the non-U.S. shareholder will be subject to withholding, provided that the non-U.S. shareholder is not otherwise engaged in a trade or business in the U.S. and provides UNG with a timely and properly completed and executed IRS Form W-8BEN, W-8BEN-E, or other applicable form. In general, “portfolio interest” is interest paid on debt obligations issued in registered form, unless the “recipient” owns 10 percent or more of the voting power of the issuer.

45

Most of UNG's interest income qualifies as "portfolio interest." In order for UNG to avoid withholding on any interest income allocable to non-U.S. shareholders that would qualify as "portfolio interest," it will be necessary for all non-U.S. shareholders to provide UNG with a timely and properly completed and executed Form W-8BEN or W-8BEN-E (or other applicable form). If a non-U.S. shareholder fails to provide a properly completed Form W-8BEN, W-8BEN-E, or other applicable form USCF may request that the non-U.S. shareholder provide, within 15 days after the request by USCF, a properly completed Form W-8BEN, W-8BEN-E, or other applicable form. If a non-U.S. shareholder fails to comply with this request, the shares owned by such non-U.S. shareholder will be subject to redemption.

Gain from Sale of Shares. Gain from the sale or exchange of the shares may be taxable to a non-U.S. shareholder if the non-U.S. shareholder is a nonresident alien individual who is present in the U.S. for 183 days or more during the taxable year. In such case, the nonresident alien individual will be subject to a 30 percent withholding tax on the amount of such individual's gain. In addition, if UNG is treated as being engaged in a U.S. trade or business, a portion of the gain on the sale or exchange will be treated as effectively connected income subject to U.S. federal income tax to the extent that a sale of UNG's assets would give rise to effectively connected income. Although the transferee of a partnership interest is generally required to withhold 10% of the proceeds from the sale of a partnership interest acquired from a non-U.S. partner if any portion of the gain would be treated as effectively connected income, the IRS has issued a notice in which it has indicated that such withholding requirement will not apply to transferees of publicly traded partnership interests until the IRS and Treasury issue regulations implementing such provision. However, this does not relieve a non-U.S. shareholder from U.S. income tax on any gain treated as effectively connected income.

Branch Profits Tax on Corporate Non-U.S. Shareholders. In addition to the taxes noted above, any non-U.S. shareholders that are corporations may also be subject to an additional tax, the branch profits tax, at a rate of 30 percent. The branch profits tax is imposed on a non-U.S. corporation's dividend equivalent amount, which generally consists of the corporation's after-tax earnings and profits that are effectively connected with the corporation's U.S. trade or business but are not reinvested in a U.S. business. This tax may be reduced or eliminated by an income tax treaty between the United States and the country in which the non-U.S. shareholder is a "qualified resident."

Prospective non-U.S. shareholders should consult their tax advisor with regard to these and other issues unique to non-U.S. shareholders.

Backup Withholding

UNG may be required to withhold U.S. federal income tax ("backup withholding") at a rate of 28% from all payments to: (1) any shareholder who fails to furnish UNG with his, her or its correct taxpayer identification number or a certificate that the shareholder is exempt from backup withholding, and (2) any shareholder with respect to whom the IRS notifies UNG that the shareholder has failed to properly report certain interest and dividend income to the IRS and to respond to notices to that effect. Backup withholding is not an additional tax and may be returned or credited against a taxpayer's regular federal income tax liability if appropriate information is provided to the IRS.

Tax Agent

The beneficial owners who are of a type, as identified by the nominee through whom their Shares are held, that do not ordinarily have U.S. federal tax return filing requirements, collectively, Certain K-1 shareholders, have designated the General Partner as their tax agent, or the Tax Agent, in dealing with the Partnership. In light of such designation and pursuant to Treasury Regulation section 1.6031(b)-1T(c), as amended from time to time, the Partnership will provide to the Tax Agent Certain K-1 shareholders' statements as such term is defined under Treasury Regulation section 1.6031(b)-1T(a)(3), as amended from time to time.

Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act Provisions

Legislation commonly referred to as the “Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act,” or “FATCA,” generally imposes a 30% withholding tax on payments of certain types of income to foreign financial institutions (“FFIs”) unless such FFIs (i) enter into an agreement with the U.S. Treasury to report certain required information with respect to accounts held by U.S. persons (or held by foreign entities that have U.S. persons as substantial owners) or (ii) reside in a jurisdiction that has entered into an intergovernmental agreement (“IGA”) with the United States to collect and share such information and comply with the terms of such IGA and any enabling legislation or regulations. The types of income subject to the tax include U.S.-source interest and dividends, and after December 31, 2018, the gross proceeds from the sale of any property that could produce U.S.-source interest or dividends. The information required to be reported includes the identity and taxpayer identification number of each account holder that is a U.S. person and transaction activity within the holder’s account. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, this legislation also imposes a 30% withholding on payments to foreign entities that are not financial institutions unless the foreign entity certifies that it does not have a greater than 10% U.S. owner or provides the withholding agent with identifying information on each greater than 10% U.S. owner. Depending on the status of a non-U.S. shareholder and the status of the intermediaries through which they hold their shares, Non-U.S. shareholders could be subject to this 30% withholding tax with respect to distributions on their shares and proceeds from the sale of their shares. Under certain circumstances, a non-U.S. shareholder might be eligible for refunds or credits of such taxes.

Other Tax Considerations

In addition to federal income taxes, shareholders may be subject to other taxes, such as state and local income taxes, unincorporated business taxes, business franchise taxes, and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes that may be imposed by the various jurisdictions in which UNG does business or owns property or where the shareholders reside. Although an analysis of those various taxes is not presented here, each prospective shareholder should consider their potential impact on its investment in UNG. It is each shareholder's responsibility to file the appropriate U.S. federal, state, local, and foreign tax returns. Eversheds Sutherland (US) LLP has not provided an opinion concerning any aspects of state, local or foreign tax or U.S. federal tax other than those U.S. federal income tax issues discussed herein.

Investment by ERISA Accounts

General

Most employee benefit plans and individual retirement accounts ("IRAs") are subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA") or the Code, or both. This section discusses certain considerations that arise under ERISA and the Code that a fiduciary of: (i) an employee benefit plan as defined in ERISA; (ii) a plan as defined in Section 4975 of the Code; or (iii) any collective investment vehicle, business trust, investment partnership, pooled separate account or other entity the assets of which are treated as comprised (at least in part) of "plan assets" under the ERISA plan asset rules ("plan asset entity"); who has investment discretion should take into account before deciding to invest the plan's assets in UNG. Employee benefit plans, plans and plan asset entities are collectively referred to below as plans, and fiduciaries with investment discretion are referred to below as plan fiduciaries.

This summary is based on the provisions of ERISA and the Code as of the date hereof. This summary is not intended to be complete, but only to address certain questions under ERISA and the Code likely to be raised by your advisors. The summary does not include state or local law.

Potential plan investors are urged to consult with their own professional advisors concerning the appropriateness of an investment in UNG and the manner in which shares should be purchased.

Special Investment Considerations

Each plan fiduciary must consider the facts and circumstances that are relevant to an investment in UNG, including the role that an investment in UNG would play in the plan's overall investment portfolio. Each plan fiduciary, before deciding to invest in UNG, must be satisfied that the investment is prudent for the plan, that the investments of the plan are diversified so as to minimize the risk of large losses and that an investment in UNG complies with the terms of the plan.

UNG and Plan Assets

A regulation issued under ERISA contains rules for determining when an investment by a plan in an equity interest of a limited partnership will result in the underlying assets of the partnership being deemed plan assets for purposes of ERISA and Section 4975 of the Code. Those rules provide that assets of a limited partnership will not be plan assets of a plan that purchases an equity interest in the partnership if the equity interest purchased is a publicly-offered security. If the underlying assets of a partnership are considered to be assets of any plan for purposes of ERISA or Section 4975 of the Code, the operations of that partnership would be subject to and, in some cases, limited by, the provisions of ERISA and Section 4975 of the Code.

The publicly-offered security exception described above applies if the equity interest is a security that is:

1. freely transferable (determined based on the relevant facts and circumstances);
2. part of a class of securities that is widely held (meaning that the class of securities is owned by 100 or more investors independent of the issuer and of each other); and
either (a) part of a class of securities registered under Section 12(b) or 12(g) of the Exchange Act or (b) sold to the plan as part of a public offering pursuant to an effective registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 and
3. the class of which such security is a part is registered under the Exchange Act within 120 days (or such later time as may be allowed by the SEC) after the end of the fiscal year of the issuer in which the offering of such security occurred.

47

The plan asset regulations under ERISA state that the determination of whether a security is freely transferable is to be made based on all the relevant facts and circumstances. In the case of a security that is part of an offering in which the minimum investment is \$10,000 or less, the following requirements, alone or in combination, ordinarily will not affect a finding that the security is freely transferable: (1) a requirement that no transfer or assignment of the security or rights relating to the security be made that would violate any federal or state law, (2) a requirement that no transfer or assignment be made without advance written notice given to the entity that issued the security, and (3) any restriction on the substitution of an assignee as a limited partner of a partnership, including a general partner consent requirement, provided that the economic benefits of ownership of the assignor may be transferred or assigned without regard to such restriction or consent (other than compliance with any of the foregoing restrictions).

USCF believes that the conditions described above are satisfied with respect to the shares. USCF believes that the shares therefore constitute publicly-offered securities, and the underlying assets of UNG are not considered to constitute plan assets of any plan that purchases shares.

Prohibited Transactions

ERISA and the Code generally prohibit certain transactions involving the plan and persons who have certain specified relationships to the plan.

In general, shares may not be purchased with the assets of a plan if USCF, the clearing brokers, the trading advisors (if any), or any of their affiliates, agents or employees either:

- exercise any discretionary authority or discretionary control with respect to management of the plan;
- exercise any authority or control with respect to management or disposition of the assets of the plan;
- render investment advice for a fee or other compensation, direct or indirect, with respect to any monies or other property of the plan;
- have any authority or responsibility to render investment advice with respect to any monies or other property of the plan; or
- have any discretionary authority or discretionary responsibility in the administration of the plan.

Also, a prohibited transaction may occur under ERISA or the Code when circumstances indicate that (1) the investment in a share is made or retained for the purpose of avoiding application of the fiduciary standards of ERISA, (2) the investment in a share constitutes an arrangement under which UNG is expected to engage in transactions that would otherwise be prohibited if entered into directly by the plan purchasing the share, (3) the investing plan, by itself, has the authority or influence to cause UNG to engage in such transactions, or (4) a person who is prohibited from transacting with the investing plan may, but only with the aid of certain of its affiliates and the investing plan, cause UNG to engage in such transactions with such person.

Special IRA Rules

Individual retirement accounts (“IRAs”) are not subject to ERISA’s fiduciary standards, but are subject to their own rules, including the prohibited transaction rules of Section 4975 of the Code, which generally mirror ERISA’s prohibited transaction rules. For example, IRAs are subject to special custody rules and must maintain a qualifying IRA custodial arrangement separate and distinct from UNG and its custodial arrangement. Otherwise, if a separate qualifying custodial arrangement is not maintained, an investment in the shares will be treated as a distribution from the IRA. Second, IRAs are prohibited from investing in certain commingled investments, and USCF makes no representation regarding whether an investment in shares is an inappropriate commingled investment for an IRA. Third, in applying the prohibited transaction provisions of Section 4975 of the Code, in addition to the rules summarized above, the individual for whose benefit the IRA is maintained is also treated as the creator of the IRA. For example, if the owner or beneficiary of an IRA enters into any transaction, arrangement, or agreement involving the assets of his or her IRA to benefit the IRA owner or beneficiary (or his or her relatives or business affiliates)

personally, or with the understanding that such benefit will occur, directly or indirectly, such transaction could give rise to a prohibited transaction that is not exempted by any available exemption. Moreover, in the case of an IRA, the consequences of a non-exempt prohibited transaction are that the IRA's assets will be treated as if they were distributed, causing immediate taxation of the assets (including any early distribution penalty tax applicable under Section 72 of the Code), in addition to any other fines or penalties that may apply.

Exempt Plans

Certain employee benefit plans may be governmental plans or church plans. Governmental plans and church plans are generally not subject to ERISA, nor do the above-described prohibited transaction provisions described above apply to them. These plans are, however, subject to prohibitions against certain related-party transactions under Section 503 of the Code, which operate similar to the prohibited transaction rules described above. In addition, the fiduciary of any governmental or church plan must consider any applicable state or local laws and any restrictions and duties of common law imposed upon the plan.

No view is expressed as to whether an investment in UNG (and any continued investment in UNG), or the operation and administration of UNG, is appropriate or permissible for any governmental plan or church plan under Code Section 503, or under any state, county, local or other law relating to that type of plan.

Allowing an investment in UNG is not to be construed as a representation by USCF, any trading advisor, any clearing broker, the Marketing Agent or legal counsel or other advisors to such parties or any other party that this investment meets some or all of the relevant legal requirements with respect to investments by any particular plan or that this investment is appropriate for any such particular plan. The person with investment discretion should consult with the plan's attorney and financial advisors as to the propriety of an investment in UNG in light of the circumstances of the particular plan, current tax law and ERISA.

Form of Shares

Registered Form. Shares are issued in registered form in accordance with the LP Agreement. The Administrator has been appointed registrar and transfer agent for the purpose of transferring shares in certificated form. The Administrator keeps a record of all limited partners and holders of the shares in certificated form in the registry (the "Register"). USCF recognizes transfers of shares in certificated form only if done in accordance with the LP Agreement. The beneficial interests in such shares are held in book-entry form through participants and/or accountholders in DTC.

Book Entry. Individual certificates are not issued for the shares. Instead, shares are represented by one or more global certificates, which are deposited by the Administrator with DTC and registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC. The global certificates evidence all of the shares outstanding at any time. Shareholders are limited to (1) participants in DTC such as banks, brokers, dealers and trust companies ("DTC Participants"), (2) those who maintain, either directly or indirectly, a custodial relationship with a DTC Participant ("Indirect Participants"), and (3) those banks, brokers, dealers, trust companies and others who hold interests in the shares through DTC Participants or Indirect Participants, in each case who satisfy the requirements for transfers of shares. DTC Participants acting on behalf of investors holding shares through such participants' accounts in DTC will follow the delivery practice applicable to securities eligible for DTC's Same-Day Funds Settlement System. Shares are credited to DTC Participants' securities accounts following confirmation of receipt of payment.

DTC. DTC has advised UNG as follows. It is a limited purpose trust company organized under the laws of the State of New York and is a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Exchange Act. DTC holds securities for DTC Participants and facilitates the clearance and settlement of transactions between DTC Participants through electronic book-entry changes in accounts of DTC Participants.

