

Edgar Filing: Marlin Midstream Partners, LP - Form 10-K

Marlin Midstream Partners, LP  
Form 10-K  
February 27, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K  
(Mark one)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

or  
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to  
Commission file number: 001-36018

MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

46-2627595  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

2105 CityWest Boulevard  
Suite 100

77042

Houston, Texas 77042  
(832) 200-3702

(Address of principal executive offices)  
(832) 200-3702

(Zip Code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Units representing limited partner interests

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: Marlin Midstream Partners, LP - Form 10-K

Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Indicate by a check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the Partnership's common units representing limited partner interest held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$115,500,000 on December 31, 2013, based on the closing price as reported on NASDAQ. As of June 28, 2013, the last day of the registrant's most recently completed fiscal quarter, the registrant's common units were not publicly traded. The registrant's common units began trading on the NASDAQ on July 30, 2013

There were 17,805,194 common units outstanding as of February 27, 2014.

---

MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP  
 INDEX TO ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K  
 For the Year Ended December 31, 2013

| PART I   | Page |
|--|------|
| 1 and 2. Business and Properties   |      |
| General Overview   | 6    |
| Our Assets and Areas of Operations   | 6    |
| Strategy   | 10   |
| Competitive Strengths  | 10   |
| Sponsor Relationship   | 11   |
| Industry Overview  | 12   |
| Customers  | 14   |
| Competition  | 15   |
| Safety and Maintenance   | 15   |
| Regulation of Operations   | 16   |
| Environmental Matters  | 18   |
| Employees  | 22   |
| 1A. Risk Factors   | 23   |
| 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments  | 46   |
| 3. Legal Proceedings   | 46   |
| 4. Mine Safety Disclosures   | 47   |
| <b>PART II</b>   |      |
| 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Unitholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 48   |
| Market Information   | 48   |
| Other Securities Matters   | 48   |
| Selected Information from the Partnership Agreement  | 48   |
| 6. Selected Financial Data   | 50   |
| 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations                       | 52   |
| Overview   | 52   |
| Highlights   | 52   |
| Initial Public Offering  | 53   |
| How We Evaluate Our Operations   | 54   |
| Factors Affecting the Comparability of Operating Results   | 57   |
| Results of Operations  | 59   |
| Liquidity and Capital Resources  | 62   |
| Cash Flows   | 64   |
| Capital Expenditures   | 66   |
| Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements   | 66   |
| Contractual Obligations  | 66   |
| Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates   | 67   |
| New Accounting Standards   | 69   |
| 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk  | 70   |



|          |   |     |
|----------|---|-----|
| 8.       | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data   | 71  |
| 9.       | Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and<br>Financial Disclosure           | 101 |
| 9A.      | Controls and Procedures   | 101 |
| 9B.      | Other Information   | 101 |
| PART III |   |     |
| 10.      | Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance  | 102 |
| 11.      | Executive Compensation  | 107 |
|          | Compensation Discussion and Analysis  | 108 |
| 12.      | Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and<br>Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 110 |
| 13.      | Certain Relationships and Related Transactions  |     |
| 14.      | Principal Accounting Fees and Services  | 114 |
| PART IV  |   |     |
| 15.      | Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules   | 115 |

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following are definitions of certain terms used in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

**Bbls:** One stock tank barrel, or 42 U.S. gallons liquid volume, used in reference to oil or other liquid hydrocarbons.

**Bbls/d:** Stock tank barrel per day.

**Bbls/hr:** Stock tank barrel per hour.

**Condensate:** A natural gas liquid with a low vapor pressure, mainly composed of propane, butane, pentane and heavier hydrocarbon fractions.

**Crude oil:** A mixture of hydrocarbons that exists in liquid phase in underground reservoirs.

**Dry gas:** A natural gas primarily composed of methane and ethane where heavy hydrocarbons and water either do not exist or have been removed through processing.

**End-user markets:** The ultimate users and consumers of transported energy products.

**Mcf:** One thousand cubic feet.

**MMBtu:** One million British Thermal Units.

**MMcf:** One million cubic feet.

**MMcf/d:** One million cubic feet per day.

**Natural gas liquids, or NGLs:** The combination of ethane, propane, normal butane, isobutane and natural gasolines that when removed from natural gas become liquid under various levels of higher pressure and lower temperature.

**Residue gas:** The dry gas remaining after being processed or treated.

**Tailgate:** Refers to the point at which processed natural gas and natural gas liquids leave a processing facility for end-user markets.

**Throughput:** The volume of natural gas transported or passing through a pipeline, plant, terminal or other facility during a particular period.

## CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We have made in this report, and may from time to time otherwise make in other public filings, press releases and discussions by management, forward-looking statements concerning our operations, economic performance and financial condition. These statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology including “may,” “will,” “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “continue,” or other similar words. These statements discuss future expectations, contain projections of results of operations or financial condition or include other “forward-looking” information. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectations will be realized.

These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations include, but are not limited to, the following risks and uncertainties:

- the volume of natural gas we gather and process and the volume of NGLs we transport;
- the volume of crude oil that we transload;
- the level of production of crude oil and natural gas and the resultant market prices of crude oil, natural gas and NGLs;
- the level of competition from other midstream natural gas companies and crude oil logistics companies in our geographic markets;
- the level of our operating expenses;
- regulatory action affecting the supply of, or demand for, crude oil or natural gas, the transportation rates we can charge on our pipelines, how we contract for services, our existing contracts, our operating costs or our operating flexibility;
- capacity charges and volumetric fees that we pay for NGL fractionation services;
- realized pricing impacts on our revenues and expenses that are directly subject to commodity price exposure;
- the creditworthiness and performance of our customers, suppliers and contract counterparties, and any material nonpayment or non-performance by one or more of these parties;
- damage to pipelines, facilities, plants, related equipment and surrounding properties caused by hurricanes, earthquakes, floods, fires, severe weather, explosions and other natural disasters and acts of terrorism including damage to third party pipelines or facilities upon which we rely for transportation services;
- outages at the processing or fractionation facilities owned by us or third parties caused by mechanical failure and maintenance, construction and other similar activities;
- leaks or accidental releases of products or other materials into the environment, whether as a result of human error or otherwise
- the level and timing of our expansion capital expenditures and our maintenance capital expenditures;
- the cost of acquisitions, if any;
- the level of our general and administrative expenses, including reimbursements to our general partner and its affiliates for services provided to us;
- our debt service requirements and other liabilities;
- fluctuations in our working capital needs;
- our ability to borrow funds and access capital markets;
- restrictions contained in our debt agreements;
- the amount of cash reserves established by our general partner;
- other business risks affecting our cash levels; and
- other factors discussed below and elsewhere in this Form 10-K and in our other public filings and press releases.

The risk factors and other factors noted throughout or incorporated by reference in this report could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.





## PART I

### Items 1 and 2. Business and Properties

#### GENERAL OVERVIEW

Marlin Midstream Partners, LP is a Delaware limited partnership (the "Partnership") formed in April 2013 by NuDevco Partners, LLC and its affiliates ("NuDevco") to develop, own, operate and acquire midstream energy assets. Through our wholly owned subsidiaries, Marlin Logistics, LLC ("Marlin Logistics") and Marlin Midstream, LLC ("Marlin Midstream"), we generate revenues by charging fees for gathering, transporting, treating and processing natural gas, transloading crude oil and selling or delivering NGL's to third parties.

NuDevco owns and controls the Partnership's general partner, Marlin Midstream GP, LLC (our "general partner"). NuDevco is whole owned by W. Keith Maxwell III. In July 2013, we completed our initial public offering (the "IPO") of 6,875,000 common units to the public for \$20.00 per common unit. In exchange for NuDevco contributing Marlin Logistics and Marlin Midstream to us, we issued 1,849,545 common units and all of the Partnership's subordinated units and incentive distribution rights to wholly owned subsidiaries of NuDevco. Common units held by public security holders represent 38.6% of all of our outstanding limited partner interests, and NuDevco holds 59.4% of all of our outstanding limited partner interests. Please see Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Initial Public Offering."

The information in this report contains information occurring prior to the completion of the Partnership's initial public offering on July 31, 2013, and prior to the effective dates of certain of the agreements discussed herein. Consequently, the combined financial statements and related discussion of financial condition and results of operations contained in this report for those periods prior to the initial public offering pertain to the combined businesses and assets of Marlin Midstream and Marlin Logistics.

Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this report to "we," "our," "us," or like terms, when used in a historical context, refer to the combined businesses and assets of Marlin Midstream and Marlin Logistics, and when used in the present tense or prospectively, refer to the Partnership and its subsidiaries.

Available information. We file our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and other documents electronically with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. From time to time, we may also file registration and related statements pertaining to equity or debt offerings.

We provide access free of charge to all of these SEC filings, as soon as reasonably practicable after filing or furnishing with the SEC, on our Internet site located at [www.marlinmidstream.com](http://www.marlinmidstream.com). The public may also read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The public may also obtain such reports from the SEC's Internet website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and the charters of the audit committee and the conflicts committee of our general partner's board of directors are also available on our Internet website. We will also provide, free of charge, a copy of any of our governance documents listed above upon written request to our general partner's corporate secretary at our principal executive office. Our principal executive offices are located at 2105 CityWest Boulevard, Suite 100, Houston, Texas 77042. Our telephone number is 832-217-1848.

#### OUR ASSETS AND AREAS OF OPERATION

##### Overview

We are a fee-based, growth-oriented Delaware limited partnership formed to develop, own, operate and acquire midstream energy assets. We currently provide natural gas gathering, compression, dehydration, treating, processing

and hydrocarbon dew-point control and transportation services, which we refer to as our midstream natural gas business, and crude oil transloading services, which we refer to as our crude oil logistics business. Our assets and operations are organized into the Midstream Natural Gas Segment and the Crude Oil Logistics Segment.

- 6

---

For additional information relating to our disclosure of revenues, profits and total assets by operating segment, please see Note 9 “Segment Information” to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-K.

Midstream Natural Gas Segment. As of December 31, 2013, our midstream natural gas segment primarily consisted of the following assets: (i) two related natural gas processing facilities located in Panola County, Texas, (ii) a natural gas processing facility located in Tyler County, Texas, (iii) two natural gas gathering systems connected to our Panola County processing facilities, and (iv) two NGL transportation pipelines that connect our Panola County and Tyler County processing facilities to third party NGL pipelines. Our primary midstream natural gas assets are located in long-lived oil and natural gas producing regions in East Texas and gather and process NGL-rich natural gas streams associated with production primarily from the Cotton Valley Sands, Haynesville Shale, Austin Chalk and Eaglebine formations.

The following table sets forth information about our primary midstream natural gas assets, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013:

| Midstream Natural Gas | System Type           | County, State                       | Miles | Gas Compression (bhp) | Approximate Design Capacity (MMcf/d except as otherwise noted) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|--|
| Panola 1              | Processing            | Panola, Texas                       |       | 8,220                 | 100  |
| Panola 2 (1)          | Processing            | Panola, Texas                       |       | 10,400                | 120  |
| Total Panola          |                       |                                     | N/A   | 18,620                | 220  |
| Tyler (2)             | Processing            | Tyler, Texas                        | N/A   | 4,640                 | 80   |
| Lake Murvaul          | Natural Gas Gathering | Panola and Harrison Counties, Texas | 54    | 6,300                 | 100  |
| Oak Hill Lateral (3)  | Natural Gas Gathering | Panola and Harrison Counties, Texas | 11    | N/A                   | 100  |
| Turkey Creek (Bbls/d) | NGL Pipelines         | Panola and Tyler Counties, Texas    | 13    | N/A                   | 20,000   |

(1) Our second facility in Panola County, which we refer to as our Panola 2 processing plant, became fully operational in May 2012.

(2) Our Tyler processing facility includes three cryogenic trains. Our 40 MMcf/d cryogenic train is currently in operation. Our two remaining cryogenic trains, each with an approximate design capacity of 20 MMcf/d, can be made operational with additional capital expenditures.

(3) Our Oak Hill Lateral was completed in March 2013.

#### Panola County Processing Facilities

Our Panola County processing facilities are situated northeast of the town of Carthage in East Texas on approximately 35 acres. These facilities process NGL-rich natural gas from the Haynesville Shale and Cotton Valley natural gas production areas, which are areas known for their long-lived reserves. These facilities are natural gas treating and cryogenic processing plants that include residue gas compression, amine treating and glycol dehydration equipment with current design capacity to process up to 220 MMcf/d of natural gas.

The first of our facilities in Panola County, which we refer to as our Panola 1 processing plant, became fully operational in April 2007. Our second facility in Panola County, which we refer to as our Panola 2 processing plant, became fully operational in May 2012. We currently operate our Panola 1 and Panola 2 processing plants as a single integrated facility, with common inlet and outlet points. Our Panola County facilities have the following

characteristics:

Our Panola 1 processing plant consists of a cryogenic gas processing plant with a nameplate capacity of 100 MMcf/d, one 225 GPM amine treating unit and five dedicated compressor units with an aggregate of 8,220 bhp of residue gas compression; and

Our Panola 2 processing plant consists of a cryogenic gas processing plant with a nameplate capacity of 120 MMcf/d, one 320 GPM amine treating unit and six dedicated compressor units with an aggregate of 10,400 bhp of residue gas compression.

- 7

---

Inlet volumes at our Panola County facilities are obtained from numerous sources with various natural gas compositions. Supply interconnects to the facility include nine pipelines extending from our Lake Murvaul gathering system, our Oak Hill Lateral, Atmos Energy Corporation's ("Atmos Energy") S2 pipeline, Kinder Morgan's McCormick pipeline, Texas Gas Gathering's ("TGG") Harrison and Panola County gathering systems and Markwest Energy Partners, L.P.'s ("Markwest") pipeline. Residue gas from our Panola County facilities is delivered to several pipelines, including the Texas Gas, CenterPoint CP, Tennessee Gas and Gulf South Pipeline, LP ("Gulf South") pipelines, and the DCP Carthage trading hub through the Atmos Energy and Enterprise pipelines. NGL production from our Panola County facilities is delivered into one of our Turkey Creek pipelines, which extends to TEPPCO Partners, L.P.'s Panola Pipeline for redelivery to the Enterprise fractionation facilities at the Mont Belvieu, Texas trading hub.

#### Tyler County Gas Processing Facility

Our Tyler County processing facility is situated northeast of the town of Woodville in East Texas on approximately 10 acres. This facility processes NGL-rich natural gas from the Austin Chalk and Eaglebine natural gas production formations, which are areas known for their long-lived reserves. This facility consists of natural gas treating and cryogenic processing plants that include residue gas compression, amine treating, and glycol dehydration equipment with a design capacity to process up to 80 MMcf/d of natural gas.

Our Tyler County processing facility was constructed in two phases: Phase I became fully operational in April 2006, and Phase II became fully operational in August 2007. This facility includes one cryogenic processing train with a nameplate capacity of 40 MMcf/d, two 20 MMcf/d cryogenic processing trains with an aggregate nameplate capacity of 40 MMcf/d, both of which will require additional capital expenditures to become operational, two 40 MMcf/d glycol dehydration units and two 200 GPM amine units. Our Tyler County processing facility currently utilizes three compressor units with an aggregate of 4,640 bhp of residue gas compression.

We do not own or operate any natural gas gathering systems associated with our Tyler County processing facility. The facility receives all of its natural gas from a gathering system owned and operated by Anadarko and delivers residue gas through an interconnect with the Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company pipeline. To the extent we are not using the full capacity of our Tyler County processing facility to process Anadarko's gas, we believe we would be able to access volumes from other producers to the extent we are able to construct new, or tie into existing third-party gathering systems. NGLs produced by our Tyler County processing facility are stored in two 30,000-gallon surge tanks and one 12,000-gallon surge tank and transported through one of our Turkey Creek NGL pipelines to an NGL pipeline owned by West Texas LPG Pipeline Limited Partnership for delivery to the Enterprise fractionator at the Mont Belvieu trading hub.

#### Lake Murvaul Gathering System

Our Lake Murvaul natural gas gathering system is connected to our Panola County processing facilities and gathers natural gas primarily from delivery points on our gathering systems and interconnecting pipelines in the area. Our sponsor and its affiliates purchased the original Lake Murvaul gathering system, consisting solely of a 12-inch trunk line extending 10.3 miles southwest from the site of our Panola County processing facilities, from CenterPoint Energy in 2004. The gathering system currently consists of approximately 31 miles of 12-inch trunk line, approximately 23 miles of 4-inch, 6-inch and 8-inch gathering lines and seven compressor stations with total compression of approximately 6,300 bhp. The gathering system has an aggregate capacity of approximately 100 MMcf/d.

Our Lake Murvaul gathering system has pipeline interconnects with Gulf South, Texas Eastern Transmission, LP, ETC Gas Company Ltd., Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America LLC and DCP Midstream Partners, LP ("DCP Midstream"). Producers generally bear the cost of connecting their wells to our system at delivery points on our gathering systems.

#### Oak Hill Lateral

Our Oak Hill Lateral, which was placed into service in March 2013, is connected to our Panola County processing facilities and gathers natural gas through a connection to a gathering system owned by Anadarko. Our Oak Hill Lateral consists of approximately 11 miles of 12-inch trunk line with a current capacity of approximately 100 MMcf/d.

Turkey Creek NGL Pipelines

Our wholly owned subsidiary, Turkey Creek Pipeline, LLC, owns and operates the following two NGL pipelines, which we refer to as our Turkey Creek pipelines:

a 4-inch diameter y-grade NGL pipeline with a total capacity of 10,000 Bbls/d (expandable to 15,000 Bbls/d with less than one half mile of pipeline looping) extending approximately two miles from our Panola County processing facilities to a pipeline owned by TEPPCO Partners, L.P. for redelivery to the Enterprise fractionator in

Mont Belvieu; and

a 6-inch diameter y-grade NGL pipeline with a total capacity of 10,000 Bbls/d extending approximately 11 miles from our Tyler County processing facility to an NGL pipeline owned by West Texas LPG Pipeline Limited Partnership for redelivery to the Enterprise fractionator in Mont Belvieu.

**Other Midstream Natural Gas Assets**

We own and operate approximately six miles of 6-inch natural gas pipeline, which we refer to as our Bethany Lateral, and a natural gas treating facility, which we refer to as our Stateline Treating facility. Our Stateline Treating facility is adjacent to our Bethany Lateral and is located southeast of the town of Bethany in Caddo Parish, Louisiana. Our Stateline Treating facility has an aggregate capacity of approximately 30 MMcf/d and provides CO<sub>2</sub> removal services on behalf of Associated Energy Services, LP ("AES").

We also own and operate a natural gas delivery facility in Maricopa County, Arizona. This facility provides a 1/4-mile, 2-inch interconnection from El Paso Natural Gas Company to the Ergon Asphalt Products plant boiler inlet. The supply of gas to Ergon is made by an affiliate of Spark Energy, who pays us a fixed fee per MMBtu to provide natural gas delivery services to the Ergon delivery point.

**Crude Oil Logistics Segment**

As of December 31, 2013, our crude oil logistics segment consisted of the following transloading assets: (i) our Wildcat facility located in Carbon County, Utah, where we currently operate one skid transloader and two ladder transloaders, and (ii) our Big Horn facility located in Big Horn County, Wyoming, where we currently operate one skid transloader and one ladder transloader. Our transloaders are used to unload crude oil from tanker trucks and load crude oil into railcars and temporary storage tanks. Our Wildcat and Big Horn facilities provide transloading services for production originating from well-established crude oil producing basins, such as the Uinta and Powder River Basins, which we believe are currently underserved by our competitors. Our skid transloaders each have a transloading capacity of 475 Bbls/hr, and our ladder transloaders each have a transloading capacity of 210 Bbls/hr. Each of our skid transloaders was acquired from the manufacturer within the last year and was custom made to our specifications in order to maximize the capacity and flexibility of our transloading operations. Our top-loading, heated skid transloaders handle multiple grades of crude oil, including heavy and waxy crudes, which we believe enables us to provide our customers with flexible, efficient and reliable transloading services. In general, our ladder transloaders are used when the skid transloader is operating at maximum capacity or in the event the skid transloader experiences downtime for repairs or maintenance. We do not own the site on which our transloading assets are located or where we conduct our transloading operations, and we have site access agreements and rail siding leases at each of our transloading facilities.

**Wildcat Facility**

At our Wildcat facility, crude oil is delivered to our site by third-party tanker trucks. Currently, AES contacts Wild West Equipment & Hauling, LLC, who currently provides the labor in connection with our transloading operations at our Wildcat facility, when they have crude oil that they wish to have transferred from truck to railcar. The crude oil is then transferred from the truck to a railcar or to a third-party tank leased by AES using either a skid transloader or a ladder transloader. At our IPO on July 31, 2013, we entered into fee-based transloading services agreements with AES at our Wildcat facility that provides for a fixed fee per barrel for transloading services, subject to a minimum volume commitment of 7,600 Bbls/d with respect to our skid transloader and 1,260 Bbls/d with respect to each of our ladder transloaders.

**Big Horn Facility**

At our Big Horn facility, crude oil is delivered to our site by third-party tanker trucks. Currently, AES contacts us when they have crude oil that they wish to have transferred from truck to railcar. We then transfer the crude oil from the truck to a railcar or to a third-party tank leased by AES using either a skid transloader or a ladder transloader. At our IPO on July 31, 2013, we entered into fee-based transloading services agreements with AES at our Big Horn facility that provides for a fixed fee per barrel, subject to a minimum volume commitment of 7,600 Bbls/d with respect to our skid transloader and 1,260 Bbls/d with respect to our ladder transloader.

**Our Fee-Based Commercial Agreements**

Prior to the IPO, we generated revenues primarily under keep-whole and other commodity-based gathering and processing agreements with third parties and its affiliates. At the closing of the IPO, we terminated the existing commodity-based gas gathering and processing agreement with AES, assigned to AES all of the remaining keep-whole and other commodity-based gathering and processing agreements with third party customers and entered into a new three-year fee-based gathering and processing agreement with AES with a minimum volume commitment and annual inflation adjustments and new three-year fee-based transloading services agreements with AES at our Wildcat and Big Horn facilities.



Under our new gathering and processing agreement, AES pays us a fixed fee per Mcf (subject to an annual inflation adjustment) for gathering, treating, compression and processing services and a per gallon fixed fee for NGL transportation services. The agreement provides for a minimum volume commitment of 80 MMcf/d that, at the option of AES and subject to the availability of capacity at our Panola facilities, may be increased to 100 MMcf/d. Under our new transloading services agreements, AES pays us a fixed fee per barrel. The agreements provide for a minimum volume commitment of 7,600 Bbls/d at each facility with respect to our skid transloaders and 1,260 Bbls/d with respect to each of our ladder transloaders

The following table summarizes certain information regarding our fee-based commercial agreements with Anadarko and AES:

| Agreement                                    | Current Term Expiration | Renewal        | Minimum Volume Commitment |
|--|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Anadarko Panola County Agreement I           | July 31, 2015           | Year-to-year   | Yes                       |
| Anadarko Panola County Agreement II          | March 31, 2019          | Month-to-month | Yes                       |
| AES Panola County Agreement (1)              | Three years             | Year-to-year   | 80 MMcf/d                 |
| Anadarko Tyler County Agreement              | October 31, 2015        | Year-to-year   | No                        |
| AES Wildcat Skid Transloading Agreement (1)  | Three years             | Year-to-year   | 7,600 Bbls/d              |
| AES Big Horn Skid Transloading Agreement (1) | Three years             | Year-to-year   | 7,600 Bbls/d              |
| AES Master Ladder Transloading Agreement (1) | Three years             | Year-to-year   | 3,780 Bbls/d              |

(1) The AES agreements were entered into between us and AES in conjunction with the closing of the IPO on July 31, 2013. The initial term of these agreements will expire on the third anniversary of the IPO. AES is an affiliate under common control with our sponsor. For additional information relating to our sponsor relationships, please see Items 1 and 2 - "Business and Properties - Sponsor Relationship" included in this Form 10-K.

## STRATEGY

Our principal business objectives are to maintain stable cash flows and to increase our quarterly cash distribution per unit over time. We expect to achieve and maintain these objectives by executing the following strategies:

**Focus on Stable, Fee-Based Business.** We intend to continue to focus on opportunities to provide fee-based midstream energy services to our customers. Substantially all of our gross margin is supported by minimum volume commitments that promote stable cash flows.

**Pursue Strategic and Accretive Acquisitions.** We plan to pursue accretive acquisitions of midstream natural gas and crude oil logistics assets from our sponsor and, to a lesser extent, third parties that will provide attractive returns and are complementary to our existing assets in existing or new geographic areas or business lines.

**Focus on Underserved Producing Regions with Attractive Characteristics.** We focus on growing our businesses in regions that we believe are underserved by our competitors and will require midstream natural gas or crude oil logistics assets to handle existing and anticipated liquids-rich natural gas and crude oil production.

**Maintain Financial Flexibility and Conservative Leverages.** We maintain a conservative capital structure with ample liquidity that will enable us to pursue strategic acquisitions and organic expansion opportunities.

## COMPETITIVE STRENGTHS

We believe that we are well positioned to execute our primary business strategies because of the following competitive strengths:

**Strategically Located Assets.** Our assets are located in areas that we believe provide opportunities to access increasing liquids-rich natural gas and crude oil supplies from existing and new customers.

**Modern and Efficient Assets.** All of our processing plants and transloaders were recently constructed and operate with flexibility and efficiency, allowing us to tailor our commercial agreements to meet specific customer needs, which we believe provides us with a competitive advantage.

Relationship with our Sponsor. We believe that our relationship with our sponsor will provide us with opportunities to acquire additional midstream natural gas and crude oil logistics assets that it owns and develops, as well as opportunities to minimize our direct commodity price exposure with fee-based contracts supporting the assets it may offer to us.

Relatively Stable and Predictable Cash Flows. Our cash flows are largely protected from commodity price fluctuations due to our strategy of fee-based commercial agreements, the substantial majority of which have minimum volume commitments and annual inflation adjustments.

**Strong Customer Relationships.** We have a strong customer base consisting of large and small independent producers, large pipeline companies and marketers, and we believe that we have established a reputation as a responsive and reliable operator by providing high quality services and tailoring solutions to meet the needs of our customers. **Entrepreneurial and Experienced Energy Industry Management Team.** Our executive management team has an average of over 24 years of experience in the energy industry and has demonstrated a successful track record of growing businesses and of identifying and developing midstream energy opportunities.

We believe that we effectively leverage our competitive strengths to successfully implement our strategy; however, our business involves numerous risks and uncertainties that may prevent us from achieving our primary business objective. For additional information relating to the risks associated with our business, please see Item 1A, "Risk Factors" included in this Form 10-K.

#### SPONSOR RELATIONSHIP

Our sponsor, NuDevco Partners, LLC, is the ultimate parent company of Spark Energy. NuDevco is wholly owned by W. Keith Maxwell III, who founded the predecessor of Spark Energy in 1999 and grew the company from a Houston-based regional retail natural gas company to a multi-state certified retail electricity and natural gas supplier operating in 17 states and 45 local markets. In addition to Spark Energy, NuDevco also owns NuDevco Midstream Development and indirectly owns AES. NuDevco Midstream Development's primary strategy is to purchase and develop midstream natural gas and crude oil logistics assets. AES primarily purchases, sells and markets natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. At our IPO date of July 31, 2013, we entered into fee-based commercial agreements with AES with minimum volume commitments and annual inflation adjustments under which we will not be subject to direct commodity price risk. Through its gathering and processing agreement with us, AES will also provide gathering and processing services to its natural gas customers in connection with its producer services business.

NuDevco Midstream Development and AES work together to seek opportunities to provide value-added midstream services to producers of crude oil, natural gas and NGLs. In addition, AES is one of our principal customers. We believe that our relationship with our sponsor and its affiliates provides us with significant potential long-term growth opportunities through the development and acquisition of additional midstream energy assets, as well as opportunities to minimize our direct commodity price exposure through fee-based midstream service agreements with AES. Under the terms of the omnibus agreement, NuDevco Midstream Development grants us a right of first offer on certain midstream energy assets, including transloaders, storage tanks, railcars, tanker trucks and gas processing and treating assets, during the five-year period following the IPO on July 31, 2013. In addition, in connection with our acquisition of any transloaders, storage tanks, railcars or tanker trucks, AES is obligated to negotiate in good faith a service agreement with us covering such assets to the extent such assets are not subject to an existing service agreement at the time of acquisition. However, we are under no obligation to purchase any assets from our sponsor or enter into any service agreements, and our sponsor has no obligation to accept any offer we may make for such assets or to enter into any such service agreements. For additional information regarding these agreements and our relationship with our sponsor, please see Item 13 - "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions" included in this Form 10-K.

As of December 31, 2013, NuDevco Midstream and Affiliates held 10,574,090 of our common units, representing a 59.4% limited partner interest in us, and, through its ownership of our general partner, indirectly held 356,104 general partner units representing a 2.0% general partner interest in us and 100% of our incentive distribution rights ("IDRs"). As of December 31, 2013, the public held 6,875,000 common units, representing a 38.6% limited partner interest in us.

## INDUSTRY OVERVIEW

### General

The midstream energy industry is the link between the exploration and production of natural gas and crude oil and the delivery of their components to industrial, commercial and residential end-user markets. The midstream industry is generally characterized by regional competition based on the proximity of gathering systems and processing plants to natural gas and crude oil producing wells.

The following diagram illustrates the various components of the natural gas and crude oil value chain and the extent of our current operations:

### Midstream Natural Gas Services

The principal components of the midstream natural gas business consist of gathering, compressing, treating, dehydrating, processing, fractionating, transporting and marketing natural gas and natural gas liquids, or NGLs. Companies within this industry provide services at various stages along the natural gas value chain by gathering raw natural gas from producers at the wellhead, separating the hydrocarbons into dry gas (primarily methane) and NGLs, and then routing the separated dry gas and NGL streams to the next intermediate stage of the value chain or to transmission pipelines for delivery to end-user markets.

The range of services utilized by midstream natural gas service providers are generally divided into the following eight categories.

#### Gathering

At the initial stages of the midstream value chain, a network of typically small diameter pipelines known as gathering systems directly connect to wellheads in the production area. These gathering systems transport natural gas from the wellhead to a central location for treating and processing. A large gathering system may involve thousands of miles of gathering lines connected to thousands of wells. Gathering systems are typically designed to be highly flexible to allow gathering of natural gas at different pressures and scalable to allow for additional production and well connections without significant incremental capital expenditures.

#### Compression

Gathering systems are operated at design pressures that enable the maximum amount of production to be gathered from connected wells. Through a mechanical process known as compression, volumes of natural gas at a given pressure are compressed to a sufficiently higher pressure, thereby allowing those volumes to be delivered into a higher pressure downstream pipeline to be brought to market. Since wells produce at progressively lower field pressures as they age, it becomes necessary to add additional compression over time near the wellhead to maintain throughput across the gathering system.

#### Treating and Dehydration

Treating and dehydration involves the removal of impurities such as water, carbon dioxide, nitrogen and hydrogen sulfide that may be present when natural gas is produced at the wellhead. During this process, the natural gas is dehydrated to remove the saturated water and is chemically treated to separate the impurities from the gas stream. These impurities must be removed for the natural gas to meet the specifications for transportation on long-haul intrastate and interstate pipelines. Moreover, end users will not purchase natural gas with a high level of these impurities.

#### Processing

Processing involves the removal of the heavier hydrocarbon components from the gas stream. Even after treating and dehydration, natural gas may not be suitable for long-haul intrastate and interstate pipeline transportation or commercial use because it contains heavier NGLs components, as well as natural gas condensate. The removal and separation of NGLs usually takes place in a processing plant using industrial processes that exploit differences in the weights, boiling points, vapor pressures and other physical characteristics of NGL components. Although heavier NGLs components can interfere with pipeline transportation, they are also valuable commodities once removed from the natural gas stream. Depending on the nature of processing contracts, the processor or the customer may take more or less commodity risk associated with the NGLs resulting from processing.

#### NGL Products Transportation

Once the NGL stream has been separated from the natural gas stream, and separated into products through fractionation, the resulting NGL products are then transported to downstream NGL networks or directly to end users.

#### Fractionation

Fractionation is the process by which the mixture of NGLs resulting from natural gas processing is separated into the NGL components prior to their sale to various petrochemical and industrial end users. Fractionation is accomplished by controlling the temperature of the stream of mixed liquids in order to take advantage of the difference in boiling points of separate hydrocarbon products.

#### Natural Gas Transmission

Once the raw natural gas has been treated and processed, the remaining natural gas, or residue natural gas, is transported to end users. The transmission of natural gas involves the movement of pipeline-quality natural gas from gathering systems and processing facilities to wholesalers and end users, including industrial plants and local distribution companies, or LDCs. LDCs and marketers, if the LDC is open to competition, purchase the natural gas and market it to commercial, industrial and residential end users. Transmission pipelines generally span considerable distances and consist of large-diameter pipelines that operate at higher pressures than gathering pipelines to facilitate the transportation of greater quantities of natural gas. The concentration of natural gas production in a few regions of the United States generally requires transmission pipelines to cross state borders to meet national demand. These pipelines are referred to as interstate pipelines and primarily are regulated by federal agencies or commissions, including the FERC. Pipelines that transport natural gas produced and consumed wholly within one state are generally referred to as intrastate pipelines. Intrastate pipelines are primarily regulated by state agencies or commissions.

#### Marketing

Marketing consists of the purchase and then sale of natural gas and NGLs to end-use customers. Marketing, and related commodity risk, can involve some or all of the intermediate steps that particular purchases and sales require, including arranging transportation, storage and any other steps required to facilitate the transaction.

#### Typical Contractual Arrangements

Midstream natural gas services, other than transportation, are usually provided under contractual arrangements that vary in the amount of commodity price risk they carry. Three typical contract types are described below:

**Fee-based.** Under fee-based arrangements, the service provider typically receives a fee for each unit of natural gas gathered, treated and/or processed at its facilities. As a result, the price per unit received by the service provider does not vary with commodity price changes, minimizing the service provider's direct commodity price risk exposure.

**Percent-of-proceeds, percent-of-value or percent-of-liquids.** Percent-of-proceeds, percent-of-value or percent-of-liquids arrangements may be used for gathering and processing services. Under these arrangements, the service provider typically remits to the producers either a percentage of the proceeds from the sale of residue and/or NGLs or a percentage of the actual residue and/or NGLs at the tailgate. These types of arrangements expose the

processor to commodity price risk, as the revenues from the contracts directly correlate with the fluctuating price of natural gas and/or NGLs.

- 13

---

Keep-whole. Keep-whole arrangements may be used for processing services. Under these arrangements, the service provider keeps 100% of the NGLs produced, and the processed natural gas, or value of the gas, is returned to the producer. Since some of the gas is used and removed during processing, the processor compensates the producer for the amount of gas used and removed in processing by supplying additional gas or by paying an agreed-upon value for the gas utilized. These arrangements have the highest commodity price exposure for the processor because the costs are dependent on the price of natural gas and the revenues are based on the price of NGLs.

There are two forms of contracts utilized in the transportation of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil, as described below: Firm. Firm transportation service requires the reservation of pipeline capacity by a customer between certain receipt and delivery points. Firm customers generally pay a demand or capacity reservation fee based on the amount of capacity being reserved, regardless of whether the capacity is used, plus a usage fee based on the amount of natural gas transported.

Interruptible. Interruptible transportation service is typically short-term in nature and is generally used by customers that either do not need firm service or have been unable to contract for firm service. These customers pay only for the volume of gas actually transported. The obligation to provide this service is limited to available capacity not otherwise used by firm customers, and, as such, customers receiving services under interruptible contracts are not assured capacity on the pipeline.

For additional information relating to our contractual arrangements, please see Items 1 and 2 “Business and Properties-Our Assets and Areas of Operation-Our Fee-Based Commercial Agreements” included in this Form 10-K.

#### Crude Oil Transportation & Logistics

Crude oil gathering assets provide the link between crude oil production gathered at the well site or nearby collection points and crude oil terminals and storage facilities, long-haul crude oil pipelines, railcars and refineries. Crude oil gathering assets generally consist of a network of smaller-diameter pipelines that are connected directly to the well site or central receipt points delivering into larger-diameter trunk lines. Trucking operations and railcars are often used to supplement pipeline systems by gathering and transporting crude oil production from remote well sites that are not directly connected to pipeline gathering infrastructure. Competition in the crude oil gathering industry is typically regional and based on proximity to crude oil producers, as well as access to viable delivery points. Overall demand for gathering services in a particular area is generally driven by crude oil producer activity in the area.

Crude oil rail terminals, or transloaders, are an integral part of ensuring the movement of new crude oil production from the developing shale plays, as well as crude oil production from conventional basins, in the United States and Canada. In general, transloaders used to load railcars and transport the commodity out of developing basins into markets where transloaders are used to unload railcars and store crude oil volumes for third parties until the oil is redelivered to markets via pipelines, trucks or rail to delivery points.

#### CUSTOMERS

The primary suppliers of natural gas to us are a broad cross-section of the natural gas producing community. These suppliers include small and large exploration and production companies, large pipeline companies and natural gas marketers. Among those customers currently supplying natural gas to us for treating and processing are Anadarko, Kinder Morgan, Energy Transfer and AES. We actively seek new natural gas producing customers for all of our facilities to increase throughput volume and to offset natural declines in the production from connected wells. We obtain new natural gas supplies in our operating areas by contracting for production from new wells, by connecting new wells drilled on dedicated acreage and by obtaining natural gas that has been directly received or released from other gathering systems.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, Anadarko, Enterprise and AES each accounted for more than 10% of our revenues. Although we have gathering, processing or transportation agreements with these customers, these agreements have remaining terms ranging from one to five years. As these agreements expire, we will have to renegotiate extensions or renewals with these customers or replace the existing contracts with new arrangements with other customers. If either of these customers were to default on its contracts or if we were unable to renew our contracts with them on favorable terms, we may not be able to replace such customers in a timely manner, on

favorable terms or at all. In any of these situations, our revenues and cash flows and our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders would be materially and adversely affected.

In addition, AES is our sole customer with respect to our crude oil logistics business, and we expect to continue to derive the substantial majority of our transloading revenues from AES. At the closing of our IPO, AES contracted for 100% of the capacity at our Wildcat and Big Horn facilities. Such concentration subjects us to increased risk in the case of nonpayment,

- 14

---



nonperformance or non-renewal by AES under the transloading services agreements that we entered into with AES at the closing of our IPO. Any adverse developments concerning AES could materially and adversely affect our crude oil logistics business.

## COMPETITION

The natural gas gathering, transmission, treating and processing businesses are highly competitive, and we face strong competition in acquiring new natural gas supplies. Our competition in obtaining additional natural gas supplies include interstate and intrastate pipelines and other midstream companies that gather, treat, process and market natural gas in the vicinity of our facilities. The ability to secure the dedication of natural gas supplies is primarily based on the reputation, efficiency, flexibility and reliability of the processor and the pricing of services. When commodity prices are high, producers generally desire to retain the full benefits of such increased commodity prices. Accordingly, in a high NGL pricing environment, fee-based arrangements are preferred by most producers. Our ability to tailor processing agreements to meet the specific needs of our customers, our ability to offer lower-priced services due to our relatively lower capital investments as compared to the rest of the industry and higher recovery efficiencies and lower fuel consumption at our facilities factor positively in our ability to compete in the markets we serve. The primary competitors of our Panola facilities are DCP Midstream and Markwest. The primary competitors of our Tyler County processing facility are Eagle Rock Energy Partners, L.P. and Enterprise.

The crude oil logistics business, including the crude oil transloading business, is highly competitive. Our competition in obtaining new customers for our transloading services include Crosstex Energy, L.P., Rose Rock Midstream, LP and private logistics companies transloading crude oil in the areas in which we operate. The ability to secure additional agreements for transloading services is primarily based on the reputation, efficiency, flexibility, location and reliability of the service provided and the pricing of services. Since we generally target niche areas that are in need of crude oil logistics services, competition is less than if we were to try to compete in more active crude oil plays such as the Bakken, Utica and Marcellus shale plays. Our only current customer for our crude oil transloading services is AES.

## SAFETY AND MAINTENANCE

Our natural gas and NGL transportation pipelines are subject to regulation by the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) of the Department of Transportation (“DOT”) under the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act of 1968, as amended (“NGPSA”), with respect to natural gas and the Hazardous Liquids Pipeline Safety Act of 1979, as amended (“HLPSA”), with respect to NGLs and condensates. The NGPSA and HLPSA govern the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of natural gas and NGL pipeline facilities. Pursuant to these acts, PHMSA has promulgated regulations governing pipeline wall thickness, design pressures, maximum operating pressures, pipeline patrols and leak surveys, minimum depth requirements, and emergency procedures, as well as other matters intended to ensure adequate protection for the public and to prevent accidents and failures. Where applicable, the NGPSA and HLPSA require any entity that owns or operates pipeline facilities to comply with the regulations under these acts, to permit access to and allow copying of records and to make certain reports and provide information as required by the Secretary of Transportation. We believe that our pipeline operations are in substantial compliance with applicable NGPSA and HLPSA requirements; however, due to the possibility of new or amended laws and regulations or reinterpretation of existing laws and regulations, future compliance with the NGPSA and HLPSA could result in increased costs.

Our pipelines are also subject to regulation by PHMSA under the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002, as amended by the Pipeline Inspection, Protection, Enforcement, and Safety Act of 2006 (“PIPES Act”), the Accountable Pipeline Safety and Partnership Act of 1996 (“APSA”) and the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty and Job Creation Act of 2011 (the “2011 Pipeline Safety Act”). PHMSA has established a series of rules, which require pipeline operators to develop and implement integrity management programs for gas transmission pipelines that, in the event of a failure, could affect “high consequence areas.” “High consequence areas” are currently defined as areas with specified population densities, buildings containing populations of limited mobility and areas where people gather that are located along

the route of a pipeline. Similar rules are also in place for operators of hazardous liquid pipelines including lines transporting NGLs and condensates.

The 2011 Pipeline Safety Act, among other things, increases the maximum civil penalty for pipeline safety violations and directs the Secretary of Transportation to promulgate rules or standards relating to expanded integrity management requirements, automatic or remote-controlled valve use, excess flow valve use, leak detection system installation and testing to confirm the material strength of pipe operating above 30% of specified minimum yield strength in high consequence areas. On September 25, 2013, PHMSA published a final rulemaking consistent with the 2011 Pipeline Safety Act that increases the

- 15

---

maximum administrative civil penalties for violation of the pipeline safety laws and regulations to \$200,000 per violation per day, with a maximum of \$2,000,000 for a related series of violations. PHMSA has also published advanced notices of proposed rulemaking to solicit comments on the need for changes to its safety regulations, including whether to extend the integrity management requirements to additional types of facilities, such as gathering pipelines and related facilities and recently, in August 2013, sought public comments on whether this expansion of high consequence areas would mitigate the need for class location requirements that have been used in the past primarily to differentiate risk along a pipeline. In May 2012, PHMSA issued an Advisory Bulletin stating that records used to establish maximum allowable pipeline operating pressures must be traceable, verifiable and complete. Locating our records and, in the absence of any records, verifying maximum pressures through physical testing, could increase our costs or result in reductions of allowable operating pressures.

The adoption of these and other laws, regulations, and policies that apply more comprehensive or stringent safety standards to gathering lines could require us to install new or modified safety controls, pursue added capital projects, or conduct maintenance programs on an accelerated basis, all of which could require us to incur increased operational costs and compliance expenditures that could be significant and have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations and ability to make distributions to our unitholders. Legislative and regulatory changes may also result in higher penalties for the violation of Federal pipeline safety regulations.

In addition, states have adopted regulations, similar to existing PHMSA regulations, for intrastate gathering and transmission lines. Texas has developed regulatory programs that parallel the federal regulatory scheme and are applicable to intrastate pipelines transporting natural gas and NGLs. We currently estimate an annual average cost of \$0.1 million for years 2013 through 2015 to perform necessary integrity management program testing on our pipelines required by existing PHMSA and state regulations. This estimate does not include the costs, if any, of any repair, remediation, preventative or mitigating actions that may be determined to be necessary as a result of the testing program, which costs could be substantial. However, we do not expect that any such costs would be material to our financial condition or results of operations. Our gathering operations also may be or become subject to safety and operational regulations relating to the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of gathering facilities. Additional rules and legislation pertaining to these matters are considered and adopted from time to time. We cannot predict what effect, if any, such changes might have on our operations, but the industry could be required to incur additional capital expenditures and increased costs depending on future legislative and regulatory changes.

