GRAHAM CORP Form 10-Q February 04, 2014 Table of Contents

#### UNITED STATES

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

#### WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

# FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

#### x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the quarterly period ended <u>December 31, 2013</u>

or

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 1-8462

# **GRAHAM CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

20 Florence Avenue, Batavia, New York (Address of principal executive offices)

585-343-2216

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during

Table of Contents

16-1194720 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

14020 (Zip Code)

the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer"Accelerated filerxNon-accelerated filer"Smaller reporting company"

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes " No x

As of January 31, 2014, there were outstanding 10,073,147 shares of the registrant s common stock, par value \$.10 per share.

Graham Corporation and Subsidiaries

Index to Form 10-Q

As of December 31 and March 31, 2013 and for the Three and Nine-Month Periods

Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

Part I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1.	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	4
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	17
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	28
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	30
Part II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 6.	Exhibits	31
<u>Signatures</u>		32
Index to Exh	<u>iibits</u>	33

2

# GRAHAM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

# FORM 10-Q

# December 31, 2013

# PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.

# **Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements GRAHAM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

#### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND RETAINED EARNINGS

(Unaudited)

	Decem	Three Months Ended December 31,		ths Ended ber 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
	(Amou	nts in thousands	, except per shar	e data)	
Net sales	\$23,385	\$25,633	\$76,131	\$74,068	
Cost of products sold	17,295	18,505	<u>51,737</u>	<u>52,791</u>	
Gross profit	6,090	7,128	24,394	21,277	
Other expenses and income:					
Selling, general and administrative	4,047	3,131	12,786	11,538	
Selling, general and administrative - amortization	55	57	168	170	
Interest income	(10)	(13)	(31)	(38)	
Interest expense	(11)	19	(2)	(271)	
Total other expenses and income	4,081	3,194	12,921	11,399	
Income before provision for income taxes	2,009	3,934	11,473	9,878	
Provision for income taxes	<u> </u>	887	3,645	2,826	
Net income	1,431	3,047	7,828	7,052	
Retained earnings at beginning of period	90,426	77,989	84,632	74,383	
Dividends	(302)	(200)	<u>(905</u> )	(599)	
Retained earnings at end of period	\$91,555	\$80,836	\$91,555	\$80,836	
Per share data:					
Basic:	<b>.</b>				
Net income	<u>\$.14</u>	<u>\$.30</u>	<u>\$.78</u>	<u>\$.70</u>	
Diluted:					
Net income	<u>\$.14</u>	<u>\$.30</u>	<u>\$.78</u>	<u>\$.70</u>	
Weighted average common shares outstanding:					
Basic:	10,070	10,034	10,063	10,023	
Diluted:	10,107	10,057	10,099	10,046	
Dividends declared per share	\$.03	\$.02	\$.09	\$.06	
Dividends declared per share See Notes to Conde	۶.03 nsed Consolidated Financi		\$.09	\$.06	
See Notes to Conde	iiseu Consonualeu Financi	ai statements.			

4

# **GRAHAM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

#### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

# (Unaudited)

		onths Ended nber 31, 2012		nths Ended aber 31, <u>2012</u>
		X	,	
Net income	<u>\$ 1,431</u>	<u>\$ 3,047</u>	<u>\$ 7,828</u>	<u>\$7,052</u>
Other comprehensive income:				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	28	34	73	22
Defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans net of income tax of \$78 and \$78 for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$234 and \$235 for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively	143	144	429	431
Total other comprehensive income	171	178	<u>502</u>	453
Total comprehensive income	<u>\$ 1,602</u>	<u>\$ 3.225</u>	<u>\$ 8,330</u>	<u>\$7,505</u>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

# **GRAHAM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

December 31, March 31, 2013 2013 (Amounts in thousands, except per share data

	(Amounts in thousands, e	except per snare
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$33,407	\$24,194
Investments	30,498	27,498
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowances (\$48 and \$33 at December 31 and		
March 31, 2013, respectively)	12,370	9,440
Unbilled revenue	6,590	13,113
Inventories	11,088	11,171
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,002	783
Income taxes receivable	1,731	2,635
Deferred income tax asset	48	<u> </u>
Total current assets	96,734	88,903
Property, plant and equipment, net	13,798	13,288
Prepaid pension asset	2,944	2,349
Goodwill	6,938	6,938
Permits	10,300	10,300
Other intangible assets, net	4,653	4,788
Other assets	202	167
Total assets	<u>\$135,569</u>	<u>\$126,733</u>
Liabilities and stockholders equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of capital lease obligations	\$ 81	\$ 87
Accounts payable	8,117	9,429
Accrued compensation	5,113	5,018
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	3,030	3,051
Customer deposits	8,312	6,919
Deferred income tax liability	382	373
Total current liabilities	25,035	24,877
Capital lease obligations	67	127
Accrued compensation	158	308
Deferred income tax liability	7,389	7,131
Accrued pension liability	261	227
Accrued postretirement benefits	946	923
Other long-term liabilities		145
Total liabilities	33,856	33,738

Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, \$1.00 par value -		
Authorized, 500 shares		
Common stock, \$.10 par value -		
Authorized, 25,500 shares		
Issued, 10,390 and 10,331 shares at December 31 and March 31, 2013, respectively	1,039	1,033
Capital in excess of par value	19,807	18,596
Retained earnings	91,555	84,632
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(7,531)	(8,033)
Treasury stock, 317 and 327 shares at December 31 and March 31, 2013, respectively	<u>(3,157</u> )	<u>(3,233</u> )
Total stockholders equity	<u>101,713</u>	<u>    92,995</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	<u>\$135,569</u>	<u>\$126,733</u>
Total stockholders equity	<u>101,713</u>	92,995

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

# **GRAHAM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012 n thousands)
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$7,828	\$7,052
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	1,478	1,390
Amortization	168	170
Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost and actuarial losses	663	666
Discount accretion on investments	(6)	(10)
Stock-based compensation expense	489	463
Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment	207	8
Deferred income taxes	88	(259)
(Increase) decrease in operating assets:		
Accounts receivable	(3,019)	210
Unbilled revenue	6,559	5,017
Inventories	275	(1,335)
Prepaid expenses and other current and non-current assets	(326)	74
Prepaid pension asset	(595)	(575)
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities:		
Accounts payable	(1,429)	(257)
Accrued compensation, accrued expenses and other current and non-current		(1.1.2.0)
liabilities	76	(1,138)
Customer deposits	1,305	2,087
Income taxes payable/receivable	904	1,354
Long-term portion of accrued compensation, accrued pension liability and accrued		
postretirement benefits	<u>(94</u> )	31
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>   14,571 </u>	<u>14,948</u>
Investing activities:		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(2,161)	(971)
Proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment	32	4
Purchase of investments	(80,495)	(60,488)
Redemption of investments at maturity	77,500	50,000
Net cash used by investing activities	(5,124)	<u>(11,455</u> )
Financing activities:		
Principal repayments on capital lease obligations	(65)	(61)
Issuance of common stock	421	55

Dividends paid		(905)	(599)
Excess tax benefit (deficiency) on stock awards		220	(2)
Net cash used by financing activities		(329)	<u>(607</u> )
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash		<u> </u>	35
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		9,213	2,921
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		24,194	_25,189
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period		<u>\$33,407</u>	<u>\$28,110</u>
	a		

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

# **GRAHAM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

# NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

Graham Corporation s (the Company s ) Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include (i) its wholly-owned foreign subsidiary located in China and (ii) its wholly-owned domestic subsidiary located in Lapeer, Michigan. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP) for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X, each as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The Company s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements do not include all information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. The unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2013 presented herein was derived from the Company s audited Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2013. For additional information, please refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2013 (fiscal 2013). In the opinion of management, all adjustments, including normal recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair presentation, have been included in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company s results of operations and cash flows for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2014 (fiscal 2014).