Transfer of Shares

Transfers of Shares Only Through DTC. The shares are only transferable through the book-entry system of DTC. Limited partners who are not DTC Participants may transfer their shares through DTC by instructing the DTC

Participant holding their shares (or by instructing the Indirect Participant or other entity through which their shares are held) to transfer the shares. Transfers are made in accordance with standard securities industry practice.

Transfers of interests in shares with DTC are made in accordance with the usual rules and operating procedures of DTC and the nature of the transfer. DTC has established procedures to facilitate transfers among the participants and/or accountholders of DTC. Because DTC can only act on behalf of DTC Participants, who in turn act on behalf of Indirect Participants, the ability of a person or entity having an interest in a global certificate to pledge such interest to persons or entities that do not participate in DTC, or otherwise take actions in respect of such interest, may be affected by the lack of a certificate or other definitive document representing such interest.

DTC has advised UNG that it will take any action permitted to be taken by a shareholder (including, without limitation, the presentation of a global certificate for exchange) only at the direction of one or more DTC Participants in whose account with DTC interests in global certificates are credited and only in respect of such portion of the aggregate principal amount of the global certificate as to which such DTC Participant or Participants has or have given such direction.

Transfer/Application Requirements. All purchasers of UNG's shares, and potentially any purchasers of shares in the future, who wish to become limited partners or other record holders and receive cash distributions, if any, or have certain other rights, must deliver an executed transfer application in which the purchaser or transferee must certify that, among other things, he, she or it agrees to be bound by UNG's LP Agreement and is eligible to purchase UNG's securities. Each purchaser of shares offered by this prospectus must execute a transfer application and certification. The obligation to provide the form of transfer application will be imposed on the seller of shares or, if a purchase of shares is made through an exchange, the form may be obtained directly through UNG. Further, USCF may request each record holder to furnish certain information, including that record holder's nationality, citizenship or other related status. A record holder is a shareholder that is, or has applied to be, a limited partner. An investor who is not a U.S. resident may not be eligible to become a record holder or one of UNG's limited partners if that investor's ownership would subject UNG to the risk of cancellation or forfeiture of any of UNG's assets under any federal, state or local law or regulation. If the record holder fails to furnish the information or if USCF determines, on the basis of the information furnished by the holder in response to the request, that such holder is not qualified to become one of UNG's limited partners, USCF may be substituted as a holder for the record holder, who will then be treated as a non-citizen assignee, and UNG will have the right to redeem those securities held by the record holder.

A transferee's broker, agent or nominee may complete, execute and deliver a transfer application and certification. UNG may, at its discretion, treat the nominee holder of a share as the absolute owner. In that case, the beneficial holder's rights are limited solely to those that it has against the nominee holder as a result of any agreement between the beneficial owner and the nominee holder.

A person purchasing UNG's existing shares, who does not execute a transfer application and certify that the purchaser is eligible to purchase those securities acquires no rights in those securities other than the right to resell those securities. Whether or not a transfer application is received or the consent of USCF obtained, our shares are securities and are transferable according to the laws governing transfers of securities.

Any transfer of shares will not be recorded by the transfer agent or recognized by USCF unless a completed transfer application is delivered to USCF or the Administrator. When acquiring shares, the transferee of such shares that completes a transfer application will:

- be an assignee until admitted as a substituted limited partner upon the consent and sole discretion of USCF and the recording of the assignment on the books and records of the partnership;
- automatically request admission as a substituted limited partner;
- agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of, and execute, our LP Agreement;
- represent that such transferee has the capacity and authority to enter into our LP Agreement;
- grant powers of attorney to USCF and any liquidator of us; and
- make the consents and waivers contained in our LP Agreement.

An assignee will become a limited partner in respect of the transferred shares upon the consent of USCF and the recording of the name of the assignee on our books and records. Such consent may be withheld in the sole discretion of USCF.

If consent of USCF is withheld such transferee shall be an assignee. An assignee shall have an interest in the partnership equivalent to that of a limited partner with respect to allocations and distributions, including, without

limitation, liquidating distributions, of the partnership. With respect to voting rights attributable to shares that are held by assignees, USCF shall be deemed to be the limited partner with respect thereto and shall, in exercising the voting rights in respect of such shares on any matter, vote such shares at the written direction of the assignee who is the record holder of such shares. If no such written direction is received, such shares will not be voted. An assignee shall have no other rights of a limited partner.

Until a share has been transferred on our books, we and the transfer agent may treat the record holder of the share as the absolute owner for all purposes, except as otherwise required by law or stock exchange regulations.

What is the Plan of Distribution?

Buying and Selling Shares

Most investors buy and sell shares of UNG in secondary market transactions through brokers. Shares trade on the NYSE Arca under the ticker symbol “UNG.” Shares are bought and sold throughout the trading day like other publicly traded securities. When buying or selling shares through a broker, most investors incur customary brokerage commissions and charges. Investors are encouraged to review the terms of their brokerage account for details on applicable charges.

Marketing Agent and Authorized Participants

The offering of UNG’s shares is a best efforts offering. UNG continuously offers Creation Baskets consisting of 100,000 shares through the Marketing Agent, to Authorized Participants. All Authorized Participants pay a \$1,000 fee for each order to create or redeem one or more Creation Baskets or Redemption Baskets. The Marketing Agent receives, for its services as marketing agent to UNG, a marketing fee of 0.06% on UNG’s assets up to the first \$3 billion and 0.04% on UNG’s assets in excess of \$3 billion, provided, however, that in no event may the aggregate compensation paid to the Marketing Agent and any affiliate of USCF for distribution-related services in connection with this offering exceed ten percent (10%) of the gross proceeds of this offering.

The offering of baskets is being made in compliance with Conduct Rule 2310 of FINRA. Accordingly, Authorized Participants will not make any sales to any account over which they have discretionary authority without the prior written approval of a purchaser of shares.

The per share price of shares offered in Creation Baskets on any subsequent day will be the total NAV of UNG calculated shortly after the close of the core trading session on the NYSE Arca on that day divided by the number of issued and outstanding shares. An Authorized Participant is not required to sell any specific number or dollar amount of shares.

By executing an Authorized Participant Agreement, an Authorized Participant becomes part of the group of parties eligible to purchase baskets from, and put baskets for redemption to, UNG. An Authorized Participant is under no obligation to create or redeem baskets, and an Authorized Participant is under no obligation to offer to the public shares of any baskets it does create.

As of February 28, 2018, UNG had the following Authorized Participants: ABN Amro, BNP Paribas Prime Brokerage, Inc., BNP Paribas Securities Corp., Citadel Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Credit Suisse Securities USA LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs & Company, Goldman Sachs Execution & Clearing. LP, JP Morgan Securities Inc., Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corp., Morgan Stanley & Company LLC, Nomura Securities International Inc., RBC Capital Markets LLC, SG Americas Securities LLC, and Virtu Financial BD LLC.

Because new shares can be created and issued on an ongoing basis, at any point during the life of UNG, a “distribution”, as such term is used in the 1933 Act, will be occurring. Authorized Participants, other broker-dealers and other persons are cautioned that some of their activities may result in their being deemed participants in a distribution in a manner that would render them statutory underwriters and subject them to the prospectus-delivery and liability provisions of the 1933 Act. For example, the Initial Authorized Participant was a statutory underwriter with respect to its initial purchase of Creation Baskets. In addition, any purchaser who purchases shares with a view towards distribution of such shares may be deemed to be a statutory underwriter. Authorized Participants will comply with the prospectus- delivery requirements in connection with the sale of shares to customers. For example, an Authorized

Participant, other broker-dealer firm or its client will be deemed a statutory underwriter if it purchases a basket from UNG, breaks the basket down into the constituent shares and sells the shares to its customers; or if it chooses to couple the creation of a supply of new shares with an active selling effort involving solicitation of secondary market demand for the shares. Authorized Participants may also engage in secondary market transactions in shares that would not be deemed “underwriting”. For example, an Authorized Participant may act in the capacity of a broker or dealer with respect to shares that were previously distributed by other Authorized Participants. A determination of whether a particular market participant is an underwriter must take into account all the facts and circumstances pertaining to the activities of the broker-dealer or its client in the particular case, and the examples mentioned above should not be considered a complete description of all the activities that would lead to designation as an underwriter and subject them to the prospectus-delivery and liability provisions of the 1933 Act.

Dealers who are neither Authorized Participants nor “underwriters” but are nonetheless participating in a distribution (as contrasted to ordinary secondary trading transactions), and thus dealing with shares that are part of an “unsold allotment” within the meaning of Section 4(3)(C) of the 1933 Act, would be unable to take advantage of the prospectus-delivery exemption provided by Section 4(3) of the 1933 Act.

USCF may qualify the shares in states selected by USCF and intends that sales be made through broker-dealers who are members of FINRA. Investors intending to create or redeem baskets through Authorized Participants in transactions not involving a broker-dealer registered in such investor’s state of domicile or residence should consult their legal advisor regarding applicable broker-dealer or securities regulatory requirements under the state securities laws prior to such creation or redemption.

While the Authorized Participants may be indemnified by USCF, they will not be entitled to receive a discount or commission from UNG for their purchases of Creation Baskets.

Calculating Per Share NAV

UNG’s per share NAV is calculated by:

- Taking the current market value of its total assets;
- Subtracting any liabilities; and
- Dividing that total by the total number of outstanding shares.

The Administrator calculates the per share NAV of UNG once each NYSE Arca trading day. The per share NAV for a normal trading day is released after 4:00 p.m. New York time. Trading during the core trading session on the NYSE Arca typically closes at 4:00 p.m. New York time. The Administrator uses the NYMEX closing price (determined at the earlier of the close of the NYMEX or 2:30 p.m. New York time) for the Futures Contracts traded on the NYMEX, but calculates or determines the value of all other UNG investments (including Natural Gas Futures Contracts not traded on the NYMEX, Other Natural Gas-Related Investments and Treasuries) using market quotations, if available, or other information customarily used to determine the fair value of such investments as of the earlier of the close of the NYSE Arca or 4:00 p.m. New York time, in accordance with the current Administrative Agency Agreement among BBH&Co., UNG and USCF. “Other information” customarily used in determining fair value includes information consisting of market data in the relevant market supplied by one or more third parties including, without limitation, relevant rates, prices, yields, yield curves, volatilities, spreads, correlations or other market data in the relevant market; or information of the types described above from internal sources if that information is of the same type used by UNG in the regular course of its business for the valuation of similar transactions. The information may include costs of funding, to the extent costs of funding are not and would not be a component of the other information being utilized. Third parties supplying quotations or market data may include, without limitation, dealers in the relevant markets, end-users of the relevant product, information vendors, brokers and other sources of market information.

In addition, in order to provide updated information relating to UNG for use by investors and market professionals, the NYSE Arca calculates and disseminates throughout the core trading session on each trading day an updated indicative fund value. The indicative fund value is calculated by using the prior day’s closing per share NAV of UNG as a base and updating that value throughout the trading day to reflect changes in the most recently reported trade price for the active Futures Contracts on the NYMEX. The prices reported for the active Futures Contract month are adjusted based on the prior day’s spread differential between settlement values for the relevant contract and the spot month contract. In the event that the spot month contract is also the Benchmark Futures Contract, the last sale price for that contract is not adjusted. The indicative fund value share basis disseminated during NYSE Arca core trading session hours should not be viewed as an actual real time update of the per share NAV, because the per share NAV is calculated only once at the end of each trading day based upon the relevant end of day values of UNG’s investments.

The indicative fund value is disseminated on a per share basis every 15 seconds during regular NYSE Arca core trading session hours of 9:30 a.m. New York time to 4:00 p.m. New York time. The normal trading hours of the NYMEX are 9:00 a.m. New York time to 2:30 p.m. New York time. This means that there is a gap in time at the beginning and the end of each day during which UNG's shares are traded on the NYSE Arca, but real-time NYMEX trading prices for futures contracts traded on the NYMEX are not available. During such gaps in time, the indicative fund value will be calculated based on the end of day price of such Futures Contracts from the NYMEX's immediately preceding trading session. In addition, Natural Gas Interests and Treasuries held by UNG will be valued by the Administrator, using rates and points received from client-approved third party vendors (such as Reuters and WM Company) and advisor quotes. These investments will not be included in the indicative fund value.

The NYSE Arca disseminates the indicative fund value through the facilities of CTA/CQ High Speed Lines. In addition, the indicative fund value is published on the NYSE Arca's website and is available through on-line information services such as Bloomberg and Reuters.

Dissemination of the indicative fund value provides additional information that is not otherwise available to the public and is useful to investors and market professionals in connection with the trading of UNG shares on the NYSE Arca. Investors and market professionals are able throughout the trading day to compare the market price of UNG and the indicative fund value. If the market price of UNG shares diverges significantly from the indicative fund value, market professionals will have an incentive to execute arbitrage trades. For example, if UNG appears to be trading at a discount compared to the indicative fund value, a market professional could buy UNG shares on the NYSE Arca and sell short Natural Gas Futures Contracts. Such arbitrage trades can tighten the tracking between the market price of UNG and the indicative fund value and thus can be beneficial to all market participants.

UNG reserves the right to adjust the Share price of UNG in the future to maintain convenient trading ranges for investors. Any adjustments would be accomplished through stock splits or reverse stock splits. Such splits would decrease (in the case of a split) or increase (in the case of a reverse split) the proportionate net asset value per Share, but would have no effect on the net assets of the Fund or the proportionate voting rights of shareholders or limited partners.

Creation and Redemption of Shares

UNG creates and redeems shares from time to time, but only in one or more Creation Baskets or Redemption Baskets. The creation and redemption of baskets are only made in exchange for delivery to UNG or the distribution by UNG of the amount of Treasuries and any cash represented by the baskets being created or redeemed, the amount of which is based on the combined NAV of the number of shares included in the baskets being created or redeemed determined as of 4:00 p.m. New York time on the day the order to create or redeem baskets is properly received.

Authorized Participants are the only persons that may place orders to create and redeem baskets. Authorized Participants must be (1) registered broker-dealers or other securities market participants, such as banks and other financial institutions that are not required to register as broker-dealers to engage in securities transactions as described below, and (2) DTC Participants. To become an Authorized Participant, a person must enter into an Authorized Participant Agreement with USCF on behalf of UNG (each such agreement, an "Authorized Participant Agreement"). The Authorized Participant Agreement provides the procedures for the creation and redemption of baskets and for the delivery of the Treasuries and any cash required for such creations and redemptions. The Authorized Participant Agreement and the related procedures attached thereto may be amended by UNG, without the consent of any limited partner or shareholder or Authorized Participant. Authorized Participants pay a transaction fee of \$1,000 to UNG for each order they place to create one or more Creation Baskets or to redeem one or more Redemption Baskets. The transaction fee may be reduced, increased or otherwise changed by USCF. Authorized Participants who make deposits with UNG in exchange for baskets receive no fees, commissions or other form of compensation or inducement of any kind from either UNG or USCF, and no such person will have any obligation or responsibility to UNG or USCF to effect any sale or resale of shares.