We and the entities in which we own an interest are also subject to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions, also known as the Risk Management Plan requirements, which are designed to prevent the accidental release of toxic, reactive, flammable or explosive materials;

U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration Process Safety Management Regulations, which are designed to prevent or minimize the consequences of catastrophic releases of toxic, reactive, flammable or explosive materials; and

Department of Homeland Security Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards, which are designed to regulate the security of high-risk chemical facilities.

We believe that all of our facilities have been constructed and are operated and maintained in material compliance with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations. We expect any legislative or regulatory changes to allow us time to become compliant with new requirements, however, costs associated with compliance may have a material effect on our operations. We cannot predict with any certainty at this time the terms of new laws or rules or the costs of compliance associated with such requirements.

## REGULATION OF OPERATIONS

Regulation of natural gas gathering and sales and transportation of NGLs may affect certain aspects of our business and the market for our products and services.

Regulation of Natural Gas Gathering

Section 1(b) of the NGA exempts natural gas gathering facilities from the jurisdiction of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"). We believe that our natural gas pipelines meet the traditional tests that FERC has used to determine that a pipeline is a gathering pipeline and is, therefore, not subject to FERC jurisdiction. The distinction between FERC-regulated transmission services and federally unregulated gathering services, however, has been the subject of substantial litigation, and

- 16

---

the classification and regulation of our gathering facilities may be subject to change based on future determinations by FERC, the courts or Congress.

State regulation of gathering facilities generally includes various safety, environmental and, in some circumstances, complaint-based rate regulation and, nondiscriminatory take requirements. In recent years, FERC has taken a more light-handed approach to regulation of the gathering activities of interstate pipeline transmission companies, which has resulted in a number of such companies transferring gathering facilities to unregulated affiliates. As a result of these activities, natural gas gathering may begin to receive greater regulatory scrutiny at both the state and federal levels.

Our gathering and processing operations are subject to ratable take and common purchaser statutes in Texas. The Texas ratable take statutes generally require gatherers to take, without undue discrimination, natural gas production that may be tendered to the gatherer for handling. Similarly, Texas common purchaser statutes generally require gatherers to purchase without undue discrimination as to source of supply or producer. These statutes are designed to prohibit discrimination in favor of one producer over another producer or one source of supply over another source of supply. These statutes have the effect of restricting our right as an owner of gathering facilities to decide with whom we contract to process or gather natural gas. Texas has adopted a complaint-based regulation of natural gas gathering activities, which allows natural gas producers and shippers to file complaints with state regulators in an effort to resolve grievances relating to natural gas gathering access and rate discrimination. We cannot predict whether such a complaint will be filed against us in the future.

#### NGL Pipeline Regulation

Our NGL pipelines are regulated as a utility by the Texas Railroad Commission ("TRRC"). The TRRC's jurisdiction extends to both rates and pipeline safety. The rates we charge for NGL transportation services are deemed just and reasonable under Texas law unless challenged in a complaint. Should a complaint be filed or should regulation become more active, our business may be adversely affected. The TRRC requires that intrastate NGL pipelines file tariff publications that contain all the rules and regulations governing the rates and charges for service performed. The applicable Texas statutes require that NGL pipeline rates provide no more than a fair return on the aggregate value of the pipeline property used to render services. State commissions have generally not been aggressive in regulating common carrier pipelines and have generally not investigated the rates or practices of NGL pipelines in the absence of shipper complaints. Complaints to state agencies have been infrequent and are usually resolved informally. Although we cannot assure that our intrastate rates would ultimately be upheld if challenged, we believe that, given this history, the tariffs now in effect are not likely to be challenged or, if challenged, are not likely to be ordered to be reduced.

#### Natural Gas Processing

Our natural gas processing operations are not presently subject to FERC regulation. However, starting in May 2009 we were required to report to FERC information regarding natural gas sale and purchase transactions for some of our operations depending on the volume of natural gas transacted during the prior calendar year.

#### Availability, Terms and Cost of Pipeline Transportation

Our processing facilities and NGL transportation services are affected by the availability, terms and cost of pipeline transportation. The price and terms of access to pipeline transportation can be subject to extensive federal and, if a complaint is filed, state regulation. FERC is continually proposing and implementing new rules and regulations affecting the interstate transportation of natural gas, and to a lesser extent, the interstate transportation of NGLs. These initiatives also may indirectly affect the intrastate transportation of natural gas and NGLs under certain circumstances. We cannot predict the ultimate impact of these regulatory changes to our processing operations and our natural gas and NGL transportation services. We do not believe that we would be affected by any such FERC action materially differently than other natural gas processors and natural gas and NGL marketers with whom we compete.

#### Sales of NGLs

The price at which we buy and sell NGLs is not currently subject to federal rate regulation and, for the most part, is not subject to state regulation. Historically, the transportation and sale for resale of natural gas in interstate commerce has been regulated by the FERC under the NGA, the NGPA, and regulations issued under those statutes. In the past, the federal government has regulated the prices at which natural gas could be sold. While sales by producers of natural gas can currently be made at market prices, Congress could reenact price controls in the future. Deregulation of

wellhead natural gas sales began with the enactment of the NGPA and culminated in adoption of the Natural Gas Wellhead Decontrol Act which removed all price controls affecting wellhead sales of natural gas effective January 1, 1993.

Anti-Market Manipulation and Market Transparency Rules

- 17

---

We are subject to the anti-market manipulation provision in the NGA, as amended by the Energy Policy Act of 2005, or EP Act 2005, which makes it unlawful for any entity to engage in prohibited behavior in contravention of FERC rules and regulations. EP Act 2005 authorizes FERC to impose fines of up to one million dollars (\$1,000,000) per day per violation of the NGA, the NGPA or their implementing regulations. In addition, the CFTC is directed under the Commodities Exchange Act, or CEA to prevent price manipulations for the commodity and futures markets, including the energy futures markets. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act and other authority, CFTC has adopted anti-market manipulation regulations that prohibit fraud and price manipulation in the commodity and futures markets. CFTC also has statutory authority to seek civil penalties of up to the greater of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) or triple the monetary gain to the violator for violations of the anti-market manipulation sections of CEA.

We are also subject to various reporting requirements that are designed to facilitate transparency and prevent market manipulation, including a requirement that wholesale buyers and sellers of annual quantities of 2.2 million MMBtu or more of natural gas in a calendar year report aggregate volumes of natural gas purchased or sold at wholesale to the extent such transactions utilize, contribute to, or may contribute to, the formation of price indices. Additional proposals and proceedings that might affect the natural gas industry are pending before Congress, FERC and the courts. We cannot predict the ultimate impact of these or the above regulatory changes to our natural gas operations. We do not believe that we would be affected by any such FERC action materially differently than other similarly situated midstream companies with whom we compete.

#### Other State and Local Regulation of Operations

Our business activities are subject to various state and local laws and regulations, as well as orders of regulatory bodies pursuant thereto, governing a wide variety of matters, including marketing, production, pricing, community right-to-know, protection of the environment, safety and other matters.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Our operation of pipelines, plants and other facilities for the gathering, compressing, treating and transporting of natural gas and other products, and the operation of our crude oil transloading facilities, is subject to stringent and complex federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. As an owner or operator of these facilities, we must comply with these laws and regulations at the federal, state and local levels. These laws and regulations can restrict or impact our business activities in many ways, such as:

- requiring the installation of pollution-control equipment or otherwise restricting the way we operate;
- limiting or prohibiting construction activities in sensitive areas, such as wetlands, coastal regions or areas inhabited by endangered or threatened species;
- requiring the acquisition of permits to conduct regulated activities and delaying system modification or upgrades during permit reviews;
- requiring investigatory and remedial actions to mitigate pollution conditions caused by our operations or attributable to former operations; and
- enjoining the operations of facilities deemed to be in non-compliance with permits issued pursuant to such environmental laws and regulations.

Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may trigger a variety of administrative, civil and criminal enforcement measures, including the assessment of monetary penalties, the imposition of investigatory, remedial and corrective action obligations and the issuance of orders enjoining some or all of our operations in affected areas.

Certain environmental statutes impose strict joint and several liability for costs required to clean up and restore sites where hazardous substances, petroleum hydrocarbons or wastes have been disposed or otherwise released. Moreover, it is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the release of hazardous substances, petroleum hydrocarbons or other waste products into the environment.

We have implemented programs and policies designed to keep our pipelines, plants and other facilities in compliance with existing environmental laws and regulations. Nonetheless, Congress and the federal and state agencies frequently revise environmental laws and regulations, and any changes that result in more stringent and costly waste handling, transportation, disposal, pollution control or cleanup requirements for the oil and natural gas industry could have a

significant impact on our operating costs. The trend in environmental regulation is to place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment, and thus, there can be no assurance as to the amount or timing of future expenditures for environmental compliance or remediation and actual future expenditures may be different from the amounts we currently anticipate.

- 18

---



Moreover, accidental releases or spills may occur in the course of our operations, and we may incur significant costs and liabilities as a result of such releases or spills, including any third party claims for damage to property, natural resources or persons. We may not be able to recover all or any of these costs from insurance.

We do not believe that compliance with federal, state or local environmental laws and regulations will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations or cash flows. In addition, we believe that the various environmental activities in which we are presently engaged are not expected to materially interrupt or diminish our operational ability to gather, compress, treat and transport natural gas. We cannot assure you, however, that future events, such as changes in existing laws or enforcement policies, the promulgation of new laws or regulations or the development or discovery of new facts or conditions will not cause us to incur significant costs. Below is a discussion of the material environmental laws and regulations, as amended from time to time, that relate to our business. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with all of these environmental laws and regulations.

#### Hazardous Substances and Wastes

Our operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations relating to the management and release of hazardous substances, non-hazardous and hazardous wastes and petroleum hydrocarbons. These laws generally regulate the generation, storage, treatment, transportation and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes. For instance, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), also known as the Superfund law, and comparable state laws impose liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, on certain classes of persons that contributed to the release of a hazardous substance into the environment. These persons include current and prior owners or operators of the site where the release occurred and entities that disposed or arranged for the disposal of the hazardous substances found at the site. Under CERCLA, these "responsible persons" may be subject to joint and several, strict liability for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources and for the costs of certain health studies. CERCLA also authorizes the EPA and, in some instances, third-parties to act in response to threats to the public health or the environment and to seek to recover from the responsible classes of persons the costs they incur. We handle hazardous substances within the meaning of CERCLA, or similar state statutes, in the course of our ordinary operations and, as a result, may be jointly and severally liable under CERCLA for all or part of the costs required to clean up sites at which these hazardous substances have been released into the environment.

We also generate industrial wastes that are subject to the requirements of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") and comparable state statutes. While RCRA regulates both non-hazardous and hazardous wastes, it imposes strict requirements on the generation, storage, treatment, transportation and disposal of hazardous wastes. We generate minimal amounts of hazardous wastes; however, it is possible that these non-hazardous wastes could undergo regulatory change in the future and be designated as "hazardous wastes," which could subject us to more rigorous and costly disposal requirements. Any such changes in the laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on our maintenance capital expenditures and operating expenses.

We currently own or lease properties where hydrocarbons are being or have been handled for many years. Although we and previous operators have utilized operating and disposal practices that were standard in the industry at the time, hazardous substances, petroleum hydrocarbons or other wastes may have been disposed of or released on or under the properties owned or leased by us or on or under the other locations where these hydrocarbons and wastes have been transported for treatment or disposal. These properties and the wastes disposed thereon may be subject to CERCLA, RCRA and analogous state laws. Under these laws, we could be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes (including wastes disposed of or released by prior owners or operators), to clean up contaminated property (including contaminated groundwater) or to perform remedial operations to prevent future contamination. We are not currently aware of any facts, events or conditions relating to such requirements that could materially impact our operations or financial condition.

#### Air Emissions

Our operations are subject to the federal Clean Air Act ("CAA") and comparable state and local laws and regulations. These laws and regulations regulate emissions of air pollutants from various industrial sources, including our compressor stations, processing plants and transloading and storage facilities, and also impose various monitoring and reporting requirements. Such laws and regulations may require that we obtain pre-approval for the construction or

modification of certain projects or facilities expected to produce or significantly increase air emissions, obtain and strictly comply with air permits containing various emissions and operational limitations and utilize specific emission control technologies to limit emissions. Our failure to comply with these requirements could subject us to monetary penalties, injunctions, conditions or restrictions on operations and, potentially, criminal enforcement actions. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with these requirements. We may be required to incur certain capital expenditures in the future for air pollution control equipment in connection with obtaining and maintaining operating permits and approvals for air emissions under either or both federal or state law. We believe, however, that our operations will not be materially adversely affected by such requirements, and the requirements are not expected to be any more burdensome to us than to any other similarly situated companies.

For example, in 2012, the EPA published final rules that establish new air emission controls for oil and natural gas production and natural gas processing operations. This new rule addresses emissions of various pollutants frequently associated with oil and natural gas production and processing activities. For new or reworked hydraulically-fractured wells, the final rule requires controlling emissions through flaring until 2015, when the rule requires the use of reduced emission, or “green,” completions. The rule also establishes specific new requirements for emissions from compressors, controllers, dehydrators, storage tanks, gas processing plants and certain other equipment. This rule may require a number of modifications to our and our customers’ operations, including the installation of new equipment to control emissions. Compliance with such rules could result in additional costs, including increased capital expenditures and operating costs, for us and our customers which may adversely impact our business.

#### Water Discharges and Oil Releases

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act, also known as the Clean Water Act, and analogous state laws impose restrictions and strict controls regarding the discharge of pollutants into state waters as well as waters of the United States and to conduct construction activities in waters and wetlands. Certain state regulations and the general permits issued under the Federal National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System program prohibit the discharge of pollutants and chemicals. Spill prevention, control and countermeasure (“SPCC”) standards under federal law requires the development and implementation of SPCC plans, including appropriate containment berms and similar structures to help prevent the contamination of regulated waters in the event of a hydrocarbon tank spill, rupture or leak. To be in compliance, the facility’s SPCC plan must satisfy all of the applicable requirements for drainage, bulk storage tanks, tank car and truck loading and unloading, transfer operations (intra-facility piping), inspections and records, security, and training. Most importantly, the facility must fully implement the SPCC plan and train personnel in its execution. In addition, the Clean Water Act and analogous state laws require individual permits or coverage under general permits for discharges of storm water runoff from certain types of facilities. These permits may require us to monitor and sample the storm water runoff from certain of our facilities. Some states also maintain groundwater protection programs that require permits for discharges or operations that may impact groundwater conditions. Federal and state regulatory agencies can impose administrative, civil and criminal penalties for non-compliance with discharge permits or other requirements of the Clean Water Act and analogous state laws and regulations. We believe that compliance with existing permits and compliance with foreseeable new permit requirements will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (“OPA”), which amends the Clean Water Act, establishes strict liability for owners and operators of facilities that are the site of a release of oil into waters of the United States. OPA and its associated regulations impose a variety of requirements on responsible parties related to the prevention of oil spills and liability for damages resulting from such spills. A “responsible party” under OPA includes owners and operators of onshore facilities and pipelines. Under OPA, owners and operators of facilities that handle, store, or transport oil are required to develop and implement oil spill response plans, and establish and maintain evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to cover liabilities related to an oil spill for which such parties could be statutorily responsible. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with the applicable requirements of the Clean Water Act, OPA and analogous state laws, and that the requirements imposed by these laws and implementing regulations will not be any more burdensome to us than to any other similarly situated companies.

#### Hydraulic Fracturing

A portion of our customers’ oil and gas production is developed from unconventional sources that require hydraulic fracturing as part of the completion process. Hydraulic fracturing involves the injection of water, sand and chemicals under pressure into the formation to stimulate gas production. The process is typically regulated by state oil and gas commissions, but the EPA has asserted limited regulatory authority over hydraulic fracturing, and has indicated it may seek to further expand its regulation of hydraulic fracturing. Also, the Bureau of Land Management has proposed regulations applicable to hydraulic fracturing conducted on federal and Indian oil and gas leases. In addition, Congress has from time to time considered the adoption of legislation to provide for federal regulation of hydraulic fracturing. At the state level, a growing number of states, including Texas and Wyoming, where we conduct operations, have adopted and other states are considering adopting, legal requirements that could impose more stringent permitting, disclosure or well construction requirements on hydraulic fracturing activities. In addition, local governments may

seek to adopt ordinances within their jurisdictions regulating the time, place and manner of drilling activities in general or hydraulic fracturing activities in particular. Further, several federal governmental agencies are conducting reviews and studies on the environmental aspects of hydraulic fracturing activities, including the White House Council on Environmental Quality, the EPA and the U.S. Department of Energy, These studies, depending on their degree of pursuit and any meaningful results obtained, could spur initiatives to further regulate hydraulic fracturing. While we do not conduct hydraulic fracturing, if new or more stringent federal, state, or local legal restrictions relating to the hydraulic fracturing process are adopted in areas where our oil and natural gas exploration and production customers' operate, those customers could incur potentially significant added costs to comply with such requirements and experience delays or curtailment in the pursuit of exploration, development or production activities, which could reduce

- 20

---

demand for our gathering, transportation and processing services, which could in turn adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

#### Endangered Species

The Endangered Species Act, or ESA, restricts activities that may affect endangered or threatened species or their habitats. Similar protections are offered to migratory birds under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. While some of our pipelines may be located in areas that are designated as habitats for endangered or threatened species, we believe that we are in substantial compliance with the ESA. If endangered species are located in areas of the underlying properties where we wish to conduct development activities, such work could be prohibited or delayed or expensive mitigation may be required. Moreover, as a result of a settlement approved by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in September 2011, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is required to make a determination on listing of numerous species as endangered or threatened under the ESA before the completion of the agency's 2017 fiscal year. The designation of previously unprotected species as threatened or endangered in areas where we or our oil and natural gas exploration and production customers operate could cause us or our customers to incur increased costs arising from species protection measures and could result in delays or limitations in our customers' performance of operations, which could reduce demand for our midstream services.

#### Climate Change

In December 2009, the EPA published its findings that emissions of carbon dioxide, methane and other greenhouse gases ("GHGs") present an endangerment to public health and the environment because emissions of such gases are, according to the EPA, contributing to warming of the earth's atmosphere and other climatic changes. Based on these findings, the EPA has adopted regulations under the Clean Air Act that, among other things, establish GHG emission limits from motor vehicles as well as establish Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") construction and Title V operating permit reviews for certain large stationary sources that are potential major sources of GHG emissions. Facilities required to obtain PSD permits for their GHG emissions also will be required to meet "best available control technology" standards that will be established by the states or, in some cases by the EPA on a case-by-case basis. In addition, the EPA has adopted rules requiring the monitoring and reporting of GHG emissions from specified onshore and offshore production facilities and onshore processing, transmission and storage facilities in the United States on an annual basis. We are monitoring GHG emissions from our operations in accordance with the GHG emissions reporting rule and believe that our monitoring and reporting activities are in substantial compliance with applicable reporting obligations.

While Congress has from time to time considered legislation to reduce emissions of GHGs, there has not been significant activity in the form of adopted legislation to reduce emissions of GHGs in recent years. In the absence of such federal climate legislation, a number of state and regional efforts have emerged that are aimed at tracking and/or reducing GHG emissions by means of cap and trade programs that typically require major sources of GHG emissions to acquire and surrender emission allowances in return for emitting those GHGs. The adoption of any legislation or regulations that requires reporting of GHGs or otherwise restricts emissions of GHGs from our equipment and operations could require us to incur significant added costs to reduce emissions of GHGs or could adversely affect demand for the natural gas and NGLs we gather and process. Moreover, if Congress undertakes comprehensive tax reform in the coming year, it is possible that such reform may include a carbon tax, which could impose additional direct costs on operations and reduce demand for refined products, which could adversely affect the services we provide. Finally, some scientists have concluded that increasing concentrations of GHGs in the Earth's atmosphere may produce climate change that could have significant physical effects, such as increased frequency and severity of storms, droughts, and floods and other climatic events; if such effects were to occur, they could have an adverse effect on our operations.

#### Anti-terrorism Measures

The Department of Homeland Security Appropriation Act of 2007 requires the Department of Homeland Security, or DHS, to issue regulations establishing risk-based performance standards for the security of chemical and industrial facilities, including oil and gas facilities that are deemed to present "high levels of security risk." DHS issued an interim final rule in April 2007 regarding risk-based performance standards to be attained pursuant to this act and, on November 20, 2007, further issued an Appendix A to the interim rules that establish chemicals of interest and their

respective threshold quantities that will trigger compliance with these interim rules. Covered facilities that are determined by DHS to pose a high level of security risk will be required to prepare and submit Security Vulnerability Assessments and Site Security Plans as well as comply with other regulatory requirements, including those regarding inspections, audits, recordkeeping, and protection of chemical-terrorism vulnerability information.

We may also be subject to future anti-terrorism and/or cyber-security requirements of DHS or other governmental agencies. DHS has issued its National Infrastructure Protection Plan calling for broadened efforts to “reduce vulnerability, deter threats, and minimize the consequences of attacks and other incidents” as they relate to pipelines, processing facilities and other infrastructure. The precise parameters of future regulations and any related sector-specific requirements are not

currently known, and there can be no guarantee that any final rules that might be applicable to our facilities will not impose costs and administrative burdens on our operations.

#### EMPLOYEES

We are managed and operated by the board of directors and executive officers of our general partner. Neither we nor our subsidiaries have any employees. Our general partner has the sole responsibility for providing the employees and other personnel necessary to conduct our operations. All of the employees that conduct our business are employed by affiliates of our general partner. As of December 31, 2013, our general partner and its affiliates have approximately 65 employees performing services for our operations. None of these employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements, and we believe that our general partner and its affiliates have a satisfactory relationship with those employees. In connection with our IPO, employees of Marlin Midstream were transferred to NuDevco Midstream Development, a wholly owned subsidiary of our sponsor. Under our omnibus agreement, NuDevco indemnifies us for any liabilities incurred by us in connection with the transfer of such employees.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

RISK FACTORS

Risks Related to our Business

We depend on a relatively small number of customers for a significant portion of our gross margin. The loss of any one or more of these customers could materially and adversely affect our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

A significant portion of our gross margin is attributable to a relatively small number of customers. Anadarko and AES accounted for a substantial majority of our gross margin for the three months and year ended December 31, 2013.

Although we have gathering and processing agreements with both of these customers, these agreements have remaining terms ranging from two to six years. As these contracts expire, we will have to renegotiate extensions or renewals with these customers or replace the existing contracts with new arrangements with other customers. If either of these customers were to default on its contract or if we were unable to renew our contract with either of these customers on favorable terms, we may not be able to replace such customers in a timely fashion, on favorable terms or at all. In any of these situations, our gross margin and cash flows and our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders would be materially and adversely affected. We expect our exposure to concentrated risk of non-payment, non-performance or nonrenewal to continue as long as we remain substantially dependent on a relatively small number of customers for a substantial portion of our gross margin.

In addition, AES is our sole customer with respect to our crude oil logistics business, and we expect to continue to derive the substantial majority of our transloading revenues from AES. Such concentration subjects us to increased risk in the case of nonpayment, nonperformance or nonrenewal by AES under the transloading services agreements. Any adverse developments concerning AES could materially and adversely affect our crude oil logistics business and could materially and adversely affect our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

We may not generate sufficient distributable cash flow to support the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution to holders of our common and subordinated units.

In order to support the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.35 per unit per quarter, or \$1.40 per unit on an annualized basis, we must generate distributable cash flow of approximately \$6.2 million per quarter, or \$24.9 million per year, based on the number of common and subordinated units and the general partner interest to be outstanding as of December 13, 2013. We may not generate sufficient distributable cash flow each quarter to support the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution. The amount of cash we can distribute on our units principally depends upon the amount of cash we generate from our operations, which will fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on, among other things:

- our ability to contract successfully for throughput volumes of natural gas and crude oil;
- the volume of natural gas we gather and process and the volume of NGLs we transport;
- the volume of crude oil that we transload;
- the level of production of crude oil and natural gas and the resultant market prices of crude oil, natural gas and NGLs;
- the level of competition from other midstream natural gas companies and crude oil logistics companies in our geographic markets;
- the level of our operating expenses;
- regulatory action affecting the supply of, or demand for, crude oil or natural gas, the transportation rates we can charge on our pipelines, how we contract for services, our existing contracts, our operating costs or our operating flexibility;
- capacity charges and volumetric fees that we pay for NGL fractionation services;
- realized pricing impacts on our revenues and expenses that are directly subject to commodity price exposure;
- damage to pipelines, facilities, plants, related equipment and surrounding properties caused by hurricanes, earthquakes, floods, fires, severe weather, explosions and other natural disasters and acts of terrorism including damage to third party pipelines or facilities upon which we rely for transportation services;
- outages at the processing or fractionation facilities owned by us or third parties caused by mechanical failure and maintenance, construction and other similar activities; and
-



leaks or accidental releases of products or other materials into the environment, whether as a result of human error or otherwise.

- 23

---

In addition, the actual amount of cash we will have available for distribution will depend on other factors, including:

- the level and timing of our expansion capital expenditures and our maintenance capital expenditures;
- the cost of acquisitions, if any;
- the level of our general and administrative expenses, including reimbursements to our general partner and its affiliates for services provided to us;
- our debt service requirements and other liabilities;
- fluctuations in our working capital needs;
- our ability to borrow funds and access capital markets;
- restrictions contained in our debt agreements;
- the amount of cash reserves established by our general partner; and
- other business risks affecting our cash levels.

Our commercial agreements subject us to renewal risks.

We currently gather, process and transport most of the natural gas, and purchase, transport and sell NGLs, on our midstream natural gas systems under commercial agreements with terms of various durations. In addition, we provide gathering, processing, NGL transport and transloading services to AES under agreements with three-year terms. As our commercial agreements expire, we will have to negotiate extensions or renewals with our customers, including AES and Anadarko, or enter into new agreements with customers. We may be unable to renew, or enter into new, agreements on favorable commercial terms, if at all. We also may be unable to maintain the economic structure of a particular agreement with an existing customer or the overall mix of our contract portfolio.

If the economic benefit to AES or Anadarko of their minimum volume commitments at our Panola County processing facilities is less than they have projected, whether because the volumes of natural gas actually delivered by them are below the committed amount or otherwise, they may be unwilling to negotiate extensions or renewals of their commercial agreements with us on terms acceptable to us. For example, we expect that there could be volatility in the volumes of natural gas delivered by AES and Anadarko to our Panola County processing facilities, and at times the volumes delivered by AES and Anadarko could be below their minimum volume commitments. As a result, AES and Anadarko may make shortfall payments to us from time to time with respect to their minimum volume commitments. Similarly, if the economic benefit to AES of its minimum volume commitment at our Wildcat and Big Horn facilities is less than AES has projected, whether because the volumes of crude oil actually delivered by AES are below the committed amount or otherwise, AES may be unwilling to negotiate extensions or renewals of its transloading services agreements with us on terms acceptable to us. For example, we expect there could be volatility in the volumes of crude oil delivered by AES for transloading at our Wildcat and Big Horn facilities, and at times the volumes delivered by AES could be below the aggregate minimum volume commitment under the transloading services agreements that we will enter into with AES at the closing of this offering.

To the extent we are unable to renew our existing contracts or enter into new contracts on terms that are favorable to us or to successfully manage our overall contract mix over time, our revenues, gross margin and cash flows could decline and our ability to make distributions to our unitholders could be materially and adversely affected.

Our industry is highly competitive, and increased competitive pressure could materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

We compete with other midstream natural gas and crude oil logistics companies in our areas of operation. Some of our competitors are large companies that have greater financial, managerial and other resources than we do. Our competitors may expand or construct gathering, compression, treating, processing or transportation systems or transloading facilities that would create additional competition for the services we provide to our customers. In addition, our customers may develop their own gathering, compression, treating, processing or transportation systems or transloading facilities in lieu of using ours. While we seek to provide transloading services in markets that we believe are currently under-served by our competitors, the barriers to entry in such markets are low, which may induce more of our competitors to attempt to provide similar transloading services in such markets. All of these competitive factors could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.



We are a relatively small enterprise. As a result, operational, financial and other events in the ordinary course of business could disproportionately affect us, and our ability to grow our business could be significantly limited. We will be smaller than many other publicly traded partnerships in our industry for the foreseeable future, not only in terms of market capitalization but also in terms of managerial, operational and financial resources. Consequently, an operational incident, customer loss or other event that would not significantly impact the business and operations of larger publicly traded partnerships in our industry may have a material adverse impact on our business and results of operations. Furthermore, acquisitions and other growth projects may place a significant strain on our management resources. As a result, our ability to execute our growth strategy and to integrate acquisitions and expansion projects successfully into our existing operations could be significantly limited.

Because of the natural decline in production from existing wells in our areas of operation, our success depends in part on our customers replacing declining production and also on our ability to obtain new sources of natural gas and crude oil, which is dependent on factors beyond our control. Any decrease in the volumes of natural gas that we gather, process or transport, or the volume of crude oil that we transload, could materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

The natural gas volumes that support our midstream natural gas business are dependent on the level of production from crude oil and natural gas wells connected to our systems, the production of which will naturally decline over time. Likewise, the crude oil volumes that support our crude oil logistics business are dependent on the level of production from oil wells in our areas of operation. As a result, our cash flows associated with these wells will also decline over time unless we obtain new sources of natural gas and crude oil to maintain or increase throughput and transloading volumes. The primary factors affecting our ability to obtain non-dedicated sources of natural gas and crude oil include (i) the level of successful drilling activity in our areas of operation, (ii) our or AES's ability to compete for volumes from successful new wells or from wells in which existing contractual arrangements with us and our competitors are expiring and (iii) our or AES's ability to compete successfully for volumes from sources connected to other pipelines.

Neither we nor AES have control over the level of drilling activity in our areas of operation, the amount of reserves associated with wells connected to our systems or the rate at which production from a well declines. In addition, neither we nor AES have control over producers or their drilling or production decisions, which are affected by, among other things:

- the availability and cost of capital;
- prevailing and projected commodity prices, including the prices of crude oil, natural gas and NGLs;
- demand for crude oil, natural gas and NGLs;
- levels of reserves;
- geological considerations;
- environmental or other governmental regulations, including the availability of drilling permits and the regulation of hydraulic fracturing; and
- the availability of drilling rigs and other production and development costs.

Fluctuations in energy prices can also greatly affect the development of new crude oil and natural gas reserves.

Drilling and production activity generally decreases as crude oil and natural gas prices decrease. In general terms, the prices of natural gas, crude oil and other hydrocarbon products fluctuate in response to changes in supply and demand, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. These factors include:

- worldwide economic and political conditions;
- weather conditions and seasonal trends;
- the levels of domestic production and consumer demand;
- the availability of imported liquefied natural gas, or LNG;
- the ability to export LNG;
- the availability of transportation systems with adequate capacity;
- the volatility and uncertainty of regional pricing differentials and premiums;
- the price and availability of alternative fuels;
- the effect of energy conservation measures;



the nature and extent of governmental regulation and taxation; and  
the anticipated future prices of crude oil, natural gas, LNG and other commodities.

Because of these factors, even if new natural gas or crude oil reserves are known to exist in areas served by our assets, producers may choose not to develop those reserves. Further declines in natural gas prices could have a negative impact on exploration, development and production activity, and if sustained, could lead to a material decrease in such activity. Sustained reductions in exploration or production activity in our areas of operation would lead to reduced utilization of our assets. If reductions in this activity result in our inability to maintain the current levels of natural gas throughput on our systems and the volumes of crude oil that we transload, it could reduce our revenues and cash flows and materially and adversely affect our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

Certain of our gathering and processing agreements contain provisions that can reduce the cash flow stability that the agreements were designed to achieve.

Our fee-based gathering and processing agreements are generally designed to generate stable cash flows to us over the life of the contract term while also minimizing our direct commodity price risk. However, our gathering and processing agreements with Anadarko at our Panola County processing facilities contain provisions that can reduce the cash flow stability that these agreements were designed to achieve. The primary mechanism on which we rely to generate our stable cash flows under our agreements with Anadarko at our Panola County processing facilities is a minimum volume commitment. If Anadarko's actual throughput volumes are less than its minimum volume commitment for a given year, it must make a shortfall payment to us at the end of that year. The amount of the shortfall payment is based on the difference between the actual throughput volume for the year and the minimum volume commitment over that year, multiplied by the applicable gathering and processing fees. To the extent that Anadarko's actual throughput volumes exceed its minimum volume commitment for the applicable period, the agreements contain provisions that allow Anadarko to use that surplus volume as a credit against future shortfall payments in subsequent periods during the primary term, and during the renewal terms for certain of the agreements. Because Anadarko has a crediting mechanism that allows it to build a "bank" of credits (to the extent its throughput volumes exceed its minimum volume commitment for a given year) that it can utilize in the future to reduce shortfall payments owed in future years, we may receive lower gathering and processing fees in a particular contract year than we would otherwise be entitled to receive under Anadarko's minimum volume commitment.

The combined effect of the minimum volume commitment and the ability to build a surplus credit could result in our receiving no revenues or cash flows from Anadarko in a future period because Anadarko could cease delivering throughput volumes at a time when their respective year-end minimum volume commitment has been satisfied with previous throughput volume deliveries. Similarly, because these minimum volume commitments are assessed on an annual basis, we may not receive revenues or cash flows during a given sub-period if Anadarko anticipates satisfying its minimum volume commitment in a later sub-period during the same year.

If either of these circumstances were to occur, it could materially and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows and our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

If credits under certain third-party material gathering, processing and transportation agreements exist, and cash reserves are not made for potential application of the credits to shortfalls on future minimum commitments, or if the customer is able and elects to use any applicable credits upon the expiration or termination of such agreement, actions taken by our general partner may affect the amount of cash available to unitholders or accelerate the conversion of subordinated units.

The amount of cash that is available for distribution to unitholders is affected by decisions of our general partner. These decisions may include whether cash received in connection with surplus volumes above minimum volume commitments with significant third-party customers, including Anadarko, may result in lower fees, and therefore less cash received, in future periods as credits are applied against future minimum volume commitments.

Distributions of available cash relating to surplus volumes in earlier periods may have the purpose or effect of (1) enabling our general partner or its affiliates to receive distributions on either subordinated units or incentive distribution rights held by them, or (2) accelerating the conversion of subordinated units.

If our customers do not increase the volumes of natural gas and crude oil they provide to our gathering and processing facilities or transloading facilities, our growth strategy and ability to increase cash distributions to our unitholders may

be materially and adversely affected.

Our ability to increase the throughput on our gathering and processing facilities and the volumes of crude oil that we transload at our transloading facilities is dependent on receiving increased volumes from our existing customers, including AES. Our customers, including AES, are not obligated to provide additional volumes to our gathering and processing systems

- 26

---

or to our transloading facilities, and they may determine in the future that areas outside of our current areas of operation are strategically more attractive to them.

A decrease in demand for natural gas, NGLs or condensate by the petrochemical, refining, agricultural, or electric power industries, or a decrease in demand for crude oil, could materially and adversely affect the profitability of our midstream energy business.

A decrease in demand for natural gas, NGLs or condensate by the petrochemical, refining, agricultural or electric power industries, could materially and adversely affect the profitability of our midstream natural gas business. Various factors impact the demand for natural gas, NGLs and condensate, including general economic conditions, extended periods of ethane rejection, which can occur when the price of ethane is less than the price of methane, increased competition from petroleum-based products due to pricing differences, adverse weather conditions, availability of natural gas processing and transportation capacity and government regulations affecting prices and production levels of natural gas, NGLs and condensate. Likewise, a decrease in demand for crude oil could materially and adversely affect the profitability of our crude oil logistics business. The volume of crude oil we transload depends on the availability of attractively priced crude oil produced or received in the areas serviced by our crude oil logistics assets. A period of sustained increases in the price of crude oil in areas serviced by our crude oil logistics assets, as compared to alternative sources of crude oil available to our customers, could materially reduce demand for crude oil in these areas. As a result, the volumes of crude oil that we transload at our transloading facilities could decline. Significant prolonged changes in natural gas prices or NGL prices could affect supply and demand, reducing throughput on our midstream natural gas systems and materially and adversely affecting our revenues and distributable cash flow over the long-term.

Lower natural gas prices or NGL prices over the long-term could result in a decline in the production of natural gas and result in reduced throughput on our midstream natural gas systems. The average price of ethane decreased by 35% to \$0.26 per gallon for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$0.40 per gallon for the year ended December 31, 2012. Similarly, the average price per gallon of isobutane and normal butane decreased by 21% and 16% respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012.

A decline in natural gas and NGL prices would have a negative impact on exploration, development and production activity in our areas of operation and result in a decrease in throughput on our midstream natural gas systems from our producer customers. In addition, the natural gas volumes that we obtain from customers that are natural gas marketers, such as AES, are adversely impacted by low NGL prices, particularly for ethane, due to less favorable NGL sale economics for such marketers in low NGL price environments. If natural gas prices or NGL prices remain depressed or decrease further, it could cause sustained reductions in exploration or production activity in our areas of operation, reduce the economic benefit of recovering NGLs from the natural gas stream and result in a further reduction in throughput on our midstream natural gas systems. Also, higher natural gas and NGL prices over the long-term could result in a decline in the demand for natural gas and NGLs and, therefore, in the throughput on our midstream natural gas systems.

As a result, significant prolonged changes in natural gas or NGL prices could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and ability to make quarterly cash distributions to our unitholders. If third-party pipelines or other midstream facilities interconnected to our gathering and processing facilities become partially or fully unavailable, or if the volumes we gather, process or transport do not meet the natural gas quality requirements of such pipelines or facilities, our revenues and gross margin and our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders could be materially and adversely affected.

Our natural gas gathering and processing and transportation assets are dependent upon third-party pipelines and other facilities for natural gas supply and NGL takeaway capacity. For example, our Tyler County processing facility is entirely dependent on volumes received from a gathering system owned and operated by an affiliate of Anadarko. In addition, our only NGL transportation option is TEPPCO Partners, L.P.'s Panola Pipeline. The continuing operation of such third-party pipelines and other midstream facilities is not within our control. These pipelines and other midstream facilities may become unavailable because of testing, turnarounds, line repair, reduced operating pressure, lack of operating capacity, regulatory requirements, curtailments of receipt or deliveries due to insufficient capacity or because of damage from hurricanes or other operational hazards. In addition, if the costs to us to access and transport



on these third-party pipelines significantly increase, our profitability could be reduced. If any such increase in costs occurred, if any of these pipelines or other midstream facilities becomes unable to receive or transport natural gas or NGLs, or if the volumes we gather or transport do not meet the natural gas quality requirements of such pipelines or facilities, our revenues and gross margin and our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders could be materially and adversely affected.

Our right of first offer on certain of NuDevco Midstream Development's midstream energy assets is subject to risks and uncertainty, and ultimately we may not acquire any of those assets.

- 27

---

Our omnibus agreement provides us with a right of first offer on certain of NuDevco Midstream Development's midstream energy assets during the five-year period following our initial public offering. The consummation and timing of any acquisition by us of the assets covered by our right to first offer will depend upon, among other things, our ability to reach an agreement with NuDevco Midstream Development on price and other terms, our ability to reach an agreement with AES on the services to be provided by us utilizing any acquired assets, where applicable, and our ability to obtain financing on acceptable terms. Accordingly, we can provide no assurance whether, when or on what terms we will be able to successfully consummate any future acquisitions pursuant to our right of first offer, and NuDevco is under no obligation to accept any offer that we may choose to make or to enter into any commercial agreements with us. For these or a variety of other reasons, we may decide not to exercise our right of first offer when we are permitted to do so, and our decision will not be subject to unitholder approval. In addition, our right of first offer may be terminated by NuDevco Midstream Development at any time after it no longer controls our general partner. For additional information relating to our right of first offer, please see Item 13 "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions—Omnibus Agreement" included in this Form 10-K.

The long-term growth of our crude oil logistics business is substantially dependent on the availability of railcars. We do not own or maintain a fleet of railcars, and the long-term growth of our crude oil logistics business is substantially dependent on the availability of railcars to transport crude oil received by our transloaders. The availability of such railcars is not within our control and they may become unavailable due to increased demand or other logistical constraints. AES, our sole transloading customer, has in the past experienced periods of railcar shortages, and may experience such shortages in the future. If AES is unable to obtain a sufficient supply of railcars to enable us to transload the crude oil delivered to us by AES, our business and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Our success depends on drilling activity and our ability to attract and maintain customers in a limited number of geographic areas.

A significant portion of our assets are located in East Texas, the Uinta Basin and the Powder River Basin, and we intend to focus our future capital expenditures substantially on developing our business in these areas. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows are significantly dependent upon the demand for our services in these areas. Due to our focus on these areas, an adverse development in natural gas or crude oil production from these areas would have a significantly greater impact on our financial condition and results of operations than if we spread expenditures more evenly over a wider geographic area. For example, a change in the rules and regulations governing operations in or around the East Texas area, the Uinta Basin or the Powder River Basin could cause producers to reduce or cease drilling operations or to permanently or temporarily shut-in their production within the area, which could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

We are exposed to the creditworthiness and performance of our customers, suppliers and contract counterparties, and any material nonpayment or nonperformance by one or more of these parties could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Any inaccuracies, miscalculations or declines in the creditworthiness of our customers, suppliers and contract counterparties may have an adverse impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders. There can be no assurance that our counterparties will perform or adhere to existing or future contractual arrangements. In addition, there can be no assurance that our assessments as to the creditworthiness of our customers, suppliers and contract counterparties will be accurate or that such creditworthiness will not deteriorate in a rapid and/or unanticipated manner.

The procedures and policies we use to manage our exposure to counterparty credit risk, such as credit analysis, credit monitoring and, in some cases, requiring credit support, cannot fully eliminate counterparty credit risks. To the extent our procedures and policies prove to be inadequate, our financial and operational results may be negatively impacted. Some of our counterparties may be highly leveraged or have limited financial resources and will be subject to their own operating and regulatory risks. Even if our credit review and analysis mechanisms work properly, we may experience financial losses in our dealings with such parties. In addition, volatility in commodity prices might have an impact on many of our counterparties, which, in turn, could have a negative impact on their ability to meet their

obligations to us and may also increase the magnitude of these obligations.

Any material nonpayment or nonperformance by our counterparties could require us to pursue substitute counterparties for the affected operations, reduce operations or provide alternative services, and there can be no assurance that any such efforts would be successful or would provide similar financial and operational results. If we are unable to adequately mitigate the risk of nonpayment or nonperformance by our counterparties, our business, financial condition, results of operations and ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders may be materially and adversely affected.

- 28

---

We intend to grow our business in part by seeking strategic acquisition opportunities. If we are unable to make acquisitions on economically acceptable terms from third parties, our future growth will be limited, and the acquisitions we do make may reduce, rather than increase, our distributable cash flow on a per unit basis.

Our ability to grow depends, in part, on our ability to make acquisitions that increase our distributable cash flow on a per unit basis. The acquisition component of our strategy is based, in large part, on our expectation of ongoing divestitures of midstream energy assets by industry participants. A material decrease in such divestitures would limit our opportunities for future acquisitions and could materially and adversely affect our ability to grow our operations and increase our distributions to our unitholders.

If we are unable to make accretive acquisitions, whether because we are (i) unable to identify attractive acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable purchase contracts, (ii) unable to obtain financing for these acquisitions on economically acceptable terms, (iii) outbid by competitors or (iv) unable to obtain necessary governmental or third-party consents or for any other reason, then our future growth and ability to increase distributions will be limited. Furthermore, even if we do make acquisitions that we believe will be accretive, these acquisitions may nevertheless result in a decrease in our distributable cash flow on a per unit basis.

Any acquisition, whether from third parties or affiliates, involves potential risks, including, among other things:

- mistaken assumptions about volumes, revenues and costs, including synergies and potential growth;
- an inability to secure adequate customer commitments to use the acquired systems or facilities;
- the risk that natural gas or crude oil reserves expected to support the acquired assets may not be of the anticipated magnitude or may not be developed as anticipated;
- an inability to integrate successfully the assets or businesses we acquire, particularly given the relatively small size of our management team;
- the assumption of unknown liabilities;
- coordinating geographically disparate organizations, systems and facilities;
- limitations on rights to indemnity from the seller;
- mistaken assumptions about the overall costs of equity or debt;
- the diversion of management's and employees' attention from other business concerns;
- unforeseen difficulties operating in new geographic areas and business lines; and
- customer or key employee losses at the acquired businesses.