# NOTE 2 REVENUE RECOGNITION:

The Company recognizes revenue on all contracts with a planned manufacturing process in excess of four weeks (which approximates 575 direct labor hours) using the percentage-of-completion method. The majority of the Company s revenue is recognized under this methodology. The percentage-of-completion method is determined by comparing actual labor incurred to a specific date to management s estimate of the total labor to be incurred on each contract. Contracts in progress are reviewed monthly, and sales and earnings are adjusted in current accounting periods based on revisions in the contract value and estimated costs at completion. Losses on contracts are recognized

immediately when evident. There is no reserve for credit losses related to unbilled revenue recorded for contracts accounted for on the percentage-of-completion method. Any reserve for credit losses related to unbilled revenue is recorded as a reduction to revenue.

Revenue on contracts not accounted for using the percentage-of-completion method is recognized utilizing the completed contract method. The majority of the Company s contracts (as opposed to revenue) have a planned manufacturing process of less than four weeks and the results reported under this method do not vary materially from the percentage-of-completion method. The Company recognizes revenue and all related costs on these contracts upon substantial completion or shipment to the customer. Substantial completion is consistently defined as at least 95% complete with regard to direct labor hours. Customer acceptance is generally required throughout the construction process and the Company has no further material obligations under its contracts after the revenue is recognized.

## NOTE 3 INVESTMENTS:

Investments consist solely of fixed-income debt securities issued by the U.S. Treasury with original maturities of greater than three months and less than one year. All investments are classified as held-to-maturity, as the Company has the intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity. The investments are stated at amortized cost which approximates fair value. All investments held by the Company at December 31, 2013 are scheduled to mature on or before March 27, 2014.

#### NOTE 4 INVENTORIES:

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, using the average cost method. For contracts accounted for on the completed contract method, progress payments received are netted against inventory to the extent the payment is less than the inventory balance relating to the applicable contract. Progress payments that are in excess of the corresponding inventory balance are presented in the line item Customer deposits in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Unbilled revenue in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets represents revenue recognized that has not been billed to customers on contracts accounted for on the percentage-of-completion method. For contracts accounted for on the percentage of completion method, progress payments are netted against unbilled revenue to the extent the payment is less than the unbilled revenue for the applicable contract. Progress payments exceeding unbilled revenue are netted against inventory to the extent the payment is less than or equal to the inventory balance relating to the applicable contract, and the excess is presented as customer deposits in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Major classifications of inventories are as follows:

	December 31,	March 31,
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2013</u>
Raw materials and supplies	\$ 2,677	\$ 2,865
Work in process	12,163	13,470
Finished products	560	572
	15,400	16,907
Less - progress payments	4,312	5,736
Total	\$11,088	\$11,171

# NOTE 5 INTANGIBLE ASSETS:

Intangible assets are comprised of the following:

	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
At December 31, 2013	1 milliounit	1 Infortulation	1 milliouni
Intangibles subject to amortization:			
Backlog	\$ 170	\$170	\$ -
Customer relationships	2,700	_547	2,153
·	\$ 2,870	<u>\$717</u>	\$2,153
Intangibles not subject to amortization:			
Permits	\$10,300	\$ -	\$10,300
Tradename	2,500		2,500
	\$12,800	<u>\$</u> -	\$12,800
At March 31, 2013			
Intangibles subject to amortization:			
Backlog	\$ 170	\$170	\$ -
Customer relationships	2,700	412	2,288
	<u>\$ 2,870</u>	<u>\$582</u>	<u>\$ 2,288</u>
Intangibles not subject to amortization:			
Permits	\$10,300	\$ -	\$10,300
Tradename	2,500		2,500
	<u>\$12,800</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$12,800</u>

Intangible assets are amortized on a straight line basis over their estimated useful lives. Intangible amortization expense for each of the three-month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$45. Intangible amortization expense for each of the nine months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$135. As of December 31, 2013, amortization expense is estimated to be \$45 for the remainder of fiscal 2014 and \$180 in each of the fiscal years ending March 31, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018.

#### NOTE 6 STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION:

The Amended and Restated 2000 Graham Corporation Incentive Plan to Increase Shareholder Value provides for the issuance of up to 1,375 shares of common stock in connection with grants of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock awards and performance awards to officers, key employees and outside directors; provided,

however, that no more than 250 shares of common stock may be used for awards other than stock options. Stock options may be granted at prices not less than the fair market value at the date of grant and expire no later than ten years after the date of grant.

There were no stock option awards granted in the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. Stock option awards granted in the nine months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 0 and 49, respectively. The stock option awards granted in fiscal 2013 vest  $33\frac{1}{3}\%$  per year over a three-year term and have a term of ten years from their grant date.

There were no restricted stock awards granted in the three-month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. Restricted stock awards granted in the nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were 32 and 26, respectively. Performance-vested restricted stock awards of 14 and 18 granted to officers in fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013, respectively, vest 100% on the third anniversary of the grant date, subject to the satisfaction of the performance metrics for the applicable three-year period. Time-vested restricted stock awards of 12 granted to officers and key employees in fiscal 2014 vest  $33^{1/3}$ % per year over a three-year period. Time-vested restricted stock awards of 6 and 8 granted to directors in fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013, respectively, vest 100% on the first anniversary of the grant date.

During the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized stock-based compensation costs related to stock option and restricted stock awards of \$134 and \$131, respectively. The income tax benefit recognized related to stock-based compensation was \$47 and \$46 for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. During the nine months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized stock-based compensation costs related to stock option and restricted stock awards of \$447 and \$423, respectively. The income tax benefit recognized related to stock-based compensation was \$157 and \$149 for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company has an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), which allows eligible employees to purchase shares of the Company s common stock on the last day of a six-month offering period at a purchase price equal to the lesser of 85% of the fair market value of the common stock on either the first day or the last day of the offering period. A total of 200 shares of common stock were authorized for purchase under the ESPP. During the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized stock-based compensation costs of \$14 and \$13, respectively, related to the ESPP and \$5 and \$5, respectively, of related tax benefits. During the nine months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized stock-based compensation costs of \$42 and \$40, respectively, related to the ESPP and \$14 and \$13, respectively, of related tax benefits.