Certain Authorized Participants are expected to be capable of participating directly in the physical natural gas market and the natural gas futures market. In some cases, Authorized Participants or their affiliates may from time to time buy or sell natural gas or Natural Gas Interests and may profit in these instances. USCF believes that the size and operation of the natural gas market make it unlikely that an Authorized Participant's direct activities in the natural gas or securities markets will significantly affect the price of natural gas, Natural Gas Interests or the price of the shares.

Each Authorized Participant is required to be registered as a broker-dealer under the Exchange Act and is a member in good standing with FINRA, or exempt from being or otherwise not required to be registered as a broker-dealer or a member of FINRA, and qualified to act as a broker or dealer in the states or other jurisdictions where the nature of its

business so requires. Certain Authorized Participants may also be regulated under federal and state banking laws and regulations. Each Authorized Participant has its own set of rules and procedures, internal controls and information barriers as it determines is appropriate in light of its own regulatory regime.

Under the Authorized Participant Agreement, USCF, and UNG under limited circumstances, have agreed to indemnify the Authorized Participants against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the 1933 Act, and to contribute to the payments the Authorized Participants may be required to make in respect of those liabilities.

The following description of the procedures for the creation and redemption of baskets is only a summary and an investor should refer to the relevant provisions of the LP Agreement and the form of Authorized Participant Agreement for more detail, each of which is incorporated by reference into this prospectus.

Creation Procedures

On any business day, an Authorized Participant may place an order with the Marketing Agent to create one or more baskets. For purposes of processing purchase and redemption orders, a “business day” means any day other than a day when any of the NYSE Arca, the NYMEX or the New York Stock Exchange is closed for regular trading. Purchase orders must be placed by 12:00 p.m. New York time or the close of regular trading on the NYSE Arca, whichever is earlier. The day on which the Marketing Agent receives a valid purchase order is referred to as the purchase order date.

By placing a purchase order, an Authorized Participant agrees to deposit Treasuries, cash or a combination of Treasuries and cash, as described below. Prior to the delivery of baskets for a purchase order, the Authorized Participant must also have wired to the Custodian the nonrefundable transaction fee due for the purchase order. Authorized Participants may not withdraw a creation request, except as otherwise set forth in the procedures in the Authorized Participant Agreement.

The manner by which creations are made is dictated by the terms of the Authorized Participant Agreement. By placing a purchase order, an Authorized Participant agrees to (1) deposit Treasuries, cash, or a combination of Treasuries and cash with the Custodian of the fund, and (2) if required by USCF in its sole discretion, enter into or arrange for a block trade, an exchange for physical or exchange for swap, or any other OTC energy transaction (through itself or a designated acceptable broker) with the fund for the purchase of a number and type of futures contracts at the closing settlement price for such contracts on the purchase order date. If an Authorized Participant fails to consummate (1) and (2), the order shall be cancelled. The number and types of contracts specified shall be determined by USCF, in its sole discretion, to meet UNG’s investment objective and shall be purchased as a result of the Authorized Participant’s purchase of shares.

Determination of Required Deposits

The total deposit required to create each basket (“Creation Basket Deposit”) is the amount of Treasuries and/or cash that is in the same proportion to the total assets of UNG (net of estimated accrued but unpaid fees, expenses and other liabilities) on the purchase order date as the number of shares to be created under the purchase order is in proportion to the total number of shares outstanding on the purchase order date. USCF determines, directly in its sole discretion or in consultation with the Administrator, the requirements for Treasuries and the amount of cash, including the maximum permitted remaining maturity of a Treasury and proportions of Treasury and cash that may be included in deposits to create baskets. The Marketing Agent will publish such requirements at the beginning of each business day. The amount of cash deposit required is the difference between the aggregate market value of the Treasuries required to be included in a Creation Basket Deposit as of 4:00 p.m. New York time on the date the order to purchase is properly received and the total required deposit.

Delivery of Required Deposits

An Authorized Participant who places a purchase order is responsible for transferring to UNG’s account with the Custodian the required amount of Treasuries and cash by the end of the second business day following the purchase order date. Upon receipt of the deposit amount, the Administrator directs DTC to credit the number of baskets ordered to the Authorized Participant’s DTC account on the second business day following the purchase order date. The expense and risk of delivery and ownership of Treasuries until such Treasuries have been received by the Custodian on behalf of UNG shall be borne solely by the Authorized Participant.

Because orders to purchase baskets must be placed by 12:00 p.m., New York time, but the total payment required to create a basket during the continuous offering period will not be determined until after 4:00 p.m., New York time, on

the date the purchase order is received, Authorized Participants will not know the total amount of the payment required to create a basket at the time they submit an irrevocable purchase order for the basket. UNG's NAV and the total amount of the payment required to create a basket could rise or fall substantially between the time an irrevocable purchase order is submitted and the time the amount of the purchase price in respect thereof is determined.

Rejection of Purchase Orders

USCF acting by itself or through the Marketing Agent shall have the absolute right but no obligation to reject a purchase order or a Creation Basket Deposit if:

- it determines that the investment alternative available to UNG at that time will not enable it to meet its investment objective;
- it determines that the purchase order or the Creation Basket Deposit is not in proper form;
- it believes that the purchase order or the Creation Basket Deposit would have adverse tax consequences to UNG, the limited partners or its shareholders;

54

the acceptance or receipt of the Creation Basket Deposit would, in the opinion of counsel to USCF, be unlawful; or circumstances outside the control of USCF, Marketing Agent or Custodian make it, for all practical purposes, not feasible to process creations of baskets.

None of USCF, the Marketing Agent or the Custodian will be liable for the rejection of any purchase order or Creation Basket Deposit.

Redemption Procedures

The procedures by which an Authorized Participant can redeem one or more baskets mirror the procedures for the creation of baskets. On any business day, an Authorized Participant may place an order with the Marketing Agent to redeem one or more baskets. Redemption orders must be placed by 12:00 p.m. New York time or the close of regular trading on the NYSE Arca, whichever is earlier. A redemption order so received will be effective on the date it is received in satisfactory form by the Marketing Agent (“Redemption Order Date”). The redemption procedures allow Authorized Participants to redeem baskets and do not entitle an individual shareholder to redeem any shares in an amount less than a Redemption Basket, or to redeem baskets other than through an Authorized Participant.

By placing a redemption order, an Authorized Participant agrees to deliver the baskets to be redeemed through DTC’s book-entry system to UNG, as described below. Prior to the delivery of the redemption distribution for a redemption order, the Authorized Participant must also have wired to UNG’s account at the Custodian the non-refundable transaction fee due for the redemption order. An Authorized Participant may not withdraw a redemption order, except as otherwise set forth in the procedures in the Authorized Participant Agreement.

The manner by which redemptions are made is dictated by the terms of the Authorized Participant Agreement. By placing a redemption order, an Authorized Participant agrees to (1) deliver the Redemption Basket to be redeemed through DTC’s book-entry system to the fund’s account with the Custodian not later than 3:00 p.m. New York time on the second business day following the effective date of the redemption order (“Redemption Distribution Date”), and (2) if required by USCF in its sole discretion, enter into or arrange for a block trade, an exchange for physical or exchange for swap, or any other OTC energy transaction (through itself or a designated acceptable broker) with the fund for the sale of a number and type of futures contracts at the closing settlement price for such contracts on the Redemption Order Date. If an Authorized Participant fails to consummate (1) and (2) above, the order shall be cancelled. The number and type of contracts specified shall be determined by USCF, in its sole discretion, to meet UNG’s investment objective and shall be sold as a result of the Authorized Participant’s sale of shares.

Determination of Redemption Distribution

The redemption distribution from UNG consists of a transfer to the redeeming Authorized Participant of an amount of Treasuries and/or cash that is in the same proportion to the total assets of UNG (net of estimated accrued but unpaid fees, expenses and other liabilities) on the date the order to redeem is properly received as the number of shares to be redeemed under the redemption order is in proportion to the total number of shares outstanding on the date the order is received. USCF, directly or in consultation with the Administrator, determines the requirements for Treasuries and the amounts of cash, including the maximum permitted remaining maturity of a Treasury, and the proportions of Treasuries and cash that may be included in distributions to redeem baskets. The Marketing Agent will publish an estimate of the redemption distribution per basket as of the beginning of each business day.

Delivery of Redemption Distribution

The redemption distribution due from UNG will be delivered to the Authorized Participant by 3:00 p.m. New York time on the second business day following the redemption order date if, by 3:00 p.m. New York time on such second business day, UNG’s DTC account has been credited with the baskets to be redeemed. If UNG’s DTC account has not been credited with all of the baskets to be redeemed by such time, the redemption distribution will be delivered to the

extent of whole baskets received. Any remainder of the redemption distribution will be delivered on the next business day to the extent of remaining whole baskets received if UNG receives the fee applicable to the extension of the redemption distribution date which USCF may, from time to time, determine and the remaining baskets to be redeemed are credited to UNG's DTC account by 3:00 p.m. New York time on such next business day. Any further outstanding amount of the redemption order shall be cancelled. Pursuant to information from USCF, the Custodian will also be authorized to deliver the redemption distribution notwithstanding that the baskets to be redeemed are not credited to UNG's DTC account by 3:00 p.m. New York time on the second business day following the redemption order date if the Authorized Participant has collateralized its obligation to deliver the baskets through DTC's book entry-system on such terms as USCF may from time to time determine.

Suspension or Rejection of Redemption Orders

USCF may, in its discretion, suspend the right of redemption, or postpone the redemption settlement date, (1) for any period during which the NYSE Arca or the NYMEX is closed other than customary weekend or holiday closings, or trading on the NYSE Arca or the NYMEX is suspended or restricted, (2) for any period during which an emergency exists as a result of which delivery, disposal or evaluation of Treasuries is not reasonably practicable, or (3) for such other period as USCF determines to be necessary for the protection of the limited partners or shareholders. For example, USCF may determine that it is necessary to suspend redemptions to allow for the orderly liquidation of UNG's assets at an appropriate value to fund a redemption. If USCF has difficulty liquidating its positions, *e.g.*, because of a market disruption event in the futures markets, a suspension of trading by the exchange where the futures contracts are listed or an unanticipated delay in the liquidation of a position in an over the counter contract, it may be appropriate to suspend redemptions until such time as such circumstances are rectified. None of USCF, the Marketing Agent, the Administrator, or the Custodian will be liable to any person or in any way for any loss or damages that may result from any such suspension or postponement.

Redemption orders must be made in whole baskets. USCF will reject a redemption order if the order is not in proper form as described in the Authorized Participant Agreement or if the fulfillment of the order, in the opinion of its counsel, might be unlawful. USCF may also reject a redemption order if the number of shares being redeemed would reduce the remaining outstanding shares to 100,000 shares (*i.e.*, one basket) or less, unless USCF has reason to believe that the placer of the redemption order does in fact possess all the outstanding shares and can deliver them.

Creation and Redemption Transaction Fee

To compensate UNG for its expenses in connection with the creation and redemption of baskets, an Authorized Participant is required to pay a transaction fee to UNG of \$1,000 per order to create or redeem baskets, regardless of the number of baskets in such order. An order may include multiple baskets. The transaction fee may be reduced, increased or otherwise changed by USCF. USCF shall notify DTC of any change in the transaction fee and will not implement any increase in the fee for the redemption of baskets until thirty (30) days after the date of the notice.

Tax Responsibility

Authorized Participants are responsible for any transfer tax, sales or use tax, stamp tax, recording tax, value added tax or similar tax or governmental charge applicable to the creation or redemption of baskets, regardless of whether or not such tax or charge is imposed directly on the Authorized Participant, and agree to indemnify USCF and UNG if they are required by law to pay any such tax, together with any applicable penalties, additions to tax and interest thereon.

Secondary Market Transactions

As noted, UNG creates and redeems shares from time to time, but only in one or more Creation Baskets or Redemption Baskets. The creation and redemption of baskets are only made in exchange for delivery to UNG or the distribution by UNG of the amount of Treasuries and cash represented by the baskets being created or redeemed, the amount of which will be based on the aggregate NAV of the number of shares included in the baskets being created or redeemed determined on the day the order to create or redeem baskets is properly received.

As discussed above, Authorized Participants are the only persons that may place orders to create and redeem baskets. Authorized Participants must be registered broker-dealers or other securities market participants, such as banks and other financial institutions that are not required to register as broker-dealers to engage in securities transactions. An Authorized Participant is under no obligation to create or redeem baskets, and an Authorized Participant is under no obligation to offer to the public shares of any baskets it does create. Authorized Participants that do offer to the public

shares from the baskets they create will do so at per-share offering prices that are expected to reflect, among other factors, the trading price of the shares on the NYSE Arca, the NAV of UNG at the time the Authorized Participant purchased the Creation Baskets and the NAV of the shares at the time of the offer of the shares to the public, the supply of and demand for shares at the time of sale, and the liquidity of the Futures Contract market and the market for Other Natural Gas-Related Investments.

The prices of shares offered by Authorized Participants are expected to fall between UNG's NAV and the trading price of the shares on the NYSE Arca at the time of sale. Shares initially comprising the same basket but offered by Authorized Participants to the public at different times may have different offering prices. An order for one or more baskets may be placed by an Authorized Participant on behalf of multiple clients. Authorized Participants who make deposits with UNG in exchange for baskets receive no fees, commissions or other forms of compensation or inducement of any kind from either UNG or USCF, and no such person has any obligation or responsibility to USCF or UNG to effect any sale or resale of shares. Shares trade in the secondary market on the NYSE Arca. Shares may trade in the secondary market at prices that are lower or higher relative to their NAV per share. The amount of the discount or premium in the trading price relative to the NAV per share may be influenced by various factors, including the number of investors who seek to purchase or sell shares in the secondary market and the liquidity of the Futures Contracts market and the market for Other Natural Gas-Related Investments. While the shares' trade during the core trading session on the NYSE Arca until 4:00 p.m. New York time, liquidity in the market for Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments may be reduced after the close of the NYMEX at 2:30 p.m. New York time. As a result, during this time, trading spreads, and the resulting premium or discount, on the shares may widen.

Use of Proceeds

USCF causes UNG to transfer the proceeds from the sale of Creation Baskets to the Custodian or other custodian for trading activities. USCF will invest UNG's assets in Natural Gas Interests and investments in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents. When UNG purchases a Futures Contract and certain exchange-traded Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, UNG is required to deposit typically 5% to 30% with the selling FCM on behalf of the exchange a portion of the value of the contract or other interest as security to ensure payment for the obligation under Natural Gas Interests at maturity. This deposit is known as initial margin. Counterparties in transactions in OTC contracts will generally impose similar collateral requirements on UNG. USCF will invest the assets that remain after margin and collateral are posted in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents subject to these margin and collateral requirements. USCF has sole authority to determine the percentage of assets that are:

- held on deposit with the FCM or other custodian,
- used for other investments, and
- held in bank accounts to pay current obligations and as reserves.