If we consummate any future acquisitions, our capitalization and results of operations may change significantly, and our unitholders will not have the opportunity to evaluate the economic, financial and other relevant information that we will consider in determining the application of these funds and other resources.

Our construction of new assets may not result in revenue increases and will be subject to regulatory, environmental, political, legal and economic risks, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

One of the ways that we intend to grow our midstream natural gas business is through organic growth projects. The construction of additions or modifications to our existing systems and the construction of new midstream natural gas assets involve numerous regulatory, environmental, political, legal and economic uncertainties that are beyond our control. Such expansion projects may also require the expenditure of significant amounts of capital, and financing may not be available on economically acceptable terms or at all. If we undertake these projects, they may not be completed on schedule, at the budgeted cost, or at all. Moreover, our revenues may not increase immediately upon the expenditure of funds on a particular project.

For instance, if we expand a pipeline, the construction may occur over an extended period of time, yet we will not receive any material increases in revenues until the project is completed and placed into service. Moreover, we could construct facilities to capture anticipated future growth in production in a region in which such growth does not materialize or only materializes over a period materially longer than expected. Since we are not engaged in the exploration for and development of natural gas and crude oil reserves, we often do not have access to third-party estimates of potential reserves in an area prior to constructing facilities in that area. To the extent we rely on estimates of future production in our decision to construct additions to our systems, such estimates may prove to be inaccurate as a result of the numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of future production. As a result, new facilities may not attract enough throughput to achieve our expected investment return, which could materially and

adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

- 29

---

In addition, the construction of additions to our existing gathering and transportation assets may require us to obtain new rights-of-way or federal and state environmental permits or other authorizations. Such authorization may not be granted or, if granted, such authorization may be approved on a delayed basis or include burdensome or expensive conditions. As a result, we may be unable to obtain such rights-of-way on a timely basis, if at all, and may, therefore, be unable to connect new natural gas volumes to our systems or capitalize on other attractive expansion opportunities. Additionally, it may become more expensive for us to obtain new rights-of-way or authorizations or to modify existing rights-of-way or authorizations. If the cost of modifying or obtaining new rights-of-way or authorizations increases materially, our cash flows could be materially and adversely affected.

Our growth strategy requires access to new capital. Tightened capital markets or increased competition for investment opportunities could impair our ability to grow.

We continuously consider and enter into discussions regarding potential acquisitions or growth capital expenditures. Any limitations on our access to new capital will impair our ability to execute this strategy. If the cost of capital becomes too expensive, our ability to develop or acquire strategic and accretive assets will be limited. We may not be able to raise the necessary funds on satisfactory terms, if at all. The primary factors that influence our initial cost of equity include market conditions, including our then current unit price, fees we pay to underwriters and other offering costs, which include amounts we pay for legal and accounting services. The primary factors influencing our cost of borrowing include interest rates, credit spreads, covenants, underwriting or loan origination fees and similar charges we pay to lenders.

Weak economic conditions and the volatility and disruption in the financial markets could increase the cost of raising money in the debt and equity capital markets substantially while diminishing the availability of funds from those markets. In addition, we are experiencing increased competition for the types of assets we contemplate purchasing.

Weak economic conditions and competition for asset purchases could limit our ability to fully execute our growth strategy.

We do not intend to obtain independent evaluations of natural gas or crude oil reserves connected to our gathering and transportation assets or serviced by our crude oil logistics assets on a regular or ongoing basis; therefore, in the future, volumes of natural gas on our systems and volumes of crude oil served by our crude oil logistics assets could be less than we anticipate.

We do not intend to obtain independent evaluations of natural gas or crude oil reserves connected to our systems or served by our crude oil logistics assets on a regular or ongoing basis. Moreover, even if we did obtain such independent evaluations of natural gas or crude oil reserves, such evaluations may prove to be incorrect. Oil and natural gas reserve engineering requires subjective estimates of underground accumulations of crude oil and natural gas and assumptions concerning future crude oil and natural gas prices, future production levels and operating and development costs. Accordingly, we may not have independent estimates of total reserves dedicated to some or all of our systems and assets or the anticipated life of such reserves. If the total reserves or estimated life of the reserves connected to our gathering and transportation assets or served by our crude oil logistics assets are less than we anticipate and we are unable to secure additional sources of natural gas or crude oil, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

Our businesses involve many hazards and operational risks, some of which may not be fully covered by insurance. If a significant accident or event occurs for which we are not adequately insured or if we fail to recover all anticipated insurance proceeds for significant accidents or events for which we are insured, our operations and financial results could be materially and adversely affected.

Our midstream natural gas operations are subject to all of the risks and hazards inherent in the gathering, compressing, treating and processing of natural gas and transportation of NGLs, including:

- damage to pipelines and plants, related equipment and surrounding properties caused by hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, fires and other natural disasters and acts of terrorism;
- inadvertent damage from construction, vehicles, farm and utility equipment;
- leaks of natural gas and other petroleum hydrocarbons or losses of natural gas as a result of the malfunction of equipment or facilities;

ruptures, fires and explosions; and

other hazards that could also result in personal injury and loss of life, pollution and suspension of operations.

In addition, our crude oil logistics operations are subject to all of the risks and hazards inherent in the transloading of crude oil, including:

- 30

---

damage to transloading facilities, related equipment and surrounding properties caused by hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, fires and other natural disasters and acts of terrorism;

spills of crude oil and other hydrocarbons as a result of operator error or the malfunction of equipment or facilities; ruptures, fires and explosions; and

other hazards that could also result in personal injury and loss of life, pollution and suspension of operations. These risks could result in substantial losses due to personal injury and/or loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of facilities and equipment and pollution or other environmental damage. These risks may also result in curtailment or suspension of our operations. A natural disaster or other hazard affecting the areas in which we operate could have a material adverse effect on our operations. We are not fully insured against all risks inherent in our business. In addition, although we are insured for environmental pollution resulting from environmental accidents that occur on a sudden and accidental basis, we may not be insured against all environmental accidents that might occur, some of which may result in toxic tort claims. If a significant accident or event occurs for which we are not fully insured, it could materially and adversely affect our operations and financial condition. Furthermore, we may not be able to maintain or obtain insurance of the type and amount we desire at reasonable rates. As a result of market conditions, premiums and deductibles for certain of our insurance policies may substantially increase. In some instances, certain insurance could become unavailable or available only for reduced amounts of coverage. Additionally, when future acquisitions are made, we may be unable to recover from the prior owners, pursuant to negotiated indemnification rights, for potential environmental liabilities.

A change in the jurisdictional characterization or regulation of our assets by federal, state or local regulatory agencies or a change in policy by those agencies could result in increased regulation of our assets which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our natural gas gathering operations are generally exempt from regulation by FERC under the Natural Gas Act of 1938, or NGA, but FERC regulation still affects these businesses and the markets for products derived from these businesses. FERC's policies and practices across the range of its natural gas regulatory activities, including, for example, its policies on open access transportation, rate-making, capacity release and market center promotion, indirectly affect intrastate markets. In recent years, FERC has pursued pro-competitive policies in its regulation of interstate natural gas pipelines. However, we cannot assure you that FERC will continue this approach as it considers matters such as pipeline rates and rules and policies that may affect rights of access to natural gas transportation capacity. In addition, the distinction between FERC-regulated transmission services and federally unregulated gathering services has been the subject of extensive litigation; accordingly, the classification and regulation of some of our pipelines may be subject to change based on future determinations by FERC, the courts or Congress.

State regulation of natural gas gathering facilities generally includes various safety, environmental and, in some circumstances, complaint-based rate regulation and nondiscriminatory take requirements. Natural gas gathering may receive greater regulatory scrutiny at both the state and federal levels because FERC has taken a more light-handed approach to regulation of the gathering activities of interstate pipeline transmission companies and as a number of such companies have transferred gathering facilities to unregulated affiliates. The Railroad Commission of Texas, or TRRC, has adopted regulations that generally allow natural gas producers and shippers to file complaints with the TRRC in an effort to resolve grievances relating to intrastate pipeline access and rate discrimination. Our natural gas gathering operations could be materially and adversely affected in the future should they become subject to the application of state or federal regulation of rates and services. Our gathering operations also may be or become subject to safety and operational regulations relating to the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of gathering facilities. Additional rules and legislation pertaining to these matters are considered or adopted from time to time. We cannot predict what effect, if any, such changes might have on our operations, but the industry could be required to incur additional capital expenditures and increased costs depending on future legislative and regulatory changes. Other state and local regulations also may affect our business. For additional information relating to the regulations to which we are subject, please see Items 1 and 2 - "Business and Properties—Regulation of Operations" included in this Form 10-K.

We may incur significant costs and liabilities as a result of pipeline integrity management program testing and related repairs.



Pursuant to authority under the NGPSA and HLPSA, as amended by the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002, the PIPES Act, and the 2011 Pipeline Safety Act, PHMSA, has adopted regulations requiring pipeline operators to develop integrity management programs for pipelines located where a leak or rupture could harm “high consequence areas,” including high population areas, areas that are sources of drinking water, ecological resource areas that are unusually sensitive to environmental damage from a pipeline release and commercially navigable waterways, unless the operator effectively demonstrates by risk assessment that the pipeline could not affect the area. The regulations require operators, including us, to:

- 31

---

- perform ongoing assessments of pipeline integrity;
- identify and characterize applicable threats to pipeline segments that could impact a high consequence area;
- maintain processes for data collection, integration and analysis;
- repair and remediate pipelines as necessary; and
- implement preventive and mitigating actions.

In addition, states have adopted regulations similar to existing PHMSA regulations for intrastate gathering and transmission lines. Texas has developed regulatory programs that parallel the federal regulatory scheme and are applicable to intrastate pipelines transporting natural gas and NGLs. We currently estimate an annual average cost of \$0.1 million for the years 2014 and 2015 to implement pipeline integrity management program testing along certain segments of our natural gas and NGL pipelines required by existing PHMSA and state regulations. This estimate does not include the costs, if any, of any repair, remediation, preventative or mitigating actions that may be determined to be necessary as a result of the testing program, which costs could be substantial. At this time, we cannot predict the ultimate cost of compliance with applicable pipeline integrity management regulations, as the cost will vary significantly depending on the number and extent of any repairs found to be necessary as a result of the pipeline integrity testing. We will continue our pipeline integrity testing programs to assess and maintain the integrity of our pipelines. The results of these tests could cause us to incur significant and unanticipated capital and operating expenditures for repairs or upgrades deemed necessary to ensure the continued safe and reliable operation of our pipelines.

Moreover, changes to pipeline safety laws by Congress and regulations by PHMSA that result in more stringent or costly safety standards could have a significant adverse effect on us and similarly situated midstream operators. For instance, in August 2011, PHMSA published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking in which the agency was seeking public comment on a number of changes to regulations governing the safety of gas transmission pipelines and gathering lines, including, for example, revising the definitions of “high consequence areas” and “gathering lines” and strengthening integrity management requirements as they apply to existing regulated operators and to currently exempt operators should certain exemptions be removed. PHMSA continues to evaluate the public comments received with respect to more stringent integrity management programs and recently, pursuant to one of the requirements in the 2011 Pipeline Safety Act, published a proposed rulemaking on August 1, 2013, seeking comments on whether an expansion of high consequence areas would mitigate the need for class location requirements that have been used in the past primarily to differentiate risk along a pipeline.

Federal and state legislative and regulatory initiatives relating to pipeline safety that require the use of new or more stringent safety controls or result in more stringent enforcement of applicable legal requirements could subject us to increased capital costs, operational delays and costs of operation.

The 2011 Pipeline Safety Act is the most recent federal legislation to amend the NGPSA and HLPSA pipeline safety laws, requiring increased safety measures for natural gas and NGLs transportation pipelines. Among other things, the 2011 Pipeline Safety Act directs the Secretary of Transportation to promulgate rules or standards relating to expanded integrity management requirements, automatic or remote-controlled valve use, excess flow valve use, leak detection system installation, and testing to confirm that the material strength of certain pipelines are above 30% of specified minimum yield strength.

In addition, PHMSA has issued Advisory Bulletins which, among other things, advise pipeline operators to review whether existing records of the operating parameters and conditions of their pipelines are able to provide adequate support for determining whether such pipelines are operating at a safe pressure. Locating such records and, in the absence of any such records, verifying maximum pressures through physical testing, could increase our costs or result in reductions of allowable operating pressures. The 2011 Pipeline Safety Act and implementing regulations also increase the maximum penalty for violation of pipeline safety regulations from \$100,000 to \$200,000 per violation per day of violation and also from \$1 million to \$2 million for a related series of violations. The safety enhancement requirements and other provisions of the 2011 Pipeline Safety Act as well as other pipeline safety legislation or any implementation of PHMSA rules thereunder or any issuance or reinterpretation of guidance by PHMSA or any state agencies with respect thereto could require us to install new or modified safety controls, pursue additional capital projects, or conduct maintenance programs on an accelerated basis, any or all of which tasks could result in our

incurring increased operating costs that could be significant and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position. While we expect any legislative or regulatory changes to allow us time to become compliant with new requirements, costs associated with compliance may have a material effect on our operations. We cannot predict with any certainty at this time the terms of new laws, rules or regulatory interpretations, or the costs of compliance associated with such requirements.

We are subject to stringent environmental laws and regulations that may expose us to significant costs and liabilities.

- 32

---

Our natural gas gathering, compression, treating, processing and transportation operations, NGL transportation operations and transloading operations are subject to stringent and complex federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations that govern the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relate to environmental protection. Examples of these laws include:

- the CAA and analogous state laws that impose obligations related to air emissions, including, in the case of the CAA, GHG emissions and regulations affecting reciprocating engines subject to Maximum Achievable Control Technology standards;

- the CERCLA, and analogous state laws that regulate the cleanup of hazardous substances that may be or have been released at properties currently or previously owned or operated by us or at locations to which our wastes are or have been transported for disposal;

- the Clean Water Act, and analogous state laws that regulate discharges from our facilities into state and federal waters, including wetlands;

- the OPA, and analogous state laws that establish strict liability for removal costs and damages arising from an oil release into waters of the United States;

- the RCRA, and analogous state laws that impose requirements for the storage, treatment and disposal of non-hazardous and hazardous waste from our facilities;

- the ESA, which restricts activities that may affect endangered or threatened species or their habitats; and

- the Toxic Substances Control Act, and analogous state laws that impose requirements on the use, storage and disposal of various chemicals and chemical substances at our facilities.

These laws and regulations may impose numerous obligations that are applicable to our operations, including the acquisition of permits to conduct regulated activities, the incurrence of capital or operating expenditures to limit or prevent releases of materials from our pipelines and facilities, and the imposition of substantial liabilities and remedial obligations for pollution resulting from our operations. Numerous governmental authorities, such as the EPA and analogous state agencies, have the power to enforce compliance with these laws and regulations and the permits issued under them, oftentimes requiring difficult and costly corrective actions. Failure to comply with these laws, regulations and permits may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, the imposition of investigatory, remedial and corrective action obligations and the issuance of injunctions limiting or preventing some or all of our operations. In addition, we may experience a delay in obtaining or be unable to obtain required permits, which may cause us to lose potential and current customers, interrupt our operations and limit our growth and revenues.

There is a risk that we may incur significant environmental costs and liabilities in connection with our operations due to our handling of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil and other petroleum hydrocarbons, because of air emissions and product-related discharges arising out of our operations, and as a result of historical industry operations and waste disposal practices. Joint and several, strict liability may be incurred, without regard to fault, under certain of these environmental laws and regulations in connection with discharges or releases of petroleum hydrocarbons or wastes on, under or from our facilities and pipelines, a few of which have been used for natural gas gathering and NGL transportation activities for a number of years. Private parties, including the owners of the properties through which our gathering or transportation systems pass and facilities where our wastes are taken for reclamation or disposal, may also have the right to pursue legal actions to enforce compliance as well as to seek damages for non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations or for personal injury or property damage. For example, an accidental release from one of our pipelines could subject us to substantial liabilities arising from environmental cleanup and restoration costs, claims made by neighboring landowners and other third parties for personal injury and property and natural resource damages and fines or penalties for related violations of environmental laws or regulations. In addition, changes in environmental laws occur frequently, and any such changes that result in more stringent and costly waste handling, storage, transport, disposal or remediation requirements could have a material adverse effect on our operations or financial position. We may not be able to recover all or any of these costs from insurance. For additional information relating to the environmental matters associated with our business, please see Items 1 and 2 - "Business and Properties-Environmental Matters" included in this Form 10-K.

Our operations may impact the environment or cause environmental contamination, which could result in material liabilities to us.

Our operations use hazardous substances, generate limited quantities of hazardous wastes and may affect runoff or drainage water. In the event of environmental contamination or a release of regulated materials, we could become subject to claims for toxic torts, natural resource damages and other damages and for the investigation and clean-up of soil, surface water, groundwater, and other media. Such claims may arise out of conditions at sites that we currently own or operate. Our liability

for such claims may be joint and several, so that we may be held responsible for more than our share of the contamination or other damages, or even for the entire share. These and other impacts that our operations may have on the environment, as well as exposures to hazardous substances or wastes associated with our operations, could result in costs and liabilities that could have a material adverse effect on us. For additional information relating to the environmental matters associated with our business, please see Items 1 and 2 - "Business and Properties-Environmental Matters" included in this Form 10-K.

Increased regulation of hydraulic fracturing could result in reductions or delays in natural gas production by our customers, which could materially and adversely impact our revenues by decreasing the volumes of natural gas and NGLs that we gather and process or crude oil that we transport.

A portion of our customers' crude oil and gas production is developed from unconventional sources that require hydraulic fracturing as part of the completion process. Hydraulic fracturing involves the injection of water, sand and chemicals under pressure into the formation to stimulate gas production. The process is typically regulated by state oil and gas commissions, but the EPA has asserted limited regulatory authority over hydraulic fracturing, and has indicated it may seek to further expand its regulation of hydraulic fracturing. Also, the Bureau of Land Management has proposed regulations applicable to hydraulic fracturing conducted on federal and Indian oil and gas leases. In addition, Congress has from time to time considered the adoption of legislation to provide for federal regulation of hydraulic fracturing. At the state level, a growing number of states, including Texas and Wyoming, where we conduct operations, have adopted and other states are considering adopting, legal requirements that could impose more stringent permitting, disclosure or well construction requirements on hydraulic fracturing activities. In addition, local governments may seek to adopt ordinances within their jurisdictions regulating the time, place and manner of drilling activities in general or hydraulic fracturing activities in particular. Further, several federal governmental agencies are conducting reviews and studies on the environmental aspects of hydraulic fracturing activities, including the White House Council on Environmental Quality, the EPA and the U.S. Department of Energy. These studies, depending on their degree of pursuit and any meaningful results obtained, could spur initiatives to further regulate hydraulic fracturing. While we do not conduct hydraulic fracturing, if new or more stringent federal, state, or local legal restrictions relating to the hydraulic fracturing process are adopted in areas where our oil and natural gas exploration and production customers' operate, those customers could incur potentially significant added costs to comply with such requirements and experience delays or curtailment in the pursuit of exploration, development or production activities, which could reduce demand for our gathering, transportation and processing services, which could in turn adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

We do not own all of the land on which our midstream natural gas pipelines and facilities and transloading facilities are located, which could result in disruptions to our operations.

We do not own all of the land on which our midstream natural gas pipelines and facilities have been constructed, and we are, therefore, subject to the possibility of more onerous terms and/or increased costs to retain necessary land use if we do not have valid rights-of-way or if our pipelines are not properly located within the boundaries of such rights-of-way. Under the majority of our right-of-way contracts, we obtain the rights to construct and operate our pipelines on land owned by third parties and governmental agencies until abandonment. However, certain of our right-of-way contracts are for a specified period of time. In addition, we do not own the sites on which our Wildcat and Big Horn transloading facilities are located or where we conduct our transloading operations. We have a site access agreement at our Wildcat facility with a 12-year term expiring November 14, 2025, a rail siding lease at our Wildcat facility with a term that expires August 20, 2014, and a rail siding lease and service agreement at our Big Horn facility with an initial term that expires March 31, 2014.

Our loss of these rights, through our inability to renew right-of-way contracts, site access agreements or rail siding leases or otherwise, could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

The adoption of climate change legislation or regulations restricting emissions of GHGs could result in increased operating costs and reduced demand for the products and services we provide.

In December 2009, the EPA published its findings that emissions of GHG present an endangerment to public health and the environment because emissions of such gases are, according to the EPA, contributing to warming of the earth's

atmosphere and other climatic changes. Based on these findings, the EPA has adopted rules under the CAA that, among other things, establish PSD construction and Title V operating permit reviews for certain large stationary sources, which reviews could require securing PSD permits at covered facilities emitting GHGs and meeting “best available control technology” standards for those GHG emissions. In addition, the EPA has adopted rules requiring the monitoring and reporting of GHG emissions from specified onshore and offshore production facilities and onshore processing, transmission and storage facilities in the United States on an annual basis, which include certain of our operations. While Congress has from time to time considered adopting legislation to reduce emissions of GHGs, there has not been significant activity in the form of adopted legislation. In the absence of such federal climate legislation, a number of state and regional efforts have emerged that are aimed at tracking and/

or reducing GHG emissions by means of cap and trade programs. The adoption of any legislation or regulations that requires reporting of GHGs or otherwise restricts emissions of GHGs from our equipment and operations could require us to incur significant added costs to reduce emissions of GHGs or could adversely affect demand for the natural gas and NGLs we gather and process or crude oil that we transport. Moreover, if Congress undertakes comprehensive tax reform in the coming year, it is possible that such reform may include a carbon tax, which could impose additional direct costs on operations and reduce demand for refined products, which could adversely affect the services we provide.

For as long as we are an emerging growth company, we will not be required to comply with certain reporting requirements, including those relating to accounting standards and disclosure about our executive compensation, that apply to other public companies.

The JOBS Act contains provisions that, among other things, relax certain reporting requirements for emerging growth companies, including certain requirements relating to accounting standards and compensation disclosure. We are classified as an emerging growth company. For as long as we are an emerging growth company, which may be up to five full fiscal years, unlike other public companies, we will not be required to, among other things, (i) provide an auditor's attestation report on management's assessment of the effectiveness of our system of internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, (ii) comply with any new requirements adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, or the PCAOB, requiring mandatory audit firm rotation or a supplement to the auditor's report in which the auditor would be required to provide additional information about the audit and the financial statements of the issuer, (iii) comply with any new audit rules adopted by the PCAOB after April 5, 2012 unless the SEC determines otherwise, (iv) provide certain disclosures regarding executive compensation required of larger public companies or (v) hold unitholder advisory votes on executive compensation.

In addition, Section 107 of the JOBS Act also provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act for complying with new or revised accounting standards. In other words, an "emerging growth company" can delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies. We have elected to delay such adoption of new or revised accounting standards, and as a result, we may not comply with new or revised accounting standards on the relevant dates on which adoption of such standards is required for non-emerging growth companies. As a result of such election, our financial statements may not be comparable to the financial statements of other public companies.

If we fail to establish and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting, our ability to accurately report our financial results could be adversely affected.

We are not currently required to comply with the SEC's rules implementing Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, and are therefore not required to make a formal assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting for that purpose. We are required to comply with the SEC's rules implementing Sections 302 and 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, which require our management to certify financial and other information in our quarterly and annual reports and provide an annual management report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Though we are required to disclose material changes made to our internal controls and procedures on a quarterly basis, we are not required to make our first annual assessment of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 until the filing of our annual report for the year ended December 31, 2014. Furthermore, while we generally must comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, we are not required to have our independent registered public accounting firm attest to the effectiveness of our internal controls until our first annual report subsequent to our ceasing to be an "emerging growth company" within the meaning of Section 2(a)(19) of the Securities Act. Accordingly, we may not be required to have our independent registered public accounting firm attest to the effectiveness of our internal controls until our annual report for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018. Once it is required to do so, our independent registered public accounting firm may issue a report that is adverse in the event it is not satisfied with the level at which our controls are documented, designed, operated or reviewed.



If we fail to develop or maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud. As a result, unitholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which would harm our business and the trading price of our units.

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports, prevent fraud and operate successfully as a publicly traded partnership. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, our reputation and operating results will be harmed. We cannot be certain that our efforts to develop and maintain our internal controls will be successful, that we will be able to maintain adequate controls over our financial processes and reporting in the future or that we will be able to comply with our obligations under Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002. Any failure to develop or maintain effective internal controls, or difficulties encountered in implementing or improving our internal controls, could harm our operating results or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations. Ineffective internal controls could also cause investors

to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which would likely have a negative effect on the trading price of our units.

Restrictions in our revolving credit facility could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, ability to make distributions to unitholders and value of our common units.

At the closing of our initial public offering on July 31, 2013, we entered into a \$50.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility. Our revolving credit facility limits our ability to, among other things:

- incur certain additional indebtedness;
- grant certain liens;
- engage in certain asset dispositions;
- merge or consolidate;
- make certain payments, distributions, investments, acquisitions or loans;
- enter into transactions with affiliates;
- make certain changes in our lines of business or accounting practices, except as required by GAAP or its successor;
- store inventory in certain locations;
- place certain amounts of cash in accounts not subject to control agreements;
- amend or modify certain agreements and documents;
- incur certain capital expenditures;
- engage in certain prohibited transactions;
- enter into burdensome agreements; and
- act as a transmitting utility or a utility.

Our revolving credit facility also contains covenants that, among other things, require us to maintain specified ratios or conditions. We must maintain a consolidated senior secured leverage ratio, consisting of consolidated indebtedness under our new revolving credit facility to consolidated EBITDA of not more than 4.0 to 1.0, as of the last day of each fiscal quarter. In addition, we must maintain a consolidated interest coverage ratio, consisting of our consolidated EBITDA minus capital expenditures to our consolidated interest expense, letter of credit fees and commitment fees of not less than 2.5 to 1.0, as of the last day of each fiscal quarter. Our ability to meet those financial ratios and conditions can be affected by events beyond our control, and we cannot assure that we will meet those ratios and conditions.

The provisions of our revolving credit facility may affect our ability to obtain future financing and pursue attractive business opportunities and our flexibility in planning for, and reacting to, changes in business conditions. In addition, a failure to comply with the provisions of our revolving credit facility could result in a default or an event of default that could enable our lenders to declare the outstanding principal of that debt, together with accrued and unpaid interest, to be immediately due and payable. If the payment of our debt is accelerated, our assets may be insufficient to repay such debt in full, and our unitholders could experience a partial or total loss of their investment.

Debt we incur in the future may limit our flexibility to obtain financing and to pursue other business opportunities. As of December 31, 2013, we had unused capacity under our revolving credit facility of \$46.0 million and outstanding borrowings of \$4.0 million. We have the ability to incur additional debt under our revolving credit facility. Our future level of debt could have important consequences to us, including the following:

- our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be impaired or such financing may not be available on favorable terms;
- our funds available for operations, future business opportunities and distributions to unitholders will be reduced by that portion of our cash flows required to make interest payments on our debt;
- we may be more vulnerable to competitive pressures or a downturn in our business or the economy generally; and
- our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions may be limited.

Our ability to service our debt depends upon, among other things, our future financial and operating performance, which is affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. If our results of operations are not sufficient to service any future indebtedness, we will be forced to take actions such as reducing distributions, reducing or delaying our business activities, acquisitions, investments or capital expenditures, selling assets or seeking additional equity capital. We may not be able to effect any of these actions on satisfactory terms or at all.

Increases in interest rates could materially and adversely impact our unit price, our ability to issue equity or incur debt for acquisitions or other purposes and our ability to make cash distributions at our intended levels.

Interest rates may increase in the future. As a result, interest rates on future credit facilities and debt offerings could be higher than current levels, causing our financing costs to increase accordingly. As with other yield-oriented securities, our unit price is impacted by our level of our cash distributions and implied distribution yield. The distribution yield is often used by investors to compare and rank yield-oriented securities for investment decision-making purposes.

Therefore, changes in interest rates, either positive or negative, may affect the yield requirements of investors who invest in our units, and a rising interest rate environment could have an adverse impact on our unit price, our ability to issue equity or incur debt for acquisitions or other purposes and our ability to make cash distributions at our intended levels.

Our ability to operate our business effectively could be impaired if we fail to attract and retain key management personnel.

Our ability to operate our business and implement our strategies depends on the continued contributions of certain executive officers and key employees of our general partner, particularly W. Keith Maxwell III. The loss of Mr. Maxwell or any of our other senior executives could materially and adversely affect our business. In addition, we believe that our future success will depend on our continued ability to attract and retain highly skilled management personnel with midstream energy industry experience, and competition for these persons in the midstream energy industry is intense. Given our small size, we may be at a disadvantage, relative to our larger competitors, in the competition for these personnel. We may not be able to continue to employ our senior executives and key personnel or attract and retain qualified personnel in the future, and our failure to retain or attract our senior executives and key personnel could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A shortage of skilled labor in the midstream energy industry could reduce labor productivity and increase costs, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

The gathering, treating, processing and transporting of natural gas and NGLs and transloading of crude oil requires skilled laborers in multiple disciplines such as equipment operators, mechanics and engineers, among others. We have from time to time encountered shortages for these types of skilled labor. If we experience shortages of skilled labor in the future, our labor and overall productivity or costs could be materially and adversely affected. If our labor prices increase or if we experience materially increased health and benefit costs with respect to our general partner's and its affiliates' employees, our results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

The amount of cash we have available for distribution to holders of our common and subordinated units depends primarily on our cash flows rather than on our profitability, which may prevent us from making distributions, even during periods in which we record net income.

The amount of cash we have available for distribution depends primarily upon our cash flow and not solely on profitability, which will be affected by non-cash items. As a result, we may make cash distributions during periods when we record losses for financial accounting purposes and may not make cash distributions during periods when we record net earnings for financial accounting purposes.

Terrorist attacks and threats, cyber-attacks, escalation of military activity in response to these attacks or acts of war could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Terrorist attacks and threats, cyber-attacks, escalation of military activity or acts of war may have significant effects on general economic conditions, fluctuations in consumer confidence and spending and market liquidity, each of which could materially and adversely affect our business. Future terrorist or cyber-attacks, rumors or threats of war, actual conflicts involving the United States or its allies, or military or trade disruptions affecting our customers may significantly affect our operations and those of our customers. Strategic targets, such as energy-related assets and

transportation assets, may be at greater risk of future attacks than other targets in the United States. Disruption or significant increases in energy prices could result in government-imposed price controls. Any of these occurrences, or a combination of them, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

- 37

---

### Risks Inherent in an Investment in Us

NuDevco owns and controls our general partner, which has sole responsibility for conducting our business and managing our operations. Our general partner has conflicts of interest with and owes limited fiduciary duties to us, and may favor our general partner's and NuDevco's interests to the detriment of us and our unitholders.

NuDevco indirectly owns and controls our general partner and appoints all of the officers and directors of our general partner, some of whom are also officers of NuDevco. W. Keith Maxwell III, the Chairman of the Board of Directors of our general partner, is the sole owner of NuDevco, which in turn controls AES, one of our principal customers. Although our general partner has a fiduciary duty to manage us in a manner that is beneficial to us and our unitholders, the directors and officers of our general partner have a fiduciary duty to manage our general partner in a manner that is beneficial to its owner. Conflicts of interest may arise between our general partner and its affiliates, on the one hand, and us and our unitholders, on the other hand. In resolving these conflicts of interest, our general partner may favor its own interests and the interests of NuDevco, AES and their respective affiliates over our interests and the interests of our unitholders. These conflicts include the following situations, among others:

- Neither our partnership agreement nor any other agreement requires our general partner and its affiliates to pursue a business strategy that favors us.

- Our general partner is allowed to take into account the interests of parties other than us, such as NuDevco, AES and their respective affiliates, in resolving conflicts of interest.

- Our partnership agreement limits the liability of and reduces the fiduciary duties owed by our general partner, and also restricts the remedies available to our unitholders for actions that, without the limitations, might constitute breaches of fiduciary duty.

- Except in limited circumstances, our general partner has the power and authority to conduct our business without unitholder approval.

- Our general partner determines the amount and timing of asset purchases and sales, borrowings, issuance of additional partnership securities and the creation, reduction or increase of reserves, each of which can affect the amount of cash that is distributed to our unitholders.

- Our general partner determines the amount and timing of any capital expenditures and whether a capital expenditure is classified as a maintenance capital expenditure, which reduces operating surplus, or an expansion capital expenditure, which does not reduce operating surplus. This determination can affect the amount of cash that is distributed to our unitholders and to our general partner and the ability of the subordinated units to convert to common units.

- Our general partner determines which costs incurred by it are reimbursable by us.

- Our general partner may cause us to borrow funds in order to permit the payment of cash distributions, even if the purpose or effect of the borrowing is to make a distribution on the subordinated units, to make incentive distributions or to accelerate the expiration of the subordination period.

- Our partnership agreement permits us to classify up to \$19.0 million as operating surplus, even if it is generated from asset sales, non-working capital borrowings or other sources that would otherwise constitute capital surplus. This cash may be used to fund distributions on our subordinated units or to our general partner in respect of the general partner interest or to NuDevco in respect of the incentive distribution rights.

- Our partnership agreement does not restrict our general partner from causing us to pay it or its affiliates for any services rendered to us or entering into additional contractual arrangements with any of these entities on our behalf.

- Our general partner intends to limit its liability regarding our contractual and other obligations.

- Our general partner may exercise its right to call and purchase all of the common units not owned by it and its affiliates if they own more than 80% of the common units.

- Our general partner controls the enforcement of the obligations that it and its affiliates owe to us.

- Our general partner decides whether to retain separate counsel, accountants or others to perform services for us.

- NuDevco may elect to cause us to issue common units to it in connection with a resetting of the target distribution levels related to our sponsor's incentive distribution rights without the approval of the conflicts committee of the



board of directors of our general partner or our unitholders. This election may result in lower distributions to our common unitholders in certain situations.

NuDevco and its affiliates, including AES, are not limited in their ability to compete with us and, other than as provided in the omnibus agreement that we have entered into with NuDevco, NuDevco Midstream Development and our general partner at the close of our initial public offering, are not obligated to offer us the opportunity to acquire additional assets or businesses, which could limit our ability to grow and could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

NuDevco and its affiliates are not prohibited from owning assets or engaging in businesses that compete directly or indirectly with us. In addition, in the future, NuDevco or its affiliates may acquire, construct or dispose of additional midstream natural gas, crude oil logistics or other assets and may be presented with new business opportunities, without any obligation to offer us the opportunity to purchase or construct such assets or to engage in such business opportunities, other than such obligations as set forth in the omnibus agreement that we entered into with NuDevco, NuDevco Midstream Development and our general partner at the closing of our initial public offering. Moreover, except for the obligations set forth in the omnibus agreement, neither NuDevco nor any of its affiliates has a contractual obligation to offer us the opportunity to purchase additional assets from it, and we are unable to predict whether or when such an offer may be presented and acted upon.

Common units held by persons who are non-taxpaying assignees will be subject to the possibility of redemption. Our partnership agreement gives our general partner the power to amend the agreement to avoid any adverse effect on the maximum applicable rates chargeable to customers by us under FERC regulations, or in order to reverse an adverse determination that has occurred regarding such maximum rate. If our general partner determines that our not being treated as an association taxable as a corporation or otherwise taxable as an entity for U.S. federal income tax purposes, coupled with the tax status (or lack of proof thereof) of one or more of our limited partners, has, or is reasonably likely to have, a material adverse effect on the maximum applicable rates chargeable to customers by us, then our general partner may adopt such amendments to our partnership agreement as it determines are necessary or advisable to obtain proof of the U.S. federal income tax status of our limited partners (and their owners, to the extent relevant) and permit us to redeem the units held by any person whose tax status has or is reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the maximum applicable rates or who fails to comply with the procedures instituted by our general partner to obtain proof of the U.S. federal income tax status.

Our general partner intends to limit its liability regarding our obligations.

Our general partner intends to limit its liability under contractual arrangements so that the counterparties to such arrangements have recourse only against our assets and not against our general partner or its assets. Our general partner may therefore cause us to incur indebtedness or other obligations that are nonrecourse to our general partner. Our partnership agreement provides that any action taken by our general partner to limit its liability is not a breach of our general partner's fiduciary duties, even if we could have obtained more favorable terms without the limitation on liability. In addition, we are obligated to reimburse or indemnify our general partner to the extent that it incurs obligations on our behalf. Any such reimbursement or indemnification payments would reduce the amount of cash otherwise available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our partnership agreement requires that we distribute all of our available cash, which could limit our ability to grow and make acquisitions.

Because we distribute all of our available cash to our unitholders, we expect to rely primarily upon external financing sources, including commercial bank borrowings and the issuance of debt and equity securities, to fund our acquisitions and expansion capital expenditures. As a result, to the extent we are unable to finance growth externally, our cash distribution policy will significantly impair our ability to grow.

In addition, because we distribute all of our available cash, we may not grow as quickly as businesses that reinvest their available cash to expand ongoing operations. To the extent we issue additional units in connection with any acquisitions or expansion capital expenditures, the payment of distributions on those additional units may increase the risk that we will be unable to maintain or increase our per unit distribution level. There are no limitations in our partnership agreement, and in our revolving credit facility, on our ability to issue additional units, including units ranking senior to the common units. The incurrence of additional commercial borrowings or other debt to finance our

growth strategy would result in increased interest expense, which, in turn, may impact the available cash that we have to distribute to our unitholders.

Our partnership agreement limits our general partner's fiduciary duties to holders of our common and subordinated units.

Our partnership agreement contains provisions that modify and reduce the fiduciary standards to which our general partner would otherwise be held by state fiduciary duty law. For example, our partnership agreement permits our general



partner to make a number of decisions in its individual capacity, as opposed to in its capacity as our general partner or otherwise, free of fiduciary duties to us and our unitholders. This entitles our general partner to consider only the interests and factors that it desires and relieves it of any duty or obligation to give any consideration to any interest of, or factors affecting, us, our affiliates or our limited partners. Examples of decisions that our general partner may make in its individual capacity include:

how to allocate corporate opportunities among us and its affiliates;

- whether to exercise its limited call right;

how to exercise its voting rights with respect to the units it owns;

whether to elect to reset target distribution levels; and

whether or not to consent to any merger or consolidation of the partnership or amendment to the partnership agreement.

Our partnership agreement restricts the remedies available to holders of our common and subordinated units for actions taken by our general partner that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty.

Our partnership agreement contains provisions that restrict the remedies available to unitholders for actions taken by our general partner that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty under state fiduciary duty law. For example, our partnership agreement:

provides that whenever our general partner makes a determination or takes, or declines to take, any other action in its capacity as our general partner, our general partner is required to make such determination, or take or decline to take such other action, in good faith, and will not be subject to any other or different standard imposed by our partnership agreement, Delaware law, or any other law, rule or regulation, or at equity;

provides that our general partner will not have any liability to us or our unitholders for decisions made in its capacity as a general partner so long as such decisions are made in good faith, meaning that it believed that the decision was in the best interest of our partnership, taking into account the totality of the circumstances or the totality of the relationships between the parties involved, including other relationships or transactions that may be particularly favorable or advantageous to us;

provides that our general partner and its officers and directors will not be liable for monetary damages to us, our limited partners or their assignees resulting from any act or omission unless there has been a final and non-appealable judgment entered by a court of competent jurisdiction determining that our general partner or its officers and directors, as the case may be, acted in bad faith or engaged in fraud or willful misconduct or, in the case of a criminal matter, acted with knowledge that the conduct was criminal; and

provides that our general partner will not be in breach of its obligations under the partnership agreement or its fiduciary duties to us or our unitholders if a transaction with an affiliate or the resolution of a conflict of interest is:

- (1) approved by the conflicts committee of the board of directors of our general partner, although our general partner is not obligated to seek such approval;
- (2) approved by the vote of a majority of the outstanding common units, excluding any common units owned by our general partner and its affiliates;
- (3) on terms no less favorable to us than those generally being provided to or available from unrelated third parties; or
- (4) fair and reasonable to us, taking into account the totality of the relationships among the parties involved, including other transactions that may be particularly favorable or advantageous to us.

In connection with a situation involving a transaction with an affiliate or a conflict of interest, any determination by our general partner must be made in good faith. If an affiliate transaction or the resolution of a conflict of interest is not approved by our common unitholders or the conflicts committee and the board of directors of our general partner determines that the resolution or course of action taken with respect to the affiliate transaction or conflict of interest satisfies either of the standards set forth in subclauses (3) and (4) above, then it will be presumed that, in making its decision, the board of directors acted in good faith, and in any proceeding brought by or on behalf of any limited partner or the partnership, the person bringing or prosecuting such proceeding will have the burden of overcoming such presumption.



NuDevco, as the owner of all of our incentive distribution rights, may elect to cause us to issue common units to it in connection with a resetting of the target distribution levels related to its incentive distribution rights without the approval of the conflicts committee of the board of directors of our general partner or our unitholders. This election may result in lower distributions to our common unitholders in certain situations.

NuDevco, as the owner of all of our incentive distribution rights, has the right, at any time when there are no subordinated units outstanding and it has received incentive distributions at the highest level to which it is entitled (48.0%) for each of the prior four consecutive fiscal quarters, to reset the initial target distribution levels at higher levels based on our cash distribution at the time of the exercise of the reset election. Following a reset election by NuDevco, the minimum quarterly distribution will be reset to an amount equal to the average cash distribution per unit for the two fiscal quarters immediately preceding the reset election (such amount is referred to as the “reset minimum quarterly distribution”), and the target distribution levels will be reset to correspondingly higher levels based on percentage increases above the reset minimum quarterly distribution.

We anticipate that NuDevco would exercise this reset right in order to facilitate acquisitions or internal growth projects that would not be sufficiently accretive to cash distributions per common unit without such conversion; however, it is possible that NuDevco could exercise this reset election at a time when we are experiencing declines in our aggregate cash distributions or at a time when NuDevco expects that we will experience declines in our aggregate cash distributions in the foreseeable future. In such situations, NuDevco may be experiencing, or may expect to experience, declines in the cash distributions it receives related to its incentive distribution rights and may therefore desire to be issued common units, which are entitled to specified priorities with respect to our distributions and which therefore may be more advantageous for NuDevco to own in lieu of the right to receive incentive distribution payments based on target distribution levels that are less certain to be achieved in the then current business environment. As a result, a reset election may cause our common unitholders to experience dilution in the amount of cash distributions that they would have otherwise received had we not issued common units to NuDevco in connection with resetting the target distribution levels related to NuDevco’s incentive distribution rights.

Holders of our common units have limited voting rights and are not entitled to elect our general partner or its directors.

Unlike the holders of common stock in a corporation, unitholders have only limited voting rights on matters affecting our business and, therefore, limited ability to influence management’s decisions regarding our business. For example, unlike holders of stock in a public corporation, unitholders do not have “say-on-pay” advisory voting rights. Unitholders have no right on an annual or ongoing basis to elect our general partner or its board of directors. The board of directors of our general partner will be chosen by NuDevco. Furthermore, if the unitholders are dissatisfied with the performance of our general partner, they have little ability to remove our general partner. Our partnership agreement also contains provisions limiting the ability of unitholders to call meetings or to acquire information about our operations, as well as other provisions limiting the unitholders’ ability to influence the manner or direction of management.

Even if holders of our common units are dissatisfied, they cannot initially remove our general partner without its consent.

The unitholders are currently unable to remove our general partner without its consent because our general partner and its affiliates own sufficient units to be able to prevent its removal. The vote of the holders of at least  $66\frac{2}{3}\%$  of all outstanding limited partner units voting together as a single class is required to remove our general partner. NuDevco indirectly owns 64.2% of our outstanding common and subordinated units. Also, if our general partner is removed without cause during the subordination period and units held by our general partner and its affiliates are not voted in favor of that removal, all remaining subordinated units will automatically convert into common units and any existing arrearages on our common units will be extinguished. A removal of our general partner under these circumstances would materially and adversely affect our common units by prematurely eliminating their distribution and liquidation preference over our subordinated units, which would otherwise have continued until we had met certain distribution and performance tests. Cause is narrowly defined to mean that a court of competent jurisdiction has entered a final, non-appealable judgment finding our general partner liable for actual fraud or willful or wanton misconduct in its capacity as our general partner. Cause does not include most cases of charges of poor management of the business, so

the removal of our general partner because of the unitholder's dissatisfaction with our general partner's performance in managing our partnership will most likely result in the termination of the subordination period and conversion of all subordinated units to common units.