# NOTE 7 INCOME PER SHARE:

Basic income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Common shares outstanding include share equivalent units, which are contingently issuable shares. Diluted income per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and, when applicable, potential common shares outstanding during the period. A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of basic and diluted income per share is presented below:

	Three Mor Decem	oths Ended ber 31,	Nine Mon Decem	ths Ended ber 31,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Basic income per share				
Numerator:				
Net income	<u>\$1,431</u>	<u>\$3,047</u>	<u>\$7,828</u>	<u>\$7,052</u>
Denominator:				
Weighted common shares outstanding	10,070	9,991	10,045	9,980
Share equivalent units (SEUs)		43	<u>    18</u>	43
Weighted average common shares and SEUs	<u>10,070</u>	<u>10,034</u>	<u>10,063</u>	<u>10,023</u>
Basic income per share	<u>\$.14</u>	<u>\$.30</u>	<u>\$.78</u>	<u>\$.70</u>
Diluted income per share				
Numerator:				
Net income	<u>\$ 1,431</u>	<u>\$3,047</u>	<u>\$7,828</u>	<u>\$7,052</u>
Denominator:				
Weighted average shares and SEUs outstanding	10,070	10,034	10,063	10,023
Stock options outstanding	37	23	36	23
Weighted average common and potential common				
shares outstanding	<u>10,107</u>	<u>10,057</u>	<u>10,099</u>	<u>10,046</u>
Diluted income per share Options to purchase a total of 2 and 71 shares of co	<u>\$.14</u>	<u>\$.30</u>	<u>\$.78</u>	$\frac{\$.70}{\$.70}$

Options to purchase a total of 2 and 71 shares of common stock were outstanding at December 2013 and 2012, respectively, but were not included in the above computation of diluted income per share given their exercise prices as they would be anti-dilutive upon issuance.

#### NOTE 8 PRODUCT WARRANTY LIABILITY:

The reconciliation of the changes in the product warranty liability is as follows:

		Three Months Ended December 31,		ns Ended er 31,
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Balance at beginning of period	\$299	\$174	\$408	\$215
(Income) expense for product warranties	(47)	65	11	73
Product warranty claims paid	<u>(29</u> )	<u>(79</u> )	<u>(196</u> )	<u>(128</u> )
Balance at end of period	<u>\$223</u>	<u>\$160</u>	<u>\$223</u>	<u>\$160</u>

The income of \$47 for product warranties in the three months ended December 31, 2013 resulted from the reversal of provisions made that were no longer required due to lower claims experience.

The product warranty liability is included in the line item Accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

12

# NOTE 9 - CASH FLOW STATEMENT:

Interest paid was \$9 and \$55 for the nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In addition, income taxes paid for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$2,567 and \$949, respectively.

During the nine months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, stock option awards were exercised and restricted stock awards vested. In connection with such stock option exercises and vesting, the related income tax benefit realized exceeded (reduced) the tax benefit that had been recorded pertaining to the compensation cost recognized by \$220 and \$(2), respectively, for such periods. This excess tax benefit (deficiency) has been separately reported under Financing activities in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, there were \$66 and \$68 of capital purchases that were recorded in accounts payable and are not included in the line item Purchase of property, plant and equipment in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. In the nine months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, capital expenditures totaling \$0 and \$11, respectively, were financed through the issuance of capital leases.

#### NOTE 10 EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS:

The components of pension cost are as follows:

		Three Months Ended December 31,		nths Ended Iber 31,
	2013	2013 2012		2012
Service cost	\$144	\$136	\$ 432	\$ 408
Interest cost	340	357	1,019	1,070
Expected return on assets	(682)	(684)	(2,046)	(2,053)
Amortization of:				
Unrecognized prior service cost	1	1	3	3
Actuarial loss	250	252	751	758
Net pension cost	<u>\$ 53</u>	<u>\$ 62</u>	<u>\$ 159</u>	<u>\$ 186</u>

The Company made no contributions to its defined benefit pension plan during the nine months ended December 31, 2013 and does not expect to make any contributions to the plan for the balance of fiscal 2014.

The components of the postretirement benefit income are as follows:

		Three Months Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	
Service cost	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Interest cost	8	9	24	28	
Amortization of prior service benefit	(41)	(41)	(124)	(124)	
Amortization of actuarial loss	<u>_11</u>	10	33	29	
Net postretirement benefit income	<u>\$(22</u> )	<u>\$(22</u> )	<u>\$(67</u> )	<u>\$ (67</u> )	

The Company paid benefits of \$3 related to its postretirement benefit plan during the nine months ended December 31, 2013. The Company expects to pay benefits of approximately \$104 during the balance of fiscal 2014.

#### NOTE 11 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

The Company has been named as a defendant in certain lawsuits alleging personal injury from exposure to asbestos allegedly contained in products made by the Company. The Company is a co-defendant with numerous other defendants in these lawsuits and intends to vigorously defend itself against these claims. The claims are similar to previous asbestos suits that named the Company as defendant, which either were dismissed when it was shown that the Company had not supplied products to the plaintiffs places of work or were settled for immaterial amounts.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company was subject to the claims noted above, as well as other legal proceedings and potential claims that have arisen in the ordinary course of business.

Although the outcome of the lawsuits to which the Company is a party cannot be determined and an estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss cannot be made, management does not believe that the outcomes, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material effect on the Company s results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

NOTE 12 INCOME TAXES:

The Company files federal and state income tax returns in several domestic and international jurisdictions. In most tax jurisdictions, returns are subject to examination by the relevant tax authorities for a number of years after the returns have been filed. The Company is subject to examination in federal and state tax jurisdictions for tax year 2013 and tax

years 2009 through 2013, respectively. The Company is subject to examination in the People s Republic of China for tax years 2011 through 2013. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2014, the U. S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) examination of tax years 2011 and 2012 was completed. Based upon the results of the IRS examination, the Company reduced its liability for unrecognized tax benefits by \$134. The liability for unrecognized tax benefits was \$0 and \$134 at December 31, 2013 and at March 31, 2013, respectively.

It is the Company s policy to recognize any interest related to uncertain tax positions in interest expense and any penalties related to uncertain tax positions in selling, general and administrative expense. During the three and nine months ended December 31, 2013, the Company reversed provisions made in previous periods for interest related to its uncertain tax positions of \$15 and \$11, respectively, based upon the results of the IRS examination of tax years 2011 and 2012. During the three months ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded \$2 for interest related to its uncertain tax positions. During the nine months ended December 31, 2012, the Company reversed provisions that had been made in previous periods for interest related to its uncertain tax positions of \$15 related. Including this reversal, the Company recorded \$(323) for interest related to its uncertain tax positions during the nine months ended December 31, 2012. No penalties related to uncertain tax positions were recorded in the three-month or nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013 or 2012.