Approximately 5% to 30% of UNG's assets have normally been committed to margin for commodity futures contracts. However, from time to time, the percentage of assets committed as margin may be substantially more, or less than, such range. Ongoing margin and collateral payments will generally be required for both exchange-traded and OTC contracts based on changes in the value of the Natural Gas Interests. Furthermore, ongoing collateral requirements with respect to OTC contracts are negotiated by the parties, and may be affected by overall market volatility, volatility of the underlying commodity or index, the ability of the counterparty to hedge its exposure under a Natural Gas Interests and each party's creditworthiness. In light of the differing requirements for initial payments under exchange-traded and OTC contracts and the fluctuating nature of ongoing margin and collateral payments, it is not possible to estimate what portion of UNG's assets will be posted as margin or collateral at any given time. The Treasuries, cash and cash equivalents held by UNG will constitute reserves that will be available to meet ongoing margin and collateral requirements. All interest income will be used for UNG's benefit.

A FCM, counterparty, government agency or commodity exchange could increase margin or collateral requirements applicable to UNG to hold trading positions at any time. Moreover, margin is merely a security deposit and has no bearing on the profit or loss potential for any positions held.

The assets of UNG posted as margin for Futures Contracts are held in segregated accounts pursuant to the CEA and CFTC regulations.

If UNG enters into a swap agreement, UNG must post both collateral and independent amounts to its swap counterparties. The amount of collateral UNG posts changes according to the amounts owed by UNG to its counterparty on a given swap transaction, while independent amounts are fixed amounts posted by UNG at the start of a swap transaction. Collateral and independent amounts posted to swap counterparties will be held by a third party custodian.

INFORMATION YOU SHOULD KNOW

This prospectus contains information you should consider when making an investment decision about the shares. You may rely on the information contained in this prospectus. Neither UNG nor its USCF has authorized any person to provide you with different information and, if anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. This prospectus is not an offer to sell the shares in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale of the shares is not permitted.

The information contained in this prospectus was obtained from us and other sources believed by us to be reliable.

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus or any applicable prospectus supplement or any information incorporated by reference to this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with any information that is different. If you receive any unauthorized information, you must not rely on it. You should disregard anything we said in an earlier document that is inconsistent with what is included in this prospectus or any applicable prospectus supplement or any information incorporated by reference to this prospectus. Where the context requires, when we refer to this “prospectus,” we are referring to this prospectus and (if applicable) the relevant prospectus supplement.

You should not assume that the information in this prospectus or any applicable prospectus supplement is current as of any date other than the date on the front page of this prospectus or the date on the front page of any applicable prospectus supplement.

We include cross references in this prospectus to captions in these materials where you can find further related discussions. The table of contents tells you where to find these captions.

SUMMARY OF PROMOTIONAL AND SALES MATERIAL

UNG has used the following sales material it has prepared:

- UNG's website, www.uscfinvestments.com;
- Press release dated the effective date of UNG's initial registration statement; and
- UNG fact sheet available on UNG's website.

Option Grant	Awards:	Awards:	Date Fair	Estimated Future Payouts	Number	Number									
of Exercise or Value of	Under	Non-Equity	Incentive	of Shares	Securities	Base Price	Stock								
and Approval Plan Awards of Stock Underlying of Option Option Grant Date	of Threshold Target Maximum or														
Units Options Awards Awards Name Date ⁽¹⁾ Grant ⁽¹⁾ (\$) ⁽²⁾ (\$) ⁽²⁾ (\$) ⁽²⁾ (#) ⁽³⁾ (#) ⁽⁴⁾ (\$/Sh) ⁽⁵⁾ (\$) ⁽⁶⁾ John															
Melo	03/06/12	03/06/12	100,000	200,000	240,000										John
Melo	04/09/12	04/05/12		100,000	3.86	250,040									John
Melo	04/09/12	04/05/12		50,000	—	193,000									John
Melo	04/09/12	04/05/12		200,000	—	772,000									John
Melo ⁽⁷⁾	08/31/12	NA		387,863											Steven
Mills	03/06/12	03/06/12	84,000	150,000	180,000										Steven
Mills	05/29/12	05/22/12		420,000	2.76	750,876									Steven
Mills	05/29/12	05/22/12		250,000	—	690,000									Joel
Cherry	03/06/12	03/06/12	56,000	100,000	120,000										Joel
Cherry	04/09/12	04/05/12		25,000	3.86	62,510									Joel
Cherry	04/09/12	04/05/12		55,000	—	212,300									Joel
Cherry	04/09/12	04/05/12		100,000	—	386,000									Paulo
Diniz	03/06/12	03/06/12	112,000	200,000	240,000										Paulo
Diniz	04/09/12	04/05/12		20,000	3.86	50,008									Paulo
Diniz	04/09/12	04/05/12		50,000	—	193,000									Gary
Loeb	03/06/12	03/06/12	50,400	90,000	108,000										Gary
Loeb	04/09/12	04/05/12		9,600	3.86	24,004									Gary
Loeb	04/09/12	04/05/12		5,000	—	19,300									Gary
Loeb ⁽⁷⁾	05/25/12	05/24/12		30,000	16.00	6,300									Gary
Loeb	09/24/12	09/21/12		95,000	4.06	255,940									Gary
Loeb	09/24/12	09/21/12		100,000	—	406,000									Mark
Patel	03/06/12	03/06/12	50,400	90,000	108,000										Mark
Patel	04/09/12	04/05/12		30,000	3.86	75,012									Mark
Patel	04/09/12	04/05/12		60,000	—	231,600									Mark
Patel	04/09/12	04/05/12		100,000	—	386,000									Tamara
Tompkins	03/06/12	03/06/12	56,000	100,000	120,000										Tamara
Tompkins	04/09/12	04/05/12		30,000	3.86	75,012									Tamara
Tompkins	04/09/12	04/05/12		65,000	—	250,900									Tamara
Tompkins	04/09/12	04/05/12		100,000	—	386,000									Tamara
Tompkins ⁽⁷⁾	05/02/12	05/01/12		100,000	0.28	—									Tamara

Edgar Filing: United States Natural Gas Fund, LP - Form 424B3

Tompkins ⁽⁷⁾	05/02/12	05/01/12	7,166	4.31	2,006	Tamara
Tompkins ⁽⁷⁾	05/02/12	05/01/12	15,000	9.32	2,400	Tamara
Tompkins ⁽⁷⁾	05/02/12	05/01/12	7,000	16.50	350	Tamara
Tompkins ⁽⁷⁾	05/02/12	05/01/12	11,333	26.84	227	Tamara
Tompkins ⁽⁷⁾	05/02/12	05/01/12	6,666	—	(5,969)	Tamara
Tompkins ⁽⁷⁾	05/02/12	05/01/12	32,500	—	91,975	Tamara
Tompkins ⁽⁷⁾	05/02/12	05/01/12	100,000	—	283,000	

Our Board has adopted a policy regarding the grant date of such awards under which the grant date of all equity awards generally would be the first business day of the week following the week in which the award was approved by the Leadership Development and Compensation Committee. Notwithstanding such grant date, for purposes of determining the grant date fair value in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (as described in footnote 6 below), the deemed grant date for restricted stock unit awards listed herein was generally the approval date set forth in the column entitled "Approval Date of Grant." The entries on August 31, 2012 for Mr. Melo, May 25, 2012 for Mr. Loeb, and June 1, 2012 for Ms. Tompkins, reflect modification dates for outstanding equity awards. The August 31, 2012 modification date listed for Mr. Melo reflects an above-market sale by Mr. Melo to existing investors of the company. The company did not participate in this transaction, but was required by accounting rules to recognize compensation expense based on Mr. Melo's income from the transaction above the fair value our common stock as of the date of the transaction; accordingly, this amount is reflected as an award modification for Mr. Melo as of August 31, 2012 and there was no relevant approval date for that item.

In March 2012, the Leadership Development and Compensation Committee approved a non-equity incentive plan under which the eligibility amounts reported under “Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards” were based. The terms of the plan and actual amounts paid out under the 2012 bonus plan are discussed above in this Proxy Statement under “Executive Compensation—2012 Compensation—Cash Bonuses” and the amounts paid out are included in the “Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation” column of the “Summary Compensation Table” above. The estimated future payouts as of December 31, 2012 shown in this table reflect the annual incentive awards that would have been at the threshold, target and maximum levels for each individual assuming that cash bonuses had been paid at each of such levels.

3. Amounts in this column represent restricted stock units granted under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan:

Mr. Mills’ restricted stock unit award was granted pursuant to his employment offer letter and had a two-year vesting schedule from a vesting commencement date of April 1, 2012, with 10% of the units subject to the award vesting after one year, an additional 10% of the units subject to the award vesting after 18 months, and the remainder vesting after two years. Mr. Mills’ restricted stock unit award is subject to certain rights to acceleration of vesting upon a change in control of our company and termination of employment following a change in control, as further described below under “Potential Payments upon Termination and upon Termination Following a Change in Control.”

Mr. Loeb received a restricted stock unit award in April 2012 as part of the annual grant process and it had a three-year vesting schedule from a vesting commencement date of April 9, 2012, with one third of the units vesting annually. Mr. Loeb also received a restricted stock unit award in September 2012 in connection with his promotion and it had a three-year vesting schedule from a vesting commencement date of October 1, 2012, with one third of the units vesting annually.

The other restricted stock unit awards granted in April 2012 had varying vesting schedules: the first April restricted stock unit award listed for each individual (the “focal” awards) other than Mr. Loeb was to vest according to a three-year vesting schedule from a vesting commencement date of April 1, 2012, with one third of the units subject to the award vesting annually. The second April restricted unit award listed for each of certain individuals (200,000 units for Mr. Melo, 100,000 units for Dr. Cherry, 100,000 units for Mr. Patel and 100,000 units for Ms. Tompkins, the “retention” awards) was to vest over a two year schedule: for everyone other than Ms. Tompkins, the full amount of the award was to vest after two years from April 1, 2012. For Ms. Tompkins, 10% of the units subject to the award were to vest on July 1, 2012, 40% of the units subject to the award were to vest on January 1, 2013, and 50% of the units subject to the award were to vest on April 1, 2014. Ms. Tompkins departed the company in May 2012 and Mr. Patel departed the company in October 2012, respectively.

4. Amounts in this column represent stock option awards granted under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan:

Mr. Mills’ option award was granted pursuant to his employment offer letter and had a four-year vesting schedule from a vesting commencement date of May 2, 2012, with 25% of the shares subject to the option vesting after one year and the remainder vesting in equal monthly installments over three years.

Mr. Loeb received an option award in April 2012 as part of the annual grant process and it had a four-year vesting schedule from a vesting commencement date of April 9, 2012, with 1/48th of the shares subject to the option vesting monthly. Mr. Loeb also received an option award in September 2012 in connection with his promotion and it had a four-year vesting schedule from a vesting commencement date of May 1, 2012, with 1/48th of the shares subject to the option vesting monthly.

The other option awards granted in April 2012 had a four-year vesting schedule from a vesting commencement date of April 1, 2012, with 1/48th of the shares subject to the option vesting monthly

The option grants are subject to certain rights to acceleration of vesting upon a change in control of our company and termination of employment following a change in control, as further described below under “Potential Payments upon Termination and upon Termination Following a Change in Control.”

The option exercise price per share is the closing price of our common stock on NASDAQ on the date of grant, which represents the fair value of our common stock on the same date. Restricted stock unit awards did not have any exercise price.

6. Reflects the grant date fair value of each award computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions made in the valuation of the awards are discussed in Note 12, “Stock Based Compensation Plans” of

“Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. The grant date fair values provided for August 31, 2012 for Mr. Melo, May 25, 2012 for Mr. Loeb, and June 1, 2012 for Ms. Tompkins, reflect modifications to outstanding equity awards.

The August 31, 2012 modification listed for Mr. Melo reflects an above-market sale by Mr. Melo to existing investors of the company. The May 25, 2012 modification listed for Mr. Loeb reflects the re-pricing of outstanding options held by Mr. Loeb in which the exercise price of outstanding options was reduced to \$16.00 per share. The May 2, 2012 modification listed for Ms. Tompkins reflects modifications of outstanding options and restricted stock unit awards held by Ms. Tompkins to accelerate vesting of certain restricted stock unit awards (100% for two such awards and 50% for the third award), and to extend the exercise period for vested portions of the options. The amounts provided for the foregoing modifications represent the aggregate incremental fair value, computed as of re-pricing or other modification date calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, with respect to such re-priced or modified awards. These amounts do not correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by the named executive officers.

49

Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Tables

The material terms of the named executive officers' annual compensation, including base salaries, discretionary cash bonuses, our equity award granting practices and severance benefits and explanations of compensation decisions for cash and equity compensation during 2012 are described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section above. As noted below under "Agreements with Executives," except for certain terms contained in offer letters and equity award agreements and our separation agreement with Ms. Tompkins, none of our named executive officers has entered into a written employment agreement with us.

50

Edgar Filing: United States Natural Gas Fund, LP - Form 424B3

Outstanding Equity Awards as of December 31, 2012

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding equity awards held as of December 31, 2012 by our named executive officers.

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$/Sh)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)*		
John Melo	279,979	(1)(2)(3)	—	3.93	08/25/2018	265,333	(9)(10)(11)	827,839
	298,004	(1)(4)(5)	—	20.41	04/20/2020			
	40,250	(6)(7)	43,750	26.84	04/15/2021			
	16,666	(6)(8)	83,334	3.86	04/09/2022			
Steven Mills	—	(12)(13)	420,000	2.76	05/29/22	250,000	(8)(14)	780,000
Joel Cherry	163,500	(1)(2)(15)	—	4.31	09/14/2019	161,666	(9)(10)(11)	504,398
	20,000	(1)(4)(16)	—	9.32	01/07/2020			
	11,979	(6)(7)	13,021	26.84	04/15/2021			
	4,166	(6)(8)	20,834	3.86	04/09/2022			
Paulo Diniz	87,500	(2)(17)	162,500	26.84	04/15/2021	76,666	(8)(9)(17)	239,198
	3,333	(6)(8)	16,667	3.86	04/09/2022			
Gary Loeb	11,875	(12)(18)	18,125	16.00	06/13/2021	105,000	(9)(21)	327,600
	1,600	(6)(19)	8,000	3.86	04/09/2022			
	13,854	(6)(20)	81,146	4.06	09/24/2022			
Mark Patel	50,000	(2)(22)	—	16.50	01/01/13			
	7,875	(6)(7)	—	27.13	01/01/13			
	3,750	(6)(8)	—	3.86	01/01/13			
Tamara Tompkins	50,000	(1)(2)(23)	—	0.28	05/02/13			
	7,166	(1)(2)(24)	—	4.31	05/02/13			

Edgar Filing: United States Natural Gas Fund, LP - Form 424B3

15,000	(1)(4)(16)	—	9.32	05/02/13
7,000	(4)(25)	—	16.50	05/02/13
11,333	(6)(7)	—	26.84	05/02/13

* Calculated by multiplying the closing price of our common stock on NASDAQ on December 31, 2012, \$3.12, by the number of units that had not vested as of December 31, 2012.