Our partnership agreement restricts the voting rights of unitholders owning 20% or more of our common units. Unitholders' voting rights are further restricted by a provision of our partnership agreement providing that any units held by a person that owns 20% or more of any class of units then outstanding, other than our general partner, its affiliates, their transferees and persons who acquired such units with the prior approval of the board of directors of our general partner, cannot vote on any matter.

Our general partner interest or the control of our general partner may be transferred to a third party without unitholder consent.

Our general partner may transfer its general partner interest to a third party in a merger or in a sale of all or substantially all of its assets without the consent of the unitholders. Furthermore, our partnership agreement does not restrict the ability of NuDevco to transfer all or a portion of its ownership interest in our general partner to a third party. The new owner of our general partner would then be in a position to replace the board of directors and officers of our general partner with its own designees and thereby exert significant control over the decisions made by the board of directors and officers.

The incentive distribution rights indirectly held by NuDevco may be transferred to a third party without unitholder consent.

NuDevco, as the indirect owner of the incentive distribution rights, may transfer its incentive distribution rights to a third party at any time without the consent of our unitholders. If NuDevco transfers the incentive distribution rights to a third party, NuDevco and its affiliates may not have the same incentive to grow our partnership and increase quarterly distributions to unitholders over time as it would if it had retained ownership of its incentive distribution rights. For example, a transfer of incentive distribution rights by NuDevco could reduce the likelihood of NuDevco accepting offers made by us relating to assets subject to the right of first offer contained in our omnibus agreement or renewing contractual arrangements with us in the future, as NuDevco and its affiliates would have less of an economic incentive to grow our business, which in turn would impact our ability to grow our asset base.

We may issue additional units without the approval of our unitholders, which would dilute their existing unitholder interests.

Our partnership agreement does not limit the number of additional general partner interests or limited partner interests that we may issue at any time without the approval of our unitholders and our unitholders will have no preemptive or other rights (solely as a result of their status as unitholders) to purchase any such general partner interests or limited partner interests. Further, there are no limitations in our partnership agreement on our ability to issue equity securities that are equal or senior to our common units with respect to distributions or liquidation preference or that have special voting rights and other rights. The issuance by us of additional common units or other equity securities of equal or senior rank will have the following effects:

- our unitholders' proportionate ownership interest in us will decrease;
- the amount of cash we have available to distribute on each unit may decrease;
- because a lower percentage of total outstanding units will be subordinated units, the risk that a shortfall in the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution will be borne by our common unitholders will increase;
- the ratio of taxable income to distributions may increase;
- the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding unit may be diminished; and
- the market price of the common units may decline.

The issuance by us of additional general partner units will have the following effects, among others, if such general partner interests are issued to a person who is not an affiliate of NuDevco:

- our business will no longer be solely managed by our general partner's current owner, NuDevco;
- the newly admitted general partner may have sufficient ownership to be in a position to replace the board of directors and officers of our general partner with its own nominees; and
- affiliates of the newly admitted general partner may compete with us, and neither our general partner nor such affiliates will have any obligation to present business opportunities to us.

NuDevco or other large holders may sell units in the public or private markets, and such sales could have an adverse impact on the trading price of the common units.

We have 8,724,545 common units and 8,724,545 subordinated units outstanding and NuDevco holds an aggregate of 1,849,545 common units and 8,724,545 subordinated units. All of the subordinated units will convert into common units at the end of the subordination period and may convert earlier under certain circumstances. The sale of these units in the public or private markets could have an adverse impact on the price of the common units or on any trading market that may develop.

Our general partner has a limited call right that may require unitholders to sell their units at an undesirable time or price.

- 42

---

If at any time our general partner and its affiliates own more than 80% of the common units, our general partner will have the right, which it may assign to any of its affiliates or to us, but not the obligation, to acquire all, but not less than all, of the common units held by unaffiliated persons at a price that is not less than their then-current market price, as calculated pursuant to the terms of our partnership agreement. As a result, our unitholders may be required to sell their common units at an undesirable time or price and may not receive any return on their investment. Our unitholders may also incur a tax liability upon a sale of their units. NuDevco indirectly owns approximately 21.2% of our outstanding common units. At the end of the subordination period, assuming no additional issuances of common units (other than upon the conversion of the subordinated units), NuDevco will indirectly own approximately 60.6% of our outstanding common units.

The liability of our unitholders may not be limited if a court finds that unitholder action constitutes control of our business.

A general partner of a partnership generally has unlimited liability for the obligations of the partnership, except for those contractual obligations of the partnership that are expressly made without recourse to the general partner. Our partnership is organized under Delaware law, and we conduct business in a number of other states. The limitations on the liability of holders of limited partner interests for the obligations of a limited partnership have not been clearly established in some of the other states in which we do business. Our unitholders could be liable for any and all of our obligations as if they were a general partner if a court or government agency were to determine that:

• we were conducting business in a state but had not complied with that particular state's partnership statute; or  
• our unitholders' right to act with other unitholders to remove or replace our general partner, to approve some amendments to our partnership agreement or to take other actions under our partnership agreement constitute "control" of our business.

Unitholders may have liability to repay distributions that were wrongfully distributed to them.

Under certain circumstances, unitholders may have to repay amounts wrongfully returned or distributed to them.

Under Section 17-607 of the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act, we may not make a distribution to our unitholders if the distribution would cause our liabilities to exceed the fair value of our assets. Delaware law provides that for a period of three years from the date of an impermissible distribution, limited partners who received the distribution and who knew at the time of the distribution that it violated Delaware law will be liable to the limited partnership for the distribution amount. Substituted limited partners are liable both for the obligations of the assignor to make contributions to the partnership that were known to the substituted limited partner at the time it became a limited partner and for those obligations that were unknown if the liabilities could have been determined from the partnership agreement. Neither liabilities to partners on account of their partnership interest nor liabilities that are non-recourse to the partnership are counted for purposes of determining whether a distribution is permitted.

#### Tax Risks to Common Unitholders

Our tax treatment depends on our status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. If the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, were to treat us as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, which would subject us to entity-level taxation, then our distributable cash flow would be substantially reduced.

The anticipated after-tax economic benefit of an investment in the common units depends largely on our being treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. We have not requested, and do not plan to request, a ruling from the IRS on this or any other tax matter affecting us.

Despite the fact that we are a limited partnership under Delaware law, it is possible in certain circumstances for a partnership such as ours to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. Although we do not believe based upon our operations that we are or will be so treated, a change in our business or a change in current law could cause us to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or otherwise subject us to taxation as an entity. If we were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we would pay federal income tax on our taxable income at the corporate tax rate, which is currently a maximum of 35.0%, and would likely pay state and local income tax at varying rates. Distributions would generally be taxed again as corporate dividends (to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits), and no income, gains, losses, deductions, or credits would flow through to our unitholders. Since a tax would be imposed upon us as a corporation, our distributable cash flow would be reduced substantially. Therefore, if we were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes there would be material

reduction in the anticipated cash flows and after-tax return to our unitholders, likely causing a substantial reduction in the value of our common units.

Our partnership agreement provides that if a law is enacted or existing law is modified or interpreted in a manner that subjects us to taxation as a corporation or otherwise subjects us to entity-level taxation for federal, state or local income tax

- 43

---



purposes, the minimum quarterly distribution amount and the target distribution amounts may be adjusted to reflect the impact of that law on us.

If we were subjected to a material amount of additional entity-level taxation by individual states, it would reduce our distributable cash flow.

Changes in current state law may subject us to additional entity-level taxation by individual states. Because of widespread state budget deficits and other reasons, several states are evaluating ways to subject partnerships to entity-level taxation through the imposition of state income, franchise and other forms of taxation. Imposition of any such taxes may substantially reduce distributable cash flow. Our partnership agreement provides that if a law is enacted or existing law is modified or interpreted in a manner that subjects us to entity-level taxation, the minimum quarterly distribution amount and the target distribution amounts may be adjusted to reflect the impact of that law on us.

The tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships or an investment in our common units could be subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes or differing interpretations, possibly applied on a retroactive basis. The present federal income tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships, including us, or an investment in our common units may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial interpretation at any time. For example, from time to time, members of the U.S. Congress propose and consider substantive changes to the existing federal income tax laws that affect certain publicly traded partnerships. We are unable to predict whether any such proposals will ultimately be enacted. However, it is possible that a change in law could affect us and may be applied retroactively. Any such changes could negatively impact the value of an investment in our common units.

Our unitholders' share of our income will be taxable to them for U.S. federal income tax purposes even if they do not receive any cash distributions from us.

Because a unitholder will be treated as a partner to whom we will allocate taxable income which could be different in amount than the cash we distribute, a unitholder's allocable share of our taxable income will be taxable to it, which may require the payment of federal income taxes and, in some cases, state and local income taxes on its share of our taxable income even if it receives no cash distributions from us. Our unitholders may not receive cash distributions from us equal to their share of our taxable income or even equal to the actual tax liability resulting from that income. If the IRS contests the federal income tax positions we take, the market for our common units may be adversely impacted and the cost of any IRS contest will reduce our distributable cash flow.

We have not requested a ruling from the IRS with respect to our treatment as a partnership for federal income tax purposes or any other matter affecting us. The IRS may adopt positions that differ from the positions we take. It may be necessary to resort to administrative or court proceedings to sustain some or all of the positions we take. A court may not agree with some or all of the positions we take. Any contest with the IRS, and the outcome of any IRS contest, may have a materially adverse impact on the market for our common units and the price at which they trade. In addition, our costs of any contest with the IRS will be borne indirectly by our unitholders and our general partner because the costs will reduce our distributable cash flow.

Tax gain or loss on the disposition of our common units could be more or less than expected.

If our unitholders sell common units, they will recognize a gain or loss for federal income tax purposes equal to the difference between the amount realized and their tax basis in those common units. Because distributions in excess of their allocable share of our net taxable income decrease their tax basis in their common units, the amount, if any, of such prior excess distributions with respect to the common units a unitholder sells will, in effect, become taxable income to the unitholder if it sells such common units at a price greater than its tax basis in those common units, even if the price the unitholder receives is less than its original cost. Furthermore, a substantial portion of the amount realized on any sale of a unitholder's common units, whether or not representing gain, may be taxed as ordinary income due to potential recapture items, including depreciation recapture. In addition, because the amount realized includes a unitholder's share of our nonrecourse liabilities, a unitholder that sells common units may incur a tax liability in excess of the amount of cash received from the sale.

Tax-exempt entities and non-U.S. persons face unique tax issues from owning our common units that may result in adverse tax consequences to them.

Investment in common units by tax-exempt entities, such as employee benefit plans and individual retirement accounts (known as IRAs), and non-U.S. persons raises issues unique to them. For example, virtually all of our income allocated to organizations that are exempt from federal income tax, including IRAs and other retirement plans, will be unrelated business taxable income and will be taxable to them. Distributions to non-U.S. persons will be reduced by withholding taxes at the highest applicable effective tax rate, and non-U.S. persons will be required to file U.S. federal income tax returns and pay tax

- 44

---

on their share of our taxable income. If you are a tax-exempt entity or a non-U.S. person, you should consult a tax advisor before investing in our common units.

We will treat each purchaser of common units as having the same tax benefits without regard to the common units actually purchased. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could adversely affect the value of the common units.

Because we cannot match transferors and transferees of common units and because of other reasons, we will adopt depreciation and amortization positions that may not conform to all aspects of existing Treasury Regulations. A successful IRS challenge to those positions could adversely affect the amount of tax benefits available to our unitholders. It also could affect the timing of these tax benefits or the amount of gain from a unitholder's sale of common units and could have a negative impact on the value of our common units or result in audit adjustments to our unitholders' tax returns.

We will prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction for U.S. federal income tax purposes between transferors and transferees of our units each month based upon the ownership of our units on the first day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular unit is transferred. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

We will prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction for U.S. federal income tax purposes between transferors and transferees of our units each month based upon the ownership of our units on the first day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular unit is transferred. The use of this proration method may not be permitted under existing Treasury Regulations, and although the U.S. Treasury Department issued proposed regulations allowing a similar monthly simplifying convention, such regulations are not final and do not specifically authorize the use of the proration method we will adopt. If the IRS were to challenge this method or new Treasury regulations were issued, we may be required to change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

A unitholder whose common units are the subject of a securities loan (e.g., a loan to a "short seller" to cover a short sale of common units) may be considered to have disposed of those common units. If so, the unitholder would no longer be treated for federal income tax purposes as a partner with respect to those common units during the period of the loan and could recognize gain or loss from the disposition.

Because there are no specific rules governing the federal income tax consequences of loaning a partnership interest, a unitholder whose common units are the subject of a securities loan may be considered to have disposed of the loaned common units. In that case, the unitholder may no longer be treated for federal income tax purposes as a partner with respect to those common units during the period of the loan and the unitholder may recognize gain or loss from such disposition. Moreover, during the period of the loan, any of our income, gain, loss or deduction with respect to those common units may not be reportable by the unitholder and any cash distributions received by the unitholder as to those common units could be fully taxable as ordinary income. Unitholders desiring to assure their status as partners and avoid the risk of gain recognition from a loan of their common units are urged to modify any applicable brokerage account agreements to prohibit their brokers from borrowing their common units.

We will adopt certain valuation methodologies and monthly conventions for U.S. federal income tax purposes that may result in a shift of income, gain, loss and deduction between our general partner, our unitholders and the holder of our incentive distribution rights. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could adversely affect the value of the common units.

When we issue additional units or engage in certain other transactions, we will determine the fair market value of our assets and allocate any unrealized gain or loss attributable to our assets to the capital accounts of our unitholders, our general partner and the holder of our incentive distribution rights. Our methodology may be viewed as understating the value of our assets. In that case, there may be a shift of income, gain, loss and deduction between certain unitholders, our general partner and the holder of our incentive distribution rights, which may be unfavorable to such unitholders. Moreover, under our valuation methods, subsequent purchasers of common units may have a greater portion of their Internal Revenue Code Section 743(b) adjustment allocated to our tangible assets and a lesser portion allocated to our intangible assets. The IRS may challenge our valuation methods, or our allocation of the Section 743(b) adjustment attributable to our tangible and intangible assets, and allocations of taxable income, gain,

loss and deduction between our general partner, certain of our unitholders and the holder of our incentive distribution rights.

A successful IRS challenge to these methods or allocations could adversely affect the amount of taxable income or loss being allocated to our unitholders. It also could affect the amount of taxable gain from our unitholders' sale of common units and could have a negative impact on the value of the common units or result in audit adjustments to our unitholders' tax returns without the benefit of additional deductions.

- 45

---

The sale or exchange of 50% or more of our capital and profits interests during any twelve-month period will result in the termination of our partnership for federal income tax purposes.

We will be considered to have technically terminated our partnership for federal income tax purposes if there is a sale or exchange of 50% or more of the total interests in our capital and profits within a twelve-month period. For purposes of determining whether the 50% threshold has been met, multiple sales of the same interest will be counted only once. Our technical termination would, among other things, result in the closing of our taxable year for all unitholders, which would result in us filing two tax returns (and our unitholders could receive two Schedules K-1 if relief was not available, as described below) for one fiscal year and could result in a deferral of depreciation deductions allowable in computing our taxable income. In the case of a unitholder reporting on a taxable year other than a fiscal year ending December 31, the closing of our taxable year may also result in more than twelve months of our taxable income or loss being includable in his taxable income for the year of termination. Our termination currently would not affect our classification as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, but instead we would be treated as a new partnership for tax purposes. If treated as a new partnership, we must make new tax elections and could be subject to penalties if we are unable to determine that a termination occurred. The IRS has announced a publicly traded partnership technical termination relief program whereby, if a publicly traded partnership that technically terminated requests publicly traded partnership technical termination relief and such relief is granted by the IRS, among other things, the partnership will only have to provide one Schedule K-1 to unitholders for the year notwithstanding two partnership tax years.

As a result of investing in our common units, a unitholder may become subject to state and local taxes and return filing requirements in jurisdictions where we operate or own or acquire properties.

In addition to federal income taxes, our unitholders will likely be subject to other taxes, including state and local taxes, unincorporated business taxes and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes that are imposed by the various jurisdictions in which we conduct business or own property now or in the future, even if they do not live in any of those jurisdictions. Our unitholders will likely be required to file state and local income tax returns and pay state and local income taxes in some or all of these various jurisdictions. Further, our unitholders may be subject to penalties for failure to comply with those requirements. We will initially own property or conduct business in Texas, Utah, Arizona, Louisiana and Wyoming. Utah, Arizona and Louisiana currently impose a personal income tax on individuals. As we make acquisitions or expand our business, we may own property or conduct business in additional states that impose a personal income tax. It is your responsibility to file all U.S. federal, state and local tax returns. Compliance with and changes in tax laws could adversely affect our performance.

We are subject to extensive tax laws and regulations, including federal, state and foreign income taxes and transactional taxes such as excise, sales/use, payroll, franchise and ad valorem taxes. New tax laws and regulations and changes in existing tax laws and regulations are continuously being enacted that could result in increased tax expenditures in the future. Many of these tax liabilities are subject to audits by the respective taxing authority. These audits may result in additional taxes as well as interest and penalties.

#### Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

#### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not a party to any legal, regulatory or administrative proceedings other than proceedings arising in the ordinary course of our business. Management believes that there are no such proceedings for which final disposition could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, or for which disclosure is required by Item 103 of Regulation S-K.

#### Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

- 46

---

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Unitholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

## MARKET INFORMATION

Our common units are listed on the NASDAQ under the symbol "FISH." The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for the common units and the cash distribution per unit declared subsequent to our IPO.

| 2013                             | Third Quarter (1) | Fourth Quarter |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| High Price                       | \$ 20.25          | \$ 19.25       |
| Low Price                        | \$ 17.45          | \$ 15.93       |
| Distribution per common unit (2) | \$ 0.23           | \$ 0.35        |

(1) From August 8, 2013, the date our common units began trading on the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC, through September 30, 2013.

(2) For the quarter ending September 30, 2013, the amount of the distribution was adjusted based on the net income of the Partnership for the period from the IPO date of July 31, 2013 through September 30, 2013.

As of January 31, 2014, there were approximately 27 unitholders of record of the Partnership's common units. This number does not include unitholders whose units are held in trust by other entities. The actual number of unitholders is greater than the number of holders of record. We have also issued 356,104 general partner units for which there is no established public trading market. All general units are held by our general partner.

## OTHER SECURITIES MATTERS

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans. In connection with the IPO, the board of directors of the Partnership's general partner adopted the Marlin Midstream Partners, LP 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP"). Individuals who are eligible to receive awards under the LTIP include (1) employees of the Partnership and NuDevco Midstream Development and its affiliates, (2) directors of the Partnership's general partner, and (3) consultants. The LTIP provides for the grant of unit options, unit appreciation awards, restricted units, phantom units, distribution equivalent rights, unit awards, profits interest units, and other unit-based awards. The maximum number of common units issuable under the LTIP is 1,750,000.

## SELECTED INFORMATION FROM THE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

Distributable cash and distributions. The partnership agreement requires the Partnership to distribute all available cash to unitholders of record, as of the applicable record date, no later than 45 days after the end of each quarter, beginning with the quarter ending September 30, 2013.

Available cash generally means, for any quarter, all cash and cash equivalents on hand at the end of that quarter:

•less the amount of cash reserves established by the general partner to:

provide for the proper conduct of the business (including reserves for future capital expenditures and anticipated future debt service requirements and for anticipated shortfalls on future minimum commitment payments to which prior credits may be applied);

comply with applicable law, any of our debt instruments or other agreements; or

provide funds for distributions to unitholders and to the general partner for any one or more of the next four quarters (provided that the general partner may not establish cash reserves for distributions if the effect of the establishment of such reserves will prevent us from distributing the minimum quarterly distribution on all common units and any cumulative arrearages on such common units for the current quarter);

plus, if the general partner so determines, all or any portion of the cash on hand on the date of determination of available cash for the quarter resulting from working capital borrowings made subsequent to the end of such quarter. Under our current cash distribution policy, we intend to make a minimum quarterly distribution to the holders of our common units and subordinated units of \$0.35 per unit, or \$1.40 per unit on an annualized basis, to the extent we have sufficient available cash after the establishment of cash reserves and the payment of costs and expenses, including reimbursements of expenses to our general partner and its affiliates. However, there is no guarantee that we will pay the minimum quarterly distribution on our units in any quarter. The amount of distributions paid under our cash distribution policy and the decision to make any distribution is determined by our general partner, taking into consideration the terms of our partnership agreement.

The following distributions were declared for the period from August 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013:

| In Thousands, except per-unit amounts | Total Quarterly Distribution per Unit | Total Distribution | Date of Distribution |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Quarter ended:                        |                                       |                    |                      |
| December 31, 2013                     | \$0.35                                | \$6,341,018        | February 3, 2014     |
| September 30, 2013                    | (1) \$0.23                            | \$4,166,955        | November 4, 2013     |
| June 30, 2013                         | (2) \$—                               | \$—                | —                    |

(1) This distribution represents a prorated amount of the full minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.35 per unit for each whole quarter based on the number of days between the closing of the Partnership's IPO on July 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013.

(2) No distributions were declared for the quarter ended June 30, 2013. This quarter was included in the results reported in the report on Form 10-Q for the second quarter ended June 30, 2013; however, this quarter was prior to the completion of the IPO.



Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table shows our selected financial and operating data, which are derived from our consolidated financial statements for the periods and as of the dates indicated. On July 31, 2013, we completed an initial public offering ("IPO") of 6,875,000 common units at a public offering price of \$20.00 per common unit less an underwriting discount of \$1.20 per common unit for net proceeds, before expenses, of \$18.80 per common unit. Our sponsor, NuDevco Partners, LLC ("NuDevco"), is the ultimate parent company of Spark Energy Ventures, LLC ("SEV"). NuDevco also owns NuDevco Midstream Development, LLC ("NuDevco Midstream") and Associated Energy Services, LP ("AES"). In connection with the offering, NuDevco and its affiliates conveyed Marlin Midstream, LLC ("Marlin Midstream") and Marlin Logistics, LLC ("Marlin Logistics") to us.

Additionally at the closing of the IPO, we issued 2,474,545 common units and 8,724,545 subordinated units to NuDevco Midstream Development. We terminated our commodity-based gas gathering and processing agreement with AES and assigned all our remaining keep-whole and other commodity-based gathering and processing agreements with third party customers to AES. We entered into transloading services agreements with AES, each with three year terms, minimum volume commitments and annual inflation adjustments.

We also transferred to affiliates of our sponsor (i) our 50% interest in a CO<sub>2</sub> processing facility located in Monell, Wyoming, (ii) certain transloading assets and purchase commitments owned by Marlin Logistics not currently under a service contract, (iii) certain property, plant and equipment and other equipment not yet in service and (iv) certain other immaterial contracts. The total net asset value transferred to the affiliates was \$9.4 million. Additionally, NuDevco assumed \$11.7 million of the non-current accounts payable balance owed by Marlin Midstream to affiliates of SEV and Marlin Midstream was released from such obligation.

The information in the following table should be read together with Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included in this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: Marlin Midstream Partners, LP - Form 10-K

| In thousands, except per-unit data and throughput  | Summary Financial Information |          |           |
|--|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|
|  | 2013                          | 2012     | 2011      |
| Statement of Operations Data (for the year ended): |                               |          |           |
| Total Revenues                                     | \$52,860                      | \$51,049 | \$65,818  |
| Total operating expenses                           | 47,189                        | 49,499   | 51,453    |
| Operating income                                   | 5,671                         | 1,550    | 14,365    |
| Interest income (expense), net                     | (4,349                        | ) (4,927 | ) (3,733  |
| Other income (expense), net                        | (48                           | ) (828   | ) (2,156  |
| Texas margin tax expense                           | (88                           | ) (101   | ) 65      |
| Net income (loss)                                  | \$1,186                       | \$(4,306 | ) \$8,541 |

Key Performance Measures (for the year ended):

|                                 |          |          |          |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Gross margin (1)                | \$38,861 | \$30,026 | \$36,962 |
| Adjusted EBITDA (1)             | \$16,880 | \$9,239  | \$19,730 |
| Distributable cash flow (1) (2) | \$12,982 | n/a      | n/a      |

|  |        |
|--|--------|
| Net income per unit - basic                | \$0.40 |
| Net income per unit - diluted              | \$0.39 |
| Net income per subordinated unit - basic   | \$0.40 |
| Net income per subordinated unit - diluted | \$0.40 |
| Distributions declared per unit (4)        | \$0.58 |

Balance Sheet Data (as of the year ended December 31)

|                                    |           |           |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Net property, plant and equipment  | \$162,548 | \$165,139 |
| Total assets                       | \$174,142 | \$180,796 |
| Total liabilities                  | \$13,592  | \$148,517 |
| Total equity and partners' capital | \$160,550 | \$32,279  |

Cash Flow Data (for the year ended):

|                                       |           |             |             |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Net cash flows provided by (used in): |           |             |             |
| Operating activities                  | \$9,176   | \$11,214    | \$16,102    |
| Investing activities                  | \$(12,710 | ) \$(12,445 | ) \$(25,658 |
| Financing activities                  | \$1,136   | \$6,355     | \$8,097     |

Operating data (for the year ended):

|                                  |        |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Gas volumes (MMcf/d) (3)         | 219    |
| Transloading volumes (Bbls/d)(3) | 18,980 |

(1) Gross Margin, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash flow are not defined in the generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP"). For additional information and a reconciliation of these measures to their most directly comparable financial measures calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP, see Item 7 "Managements' Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-How We Evaluate Our Operations" included in this Form 10-K.

(2) We will distribute available cash within 45 days after the end of the quarter, beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2013. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, distributable cash is prorated from our IPO on July 31, 2013 through September 30, 2013.

(3) Volumes reflect the minimum volume commitment under our fee-based contracts or actual throughput, whichever is greater, for the post-IPO period.



(4) Distributions declared per unit include the third quarter 2013 distribution of \$0.23 per unit declared October 2013, and the fourth quarter 2013 distribution of \$0.35 per unit declared January 2014. For additional information, please see Part II, "Partnership Agreement" included in this Form 10-K.

- 51

---

## Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this report to “we,” “our,” “us,” or like terms, when used in a historical context, refer to the combined businesses and assets of Marlin Midstream and Marlin Logistics, and when used in the present tense or prospectively, refer to the Partnership and its subsidiaries.

### OVERVIEW

We are a fee-based, growth-oriented Delaware limited partnership formed to develop, own, operate and acquire midstream energy assets. We currently provide natural gas gathering, compression, dehydration, treating, processing and hydrocarbon dew-point control and transportation services, which we refer to as our midstream natural gas business, and crude oil transloading services, which we refer to as our crude oil logistics business. Our assets and operations are organized into the following two segments:

#### Midstream Natural Gas

Our primary midstream natural gas assets currently consist of (i) two related natural gas processing facilities located in Panola County, Texas with an approximate design capacity of 220 MMcf/d, (ii) a natural gas processing facility located in Tyler County, Texas with an approximate design capacity of 80 MMcf/d, (iii) two natural gas gathering systems connected to our Panola County processing facilities that include approximately 65 miles of natural gas pipelines with an approximate design capacity of 200 MMcf/d, and (iv) two NGL transportation pipelines with an approximate design capacity of 20,000 Bbls/d that connect our Panola County and Tyler County processing facilities to third party NGL pipelines. Our primary midstream natural gas assets are located in long-lived oil and natural gas producing regions in East Texas and gather and process NGL-rich natural gas streams associated with production primarily from the Cotton Valley Sands, Haynesville Shale, Austin Chalk and Eaglebine formations.

#### Crude Oil Logistics

Our crude oil logistics assets currently consist of two crude oil transloading facilities: (i) our Wildcat facility located in Carbon County, Utah, where we currently operate one skid transloader and two ladder transloaders, and (ii) our Big Horn facility located in Big Horn County, Wyoming, where we currently operate one skid transloader and one ladder transloader. Our transloaders are used to unload crude oil from tanker trucks and load crude oil into railcars and temporary storage tanks. Our Wildcat and Big Horn facilities provide transloading services for production originating from well-established crude oil producing basins, such as the Uinta and Powder River Basins, which we believe are currently underserved by our competitors. Our skid transloaders each have a transloading capacity of 475 Bbls/hr, and our ladder transloaders each have a transloading capacity of 210 Bbls/hr.

#### General Trends and Outlook

In 2014, our strategic objectives will continue to be focused on maintaining stable distributable cash flows from our existing assets and executing on growth opportunities to increase our long-term distributable cash flows. We believe the key elements to stable distributable cash flows are our significant fee-based business plus our assets that are strategically positioned to capitalize on drilling activity and related demand for midstream natural gas services. We expect to continue to pursue a multi-faceted growth strategy, which includes maximizing opportunities provided by our partnership with NuDevco Midstream Partners LP, pursuing strategic and accretive third party acquisitions and capitalizing on organic expansion opportunities in order to grow our distributable cash flows.

### HIGHLIGHTS

Significant financial highlights during the year ended December 31, 2013 include the following:

In connection with our IPO on July 31, 2013, we issued 6,875,000 common units, representing a 38.6% limited partner interest, to the public for \$20.00 per common unit. Net proceeds of \$125.3 million, after underwriting discounts, structuring fees, and other direct IPO costs, were used to repay the existing credit facility of \$121.9 million, outstanding amounts on the revolving credit facility of approximately \$10.0 million, and settling the interest rate swap liability of approximately \$0.1 million.

In connection with our IPO on July 31, 2013, we entered into a new \$50.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility, which matures on July 31, 2017.



We declared and paid a prorated cash distribution for the third quarter of 2013 in the amount of \$0.23 per unit and declared a cash distribution for the fourth quarter of 2013 in the amount of \$0.35 per unit.

Following the closing of the IPO, we assigned all of our existing commodity-based gathering and processing agreements with third party customers to AES and entered into a new three-year fee-based gathering and processing agreement with AES with a minimum volume commitment of 80 MMcf/d.

We entered into transloading services agreements with AES, each with three year terms, minimum volume commitments and annual inflation adjustments.

Significant operational highlights during the year ended December 31, 2013 included the following:

Our crude oil logistics assets became operational in 2013. Following the closing of the IPO, our crude oil logistics revenues are generated under transloading services agreements that we entered into with AES.

We completed construction of our Oak Hill Lateral gathering line and installed molecular sieves at our Panola 1 processing facility.

## INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERING

On July 31, 2013, we completed an initial public offering ("IPO") of 6,875,000 common units at a public offering price of \$20.00 per common unit less an underwriting discount of \$1.20 per common unit for net proceeds, before expenses, of \$18.80 per common unit. Our sponsor, NuDevco Partners, LLC ("NuDevco"), is the ultimate parent company of Spark Energy Ventures, LLC ("SEV"). NuDevco also owns NuDevco Midstream Development, LLC ("NuDevco Midstream") and Associated Energy Services, LP ("AES"). Following the closing of the offering, we entered into fee-based commercial agreements with AES, substantially all of which include minimum volume commitments and annual inflation adjustments. In connection with the offering, NuDevco and its affiliates conveyed Marlin Midstream, LLC ("Marlin Midstream") and Marlin Logistics, LLC ("Marlin Logistics") to us.

Additionally at the closing of the IPO, we issued 2,474,545 common units and 8,724,545 subordinated units to NuDevco Midstream Development. We terminated our commodity-based gas gathering and processing agreement with AES and assigned all our remaining keep-whole and other commodity-based gathering and processing agreements with third party customers to AES. We entered into transloading services agreements with AES, each with three year terms, minimum volume commitments and annual inflation adjustments.

We also transferred to affiliates of our sponsor (i) our 50% interest in a CO<sub>2</sub> processing facility located in Monell, Wyoming, (ii) certain transloading assets and purchase commitments owned by Marlin Logistics not currently under a service contract, (iii) certain property, plant and equipment and other equipment not yet in service and (iv) certain other immaterial contracts. The total net asset value transferred to the affiliates was \$9.4 million. Additionally, NuDevco assumed \$11.7 million of the non-current accounts payable balance owed by Marlin Midstream to affiliates of SEV and Marlin Midstream was released from such obligation.

Our partnership agreement provides for a minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.35 per unit for each whole quarter, or \$1.40 per unit on an annualized basis.

As of the closing of the IPO, the unit ownership was as follows:

|                                    | Number of units at<br>July 31, 2013 | Limited Partner<br>Interest |   |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Publicly held common units         | 6,875,000                           | 38.6                        | % |
| Common units held by NuDevco       | 1,849,545                           | 10.4                        | % |
| Subordinated units held by NuDevco | 8,724,545                           | 49.0                        | % |
| General partner units              | 356,104                             | 2.0                         | % |
| Total                              | 17,805,194                          | 100.0                       | % |





## HOW WE EVALUATE OUR OPERATIONS

Our management uses a variety of financial and operating metrics to analyze our performance. These metrics are significant factors in assessing our results of operations and profitability and include: (i) gross margin; (ii) volume commitments and throughput volumes (including gathering, plant, and transloader throughput); (iii) operation and maintenance expenses; (iv) adjusted EBITDA; and (v) distributable cash flow. Gross margin, adjusted EBITDA and distributable cash flow are not measures under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP. To the extent permitted, we present certain non-GAAP measure and reconciliations of those measures to their most directly comparable financial measure as calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. These non-GAAP measures may not be comparable to a similarly titled measure of another company because other entities may not calculate these non-GAAP measures in the same manner.

Volumes - We view throughput and storage volumes for our gathering and processing and our crude oil logistics segment as important factors affecting our profitability. We gather and transport the natural gas and NGLs under fee-based transportation contracts. Revenue from these contracts is derived by applying the rates stipulated to the volumes transported. Pipeline throughput volumes from existing wells connected to our pipelines will naturally decline over time as wells deplete. Accordingly, to maintain or to increase throughput levels on these pipelines and the utilization rate of our natural gas processing plants, we must continually obtain new supplies of natural gas and NGLs. Our ability to maintain existing supplies of natural gas and NGLs and obtain new supplies are impacted by: (1) the level of workovers or recompletions of existing connected wells and successful drilling activity in areas currently dedicated to our pipelines; and (2) our ability to compete for volumes from successful new wells in other areas. The throughput volumes of NGLs and gas on our pipelines are substantially dependent upon the quantities of NGLs and gas produced at our processing plants. We regularly monitor producer activity in the areas we serve and in which our pipelines are located, and pursue opportunities to connect new supply to these pipelines. d.

| In Thousands, except volume data  | Years Ended December 31, |          |          |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                   | 2013                     | 2012     | 2011     |
| Gross Margin                      | \$38,861                 | \$30,026 | \$36,962 |
| Gas volumes (MMcf/d) (2)          | 219                      |          |          |
| Transloading volumes (Bbls/d) (2) | 18,980                   |          |          |
| Adjusted EBITDA                   | \$16,880                 | \$9,239  | \$19,730 |
| Distributable Cash Flow (1)       | \$12,982                 | n/a      | n/a      |

(1) We will distribute available cash within 45 days after the end of the quarter, beginning with the quarter ending September 30, 2013. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, distributable cash is prorated from our IPO on July 31, 2013 through September 30, 2013.

(2) Volumes reflect the minimum volume commitment under our fee-based contracts or actual throughput, whichever is greater, for the post-IPO period.

### Gross Margin

Gross margin is a primary performance measure used by our management. We define gross margin as revenues less cost of revenues. Gross margin represents our profitability with minimal exposure to commodity price fluctuations, which we believe are not significant components of our operations.

Gross margin is calculated as follows:

| In Thousands                          | Years Ended December 31, |          |          |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                       | 2013                     | 2012     | 2011     |
| Total operating income                | \$5,671                  | \$1,550  | \$14,365 |
| Operation and maintenance             | 12,401                   | 15,035   | 12,031   |
| Operation and maintenance-affiliates  | 3,490                    | 793      | 327      |
| General and administrative            | 3,699                    | 3,045    | 3,260    |
| General and administrative-affiliates | 4,187                    | 1,021    | 907      |
| Property and other taxes              | 1,216                    | 893      | 490      |
| Depreciation expense                  | 8,197                    | 7,689    | 5,365    |
| Loss on disposals of equipment        | —                        | —        | 217      |
| Gross Margin                          | \$38,861                 | \$30,026 | \$36,962 |

### Volume Commitments and Throughput

We view the volumes of natural gas and crude oil committed to our midstream natural gas and crude oil logistics assets, respectively, as well as the throughput volume of natural gas and crude oil as an important factor affecting our profitability. The amount of revenues we generate primarily depends on the volumes of natural gas and crude oil committed to our midstream natural gas assets and crude oil logistics assets, respectively, under our commercial agreements, the volumes of natural gas that we gather, process, treat and transport, the volumes of NGLs that we transport and sell, and the volumes of crude oil that we transload. Our success in attracting additional committed volumes of natural gas and crude oil and maintaining or increasing throughput is impacted by our ability to:

- utilize the remaining uncommitted capacity on, or add additional capacity to, our gathering and processing systems and our transloaders;
- capitalize on successful drilling programs by our customers on our current acreage dedications;
- increase throughput volumes on our gathering systems by increasing connections to other pipelines or wells;
- secure volumes from new wells drilled on non-dedicated acreage;
- attract natural gas and crude oil volumes currently gathered, processed, treated or transloaded by our competitors; and
- identify and execute organic expansion projects.

### Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow

We use adjusted EBITDA to analyze our performance and define it as net income (loss) before interest expense (net of amounts capitalized) or interest income, Texas margin tax, depreciation expense, equity based compensation expense and any gain/loss from interest rate derivatives. Although we have not quantified distributable cash flow on a historical basis, after the closing of the IPO we compute and present this measure, which we define as adjusted EBITDA plus interest income, less cash paid for interest expense and maintenance capital expenditures.

Adjusted EBITDA and distributable cash flow are non-GAAP supplemental financial measures that management and external users of our consolidated and combined financial statements, such as industry analysts, investors, commercial banks and others, may use to assess:

- the financial performance of our assets without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis;
- the ability of our assets to generate earnings sufficient to support our decision to make cash distributions to our unitholders and general partner;
- our ability to fund capital expenditures and incur and service debt;
- our operating performance and return on capital as compared to those of other companies in the midstream energy sector, without regard to financing or capital structure; and
- the attractiveness of capital projects and acquisitions and the overall rates of return on alternative investment opportunities.

Our partnership agreement requires that, within 45 days after the end of each quarter, beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2013, we distribute all of our available cash to unitholders of record on the applicable record date. Our cash distribution for the period from the completion of the IPO through September 30, 2013 was adjusted based on the actual length of the period. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, a distribution of \$0.23 per unit was declared on October 18, 2013 and paid on November 4, 2013 to unitholders of record as of October 29, 2013. For the three months ending December 31, 2013, a distribution of \$0.35 per unit was declared on January 21, 2014 and paid on February 7, 2014 to unitholders of record as of February 3, 2014.

Adjusted EBITDA is calculated as follows:

| In Thousands                                 | Years Ended December 31, |           |          |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|----------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012      | 2011     |
| Net income (loss)                            | \$1,186                  | \$(4,306) | )\$8,541 |
| Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized | 4,349                    | 4,927     | 3,733    |
| Interest and other income                    | —                        | (23)      | )(20     |
| Texas margin tax expense                     | 88                       | 101       | (65      |
| Equity based compensation                    | 3,012                    | —         | —        |
| Loss on interest rate swap                   | 48                       | 851       | 2,176    |
| Depreciation expense                         | 8,197                    | 7,689     | 5,365    |
| Adjusted EBITDA                              | \$16,880                 | \$9,239   | \$19,730 |

Distributable cash flow subsequent to the IPO is calculated as follows:

Distributable cash flow for the period from July 31, 2013 to December 31, 2013:

| In Thousands                                 |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| Net income post IPO                          |  | \$7,190  |
| Add:   |  |          |
| Equity based compensation                    |  | 3,012    |
| Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized |  | 352      |
| Depreciation expense                         |  | 3,425    |
| Texas margin tax                             |  | 60       |
| Adjusted earnings                            |  | 14,039   |
| Less:  |  |          |
| Maintenance capital expenditures             |  | (782     |
| Cash interest expense                        |  | (215     |
| Texas margin tax                             |  | (60      |
| Distributable cash flow                      |  | \$12,982 |

#### Note Regarding Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Gross margin, adjusted EBITDA and distributable cash flow are not financial measures presented in accordance with GAAP. We believe that the presentation of these non-GAAP financial measures will provide useful information to investors in assessing our financial condition and results of operations.

Gross margin is a primary performance measure used by our management. We define gross margin as revenues less cost of revenues. Gross margin represents our profitability without regard to commodity sales and purchases, which we believe are not significant components of our operations. We use adjusted EBITDA to analyze our performance and define it as net income (loss) before interest expense (net of amounts capitalized) or interest income, state franchise tax, depreciation expense and any gain/loss from interest rate derivatives. Adjusted EBITDA and distributable cash flow are non-GAAP supplemental financial

measures that management and external users of our combined financial statements, such as industry analysts, investors, commercial banks and others, may use to assess:

- the financial performance of our assets without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis;
- the ability of our assets to generate earnings sufficient to support our decision to make cash distributions to our unitholders and general partner;
- our ability to fund capital expenditures and incur and service debt;
- our operating performance and return on capital as compared to those of other companies in the midstream energy sector, without regard to financing or capital structure; and
- the attractiveness of capital projects and acquisitions and the overall rates of return on alternative investment opportunities.

The GAAP measure most directly comparable to gross margin is operating income. The GAAP measure most directly comparable to adjusted EBITDA and distributable cash flow is net income. These measures should not be considered as an alternative to operating income, net income, or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP. Each of these non-GAAP financial measures has important limitations as an analytical tool because it excludes some but not all items that affect net income. You should not consider these non-GAAP financial measures in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Additionally, because each of these non-GAAP financial measures may be defined differently by other companies in our industry, our definition of them may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies, thereby diminishing their utility.

#### FACTORS AFFECTING THE COMPARABILITY OF OPERATING RESULTS

Our future results of operations may not be comparable to our historical results of operations for the reasons described below:

##### Revenues

There are differences in the way we generated revenues historically and the way we generate revenues subsequent to the closing of our IPO.

##### Gathering and Processing Agreements

Until 2011, our gathering and processing agreements with third parties and our affiliates were primarily keep-whole contracts. Under these contracts, we were required to make up or “keep the producer whole” for the condensate and NGL volumes extracted from the natural gas stream through the delivery of or payment for a thermally equivalent volume of residue gas. The cost of these “replacement” natural gas volumes was recorded in our cost of revenues. Beginning in late 2011, we contracted with Anadarko and other third party producers at our Panola County processing facilities for significant volumes under a fee-based processing model. A substantial majority of these agreements provide for minimum volume commitments.

Beginning on January 1, 2012, our commercial agreements with Anadarko at our Panola County processing facilities were amended such that Anadarko began receiving the NGLs extracted on an in-kind basis. As a result, we do not sell the NGLs extracted under these amended agreements, and therefore the NGLs recovered under these amended agreements are not included in our natural gas, NGLs and condensate sales. Under our commercial agreements that do not require us to deliver NGLs to the customer in kind, including our gathering and processing agreement with AES that we entered into in connection with the closing of the IPO, we provide NGL transportation services to the customer whereby we purchase the NGLs from the customer at an index price, less fractionation and transportation fees, and simultaneously sell the NGLs to third parties at the same index price, less fractionation fees. The revenues generated by these activities is substantially offset by a corresponding cost of revenue that is recorded when we compensate the customer for its contractual share of the NGLs.

Following the closing of the IPO, we assigned all of our existing commodity-based gathering and processing agreements with third party customers to AES and entered into a new three-year fee-based gathering and processing agreement with AES with a minimum volume commitment of 80 MMcf/d.

##### Transloading Services Agreements

Following the closing of the IPO, our crude oil logistics revenues are generated under transloading services agreements that we entered into with AES at the closing of the IPO. Under the transloading services agreements with AES, we receive a per barrel fee for crude oil transloading services, including fees in respect of shortfall payments related to AES' minimum volume commitments under these agreements from time to time. Because our crude oil logistics assets did not become operational until 2013, our future results of operations will not be comparable to our historical results of operations regarding our crude oil logistics segment.