In September 2013, the IRS issued final regulations affecting costs to acquire, produce, or improve tangible property and re-proposed regulations affecting dispositions of tangible property. The final regulations are effective for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2014. The Company has evaluated the final regulations and does not expect the adoption of the regulations to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

# NOTE 13 CHANGES IN ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS:

The changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss by component for the nine months ended December 31, 2013 are as follows:

	Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Items	Foreign Currency Items	Total
Balance at April 1, 2013	\$(8,443)	\$410	\$(8,033)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	-	73	73
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	429	<u> </u>	429
Net current-period other comprehensive income	429	73	502
Balance at December 31, 2013	<u>\$(8,014)</u>	<u>\$483</u>	<u>\$(7,531</u> )

The reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive loss by component for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2013 are as follows:

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss Components	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss		Affected Line Item in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Retained Earnings	
	Three Months Ender December 31, 2013	d Nine Months Ended December 31, 2013		
Pension and other postretirement benefit items:				
Amortization of unrecognized prior service benefit	\$ 40	\$ 121(1)		

Amortization of actuarial loss	<u>(261</u> )	<u>(784</u> ) <sup>(1)</sup>	
	(221)	(663)	Income before provision for income taxes
	<u>(78</u> )	(234)	Provision for income taxes
	<u>\$(143</u> )		
		<u>\$(429)</u>	Net income

<sup>(1)</sup> These accumulated other comprehensive loss components are included within the computation of net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit costs. See Note 10.

# NOTE 14 ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING CHANGES:

In the normal course of business, management evaluates all new accounting pronouncements issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the SEC, the Emerging Issues Task Force, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants or any other authoritative accounting body to determine the potential impact they may have on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB amended its guidance related to periodic testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment. The amended guidance is intended to reduce cost and complexity by providing an entity with the option to make a qualitative assessment about the likelihood that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired to determine whether it should perform a quantitative impairment test. The guidance also enhances the consistency of impairment testing among long-lived asset categories by permitting an entity to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to calculate the asset s fair value when testing an indefinite-lived intangible asset for impairment, which is equivalent to the impairment testing requirements for other long-lived assets. In accordance with the guidance, an entity will have an option not to calculate annually the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset if the entity determines that it is not more-likely-than-not that the asset is impaired. The provisions of the amended guidance are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. The Company considered this guidance in performing its annual impairment testing of the indefinite-lived intangible assets. The adoption of the amended guidance is not expected to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued guidance related to the disclosure of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. This guidance adds new disclosure requirements either in a single note or parenthetically on the face of the financial statements, the effect of significant amounts reclassified from each component of accumulated other comprehensive income ( AOCI ) based on its source and the income statement line items affected by the reclassification. This guidance gives companies the flexibility to present the information either in the notes or parenthetically on the face of the financial statements, provided that all of the required information is presented in a single location. This guidance is effective prospectively for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company adopted this guidance during the first quarter of fiscal 2014 and such adoption did not have a material impact on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as it only changed the disclosures surrounding AOCI (See Note 13).

Management does not expect any other recently issued accounting pronouncements, which have not already been adopted, to have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

16

# Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

# Overview

We are a global business that designs, manufactures and sells equipment that is critical to the energy industry, which includes the oil refining, petrochemical, cogeneration, nuclear and alternative power markets. With world-renowned engineering expertise in vacuum and heat transfer technology and extensive nuclear code accredited fabrication and specialty machining experience, we design and manufacture custom-engineered ejectors, pumps, surface condensers and vacuum systems as well as supplies and components for utilization both inside the reactor vessel and outside the containment vessel of nuclear power facilities. Our equipment is also used in nuclear propulsion power systems for the defense industry and can be found in other diverse applications such as metal refining, pulp and paper processing, water heating, refrigeration, desalination, food processing, pharmaceutical, heating, ventilating and air conditioning.

Our corporate headquarters is located in Batavia, New York and we have production facilities in both Batavia, New York and at our wholly-owned subsidiary, Energy Steel & Supply Co. ( Energy Steel ), located in Lapeer, Michigan. We also have a wholly-owned foreign subsidiary, Graham Vacuum and Heat Transfer Technology (Suzhou) Co., Ltd. ( GVHTT ), located in Suzhou, China, which supports sales orders from China and provides engineering support and supervision of subcontracted fabrication.

# Highlights

Highlights for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2013 (the fiscal year ending March 31, 2014 is referred to as fiscal 2014 ) include:

Net sales for the third quarter of fiscal 2014 were \$23,385, a decrease of 9% compared with \$25,633 for the third quarter of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2013, referred to as fiscal 2013. Net sales for the first nine months of fiscal 2014 were \$76,131, up 3% compared with net sales of \$74,068 for the first nine months of fiscal 2013.

Net income and income per diluted share for the third quarter of fiscal 2014 were \$1,431 and \$0.14, respectively, compared with net income of \$3,047 and income per diluted share of \$0.30 for the third quarter of fiscal 2013. Net income and income per diluted share for the first nine months of fiscal 2014 were \$7,828 and \$0.78, respectively, compared with net income of \$7,052 and income per diluted share of \$0.70 for the first nine months of fiscal 2013. The three and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2012, fiscal 2013, included the reversal of a \$975 reserve related to the expected value of the earn out from the Energy Steel acquisition. Excluding this reversal, net income and net income per diluted share for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were \$2,072 and \$0.21, respectively, and net income and income per diluted share for the first nine months of fiscal 2013 were \$6,077 and \$0.61, respectively.

Orders booked in the third quarter of fiscal 2014 were \$23,450, down 5% compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2013, when orders were \$24,579. Orders booked in the first nine months of fiscal 2014 were \$104,658, up 50% compared with the first nine months of fiscal 2013, when orders were

\$69,919.

Backlog was a record high of \$114,594 on December 31, 2013, compared with \$114,392 on September 30, 2013 and \$85,768 on March 31, 2013.

Gross profit margin and operating margin for the third quarter of fiscal 2014 were 26% and 9%, compared with 28% and 15%, respectively, for the third quarter of fiscal 2013. Gross profit margin and operating margin for the first nine months of fiscal 2014 were 32% and 15% compared with 29% and 13%, respectively, for the first nine months of fiscal 2013.

Cash and cash equivalents and investments at December 31, 2013 were \$63,905, compared with \$54,861 on September 30, 2013 and \$51,692 at March 31, 2013.

# **Forward-Looking Statements**

This report and other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission include forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to be materially different from any future results implied by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to, the risks and uncertainties identified by us under the heading Risk Factors in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2013.