51

1. Options granted under the 2005 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan to our named executive officers are immediately exercisable, regardless of vesting schedule.
Options vest as to 20% of the original number of shares on the first anniversary of the vesting commencement date, which is a date fixed by the Board or Leadership Development and Compensation Committee when granting equity awards, and as to an additional 1/60th of the original number of shares each month thereafter until the fifth anniversary of the vesting commencement date, subject to continued service through each vesting date.
2. The vesting commencement date of this grant was June 3, 2008.
3. Options vest at a rate of 1/60th of the original number of shares monthly from the vesting commencement date until the fifth anniversary of the vesting commencement date, subject to continued service through each vesting date.
4. The vesting commencement date of this grant is April 20, 2010.
5. Options vest at a rate of 1/48th of the original number of shares monthly from the vesting commencement date until the fourth anniversary of the vesting commencement date, subject to continued service through each vesting date.
6. The vesting commencement date of this grant was January 1, 2011.
7. The vesting commencement date of this grant was April 1, 2012.
8. Restricted stock units vest at a rate of 1/3rd of the original number of units annually from the vesting commencement date until the third anniversary of the vesting commencement date, subject to continued service through each vesting date.
9. Restricted stock units vest as to 100% of the units subject to the award on the second anniversary of the vesting commencement date, subject to continued service through each vesting date.
10. The vesting commencement date of some grants was January 1, 2011. The vesting commencement date of other grants was April 1, 2012.
11. Options vest as to 25% of the original number of shares on the first anniversary of the vesting commencement date, and as to an additional 1/48th of the original number of shares each month thereafter until the fourth anniversary of the vesting commencement date, subject to continued service through each vesting date.
12. The vesting commencement date of this grant was May 2, 2012.
13. Restricted stock units vest as to 10% of the units subject to the award after one year from the vesting commencement date, an additional 10% of the units subject to the award after 18 months from the vesting commencement date, and the remainder after two years from the vesting commencement date.
14. The vesting commencement date of this grant was November 3, 2008.
15. The vesting commencement date of this grant was October 27, 2009.
16. The vesting commencement date of this grant was March 1, 2011.
17. The vesting commencement date of this grant was May 16, 2011.
18. The vesting commencement date of this grant was April 9, 2012.
19. The vesting commencement date of this grant was May 1, 2012.
20. The vesting commencement date of this grant was October 1, 2012.
21. The vesting commencement date of this grant was August 23, 2010.
22. The vesting commencement date of this grant was November 6, 2006.
23. The vesting commencement date of this grant was October 1, 2008.
24. The vesting commencement date of this grant was August 1, 2010.
- 25.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested During Fiscal 2012

The following table shows information regarding exercise of options and vesting of restricted stock and restricted stock units held by our named executive officers during fiscal 2012:

Option Awards	Stock Awards
---------------	--------------

Edgar Filing: United States Natural Gas Fund, LP - Form 424B3

Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)⁽¹⁾	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)⁽¹⁾
John Melo	668,730	2,086,438		
Tamara Tompkins	50,000	179,008		
John Melo			7,667	94,074
Joel Cherry			3,334	40,908
Paul Diniz			13,334	69,203
Tamara Tompkins			3,334	40,908
Tamara Tompkins			139,166	393,840

Values realized on exercise or vesting are calculated based on the closing price as reported on NASDAQ for our common stock on the date of exercise. Stock awards include restricted stock units, which are settled solely in shares of our common stock. These amounts are presented solely for purposes of this table, and do not correspond to the actual value that was recognized by such individuals. Mr. Melo sold the shares of common stock issued upon exercise of his options to existing investors of the company for \$3.70 per share, which was a premium to the market price on the date of sale.

Pension Benefits

None of our named executive officers participates in, or has an account balance in, a qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plan sponsored by us.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

None of our named executive officers participates in, or has account balances in, a traditional non-qualified deferred compensation plan or other deferred compensation plans maintained by us.

Potential Severance Payments upon Termination and upon Termination Following a Change in Control

Change in Control Arrangements in Named Executive Officer Terms of Employment

The initial offer letters of Messrs. Melo, Mills, Diniz, Loeb and Patel and Dr. Cherry, as amended for Messrs. Loeb and Melo and Dr. Cherry, provided terms of employment that include severance terms. Ms. Tompkins offer letter, as amended, also contained severance terms, which were superseded by her separation agreement described below. The terms in our offer letters other than for Mr. Mills provide that, if we terminate the employment of the respective named executive officer for any reason other than for cause, he or she will be eligible to receive severance equal to 12 months of base salary, payable in accordance with our regular payroll practices. These payments will be terminated as of the date of commencement of employment with another employer. In addition, in the event of such termination, we will pay for the respective named executive officer to receive COBRA benefits until the earlier of (i) 12 months from termination and (ii) commencement of employment with another employer. For Mr. Mills, if we terminate his employment for any reason other than for cause or Mr. Mills resigns because he is constructively terminated, he will be eligible to receive severance equal to six months of base salary, payable in accordance with our regular payroll practices, plus we will pay for COBRA benefits until the earlier of (i) six months from termination and (ii) commencement of employment with another employer. We also agreed that, if we terminate the employment of Mr. Mills without cause or he resigns because he is constructively terminated within his first year of employment, he would be eligible for the following vesting acceleration: his initial option would become vested as to a number of shares equal to the total shares subject to the award multiplied by a fraction equal to the number of complete months served by Mr. Mills divided by 48. Certain of the other named executive officers had the same provision in their agreements, but all of them other than Mr. Mills had been employed for more than a year as of December 31, 2012.

We also agreed that in the offer letters that if we terminate any of our named executive officers other than Messrs. Melo and Mills without cause or constructively terminate the employment of such named executive officers, in either

case within six months of a change of control of Amyris, the terminated individual will be eligible to receive the benefits described in the preceding paragraph and accelerated vesting of 50% of any unvested shares subject to his or her outstanding options as of the date of termination. Mr. Melo's offer letter provided for the same acceleration, except that we also agreed that, in any event, he would be eligible to become vested in at least 75% of the shares subject to his options. For illustration, if, after applying the 50% acceleration of unvested shares described above, the total vested shares subject to Mr. Melo's options were less than 75% of the total overall shares subject such options, then 75% of the shares subject to his outstanding options would become vested. However, if, after applying the 50% acceleration of unvested shares described above, the total vested shares subject to his options were more than 75% of the total overall shares subject to such options, then that greater number would apply. For Mr. Mills, we agreed that if we terminate his employment without cause or he resigns because he is constructively terminated, in either case within six months of a change of control of Amyris, he will be eligible to receive the severance benefits described in the preceding paragraph for Mr. Mills and accelerated vesting of a percentage of his initial restricted stock unit award. Specifically, we agreed that if such termination occurred:

within the first 12 months of his employment, 10% of the total restricted stock units subject to the award would become vested;

during the period from the 13th month through the 18th month of his employment, 10% of the total restricted stock units subject to the award would become vested; and

53

during the period from the 19th month of his employment through the second anniversary of his start date, a number of restricted stock units equal the total number of restricted stock units subject to the award multiplied by a fraction equal to the number of complete months of his continued employment after the 18th month of his employment divided by six.

We also agreed that if such termination of Mr. Mills were to occur after two years, he would be eligible to receive all the standard severance payment described above, plus vesting of 50% of the unvested shares subject to all of his outstanding options.

As a condition to receipt of any of the benefits set forth in the preceding two paragraphs, the respective named executive officer must execute a release of claims in our favor and return to us any of our property and confidential information in his or her possession. In addition, to receive his severance and change of control benefits, Mr. Melo must resign from the Board. The foregoing benefits are also generally contingent on continued compliance by the named executive officer with confidentiality, non-solicitation and similar post-termination obligations.

For purposes of the above benefits, a change of control generally includes (i) any transaction after which our then current stockholders own less than 50% of the voting power of the surviving entity or its parent; (ii) a merger, reorganization or consolidation or other acquisition of Amyris after which our then-current stockholders transfer more than a majority of the voting power of the company; and (iii) a sale of all or substantially all of our assets.

Constructive termination for the named executive officers other than Mr. Mills generally means resignation of employment within a designated period of time after any of the following events, each of which must occur within five months of our change of control, with respect to Mr. Melo, and within six months of our change of control with respect to the other named executive officers: a material reduction in responsibilities or base salary (unless the reduction is comparable to and part of a reduction of all executive officers) or a relocation of principal office more than 50 miles from the location of the named executive officer's office immediately before a change of control. For Mr. Mills, constructive termination means resignation of employment under the same general conditions, except that the triggering event does not have to be in proximity to a change of control (since, for Mr. Mills, severance triggered by constructive termination can occur outside of the context of a change of control).

Cause generally includes any of the following: (i) failure or refusal to comply in any material respect with any of our policies; (ii) a violation of law or regulation applicable to our business; (iii) conviction or plea of no contest to a felony and in addition, in some instances a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude; (iv) fraud or misappropriation of our property; (v) non-performance, non-compliance or interference with the other party's performance under the terms of any confidentiality, invention assignment or proprietary information agreement with us or with a former employer, (vi) failure to satisfactorily perform duties after having received written notice of such failure and at least 30 days to cure such failure, or (vii) misconduct or gross negligence in connection with the performance of employment duties to us.

To the extent any severance benefits to a named executive officer constitute deferred compensation subject to Section 409A of the Code and that officer is deemed a “specified employee” under Section 409A, then we will defer payment of these benefits to the extent necessary to avoid adverse tax treatment.

The following table summarizes the potential payments and benefits payable to each of our named executive officers other than Ms. Tompkins (whose actual severance is summarized below) and Mr. Patel (who did not receive any severance benefits in connection with his departure) upon (i) termination of employment other than for cause and (ii) termination without cause or constructive termination following a change in our control, modeling, in each situation, that termination and change of control, where applicable, occurred on December 31, 2012.

Name	Qualifying Termination Other Than for Cause Not in Connection with a Change of Control			Qualifying Change of Control and Termination Without Cause or Constructive Termination Within Qualifying Period Following a Change of Control		
	Base Salary(\$) ⁽¹⁾	COBRA Benefits(\$) ⁽¹⁾	Value of Accelerated Options or Shares(\$) ⁽²⁾	Base Salary(\$) ⁽¹⁾	COBRA Benefits(\$) ⁽¹⁾	Value of Accelerated Options or Shares(\$) ⁽²⁾
John Melo	500,000	26,605	—	500,000	26,605	—
Steven Mills	225,000	9,317	22,050	225,000	9,317	100,050
Joel Cherry	350,000	141	—	350,000	141	—
Paulo Diniz	400,000	16,396	—	400,000	16,396	—
Gary Loeb	300,000	18,634	—	300,000	18,634	—

(1) The amounts in this column assume that the respective named executive officer has not started employment with another company before the expiration of 12 months from termination of his or her employment with us.

With respect to outstanding options as of December 31, 2012, this amount is equal to (a) the number of shares underlying unexercised options that would vest as a direct result of employment termination without cause or constructive termination following a change of control, assuming a December 31, 2012, change of control and employment termination, multiplied by (b) the excess of \$3.12, the closing market price of our common stock on

(2) NASDAQ as of December 31, 2012, over the exercise price of the options. With respect to restricted stock unit awards held by the named executive officer, this amount is equal to (a) the number of unvested units that would vest as a direct result of employment termination without cause or constructive termination following a change of control, assuming a December 31, 2012, change of control and employment termination, multiplied by (b) \$3.12. Options with exercise prices higher than \$3.12 are excluded from the calculation.

Tompkins Separation Agreement

In connection with her May 2012 separation from service, Ms. Tompkins received the severance benefits provided by her offer letter, as described above. In addition to such payments, Ms. Tompkins received acceleration of unvested restricted stock units subject to her outstanding restricted stock unit awards, and an extension of her exercise period for her outstanding stock options from three months to one year from her separation date. As a condition of receiving such benefits, Ms. Tompkins was required to execute a release of claims in our favor. The ongoing benefits are also contingent on continued compliance Ms. Tompkins with confidentiality, non-solicitation and similar post-termination obligations. Ms. Tompkins severance payments and benefits that became payable under her separation agreement are summarized below:

Name	Base Salary(\$) ⁽¹⁾	COBRA Benefits(\$) ⁽¹⁾	Value of Accelerated Options or Shares(\$) ⁽²⁾
Tamara Tompkins	350,000	24,070	434,198

(1) The amount in this column assumes that Ms. Tompkins did not start employment with another company before the expiration of 12 months from termination of her employment with us.

This amount is equal to the number of unvested units that vested as a direct result of employment termination multiplied by \$3.12, the closing market price of our common stock on NASDAQ on December 31, 2012. Ms.

(2) Tompkins did not receive any acceleration with respect to her outstanding stock options, but did receive an extension of the post-termination exercise period for such options (from three months to one year following termination). Additional details regarding the modifications to Ms. Tompkins' outstanding equity awards are provided in the "Summary Compensation Table" and "Grants of Plan-Based in Fiscal 2012" table above

Agreements with Executives

We do not have formal employment agreements with any of our named executive officers. The initial compensation of each named executive officer was set forth in an offer letter that we executed with him or her at the time his or her employment with us commenced and that, for Dr. Cherry and Messrs. Melo, Mills and Loeb was later amended. Each offer letter provides that the named executive officer's employment is at will.

As a condition to their employment, our named executive officers entered into non-competition, non-solicitation and proprietary information and inventions assignment agreements. Under these agreements, each named executive officer has agreed (i) not to solicit our employees during his or her employment and for a period of 12 months after the termination of his or her employment, (ii) not to compete with us or assist any other person to compete with us during the officer's employment with us and (iii) to protect our confidential and proprietary information and to assign to us intellectual property developed during the course of his or her employment.

See above "Executive Compensation—Potential Payments upon Termination and upon Termination Following a Change in Control" for a description of potential severance payments and benefits to which our named executive officers may become entitled in connection with certain employment terminations. In addition to severance provisions, Mr. Mill's offer letter provided for certain relocation and other benefits as noted in the "All Other Compensation" column in the "Summary Compensation Table" above and the associated footnotes.