#### Operating and General and Administrative Expenses

With respect to our operation and maintenance expenses and general and administrative expenses, prior to the IPO, we employed all of our operational personnel and most of our general and administrative personnel directly, and incurred direct operating and general and administrative charges with respect to their compensation. In connection with the closing of the IPO, all of our personnel were transferred to affiliates of NuDevco. As a result, following the closing of the IPO, we reimburse NuDevco for the compensation of these employees on a direct or allocated basis, depending on whether those employees spend all or only a part of their time working for us. As a result of this change, the amount of our affiliate operation and maintenance expenses and affiliate general and administrative expenses will increase, and the amount of our non-affiliate operation and maintenance expenses and non-affiliate general administrative expenses will decrease, compared to historical amounts.

Our historical general and administrative expenses included certain expenses allocated by affiliates of NuDevco for general corporate services, such as information technology, treasury, accounting and legal services, as well as direct expenses. These allocated expenses were charged or allocated to us based on the nature of the expenses and our proportionate share of departmental usage, wages or headcount. Following the closing of the IPO, affiliates of NuDevco will continue to charge us a combination of direct and allocated monthly expenses related to the management and operation of our midstream natural gas and crude oil logistics businesses, and will also charge us an annual fee, initially in the amount of \$0.6 million, for executive management services.

In addition, we expect our general and administrative expenses will increase due to the costs of operating as a publicly traded partnership, including costs associated with ongoing SEC reporting requirements, including annual and quarterly reports to unitholders, tax return and Schedule K-1 preparation and distribution, Sarbanes-Oxley compliance expenses, expenses associated with listing on NASDAQ, independent auditor fees, legal fees, investor relations expenses, registrar and transfer agent fees, director and officer insurance expenses and director compensation expenses.

#### Financing

There are differences in the way we finance our operations now as compared to the way we financed our operations on a historical basis. Historically, our operations were financed by cash generated from operations, equity investments by our sole member and borrowings under our existing credit facility. In connection with the closing of the IPO, we repaid the full amount of our previous credit facility, settled our related interest rate swap liability and entered into a new \$50.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility. Approximately \$4.0 million was outstanding under our new senior secured revolving credit facility as of December 31, 2013 and \$126.5 million was outstanding under our previous credit facility as of December 31, 2012. Following the closing of the IPO, we intend to make minimum cash distributions to our unitholders at an initial distribution rate of \$0.35 per unit per quarter (\$1.40 per unit on an annualized basis). Based on the terms of our cash distribution policy, we expect that we will distribute to our unitholders and our general partner most of the cash generated by our operations. As a result, we expect to fund future capital expenditures primarily from external sources, including borrowings under our new revolving credit facility and future issuances of equity and debt securities.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

The following table presents selected financial data for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

| In Thousands  | Years ended December 31, |           | Change     | % Change |   |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|---|
|   | 2013                     | 2012      |            |          |   |
| <b>REVENUES:</b>                                      |                          |           |            |          |   |
| Natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue              | \$15,792                 | \$34,708  | \$(18,916) | (54.5)   | % |
| Gathering, processing, transloading and other revenue | 37,068                   | 16,341    | 20,727     | 126.8    | % |
| Total Revenues  | 52,860                   | 51,049    | 1,811      | 3.5      | % |
| <b>OPERATING EXPENSES:</b>                            |                          |           |            |          |   |
| Cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue      | 13,999                   | 21,023    | (7,024)    | (33.4)   | % |
| Operation and maintenance                             | 15,891                   | 15,828    | 63         | 0.4      | % |
| General and administrative                            | 7,886                    | 4,066     | 3,820      | 93.9     | % |
| Property tax expense                                  | 1,216                    | 893       | 323        | 36.2     | % |
| Depreciation expense                                  | 8,197                    | 7,689     | 508        | 6.6      | % |
| Total operating expenses                              | 47,189                   | 49,499    | (2,310)    | (4.7)    | % |
| Operating income                                      | 5,671                    | 1,550     | 4,121      | 265.9    | % |
| Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized          | (4,349)                  | (4,927)   | 578        | (11.7)   | % |
| Interest and other income                             | —                        | 23        | (23)       | (100.0)  | % |
| Loss on interest rate swap                            | (48)                     | (851)     | 803        | (94.4)   | % |
| Net income (loss) before tax                          | \$1,274                  | \$(4,205) | \$5,479    | 130.3    | % |
| Texas margin tax expense                              | 88                       | 101       | (13)       | (12.9)   | % |
| Net income (loss)                                     | 1,186                    | (4,306)   | 5,492      | (127.5)  | % |
| <b>Key performance metrics:</b>                       |                          |           |            |          |   |
| Gross margin  | 38,861                   | 30,026    | 8,835      | 29.4     | % |
| Adjusted EBITDA                                       | 16,880                   | 9,239     | 7,641      | 82.7     | % |

## Volumes:

|                                      |        |
|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Processing Facilities (MMcf/d) (1)   | 219    |
| Transloading Facilities (Bbls/d) (1) | 18,980 |

(1) Volumes reflect the minimum volume commitment under our fee-based contracts or actual throughput, whichever is greater, for the post-IPO period.

Revenues. Natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue decreased by \$18.9 million, or 55%, to \$15.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$34.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue is primarily due to the shift in business strategy to fee-based contracts following our IPO, declining NGL prices and a decrease in NGL volumes sold from our Panola County processing facilities. The average price of ethane decreased by 35% to \$0.26 per gallon for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$0.40 per gallon for the year ended December 31, 2012. Similarly, the average price per gallon of isobutane and normal butane decreased by 21% and 16% respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. Declining NGL prices attributed to a \$7.1 million decrease in our NGL sales for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012.

We entered into an additional commercial agreement with Anadarko at our Panola County processing facilities, effective August 1, 2012. Under this agreement, Anadarko receives the NGLs extracted on an in-kind basis. We do not sell the NGLs extracted under this agreement, and therefore the NGLs recovered under this agreement are not included in our natural gas, NGLs and condensate sales. As a result, although the number of barrels of NGLs that we recovered increased by 9% for the



year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, the number of barrels of NGLs that we sold decreased by 52% for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in condensate volumes and other NGLs sold under third-party purchase contracts. These changes resulted in a total net decrease of \$11.8 million in natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. Gathering, processing, transloading and other revenue increased by \$20.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily from our minimum volume commitment agreements with Anadarko and AES. Minimum volume commitment agreements for our gathering and processing segment account for an increase of approximately \$14.9 million in fee-based revenue. We expect the trend of increased volumes under fee-based agreements to continue, consistent with our overall business strategy. Our crude oil logistics assets became operational in 2013. As such, there are no results of operations or assets related to this segment for the year ended December 31, 2012. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the crude oil logistics segment generated revenues of approximately \$5.8 million related directly to our fee-based logistics contracts.

**Cost of Revenues.** Cost of revenues are derived primarily from the creation of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue. Total cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue decreased by \$7.0 million, or 33%, to \$14.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$21.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily due to the volume of redelivered gas at the tailgate of our plant in addition to a decline in prices for NGLs. The volume of gas redelivered or sold at the tailgates of our processing facilities is lower than the volume received or purchased at delivery points on our gathering systems or interconnecting pipelines due to the NGLs extracted when the natural gas is processed. Under the keep-whole agreements that were in place during 2012, we were required to make up or “keep the producer whole” for the condensate and NGL volumes extracted from the natural gas stream through the delivery of or payment for a thermally equivalent volume of residue gas. Under certain keep-whole agreements, we purchased natural gas from a subsidiary of SEV in order to make up or “keep the producer whole” for the condensate and NGL volumes extracted from the natural gas stream during processing. The cost of these “replacement” natural gas volumes was recorded in our cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue. Under our fee-based agreements, we do not bear the cost of these “replacement” volumes. Furthermore, at the closing of our IPO, we assigned all of our keep-whole agreements to AES. The cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue from affiliates recorded for the year ended December 31, 2013 includes the purchase of \$2.5 million of NGLs under our gathering and processing agreement with AES.

**Operation and Maintenance Expense.** Operation and maintenance expense increased by \$0.1 million, or 0.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily due to equity-based compensation expense of \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million in operating expenses for our crude oil logistics contracts. These increases were offset by a decrease in maintenance and operational expenses for our midstream natural gas segment of \$1.4 million. Operation and maintenance expenses are primarily composed of expenses related to labor, utilities and chemicals, property insurance premiums, compression costs and maintenance and repair expenses, which generally remain relatively stable across broad ranges of throughput volumes but can fluctuate from period to period depending on the mix of activities performed during the period and the timing of these expenses.

**General and Administrative Expense.** General and administrative expense increased by approximately \$3.8 million, or 94%, to \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase is primarily due to increased audit costs and other professional fees associated with being a publicly traded partnership. Additionally, approximately \$2.2 million of equity-based compensation expense from affiliates was recorded to general and administrative expense, for which no such costs were incurred in 2012.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, decreased by approximately \$0.6 million or 12%, to \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$4.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Interest expense increased due to expensing capitalized loan costs associated with our previous credit facilities of \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was offset against a lower outstanding average principal balance which contributed to a decrease of \$1.2 million for interest incurred on our credit facilities during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012.



Loss on Interest Rate Swap. Loss on interest rate swap decreased by \$0.8 million, or 94%, to less than \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease is primarily due to smaller movements in the interest rate market during 2013. The interest rate swap was settled on July 31, 2013 in connection with the IPO.

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011

The following table presents selected financial data for each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012.

| In Thousands  | Years ended December 31, |         | Change   | % Change |   |
|---|--------------------------|---------|----------|----------|---|
|   | 2012                     | 2011    |          |          |   |
| <b>REVENUES:</b>                                      |                          |         |          |          |   |
| Natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue              | 34,708                   | 55,558  | (20,850) | (37.5)   | % |
| Gathering, processing, transloading and other revenue | 16,341                   | 10,260  | 6,081    | 59.3     | % |
| Total Revenues  | 51,049                   | 65,818  | (14,769) | (22.4)   | % |
| <b>OPERATING EXPENSES:</b>                            |                          |         |          |          |   |
| Cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue      | 21,023                   | 28,856  | (7,833)  | (27.1)   | % |
| Operation and maintenance                             | 15,828                   | 12,358  | 3,470    | 28.1     | % |
| General and administrative                            | 4,066                    | 4,167   | (101)    | (2.4)    | % |
| Property tax expense                                  | 893                      | 490     | 403      | 82.2     | % |
| Depreciation expense                                  | 7,689                    | 5,365   | 2,324    | 43.3     | % |
| Loss on disposals of equipment                        | —                        | 217     | (217)    | (100.0)  | % |
| Total operating expenses                              | 49,499                   | 51,453  | (1,954)  | (3.8)    | % |
| Operating income                                      | 1,550                    | 14,365  | (12,815) | (89.2)   | % |
| Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized          | (4,927)                  | (3,733) | (1,194)  | 32.0     | % |
| Interest and other income                             | 23                       | 20      | 3        | 15.0     | % |
| Loss on interest rate swap                            | (851)                    | (2,176) | 1,325    | (60.9)   | % |
| Net income (loss) before tax                          | (4,205)                  | 8,476   | (12,681) | (149.6)  | % |
| Texas margin tax expense                              | 101                      | (65)    | 166      | (255.4)  | % |
| Net income (loss)                                     | (4,306)                  | 8,541   | (12,847) | (150.4)  | % |
| <b>Key performance metrics:</b>                       |                          |         |          |          |   |
| Gross margin  | 30,026                   | 36,962  | (6,936)  | (18.8)   | % |
| Adjusted EBITDA                                       | 9,239                    | 19,730  | (10,491) | (53.2)   | % |

Revenues. Natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue decreased by \$20.9 million, or 38%, from \$55.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$34.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue is primarily due to declining NGL prices and a decrease in NGL volumes sold from our Panola County processing facilities. The average annual price of ethane decreased by 48% from \$0.77 in 2011 to \$0.40 in 2012, and the average annual price of propane decreased by 32% from \$1.46 in 2011 to \$1.00 in 2012. Similarly, the average annual price of isobutane, normal butane and natural gasoline decreased by 12%, 10%, and 8%, respectively, from 2011 to 2012. Declining NGL prices attributed to a \$9.7 million decrease in our NGL sales from 2011 to 2012.

In addition, beginning on January 1, 2012, our commercial agreements with Anadarko at our Panola County processing facilities were amended such that Anadarko began receiving the NGLs extracted on an in-kind basis. As a result, we do not sell the NGLs extracted under these amended agreements, and therefore the NGLs recovered under these amended agreements are not included in our natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue. As a result of this change in contractual terms, even though the number of barrels of NGLs that we recovered increased by 25% from 2011 to 2012, the number of barrels of NGLs that we sold decreased by 23% from 2011 to 2012. This resulted in an \$11.2 million decrease in natural gas, NGLs and condensate sales from the year ended December 31, 2011 to the year ended December 31, 2012.

Gathering, processing and other revenue increased by \$6.1 million from the year ended December 31, 2011 to the year ended December 31, 2012 as a result of increased throughput under fee-based agreements. We expect the trend of increased volumes under fee-based agreements to continue, consistent with our overall business strategy.



**Cost of Revenues.** The decrease in total revenues was partially offset by a decrease in cost of revenues. Cost of revenues are derived primarily from the creation of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue. Total cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue decreased by \$7.9 million, or 27%, from \$28.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$21.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The volume of gas redelivered or sold at the tailgates of our processing facilities is lower than the volume received or purchased at delivery points on our gathering systems or interconnecting pipelines due to the NGLs extracted when the natural gas is processed. Under the keep-whole agreements that were in place during 2011, we were required to make up or “keep the producer whole” for the condensate and NGL volumes extracted from the natural gas stream through the delivery of or payment for a thermally equivalent volume of residue gas. The cost of these “replacement” natural gas volumes was recorded in our cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue. Under our fee-based agreements, we do not bear the cost of these “replacement” volumes. As such, the increase in revenues generated under our fee-based agreements during 2012 resulted in an \$8.5 million decrease in our cost of revenues. The decrease is net of a \$1.8 million increase in cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue related to costs incurred by us to pay our fee-based customers, other than Anadarko, their share of NGLs. We expect the cost of the replacement natural gas volumes to continue to decrease as a substantial majority of our volumes are subject to fee-based agreements. However, as total volumes under fixed fee contracts with customers other than Anadarko increase, the cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue for shares of NGLs paid to third parties will increase. There are no material costs categorized as costs of revenue directly identified with gathering, processing and other revenue.

**Operation and Maintenance Expense.** Operation and maintenance expense increased by \$3.4 million, or 28%, from \$12.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$15.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase primarily is due to operating costs incurred in connection with the startup and continued operation of our Panola 2 processing plant, which became fully operational in May 2012.

**Property Tax Expense.** Property taxes increased by \$0.4 million from \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase primarily is due to the inclusion of our Panola 2 processing plant in our taxable basis during 2012. In addition, during 2011, we received a one-time property tax settlement resulting in a taxable basis reduction for tax years 2009 through 2011 and a related refund.

**Depreciation Expense.** Depreciation expense increased by \$2.3 million, or 43%, from \$5.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase primarily is due to our Panola 2 processing plant, which became fully operational in May 2012.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized increased by \$1.2 million, or 32%, from \$3.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$4.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase is primarily due to a decrease in capitalized interest of \$1.5 million related to the Panola 2 processing plant, which was placed into service in 2011 and became fully operational in May 2012, offset by lower interest expense as a result of the lower average principal balance on long-term debt during the year.

**Loss on Interest Rate Swap.** Loss on interest rate swap decreased by \$1.3 million, or 61%, from \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease is primarily due to smaller movements in the interest rate market during 2012.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We closely manage our liquidity and capital resources. The key variables we use to manage our liquidity requirements include our discretionary operation and maintenance expense, general and administrative expense, capital expenditures, credit facility capacity and availability, working capital levels, and the level of investments required to support our growth strategies.

Historically, our sources of liquidity included cash generated from operations, equity investments by our sole member and borrowings under our historical credit facility.

We expect our ongoing sources of liquidity subsequent to the closing of the IPO to include cash generated from operations, our new revolving credit facility and issuances of additional debt and equity securities. We believe that cash generated from these sources will be sufficient to sustain operations, to finance anticipated expansion plans and growth initiatives, and to make quarterly cash distributions on all of our outstanding units at the minimum quarterly

distribution rate. However, in the event our liquidity is insufficient, we may be required to limit our spending on future growth plans or other business opportunities or to rely on external financing sources, including commercial bank borrowings and the issuance of debt and equity securities, to fund our growth.

- 61

---

We intend to pay a minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.35 per unit per quarter, which equates to \$6.2 million per quarter, or approximately \$24.9 million per year, based on the number of common, subordinated and general partner units outstanding immediately after the IPO plus unvested phantom units subsequently issued under our long-term incentive plan. However, other than the requirement in our partnership agreement to distribute all of our available cash each quarter, we have no obligation to make quarterly cash distributions in this or any other amounts and our general partner has considerable discretion to determine the amount of our available cash each quarter.

#### Credit Facilities

In 2007, affiliates of NuDevco, including Marlin Midstream, entered into as co-borrowers a credit agreement that consisted of a working capital facility, a term loan and a revolving credit facility. The credit agreement was amended on May 30, 2008 to provide for a \$177.5 million working capital facility, a \$100.0 million term loan, and a \$35.0 million revolving credit facility. In January 2011, the credit agreement was amended and restated to decrease the working capital facility from \$177.5 million to \$150.0 million, increase the term loan from \$100.0 million to \$130.0 million and eliminate the revolving credit facility. In December 2012, the credit agreement was amended and restated to decrease the working capital facility to \$70.0 million, amend the term loan to \$125.0 million and reinstate the revolving credit facility in the amount of \$30.0 million. The amended and restated credit facility was scheduled to mature on December 17, 2014. We repaid the term loan and revolving credit facility with the proceeds of the IPO and a portion of the \$25.0 million borrowed under our new \$50.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility at the closing of the IPO.

Concurrently with the closing of our IPO, we entered into our new revolving credit facility, which matures on July 31, 2017. If no event of default has occurred, we have the right, subject to approval by the administrative agent and certain lenders, to increase the borrowing capacity under the new revolving credit facility to up to \$150.0 million. The new revolving credit facility is available to fund expansions, acquisitions and working capital requirements for our operations and general corporate purposes.

At our election, interest will be generally determined by reference to:

- the Eurodollar rate plus an applicable margin between 3.0% and 3.75% per annum (based upon the prevailing senior secured leverage ratio); or

- the alternate base rate plus an applicable margin between 2.0% and 2.75% per annum (based upon the prevailing senior secured leverage ratio). The alternate base rate is equal to the highest of Société Générale's prime rate, the federal funds rate plus 0.5% per annum or the reference Eurodollar rate plus 1.0%.

Our new revolving credit facility is secured by the capital stock of our present and future subsidiaries, all of our and our subsidiaries' present and future property and assets (real and personal), control agreements relating to our and our subsidiaries' bank accounts and collateral assignments of our and our subsidiaries' material construction, ownership and operation agreements, including any agreements with AES or Anadarko.

Our new revolving credit facility also contains covenants that, among other things, requires us to maintain specified ratios or conditions. We must maintain a consolidated senior secured leverage ratio, consisting of consolidated indebtedness under our new revolving credit facility to consolidated EBITDA of not more than 4.0 to 1.0, as of the last day of each fiscal quarter. In addition, we must maintain a consolidated interest coverage ratio, consisting of our consolidated EBITDA minus capital expenditures to our consolidated interest expense, letter of credit fees and commitment fees of not less than 2.5 to 1.0, as of the last day of each fiscal quarter.

Our new revolving credit facility contains affirmative covenants that are customary for credit facilities of this type.

Our new revolving credit facility also contains additional negative covenants that will limit our ability to, among other things, do any of the following:

- incur certain additional indebtedness;

- grant certain liens;

- engage in certain asset dispositions;

- merge or consolidate;

- make certain payments, investments or loans;

- enter into transactions with affiliates;

- make certain changes in our lines of business or accounting practices, except as required by GAAP or its successor;

store inventory in certain locations;

place certain amounts of cash in accounts not subject to control agreements;

- 62

---

- amend or modify certain agreements and documents;
- incur certain capital expenditures;
- engage in certain prohibited transactions;
- enter into burdensome agreements; and
- act as a transmitting utility or as a utility.

Our new revolving credit facility contains certain customary representations and warranties and events of default. Events of default include, among other things, payment defaults, breach of representations and warranties, covenant defaults, cross-defaults and cross-acceleration to certain indebtedness, certain events of bankruptcy, certain events under ERISA, material judgments in excess of \$5.0 million, certain events with respect to material contracts, actual or asserted failure of any guaranty or security document supporting our new revolving credit facility to be in full force and effect and change of control. If such an event of default occurs, the lenders under our new revolving credit facility would be entitled to take various actions, including the acceleration of amounts due under our new revolving credit facility and all actions permitted to be taken by a secured creditor.

As of December 31, 2013, we had unused capacity under our new revolving credit facility of \$46.0 million and outstanding borrowings of \$4.0 million.

## CASH FLOWS

Net Cash Flows for the Years Ending December 31, 2013 and 2012

Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities, investing activities and financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| In Thousands                    | Year Ended<br>December 31, |            |           |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------|
|                                 | 2013                       | 2012       | Change    |
| Net cash provided by (used in): |                            |            |           |
| Operating activities            | \$9,176                    | \$11,214   | \$(2,038) |
| Investing activities            | \$(12,710)                 | \$(12,445) | \$(265)   |
| Financing activities            | \$1,136                    | \$6,355    | \$(5,219) |

### Operating Activities

Cash flows provided by operating activities decreased by \$2.0 million to \$9.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$11.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease is primarily related to payments made to reimburse affiliates for costs incurred in the normal course of business prior to our initial public offering, which was partially offset by decreased losses incurred on our derivatives, the addition of our long-term incentive plan current liability of \$3.0 million and long-term liability of \$32,000 and an increase in net income for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to December 31, 2012.

### Investing Activities

Cash flows used in investing activities increased by \$0.3 million to \$12.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$12.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Cash paid for capital expenditures during the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily included payments made to construct the Oak Hill Lateral gathering line and install molecular sieves at our Panola 1 processing facility. Cash paid for capital expenditures during the year ended December 31, 2012 included payments for the amounts accrued as of December 31, 2011 for the Panola 2 processing plant, as well as commissioning activities incurred early in the twelve months ending December 31, 2012. We also began construction on our Oak Hill Lateral gathering line in the spring of 2012.



### Financing Activities

Cash flows from financing activities in historical periods primarily were driven by borrowing under our historical credit facility and capital contributions from our sole member. We used these borrowings and capital contributions to fund our working capital needs and to finance maintenance and expansion capital expenditure projects that are reflected in cash flows used in investing activities.

Cash flows provided by financing activities decreased by \$5.2 million to \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$6.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in 2013 is primarily related to borrowings under our new revolving credit facility of \$27.5 million and our previous credit facility of \$9.0 million and net proceeds from the IPO of \$125.3 million, net against debt repayments of \$23.5 million on our new revolving credit facility and \$135.5 million on our previous credit facility, and a capital contribution of \$3.6 million prior to the IPO. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we repaid outstanding indebtedness in the amount of \$123.5 million, had borrowings under our previous credit facility of \$126.5 million and received capital contributions of \$4.3 million.

### Net Cash Flows for the Years Ending December 31, 2012 and 2011

Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities, investing activities and financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| In Thousands                    | Year Ended   |             |             |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                 | December 31, |             |             |
|                                 | 2012         | 2011        | Change      |
| Net cash provided by (used in): |              |             |             |
| Operating activities            | \$ 11,214    | \$ 16,102   | \$ (4,888 ) |
| Investing activities            | \$(12,445 )  | \$(25,658 ) | \$ 13,213   |
| Financing activities            | \$ 6,355     | \$ 8,097    | \$ (1,742 ) |

### Operating Activities

Cash flows provided by operating activities decreased by \$4.9 million to \$11.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$16.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease is primarily due to the change in net income (loss) discussed above under “- Results of Operations,” after excluding the effect of losses on asset disposals, amortization of deferred financing cost, depreciation expense, and unrealized gains or losses on derivatives, which had no effect on cash flows used in operating activities. The decrease is partially offset by an increase in accounts payable to affiliates of \$9.0 million primarily related to natural gas purchases from affiliates to satisfy requirements under our keep-whole contracts.

### Investing Activities

Cash flows used in investing activities decreased by \$13.3 million to \$12.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$25.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease is primarily due to lower capital expenditures in 2012 as various projects with significant spending in 2011 were substantially complete by the end of 2011.

### Financing Activities

Cash flows provided by financing activities decreased by \$1.7 million to \$6.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$8.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease primarily is related to a decrease of \$3.5 million in borrowings under our existing credit facility and a \$2.7 million increase in repayments on the previous term loan relating to the refinancing of our existing credit facility in 2012, partially offset by an increase of \$4.3 million in capital contributions from affiliates of our sponsor.

## CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

Our operations are capital intensive, requiring investments to expand, upgrade or enhance existing operations and to meet environmental and operational regulations. Our capital requirements have consisted of and are expected to continue to consist of maintenance capital expenditures and expansion capital expenditures. Maintenance capital expenditures are cash expenditures (including expenditures for the addition or improvement to, or the replacement of, our capital assets or for the acquisition of existing, or the construction or development of new, capital assets) made to maintain our long-term operating income or operating capacity. Expansion capital expenditures include expenditures to acquire assets and expand existing facilities that increase throughput capacity on our pipelines, processing plants and crude oil logistics assets. Although historically we did not necessarily distinguish between maintenance capital expenditures and expansion capital expenditures in the same manner that we are required to under our partnership agreement subsequent to the closing of the IPO, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we estimate that we incurred a total of \$2.3 million, \$2.0 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, for maintenance capital expenditures and incurred a total of \$11.0 million, \$9.0 million and \$26.1 million respectively, for expansion capital expenditures. Subsequent to the IPO from July 31, 2013 to December 31, 2013, we incurred \$0.8 million of maintenance capital expenditures.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, expansion capital expenditures primarily related to the construction of our Oak Hill Lateral gathering line and the installation of molecular sieves at our Panola 1 processing facility. Our capital funding requirements were funded by borrowings under our previous credit facility and our current credit facility. During the year ended December 31, 2012, expansion capital expenditures primarily related to our Panola 2 processing plant, which was placed into service in 2011 and became fully operational in May 2012. In 2012, we also began construction on our Oak Hill Lateral. Our capital requirements were funded by borrowings under our previous credit facility.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, expansion capital expenditures primarily relate to our Panola 2 processing plant, which was placed into service in 2011 and became fully operational in May 2012.

We budgeted maintenance capital expenditures of approximately \$2.5 million and expansion capital expenditures of approximately \$10.4 million for the year ending December 31, 2013. The majority of the \$2.5 million in maintenance capital expenditures relates to overhauls and upgrades to our major equipment at our Panola County and Tyler County processing facilities in order to maintain the reliability and functionality of the equipment. Of the \$10.4 million in expansion capital expenditures, \$6.7 million relates to the completion of our Oak Hill Lateral gathering line, and \$2.8 million relates to the installation of molecular sieves at our Panola 1 processing plant, expanded the capacity of our Panola County processing facilities by approximately 4%. The remaining \$0.9 million of budgeted expansion capital expenditures relates to several projects to expand the services offered at, and the capacity of, our Panola County processing facilities.

For the year ending December 31, 2014, we budgeted maintenance capital expenditures of approximately \$2.2 million and expansion capital expenditures of approximately \$22.3 million. The majority of the \$2.2 million in maintenance capital expenditures relates to overhauls and upgrades to our major equipment at our Panola County and Tyler County processing facilities in order to maintain the reliability and functionality of the equipment. Of the \$22.3 million in expansion capital expenditures, \$9.7 million relates to the construction of additional gathering systems, and \$10.0 million relates to the expansion of our crude oil logistics segment. The remaining \$2.6 million relates to several projects to expand the services offered at, and the capacity of, our Panola County processing facilities.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

## CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

A summary of our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013 is as follows:

| In Thousands | 2014   | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Thereafter | Total  |
|--------------|--------|------|------|------|------------|--------|
|              | \$ 177 | \$—  | \$—  | \$—  | \$—        | \$ 177 |

Edgar Filing: Marlin Midstream Partners, LP - Form 10-K

|                                   |       |     |     |         |     |         |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-----|-----|---------|-----|---------|
| Operating services agreements (1) |       |     |     |         |     |         |
| Long-term debt (2)                | —     | —   | —   | 4,000   | —   | 4,000   |
| Total                             | \$177 | \$— | \$— | \$4,000 | \$— | \$4,177 |

- 65

---

Amounts relate to minimum payments for operating services agreements having initial or remaining non-cancellable lease terms in excess of one year, including our operating services agreements at (i) our Panola County processing facilities with a remaining term of 2 months and total payments of \$0.1 million and (ii) our Tyler County processing facility with a remaining term of 6 months and total remaining payments of \$0.1 million. \$4.0 million was outstanding at December 31, 2013. This new senior secured revolving credit facility matures on July 31, 2017. For additional information relating to our long-term debt, please see Note 6 "Long-Term Debt and Interest Expense," to our consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-K.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

As of December 31, 2013, there have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates disclosed in our Prospectus. We have added a critical accounting policy and estimate with respect to the accounting for our long-term incentive plan awards.

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires our management to make informed judgments and estimates that affect the amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and affect the amounts of revenues and expenses recognized during the periods reported. On an ongoing basis, management reviews its estimates, including those related to the determination of properties and equipment, asset retirement obligations, litigation, environmental liabilities, income taxes and fair values. Although these estimates are based on management's best available knowledge of current and expected future events, changes in facts and circumstances or discovery of new information may result in revised estimates, and actual results may differ from these estimates. Management considers the following to be its most critical accounting estimates that involve judgment and discusses the selection and development of these estimates with the audit committee of our general partner. For additional information relating to our accounting policies, please see Note 1—"Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" — to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

#### Our Revenue Recognition Policies and Use of Estimates for Revenues and Expenses

In general, we recognize revenue from customers when all of the following criteria are met:

- persuasive evidence of an exchange arrangement exists;
- delivery has occurred or services have been rendered;
- the price is fixed or determinable; and
- collectability is reasonably assured.

We record revenue for natural gas and NGL sales and transportation services over the period in which they are earned (i.e., either physical delivery of product has taken place or the services designated in the contract have been performed). While we make every effort to record actual volume and price data, there may be times where we need to make use of estimates for certain revenues and expenses. If the assumptions underlying our estimates prove to be substantially incorrect, it could result in material adjustments in results of operations in future periods.

#### Depreciation Methods and Estimated Useful Lives of Property, Plant and Equipment

We calculate depreciation expense using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of our property, plant and equipment. We assign asset lives based on reasonable estimates when an asset is placed into service. We periodically evaluate the estimated useful lives of our property, plant and equipment and revise our estimates when and as appropriate. Because of the expected long useful lives of the property, plant and equipment, we depreciate our property, plant and equipment over periods ranging from 5 years to 40 years. Changes in the estimated useful lives of the property, plant and equipment could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We review property, plant and equipment and other long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate the net book values of the assets may not be recoverable. Impairment is indicated when the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' net book value. If this occurs, an impairment loss is recognized for the difference between the fair value and net book value. Factors that indicate potential impairment include: a significant decrease in the market value of the asset, operating or cash flow losses associated with the use of the asset, and a significant change in the asset's physical condition or use. No

impairments of long-lived assets were recorded during the periods included in these financial statements.  
Contingencies

- 66

---

In the ordinary course of business, we may become party to lawsuits, administrative proceedings and governmental investigations, including environmental, regulatory and other matters. As of December 31, 2013, we did not have any material outstanding lawsuits, administrative proceedings or governmental investigations.

#### Accounting for Derivative and Hedging Activities

From time to time, we enter into derivative transactions to mitigate our exposure to price fluctuations in NGLs and utilize derivative instruments to manage our exposure to interest rate risk. We recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in our consolidated and combined Balance Sheets at their respective fair value. For derivatives designated in hedging relationships, changes in the fair value are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, to the extent the derivative is effective at offsetting the changes in cash flows being hedged, until the hedged item affects earnings.

We formally assess, both at the inception of the hedging transaction and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of hedged transactions. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as part of a cash flow hedging transaction, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

We discontinue hedge accounting prospectively when we determine that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting cash flows attributable to the hedged risk, the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised, the cash flow hedge is de-designated because a forecasted transaction is not probable of occurring, or management determines to remove the designation of the cash flow hedge.

In all situations in which hedge accounting is discontinued and the derivative remains outstanding, we continue to carry the derivative at its fair value on the balance sheet and recognize any subsequent changes in its fair value in earnings. When it is probable that a forecasted transaction will not occur, we discontinue hedge accounting and recognize immediately in earnings gains and losses that were accumulated in other comprehensive income related to the hedging relationship.

Our commodity derivative instruments are recorded at fair value using broker quoted market prices of similar contracts. Our interest rate swap derivatives are valued using current forward interest rates as quoted by brokers to be received in the cash market.

#### Accounting for Awards under the Long-term Incentive Plan

In connection with the IPO, the board of directors of our general partner adopted the Marlin Midstream Partners, LP 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP). Individuals who are eligible to receive awards under the LTIP include (1) employees of the Partnership and NuDevco Midstream Development and its affiliates, (2) directors of the Partnership's general partner, and (3) consultants. The LTIP provides for the grant of unit options, unit appreciation awards, restricted units, phantom units, distribution equivalent rights, unit awards, profits interest units, and other unit-based awards. The maximum number of common units issuable under the LTIP is 1,750,000.

On August 1, 2013, phantom units, with distribution equivalent rights, of 292,000 units were awarded to certain employees of NuDevco Midstream Development and its affiliates who provide direct or indirect services to us pursuant to affiliate agreements, and 20,000 units were awarded to certain board members of our general partner. All of the phantom unit awards granted to-date are considered non-employee equity based awards and are required to be remeasured at fair market value at each reporting period and amortized to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the phantom units with a corresponding increase in a liability. We intend to settle the awards by allowing the recipient to choose between issuing the net amount of common units due, less common units equivalent to pay withholding taxes, due upon vesting with the Partnership paying the amount of withholding taxes due in cash or issuing the gross amount of common units due with the recipient paying the withholding taxes. The phantom unit awards were awarded to individuals who are not deemed to be employees of the Partnership.

Distribution equivalent rights are accrued for each phantom unit award as the Partnership declares cash distributions and are recorded as a decrease in partners' capital with a corresponding liability in accordance with the vesting period of the underlying phantom unit, which will be settled in cash when the underlying phantom units vest.

The phantom units awarded to employees of NuDevco Midstream Development and its affiliates will vest in five equal annual installments with the first installment vesting on June 30, 2014, provided that for any individual who has attained a total of five or more years of service with NuDevco Midstream Development or its affiliates at the grant date of award the phantom unit awards will fully vest on February 15, 2014. The phantom unit awards to board members of the Partnership's general partner will fully vest on February 15, 2014.

Subsequent Events

- 67

---

We evaluated our disclosure of subsequent events through the date, February 27, 2014, that our consolidated financial statements were issued.

#### NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

##### Liabilities

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2013-04, “Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation is Fixed at the Reporting Date” (“ASU 2013-04”) regarding accounting for obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements. ASU 2013-04 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2014. Early adoption is permitted with retrospective application. We adopted this standard upon issuance and have applied the requirements to our 2012 combined financial statements.

##### Reporting Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Comprehensive Income

In February 2013, the FASB also issued ASU No. 2013-02, “Reporting Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Comprehensive Income” (“ASU 2013-02”) related to the reporting of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. This guidance requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the financial statements or in the related notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified in its entirety in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional details about those amounts. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2012. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act, for complying with new or revised accounting standards. As such, we can delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until these standards would otherwise apply to private companies. We have elected to delay such adoption of new or revised accounting standards, and as a result, we will not adopt ASU 2013-02 until interim periods in 2014, as required of private entities.

##### Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, “Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities” (“ASU 2011-11”). ASU 2011-11 retains the existing offsetting requirements and enhances the disclosure requirements to allow investors to better compare financial statements prepared under GAAP with those prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”). On January 31, 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-01, “Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities” (“ASU 2013-01”). ASU 2013-01 limits the scope of the new balance sheet offsetting disclosures to derivatives, repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions. Both standards will be effective for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2013 and should be applied retrospectively. We adopted both standards on January 1, 2013. The standards did not have an effect on our consolidated and combined financial statement footnotes, as our derivative financial instruments are not presented on a net basis in our consolidated and combined Balance Sheets.



Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

We had exposure to changes in interest rates on our indebtedness associated with our historical credit facility described above in Item 7 “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facilities.” We entered into an interest rate swap contract, effective December 17, 2012, with a notional amount that declines over time. The contract effectively limited our LIBOR based interest rate exposure related to the notional amount of the swap contract through December 17, 2014. At the closing of the IPO, the interest rate swap was terminated and settled for approximately \$0.1 million.

We have exposure to changes in interest rates under our new revolving credit facility. The credit markets have recently experienced historical lows in interest rates. As the overall economy strengthens, it is possible that monetary policy will tighten further, resulting in higher interest rates to counter possible inflation. Interest rates on floating rate credit facilities and future debt offerings could be higher than current levels, causing our financing costs to increase accordingly.

Commodity Price Risk

With the execution of a fee-based gathering and processing agreement and multiple fee-based transloading services agreements with AES at the closing of the IPO, substantially all of our gross margin are generated under fee-based commercial agreements, the substantial majority of which have minimum volume commitments. We believe these commercial arrangements promote stable cash flows and minimal direct commodity price exposure. Accordingly, we have not entered into any derivative contracts to manage our exposure to commodity price risk, and, as a result of our limited exposure to commodity price risk under our fee-based commercial agreements, we do not plan to enter into hedging arrangements to manage such risk subsequent to the closing of the IPO. Natural gas and NGL prices can affect our profitability indirectly by influencing the level of drilling and production activity by our producer customers, the willingness of our non-producer customers to purchase natural gas for processing and the volumes of natural gas delivered to us for processing by all of our customers.

Counterparty and Customer Credit Risk

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, AES, Anadarko and Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (“Enterprise”), each accounted for more than 10% of our revenues. Although we have gathering and processing agreements with Anadarko and a NGL sales agreement with Enterprise, these agreements have remaining terms ranging from two to six years. In addition, at the closing of the IPO, we entered into a three-year fee-based gathering and processing agreement with AES at our Panola County processing facilities. Under this agreement, AES pays us a fixed fee per Mcf (subject to an annual inflation adjustment) for gathering, treating, compression and processing services and a per gallon fixed fee for NGL transportation services. As these contracts expire, we will have to renegotiate extensions or renewals with these customers or replace the existing contracts with new arrangements with other customers. If any of these customers were to default on its contracts or if we were unable to renew our contracts with them on favorable terms, we may not be able to replace such customers in a timely fashion, on favorable terms or at all. In any of these situations, our revenues and cash flows and our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders would be materially and adversely affected.

In addition, AES is our sole customer with respect to our crude oil logistics business, and we expect to continue to derive the substantial majority of our transloading revenues from AES. At the closing of the IPO, AES contracted for 100% of the capacity at our Wildcat and Big Horn facilities. Such concentration subjects us to increased risk in the case of nonpayment, nonperformance or non-renewal by AES under the transloading services agreements that we entered into with AES at the closing of the IPO. Any adverse developments concerning AES could materially and adversely affect our crude oil logistics business.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm  | 71 |
| Consolidated and Combined Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012  | 72 |
| Consolidated and Combined Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 | 73 |
| Consolidated and Combined Statement of Partners' Capital and Members' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011      | 74 |
| Consolidated and Combined Statement of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011                                 | 75 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements   | 76 |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors of Marlin Midstream GP, LLC  
and  
The Unitholders of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP  
and  
The General Partner of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated and combined balance sheets of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP and subsidiaries (the Partnership) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated and combined statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), partners' capital and member's equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013. These consolidated and combined financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated and combined financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated and combined financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ KPMG LLP  
Houston, Texas  
February 27, 2014

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP  
CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED BALANCE SHEETS  
(in thousands, except unit amounts)

|   | December 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |                      |                      |
| <b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>   |                      |                      |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$3,157              | \$5,555              |
| Accounts receivable   | 2,969                | 6,722                |
| Accounts receivable—affiliates  | 3,632                | 96                   |
| Inventory   | 321                  | 294                  |
| Prepaid assets  | 330                  | 95                   |
| Other current assets  | 285                  | 836                  |
| Total current assets  | 10,694               | 13,598               |
| PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET  | 162,548              | 165,139              |
| OTHER ASSETS  | 900                  | 2,059                |
| <b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>   | <b>\$174,142</b>     | <b>\$180,796</b>     |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL AND MEMBER'S EQUITY</b>                |                      |                      |
| <b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>  |                      |                      |
| Accounts payable  | \$2,791              | \$1,900              |
| Accrued liabilities   | 2,131                | 1,319                |
| Accounts payable—affiliates   | 1,552                | 4,034                |
| Long-term incentive plan payable - affiliates                               | 2,752                | —                    |
| Fair value of derivative liabilities  | —                    | 72                   |
| Current portion of long-term debt   | —                    | 6,250                |
| Total current liabilities   | 9,226                | 13,575               |
| <b>LONG-TERM LIABILITIES</b>  |                      |                      |
| Accounts payable—affiliates   | —                    | 14,692               |
| Long-term incentive plan payable - affiliates                               | 291                  | —                    |
| Deferred Texas margin tax   | 75                   | —                    |
| Long-term debt  | 4,000                | 120,250              |
| Total liabilities   | 13,592               | 148,517              |
| <b>PARTNERS' CAPITAL AND MEMBER'S EQUITY</b>                                |                      |                      |
| Member's equity   | —                    | 32,279               |
| Common units (8,724,545 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013)        | 142,587              | —                    |
| Subordinated units (8,724,545 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013)  | 17,258               | —                    |
| General partner units (356,104 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013) | 705                  | —                    |
| Total partners' capital and member's equity                                 | 160,550              | 32,279               |
| <b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL AND MEMBER'S EQUITY</b>          | <b>\$174,142</b>     | <b>\$180,796</b>     |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated and combined financial statements.

MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP  
CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
(LOSS)

(in thousands, except per unit amounts)

|   | For the year ending December 31, |          |           |   |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|---|
|   | 2013                             | 2012     | 2011      |   |
| <b>REVENUES:</b>  |                                  |          |           |   |
| Natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue                                | \$15,792                         | \$34,708 | \$55,558  |   |
| Gathering, processing, transloading and other revenue                   | 24,053                           | 16,087   | 10,006    |   |
| Gathering, processing, transloading and other revenue—affiliates        | 13,015                           | 254      | 254       |   |
| Total Revenues  | 52,860                           | 51,049   | 65,818    |   |
| <b>OPERATING EXPENSES:</b>  |                                  |          |           |   |
| Cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue                        | 8,484                            | 13,355   | 11,449    |   |
| Cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue—affiliates             | 5,515                            | 7,668    | 17,407    |   |
| Operation and maintenance   | 12,401                           | 15,035   | 12,031    |   |
| Operation and maintenance—affiliates                                    | 3,490                            | 793      | 327       |   |
| General and administrative  | 3,699                            | 3,045    | 3,260     |   |
| General and administrative—affiliates                                   | 4,187                            | 1,021    | 907       |   |
| Property tax expense  | 1,216                            | 893      | 490       |   |
| Depreciation expense  | 8,197                            | 7,689    | 5,365     |   |
| Loss on disposals of equipment  | —                                | —        | 217       |   |
| Total operating expenses  | 47,189                           | 49,499   | 51,453    |   |
| Operating income  | 5,671                            | 1,550    | 14,365    |   |
| Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized                            | (4,349)                          | ) (4,927 | ) (3,733  | ) |
| Interest and other income   | —                                | 23       | 20        |   |
| Loss on interest rate swap  | (48)                             | ) (851   | ) (2,176  | ) |
| Net income (loss) before tax  | 1,274                            | (4,205   | ) 8,476   | ) |
| Texas margin tax expense  | 88                               | 101      | (65       | ) |
| Net income (loss)   | 1,186                            | (4,306   | ) 8,541   | ) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss)                                       |                                  |          |           |   |
| Deferred gain from cash flow hedges                                     | —                                | 689      | 122       |   |
| Reclassification of deferred gain from cash flow hedges into net income | —                                | (752     | ) (59     | ) |
| Comprehensive income (loss)   | \$1,186                          | \$(4,369 | ) \$8,604 | ) |
| Net income (post-IPO, August 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013)              | \$7,190                          |          |           |   |
| Less: general partner interest in net income                            | \$(144                           | )        |           |   |
| Limited partner interest in net income                                  | \$7,046                          |          |           |   |
| Net income per limited partner unit - basic                             | \$0.40                           |          |           |   |
| Net income per limited partner common unit - diluted                    | \$0.39                           |          |           |   |
| Net income per limited subordinated unit - diluted                      | \$0.40                           |          |           |   |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated and combined financial statements.

MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP  
CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENT OF PARTNERS' CAPITAL AND MEMBER'S EQUITY  
(in thousands)

|   | Member's<br>Equity | General<br>Partner<br>Units | Subordinated<br>Units | Common<br>Units | Total      |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010  | \$23,670           | \$—                         | \$—                   | \$—             | \$23,670   |
| Net income  | 8,541              | —                           | —                     | —               | 8,541      |
| Deferred gain from cash flow hedges   | 122                | —                           | —                     | —               | 122        |
| Reclassification of deferred gain from cash flow hedges   | (59)               | )—                          | —                     | —               | (59 )      |
| Balance at December 31, 2011  | 32,274             | —                           | —                     | —               | 32,274     |
| Net loss  | (4,306)            | )—                          | —                     | —               | (4,306 )   |
| Capital contributions   | 4,374              | —                           | —                     | —               | 4,374      |
| Deferred gain from cash flow hedges   | 689                | —                           | —                     | —               | 689        |
| Reclassification of deferred gain from cash flow hedges   | (752)              | )—                          | —                     | —               | (752 )     |
| Balance at December 31, 2012  | 32,279             | —                           | —                     | —               | 32,279     |
| Net loss attributable to the period from January 1, 2013 through July 31, 2013  | (6,003)            | )—                          | —                     | —               | (6,003 )   |
| Capital contributions through July 31, 2013   | 3,574              | —                           | —                     | —               | 3,574      |
| Transfer of net liabilities to NuDevco Midstream Development, LLC on July 31, 2013  | 2,307              | —                           | —                     | —               | 2,307      |
| Contribution of net assets to Marlin Midstream Partners, LP at July 31, 2013  | (32,157)           | )643                        | 15,757                | 15,757          | —          |
| Issuance of common units from Initial Public Offering, net of underwriting discount and other direct IPO costs at July 31, 2013 |                    | —                           | —                     | 125,329         | 125,329    |
| Distributions through December 31, 2013   |                    | (82)                        | ) (2,022              | ) (2,022        | ) (4,126 ) |
| Net income attributable to the period from August 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013   |                    | 144                         | 3,523                 | 3,523           | 7,190      |
| Balance at December 31, 2013  | \$—                | \$705                       | \$17,258              | \$142,587       | \$160,550  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated and combined financial statements.

MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP  
CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(in thousands)

|   | Years Ended December 31, |                |               |          |
|---|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012           | 2011          |          |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>  |                          |                |               |          |
| Net income (loss)   | \$1,186                  | \$(4,306)      | ) \$8,541     |          |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows provided by operating activities: |                          |                |               |          |
| Loss on disposal  | —                        | —              | 217           |          |
| Depreciation expense  | 8,197                    | 7,689          | 5,365         |          |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs  | 1,269                    | 542            | 367           |          |
| Equity-based compensation   | 3,012                    | —              | —             |          |
| Deferred Texas margin tax   | 75                       | —              | —             |          |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives   | (57                      | ) (4,196       | ) 291         |          |
| Unrealized Gain (loss) on derivatives—affiliates                                      | —                        | (344           | ) 344         |          |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:  |                          |                |               |          |
| (Increase) Decrease in accounts receivable  | 3,752                    | (480           | ) (1,087      | )        |
| (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable—affiliates                                 | (3,526                   | ) 1,267        | 884           |          |
| (Increase) decrease in inventory  | (28                      | ) 132          | (252          | )        |
| (Increase) decrease in prepaid assets   | (235                     | ) (36          | ) 63          |          |
| (Increase) decrease in other current assets   | 3                        | 236            | (135          | )        |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets   | 51                       | (679           | ) 175         |          |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable   | 120                      | (108           | ) 496         |          |
| Increase (decrease) in accrued liabilities  | 813                      | 1,192          | (445          | )        |
| Increase in long-term incentive plan payable  | 32                       | —              | —             |          |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable—affiliates                                    | (5,488                   | ) 10,305       | 1,278         |          |
| Net cash provided by operating activities   | 9,176                    | 11,214         | 16,102        |          |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>  |                          |                |               |          |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment  | (12,710                  | ) (12,445      | ) (25,658     | )        |
| Net cash used in investing activities   | (12,710                  | ) (12,445      | ) (25,658     | )        |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>  |                          |                |               |          |
| Member Capital contributions  | 3,574                    | 4,287          | —             |          |
| Borrowing of long-term debt   | 36,500                   | 126,500        | 130,000       |          |
| Repayments on long-term debt  | (159,000                 | ) (123,500     | ) (120,809    | )        |
| Payment of deferred financing costs   | (1,141                   | ) (932         | ) (1,094      | )        |
| Distributions   | (4,126                   | ) —            | —             |          |
| Proceeds from IPO, net of underwriting discount and other costs                       | 125,329                  | —              | —             |          |
| Net cash provided by financing activities   | 1,136                    | 6,355          | 8,097         |          |
| <b>NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>                                      | <b>(2,398</b>            | <b>) 5,124</b> | <b>(1,459</b> | <b>)</b> |
| <b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—Beginning of Period</b>                                  | <b>5,555</b>             | <b>431</b>     | <b>1,890</b>  |          |
| <b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—End of Period</b>  | <b>\$3,157</b>           | <b>\$5,555</b> | <b>\$431</b>  |          |
| <b>Supplemental Cash Flow Information:</b>  |                          |                |               |          |
| Cash paid for interest  | \$3,448                  | \$4,296        | \$4,619       |          |
| Accrual of construction-in-progress and capital expenditures                          | \$1,407                  | \$635          | \$1,977       |          |
| Cash paid for texas margin taxes  | \$40                     | \$67           | \$6           |          |
| Contribution of property from sole member   | \$—                      | \$87           | \$—           |          |

Edgar Filing: Marlin Midstream Partners, LP - Form 10-K

|  |          |     |     |
|--|----------|-----|-----|
| Net assets contributed to NuDevco Midstream Development, LLC                 | \$9,385  | \$— | \$— |
| Intercompany accounts payable assigned to NuDevco Midstream Development, LLC | \$11,692 | \$— | \$— |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated and combined financial statements.



MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization

Marlin Midstream Partners, LP (the "Partnership") is a midstream energy company that offers (i) natural gas gathering, compression, dehydration, treating, processing, and hydrocarbon dew-point control and transportation services to producers, marketers and third-party pipeline companies, and (ii) crude oil transloading services to Associated Energy Services, LP ("AES"), an affiliate of the Partnership.

The Partnership is a Delaware limited partnership, formed in April 2013 by NuDevco Partners, LLC and its affiliates ("NuDevco"). NuDevco, a sole member limited liability company formed on August 27, 2010 under the Texas Limited Liability Company Act ("TLLCA"), is an affiliate of Spark Energy Ventures, LLC ("SEV"), a sole member limited liability company formed on October 8, 2007 under the TLLCA. NuDevco and SEV are both wholly owned by W. Keith Maxwell III. SEV was the sole member of Marlin Midstream, LLC and its subsidiaries ("Marlin Midstream"), and Mr. Maxwell was the sole member of Marlin Logistics, LLC ("Marlin Logistics") prior to the closing of the Partnership's initial public offering of 6,875,000 common units representing a 38.6% limited partner interest in the Partnership on July 31, 2013 ("IPO") as discussed below. Concurrently, with the closing of the IPO, the Partnership also executed a new credit facility as discussed below.

In connection with the closing of the IPO, SEV contributed all of its interest in Marlin Midstream to the Partnership, and Mr. Maxwell contributed all of his interest in Marlin Logistics to the Partnership, through a series of transfers of interest in entities all under the common control of Mr. Maxwell in exchange for wholly owned subsidiaries of NuDevco receiving common units and all of the Partnership's subordinated units and incentive distribution rights. The contribution of entities, as discussed above, to the Partnership is not considered a business combination accounted for under the purchase method, as it was a transfer of assets and operations under common control and, accordingly, balances were transferred at their historical cost. The Partnership's historical combined financial statements prior to the IPO are prepared using Marlin Midstream's and Marlin Logistics' historical basis in the assets and liabilities, and include all revenues, costs, assets and liabilities attributed to these entities for the periods presented. The Partnership's financial statements subsequent to the IPO are prepared on a consolidated basis.

The Partnership's general partner, Marlin Midstream GP, LLC manages the Partnership's activities subject to the terms and conditions specified in the Partnership's partnership agreement. The Partnership's general partner is owned by NuDevco Midstream Development, LLC ("NuDevco Midstream Development"), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of NuDevco. The operations of the general partner, in its capacity as general partner, are managed by its board of directors. Actions by the general partner that are made in its individual capacity will be made by NuDevco Midstream Development as the sole member of the Partnership's general partner and not by the board of directors of the general partner. The partnership's general partner will not be elected by the Partnership's unitholders and will not be subject to re-election on a regular basis in the future. The officers of the general partner will manage the day-to-day affairs of the Partnership's business.

Marlin Midstream was formed November 26, 2002 as a sole member limited liability company under the TLLCA. Marlin Midstream is a midstream energy company offering the following midstream services: natural gas gathering, compression, dehydration, treating, processing and hydrocarbon dew-point control and transportation services to producers, third-party pipeline companies and marketers.

Marlin Logistics, formerly known as FuelCo Energy, LLC, was formed August 26, 2010 as a sole member limited liability company under the TLLCA. Marlin Logistics is a crude oil logistics company that offers crude oil transloading services.

As a company with less than \$1.0 billion in revenues during its last fiscal year, the Partnership qualifies as an "emerging growth company" as defined in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, or the JOBS Act. An emerging growth company may take advantage of specified reduced reporting and other regulatory requirements for up to five years that are otherwise applicable generally to public companies, such as no requirement to provide

selected financial data in their annual reports for any period prior to the earliest audited period presented in connection with their first registration statement under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act that became effective.

The Partnership will remain an emerging growth company for five years unless, prior to that time, annual revenues total more than \$1.0 billion, the Partnership becomes a “large accelerated filer,” as defined in Rule 12b-2 promulgated under the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), or the Partnership issues more than \$1.0 billion of non-convertible debt over a three-year period.

As a result of our election to avail ourselves of certain provisions of the JOBS Act, the information that we provide may be different than what you may receive from other public companies in which you hold an equity interest.

#### Initial Public Offering of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP

On July 31, 2013, the Partnership completed the IPO of 6,875,000 common units, representing a 38.6% limited partner interest, to the public for \$20.00 per common unit, less an underwriting discount of \$1.20 per common unit. After the closing of the IPO, substantially all the Partnership's gross margin is generated under fee-based commercial agreements, the substantial majority of which have minimum volume commitments.

The Partnership’s general partner is entitled to 2.0% of all distributions that the Partnership makes. The general partner has the right, but not the obligation, to contribute a proportionate amount of capital to us in order to maintain its 2.0% general partner interest if the Partnership issues additional units. The 2.0% general partner interest, and the percentage of the Partnership’s cash distributions to which the general partner is entitled from such 2.0% interest, will be proportionately reduced if the Partnership issues additional units in the future (other than the issuance of common units upon conversion of outstanding subordinated units or the issuance of common units upon a reset of the incentive distribution rights) and the Partnership’s general partner does not contribute a proportionate amount of capital to the Partnership in order to maintain the general partners 2.0% general partner interest.

NuDevco indirectly holds all of the incentive distribution rights issued in the IPO, which entitles NuDevco to receive an increasing percentage (13.0%, 23.0% and 48.0%) of quarterly distributions of available cash from operating surplus after the minimum quarterly distribution and certain target distribution levels have been achieved. The maximum distribution of 48.0% does not include any distributions that the Partnership’s general partner or its affiliates may receive on common, subordinated or general partner units that they own.

The Partnership’s partnership agreement provides that, during the defined subordination period, the common units have the right to receive distributions of available cash from operating surplus each quarter in an amount equal to \$0.35 per common unit before any distributions of available cash from operating surplus may be made on the subordinated units. The subordinated units are deemed “subordinated” because for a defined period of time the subordinated units will not be entitled to receive any distributions until the common units have received the minimum quarterly distribution plus any arrearages from prior quarters. Furthermore, no arrearages accrue or are payable on the subordinated units.

Net proceeds to the Partnership from the IPO were \$125.3 million, after underwriting discount, structuring fees and other direct IPO costs. Using those proceeds, the Partnership repaid its existing credit facility of approximately \$121.9 million and the outstanding revolving credit facility of approximately \$10.0 million, and settled its existing interest rate swap liability of approximately \$0.1 million.

At the consummation of the IPO, the amount of common, subordinated, and general partner units is summarized in the table below:

|                                    | Number of units at<br>July 31, 2013 | Limited Partner<br>Interest |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Publicly held common units         | 6,875,000                           | 38.6%                       |
| Common units held by NuDevco       | 1,849,545                           | 10.4%                       |
| Subordinated units held by NuDevco | 8,724,545                           | 49.0%                       |
| General partner units              | 356,104                             | 2.0%                        |
| Total                              | 17,805,194                          | 100.0%                      |

There have been no subsequent issuances of common units.

#### Our Fee-Based Commercial Agreements

Prior to the IPO, the Partnership generated revenues primarily under keep-whole and other commodity-based gathering and processing agreements with third parties and its affiliates. At the closing of the IPO, the Partnership terminated the existing commodity-based gas gathering and processing agreement with AES, assigned to AES all of the remaining keep-whole and other commodity-based gathering and processing agreements with third party

customers and entered into a new three-year fee-based gathering and processing agreement with AES with a minimum volume commitment and annual inflation adjustments.

- 77

---

Following the closing of the IPO, the Partnership has multiple fee-based commercial agreements in place with Anadarko Petroleum Corporation (“Anadarko”) and AES, substantially all of which include minimum volume commitments and annual inflation adjustments that will initially be the source of a substantial portion of the Partnership's revenues.

#### Credit Facility

Concurrently with the closing of the IPO, the Partnership entered into a new \$50.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility, which matures on July 31, 2017. If no event of default has occurred, the Partnership has the right, subject to approval by the administrative agent and certain lenders, to increase the borrowing capacity under the revolving credit facility to up to \$150.0 million. The new revolving credit facility is available to fund expansions, acquisitions and working capital requirements for operations and general corporate purposes. At the closing of the IPO, the Partnership borrowed \$25.0 million under the new revolving credit facility. At December 31, 2013, \$4.0 million was outstanding under the new revolving credit facility.

At our election, interest will be generally determined by reference to:

• the Eurodollar rate plus an applicable margin between 3.0% and 3.75% per annum (based upon the prevailing senior secured leverage ratio); or

• the alternate base rate plus an applicable margin between 2.0% and 2.75% per annum (based upon the prevailing senior secured leverage ratio). The alternate base rate is equal to the highest of Société Générale's prime rate, the federal funds rate plus 0.5% per annum or the reference Eurodollar rate plus 1.0%.

Our new revolving credit facility is secured by the capital stock of our present and future subsidiaries, all of our and our subsidiaries' present and future property and assets (real and personal), control agreements relating to our and our subsidiaries' bank accounts and other instruments, investment property, general intangibles and contract rights, including rights under any agreements with AES or Anadarko.

Our new revolving credit facility also contains covenants that, among other things, require us to maintain specified ratios or conditions. We must maintain a consolidated senior secured leverage ratio, consisting of consolidated indebtedness under our new revolving credit facility to consolidated EBITDA of not more than 4.0 to 1.0, as of the last day of each fiscal quarter. In addition, we must maintain a consolidated interest coverage ratio, consisting of our consolidated EBITDA minus capital expenditures to our consolidated interest expense, letter of credit fees and commitment fees of not less than 2.5 to 1.0, as of the last day of each fiscal quarter.

In addition, our new revolving credit facility contains affirmative covenants that are customary for credit facilities of this type. The covenants will include delivery of financial statements and other information (including any filings made with the SEC), maintenance of property and insurance, payment of taxes and obligations, material compliance with laws, inspection of property, books and records and audits, use of proceeds, payments to bank blocked accounts, notice of defaults and certain other customary matters. For additional information relating to our long-term debt, please see Note 6, "Long-Term Debt and Interest Expense," to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-K.

#### Other Transactions in Connection with the Consummation of the IPO:

On July 31, 2013, in connection with the closing of the IPO, the following transactions occurred:

• the Partnership's general partner maintained its 2.0% general partner interest, and all of the Partnership's incentive distribution rights were issued to Marlin IDR Holdings, LLC;

• the Partnership issued 1,849,545 common units and 8,724,545 subordinated units to NuDevco Midstream Development for the contributions by SEV and Mr. Maxwell, representing a 49.0% limited partner interest in the Partnership;

• the Partnership transferred to affiliates of NuDevco (i) the Partnership's 50% interest in a CO<sub>2</sub> processing facility located in Monell, Wyoming, (ii) certain transloading assets and purchase commitments owned by Marlin Logistics that are not currently under a service contract, (iii) certain property, plant and equipment and other equipment not yet in service and (iv) certain other immaterial contracts;

• NuDevco assumed \$11.7 million of the non-current accounts payable balance owed by Marlin Midstream to affiliates of SEV and Marlin Midstream was released from such obligations; and

•

the Partnership entered into an omnibus agreement with the general partner and its affiliates that addresses (i) the management and administrative services to be provided by NuDevco to the Partnership and the corresponding fees and expense reimbursements to be paid to NuDevco in connection therewith, (ii) the indemnification obligations between NuDevco and the Partnership for environmental and other liabilities and

- 78

---

the operation of assets and (iii) the Partnership's right of first offer on certain of NuDevco Midstream Development's midstream energy assets.

## 2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated and combined financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP") and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

In preparing financial statements in accordance with GAAP, management makes informed judgments and estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Management evaluates its estimates and related assumptions regularly, utilizing historical experience and other methods considered reasonable under the particular circumstances. Changes in facts and circumstances or additional information may result in revised estimates and actual results may differ from these estimates. Effects on the business, financial condition and results of operations resulting from revisions to estimates are recognized when the facts that give rise to the revision become known. The information furnished herein reflects all normal recurring adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated and combined financial statements. The consolidated and combined financial statements include the accounts of the Partnership and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying combined financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Regulation S-X, Article 3, General Instructions as to Financial Statements and Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") Topic 1-B, Allocations of Expenses and Related Disclosures in Financial Statements of Subsidiaries, Divisions or Lesser Business Components of Another Entity. Certain expenses incurred by SEV are only indirectly attributable to Marlin Midstream. As a result, certain assumptions and estimates are made in order to allocate a reasonable share of such expenses to the Partnership, so that the accompanying combined financial statements reflect substantially all costs of doing business. The allocations and related estimates and assumptions are described more fully in Note 11-"Transactions with Affiliates", which the Partnership believes are reasonable.

SEV has allocated various corporate overhead expenses to the Partnership based on percentage of departmental usage, wages or headcount. These allocations are not necessarily indicative of the cost that the Partnership would have incurred had it operated as an independent stand-alone entity. As such, the consolidated and combined financial statements do not fully reflect what the Partnership's financial position, results of operations and cash flows would have been had the Partnership operated as a stand-alone company during the periods presented.

At the closing of the IPO, the Partnership entered into an omnibus agreement with NuDevco and its affiliates which addresses the management and administrative services to be provided by NuDevco to the Partnership and the corresponding fees and expense reimbursements to be paid to NuDevco in connection therewith. Under the omnibus agreement, the Partnership pays an annual fee, initially in the amount of \$0.6 million, for executive management services and is allocated general and administrative and operating expenses that are directly attributable to the Partnership.

Prior to the IPO, Marlin Midstream relied upon SEV and its affiliates as a participant in SEV's credit facility. As a result, historical combined financial information is not necessarily indicative of what the Partnership's results of operations, financial position and cash flows will be in the future.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of all unrestricted demand deposits and funds invested in highly liquid instruments with original maturities of three months or less. The Partnership periodically assesses the financial condition of the institutions where these funds are held and believes that its credit risk is minimal.

### Accounts Receivable

Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. Amounts collected on trade accounts receivable are included in net cash provided by operating activities in the consolidated and combined statements of cash flows. The Partnership does not maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts. The Partnership elects to use the direct write-off method based on its collection history. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, no accounts receivable were written off.

Inventory

Inventory consists of NGLs and chemicals in bulk storage and is valued at the lower of weighted average cost or market. NGL inventories are used for sales contract requirements. Chemical inventories are expensed as transferred from bulk storage into production and are recorded in operation and maintenance in the consolidated and combined statements of operations.

- 79

---



#### Deferred Financing Costs

Costs incurred in connection with the issuance of long-term debt are capitalized and amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the life of the related debt.

#### Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation on property, plant and equipment is recorded on a straight-line basis for groups of property having similar economic characteristics over the estimated useful lives (primarily 5 to 40 years). Uncertainties that may impact these estimates include, but are not limited to, changes in laws and regulations relating to environmental matters, including air and water quality, restoration and abandonment requirements, economic conditions and supply and demand in the area. When assets are placed into service, management makes estimates with respect to useful lives. However, subsequent events could cause a change in estimates, thereby impacting future depreciation amounts.

When items of property, plant and equipment are sold or otherwise disposed of, gains or losses are reported in the consolidated and combined statements of operations.

The Partnership capitalizes all construction-related direct labor and material costs, as well as indirect construction costs. Indirect construction costs include general engineering, insurance, taxes and the cost of funds used during construction. Capitalized interest is calculated by multiplying the Partnership's monthly weighted average interest rate on outstanding debt by the amount of qualifying costs. Capitalized interest cannot exceed monthly gross interest expense. After major construction projects are completed, the associated capitalized costs including interest are depreciated over the estimated useful life of the related asset. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, the Partnership recorded \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million of capitalized interest, respectively.

Costs, including complete asset replacements and enhancements or upgrades that increase the original efficiency, productivity or capacity of property, plant and equipment, are also capitalized. In addition, certain of the Partnership's plant assets require periodic and scheduled maintenance, such as overhauls. The cost of these scheduled maintenance projects are capitalized and depreciated on a straight-line basis until the next planned maintenance, which generally occurs every 5 years.

Costs for planned integrity management projects are expensed in the period incurred. These types of costs include in-line inspection services, contractor repair services, materials and supplies, equipment rentals and labor costs. The costs of repairs, minor replacements and maintenance projects, which do not increase the original efficiency, productivity or capacity of property, plant and equipment, are expensed as incurred.

#### Impairment of Long-lived Assets

The Partnership evaluates its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. A long-lived asset is considered impaired when the sum of the estimated, undiscounted future cash flows from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition is less than the carrying amount of the asset. When alternative courses of action to recover the carrying amount of a long-lived asset are under consideration, estimates of future undiscounted cash flows take into account possible outcomes and probabilities of their occurrence. If the carrying amount of the long-lived asset is not recoverable based on the estimated future undiscounted cash flows, an impairment loss is recognized to the extent the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the long-lived asset. With respect to natural gas processing plants and pipelines and NGL pipelines, management considers the volume of third-party reserves behind the asset and future NGL and natural gas prices to estimate cash flows. The amount of additional reserves developed by future drilling activity depends, in part, on expected natural gas prices. Projections of reserves, drilling activity, and future commodity prices are inherently subjective and contingent upon a number of variable factors, many of which are difficult to forecast. Any significant variance in any of these assumptions or factors could materially affect future cash flows, which could result in the impairment of an asset group.

For assets identified to be disposed of in the future, the carrying value of these assets is compared to the estimated fair value, less the cost to sell, to determine if impairment is required. Until the assets are disposed of, an estimate of the fair value is determined when related events occur or circumstances change. There were no asset impairments for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

#### Segment Reporting

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 280, Segment Reporting, established standards for entities to report information about the operating segments and geographic areas in which they operate. The Partnership operates two segments, gathering and processing and crude oil logistics, and all of its operations are located in the United States. Our crude oil logistics segment had no material assets or operations as of or prior to December 31, 2012.

- 80

---

### Revenues and Cost of Revenues

The Partnership's revenues are derived primarily from natural gas processing and fees earned from its gathering and processing operations. Revenues are recognized by the Partnership using the following criteria: (1) persuasive evidence of an exchange arrangement exists, (2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, (3) the buyer's price is fixed or determinable and collection is reasonably assured. Utilizing these criteria, revenues are recognized when the commodity is delivered or services are rendered. Similarly, cost of revenues is recognized when the commodity is purchased or delivered.

The Partnership's fee-based contracts provide for a fixed fee arrangement for one or more of the following midstream services: natural gas gathering, compression, dehydration, treating, processing and hydrocarbon dew-point control and transportation services to producers, third-party pipeline companies and marketers. Under these arrangements, the Partnership is paid a fixed fee based on the volume of the natural gas the Partnership gathers and processes, and recognizes revenues for its services in the month such services are performed. Substantially all of these fee-based agreements contain minimum volume commitments and annual inflation adjustments.

Historically, under commercial agreements that did not require us to deliver NGLs to the customer in kind, we provided NGL transportation services to the customer whereby we purchased the NGLs from the customer at an index price, less fractionation and transportation fees, and simultaneously sold the NGLs to third parties at the same index price, less fractionation fees. The revenue generated by these activities was offset by a corresponding cost of revenues that was recorded when we compensated the customer for its share of the NGLs.

Producers' wells and other third-party gathering systems are connected to the Partnership's gathering systems for delivery of natural gas to the Partnership's processing and treating plants, where the natural gas is processed to extract NGLs and condensate or treated in order to satisfy downstream natural gas pipeline specifications. Under percentage of liquids ("POL") arrangements, the Partnership retained a percentage of the liquids processed. Both the Partnership and producer depended on the volume of the commodity and its value and each party received a percentage of the commodity revenues. Revenues were directly correlated to the commodity's market value. POL contracts also include fee-based revenues for gathering and other midstream services. Under both fixed fee and POL arrangements, the counterparties' share of NGLs, if not delivered as a commodity, was recorded as cost of revenues.

Under its keep-whole contracts, the Partnership was required to gather or purchase raw natural gas at current market rates. The volume of gas gathered or purchased was based on the measured volume at an agreed upon location (generally at the wellhead). The volume of gas redelivered or sold at the tailgate of the Partnership's processing facility would be lower than the volume purchased at the wellhead primarily due to NGLs extracted through processing. The Partnership would make up or "keep the producer whole" for the condensate and NGL volumes through the delivery of or payment for a thermally equivalent volume of residue gas. The cost of these natural gas volumes was recorded as a cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue-affiliates. The keep-whole contract conveyed an economic benefit to the Partnership when the combined value of the individual NGLs was greater in the form of liquids than as a component of the natural gas stream; however, the Partnership was adversely impacted when the value of the NGLs is lower as liquids than as a component of the natural gas stream. Certain contracts also included fee-based revenues for gathering and other midstream services. Cost of revenues were derived primarily from the purchase of natural gas, NGLs and condensates. There were no material costs categorized as cost of revenue directly identified with gathering, processing and other revenue.

### Natural Gas Imbalances

The consolidated and combined balance sheets include natural gas imbalance receivables and payables caused by the difference in natural gas delivered to the Partnership's customers and the natural gas contractually owed to the customers. Most natural gas imbalances are settled monthly in cash and calculated according to the terms of their contracts. Changes in gas imbalances are reported net of the cost of product in the consolidated and combined statements of operations. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Partnership recorded an imbalance receivable of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, which is recorded in accounts receivable in the consolidated and combined balance sheets.

### Hedging and Derivatives

From time to time, the Partnership entered into derivative transactions to mitigate its exposure to price fluctuations in NGLs. The Partnership recognized all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the combined balance sheet at their respective fair value. For derivatives designated in hedging relationships, changes in the fair value were recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, to the extent the derivative was effective at offsetting the changes in cash flows being hedged, until the hedged item affected earnings. As of the date of our IPO on July 31, 2013, we settled our outstanding interest rate swap and have discontinued our hedging and derivatives program.

Interest Rate Swaps

- 81

---

The Partnership utilizes derivative instruments to manage its exposure to interest rate risk. In January 2011, the Partnership entered into an interest rate swap for this purpose (“2011 Swap”). The 2011 Swap did not meet the criteria necessary to qualify for cash flow hedge accounting and was recorded at fair value at each reporting period with changes in fair value recognized currently in earnings. The 2011 Swap was settled in full on December 17, 2012. On December 17, 2012, the Partnership entered into a new interest rate swap (“2012 Swap”) to manage its exposure to interest rate risk. The 2012 Swap did not meet the criteria necessary to qualify for cash flow hedge accounting and is recorded at fair value at each reporting period with changes in fair value recognized currently in earnings. Gains and losses on interest rate swaps are recorded in gain (loss) on interest rate swap in the combined statements of operations.

#### Fair Value

FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurement, established a single authoritative definition of fair value when accounting rules require the use of fair value, set out a framework for measuring fair value and required additional disclosures about fair value measurements. The standard clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. The standard utilizes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The following is a brief description of those three levels:

- Level 1-Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2-Other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities).
- Level 3-Significant unobservable inputs (including the Partnership’s own assumptions in determining fair value).

When the Partnership is required to measure fair value, and there is not a market-observable price for the asset or liability or a market-observable price for a similar asset or liability, the Partnership utilizes the cost, income, or market valuation approach depending on the quality of information available to support management’s assumptions. The carrying amount of long-term debt reported on the consolidated and combined balance sheets approximates fair value, because of the variable rate nature of the Partnership’s long-term debt. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities reported on the consolidated and combined balance sheets approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these items. The fair value of the Partnership’s accounts receivable with affiliates and accounts payable with affiliates cannot be determined due to the related party nature of these items. Derivative instruments are measured at fair value at each reporting period.

#### Asset Retirement Obligations

FASB ASC 410, Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations, requires the Partnership to evaluate whether any future asset retirement obligations exist as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and whether the expected retirement date of the related costs of retirement can be estimated. The Partnership has concluded that its natural gas gathering system assets, which include pipelines and treating facilities, have an indeterminate life because they are owned and will operate for an indeterminate future period when properly maintained. A liability for these asset retirement obligations will be recorded only if and when a future retirement obligation with a determinable life is identified. The Partnership did not record any asset retirement obligations as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 because the Partnership has no current intention of discontinuing use of any significant assets.

#### Environmental Expenditures

The operations of the Partnership are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. Although the Partnership believes that it is in compliance with applicable environmental regulations, the risk of costs and liabilities are inherent in pipeline ownership and operation, and there can be no assurances that significant costs and liabilities will not be incurred by the Partnership.

Environmental expenditures related to operations that generate current or future revenues are expensed or capitalized, as appropriate. Liabilities are recorded when the necessity for environmental remediation or other potential environmental liabilities become probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. Management is not aware of any contingent liabilities that currently exist with respect to environmental matters.

#### Income Taxes

The Partnership is not a taxable entity for U.S. federal income tax purposes or for the majority of states that impose an income tax. Therefore, income taxes are not levied at the entity level, but rather on the individual partners of the Partnership. Accordingly, the accompanying consolidated and combined financial statements do not include a

provision for federal and state income taxes. The Partnership is subject to the Revised Texas Franchise Tax (“Texas Margin Tax”). The Texas Margin Tax is computed on modified gross margin and was not significant for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011,

and is recorded as Texas margin tax expense in the consolidated and combined statements of operations. The Partnership does not do business in any other state where a similar tax is applied. As of December 31, 2013, the Partnership had no liability reported for unrecognized tax benefits.

#### Net Income Per Unit

The Partnership has omitted net income per unit for all historical periods prior to the IPO at July 31, 2013 because the Partnership operated under a sole member equity structure, which is different than the capital structure resulting from the consummation of the IPO and, as a result, the per unit data for periods prior to the IPO would not be meaningful to investors.

The net income per unit figures on the consolidated Statement of Operations is based on the net income of the Partnership after the closing of the IPO on July 31, 2013 through December 31, 2013, since this is the amount of net income that is attributable to the newly issued Partnership units.

#### Commitments and Contingencies

Liabilities for loss contingencies arising from claims, assessments, litigation, fines, penalties and other sources are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Legal costs incurred in connection with loss contingencies are expensed as incurred. Recoveries of environmental remediation costs from third parties that are probable of realization are separately recorded as assets, and are not offset against the related environmental liability.

Accruals for estimated losses from environmental remediation obligations generally are recognized no later than completion of the remedial feasibility study. Such accruals are adjusted as further information develops or circumstances change. Costs of expected future expenditures for environment remediation obligations are not discounted to their present value.

#### Transactions with Affiliates

From time to time, the Partnership enters into transactions with SEV related affiliates that have a common owner with the Partnership in order to reduce risk, create strategic alliances and supply or receive goods and services to these SEV related affiliates. As such, the accompanying consolidated and combined financial statements include costs that have been incurred by SEV on behalf of the Partnership. These amounts incurred by SEV are then billed or allocated to the Partnership and are classified on the consolidated and combined statements of operations as either direct operation and maintenance-affiliates or as general and administrative-affiliates.

At our IPO, the Partnership entered into an omnibus agreement with the general partner and its affiliates that addresses (i) the management and administrative services to be provided by NuDevco to the Partnership and the corresponding fees and expense reimbursements to be paid to NuDevco in connection therewith, (ii) the indemnification obligations between NuDevco and the Partnership for environmental and other liabilities and the operation of assets and (iii) the Partnership's right of first offer on certain of NuDevco Midstream Development's midstream energy assets. For additional information relating to transactions with our affiliates, please see Note 11, "Transactions with Affiliates," to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-K.

#### Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of the Partnership's consolidated and combined financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated and combined financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates. Significant items subject to such estimates by the Partnership's management include provisions for uncollectible receivables, valuation of long-lived assets and related estimated useful lives, valuation of derivatives, valuation of equity-based compensation, and reserves for contingencies.

#### Accounting for Awards under the Long-term Incentive Plan

The Long-term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") provides for the grant of unit options, unit appreciation awards, restricted units, phantom units, distribution equivalent rights, unit awards, profits interest units, and other unit-based awards. On August 1, 2013, phantom units, with distribution equivalent rights, of 292,000 units were awarded to certain employees of NuDevco Midstream Development and its affiliates who provide direct or indirect services to the

Partnership pursuant to affiliate agreements, and 20,000 units were awarded to certain board members of the Partnership's general partner. All of the phantom unit awards granted to-date are considered non-employee equity based awards and are required to be remeasured at fair market value at each reporting period and amortized to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the phantom units with a corresponding increase in a liability. Management intends to settle the awards by allowing the recipient to

- 83

---



choose between issuing the net amount of common units due, less common units equivalent to pay withholding taxes, due upon vesting with the Partnership paying the amount of withholding taxes due in cash or issuing the gross amount of common units due with the recipient paying the withholding taxes. The phantom unit awards were awarded to individuals who are not deemed to be employees of the Partnership.

Distribution equivalent rights are accrued for each phantom unit award as the Partnership declares cash distributions and are recorded as a decrease in partners' capital with a corresponding liability in accordance with the vesting period of the underlying phantom unit, which will be settled in cash when the underlying phantom units vest.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013 the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2013-04, Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation is Fixed at the Reporting Date ("ASU 2013-04"), regarding accounting for obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements. ASU 2013-04 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2014. Early adoption is permitted with retrospective application. The Partnership adopted this standard upon issuance and has applied the requirements to its 2013 and 2012 combined and consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities ("ASU 2011-11"). ASU 2011-11 retains the existing offsetting requirements and enhances the disclosure requirements to allow investors to better compare financial statements prepared under GAAP with those prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). On January 31, 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-01, Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities ("ASU 2013-01"). ASU 2013-01 limits the scope of the new balance sheet offsetting disclosures to derivatives, repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions. Both standards are effective for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2013 and should be applied retrospectively. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Partnership had no offsetting in the financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ASU No. 2013-02, Reporting Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Comprehensive Income ("ASU 2013-02"), related to the reporting of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. This guidance requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the financial statements or in the related notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified in its entirety in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional details about those amounts. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2012. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, for complying with new or revised accounting standards. As such, the Partnership can delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until these standards would otherwise apply to private companies. The Partnership has elected to delay such adoption of new or revised accounting standards, and as a result, the Partnership will not adopt ASU 2013-02 until interim periods in 2014 as required by nonpublic entities.

### 3. PARTNERSHIP EQUITY AND DISTRIBUTIONS

As discussed in Note 1, on July 31, 2013, the Partnership completed the IPO of 6,875,000 common units, representing a 38.6% limited partner interest, to the public for \$20.00 per common unit, less an underwriting discount of \$1.20 per common unit. Additionally, 1,849,545 common units, representing a 10.4% limited partner interest, and 8,724,545 subordinated units, representing a 49.0% interest, were transferred to NuDevco Midstream Development, and 356,104 general partner units, representing a 2.0% general partner interest, were transferred to Marlin Midstream GP, LLC.

#### Distributable Cash and Distributions

The partnership agreement requires the Partnership to distribute all available cash to unitholders of record, as of the applicable record date, no later than 45 days after the end of each quarter, beginning with the quarter ending September 30, 2013. For the quarter ended September 30, 2013, the amount of the distribution was adjusted based on the net income of the Partnership for the period from the IPO date of July 31, 2013 through September 30, 2013.

Available cash generally means, for any quarter, all cash and cash equivalents on hand at the end of that quarter:

- less, the amount of cash reserves established by the General Partner to:
  - provide for the proper conduct of the business (including reserves for future capital expenditures and anticipated future debt service requirements and for anticipated shortfalls on future minimum commitment payments to which prior credits may be applied);
  - comply with applicable law, any of our debt instruments or other agreements; or
  - provide funds for distributions to unitholders and to the general partner for any one or more of the next four quarters (provided that the general partner may not establish cash reserves for distributions if the effect of the establishment of such reserves will prevent us from distributing the minimum quarterly distribution on all common units and any cumulative arrearages on such common units for the current quarter);
- plus, if the general partner so determines, all or any portion of the cash on hand on the date of determination of available cash for the quarter resulting from working capital borrowings made subsequent to the end of such quarter.

The Partnership declared the following cash distributions to its unitholders of record for the periods presented

| In Thousands, except per-unit amounts | Total Quarterly Distribution per Unit | Total Distribution | Date of Distribution |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Quarter ended:                        |                                       |                    |                      |
| December 31, 2013                     | \$0.35                                | \$6,341            | February 3, 2014     |
| September 30, 2013                    | (1) \$0.23                            | \$4,167            | November 4, 2013     |
| June 30, 2013                         | (2) —                                 | —                  | —                    |

(1) This distribution represents a prorated amount of the full minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.35 per unit for each whole quarter based on the number of days between the closing of the Partnership's IPO on July 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013.

(2) No distributions were declared for the quarter ended June 30, 2013. This quarter was included in the results reported in the report on Form 10-Q for the second quarter ended June 30, 2013; however, this quarter was prior to the completion of the IPO.

#### Subordinated Units and Common Units Held by NuDevco Midstream Development

NuDevco Midstream Development owns 100% of the interest in the Partnership's general partner, which owns 2.0% of the Partnership, a 10.4% limited partner interest and 49.0% subordinated limited partner interest in the Partnership. The Partnership agreement provides that, during the subordination period described below, the subordinated units will not be entitled to receive any distributions until the common units have received the minimum quarterly distribution plus any arrearages from prior quarters. No arrearages accrue or are payable on the subordinated units.

Except as described below, the subordination period began on the closing date of the IPO and extends until the first business day following the distribution of available cash in respect of any quarter beginning after September 30, 2016, that each of the following tests are met:

distributions of available cash from operating surplus on each of the outstanding common units, subordinated units and general partner units equaled or exceeded \$1.40 (the annualized minimum quarterly distribution), for each of the three consecutive, non-overlapping four-quarter periods immediately preceding that date;

the adjusted operating surplus generated during each of the three consecutive, non-overlapping four-quarter periods immediately preceding that date equaled or exceeded the sum of \$1.40 (the annualized minimum quarterly distribution) on all of the outstanding common units, subordinated units and general partner units during those periods on a fully diluted basis; and

there are no arrearages in payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units.

4. NET INCOME PER UNIT

- 85

---

The net income per unit figures on the consolidated Statement of Operations are based on the net income of the Partnership after the closing of the offering on July 31, 2013 through December 31, 2013, since this is the amount of net income that is attributable to the newly issued common, general partner and subordinated units.

The Partnership's net income for periods subsequent to the IPO is allocated to the general partner and the limited partners in accordance with their respective ownership percentages and, when applicable, giving effect to incentive distributions allocable to the general partner. There were no incentive distributions allocable to NuDevco as of December 31, 2013. Basic and diluted net income per unit is calculated by dividing the partner's interest in net income by the weighted average number of units outstanding during the period.

The following table illustrates the Partnership's calculation of net income per unit for common and subordinated partner units

|  |            |   |
|--|------------|---|
| In Thousands, except per unit data                           |            |   |
| Net income (post-IPO, August 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013)   | \$7,190    |   |
| Less: general partner interest in net income                 | (144       | ) |
| Limited partner interest in net income                       | \$7,046    |   |
| Net income allocable to common units                         | \$3,523    |   |
| Net income allocable to subordinated units                   | 3,523      |   |
| Limited partner interest in net income                       | \$7,046    |   |
| Net income per limited partner common unit - basic           | \$0.40     |   |
| Net income per limited subordinated unit - basic             | \$0.40     |   |
| Net income per limited partner unit - basic                  | \$0.40     |   |
| Net income per limited partner common unit - diluted         | \$0.39     |   |
| Net income per limited subordinated unit - diluted           | \$0.40     |   |
| Net income per limited partner unit - diluted                | \$0.40     |   |
| Weighted average limited partner units outstanding - basic   |            |   |
| Common units   | 8,724,545  |   |
| Subordinated units   | 8,724,545  |   |
| Total  | 17,449,090 |   |
| Weighted average limited partner units outstanding - diluted |            |   |
| Common units   | 9,036,545  |   |
| Subordinated units   | 8,724,545  |   |
| Total  | 17,761,090 |   |

## 5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Net property, plant and equipment are composed of the following:

## MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

| In Thousands                              | Estimated Useful<br>Lives (Years) | December 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Gas processing plants (1)                 | 5 – 40                            | \$133,859            | \$132,690            |
| Gathering pipelines and related equipment | 5 – 40                            | 47,728               | 36,853               |
| Land and rights of way                    | —                                 | 11,043               | 8,197                |
| Construction-in-progress                  | —                                 | 2,594                | 12,557               |
| Information technology and other          | 2 – 10                            | 1,548                | 2,396                |
| Office building                           | 15                                | 306                  | 306                  |
| Autos                                     | 5                                 | 357                  | 296                  |
| Total                                     |                                   | 197,435              | 193,295              |
| Accumulated depreciation                  |                                   | (34,887 )            | (28,156 )            |
| Property, plant and equipment, net        |                                   | \$162,548            | \$165,139            |

(1)Includes inlet and residue pipelines and connections.

The Partnership's principal assets consist of two related natural gas processing facilities located in Panola County, Texas, a natural gas processing facility located in Tyler County, Texas, two natural gas gathering systems connected to its Panola County processing facilities and two NGL transportation pipelines that connect its Panola County and Tyler County processing facilities to third party NGL pipelines.

In June 2006, the Partnership and Anadarko Gathering Company ("Anadarko") entered into a collaborative agreement of construction, ownership and operation of the Monell processing facility located in Monell, Wyoming. Under the agreement, the Partnership and Anadarko each owned 50% of the ownership interest in the plant. The Partnership was responsible for design and construction of the Monell facility. Anadarko was designated as an operator of the facility who has exclusive right to operate the facility until terminated by unanimous vote of the owners. Revenue generated from and capital expenditures and operating expenses incurred in connection with the operation of the plant were allocated on a pro-rata basis in proportion to each owner's ownership interest. The Partnership recorded its proportional cost of the Monell facility and its share of revenues and expenses in its consolidated and combined financial statements, as earned and incurred prior to the closing of the IPO, respectively.

At the completion of the IPO, the Partnership transferred the Partnership's 50% interest in the CO<sub>2</sub> processing facility located in Monell, Wyoming to affiliates of NuDevco. As such, subsequent to the closing of the IPO, the Partnership incurs no revenues or expenses associated with the Monell facility.