Forward-looking statements may also include, but are not limited to, statements about:

the current and future economic environments affecting us and the markets we serve;

expectations regarding investments in new projects by our customers;

sources of revenue and anticipated revenue, including the contribution from the growth of new products, services and markets;

expectations regarding achievement of revenue and profitability expectations;

plans for future products and services and for enhancements to existing products and services;

our operations in foreign countries;

our ability to continue to pursue our acquisition and growth strategy;

our ability to expand nuclear power work, including into new markets;

our ability to successfully execute our existing contracts;

estimates regarding our liquidity and capital requirements;

timing of conversion of backlog to sales;

our ability to attract or retain customers;

the outcome of any existing or future litigation; and

our ability to increase our productivity and capacity.

Forward-looking statements are usually accompanied by words such as anticipate, believe, estimate, may, m intend, interest, appear, expect, suggest, plan, encourage, potential, and similar expressions. Actual rest materially from historical results or those implied by the forward-looking statements contained in this report.

Undue reliance should not be placed on our forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update or announce any revisions to forward-looking statements contained in this report, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

#### **Current Market Conditions**

We continued to see strong bidding activity from our customers during the third quarter of fiscal 2014. We believe that bidding activity is a leading indicator of the direction and health of our markets. We believe the business environment is continuing to improve and that our customers are becoming more inclined to procure the equipment needed for their projects. This supports our belief that the oil refining, petrochemical and related markets we serve are continuing to move toward a stronger part of the business cycle.

We believe the following demand trends that are influencing our customers investments include:

Lower natural gas cost resulting from a significant increase in supply has been driven by technology advancements in drilling. The dramatic change in natural gas costs and expectation of steady supply in the U.S. has led to a revival in the U.S. petrochemical market and recent movements toward potential major investment. There are numerous projects in planning, initial engineering, or construction phases for the new petrochemical producing facilities, including ethylene, methanol, ammonia and urea facilities. In addition, existing petrochemical facilities are evaluating restarting idled process units or debottlenecking existing operations to increase throughput. We currently have a number of these projects in our pipeline and have begun to add new orders into backlog associated with the North American petrochemical/chemical markets. We historically have had strong market share within U.S. petrochemical facilities. Lower natural gas cost has also made the U.S. production of ethane, which is a by-product of natural gas production, favorably competitive with naphtha, which is a by-product of crude oil refining, as a feedstock for ethylene production. Proposed ethylene capacity expansion and re-opening of mothballed facilities in the U.S., as well as downstream products, are being discussed by petrochemical producers for the first time in well over a decade. We believe investment in U.S. petrochemical markets could be significant over the next several years.

The U.S. refining market has exhibited improvement throughout fiscal 2014. We do not expect this market to return to the order levels experienced during the last upcycle, but anticipate that this market will improve compared with its order levels over the past few years. We expect that the U.S. refining markets will continue to be an important aspect of our business.

We are beginning to see renewed signs of planned investments in the U.S. to convert greater percentages of crude oil to transportation fuels, such as revamping distillation columns to extract residual higher-value components from the low-value waste stream. We are also seeing renewed investment to expand the flexibility of facilities to allow them to utilize multiple feed stocks. Moreover, a trend to upgrade existing equipment in order to extend on-stream operation duration between planned shutdowns has emerged which has resulted in an increase in demand for our equipment.

Investments, including foreign investments, in North American oil sands extraction projects have occurred over the past few years. These investments suggest that downstream spending involving our equipment might increase in the next several years.

The expansion of the economies of oil-producing Middle Eastern countries, their desire to extract greater value from their oil and gas resources, and the continued global growth in demand for oil and refined products has renewed investment activity in that region. Moreover, the planned investment schedule of refinery and petrochemical projects in the major oil-producing Middle Eastern countries is encouraging.

Emerging economies, especially in Asia, continue to have relatively strong economic growth. We believe that this expansion is driving growing energy requirements and the need for more energy and energy related products. In many emerging countries, such as India for example, renewed demand for energy products such as transportation fuel and consumer products derived from petrochemicals is driving increased investment in petrochemical and refining capacity.

Although China has many of the characteristics of other Asian countries, there has been a near-term slowdown in spending in the refining and petrochemical markets as the government is moderating its near-term investment to attempt to control inflation. A number of projects, which were expected to move forward in the first nine months of fiscal 2014, have been pushed out six to twelve months. This appears to be a delay in projects moving forward rather than project cancellations. Moreover, the Chinese government s most recent five year plan calls for ongoing investment in the energy markets.

South America, specifically Brazil, Venezuela and Colombia, is seeing increased refining and petrochemical investments that are driven by expanding economies, and increased local demand for transportation fuels and other products that are made from oil as the feedstock. South American countries are also working to extract more value from their natural resources by supplying energy products into the global markets. However, the South American market can be unpredictable and has historically been slower to invest than other emerging markets.

The continued progress at the new U.S. nuclear reactor projects planned for the Summer (South Carolina) and Vogtle (Georgia) facilities suggest some growth in the domestic nuclear market. However, investment in new nuclear power capacity in the U.S. and internationally remains uncertain due to political and social pressures, which were augmented by the tragic earthquake and tsunami that occurred in Japan in March 2011. In addition, the low cost of domestic natural gas may shift investment away from the nuclear market in North America.

The need for additional safety and back-up redundancies at existing domestic nuclear plants driven by new regulatory requirements could increase demand for our products.

The desire to extend the life of the existing nuclear plants including new operating licenses and expanded output (re-rating) of the facilities will require investment and could increase demand for our products.

We believe that the consequences of the trends described above will drive revenue growth. As we look at margin potential in the current business cycle compared with the previous cycle, we expect growth in emerging markets to result in continued pressure on our pricing and gross margins, as these markets historically provided lower margins than North American refining markets. While we believe the investments in new petrochemical capacity expected to be built in North America will provide revenue growth, we do not expect the gross margins from that market will be as strong as other domestic markets. The mix of international customers who are investing in domestic petrochemical projects will likely temper the margin expectations of this market. Therefore, we believe peak margins in the current business cycle will be lower than the previous cycle, which was primarily driven by the domestic refining market.

Because of continued global economic and financial uncertainty and the risk associated with growth in emerging economies, we also expect that we will continue to experience volatility in our order pattern. We continue to expect our new order levels to remain volatile, resulting in both relatively strong and weak quarters. As the chart below indicates, quarterly order levels can vary significantly.

We believe that looking at our order level in any one quarter does not provide an accurate indication of our future expectations or performance. Rather, we believe that looking at our orders and backlog over a trailing twelve-month period provides a better measure of our business.

Our quarterly order levels and trailing twelve-month order levels for the first three quarters of fiscal 2014, and the four quarters of fiscal 2013 and fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 (which we refer to as fiscal 2012), respectively, are set forth in the chart below.

# Expected International Growth in Refining and Chemical Processing and Domestic Growth in Chemical Processing, Nuclear Power and U.S. Navy Projects

We expect growth in refining capacity to be driven by emerging markets. We also expect incremental investments in the domestic market for existing refining facilities. Investment in the chemical and petrochemical processing markets is expected to be split between North America and the international market. Accordingly, we believe our revenue opportunities in chemicals and petrochemicals will be balanced between the domestic and international markets. This differs with the previous cycle, which was almost exclusively driven by the international markets. We have also expanded our addressable markets with the expansion of our capabilities in the power market and our focus on the U.S. Navy nuclear propulsion projects.