Limitation of Liability and Indemnification

Our certificate of incorporation limits the personal liability of directors for breach of fiduciary duty to the maximum extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law and provides that no director will have personal liability to us or to our stockholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty or other duty as a director. However, these provisions do not eliminate or limit the liability of any of our directors for:

- any breach of the director's duty of loyalty to us or our stockholders;
- acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law;
- voting or assenting to unlawful payments of dividends, stock repurchases or other distributions; or
- any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

Any amendment to or repeal of these provisions will not eliminate or reduce the effect of these provisions in respect of any act, omission or claim that occurred or arose prior to such amendment or repeal. If the Delaware General Corporation Law is amended to provide for further limitations on the personal liability of directors of corporations, then the personal liability of our directors will be further limited to the greatest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law.

In addition, our currently-effective bylaws provide that we must indemnify our directors and officers and we must advance expenses, including attorneys' fees, to our directors and officers in connection with legal proceedings, subject to very limited exceptions.

We maintain an insurance policy that covers certain liabilities of our directors and officers arising out of claims based on acts or omissions in their capacities as directors or officers.

Certain of our non-employee directors may, through their relationships with their employers, be insured and/or indemnified against certain liabilities incurred in their capacity as members of the Board.

We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors and executive officers that may be broader than the specific indemnification provisions contained in the Delaware General Corporation Law. These indemnification agreements require us, among other things, to indemnify our directors and executive officers against liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service. These indemnification agreements also require us to advance all expenses incurred by the directors and executive officers in investigating or defending any such action, suit or proceeding. We believe that these agreements are necessary to attract and retain qualified individuals to serve as directors and executive officers.

At present, we are not aware of any pending litigation or proceeding involving any person who is or was one of our directors, officers, employees or other agents or is or was serving at our request as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise, for which indemnification is sought, and we are not aware of any threatened litigation that may result in claims for indemnification.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling our company pursuant to the foregoing provisions, we have been informed that, in the opinion of the SEC, such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.

Rule 10b5-1 Sales Plans

Certain of our directors and executive officers adopted written plans, known as Rule 10b5-1 plans, in 2011 under which they contracted with a broker to buy or sell shares of our common stock on a periodic basis. Under a Rule 10b5-1 plan, a broker executes trades pursuant to parameters established by the director or officer when entering into the plan, without further direction from them. The director or executive officer may amend or terminate the plan in some circumstances. Our directors and executive officers may also buy or sell additional shares outside of a Rule 10b5-1 plan when they are not in possession of material, nonpublic information.

Director Compensation

Mr. Melo did not receive any compensation in connection with his service as a director due to his status as an employee. Former director, Dr. Renninger, received employee compensation through June 30, 2012, and thereafter received non-employee director compensation in addition to severance amounts paid in connection with the termination of his employment, as described in more detail below under “Narrative to Director Compensation Tables.” Dr. Renninger was not a named executive officer for 2012, so his compensation is discussed in this section rather than in the “Executive Compensation” section of this proxy statement. The compensation that we pay to Mr. Melo is discussed in the “Executive Compensation” section of this prospectus. Dr. Renninger was previously employed in the capacity of Chief Technical Officer and received cash compensation and equity awards in such capacity, as determined by our Leadership Development and Compensation Committee, until his departure as an employee of Amyris in June 2012. Dr. Renninger resigned from the Board in February 2013.

Director Compensation for Fiscal Year 2012

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, our directors who served during 2012 (other than Mr. Melo) received the following compensation:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Total (\$)
Ralph Alexander	57,500	14,134	10,650	—	82,284
Philippe Boisseau	40,000	—	—	—	40,000
Nam-Hai Chua ⁽⁶⁾	21,667	54,554	10,650	—	86,871
John Doerr	47,806	14,134	10,650	—	72,590
Geoffrey Duyk ⁽⁶⁾	31,403	46,134	10,650	—	88,187
Samir Kaul ⁽⁶⁾	17,792	—	—	—	17,792
Arthur Levinson	40,000	14,134	10,650	—	64,784
Patrick Pichette	56,694	14,134	10,650	—	81,478
Carole Piwnica	52,306	14,134	10,650	—	77,090
Fernando de Castro Reinach	40,000	14,134	10,650	—	64,784
Neil Renninger ⁽⁶⁾	20,000	—	—	909,378	929,378
HH Sheikh Abdullah bin Khalifa Al Thani ⁽⁶⁾	31,778	83,864	10,650	—	126,292

(1) Reflects board, committee chair and committee retainer fees earned during fiscal 2012, as well as reimbursement of expenses.

(2) The amounts in the “Stock Awards” and “Option Awards” column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions made in the valuation of the awards are discussed in Note 12, “Stock Based Compensation Plans” of “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. These amounts do not correspond to the actual value that

may be recognized by the directors.

(3) At December 31, 2012, the following non-employee directors each held equity awards covering the following aggregate numbers of shares and units:

Name	Outstanding Options (Shares)	Outstanding Stock Awards (Units)
Sheikh Abdullah bin Khalifa Al Thani	26,000	3,000
Ralph Alexander	77,000	3,000
Nam-Hai Chua	26,000	3,000
John Doerr	32,000	3,000
Geoffrey Duyk	26,000	3,000
Arthur Levinson	152,000	3,000
Patrick Pichette	112,000	3,000
Carole Piwnica	32,000	3,000
Fernando de Castro Reinach	32,000	3,000

At December 31, 2012, Dr. Renninger held the equity awards described below under footnote 5 to this table, all of which were granted in connection with his employment and not as director compensation.

58

In March 2012, HH received an initial stock option award under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan under the director compensation program described below under “Narrative to Director Compensation Tables.” Similarly, Dr. Duyk received an initial director stock option award in May 2012, and Dr. Chua received an initial director stock option award in June 2012. In July 2012, each of our non-employee directors other than Mr. Boisseau (and excluding Mr. Kaul, who resigned in May 2012, and Dr. Renninger, who was not included in the annual award due to his status as an employee through June 2012) received an annual stock option award and restricted stock unit award under our (4) 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. Mr. Boisseau declined the annual award. These awards were contemplated by our director compensation program (described in “Narrative to Director Compensation Tables” below). With the exception of the initial director stock option awards granted to HH, Dr. Duyk and Dr. Chua, these option and restricted stock unit awards will become fully vested one year from a vesting commencement date of August 9, 2012. For the 20,000 options each granted to Messrs. Al Thani, Chua and Duyk, these options will vest in quarterly increments over three years from the vesting start date at a rate of 1/12 per quarter. The grant date fair value for these awards, as calculated under FASB ASC Topic 718 for financial statement reporting purposes was as shown in the following table:

Name	Date of Grant	Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) ⁽¹⁾	Number of Securities Underlying Options (\$) ⁽²⁾	Exercise Price Per Share (\$)	Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾
Ralph Alexander	07/19/2012		6,000	3.55	14,134
Ralph Alexander	07/19/2012	3,000		—	10,650
Nam-Hai Chua	06/18/2012		20,000	3.12	40,420
Nam-Hai Chua	07/19/2012		6,000	3.55	14,134
Nam-Hai Chua	07/19/2012	3,000		—	10,650
John Doerr	07/19/2012		6,000	3.55	14,134
John Doerr	07/19/2012	3,000		—	10,650
Geoffrey Duyk	05/07/2012		20,000	2.47	32,000
Geoffrey Duyk	07/19/2012		6,000	3.55	14,134
Geoffrey Duyk	07/19/2012	3,000		—	10,650
Arthur Levinson	07/19/2012		6,000	3.55	14,134
Arthur Levinson	07/19/2012	3,000		—	10,650
Patrick Pichette	07/19/2012		6,000	3.55	14,134
Patrick Pichette	07/19/2012	3,000		—	10,650
Carole Piwnica	07/19/2012		6,000	3.55	14,134
Carole Piwnica	07/19/2012	3,000		—	10,650
Fernando de Castro Reinach	07/19/2012		6,000	3.55	14,134
Fernando de Castro Reinach	07/19/2012	3,000		—	10,650
HH Sheikh Abdullah bin Khalifa Al Thani	03/19/2012		20,000	5.34	69,730
HH Sheikh Abdullah bin Khalifa Al Thani	07/19/2012		6,000	3.55	14,134
HH Sheikh Abdullah bin Khalifa Al Thani	07/19/2012	3,000		—	10,650

The amount shown for Dr. Renninger in the “All Other Compensation” column represents his total compensation other than as a director. Such amount includes salary through his departure date (\$147,917), retroactive pay due to a salary increase during 2012 (\$14,583), accrued vacation paid out upon termination (\$21,922), and the grant date (5) fair value of stock option awards during 2012 (\$201,906) and restricted stock unit awards during 2012 (\$373,050), including the incremental fair value of modifications to outstanding awards in connection with Dr. Renninger’s separation agreement (described below under “Narrative to Director Compensation Tables”), all as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, and severance pay (\$150,000).

Mr. Kaul resigned from the Board in May 2012 and did not receive the annual equity award grant to outside directors. The fees earned by Mr. Kaul in 2012 represent retainer fees earned by him through his resignation date. HH joined the Board in March 2012, Dr. Duyk joined the Board in May 2012 and Dr. Chua joined the Board in (6) June 2012; the fees earned by such individuals in 2012 represent fees earned for the portion of the year that they served on the Board. Dr. Renninger served on the Board throughout 2012; however, he was an employee through June 30, 2012, and did not earn director compensation until the third quarter of 2012. Dr. Renninger resigned from the Board in February 2013.

Narrative to Director Compensation Tables

In December 2010, the Board adopted a director compensation program that took effect on January 1, 2011. In February 2012 and October 2013, respectively, the Leadership Development and Compensation Committee determined that it would not recommend to the Board any changes to such program for 2012 or 2013, respectively. Under this program, in each case subject to final approval by the Board with respect to equity awards:

Each non-employee director receives an annual cash retainer of \$40,000, an initial award of an option to purchase 20,000 shares of our common stock upon joining the Board, and an annual award of an option to purchase 6,000 shares and of 3,000 restricted stock units. The initial option award vests in equal quarterly installments over three years, and the annual option and restricted stock unit awards become fully vested after one year.

The chair of the Audit Committee receives an additional annual cash retainer of \$15,000.

The chair of the Leadership Development and Compensation Committee receives an additional annual cash retainer of \$10,000.

The chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee receives an additional annual cash retainer of \$9,000.

Audit Committee, Leadership Development and Compensation Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee members other than the chair receive an annual retainer of \$7,500, \$5,000 and \$4,500, respectively.

In general, we pay all the retainers described above quarterly in arrears. In cases where a non-employee director serves for part of the year in a capacity entitling him or her to a retainer payment, the retainer is prorated to reflect his or her period of service in that capacity. Non-employee directors are also eligible for reimbursement of their expenses incurred in attending Board meetings.

Renninger Separation Agreement

In connection with his June 2012 separation from service, we agreed to provide Dr. Renninger with severance pay equal to 12 months of base salary, payable in accordance with our regular payroll practices. These payments will be terminated as of the date of commencement of employment with another employer. We also agreed to pay for Dr. Renninger to receive COBRA benefits until the earlier of (i) 12 months from termination and (ii) commencement of employment with another employer. In addition to such payments, Dr. Renninger received acceleration of unvested restricted stock units subject to his outstanding stock option and restricted stock unit awards, and an extension of his exercise period for his outstanding stock options from three months to one year from his separation date. As a

condition of receiving such benefits, Dr. Renninger was required to execute a release of claims in our favor. The ongoing benefits are also contingent on continued compliance by Dr. Renninger with confidentiality, non-solicitation and similar post-termination obligations.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The members of the Leadership Development and Compensation Committee for fiscal 2012 were Ralph Alexander, John Doerr (from May 2012), Samir Kaul (through May 2012), Carole Piwnica (from May 2012) and Patrick Pichette (through May 2012). None of these directors was an officer or employee of Amyris or any of our subsidiaries in fiscal 2012, nor are any of these directors former officers of Amyris or any of our subsidiaries. Except as set forth under “Transactions with Related Persons” below, none of these directors has any relationships with us of the type that are required to be disclosed under Item 404 of Regulation S-K. None of our executive officers has served as a member of the board of directors or as a member of the compensation or similar committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers who have served on our Board or Leadership Development and Compensation Committee during fiscal 2012. Messrs. Doerr and Kaul, Dr. Duyk and Ms. Piwnica may be deemed to have interests in certain transactions with us, as more fully described in “Transactions with Related Persons” below.

Transactions with Related Persons

In addition to the compensation arrangements, including employment, termination of employment and change in control and indemnification arrangements, discussed, when required, above under “Executive Compensation—Limitation of Liability and Indemnification,” the following is a description of each transaction since the beginning of 2012, and each currently proposed transaction in which:

we have been or are to be a participant;

the amount involved exceeds \$120,000; and

any of our directors, executive officers or holders of more than 5% of any class of our capital stock at the time of the transactions in issue, or any immediate family member of or person sharing the household with any of these individuals, had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Total Transactions

In July 2012, we entered into the following agreements with Total G&P:

· Master Framework Agreement

· Second Amendment to the Technology License, Development, Research and Collaboration Agreement (“Second Amendment”)

· Securities Purchase Agreement

· Registration Rights Agreement

As background, in November 2011, we entered into an initial amendment to the original collaboration agreement with Total G&P with respect to development and commercialization of Biofene for diesel. This represented an expansion of the initial collaboration that we established in 2010, which provided that we and Total G&P would identify and develop certain potential products for development and commercialization, and that Total G&P would pay up to the first \$50 million in research cost for selected projects. The November 2011 amendment established a global, exclusive collaboration for the development of Biofene for diesel and a framework for the creation of a joint venture to manufacture and commercialize Biofene for diesel. In addition, a limited number of other potential products were

subject to development for the joint venture on a non-exclusive basis.

The transactions contemplated by the July 2012 agreements specified above were intended to focus our collaboration with Total G&P on Biofene-based diesel and jet fuels and simplify the funding and governance relative to the first amendment. Such agreements expanded Total G&P's investment in the farnesene collaboration, incorporate the development of certain joint venture products for use in diesel and jet fuel into the scope of the collaboration, and change the structure of the funding from Total G&P to include a convertible debt mechanism.

Collaboration Terms

Under the Master Framework Agreement and Second Amendment (which superseded the November 2011 amendment), the scope of the collaboration initially contemplated by the parties under the November 2011 amendment was modified to encompass certain joint venture products for use in diesel and jet fuel on a worldwide basis and to provide a new structure for the research and development program and formation of the joint venture to commercialize the products encompassed by the program.