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Partnership recorded revenues of \$0.2 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, and recorded expenses of \$0.3 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, attributable to the Monell facility in connection with the collaborative arrangement. These revenues are recorded in natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue and the expenses are recorded in operation and maintenance in the consolidated and combined Statements of Operations.

As of December 31, 2012, property, plant and equipment, net recorded in the combined Balance Sheet attributable to the Monell facility was \$3.5 million. On July 31, 2013, in connection with the IPO, the Partnership transferred property, plant and equipment, net of \$3.2 million attributable to the Monell facility to affiliates of NuDevco.

The cost of property, plant and equipment classified as "Construction-in-progress" is excluded from costs being depreciated. These amounts represent property that is not yet suitable to be placed into productive service as of the respective balance sheet date.

Depreciation expense was \$8.2 million, \$7.7 million and \$5.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 respectively.



## MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

## 6. LONG-TERM DEBT AND INTEREST EXPENSE

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| In Thousands              | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Term loan                 | \$—               | \$125,000         |
| Revolving credit facility | 4,000             | 1,500             |
| Total debt                | 4,000             | 126,500           |
| Less: current maturities  | —                 | 6,250             |
| Total long-term debt      | \$4,000           | \$120,250         |

In October 2007, SEV and all of its subsidiaries (collectively, the “Borrowers”), including Marlin Midstream, entered into a credit agreement, which provided for a working capital facility, a term loan and a revolving credit facility (the “Credit Agreement”), as co-borrowers and were jointly and severally liable for amounts borrowed under the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement was secured by substantially all of the assets of SEV and its subsidiaries, including all of Marlin Midstream’s assets.

The Credit Agreement was amended on May 30, 2008 to provide for a \$177.5 million working capital facility, a \$100.0 million term loan, and a \$35.0 million revolving credit facility. On January 24, 2011, the Borrowers amended and restated the Credit Agreement (the “Fifth Amended Credit Agreement”) to decrease the working capital facility to \$150.0 million, to increase the term loan to \$130.0 million and to eliminate the revolving credit facility.

On December 17, 2012, the Borrowers amended and restated the Fifth Amended Credit Agreement to decrease the working capital facility to \$70.0 million, to decrease the term loan to \$125.0 million and to reinstate the revolving credit facility in the amount of \$30.0 million (the “Sixth Amended Credit Agreement”). The Sixth Amended Credit Agreement was scheduled to mature on December 17, 2014.

Although Marlin Midstream was jointly and severally liable for SEV’s borrowings, Marlin Midstream did not historically have access to the working capital facility, but was the primary recipient of the proceeds from the term loan and revolving credit facility.

The Partnership applied ASU 2013-04, Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation is Fixed at the Reporting Date (“ASU 2013-04”), which prescribes the accounting for joint and several liability arrangements. This guidance requires an entity to measure its obligation resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount under the arrangement is fixed at the reporting date, as the sum of the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors and any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. Based on the Sixth Amended Credit Agreement and understanding among the Borrowers, the term loan and the revolving credit facility were assigned specifically to Marlin Midstream. The Partnership recognized the proceeds from the term loan and the revolving credit facility on its combined Balance Sheet at December 31, 2012 in the amount of \$126.5 million, which represented the amounts Marlin Midstream agreed with the other borrowers to pay, and the amounts Marlin Midstream expected to pay.

#### Term Loan and Revolving Credit Facility

##### Term loan

The term loan consisted of \$130.0 million in 2011 under the Fifth Amended Credit Agreement and was later amended on December 17, 2012 under the Sixth Amended Credit Agreement. The term loan consisted of outstanding borrowings of \$125.0 million as of December 31, 2012 under the Sixth Amended Credit Agreement. The term loan required quarterly principal payments of \$1.6 million to maturity, with the balance of \$112.5 million due on December 17, 2014.

Under the Sixth Amended Credit Agreement, Marlin Midstream may have elected to have loans under the term loan bear interest either (i) at a Eurodollar based rate plus a margin ranging from 3.50% to 4.25% depending on SEV’s consolidated funded indebtedness ratio then in effect, or (ii) at a base rate loan plus a margin ranging from 2.50% to 3.25% depending on SEV’s consolidated funded indebtedness ratio then in effect.



The interest rate for the term loan was 3.96% at December 31, 2012. The Sixth Amended Credit Facility was repaid on July 31, 2013 with funds received from the IPO and borrowings under the Partnership's new credit facility.

- 88

---

MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

Revolving credit facility

The revolving credit facility was reinstated on December 17, 2012 with a borrowing capacity of \$30.0 million as part of the Sixth Amended Credit Agreement. The outstanding balance on the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2012 was \$1.5 million. The revolving credit facility outstanding principal was due on December 31, 2014.

The unused portion of the revolving credit facility was subject to a commitment fee of 0.50%. The interest rate was 3.96% at December 31, 2012. The Sixth Amended Credit Facility was repaid on July 31, 2013 with funds received from the IPO and borrowings under the Partnership's new credit facility.

New Credit Facility

Concurrently with the closing of our IPO, the Partnership entered into a new \$50.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility, which matures on July 31, 2017. If no event of default has occurred, the Partnership has the right, subject to approval by the administrative agent and certain lenders, to increase the borrowing capacity under the new revolving credit facility to up to \$150.0 million. The new credit facility is available to fund expansions, acquisitions and working capital requirements for our operations and general Partnership purposes.

At the Partnership's election, interest will be generally determined by reference to:

the Eurodollar rate plus an applicable margin between 3.0% and 3.75% per annum (based upon the prevailing senior secured leverage ratio); or

the alternate base rate plus an applicable margin between 2.0% and 2.75% per annum (based upon the prevailing senior secured leverage ratio). The alternate base rate is equal to the highest of Société Générale's prime rate, the federal funds rate plus 0.5% per annum or the reference Eurodollar rate plus 1.0%.

The new revolving credit facility is secured by the capital stock of our present and future subsidiaries, all of our and our subsidiaries' present and future property and assets (real and personal) control agreements relating to our and our subsidiaries' bank accounts and collateral assignments of our and our subsidiaries' material construction, ownership and operation agreements, including any agreements with AES or Anadarko.

At the closing of the IPO, the Partnership borrowed \$25.0 million under the new revolving credit facility, a portion of which, along with the proceeds from the IPO, was used to repay approximately \$131.9 million of outstanding borrowings under the previous credit facility. Immediately upon repayment, the previous credit facility was terminated. At December 31, 2013, the Partnership had \$4.0 million outstanding on the senior secured revolving credit facility.

The new revolving credit facility contains various operational and financial covenants that are typical for these types of agreements and may restrict the Partnership from assuming certain types of debt, granting liens, selling substantial portions of assets, transferring assets, merging, dissolving, or otherwise materially changing the character of the business. As of December 31, 2013, the Partnership was in compliance with all debt covenants.

Debt Maturities

Principal amounts of long-term debt under the new senior secured revolving credit facility mature on July 31, 2017.

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs were \$1.0 million and \$1.2 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Of these amounts, \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million are included in other current assets within the consolidated and combined Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million are included in other assets within the consolidated and combined Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, based on the term of the related debt obligations.

Amortization of deferred financing costs was \$0.5 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Amortization of deferred financing costs is recorded in interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, in the consolidated and combined Statements of Operations.

In conjunction with executing the Sixth Amended Credit Agreement in December 2012, the Partnership paid \$1.0 million of financing costs, of which \$0.9 million was capitalized and \$0.1 million was expensed immediately in general and administrative expenses in the consolidated and combined Statements of Operations. Simultaneously, the Partnership expensed \$0.2 million of existing unamortized deferred financing costs related to the Fifth Amended

Credit Agreement, which is recorded in interest expense in the consolidated and combined Statements of Operations.

- 89

---

## MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

In conjunction with executing the new revolving credit facility on July 31, 2013, the Partnership paid \$1.1 million of financing costs, all of which were capitalized. Simultaneously, the Partnership expensed \$0.8 million of existing unamortized deferred financing costs related to the Sixth Amended Credit Agreement, which is recorded in interest expense in the consolidated and combined Statements of Operations.

## Interest Expense

A reconciliation of total interest expense to “interest expense, net of amounts capitalized” as reported in the consolidated and combined Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

| In Thousands  | Years Ended December 31, |         |         |
|---|--------------------------|---------|---------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012    | 2011    |
| Interest expense on long-term debt                                      | \$3,312                  | \$4,599 | \$4,545 |
| Interest expense from amortization of deferred financing costs          | 508                      | 365     | 367     |
| Interest expense from write-off of unamortized deferred financing costs | 761                      | 177     | —       |
| Less interest expense capitalized                                       | (232)                    | (214)   | (1,179) |
| Total interest expense, net of amounts capitalized                      | \$4,349                  | \$4,927 | \$3,733 |

## 7. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Partnership historically used interest-rate related derivative instruments to manage its exposure related to changes in interest rates on its variable rate debt instruments and periodically used commodity derivatives to manage its exposure to commodity price fluctuations. The Partnership does not enter into speculative or trading derivative instruments.

By using derivative financial instruments to hedge exposures to changes in interest rates and commodity prices, the Partnership exposed itself to credit risk and market risk. Credit risk is the failure of the counterparty to perform under the terms of the derivative contract. When the fair value of a derivative contract was positive, the counterparty owed the Partnership, which created credit risk for the Partnership. When the fair value of the derivative contract was negative, the Partnership owed the counterparty, and therefore, the Partnership was not exposed to the counterparty’s credit risk in those circumstances. The Partnership minimized counterparty credit risk in derivative instruments by entering into transactions with high-quality counterparties. The derivative instruments entered into by the Partnership did not contain credit-risk-related contingent features.

Market risk is the adverse effect on the fair value of a derivative instrument that results from a change in the underlying interest rates or commodity prices. For derivative instruments where hedge accounting is utilized, unrealized gains and losses recognized on derivative instruments due to changes in the fair value of the derivative instruments will offset corresponding realized gains and losses on the related hedged item. Unrealized gains or losses are recognized on the derivative instruments, to the extent the hedge is effective, are deferred in other comprehensive income, and recognized in income when the underlying hedged transaction is recognized. For derivative instruments where hedge accounting is not utilized, unrealized gains and losses due to changes in the fair value of the derivative instruments are recognized currently in earnings and may not fully offset current period realized gain or losses on the related hedged item. The market risk associated with interest rate and commodity price contracts is managed by establishing and monitoring parameters that limit the types and degree of market risk that may be undertaken. The Partnership maintains a commodity-price-risk management strategy that allows for the use of derivative instruments to minimize significant unanticipated earnings fluctuations caused by commodity-price-volatility. Since the Partnership has entered into primarily fee-based contracts, the Partnership did not have any outstanding commodity-price related derivative instruments as of December 31, 2013.

## Commodity Derivatives

The Partnership was historically exposed to market risk from changes in energy commodity prices within its operations. The Partnership sold NGL volumes received as compensation for processing services and also bought

natural gas to satisfy the required fuel and shrink needed to recover NGLs. To reduce exposure to a decrease in revenues from fluctuations in NGL market prices or increases in costs and operating expenses from fluctuations in natural gas market prices, the Partnership, at

- 90

---

## MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

times, entered into NGL or natural gas derivative contracts to mitigate the price risk on forecasted sales of NGLs and purchases of natural gas. The Partnership's NGL derivatives were designated as cash flow hedges, while its natural gas derivatives did not qualify for hedge accounting despite hedging its future cash flows on an economic basis.

Changes in the fair value of commodity derivatives that were not designated as cash flow hedges were recorded within cost of revenues—affiliates in the consolidated and combined Statements of Operations. Changes in the fair value of designated cash flow hedges, to the extent effective, were deferred in other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods in which the hedged forecasted purchases or sales affected earnings, or when it was probable that the hedged forecasted transaction would not occur by the end of the originally specified time period. Settlements of derivatives were included in cash flows from operating activities for the Partnership. The Partnership has no outstanding commodity derivative instruments at December 31, 2013 or 2012.

## Interest Rate Swap

On January 24, 2011, Marlin Midstream entered into an interest rate swap ("2011 Swap"). The 2011 Swap paid a fixed rate and received a floating rate in order to fix the interest rate on the Partnership's term loan and was scheduled to mature on January 24, 2014. The notional amount of the 2011 Swap as of December 31, 2011 was \$100.0 million.

On December 17, 2012, Marlin Midstream terminated the 2011 Swap and entered into a new interest rate swap ("2012 Swap") in order to fix a portion of the interest rate on Marlin Midstream's amended term loan. Marlin Midstream paid a fixed rate and received a floating rate under the 2012 Swap. The maturity date of the 2012 Swap was December 17, 2014, and the notional amount of the 2012 Swap at December 31, 2012 was \$62.5 million. On July 31, 2013, in connection with the Partnership's IPO, the 2012 Swap was settled for approximately \$0.1 million.

Marlin Midstream's interest rate swaps did not meet the criteria necessary to qualify for cash flow hedge accounting and were recorded at fair value at each reporting period with the associated unrealized gain or loss recorded in gain (loss) on interest rate swap in the consolidated and combined Statements of Operations.

The following table presents the fair value of the Partnership's derivative assets and liabilities including amounts for designated and undesignated hedge activities:

## In Thousands

| Description of Derivatives               | Balance Sheet Location               | December 31, 2013 |             | December 31, 2012 |             |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
|  |                                      | Assets            | Liabilities | Assets            | Liabilities |
| Undesignated interest rate swap contract | Other assets                         | \$—               | \$—         | \$15              | \$—         |
| Undesignated interest rate swap contract | Fair value of derivative liabilities | \$—               | \$—         | \$—               | \$(72 )     |
| Total                                    |                                      | \$—               | \$—         | \$15              | \$(72 )     |

The following table presents the net realized and unrealized gains (losses) recognized in net income for derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments:

## In Thousands

| Description of Derivatives      | Statement of Operations Location  | Years Ended December 31, |          |            |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|------------|
|                                 |                                   | 2013                     | 2012     | 2011       |
| Natural gas option contracts    | Cost of revenues—affiliates       | \$—                      | \$(87 )  | \$(496 )   |
| Interest rate swap contracts    | Gain (loss) on interest rate swap | \$(48 )                  | \$(851 ) | \$(2,176 ) |
| Total loss recognized in income |                                   | \$(48 )                  | \$(938 ) | \$(2,672 ) |

The following table presents the gain for NGL forward contracts designated and accounted for as cash flow hedges, as recognized in other comprehensive income and cost of revenues—affiliates:



## MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

In Thousands

| Description of Derivatives  | Location                               | Years Ended December 31, |         |        |
|---|--|--------------------------|---------|--------|
|   |  | 2013                     | 2012    | 2011   |
| Gain recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income               | Accumulated other comprehensive income | \$—                      | \$689   | \$122  |
| Gain reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to income | Cost of revenues—affiliate             | \$—                      | \$(752) | \$(59) |

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, there are no deferred gains or losses remaining in accumulated other comprehensive income as the Partnership did not have any open derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

## 8. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurement, established a single authoritative definition of fair value when accounting rules require the use of fair value, set out a framework for measuring fair value and required additional disclosures about fair value measurements. The standard clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. The standard utilizes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The following is a brief description of those three levels:

Level 1—Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2—Other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities).

Level 3—Significant unobservable inputs (including the Partnership's own assumptions in determining fair value).

When the Partnership is required to measure fair value, and there is not a market-observable price for the asset or liability or a market-observable price for a similar asset or liability, the Partnership utilizes the cost, income, or market valuation approach depending on the quality of information available to support management's assumptions.

The following table provides the Partnership's carrying values of financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis, by input level. Financial instruments are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Partnership's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value requires judgment and may affect the placement of assets and liabilities within the fair value hierarchy levels. The Partnership has not presented its derivative assets and liabilities on a net basis in the consolidated and combined Balance Sheets and has not been required to maintain any cash collateral offset for any of its derivative financial instruments.

|                                      | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total  |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| In Thousands                         |         |         |         |        |
| December 31, 2013                    |         |         |         |        |
| Interest rate swap liability—current | \$—     | \$—     | \$—     | \$—    |
| Interest rate swap asset—long-term   | \$—     | \$—     | \$—     | \$—    |
| December 31, 2012                    |         |         |         |        |
| Interest rate swap liability—current | \$—     | \$(72)  | \$—     | \$(72) |
| Interest rate swap asset—long-term   | \$—     | \$15    | \$—     | \$15   |



## MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

The Partnership had no financial instruments at December 31, 2013. At the IPO date, the Partnership settled the outstanding interest rate swap.

The Partnership had no financial instruments measured using Level 1 or 3 at December 31, 2012. The Partnership had no transfers of assets or liabilities between any of the above levels during 2013 and 2012. The interest rate swap derivatives were valued using current forward interest rates as quoted by brokers to be received in a cash market. The Partnership also considered counterparty credit rating, which is internally estimated in determining a credit adjustment. The credit adjustment was not material as of December 31, 2012.

The estimated fair value of accounts receivable, accounts receivable - affiliates, accounts payable, accounts payable - affiliates and accrued liabilities approximate their carrying values due to their short-term nature. The estimated fair value of the Partnership's outstanding long-term debt approximates carrying value due to the variable rate nature of the Partnership's long-term debt.

## 9. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Partnership's revenues are derived from two operating segments: gathering and processing, and crude oil logistics. These segments, along with our corporate segment, are monitored separately by management for performance and are consistent with internal financial reporting. These segments have been identified based on the differing products and services, regulatory environment, and expertise required for their respective operations.

The following tables present financial information by segment for the year ended December 31, 2013. Our crude oil logistics segment had no material assets, liabilities, or operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Year ended December 31, 2013

|  | Midstream   | Crude Oil | Corporate and | Marlin    |
|--|-------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| In Thousands                                 | Natural Gas | Logistics | Consolidation | Midstream |
| Total Revenues                               | \$47,052    | \$5,808   | \$—           | \$52,860  |
| Cost of revenues                             | 13,999      | —         | —             | 13,999    |
| Operation and maintenance                    | 14,424      | 613       | 854           | 15,891    |
| General and administrative                   | —           | —         | 7,886         | 7,886     |
| Other operating expenses                     | 9,390       | 23        | —             | 9,413     |
| Total operating expenses                     | 37,813      | 636       | 8,740         | 47,189    |
| Operating income                             | 9,239       | 5,172     | (8,740)       | )5,671    |
| Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized | —           | —         | (4,349)       | ) (4,349) |
| Loss on interest rate swap                   | —           | —         | (48)          | ) (48)    |
| Net income (loss) before tax                 | 9,239       | 5,172     | (13,137)      | ) 1,274   |
| Texas margin tax expense                     | —           | —         | 88            | 88        |
| Net income                                   | \$9,239     | \$5,172   | \$(13,225)    | ) \$1,186 |



## MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

Balance sheet at December 31, 2013

| In Thousands                                   | Midstream<br>Natural Gas | Crude Oil<br>Logistics | Corporate and<br>Consolidation | Marlin<br>Midstream<br>Partners, LP |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <b>Assets:</b>                                 |                          |                        |                                |                                     |
| Current Assets                                 | \$5,727                  | \$1,195                | \$3,772                        | \$10,694                            |
| Property, Plant and Equipment, Net             | 162,029                  | 519                    | —                              | 162,548                             |
| Other Assets                                   | 163                      | —                      | 737                            | 900                                 |
| <b>Total Assets</b>                            | <b>\$167,919</b>         | <b>\$1,714</b>         | <b>\$4,509</b>                 | <b>\$174,142</b>                    |
| <b>Liabilities and Partners' Capital</b>       |                          |                        |                                |                                     |
| Total current liabilities                      | \$2,796                  | \$353                  | \$6,077                        | \$9,226                             |
| Total long-term liabilities                    | —                        | —                      | 4,366                          | 4,366                               |
| <b>Total liabilities</b>                       | <b>2,796</b>             | <b>353</b>             | <b>10,443</b>                  | <b>13,592</b>                       |
| <b>Partners' Capital</b>                       | <b>165,123</b>           | <b>1,361</b>           | <b>(5,934)</b>                 | <b>160,550</b>                      |
| <b>Total liabilities and Partners' Capital</b> | <b>\$167,919</b>         | <b>\$1,714</b>         | <b>\$4,509</b>                 | <b>\$174,142</b>                    |

## 10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In 2012, the Partnership entered into an agreement to purchase railcars with a future cash obligation of \$12.6 million due in 2014. On July 31, 2013, in connection with the closing of the IPO, the Partnership transferred its deposit on the railcars of \$0.7 million and assigned the future obligation to NuDevco Midstream Development. The deposit on railcars was recorded in other current assets at December 31, 2012 in the combined Balance Sheet.

The Partnership has reserved capacity of 3,500 barrels a day at a third-party fractionator. If the Partnership fails to deliver 95% of the reserved capacity, the Partnership is obligated to pay a fixed fee. The maximum total fixed fee that the Partnership would be obligated to pay is approximately \$2.2 million per year through the end of the contract, which expires April 30, 2015. The Partnership recorded \$0.5 million of expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 for deficiency payments for under delivery of volumes. No payments were accrued or paid in 2012.

From time to time, the Partnership may be involved in legal, tax, regulatory and other proceedings in the ordinary course of business. Management does not believe that the Partnership is a party to any litigation that will have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

## 11. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

From time to time, the Partnership enters into transactions with SEV related affiliates that have a common owner with the Partnership in order to reduce risk, create strategic alliances and supply or receive goods and services to these SEV related affiliates. For additional information relating to transactions with our affiliates coinciding with the closing of the IPO, please see Note 1, "Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-K.

Accounts receivable from and accounts payable to affiliates

The Partnership had receivables due from affiliates of \$3.6 million and \$0.1 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. At December 31, 2013, receivables due from affiliates primarily related to our fee-based gathering and processing agreement with a subsidiary of SEV, and our fee-based transloading services agreement with a subsidiary

of SEV. Payables to affiliates were \$1.6 million and \$18.7 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. At December 31, 2013, payables to affiliates primarily related to settlements under our gathering and processing agreement with a subsidiary of SEV and reimbursement to an affiliate of NuDevco for certain general and administrative and operating costs under the omnibus

- 94

---

## MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

agreement with NuDevco. At December 31, 2012, payables to affiliates primarily related to gas purchases from affiliates to satisfy requirements under certain keep-whole contracts. Long-term incentive plan-payable to affiliates represents amounts accrued related to equity-based compensation to affiliate employees and directors.

On April 8, 2013, SEV and the Partnership entered an Acknowledgment and Agreement, whereby SEV and the Partnership acknowledged and agreed that \$14.7 million of the accounts payable-affiliates balance (the "Outstanding Amount") as of March 31, 2013 (i) was not required to be paid sooner than March 31, 2014, (ii) that no interest shall accrue on the Outstanding Amount or any future accounts payable-affiliates balances owed by the Partnership, and (iii) the Acknowledgment and Agreement does not preclude the Partnership from paying the Outstanding Amount prior to March 31, 2014. Accordingly, \$14.7 million was reclassified to long-term accounts payable-affiliates in the December 31, 2012 combined Balance Sheet.

On April 25, 2013, the Partnership received a capital contribution of \$3.0 million from its sole member. On April 26, 2013, the Partnership paid \$3.0 million of the Outstanding Amount, reducing the Outstanding Amount to \$11.7 million.

On June 3, 2013 SEV and the Partnership entered into a revised Acknowledgment and Agreement, whereby SEV and the Partnership acknowledged and agreed that \$11.7 million of the accounts payable-affiliates balance (the "Remaining Outstanding Amount") as of March 31, 2013 (i) was not required to be paid sooner than July 31, 2014, (ii) that no interest shall accrue on the Remaining Outstanding Amount or any future accounts payable— affiliates balances owed by the Partnership, and (iii) the Acknowledgment and Agreement does not preclude the Partnership from paying the Remaining Outstanding Amount prior to July 31, 2014.

In July 2013, in connection with the closing of the IPO, NuDevco Midstream Development assumed the Remaining Outstanding Amount of \$11.7 million accounts payable-affiliates balance and the Partnership was released from such obligation.

#### Revenues and cost of revenues

Prior to the IPO on July 31, 2013, the Partnership provided processing services for a subsidiary of SEV, whereby the Partnership gathered natural gas from third parties, extracted NGLs, and redelivered the processed natural gas to the subsidiary of SEV. Under certain third-party contracts, the Partnership transferred all natural gas purchased to the subsidiary of SEV at market price. The Partnership also replaced energy used in processing due to the extraction of liquids, compression and transportation of natural gas, and fuel by purchasing natural gas from a subsidiary of SEV at the same market price. The Partnership used the MMBtu volume to measure how much energy is used in processing. Cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue—affiliates included in the Partnership's results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 from these agreements were \$3.0 million, \$7.7 million and \$17.4 million, respectively.

Additionally, the Partnership has entered into a gas transportation agreement with a subsidiary of SEV. The Partnership receives the higher of (i) a minimum monthly payment or (ii) a transportation fee per MMBtu times actual volumes delivered. The current transportation agreement was set to expire on February 28, 2013, but was extended for three additional years at a fixed rate per MMBtu without a minimum monthly payment. Included in the Partnership's results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are gathering, processing and other revenue—affiliates of less than \$0.1 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, related to these transactions.

In connection with the IPO, the Partnership entered into a three-year fee-based commercial agreement with a subsidiary of SEV, requiring a minimum monthly volume commitment of 80 MMcf/d. This agreement became effective August 1, 2013. Included in the Partnership's results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 are gathering, processing, transloading and other revenue—affiliates of \$7.1 million related to this agreement. Cost of natural gas, NGLs and condensate revenue - affiliates included in the Partnership's results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 related to this agreement were \$2.5 million.

In connection with the IPO, the Partnership entered into three-year transloading services agreements with a subsidiary of SEV, requiring minimum monthly volume commitments for crude oil transloading services. Included in the

Partnership's results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 are gathering, processing, transloading and other revenue—affiliates of \$5.8 million related to these agreements.

Cost allocations

Prior to the IPO, SEV and its affiliates had paid certain expenses on behalf of the Partnership, such as insurance, professional fees, and financing fees. These expenses were reimbursed by the Partnership to SEV and its affiliates and are included in operation and maintenance—affiliates and general and administrative—affiliates in the consolidated and combined

MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

Statements of Operations. In addition, SEV and its affiliates have allocated certain overhead costs associated with general and administrative services, including facilities, information services, human resources and other support departments to the Partnership. Where costs incurred on the Partnership's behalf could not be determined by specific identification, the costs were primarily allocated to the Partnership based on percentage of departmental usage, wages or headcount. The Partnership believes these allocations were a reasonable reflection of the utilization of services provided. However, the allocations may not fully reflect the expenses that would have been incurred had the Partnership been a stand-alone company during the periods presented.

At the closing of the IPO, the Partnership entered into an omnibus agreement with NuDevco and its affiliates which addresses the management and administrative and overhead services to be provided by NuDevco to the Partnership and the corresponding fees and expense reimbursements to be paid to NuDevco in connection therewith. Under the omnibus agreement, the Partnership pays an annual fee, initially in the amount of \$0.6 million, for executive management services.

The total amount charged to the Partnership for direct reimbursement of operating and overhead cost allocations, which is recorded in operation and maintenance—affiliates, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$3.5 million, \$0.8 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. The total amount charged to the Partnership for direct reimbursement of administrative and overhead cost allocations, which is recorded in general and administrative—affiliates, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$4.2 million, \$1.0 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. Amounts incurred by the Partnership for the year ended 2013 include expense for the Marlin Midstream Partners, LP 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP").

#### Equipment purchase

In 2012, the Partnership purchased a field office facility, vehicles and computer equipment from an affiliate of SEV for \$0.3 million. The purchased assets were bought by the Partnership at SEV's historical cost basis at the time of sale, as the transactions were between entities under common control.

On October 25, 2013, the Partnership entered into a non-binding letter of intent to purchase a skid transloader, coupled with a transloading services agreement, from NuDevco Midstream Development for approximately \$45.0 million. That letter of intent expired on December 31, 2013. As part of the letter of intent, an earnest money deposit of \$2.5 million was paid by the Partnership on October 25, 2013, which would either be applied to the purchase price or refunded in full to the Partnership. The letter on intent expired on December 31, 2013, and the earnest money deposit of \$2.5 million was refunded to the Partnership.

#### Capital Contributions

During the seven months prior to the IPO on July 31, 2013, the Partnership received capital contributions of \$3.6 million from its sole member, who is also the sole member of SEV and NuDevco.

## 12. EQUITY BASED COMPENSATION

In connection with the IPO, the board of directors of the Partnership's general partner adopted the LTIP. Individuals who are eligible to receive awards under the LTIP include (1) employees of the Partnership and NuDevco Midstream Development and its affiliates, (2) directors of the Partnership's general partner, and (3) consultants. The LTIP provides for the grant of unit options, unit appreciation awards, restricted units, phantom units, distribution equivalent rights, unit awards, profits interest units, and other unit-based awards. The maximum number of common units issuable under the LTIP is 1,750,000.

On August 1, 2013, phantom units, with distribution equivalent rights, of 292,000 units were awarded to certain employees of NuDevco Midstream Development and its affiliates who provide direct or indirect services to the Partnership pursuant to affiliate agreements, and 20,000 units were awarded to certain board members of the Partnership's general partner. All of the phantom unit awards granted to-date are considered non-employee equity based awards and are required to be remeasured at fair market value at each reporting period and amortized to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the phantom units with a corresponding

increase in a liability. Management intends to settle the awards by allowing the recipient to choose between issuing the net amount of common units due, less common units equivalent to pay withholding taxes, due upon vesting with the Partnership paying the amount of withholding taxes due in cash or issuing the gross amount of common units due with the recipient paying the withholding taxes. The phantom unit awards were awarded to individuals who are not deemed to be employees of the Partnership.

- 96

---



## MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

Distribution equivalent rights are accrued for each phantom unit award as the Partnership declares cash distributions and are recorded as a decrease in partners' capital with a corresponding liability in accordance with the vesting period of the underlying phantom unit, which will be settled in cash when the underlying phantom units vest. As of December 31, 2013, a liability of approximately \$32,000 was recorded for distributions associated with unvested phantom units under our LTIP plan.

The phantom units awarded to employees of NuDevco Midstream Development and its affiliates will vest in five equal annual installments with the first installment vesting on June 30, 2014, provided that for any individual who has attained a total of five or more years of service with NuDevco Midstream Development or its affiliates at the grant date of award the phantom unit awards fully vested on February 15, 2014. The phantom unit awards to board members of the Partnership's general partner fully vested on February 15, 2014.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, approximately \$2.1 million in compensation expense was recorded in general and administrative expenses - affiliates and approximately \$0.9 million in compensation expense was recorded in operation and maintenance - affiliates in the consolidated Statement of Operations. No compensation expense was recorded for the same periods in 2012 as there were no LTIP awards outstanding. A summary of the phantom units activity for the year ended December 31, 2013 is presented below:

|  | Number of shares | Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|--|------------------|--|
| Total Non-vested at January 1, 2013    | —                | \$—                                    |
| Granted                                | 312,000          | 20                                     |
| Exercised                              | —                | —                                      |
| Forfeited or canceled                  | —                | —                                      |
| Total Outstanding at December 31, 2013 | 312,000          | \$20                                   |

Unrecognized compensation expense associated with the unvested phantom units at December 31, 2013 was approximately \$2.2 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately five years.

### 13. CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Substantially all of the Partnership's receivables are from companies in a similar industry and include independent exploration and production companies, pipeline companies and marketers. The industry concentration of these customers may impact the Partnership's overall exposure to credit risk, either positively or negatively, as its customers may be similarly affected by changes in commodity prices, regulation and other economic factors. The Partnership performs credit analysis in order to monitor its credit risks and ensure that its customers are creditworthy.

Following the closing of the IPO, the Partnership's revenues are mainly derived from fees earned from minimum capacity agreements for its midstream operations, which includes gathering and processing fees, and fees earned from its minimum capacity transloading agreements. In addition, the Partnership derives revenues from the sale of NGLs produced from natural gas processing. Total revenues from two third-party customers and one affiliate customer constituted approximately 98% of total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Historically, the Partnership's revenues were derived mainly from the sale of NGLs produced from natural gas processing and fees earned from its midstream operations including gathering and processing. Revenues from two third-party customers constituted approximately 93% and 97% of total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Partnership had contractual arrangements with both customers negotiated at standard commercial terms for the provision of services and/or sale of NGLs with remaining terms ranging from two to six years.

14. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 21, 2014, the Partnership announced that the board of directors of its general partner declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.35 per unit, or \$1.40 on an annualized basis, to unitholders of record as of February 3, 2014. The Partnership paid the quarterly distribution to unitholders on February 7, 2014.

MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

- 98

---

## MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

## SUPPLEMENTAL QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (unaudited)

## Marlin Midstream Partners, LP

Summarized quarterly financial data for the year ended December 31, 2013 is as follows:

For the year ending December 31, 2013

| in thousands except volume and<br>per unit amounts              | Q1        | Q2       | Q3         | Q4         | TOTAL    |
|---|-----------|----------|------------|------------|----------|
| Revenues  | \$7,504   | \$10,298 | \$18,950   | \$16,108   | \$52,860 |
| Cost of revenues  | 2,528     | 2,680    | 6,479      | 2,312      | 13,999   |
| Gross margin  | 4,976     | 7,618    | 12,471     | 13,796     | 38,861   |
| Operating expenses  | 3,896     | 3,700    | 4,283      | 4,012      | 15,891   |
| G&A expenses  | 1,411     | 1,321    | 2,482      | 2,672      | 7,886    |
| Other operating expenses  | 2,219     | 2,369    | 2,349      | 2,476      | 9,413    |
| Operating income  | (2,550)   | )228     | 3,357      | 4,636      | 5,671    |
| Other income(expense)   | (1,374)   | )(1,421) | )(1,424)   | )(178)     | )(4,397) |
| Net income before Texas margin<br>tax                           | \$(3,924) | )(1,193) | )\$1,933   | \$4,458    | \$1,274  |
| Net income per limited partner<br>unit - basic year-to-date     |           |          | \$0.16     | \$0.40     |          |
| Net income per limited partner<br>unit - diluted year-to-date   |           |          | \$0.15     | \$0.39     |          |
| Weighted average limited partner<br>units outstanding - basic   |           |          | 17,449,090 | 17,449,090 |          |
| Weighted average limited partner<br>units outstanding - diluted |           |          | 17,761,090 | 17,761,090 |          |

Adjusted quarterly EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2013 is as follows:

|   | Q1      | Q2       | Q3     | Q4    | Total  |
|---|---------|----------|--------|-------|--------|
| Net income (loss)                               | (3,935) | )(1,206) | )1,922 | 4,405 | 1,186  |
| Interest expense, net of<br>amounts capitalized | 1,363   | 1,426    | 1,382  | 178   | 4,349  |
| Texas margin tax<br>expense                     | 11      | 13       | 11     | 53    | 88     |
| Equity-based<br>compensation                    | —       | —        | 1,284  | 1,728 | 3,012  |
| Loss on interest rate<br>swap                   | 10      | (5)      | )43    | —     | 48     |
| Depreciation expense                            | 1,984   | 2,050    | 2,058  | 2,105 | 8,197  |
| Adjusted EBITDA                                 | (567)   | )2,278   | 6,700  | 8,469 | 16,880 |



MARLIN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Partnership's general partner performed an evaluation of the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Exchange Act"). Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of December 31, 2013.

Transition Period for Assessment of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

This annual report does not include a report of management's assessment regarding internal control over financial reporting or an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm due to a transition period established by rules of the SEC for newly public companies.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

Other than the controls and procedures implemented to calculate and process cash distributions and equity compensation expense, there have not been any changes in the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, during 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

## PART III

## Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

## Management of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP

As a limited partnership, we have no directors or officers. We are managed and operated by the board of directors and executive officers of our general partner, Marlin Midstream GP, LLC. NuDevco indirectly owns all of the member interests in our general partner. Our unitholders will not be entitled to elect our general partner or its directors or otherwise directly participate in our management or operation. W. Keith Maxwell III and Terry D. Jones serve on the board of directors of our general partner and are also executive officers of NuDevco and/or one or more of its affiliates. Our general partner is liable, as general partner, for all of our debts (to the extent not paid from our assets), except for indebtedness or other obligations that are nonrecourse to it. Our general partner intends to cause us to incur indebtedness or other obligations that will be, whenever possible, nonrecourse to it.

Our general partner's board of directors has five members, three of whom are independent as defined under the independence standards established by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Exchange Act"). Our general partner's board of directors has affirmatively determined that Messrs. Nick W. Evans, Charles W. Jenness and James Lytal are independent as described in the rules of the Exchange Act.

## Board Leadership Structure

Through its ownership and control of Marlin Midstream GP, LLC, the general partner of Marlin Midstream Partners, our sponsor, NuDevco Partners, LLC, controls our general partner and, within the limitations of our partnership agreement and applicable U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rules and regulations, also exercises broad discretion in establishing the governance provisions of our general partner's limited liability company agreement. Accordingly, our general partner's board structure is established by our sponsor, NuDevco Partners, LLC.

## Directors and Executive Officers

The biographies of each of the directors below contain information regarding the person's service as a director, business experience, director positions held currently or at any time during the last five years, and involvement in certain legal or administrative proceedings, if applicable, and the experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that caused our general partner and its board of directors to determine that the person should serve as a director for the general partner. In light of our strategic relationship with our sponsor, NuDevco Partners, LLC, our general partner considers service as an executive of NuDevco Partners, LLC, or its subsidiaries, as meaningful qualification as a non-independent director of our general partner.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the directors and executive officers of our general partner as of February 21, 2014. Directors are appointed for a term of one year and hold office until their successors have been elected or qualified or until the earlier of their death, resignation, removal or disqualification.

Officers serve at the discretion of the board. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

| Name                 | Age | Position with Marlin Midstream Partners, LP                    |
|----------------------|-----|--|
| W. Keith Maxwell III | 49  | Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer |
| Terry D. Jones       | 56  | Director, Executive Vice President and General Counsel         |
| Amanda Bush          | 32  | Chief Financial Officer  |
| Tom Linton           | 66  | Vice President-Operations                                      |
| David C. Baggett     |     | Director   |
| Nick W. Evans, Jr.   |     | Director   |
| Charles W. Jenness   |     | Director   |
| James Lytal          |     | Director   |





W. Keith Maxwell, III  
Age: 49  
Houston, Texas  
Director since: July 2013  
Not Independent  
Officer since: April 2013

Biography/Qualification

Mr. Maxwell serves as the Chairman of the board of directors and Chief Executive Officer of our general partner, a position he has held since April 2013. Mr. Maxwell also serves as Chief Executive Officer of Spark Energy, one of our affiliates, which Mr. Maxwell grew into a large, nationally recognized electricity and gas retail operation. Prior to founding the predecessor of Spark Energy in 1999, Mr. Maxwell was a founding partner in Wickford Energy, an oil and gas producer services company, in 1994. Wickford was sold to Black Hills Utilities in 1997. Prior to Wickford Energy, Mr. Maxwell was a partner in Polaris Pipeline, a natural gas producer services and midstream company sold to TECO Pipeline in 1994. In 2010, Mr. Maxwell was named Ernst & Young Entrepreneur of the Year in the Energy, Chemicals and Mining category. A native of Houston, Texas, Mr. Maxwell earned a Bachelor's Degree in Economics from the University of Texas at Austin in 1987. Mr. Maxwell has several philanthropic interests, including the Special Olympics, Child Advocates, Salvation Army, Star of Hope and Helping a Hero. We believe that Mr. Maxwell's extensive energy industry background, leadership experience developed while serving in several executive positions and strategic planning and oversight brings important experience and skill to the board of directors of our general partner.

Terry D. Jones  
Age: 56  
Houston, Texas  
Director since: July 2013  
Not Independent  
Officer since: April 2013

Biography/Qualification

Mr. Jones serves as the Executive Vice President and General Counsel and a Director of our general partner, a position he has held since April 2013. Mr. Jones also serves as Executive Vice President of Spark Energy, one of our affiliates. Mr. Jones has been in the energy business during his entire legal career. He was a partner with the law firm of Simon, Peragine, Smith and Redfearn in New Orleans, Louisiana until March 1994 after joining the firm as an associate in 1983. Mr. Jones joined Natural Gas Clearinghouse, the predecessor to Dynege, Inc., as a Vice President in 1994. He remained with Natural Gas Clearinghouse, which subsequently became known as NGC Corporation, Inc. and then Dynege, Inc., through January 2005, working his way from Vice President to Senior Vice President and General Counsel of all of Dynege, Inc.'s operating divisions. Mr. Jones held the position of Division General Counsel of Dynege, Inc. and its predecessor company from 1994-2005. Mr. Jones has been with Spark Energy and its affiliates since March 2005. He graduated with a Management and Administration degree in 1979 from Louisiana State University and a Juris Doctorate Degree from the Paul M. Hebert Law School (LSU) in 1983. We believe that Mr. Jones's extensive energy background and expertise in corporate governance matters brings important experience and skill to the board of directors of our general partner.

Amanda Bush  
Age: 32  
Houston, Texas  
Officer since: April 2013

Biography/Qualification

Amanda ("Mandy") Bush serves as the Chief Financial Officer of our general partner and has been in finance and accounting roles related to the energy sector for her entire career. Prior to joining our general partner in April 2013, she served as Chief Financial Officer of Spark Energy, one of our affiliates, where she also held positions in various other finance roles within the organization, with oversight of strategic planning, risk management, treasury and accounting services. Prior to joining Spark Energy in 2007, she worked in public accounting

Edgar Filing: Marlin Midstream Partners, LP - Form 10-K

at Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLP auditing fortune 500 companies. Ms. Bush received a master's degree in accounting from the University of Houston-Clear Lake in 2003 and is a Texas certified public accountant.

Tom Linton

Biography/Qualification

- 102

---

Age: 66  
Houston, Texas  
Officer since: April 2013

Mr. Linton serves as our Vice President-Operations, a position he has held since May 2012. Mr. Linton has over 30 years of experience in the midstream energy industry and oversees all plant operations for Marlin Midstream, one of our operating subsidiaries. Mr. Linton worked briefly for Marlin Midstream in a consulting role prior to becoming an employee in May 2012. Prior to joining Marlin Midstream, Mr. Linton served as a principal of LWR Group Inc. from 2001 to 2012, where he had responsibility for managing the company's investments. Prior to joining LWR Group Inc., Mr. Linton worked for Dynegy, Inc. where he was responsible for all of Dynegy, Inc.'s gathering and processing facilities in the Permian Basin, Arkansas, Louisiana and Texas, including approximately 50 gas plants and associated gathering systems, as well as overseeing nearly 1,000 employees. During his first 25 years in the midstream energy industry, he worked for Chevron in the refining, pipeline, supply and distribution and midstream business segments. Mr. Linton holds a Bachelor of Arts from DePauw University and a Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering from Purdue University.

David C. Baggett  
Houston, Texas  
Director since: July 2013  
Independent

Biography/Qualification

Mr. Baggett serves as a Director of our general partner, a position he has held since July 2013. Mr. Baggett is the Managing Partner of Opportune LLP, an energy consulting firm he founded in 2005. Prior to founding Opportune LLP, Mr. Baggett served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of a publicly traded exploration and production company, before which he was a partner at Deloitte & Touche LLP. While at Deloitte & Touche LLP, Mr. Baggett led the firm's energy and valuations practices and was in charge of the firm's corporate finance group for the Southwest Region. Mr. Baggett also serves on the board of directors of NorthStar Energy LLC and previously served as Chairman of the Audit Committee of the general partner of Encore Energy Partners, LP, a publicly traded master limited partnership acquired by affiliates of Vanguard Natural Resources, LLC in 2011, and as a member of the board of directors of the general partner of Genesis Energy, L.P., a publicly traded master limited partnership, and the Electric Reliability Council of Texas, the independent system operator for electrical markets in Texas. We believe Mr. Baggett brings valuable knowledge of and experience in the energy industry to the board of directors of our general partner due to his extensive background as both an executive officer and director of publicly traded energy companies.