Over the long-term, we expect our customers markets to regain their strength and, while remaining cyclical, continue to grow. We believe the long-term growth trend remains strong and that the drivers of future growth include:

Global consumption of crude oil is estimated to expand significantly over the next two decades, primarily in emerging markets. This is expected to offset estimated flat to slightly declining demand in North America and Europe. In addition, an increased trend toward export supply of finished product from the Middle East to Europe is expected.

Global oil refining capacity is projected to increase, and is expected to be addressed through new facilities, refinery upgrades, revamps and expansions.

Increased demand is expected for power, refinery and petrochemical products, stimulated by an expanding middle class in Asia and the Middle East.

More domestic refineries are expected to convert their facilities to use heavier, more readily available and lower cost crude oil as a feedstock.

Shale gas development and the resulting availability and abundance of affordable natural gas as feedstock to U.S.-based chemical/petrochemical facilities is expected to lead to renewed investment in chemical/petrochemical facilities in the U.S.

Lower costs and increased supply are expected to drive increased domestic use of natural gas in the U.S., as well as the ability to export liquefied natural gas to serve other regions.

Construction of new petrochemical plants in the Middle East is expected to meet local demand.

Continued investment in new and replacement nuclear aircraft carriers and submarines by the U.S. Navy is expected to ensure its fleet of vessels is up to date and utilizes current technology.

Increased investments in new power generation projects are expected in Asia and South America to meet projected consumer demand increases.

Long-term growth potential is expected in alternative energy markets, such as geothermal, coal-to-liquids, gas-to-liquids and other emerging technologies, such as biodiesel, and waste-to-energy. Increased development of geothermal electrical power plants in certain regions is also expected to address projected growth in demand for electrical power.

Increased regulation worldwide, impacting the refining, petrochemical and nuclear power industries is expected to continue to drive capital investments.

Increased focus on safety and redundancy is anticipated in existing nuclear power facilities.

Long-term increased development of nuclear facilities may occur internationally. We believe that all of the above factors offer us long-term growth opportunities to meet our customers expected capital project needs. In addition, we believe we can continue to grow our less cyclical smaller product lines and aftermarket businesses.

Our domestic sales, as a percentage of aggregate product sales, were 62% in the third quarter of fiscal 2014 and 57% in the first nine months of fiscal 2014. This is compared with 44% and 53%, respectively, in the comparable periods of fiscal 2013. In fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2013, domestic sales were 54% and 53%, respectively. We expect the percentage of domestic business should continue to be higher when compared with the prior two fiscal years due to the strong domestic orders for the remainder of fiscal 2014.

#### **Results of Operations**

For an understanding of the significant factors that influenced our performance, the following discussion should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1, of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The following table summarizes our results of operations for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Nine N	Nine Months Ended	
			December 31,		
	2013	<u>2012</u>	2013	2012	
Net sales	\$23,385	\$25,633	\$76,131	\$74,068	
Net income	\$1,431	\$3,047	\$7,828	\$7,052	
Diluted income per share	\$0.14	\$0.30	\$0.78	\$0.70	
Total assets	\$135,569	\$123,338	\$135,569	\$123,338	

# The Third Quarter and First Nine Months of Fiscal 2014 Compared With the Third Quarter and First Nine Months of Fiscal 2013

Sales for the third quarter of fiscal 2014 were \$23,385, a 9% decrease as compared with sales of \$25,633 for the third quarter of fiscal 2013. The lower sales were a result of the relatively low order levels seen in fiscal 2013. Domestic sales increased \$3,140, or 28%, in the third quarter of fiscal 2014 compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2013. International sales year-over-year decreased \$5,388, or 38%, driven by lower sales in the Middle East and Asia, partly offset by higher sales in Canada. Sales in the three months ended December 31, 2013 were 31% to the refining industry, 23% to the chemical and petrochemical industries, 23% to the power industry, including the nuclear market and 23% to other commercial and industrial applications. Sales in the three months ended December 31, 2012 were 43% to the refining industry, 25% to the chemical and petrochemical industries, 16% to the power industry, including the nuclear market, and 16% to other commercial and industrial applications. Fluctuations in sales among markets, products and geographic locations can vary measurably from quarter-to-quarter based on timing and magnitude of projects. See Current Market Conditions, above. For additional information on future sales and our markets, see Orders and Backlog below.

Sales for the first nine months of fiscal 2014 were \$76,131, an increase of 3% compared with sales of \$74,068 for the first nine months of fiscal 2013. The increase in current year sales was due to higher domestic and Canadian sales, partly offset by lower sales in the Middle East. Domestic sales increased \$4,371, or 11%, in the nine months ended December 31, 2013 compared with the nine months ended December 31, 2012. International sales accounted for 43% and 47% of total sales for the first nine months of fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013, respectively. International sales in the year-over-year nine month periods decreased \$2,308, or 7%, driven by lower sales in the Middle East, partly offset by higher sales in Canada. Sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2014 were 40% to the refining industry, 18% to the chemical and petrochemical industries, 25% to the power industry, including the nuclear market, and 17% to other commercial and industrial applications. Sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2013 were 30% to the refining industry, 28% to the chemical and petrochemical industries, 21% to the power industry, including the nuclear market, and 21% to other commercial and industrial applications.

Our gross profit margin for the third quarter of fiscal 2014 was 26% compared with 28% for the third quarter of fiscal 2013. Gross profit for the third quarter of fiscal 2014 decreased to \$6,090 from \$7,128, or 15%, compared with the same period in fiscal 2013. Gross profit margin and dollars decreased primarily due to product mix in the current year s third quarter.

Our gross profit margin for the first nine months of fiscal 2014 was 32% compared with 29% for the first nine months of fiscal 2013. Gross profit dollars for the first nine months of fiscal 2014 increased 15% to \$24,394, compared with the same period in fiscal 2013, which had gross profit of \$21,277. The increase in gross profit was due to a greater level of sales driven by both short cycle sales, which had stronger pricing levels, and the conversion of projects, primarily during the first two quarters of fiscal 2014, which had more favorable pricing compared with the projects converted in the same period of fiscal 2013.

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expense in the three and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013 increased \$914, or 29%, and \$1,246, or 11%, respectively, compared with the same periods of the prior year. The increase in SG&A expenses for the three and nine-month periods was primarily due to the reversal, in the third quarter of fiscal 2013, of the \$975 reserve related to the expected value of the earn-out from the Energy Steel acquisition.