General Collaboration Structure

Under the July 2012 agreements, we control operations and execution of the research and development program subject to strategic and ultimate decision-making authority by a management committee composed of Amyris and Total G&P representatives, and Total G&P participates in the ultimate joint venture, or receives rights to recover its investment if, at a series of decision points, it decides not to proceed with the research, development and commercialization program (as described in more detail below). The agreements contemplate that the parties would grant exclusive manufacturing and commercial licenses to the joint venture for the joint venture products when the joint venture is formed (subject to requirements for us to grant the license to Total G&P in the event the joint venture is not formed because of a deadlock, as described below, followed by an election by us to sell to Total G&P the assets we otherwise would have contributed to the joint venture, or earlier under certain circumstances), and that we would retain the right to make and sell products other than the joint venture products. The July 2012 agreements provide that the joint venture licenses are to be consistent with the principle that development, production and commercialization of the joint venture products in Brazil will remain with Amyris unless Total G&P elects, after formation of the joint venture, to have such business contributed to the joint venture (see below for additional detail). The July 2012 agreements also provide that certain joint venture non-exclusive products that were contemplated by the December 2011 amendment are no longer to be included in the joint venture, but that the parties will explore potential development and commercialization of such products at a later date.

Go/No-Go Decisions and Funding

The July 2012 agreements contemplate that the research and development efforts under the research, development and commercialization program may extend through 2016, with a series of “Go/No-Go” decisions by Total G&P through such date tied to funding by Total G&P. Specifically, the agreements provided that Total G&P would fund \$15 million to us in July 2012 and an additional \$15 million in September 2012, each of which has been funded as contemplated by the agreements. Total G&P also agreed, in March 2013, to proceed with an additional \$30 million in funding contemplated by the July 2012 agreements for 2013. Total G&P may, if it chooses to proceed with the research, development and commercialization program, fund an additional \$10.85 million in July 2014 and \$10.85 million in January 2015. In each case, the funding would occur under the Securities Purchase Agreement and convertible promissory notes as described below under “Notes Offering.” Thirty days following the earlier of the completion of the research and development program and December 31, 2016, Total G&P has a final opportunity to decide whether or not to proceed with the program (the “Final Go/No-Go Decision”). Under the July 2012 agreements, the \$50 million in funding by Total G&P originally contemplated under the 2010 collaboration agreement is deemed to be exhausted.

At the 2014 decision point tied to the funding described above, if Total G&P decides not to continue to fund the research, development and commercialization program (or, at any funding date does not provide funding based on a failure by Amyris to satisfy a closing condition under the Securities Purchase Agreement or Total G&P’s breach of the Securities Purchase Agreement) (a “No-Go” decision), the notes previously issued under the Securities Purchase Agreement would remain outstanding and become payable by us at the maturity date in 2017 (as described in more detail below under “Notes Offering”), the research, development and commercialization program and associated

agreements would terminate, all Amyris rights granted for use in farnesene-based diesel and farnesene-based jet fuel would revert to us, and no joint venture would be formed to commercialize the joint venture products.

In the Final Go/No-Go Decision, Total G&P may elect to (i) go forward with the full research, development and commercialization program (diesel and jet fuel) (a “Go” decision), (ii) not continue its participation in the full program (which would constitute a No-Go decision), or (iii) go forward only with the jet fuel component of the program (a “Jet Go” decision), with the following outcomes:

Go. For a Go decision by Total G&P with respect to the whole program, the parties would form the joint venture and the notes would be canceled.

No-Go. For a No-Go decision by Total G&P with respect to the whole program, the consequences would be as described above for earlier “No-Go” decisions by Total G&P.

Jet Go. For a decision by Total G&P to proceed with the jet fuel component of the program and not the diesel component of the program, 70% of the principal amount outstanding under the notes would remain outstanding and become payable by Amyris at the maturity date and 30% of the outstanding principal of such notes would be canceled, the diesel product would no longer be included in the collaboration, the joint venture would not receive rights to products for use in diesel fuels, and the joint venture would be formed by the parties to commercialize products for use in jet fuels.

Joint Venture

The July 2012 agreements contemplate that the parties will finalize the structure for the joint venture in the future as set forth in the Master Framework Agreement and that the joint venture, if and when it is formed, would, subject to the conditions described below and absent other agreement, be owned equally (50%/50%) by Amyris and Total G&P. Under the agreements, the parties will, prior to the projected completion date, enter into a shareholders' agreement governing the joint venture, agree on the budget and business plan for the joint venture, and form the joint venture. In addition, following a final "Go" decision, the parties would enter into the joint venture license agreements, contribution agreements and other agreements required to establish the joint venture and enable it to operate.

Within 30 days prior to the Final Go/No-Go Decision, Total G&P may declare a "deadlock" if the parties fail to come to agreement on various matters relating to the formation of the joint venture, at which point Total G&P may (i) elect to declare a No-Go decision, which has the consequences described above, or (ii) initiate a process whereby the fair value of the proposed joint venture would be determined and we would then have the option to elect to: (x) sell to Total G&P the assets that we would have been required to contribute to the joint venture for an amount equal to 50% of such fair value; (y) proceed with the formation of the joint venture (accepting Total G&P's position with respect to the funding requirement of the joint venture) and become a 50% owner of the joint venture; or (z) proceed with the formation of the joint venture (accepting Total G&P's position with respect to the funding requirements of the joint venture) and then sell all or a portion of our 50% interest in the joint venture to Total G&P for a price equal to the fair value multiplied by the percentage ownership of the joint venture sold to Total G&P.

The July 2012 agreements provide that we would initially retain our ability to develop our diesel and jet fuel business in Brazil, and that Total G&P has an option to require us to contribute our Brazil diesel and jet fuel business to the joint venture at a price determined pursuant to the Master Framework Agreement. Such option terminates if the joint venture is not formed or if Total G&P subsequently buys out our joint venture contribution. Furthermore, the option is limited to the jet fuel business if Total G&P opts out of the diesel component of the program as described above.

Pro Rata Rights

Under the Master Framework Agreement, Total G&P has a right to participate in our future equity or convertible debt financings through December 31, 2013 to preserve its pro rata ownership of Amyris and thereafter in limited circumstances. The purchase price for the first \$30 million of purchases under this pro rata right would be paid by cancellation of outstanding notes held by Total G&P. In December 2012, Total G&P canceled \$5 million of outstanding notes in connection with these pro rata rights as described below under "Private Placement Financings."

Notes Offering

The Securities Purchase Agreement contemplates the sale of an aggregate of \$105 million in senior unsecured convertible promissory notes as follows:

2012 Closing. In an initial closing under the Securities Purchase Agreement (which initial closing was completed in two installments): (i) in July 2012, we sold an initial 1.5% Senior Unsecured Convertible Note Due 2017 to Total G&P in the face amount of \$38.3 million for \$38.3 million in proceeds, including \$15 million in new funds and repayment by us of \$23.3 million in previously-provided diesel research and development funding by Total G&P, and (ii) in September 2012, we sold an additional 1.5% Senior Unsecured Convertible Note Due 2017 to Total G&P in the face amount of \$15 million for \$15 million in new funds.

Future Closings. The Securities Purchase Agreement provides that additional notes may be sold in subsequent closings in July 2013 (for cash proceeds to us of \$30 million) and July 2014 (for cash proceeds to us of \$21.7 million, which would be settled in an initial installment of \$10.85 million payable at such closing and a second installment of \$10.85 million payable in January 2015). The funding for the July 2013 closing may be accelerated as contemplated by the March 2013 letter agreement described below.

Each of the closings under the Securities Purchase Agreement is subject to customary closing conditions such as receipt of requisite approvals, good standing of Amyris and accuracy of representations and warranties. In addition, Total G&P's obligations with respect to the 2014 closing are subject to a condition that Total G&P has not made a No-Go decision prior to a notice deadline. The subsequent installments for settlement of the third closing described above are subject to conditions that certain representations and warranties by Amyris continue to be true as of the date of such installment payment.

In March 2013, we entered into a letter agreement with Total G&P under which Total G&P agreed to waive its right to cease its participation in our fuels collaboration at the July 2013 decision point referenced above, and committed to proceed with the July 2013 funding tranche of \$30.0 million (subject to the our satisfaction of the relevant closing conditions for such funding in the Securities Purchase Agreement). As consideration for this waiver and commitment, we agreed to:

Reduce the conversion price for the senior unsecured convertible promissory notes to be issued in connection with such funding from \$7.0682 per share to a price per share equal to the greater of (i) the consolidated closing bid price of our common stock on the date of the letter agreement, plus \$0.01, and (ii) \$3.08 per share, provided that the conversion price will not be reduced by more than the maximum possible amount permitted under the NASDAQ rules such that the new conversion price would require us to obtain stockholder consent; and

Grant Total G&P a senior security interest in our intellectual property, subject to certain exclusions and subject to release by Total G&P when we and Total G&P enter into final documentation regarding the establishment of the joint venture.

In addition to the waiver by Total G&P described above, Total G&P also agreed that, at our request and contingent upon us meeting our obligations described above, it would pay advance installments of the amounts otherwise payable at the July 2013 closing. Specifically, if we requests such advance installments, subject to certain closing conditions and delivery of certifications regarding our cash levels, Total G&P is obligated to fund \$10 million no later than May 15, 2013, and an additional \$10 million no later than June 15, 2013, with the remainder funding on the original July closing date.

Terms of Notes. The notes each have a March 1, 2017 maturity date and a conversion price equal to \$7.0682 per share of our common stock (except as adjusted by the March 2013 letter agreement for notes issued in 2013). The notes bear interest of 1.5% per year (with a default rate of 2.5%), accruing from date of funding and payable at maturity or on conversion or a change of control where Total G&P exercises a right to require us to repay the notes. Accrued interest is canceled if the notes are canceled based on a Go decision.

The notes become convertible into our common stock (i) within 10 trading days prior to maturity (if they are not canceled as described above prior to their maturity date), (ii) on a change of control of Amyris, (iii) if Total G&P is no longer the largest stockholder of Amyris following a "No-Go" decision (subject to a six-month lock-up with respect to

any shares of common stock issued upon conversion), and (iv) on a default by us. If Total G&P makes a final “Go” decision, then the notes will be exchanged by Total G&P for equity interests in the joint venture, after which the notes will not be convertible and any obligation to pay principal or interest on the notes will be extinguished. If Total G&P makes a “No-Go” decision, the outstanding notes will remain outstanding and become repayable at maturity.

The conversion price of the notes is subject to adjustment for proportional adjustments to outstanding common stock and under anti-dilution provisions in case of certain dividends and distributions. Total G&P has a right to require repayment of 101% of the principal amount of the notes in the event of a change of control of Amyris. In addition, if Total G&P does not require such repayment upon a change of control, then upon conversion of the notes following such change of control, we are required to pay, subject to a cap, the interest that would have otherwise accrued through maturity. The Securities Purchase Agreement and notes include covenants regarding payment of interest, maintenance of our listing status, limitations on debt, maintenance of corporate existence, and filing of SEC reports. The notes include standard events of default resulting in acceleration of indebtedness, including failure to pay, bankruptcy and insolvency, cross-defaults, and breaches of the covenants in the Securities Purchase Agreement and notes, with added default interest rates and associated cure periods applicable to the covenant regarding SEC reporting.

Registration Rights

In connection with the Securities Purchase Agreement and sale of the notes, we entered into the Registration Rights Agreement. Under such agreement, we are obligated to file a registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC registering the resale of all of the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the notes within 20 days prior to the maturity date of the notes or within 30 days following optional conversion. In addition, we are obligated to have the registration statement declared effective within 70-100 days following the filing depending on whether we receive comments from the SEC. If the registration statement filing is delayed or the registration statement is not declared effective within the foregoing time frames, or if the registration statement is not available to Total G&P for 20 or more consecutive days or 40 or more total days during any 12-month period during the effectiveness period, we are required to make certain monthly payments to Total G&P.

Private Placement Financings

Common Stock Offerings

In 2012 and the first quarter of 2013 we completed several private placements of our common stock to investors that included members of the Board and parties related to them.

February 2012 Offering. In February 2012, we sold an aggregate of 10,160,325 shares of our common stock to various investors for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$58.7 million. Participation in this offering by directors and parties related to them is provided in the table below. In connection with this transaction, we entered into an agreement with certain of the investors that included a commitment on the part of Biolding (an entity affiliated with our director, HH) to purchase additional shares of our common stock for an additional \$15 million upon satisfaction by us of criteria associated with the commissioning of our production plant at Paraíso Bioenergia in Brazil by March

2013. Additionally, the agreement granted (i) to each of Biolding, Naxyris SA and Maxwell the right to designate a director to serve on the Board and (ii) to each of Biolding, Naxyris SA, Maxwell and Sualk Capital Ltd certain rights to invest in existing and future joint ventures established by us and a right of first investment in certain future transactions involving the sale of our securities or other capital raising efforts by us.

We also entered into Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Investors' Rights Agreement ("Amendment 1" and the underlying agreement, as amended, the "Rights Agreement"). Under the Rights Agreement, certain holders of our outstanding securities can request the filing of a registration statement under the Securities Act, covering the shares of common stock held by (or issued upon conversion of other Amyris securities held by) the requesting holders. Further, under the Rights Agreement, if we register securities for public sale, our stockholders with registration rights under the Rights Agreement have the right to include their shares of common stock in the registration statement. Additionally, holders of our outstanding securities with registration rights under the Rights Agreement can request that we register all or a portion of their common stock on Form S-3 if we are eligible to file a registration statement on Form S-3 and the aggregate price to the public of the shares offered is at least \$2,000,000. Amendment 1 extended such rights under the Rights Agreement to the common stock sold in the offering and to participants in the offering who were not already party to the Rights Agreement, and extended the term of the Rights Agreement to February 23, 2017.

May 2012 Offering. In May 2012, we sold an aggregate of 1,736,100 shares of our common stock to certain non-employee directors and related parties for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$4.1 million. Participation in this offering by directors and parties related to them is provided in the table below.

December Offering. In December 2012, we sold an aggregate of 14,177,849 shares of our common stock to various investors for aggregate proceeds of approximately \$42.25 million (\$37.25 million in new cash). A portion of such shares were issued Total G&P in exchange for cancellation of approximately \$5.0 million of an outstanding senior unsecured convertible promissory note previously issued by us to Total G&P as described above under “Total Transactions.” Participation in this offering by directors and parties related to them is provided in the table below. In connection with this transaction, we entered into a letter agreement with Biolding. Under such agreement, we acknowledged that Biolding’s investment of \$10.0 million in this offering represented partial satisfaction of Biolding’s preexisting contractual obligation to fund \$15.0 million upon our satisfaction of certain criteria associated with the commissioning of our production plant at Paraíso Bioenergia in Brazil. Pursuant to the letter agreement, Biolding would invest the remaining \$5.0 million no later than March 31, 2013 following satisfaction of the criteria relating to such plant, and such \$5.0 million investment would satisfy the remainder of Biolding’s preexisting contractual obligation to fund \$15.0 million. We also entered into Amendment No. 2 to Amended and Restated Investors’ Rights Agreement (“Amendment 2”). Amendment 2 extended the rights under the Rights Agreement to the common stock sold in the offering.