SG&A expense as a percent of sales for the three and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013 was 18% and 17%, respectively. This compared with 12% and 16%, respectively for the same periods of the prior year. As noted above, the \$975 reserve reversal occurred in the third quarter of fiscal 2013. Excluding the reserve reversal, SG&A

# Edgar Filing: GRAHAM CORP - Form 10-Q

expense as a percent of sales for the three and nine-month periods ending December 31, 2012 would have been 16% and 17%.

Interest income was \$10 and \$31 for the three and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013, compared with \$13 and \$38 for the same periods ended December 31, 2012. The low level of interest income relative to the amount of cash invested reflects the persistent low level of interest rates on short term U.S. government securities.

Interest expense was (\$11) and (\$2) for the three and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2013, compared with \$19 and \$(271) for the same periods ended December 31, 2012. It is our policy to recognize any interest related to uncertain tax positions in interest expense. In the third quarter of fiscal 2014 and the second quarter of the prior year, fiscal 2013, we reversed provisions that had been made in earlier periods for interest related to uncertain tax positions, due to lower than expected assessments by the IRS. See Note 12 to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Our effective tax rate in fiscal 2014 is projected to be between 33% and 34%. The tax rate used to reflect income tax expense in the current quarter was 29%, and the tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2014 was 32%. Included in the current quarter was a reversal of \$134 liability for unrecognized tax benefits. Excluding this reversal, the effective tax rate in the three and nine-months ended December 31, 2013 were 35% and 33%, respectively. The tax rate for the comparable three and six month periods of fiscal 2013 was 23% and 29%, respectively. The lower tax rate realized in the third quarter of fiscal 2013 was related to the reversal of the Energy Steel earn-out reserve discussed above, which was not tax affected. Excluding this reversal, the effective tax rate in each of the three and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2012 would have been 32%.

Net income for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2013 was \$1,431 and \$7,828, respectively, compared with \$3,047 and \$7,052, respectively, for the same periods in the prior fiscal year. Income per diluted share in fiscal 2014 was \$0.14 and \$0.78 for the three and nine-month periods, compared with \$0.30 and \$0.70 for the same three and nine-month periods of fiscal 2013. Excluding the earn-out reversal which occurred in the third quarter of fiscal 2013, net income and income per diluted share for the third quarter of fiscal 2013 were \$2,072 and \$0.21, respectively, and net income and income per diluted share for the first nine months of fiscal 2013 were \$6,077 and \$0.61, respectively.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q:

	December 31, <u>2013</u>	March 31, 2013
Cash and cash equivalents and investments	\$63,905	\$ 51,692
Working capital	71,699	64,026
Working capital ratio <sup>(1)</sup>	3.9	3.6

(1) Working capital ratio equals current assets divided by current liabilities.

Net cash generated by operating activities for the first nine months of fiscal 2014 was \$14,571, compared with \$14,948 generated by operating activities for the first nine months of fiscal 2013. The change in cash generated was due to increased working capital needs, specifically accounts receivable, accounts payable and lower customer deposits, partly offset by improved net income, lower unbilled revenue and inventory.

## Edgar Filing: GRAHAM CORP - Form 10-Q

Dividend payments and capital expenditures in the first nine months of fiscal 2014 were \$905 and \$2,161, respectively, compared with \$599 and \$971, respectively, for the first nine months of fiscal 2013.

Capital expenditures for fiscal 2014 are expected to be between \$6,000 and \$7,000 as we expand our Batavia, New York facility to address expected growth in demand. In excess of 90% of our fiscal 2014 capital expenditures are expected to be for facilities, machinery and equipment, with the remaining amounts to be used for information technology and other items.

Cash and cash equivalents and investments were \$63,905 on December 31, 2013 compared with \$51,692 on March 31, 2013, up \$12,213, or 24%.

We invest net cash generated from operations in excess of cash held for near-term needs in either a money market account or in U.S. government instruments, generally with maturity periods of up to 180 days. Our money market account is used to securitize our outstanding letters of credit and allows us to pay a lower cost on those letters of credit.

Our revolving credit facility with Bank of America, N.A. provides us with a line of credit of \$25,000, including letters of credit and bank guarantees. In addition, the agreement allows us to increase the line of credit, at our discretion, up to another \$25,000, for total availability of \$50,000. Borrowings under our credit facility are secured by all of our assets. Letters of credit outstanding under our credit facility on December 31, 2013 and March 31, 2013 were \$12,543 and \$12,354, respectively. There were no other amounts outstanding on our credit facility at December 31, 2013 and March 31, 2013. Our borrowing rate as of December 31 and March 31, 2013 was Bank of America s prime rate, or 3.25%. Availability under the line of credit was \$12,457 at December 31, 2013. We believe that cash generated from operations, combined with our investments and available financing capacity under our credit facility, are adequate to meet our expected cash needs.

## **Orders and Backlog**

Orders for the three month period ended December 31, 2013 were \$23,450, compared with \$24,579 for the same period last year, a decrease of 5%. For the three months ended December 31, 2013, orders increased in the chemical and petrochemical and power markets, which were up \$8,451 and \$1,847, respectively. These increases were offset by lower refining and other orders, which were down \$10,421 and \$1,006, respectively. Orders represent communications received from customers requesting us to supply products and services.

During the first nine months of fiscal 2014, orders were \$104,658, compared with \$69,919 for the same period of fiscal 2013, an increase of 50%. For the first nine months of fiscal 2014, orders increased in chemical and petrochemical, up \$32,005, driven by the strong domestic petrochemical market and other, which was up, \$10,896. These were partially offset by lower refining and power orders, which were down \$5,961 and \$2,201, respectively.

Domestic orders were 85%, or \$19,840, while international orders were 15%, or \$3,610, of total orders in the current quarter compared with the same period in the prior fiscal year, when domestic orders were 50%, or \$12,249, and international orders were 50% of total orders, or \$12,330.

For the first nine month of fiscal 2014, domestic orders were 75% of total orders or \$78,107, while international orders were 25%, or \$26,551. During the first nine months of fiscal 2013, domestic orders were 53% of total orders, or \$37,285, and international orders were 47%, or \$32,634. The strength in the domestic market has been driven by the petrochemical markets as well as additional U.S. Navy orders.

Backlog was at a record level of \$114,594 at December 31, 2013, compared with \$85,768 at March 31, 2013, an increase of 34%. Backlog is defined as the total dollar value of orders received for which revenue has not yet been recognized. All orders in backlog represent orders from our traditional markets in established product lines. Approximately 70% to 75% of orders currently in backlog are expected to be converted to sales within the next twelve months, approximately 15% to 20% will convert in the subsequent twelve months and approximately 10% will convert beyond 24 months. The current backlog contains U.S. Navy projects as well as certain petrochemical and new U.S. nuclear plants orders with longer than usual lead times. These projects have multi-year conversion cycles and usually have significant stops and starts during the manufacturing process.

At December 31, 2013, 25% of our backlog was attributable to equipment for refinery project work, 32% for chemical and petrochemical projects, 15% for power projects, and 28% for other industrial or commercial applications (including the U.S. Navy). At December 31, 2012, 37% of our backlog was attributable to equipment for refinery project work, 10% for chemical and petrochemical projects, 27% for power projects, and 26% for other industrial or commercial applications (including the U.S. Navy).

## Outlook

We believe that the refinery and petrochemical markets we serve continue to be in the early stages of a strengthening growth cycle. We also believe that we are beginning to experience the re-emergence of the North American petrochemical market. Our pipeline has continued to expand throughout the last twelve months and appears to have stabilized at a historically high level, approximately double the size that it was at the start of the last cycle. We believe that the order levels in the first nine months of fiscal 2014 of \$104,658 reflect the improved pipeline. The first three quarters of fiscal 2014 exhibited very strong order activity, including a wave of domestic petrochemical orders. This level of orders for three quarters is nearly as high as our record full-year order level of \$108,317.

We expect revenue to be between \$100,000 and \$110,000 in fiscal 2014. Our expected revenue range for fiscal 2014 assumes conversion of backlog and continued aftermarket investment by our customers along with comparable short cycle sales in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2014 as we experienced in the first nine months of fiscal 2014. Although we achieved a strong order level in the first nine months of fiscal 2014, some of the orders won in the period will have a longer than normal conversion period. The continued conversion to revenue of the U.S. Navy and two large nuclear projects currently in production are expected to contribute significantly to sales in fiscal 2014.

We expect gross profit margin in fiscal 2014 to be in the 31% to 33% range. The full year gross profit margin range is in line with the margins achieved in the first three quarters of fiscal 2014, which was 32%.

SG&A spending during fiscal 2014 is expected to be between 16% and 17% of sales. We continue to invest in personnel as we prepare for increased opportunities beyond fiscal 2014. Our effective tax rate during fiscal 2014 is expected to be between 33% and 34%.

Operating cash flow in the first nine months of fiscal 2014 has been very strong. We expect the fourth quarter cash flow to be negative and to reduce our cash and investments level, due to the capital expansion we announced in late 2013.

## **Contingencies and Commitments**

We have been named as a defendant in certain lawsuits alleging personal injury from exposure to asbestos allegedly contained in our products. We are a co-defendant with numerous other defendants in these lawsuits and intend to vigorously defend ourselves against these claims. The claims are similar to previous asbestos lawsuits that named us as a defendant. Such previous lawsuits either were dismissed when it was shown that we had not supplied products to the plaintiffs places of work or were settled by us for immaterial amounts.

As of December 31, 2013, we were subject to the claims noted above, as well as other legal proceedings and potential claims that have arisen in the ordinary course of business.

Although the outcome of the lawsuits to which we are a party cannot be determined and an estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss cannot be made, we do not believe that the outcomes, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material effect on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

## **Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates, and Judgments**

Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements are based on the selection of accounting policies and the application of significant accounting estimates, some of which require management to make significant assumptions. We believe that the most critical accounting estimates used in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements relate to labor hour estimates used to recognize revenue under the percentage-of-completion method, accounting for business combinations, goodwill and intangible asset impairment, accounting for income taxes, accounting for contingencies, under which we accrue a loss when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated, and accounting for pensions and other postretirement benefits. For further information, refer to Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2013.

#### **Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We did not have any off balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2013 or March 31, 2013, other than operating leases and letters of credit.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The principal market risks (i.e., the risk of loss arising from changes in the market) to which we are exposed are foreign currency exchange rates, price risk and project cancellation risk.

The assumptions applied in preparing the following qualitative and quantitative disclosures regarding foreign currency exchange rate, price risk and project cancellation risk are based upon volatility ranges experienced by us in relevant historical periods, our current knowledge of the marketplace, and our judgment of the probability of future volatility based upon the historical trends and economic conditions of the markets in which we operate.

## **Foreign Currency**

International consolidated sales for the first nine months of fiscal 2014 were 43% of total sales compared with 47% for the same period of fiscal 2013. Operating in markets throughout the world exposes us to movements in currency exchange rates. Currency movements can affect sales in several ways, the foremost being our ability to compete for orders against foreign competitors that base their prices on relatively weaker currencies. Business lost due to competition for orders against competitors using a relatively weaker currency cannot be quantified. In addition, cash can be adversely impacted by the conversion of sales made by us in a foreign currency to U.S. dollars. In the first nine months of each of fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013, all sales by us and our wholly-owned subsidiaries, for which we were paid, were denominated in the local currency (U.S. dollars or Chinese RMB).

We have limited exposure to foreign currency purchases. In each of the first nine months of fiscal 2014 and 2013, our purchases in foreign currencies represented 1% of the cost of products sold. At certain times, we may enter into forward foreign currency exchange agreements to hedge our exposure against potential unfavorable changes in foreign currency values on significant sales contracts negotiated in foreign currencies. Forward foreign currency exchange contracts were not used in the periods being reported on in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and as of December 31, 2013 and March 31, 2013, we held no forward foreign currency contracts.

## **Price Risk**

Operating in a global marketplace requires us to compete with other global manufacturers which, in some instances, benefit from lower production costs and more favorable economic conditions. Although we believe that our customers differentiate our products on the basis of our manufacturing quality and engineering experience and excellence, among other things, such lower production costs and more favorable economic conditions mean that certain of our competitors are able to offer products similar to ours at lower prices. Moreover, the cost of metals and other materials used in our products have experienced significant volatility, which has resulted in pricing pressure on our products.

## **Project Cancellation and Project Continuation Risk**

Open orders are reviewed continuously through communications with customers. If it becomes evident to us that a project is delayed well beyond its original shipment date, management will move the project into placed on hold (i.e., suspended) category. Furthermore, if a project is cancelled by our customer, it is removed from our backlog. We attempt to mitigate the risk of cancellation by structuring contracts with our customers to maximize the likelihood that progress payments made to us for individual projects cover the costs we have incurred. As a result, we do not believe we have a significant cash exposure to projects which may be cancelled. At December 31, 2013, we had no projects on hold.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Conclusion regarding the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures

Our President and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and Vice President-Finance & Administration and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) each have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on such evaluation, and as of such date, our President and Chief Executive Officer and Vice President-Finance & Administration and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in all material respects.

#### Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There has been no change to our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that has materially affected, or that is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## GRAHAM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## FORM 10-Q

## December 31, 2013

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 6. Exhibits See index to exhibits on page 33 of this report.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## GRAHAM CORPORATION

By: <u>/s/ JEFFREY GLAJCH</u> Jeffrey Glajch Vice President-Finance & Administration and Chief Financial Officer

Date: February 4, 2014

32

## **INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

(31)	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications				
+	31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer			
+	31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer			
(32)	Section 1350 Certification				
+	32.1	Section 1350 Certifications			
(101)	Interactive Date File				
+	101.INS	XBRL Instance Document			
+	101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document			
+	101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document			
+	101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document			
+	101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document			
+	101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document			
+	Exhibit filed with this report.				

33