March 2013 Offering. In March 2013, Biolding completed its final \$5.0 million investment under our letter agreements with Biolding described above. We sold 1,533,742 shares to Biolding in this private placement.

Participation in Common Stock Offerings by Related Parties.

In the May 2012 offering, we sold common stock directly to Ralph Alexander, Arthur Levinson and Patrick Pichette, each of whom is a member of the Board. We also sold common stock to certain entities related to other directors as shown in the table below.

Although none of our executive officers or directors purchased common stock directly in the February 2012, December 2012 and March 2013 offerings, entities affiliated with certain directors did participate:

Biolding, an affiliate of our director, HH, purchased shares in such offerings; also, pursuant to a February 2012 agreement described above, HH was appointed to the Board in March 2012.

Total G&P and Maxwell, each a beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding common stock at the time of the transactions and, in the case of Total G&P, an affiliate of our director, Mr. Boisseau, purchased shares of our common stock in both the February 2012 and the December 2012 offerings; in addition, Maxwell’s Board designee (pursuant to the February 2012 agreement), Nam-Hai Chua, was serving on the Board at the time of the December 2012 offering.

Naxyris SA and TPG Biotechnology Partners II, L.P., each a beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding common stock at the time of the December 2012 offering, purchased shares in the December 2012 offering; in addition, Naxyris SA's Board designee (pursuant to the February 2012 agreement), Carole Piwnica, was serving on the Board at the time of both the February 2012 and the December 2012 offerings, and Geoffrey Duyk, a partner of TPG Biotech, an affiliate of TPG Biotechnology Partners II, L.P., was serving on the Board at the time of the December 2012 offering.

Foris Ventures LLC and Sualk Capital Ltd, entities affiliated with our existing directors, John Doerr and Fernando de Castro Reinach, respectively, purchased shares of our common stock in both the February 2012 and December 2012 offerings; in addition, Sualk Capital Ltd's Board designee (pursuant to the February 2012 agreement), Dr. Reinach, was serving on the Board at the time of the December 2012 offering.

The terms of the purchases described above were the same for all investors in the offerings. The following table shows the common stock purchased or deemed to be purchased during 2012 by our executive officers, directors and holders of more than 5% of our outstanding common stock at the time of the transaction:

Name	February 2012 Offering		May 2012 Offering		December 2012 Offering	
	Shares of Common Stock (#)	Aggregate Purchase Price (\$)	Shares of Common Stock (#)	Aggregate Purchase Price (\$)	Shares of Common Stock (#)	Aggregate Purchase Price (\$)
Ralph Alexander	—	—	21,186	49,998.96	—	—
Biolding Investment SA ⁽¹⁾	2,595,155	14,999,995.90	—	—	3,355,704	9,999,997.9
Foris Ventures, LLC ⁽²⁾	865,051	4,999,994.78	211,864	499,999.04	1,677,852	4,999,998.9
Arthur Levinson	—	—	211,864	499,999.04	—	—
Maxwell (Mauritius) Pte Ltd ⁽³⁾	2,595,155	14,999,995.90	—	—	5,033,557	14,999,999
Naxyris SA ⁽⁴⁾	1,730,103	9,999,995.34	1,271,186	2,999,998.96	1,677,852	4,999,998.9
Patrick Pichette	—	—	20,000	47,200.00	—	—
Sualk Capital Ltd ⁽⁵⁾	86,505	499,998.90	—	—	83,892	249,998.16
Total Gas & Power USA, SAS ⁽⁶⁾	2,288,356	13,226,697.68	—	—	1,677,852	4,999,998.9
TPG Biotechnology Partners II, L.P. ⁽⁷⁾	—	—	—	—	671,140	1,999,997.2
Totals	10,160,325	58,726,678.50	1,736,100	4,097,196.00	14,177,849	42,249,990

HH indirectly owns Biolding. HH was designated to serve on our Board under an agreement with Biolding as (1) described above under “Proposal 1 – Election of Directors”—“Arrangements Concerning Selection of Directors” and is deemed to beneficially own the shares acquired by such entity.

(2) Mr. Doerr indirectly owns Foris Ventures, LLC and is deemed to beneficially own the shares acquired by such entity.

(3) Dr. Chua was designated to serve on the Board under an agreement with Maxwell, as described above under “Proposal 1 – Election of Directors”—“Arrangements Concerning Selection of Directors.”

Ms. Piwnica was designated to serve on our Board under an agreement with Naxyris SA, an investment vehicle (4) owned by Naxos Capital Partners SCA Sicar, as described above under “Proposal 1 – Election of Directors”—“Arrangements Concerning Selection of Directors.”

Dr. Reinach is the sole director of Sualk Capital Ltd. Dr. Reinach was designated to serve on our Board under an (5) agreement with Sualk Capital Ltd as described above under “Proposal 1 – Election of Directors”—“Arrangements Concerning Selection of Directors” and is deemed to beneficially own the shares acquired by such entity.

Mr. Boisseau, one of our directors, is President, Supply-Marketing and a member of the Executive Committee of (6) Total S.A., the ultimate parent company of Total G&P, and is deemed to beneficially own the shares owned by Total G&P.

(7) Dr. Duyk is a partner of TPG Biotech, an affiliate of TPG Biotechnology Partners II, L.P.

Convertible Promissory Note Offering

In addition, in February 2012, we completed the closing of a sale of senior unsecured convertible promissory notes (the “Note Offering”) for net proceeds of US \$25,000,000 pursuant to a securities purchase agreement with certain investment funds affiliated with Fidelity Investments Institutional Services Company, Inc. (“Fidelity”). At the time of the transaction, entities affiliated with Fidelity, including certain entities participating in the Note Offering, collectively beneficially owned more than 5% of our outstanding common stock. The Note Offering consisted of the sale of 3% senior unsecured convertible promissory notes with a March 1, 2017 maturity date and a conversion price equal to \$7.0682 per share of common stock, which represents an 18% premium over the consolidated closing bid price of our common stock on NASDAQ on February 24, 2012, the day we entered into the securities purchase agreement. As of the closing of the Note Offering, the notes were convertible into an aggregate of up to 3,536,968 shares of our common stock. The conversion price of the notes is subject to adjustment for proportional adjustments to outstanding common stock and under anti-dilution provisions in case of certain dividends and distributions. The note purchasers have a right to require repayment of 101% of the principal amount of the notes in the event of a change of control of Amyris and the notes provide for payment of unpaid interest on conversion following such a change of control if the note holders do not require such repayment. The securities purchase agreement and notes include covenants regarding payment of interest, maintenance of our listing status, limitations on debt, maintenance of corporate existence, and filing of SEC reports. The notes include standard events of default resulting in acceleration of indebtedness, including failure to pay, bankruptcy and insolvency, cross-defaults, and breaches of the covenants in the securities purchase agreement and notes, with default interest rates and associated cure periods applicable to the covenant regarding SEC reporting.

In connection with the Note Offering, we entered into a registration rights agreement with the note purchasers. Under this agreement, we were obligated to file a registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC registering the resale of all of the shares of our common stock issuable upon conversion of the Notes. The registration statement has been filed and was declared effective as required. If the registration statement is not available to the note purchasers for 20 or more consecutive days or 40 or more days during any 12-month period, we are required to make certain monthly payments to the note purchasers.

Indemnification Arrangements

Please see “Executive Compensation—Limitation of Liability and Indemnification” above for information on our indemnification arrangements with our directors and executive officers.

Executive Compensation and Employment Arrangements

Please see “Executive Compensation” for information on compensation arrangements with our executive officers, including option grants and agreements with executive officers.

Investors’ Rights Agreement and Registration Rights Agreements

Please see “Transactions with Related Persons—Total Transactions” and “—Private Placement Financings” for information on the Rights Agreement and on registration rights agreements with certain entities affiliated with our directors or with holders of 5% or more of our outstanding common stock.

Related Person Transaction Policy

Our policy adopted by the Board requires that any transaction with a related party that must be reported under applicable SEC rules, other than compensation related matters, must be reviewed and approved or ratified by our Audit Committee. Another independent body of the Board must provide such approval or ratification if the related party is, or is associated with, a member of the Audit Committee or if it is otherwise inappropriate for the Audit Committee to review the transaction. The Audit Committee has not adopted policies or procedures for review of, or standards for approval of, these transactions.

Householding of Proxy Materials

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and Intermediaries to satisfy the delivery requirements for proxy statements and annual reports, including Notices of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or other proxy materials addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as “householding,” potentially means extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies.

A number of brokers with account holders who are Amyris stockholders may be “householding” our proxy materials. A single copy of the Notice or other proxy materials may be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected stockholders. Once you have received notice from your broker that they will be “householding” communications to your address, “householding” will continue until you are notified otherwise or you submit contrary instructions. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in

“householding” and would prefer to receive a separate Notice or other proxy materials, you may: (1) notify your broker; (2) direct your written request to Amyris Investor Relations at 5885 Hollis Street, Suite 100, Emeryville, California 94608 or to investor@amyris.com; or (3) contact Amyris Investor Relations at (510) 740-7481. Stockholders who currently receive multiple copies of the Notice or other proxy materials at their addresses and would like to request “householding” of their communications should contact their brokers. In addition, we will promptly deliver, upon written or oral request to the address or telephone number above, a separate copy of the Notice to a stockholder at a shared address to which a single copy of the documents was delivered.

Available Information

We will provide to any stockholder entitled to vote at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, at no charge, a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2012 filed with the SEC on March 28 , 2013, including the financial statements and the financial statement schedules contained in the Form 10-K. We make our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as our other SEC filings, available free of charge through the investor relations section of our website located at <http://investors.amyris.com/index.cfm> as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed with or furnished to the SEC. Information contained on or accessible through our website or contained on other websites is not deemed to be part of Proxy Statement. **In addition, you may request a copy of the Annual Report on Form 10-K in writing by sending an e-mail request to Amyris Investor Relations, attention Joel Velasco, at investor@amyris.com, calling (510) 740-7481, or writing to Amyris Investor Relations at 5885 Hollis Street, Suite 100, Emeryville, California 94608.**

Incorporation of Information by Reference

The SEC allows us to “incorporate by reference” certain information we file with the SEC, which means that we can disclose important information by referring you to those documents. The information incorporated by reference is considered to be a part of this Proxy Statement. We incorporate herein the following information contained in or attached to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 28 , 2013: (1) Item 7 entitled “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” and (2) Item 8 entitled “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

Stockholder Proposals to be Presented at Next Annual Meeting

Stockholder proposals may be included in our proxy statement for an annual meeting so long as they are provided to us on a timely basis and satisfy the other conditions set forth in SEC regulations under Rule 14a-8 regarding the inclusion of stockholder proposals in company-sponsored proxy materials. For a stockholder proposal to be considered for inclusion in our proxy statement for the annual meeting to be held in 2014, we must receive the proposal at our principal executive offices, addressed to the Secretary, no later than December 10, 2013. In addition, a stockholder proposal that is not intended for inclusion in our proxy statement under Rule 14a-8 may be brought before the 2014 annual meeting so long as we receive information and notice of the proposal in compliance with the requirements set forth in our Bylaws, addressed to the Secretary at our principal executive offices, not later than February 23, 2014 nor earlier than January 24, 2014.

Other Matters

The Board knows of no other matters that will be presented for consideration at the annual meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Gary Loeb

SVP, General Counsel and Secretary

Emeryville, California

April 16 , 2013

71

Shareowner Services

P.O. Box 64945

St. Paul, MN 55164-0945

COMPANY #

Vote by Internet, Telephone or Mail
24 Hours a Day, 7 Days a Week

Your phone or Internet vote authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner as if you marked, signed and returned your proxy card.

INTERNET – www.eproxy.com/amrs
Use the Internet to vote your proxy until 12:00 p.m. (CT) on May 8, 2013.

PHONE – 1-800-560-1965
Use a touch-tone telephone to vote your proxy until 12:00 p.m. (CT) on May 8, 2013.

MAIL – Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope provided.

If you vote your proxy by Internet or by Telephone, you do NOT need to mail back your Proxy Card.

TO VOTE BY MAIL AS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS ON ALL ITEMS BELOW, SIMPLY SIGN, DATE, AND RETURN THIS PROXY CARD.

The Board of Directors Recommends a Vote FOR all Proposals.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR all of the following nominees.

Edgar Filing: United States Natural Gas Fund, LP - Form 424B3

- | | | | | |
|----|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| 1. | Election of the three Class III directors nominated by the Board of Directors to serve on the Board of Directors for a three-year term. | 01 Philippe Boisseau
02 John Doerr
03 Arthur Levinson | o Vote FOR all nominees (except as marked) | o Vote to WITHHELD from all nominees |
|----|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|

(Instructions: To withhold authority to vote for any indicated nominee, write the number(s) of the nominee(s) in the box provided to the right.)

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the following proposal.

- | | | |
|----|---|----------------------|
| 2. | Approve an amendment to the company's certificate of incorporation to increase the number of total authorized shares from 105,000,000 to 205,000,000 and the number of authorized shares of common stock from 100,000,000 to 200,000,000. | oForoAgainstoAbstain |
|----|---|----------------------|

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the following proposal.

- | | | |
|----|--|----------------------|
| 3. | Ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. | oForoAgainstoAbstain |
|----|--|----------------------|

Address Change? Mark box, sign, and o indicate changes below:

Date

Signature(s) in Box

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appears on Proxy. If

held in joint tenancy, all persons should sign. Trustees, administrators, etc., should include title and authority. Corporations should provide full name of corporation and title of authorized officer signing the Proxy.

AMYRIS, INC.

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Thursday, May 9, 2013

3:00 p.m. Pacific Time

**5885 Hollis Street
Suite 100
Emeryville, California**

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 9, 2013. The Proxy Statement and our 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders are available at <http://www.allianceproxy.com/amyris/2013>

proxy

PROXY FOR 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF AMYRIS, INC.

The signer of this proxy hereby appoints John Melo, Steven Mills and Gary Loeb, and each of them, with full power of substitution, to represent the signer and to vote all of the shares of stock in Amyris, Inc. (the "Company") that the signer is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company, to be held at the Company's headquarters, 5885 Hollis Street, Suite 100, Emeryville, California on Thursday, May 9, 2013 at 3:00 p.m. Pacific Time and at any continuation, adjournment or postponement thereof: (1) as hereinafter specified upon the proposals listed on the reverse side and as more particularly described in the company's Proxy Statement, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, and (2) in their discretion upon such other matters as may properly come before the meeting.

The shares represented hereby shall be voted as specified. If no specification is made, such shares shall be voted FOR the election of all the nominees listed on the reverse side for the Board of Directors, and FOR Proposals 2 and 3.

See reverse for voting instructions.