Nick W. Evans, Jr.  
Houston, Texas  
Director since: September 2013  
Independent

Biography/Qualification

Mr. Evans serves as a Director of our general partner, a position he has held since September 2013. Mr. Evans began his career at the Georgia Railroad Bank and then joined Abitibi Southern Corporation. He began his television career in sales at WATU-TV and WRDW-TV in Augusta and then moved to WNEP-TV, Wilkes-Barre/Scranton, Pennsylvania. He returned to WAGT-TV in Augusta and eventually became president and general manager. From 1987 to 2000, he was President and CEO of Spartan Communications, Inc., headquartered in Spartanburg, South Carolina. He currently serves as chairman of ECP Benefits and ECP/Trinity, partner of Toast Wine & Beverage and is involved in business development for Group CSE in Atlanta. Mr. Evans is a former board member of numerous civic, community, business and industry organizations. While a

Rotarian he was selected as a Paul Harris Fellow. Currently, he holds board positions with Wells Fargo (Augusta Advisory Board), Forest Hills Golf Association, Azalea Capital (Advisory Board) and Coca-Cola Bottling Company United, Inc. Mr. Evans holds a B.B.A degree from Augusta College.

Charles W. Jenness

Biography/Qualification

- 103

---

Houston, Texas  
 Director since: August 2013

Independent

Mr. Jenness serves as a Director of our general partner, a position he has held since September 2013. Mr. Jenness has been employed by Encore Bank as chairman of the executive committee since 2002. In 1989, he founded the Jenness Corporation, an investment firm, where he is currently chairman and CEO. Mr. Jenness was vice chairman and director of Coventry Investments Group from 1991 to 1998, and from 1997 to 2009, he has served as a corporate advisor for financial short and long-range planning to Buffalo Marine Service, Inc. From 1989 to 1991, he was a partner in Centeq Companies, which ultimately became Camden Property Trust. Mr. Jenness served as chairman of Allied Fairbanks Bank, Houston, Texas from 1980 to 1989, and was founder and chairman of the board of Superior Derrick Services and past Chairman of Giddings Bancshares, Inc. Mr. Jenness is a prior member and chairman of the Texas Water Development Board and served under Texas governors Mark White, Bill Clements, Ann Richards and George W. Bush. Mr. Jenness is presently vice chairman of Cadence Bank (\$6.5 billion in assets). Mr. Jenness was a director of Encore Bancshares since September 2000 and a director of Encore Bank since November 1986. Mr. Jenness holds a B.S. from Indiana University.

James Lytal

Houston, Texas  
 Director since: August 2013  
 Independent

Biography/Qualifications

Mr. Lytal serves as a Director of our general partner, a position he has held since September 2013. Mr. Lytal has served as a senior advisor to Global Infrastructure Partners, a New York-based partnership that invests in infrastructure assets globally, since April 2009, and since November 2011 has served on the Board of Directors of SemGroup Corporation. From 1994 to 2004, Mr. Lytal was president of Leviathan Gas Pipeline Partners, which later became El Paso Energy Partners, and then Gulfterra Energy Partners, where he served on the board of directors. In 2004, Gulfterra Energy Partners merged with Enterprise Products Partners, one of the largest publicly-traded energy partnerships in the U.S., where Mr. Lytal served as executive vice president until 2009. From 1998 to 2008, he was directly involved in the development of over \$3 billion in offshore platform and oil and gas pipeline projects. Having entered the energy industry in 1980, Mr. Lytal's business experience includes midstream mergers, acquisitions and master limited partnership drop-downs, as well as onshore midstream and deepwater asset development and management. Mr. Lytal graduated from the University of Texas at Austin with a Bachelor of Science degree in petroleum engineering.

#### Section 16 (a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our general partner's board of directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than 10 percent of a registered class of our equity securities, to file with the SEC, and any exchange or other system on which such securities are traded or quoted, initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common units and other equity securities. Officers, directors and greater-than-10-percent unitholders are required by the SEC's regulations to furnish to us, and any exchange or other system on which such securities are traded or quoted, with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file with the SEC. To our knowledge, based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required, we believe that all reporting obligations of our general partner's officers, directors and greater-than-10 percent unitholders under Section 16(a) were satisfied during the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### Reimbursement of Expenses of our General Partner and Its Affiliates

We pay an annual executive management fee, initially in the amount of \$0.6 million, to be paid in monthly installments to NuDevco Midstream Development, LLC ("NuDevco") for the services provided to us by W. Keith Maxwell III, Terry D. Jones and certain other employees of NuDevco. Except in the case of W. Keith Maxwell III, for

whom NuDevco has initially determined to charge us only \$1.00 each year for services he provides to us, the executive management fee is based on the percentage of average working time devoted by Mr. Jones and certain other employees of NuDevco to our business. The amount of the executive management fee is subject to adjustment each year based on changes in the percentage of average working time devoted to us by these individuals from year-to-year. Each month, we reimburse NuDevco for 100% of the cost of employees of NuDevco who devote all of their working time to our business, and we reimburse NuDevco for the cost of any employee, other than those employees who are covered by the executive management fee described above, who devote less than all of their working time to our business on an allocated basis based on the time spent by such employees working on our behalf. In addition, we also reimburse NuDevco each month for the provision of various centralized general and administrative services to us. Subject to approval by the conflicts committee, we may reimburse, one month in advance, any bonus to be paid by NuDevco to any employee of NuDevco who provides services to us. To the extent such reimbursement exceeds the bonus

- 104

---

actually paid to such employee, the excess amount will be applied as a credit against the general expense reimbursement to be paid to NuDevco the following month. This reimbursement is in addition to our reimbursement of our general partner and its affiliates for certain costs and expenses incurred on our behalf for managing and controlling our business and operations as required by our partnership agreement.

#### Board Committees

The board of directors of our general partner have two standing committees: the audit committee and the conflicts committee.

#### Audit Committee

The audit committee is comprised of three independent directors, Messrs. Baggett (chairperson), Jenness and Lytal, each of whom is able to understand fundamental financial statements and at least one of whom has past experience in accounting or related financial management experience. The board has determined that each member of the audit committee is independent under the standards established by NASDAQ or the Exchange Act. In making the independence determination, the board considered the requirements of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. The audit committee held two meetings in 2013.

Mr. Baggett has been designated by the board of directors of our general partner as the "audit committee financial expert" meeting the requirements promulgated by NASDAQ and the SEC based on his education and employment experience as more fully detailed above.

The audit committee assists the board of directors in its oversight of the integrity of our consolidated financial statements, our internal controls over financial reporting, and our compliance with the legal and regulatory requirements and Partnership policies and controls. The audit committee has the sole authority to, among other things, (1) retain and terminate our independent registered public accounting firm, (2) approve all auditing services and related fees and the terms thereof performed by our independent registered public accounting firm, and (3) establish policies and procedures for the pre-approval of all audit, audit-related, non-audit and tax services to be rendered by our independent registered public accounting firm. The audit committee is also responsible for confirming the independence and objectivity of our independent registered public accounting firm. Our independent registered public accounting firm has been given unrestricted access to the audit committee and to our management, as necessary.

#### Conflicts Committee

The conflict committee is comprised of three independent directors, Messrs. Lytal (chairperson), Evans, Baggett and Jenness. Our partnership agreement provides for the conflicts committee, as delegated by the board of directors of our general partner as circumstances warrant, to review conflicts of interest between us and our general partner or between us and affiliates of our general partner. If a matter is submitted to the Conflicts Committee, which consists solely of independent directors, for their review and approval, the Conflicts Committee will determine if the resolution of a conflict of interest that has been presented to it by the board of directors of our general partner is fair and reasonable to us. The members of the Conflicts Committee may not be executive officers or employees of our general partner or directors, executive officers or employees of its affiliates. In addition, the members of the Conflicts Committee must meet the independence and experience standards established by NASDAQ and the Exchange Act for service on an audit committee of a board of directors. If our general partner seeks approval from the Conflicts Committee, then it will be presumed that, in making its decision, the Conflicts Committee acted in good faith, and in any proceeding brought by or on behalf of any limited partner or the partnership challenging such determination, the person bringing or prosecuting such proceeding will have the burden of overcoming such presumption.

#### Communication with the Board of Directors

A holder of our units or other interested party who wishes to communicate with the non-management directors of our general partner may do so by contacting the Partnership's corporate secretary at the address or phone number appearing on the front page of this Report.

Communications will be relayed to the intended recipient of the board of directors of our general partner except in instances where it is deemed unnecessary or inappropriate to do so pursuant to the procedures established by the Audit Committee. Any communications withheld under those guidelines will nonetheless be recorded and available for any director who wishes to review them.

Code of Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines and Board Committee Charters

Our general partner has adopted a Code of Ethics for all directors, officers, employees, and agents. If the general partners amend the Code of Ethics or grants a waiver, including an implicit waiver, from the Code of Ethics, the Partnership will disclose the information on its Internet website. Our general partner has also adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines

- 105

---



that outline the important policies and practices regarding our governance and a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to all employees of our general partner or affiliates of our general partner who perform services for us. Within the "Investor Relations" section of our website at [www.marlinmidstream.com](http://www.marlinmidstream.com), we make available free of charge and in print to any unitholder who so requests, our Code of Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, audit committee charter and conflicts committee charter. Requests for print copies may be directed to [fish@finprofiles.com](mailto:fish@finprofiles.com). We will post on our Internet website all waivers to or amendments of the Code of Ethics, which are required to be disclosed by applicable law and NASDAQ standards. The information contained on, or connected to, our Internet website is not incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K and should not be considered part of this Form 10-K or any other report that we file with or furnish to the SEC.

## Item 11. Executive Compensation

### COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### Overview

We are currently considered an emerging growth company for purposes of the SEC's executive compensation disclosure rules. In accordance with such rules, we are required to provide a Summary Compensation Table and an Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End Table, as well as limited narrative disclosures. Further, our reporting obligations extend only to the individuals serving as our chief executive officer and our two other most highly compensated executive officers. For fiscal year 2013, our named executive officers ("NEOs") were W. Keith Maxwell III (the principal executive officer), Terry D. Jones (the vice-president and general counsel) and Amanda Bush (the principal financial and principal accounting officer).

We do not directly employ any of the persons responsible for managing our business, and our general partner's board of directors does not have a compensation committee. The compensation of NuDevco's employees that perform services on our behalf, including our executive officers, is approved by NuDevco's management, other than long-term incentive compensation under the Marlin Midstream Partners, LP Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP"). Awards under our LTIP are recommended by NuDevco management and approved by the board of directors of our general partner. Compensation paid or awarded by us in 2013 with respect to the named executive officers reflects only the portion of compensation expense that is allocated to us pursuant to NuDevco's allocation methodology and subject to the terms of the omnibus agreement. Our reimbursement for the compensation of executive officers is governed by an omnibus agreement and services and secondment agreement. For additional information relating to our reimbursement for the compensation of executive officers, please see Item 13—"Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence" included in this Form 10-K.

The following table presents the estimated percentage of time that the general partner's named executive officers devoted to the Partnership during the twelve months ending December 31, 2013. The percentage represents, for each individual, the time devoted to the business of the Partnership relative to the time devoted to the businesses of the Partnership and NuDevco, or affiliates, in the aggregate.

| Name                 | Time Allocated |
|----------------------|----------------|
| W. Keith Maxwell III | 60%            |
| Terry D. Jones       | 65%            |
| Amanda Bush          | 100%           |

The following discussion relating to compensation paid by NuDevco is based on information provided to us by NuDevco and does not purport to be a complete discussion and analysis of NuDevco's executive compensation philosophy and practices. With the exception of the grants made under the LTIP, the elements of compensation discussed below are not subject to approvals by the board of directors of our general partner, include the audit or conflicts resolution committees.

#### Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the compensation amounts expensed by us for our named executive officers for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, as applicable. Except as specifically noted, the amounts included in the table below reflect the expense allocated to us by NuDevco Midstream. We believe this expense accurately reflects

the amounts paid to our named executive officers as compensation for the services provided to us. For a discussion of the allocation percentages in effect for 2013, please see the Overview section, above

- 106

---

| Name and Principal Position                     | Year (1) | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$)<br>(2) | LTIP (\$)<br>(3) | Other (\$)<br>(4) | Total (\$) |
|---|----------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| W. Keith Maxwell III                            | 2013     | \$—         | \$—               | \$—              | \$—               | \$—        |
| Chief Executive Officer                         | 2012     | \$—         | \$—               | \$—              | \$—               | \$—        |
| Terry D. Jones                                  | 2013     | \$—         | \$—               | \$645,829        | \$—               | \$645,829  |
| Executive Vice President and<br>General Counsel | 2012     | \$—         | \$—               | \$—              | \$—               | \$—        |
| Amanda Bush                                     | 2013     | \$98,341    | \$135,000         | \$484,372        | \$2,300           | \$717,713  |
| Chief Financial Officer                         | 2012     | \$—         | \$—               | \$—              | \$—               | \$—        |

(1) We did not pay or accrue any amounts in relation to compensation for Messrs. Maxwell and Jones and Ms. Bush for the 2012 year. However, these NEOs were employed and compensated by NuDevco, including for their services rendered to us, for the 2012 year.

(2) Amounts within this column reflect the amount granted to the applicable NEO for bonus amounts related to 2013. These amounts will be paid in 2014.

(3) Amounts within this column reflect the compensation expense recognized at fair value for phantom units granted to the applicable NEOs in the 2013 year under our LTIP, computed in accordance with FASB Topic 718, without regard to potential forfeitures. Please see Note 12 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a detailed discussion of the valuation assumptions applicable to our equity compensation awards.

(4) Amounts within this column reflects employer contributions under our 401(k) plan.

Neither we nor our general partner employ any of the individuals who serve as executive officers of our general partner and are responsible for managing our business. In addition, Messrs. Maxwell and Jones devote only a portion of their working time to our business. Messrs. Maxwell and Jones also act as executive officers of NuDevco and Spark Energy. We pay an executive management fee to NuDevco Partners, LLC, which covers the services provided to us by Messrs. Maxwell and Jones, in addition to the services of certain other NuDevco employees, and have not otherwise paid or reimbursed any compensation amounts to or for Messrs. Maxwell and Jones. Messrs. Maxwell and Jones are employed with NuDevco, and, except with respect to awards granted under our long-term incentive plan, they do not receive any separate amounts of compensation for their services to our business or as executive officers of our general partner.

Ms. Bush is employed by NuDevco Midstream Development and devotes substantially all of her working time to our business. We reimburse NuDevco Midstream Development for all compensation and benefits paid to this NEO with respect to time spent managing our business in accordance with the terms of the omnibus agreement, which also governs the executive management fee that we pay to NuDevco Partners, LLC.

#### Narrative Disclosure to the Summary Compensation Table

For 2013, the principal elements of compensation provided by NuDevco for the named executive officers were base salaries, annual cash bonuses, and retirement, health, welfare and additional benefits. The portion of any annual cash compensation or awards, or other benefits allocable to us is based on NuDevco's methodology used for allocating compensation costs, subject to the omnibus agreement.

**Base Salary.** Base salaries are generally set at levels deemed necessary to attract and retain individuals with superior talent commensurate with their relative expertise and experience.

**Annual Cash Bonuses.** Annual cash incentive awards are used by NuDevco to motivate and reward its executives and employees for the achievement of our objectives aligned with value creation and/or to recognize individual contributions to our performance. The awards are determined by NuDevco's management. Annual cash incentive awards are determined on a discretionary basis and are generally based on individual and company performance.

Unless otherwise determined, awards have historically been subject to an individual's continued employment through

the date of payment of the award.

**Other Benefits.** In addition to the compensation discussed above, NuDevco also provides other benefits to the named executive officers, including the following:

• retirement benefits to match competitive practices in our industry, including participation in NuDevco's 401k plan;

- 107

---

director and officer indemnification agreements;

- benefits, including medical, dental, vision, flexible spending accounts, paid time off, life insurance and disability coverage, which are also provided to all other eligible NuDevco employees.

We also made grants of equity-based compensation awards under our LTIP to certain employees and directors in connection with the closing of our IPO, as described in more detail below.

#### Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End

| Name           | Number of Units That Have Not Vested (1) | Market Value of Units That Have Not Vested (2) |
|----------------|--|--|
| Terry D. Jones | 50,000                                   | 840,000  |
| Amanda Bush    | 37,500                                   | 630,000  |

(1) The phantom units reflected in this column will vest in full on February 15, 2014, but were outstanding as of December 31, 2013.

(2) Market values were determined by multiplying the number of phantom units outstanding for each executive by the closing price of our common units on December 31, 2013 of \$16.80.

The LTIP provides for the grant of unit options, unit appreciation awards, restricted units, phantom units, distribution equivalent rights, unit awards, profits interest units, and other unit-based awards. On August 1, 2013, phantom units, with distribution equivalent rights, of 292,000 units were awarded to certain employees of NuDevco Midstream Development and its affiliates who provide direct or indirect services to the Partnership pursuant to affiliate agreements, and 20,000 units were awarded to certain board members of the Partnership's general partner.

Distribution equivalent rights are accrued for each phantom unit award as the Partnership declares cash distributions and are recorded as a decrease in partners' capital with a corresponding liability in accordance with the vesting period of the underlying phantom unit, which will be settled in cash when the underlying phantom units vest.

On August 1, 2013, Mr. Jones received 50,000 phantom units, while Ms. Bush received 37,500 phantom units, each grant of which vested in full on February 15, 2014. The awards were settled in cash payments rather than in shares of our common units. No other LTIP awards were granted to our NEOs during the 2013 year.

#### Employment, Severance and Change in Control Arrangements

Other than the LTIP agreements described below, neither we nor our general partner have entered into any employment, severance, change in control or similar agreements with any of our NEOs, nor are we or our general partner otherwise responsible for any payment upon the termination of any of our NEOs or upon a change in control of us or our general partner or any other person.

The phantom units granted under our LTIP in 2013 contained provisions that would accelerate vesting of the awards if the holder's employment was terminated due to a death or a disability prior to the vesting date. If the holder was terminated for any other reason prior to vesting, the phantom unit would have been forfeited without consideration.

#### Director Compensation

Directors of our general partner who are not officers or employees of our general partner or of NuDevco or its affiliates receive compensation as "non-employee directors," which is expected to have an annual value equal to \$200,000 and be payable 50% in cash and 50% in the form of an equity-based award granted under our LTIP. In addition, the chair of each standing committee of our general partner's board of directors receives an additional annual cash retainer as follows: audit committee chair: \$25,000; conflicts committee chair: \$20,000; and other committee chair: \$20,000. Each director is indemnified for his or her actions associated with being a director to the fullest extent permitted under Delaware law and is reimbursed for all expenses incurred in attending to duties as a director.

The following table sets forth information concerning total director compensation earned during the 2013 fiscal year by each non-employee director:

| Name               | Fees Earned or Paid<br>in Cash | Market Value of<br>Unit Awards at<br>December 31, 2013<br>(1) | Total      |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------|
| David C. Baggett   | \$ 65,000                      | \$ 100,000  | \$ 165,000 |
| Nick W. Evans, Jr. | \$ 37,500                      | \$ 100,000  | \$ 137,500 |
| Charles W. Jenness | \$ 37,500                      | \$ 100,000  | \$ 137,500 |
| James Lytal        | \$ 45,000                      | \$ 100,000  | \$ 145,000 |

(1) Amounts within this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of the phantom units granted to the applicable directors in the 2013 year under our LTIP, computed in accordance with FASB Topic 718, without regard to potential forfeitures. Please see Note 12 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a detailed discussion of the valuation assumptions applicable to our equity compensation awards. The phantom units will vest on February 15, 2014. As of December 31, 2013, the directors held the following number of phantom units: Mr. Baggett, 5,000; Mr. Evans, 5,000; Mr. Jenness, 5,000 and Mr. Lytal, 5,000.

#### Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The NASDAQ listing rules do not require a listed limited partnership to establish a compensation committee, and we do not have a compensation committee. Although the board of directors of our general partner does not currently intend to establish a compensation committee, it may do so in the future.

#### Compensation Committee Report

The board of directors of our general partner has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, or CD&A, set forth below. Based on this review and discussion, the board of directors determined that the CD&A be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Submitted by:

W. Keith Maxwell III

Terry D. Jones

David C. Baggett

Nick W. Evans, Jr.

Charles W. Jenness

James Lytal

#### Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of our common, subordinated, and general partner units held by the following as of February 15, 2014:

- each member of the board of directors of our general partner;
- each named executive officer of our general partner;
- all directors and officers of our general partner as a group; and
- NuDevco Partners Holding, LLC and its affiliates.

All information with respect to beneficial ownership has been furnished by the respective directors, officers or 5% or more unitholders as the case may be.

All of the equity interests in our general partner are indirectly owned by NuDevco. W. Keith Maxwell III owns all of the equity interests in NuDevco.

The amounts and percentage of units beneficially owned are reported on the basis of rules and regulations of the SEC governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. Under the rules of the SEC, a person is deemed to be a “beneficial owner” of a security if that person has or shares “voting power,” which includes the power to vote or to direct the voting of such security, or “investment power,” which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of such



security. In computing the number of common units beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, common units subject to options or warrants held by that person that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of February 15, 2014, if any, are deemed outstanding, but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Except as indicated by footnote, the persons named in the table below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all units shown as beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws where applicable.

The percentage of units beneficially owned is based on a total of 17,449,090 common units and subordinated units outstanding.

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner<br>(1)      | Common<br>Units<br>Beneficially<br>Owned | Percentage of<br>Common<br>Units<br>Beneficially<br>Owned | Subordinated<br>Units to be<br>Beneficially<br>Owned | Percentage of<br>Subordinated<br>Units to be<br>Beneficially<br>Owned | Percentage of<br>Total Common<br>and<br>Subordinated<br>Units to be<br>Beneficially<br>Owned |   |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| NuDevco Partners Holdings, LLC (2)               | 1,849,545                                | 21.2  | % 8,724,545  | 100   | % 64.2   | % |
| NuDevco Midstream Development, LLC               | 1,849,545                                | 21.2  | % 8,724,545  | 100   | % 64.2   | % |
| W. Keith Maxwell III                             | 33,200                                   | 0.4   | %—   | —   | %—   | % |
| Terry D. Jones                                   | 5,000                                    | 0.1   | %—   | —   | %—   | % |
| Amanda Bush                                      | —  | —   | %—   | —   | %—   | % |
| Tom Linton                                       | —  | —   | %—   | —   | %—   | % |
| David C. Baggett                                 | 14,500                                   | 0.2   | %—   | —   | %—   | % |
| Nick W. Evans, Jr.                               | 2,500                                    | —   | %—   | —   | %—   | % |
| Charles W. Jenness                               | 7,500                                    | 0.1   | %—   | —   | %—   | % |
| James Lytal                                      | 10,000                                   | 0.1   | %—   | —   | %—   | % |
| All directors and executive officers, as a group | 1,922,245                                | 22.0  | % 8,724,545  | 100   | % 64.2   | % |

(1) The address for all beneficial owners in this table is 2105 CityWest Boulevard, Suite 100; Houston, Texas 77042

(2) NuDevco Partners, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, is the sole member of NuDevco Partners Holdings, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, which is the sole member of NuDevco Midstream Development and may therefore be deemed to beneficially own the 1,849,545 common units and 8,724,545 subordinated units held by NuDevco Midstream Development. W. Keith Maxwell is the sole member of NuDevco Partners, LLC.

### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions

NuDevco indirectly owns 1,849,545 common units and 8,724,545 subordinated units representing a 64.2% limited partner interest in us. In addition, our general partner owns 356,104 general partner units representing a 2.0% general partner interest in us.

#### Distributions and Payments to our General Partner and its Affiliates

The following summarizes the distributions and payments to be made by us to our general partner and its affiliates in connection with the ongoing operation and liquidation of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP. These distributions and payments were determined by and among affiliated entities and, consequently, are not the result of arm's-length negotiations.

#### Ongoing operations

Distributions of available cash to our general partner and its affiliates:

We make cash distributions to the unitholders on a pro-rata basis, including NuDevco as holder of an aggregate of 1,849,545 common units and 8,724,545 subordinated units, and to our general partner as holder of 356,104 general



partner shares. In addition, if distributions exceed the minimum quarterly distribution and target distribution levels, the incentive distribution rights held by NuDevco will entitle NuDevco to increasing percentages of the distributions, up to 48.0% of the distributions above the highest target distribution level.

- 110

---

Assuming we have sufficient available cash to pay the full minimum quarterly distribution on all of our outstanding units for four quarters, our general partner and its affiliates would receive an annual distribution of approximately \$0.5 million on the 2.0% general partner interest and \$15.7 million on their common units and subordinated units.

#### Payments to our general partner and its affiliates

Under our partnership agreement, we are required to reimburse our general partner and its affiliates for all direct and allocable costs and expenses that they incur on our behalf for managing and controlling our business and operations. Our general partner determines the amount of these expenses and such determinations must be made in good faith under the terms of our partnership agreement. Under our omnibus agreement, we pay NuDevco each month for the provision of certain general and administrative services by NuDevco and its affiliates, which payment includes an annual executive management fee for the provision of certain executive management services by certain officers of our general partner to be paid in monthly installments. Other portions of this monthly payment are based on the costs actually incurred by NuDevco and its affiliates in providing the services. The expenses of non-executive employees are allocated to us based on the ratio of time spent by those employees on our business and operations. These reimbursable expenses also include an allocable portion of the compensation and benefits of employees and executive officers of other affiliates of our general partner who provide services to us. We also reimburse NuDevco for any additional out-of-pocket costs and expenses incurred by NuDevco and its affiliates in providing general and administrative services to us.

#### Withdrawal or removal of our general partner

If our general partner withdraws or is removed, its general partner interest will either be sold to the new general partner for cash or converted into common units, in each case for an amount equal to the fair market value of those interests.

#### Liquidation

Upon our liquidation, the partners, including our general partner, will be entitled to receive liquidating distributions according to their respective capital account balances.

#### Ownership Interests of Certain Executive Officers and Directors of Our General Partner and its Affiliates

NuDevco owns 100.0% of our general partner. W. Keith Maxwell III owns all of the equity interests in NuDevco.

In addition to the 2.0% general partner interest in us, NuDevco indirectly owns the incentive distribution rights, which entitle the holder to increasing percentages, up to a maximum of 48.0%, of the cash we distribute in excess of \$0.35 per quarter. NuDevco indirectly owns 1,849,545 common units and 8,724,545 subordinated units.

#### Agreements with AES

At the closing of our IPO, we entered into a three-year, fee-based gathering and processing agreement with AES at our Panola County processing facilities. We also entered into three-year transloading services agreements with AES at our Wildcat and Big Horn facilities.

#### Omnibus Agreement

At the closing of our IPO, we entered into an omnibus agreement with NuDevco, certain of its subsidiaries and our general partner that addresses the following matters:

our payment of an annual executive management fee, initially in the amount of \$0.6 million, paid in monthly installments to NuDevco for the provision of certain executive management services by certain officers of our general partner;

our obligation to reimburse NuDevco each month for the provision by NuDevco of certain general and administrative services (which reimbursement is in addition to certain expenses of our general partner and its affiliates that are reimbursed under our partnership agreement), as well as certain other direct or allocated costs and expenses incurred by NuDevco on our behalf;

an indemnity by NuDevco for certain environmental and other liabilities, and our obligation to indemnify NuDevco for events and conditions associated with the operation of our assets that occur after the closing of our IPO and for environmental liabilities related to our assets to the extent NuDevco is not required to indemnify us;

our right of first offer on certain of NuDevco Midstream Development's midstream energy assets; and

so long as NuDevco controls our general partner, the omnibus agreement will remain in full force and effect. If NuDevco ceases to control our general partner, either party may terminate the omnibus agreement, provided that the

indemnification obligations will remain in full force and effect in accordance with their terms.  
Payment of Executive Management Fee and Reimbursement of Expenses

- 111

---

We pay an annual executive management fee, initially in the amount of \$0.6 million, in monthly installments to NuDevco for the services provided to us by W. Keith Maxwell III, Terry D. Jones and certain other employees of NuDevco. Except in the case of W. Keith Maxwell III, for whom NuDevco has initially determined to charge us only \$1.00 each year for services he provides to us, such executive management fee is based on the percentage of average working time devoted by Mr. Jones and certain other employees of NuDevco to our business. The amount of the executive management fee is subject to adjustment each year based on changes in the percentage of average working time devoted to us by these individuals from year-to-year. Each month, we reimburse NuDevco for 100% of the cost of employees of NuDevco who devote all of their working time to our business, and we reimburse NuDevco for the cost of any employee, other than those employees who are covered by the executive management fee described above, who devote less than all of their working time to our business on an allocated basis based on the time spent by such employees working on our behalf. In addition, we also reimburse NuDevco each month for the provision of various centralized general and administrative services to us. Subject to approval by the conflicts committee, we may reimburse, one month in advance, any bonus to be paid by NuDevco to any employee of NuDevco who provides services to us. To the extent such reimbursement exceeds the bonus actually paid to such employee, the excess amount will be applied as a credit against the general expense reimbursement to be paid to NuDevco the following month. This reimbursement is in addition to our reimbursement of our general partner and its affiliates for certain costs and expenses incurred on our behalf for managing and controlling our business and operations as required by our partnership agreement.

#### Right of First Offer

Under our omnibus agreement, if NuDevco Midstream Development decides to sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of any of the assets listed below, NuDevco Midstream Development will provide us with the opportunity to make the first offer on them, in each case for a five-year period following the closing of our IPO:

- skid transloaders and ladder transloaders for transloading crude oil and other liquid hydrocarbons;
- railcars for transporting crude oil and other liquid hydrocarbons;
- storage tanks for storing crude oil and other liquid hydrocarbons that are connected to any of our transloading facilities;
- tanker trucks for transporting crude oil and other liquid hydrocarbons;
- gas treating facilities;
- gas processing facilities; and
- gas gathering facilities, to the extent that such facilities are connected to treating or processing facilities we own and operate.

If any of the assets listed in the first four bullet points above are not subject to a service agreement with AES or a third party at the time we exercise our right of first offer, NuDevco will cause AES to negotiate in good faith a service agreement with us covering such asset.

#### Indemnification

Under the omnibus agreement, NuDevco will indemnify us for all known and certain unknown environmental liabilities that are associated with the ownership or operation of our assets and due to occurrences on or before our IPO. Indemnification for any unknown environmental liabilities is limited to liabilities due to occurrences on or before our IPO date, July 31, 2013, and identified prior to the third anniversary of that date, and will be subject to an aggregate deductible of \$250,000 before we are entitled to indemnification. NuDevco's maximum liability for this environmental indemnification obligation will not exceed \$7.0 million. NuDevco will also indemnify us for certain defects in title to the assets contributed to us that exceed \$25,000 individually and are identified prior to the third anniversary of the IPO. In addition, NuDevco will indemnify us for any failure to obtain certain consents and permits necessary to conduct our crude oil logistics business.

NuDevco will also indemnify us for liabilities relating to:

- the assets contributed to us, other than environmental liabilities, that arise out of the ownership or operation of the assets prior to our IPO and that are asserted during the period ending on the third anniversary of the IPO;
- events and conditions associated with any assets retained by NuDevco;
- certain legal proceedings in existence prior to our IPO; and

- all tax liabilities attributable to the assets contributed to us arising prior to our IPO or otherwise related to NuDevco's contribution of those assets to us in connection with this offering.

- 112

---

We have agreed to indemnify NuDevco for events and conditions associated with the operation of our assets that occur after the closing of our IPO and for environmental liabilities related to our assets to the extent NuDevco is not required to indemnify us as described above.

#### Procedures for Review, Approval and Ratification of Related-Person Transactions

The board of directors of our general partner adopted a written related party transactions policy that provides that the board of directors of our general partner or its authorized committee will periodically review all related person transactions that are required to be disclosed under SEC rules and, when appropriate, initially authorize or ratify all such transactions. In the event that the board of directors of our general partner or its authorized committee considers ratification of a related person transaction and determines not to so ratify, the code of business conduct and ethics will provide that our management will make all reasonable efforts to cancel or annul the transaction.

The related party transactions policy provides that, in determining whether or not to recommend the initial approval or ratification of a related person transaction, the board of directors of our general partner or its authorized committee should consider all of the relevant facts and circumstances available, including (if applicable) but not limited to: (i) whether there is an appropriate business justification for the transaction; (ii) the benefits that accrue to us as a result of the transaction; (iii) the terms available to unrelated third parties entering into similar transactions; (iv) the impact of the transaction on a director's independence (in the event the related person is a director, an immediate family member of a director or an entity in which a director or an immediate family member of a director is a partner, shareholder, member or executive officer); (v) the availability of other sources for comparable products or services; (vi) whether it is a single transaction or a series of ongoing, related transactions; and (vii) whether entering into the transaction would be consistent with the code of business conduct and ethics.

The related party transactions policy described above were adopted in connection with the closing of our IPO, and as a result the transactions described above were not reviewed under such policy. Although the transactions described above were not reviewed under such policy and prior to the closing of our IPO we did not have a formal related party transactions policy, we believe that the terms of our initial agreements with our sponsor are generally no less favorable to us than those that could have been negotiated with unaffiliated third parties with respect to similar services. Our belief is based on a review of comparable agreements entered into by other similarly situated companies and a review of the rates and terms customarily contained in commercial agreements with respect to gathering and processing and transloading services in our areas of operation.

#### Summary of Affiliate Transactions

Revenues from affiliates include amounts earned by us from services provided to AES, an affiliate of NuDevco. Operating and maintenance expense includes amounts accrued for or paid to affiliates for the operation of our assets, whether in providing services to affiliates or to third parties, including field labor, measurement and analysis, and other disbursements. A portion of our general and administrative expenses is paid by NuDevco, which results in affiliate transactions pursuant to the reimbursement provisions of the omnibus agreement. Affiliate expenses do not inherently bear a direct relationship to affiliate revenues, and third-party expenses do not necessarily bear a direct relationship to third-party revenues.

#### Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

We have engaged KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. The following table presents fees for the audit of the Partnership's annual consolidated and combined financial statements for the last two years and for other services provided by KPMG LLP:

| In thousands       | 2013       | 2012       |
|--------------------|------------|------------|
| Audit Fees         | \$ 753,000 | \$ 875,000 |
| Audit-Related Fees | —          | —          |
| Tax Fees           | \$ —       | \$ —       |
| All Other Fees     | \$ —       | \$ —       |
| Total              | \$ 753,000 | \$ 875,000 |

Audit fees are primarily for the audit of the Partnership's consolidated and combined financial statements, and the quarterly reviews of the Partnership's condensed interim financial statements included in the Forms 10-K and 10-Q, and

- 113

---

assistance with Securities Act filings and related matters, consents and comfort letters issued in connection with Securities Act Filings in connection with our initial public offering registration statements. The Audit Committee has not approved the appointment of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accounting firm to conduct the audit of the Partnership's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 as of the date of this filing.

- 114

---



## PART IV

## Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description  | Incorporated by Reference |                |             |              |
|----------------|--|---------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
|                |  | Form                      | Exhibit Number | Filing Date | SEC File No. |
| 3.1            | Certificate of Limited Partnership of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP.   | DRS                       | 3.1            | 5/3/2013    | 377-00170    |
| 3.2            | First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP dated as of July 31, 2013 by and between Marlin Midstream GP, LLC and NuDevco Midstream Development.  | 8-K                       | 3.1            | 7/31/2013   | 001-36018    |
| 3.3            | Certificate of Formation of Marlin Midstream GP, LLC   | DRS                       | 3.3            | 5/3/2013    | 377-00170    |
| 3.4*           | First Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Marlin Midstream GP, LLC   |                           |                |             |              |
| 10.1           | Credit Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2013, among Marlin Midstream Partners, LP, Marlin Midstream, LLC, and Marlin Logistics, LLC, as co-borrowers, Société Générale, as Agent, Issuing Bank, Swing Line Bank and a Bank and SG Americas Securities, LLC, as Sole Lead Arranger and Sole Bookrunner.  | 8-K                       | 10.7           | 8/5/2013    | 001-36018    |
| 10.2**         | Marlin Midstream Partners, LP 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan.   | 8-K                       | 10.1           | 7/31/2013   | 001-36018    |
| 10.3           | Form of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan Phantom Unit Agreement.  | S-1/A                     | 10.3           | 7/3/2013    | 333-189645   |
| 10.4           | Contribution, Conveyance and Assumption Agreement dated as of July 31, 2013, by and among Marlin Midstream Partners, LP, Marlin Midstream GP, LLC, Marlin IDR Holdings, LLC, Marlin Midstream, LLC, Marlin Logistics, LLC, NuDevco Partners, LLC, NuDevco Partners Holdings, LLC, NuDevco Midstream Development, LLC, Spark Energy Ventures, LLC and W. Keith Maxwell III. | 8-K                       | 10.1           | 8/5/2013    | 001-36018    |
| 10.5           | Omnibus Agreement dated as of July 31, 2013, by and among NuDevco Partners, LLC, NuDevco Partners Holdings, LLC, NuDevco Midstream Development, LLC, Marlin Midstream Partners, LP and Marlin Midstream GP, LLC.   | 8-K                       | 10.2           | 8/5/2013    | 001-36018    |
| 10.6***        | Gas Gathering and Processing Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2012, between Marlin Midstream, LLC and Anadarko E&P Company LP  | S-1/A                     | 10.7           | 7/11/2013   | 333-189645   |
| 10.7***        | Gas Gathering and Processing Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2012, between Marlin G&P I, LLC and Anadarko E&P Company LP  | DRS/A                     | 10.8           | 6/10/2013   | 377-00170    |
| 10.8***        | Amendment to Gas Gathering and Processing Agreement, effective as of September 1, 2012, between Marlin   | DRS/A                     | 10.9           | 6/10/2013   | 377-00170    |

Edgar Filing: Marlin Midstream Partners, LP - Form 10-K

|          |  |          |           |           |  |
|----------|--|----------|-----------|-----------|--|
|          | Midstream, LLC, Marlin G&P I, LLC, and Anadarko E&P Company LP.  |          |           |           |  |
| 10.9***  | Amended and Restated Gas Processing Agreement between Springfield Pipeline LLC and Marlin Midstream,DRS/A LLC, effective as of January 1, 2008.                  | 10.10    | 6/10/2013 | 377-00170 |  |
| 10.10*** | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Gas Processing Agreement between Springfield Pipeline LLC DRS/A and Marlin Midstream, LLC, effective as of July 1, 2011. | 10.11    | 6/10/2013 | 377-00170 |  |
| 10.11*** | Term Purchase Contract between Enterprise Products Operating, LLC and Marlin Midstream, LLC, effective as DRS/A of May 1, 2010.                                  | 10.15    | 6/10/2013 | 377-00170 |  |
| 10.12*** | Gas Gathering and Processing Agreement dated as of July 31, 2013, between Associated Energy Services, LP and Marlin Midstream, LLC.                              | 8-K 10.3 | 8/5/2013  | 001-36018 |  |
| 10.13*** | Transloading Services Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2013, between Marlin Logistics, LLC and Associated Energy Services, LP.                                    | 8-K 10.4 | 8/5/2013  | 001-36018 |  |
| 10.14*** | Transloading Services Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2013, between Marlin Logistics, LLC and Associated Energy Services, LP.                                    | 8-K 10.5 | 8/5/2013  | 001-36018 |  |
| 10.15*** | Ladder Transloading Services Agreement, July 31, 2013, between Marlin Logistics, LLC and Associated Energy Services, LP.   | 8-K 10.6 | 8/5/2013  | 001-36018 |  |
| 21.1*    | List of Subsidiaries   |          |           |           |  |
| 23.1*    | Consent of KPMG LLP  |          |           |           |  |
| 31.1*    | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.   |          |           |           |  |
| 31.2*    | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.   |          |           |           |  |
| 32*      | Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.   |          |           |           |  |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document.  |          |           |           |  |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Schema Document.  |          |           |           |  |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Calculation Document.   |          |           |           |  |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Labels Linkbase Document.   |          |           |           |  |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document.   |          |           |           |  |
| 101.DEF* | XBRL Definition Linkbase Document.   |          |           |           |  |

\* Filed Herewith.

\*\*Compensatory plan or arrangement.

\*\*\* Confidential treatment has been granted for certain portions of this Exhibit pursuant to a confidential treatment order granted by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such portions have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Marlin Midstream Partners, LP  
By: Marlin Midstream GP, LLC  
its general partner

February 27, 2014

By: /s/ Amanda Bush  
Amanda Bush  
Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer  
and Principal Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

February 27, 2014

By: /s/ W. Keith Maxwell III  
W. Keith Maxwell III  
Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)  
and Director

February 27, 2014

By: /s/ Terry D. Jones  
Terry D. Jones  
Director, Executive Vice President and General Counsel

February 27, 2014

By: /s/ Amanda Bush  
Amanda Bush  
Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer  
and Principal Accounting Officer)

February 27, 2014

By: /s/ David C. Baggett  
David C. Baggett  
Director

February 27, 2014

By: /s/ Nick W. Evans, Jr.  
Nick W. Evans, Jr.  
Director

February 27, 2014

By: /s/ Charles W. Jenness  
Charles W. Jenness  
Director

February 27, 2014

By: /s/ James Lytal  
James Lytal  
Director



Edgar Filing: Marlin Midstream Partners, LP - Form 10-K

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description  | Incorporated by Reference |                |             |              |
|----------------|--|---------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
|                |  | Form                      | Exhibit Number | Filing Date | SEC File No. |
| 3.1            | Certificate of Limited Partnership of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP.   | DRS                       | 3.1            | 5/3/2013    | 377-00170    |
| 3.2            | First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP dated as of July 31, 2013 by and between Marlin Midstream GP, LLC and NuDevco Midstream Development.  | 8-K                       | 3.1            | 7/31/2013   | 001-36018    |
| 3.3            | Certificate of Formation of Marlin Midstream GP, LLC   | DRS                       | 3.3            | 5/3/2013    | 377-00170    |
| 3.4*           | First Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Marlin Midstream GP, LLC Credit Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2013, among Marlin Midstream Partners, LP, Marlin Midstream, LLC and Marlin Logistics, LLC, as co-borrowers, Société Générale, as Agent, Issuing Bank, Swing Line Bank and a Bank and SG Americas Securities, LLC, as Sole Lead Arranger and Sole Bookrunner.  | 8-K                       | 10.7           | 8/5/2013    | 001-36018    |
| 10.1           | Marlin Midstream Partners, LP 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan.   | 8-K                       | 10.1           | 7/31/2013   | 001-36018    |
| 10.3           | Form of Marlin Midstream Partners, LP 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan Phantom Unit Agreement. Contribution, Conveyance and Assumption Agreement dated as of July 31, 2013, by and among Marlin Midstream Partners, LP, Marlin Midstream GP, LLC, Marlin IDR Holdings, LLC, Marlin Midstream, LLC, Marlin Logistics, LLC, NuDevco Partners, LLC, NuDevco Partners Holdings, LLC, NuDevco Midstream Development, LLC, Spark Energy Ventures, LLC and W. Keith Maxwell III. | S-1/A                     | 10.3           | 7/3/2013    | 333-189645   |
| 10.4           | Omnibus Agreement dated as of July 31, 2013, by and among NuDevco Partners, LLC, NuDevco Partners Holdings, LLC, NuDevco Midstream Development, LLC, Marlin Midstream Partners, LP and Marlin Midstream GP, LLC.   | 8-K                       | 10.1           | 8/5/2013    | 001-36018    |
| 10.5           | Gas Gathering and Processing Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2012, between Marlin Midstream, LLC and Anadarko E&P Company LP.   | 8-K                       | 10.2           | 8/5/2013    | 001-36018    |
| 10.6***        | Gas Gathering and Processing Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2012, between Marlin G&P I, LLC and Anadarko E&P Company LP.   | S-1/A                     | 10.7           | 7/11/2013   | 333-189645   |
| 10.7***        | Amendment to Gas Gathering and Processing Agreement, effective as of September 1, 2012, between Marlin Midstream, LLC, Marlin G&P I, LLC and Anadarko E&P Company LP.  | DRS/A                     | 10.8           | 6/10/2013   | 377-00170    |
| 10.8***        |  | DRS/A                     | 10.9           | 6/10/2013   | 377-00170    |
| 10.9***        |  | DRS/A                     | 10.10          | 6/10/2013   | 377-00170    |

Edgar Filing: Marlin Midstream Partners, LP - Form 10-K

|          |  |       |       |           |           |
|----------|--|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| 10.10*** | Amended and Restated Gas Processing Agreement between Springfield Pipeline LLC and Marlin Midstream, LLC, effective as of January 1, 2008.<br>First Amendment to Amended and Restated Gas Processing Agreement between Springfield Pipeline LLC and Marlin Midstream, LLC, effective as of July 1, 2011. | DRS/A | 10.11 | 6/10/2013 | 377-00170 |
| 10.11*** | Term Purchase Contract between Enterprise Products Operating, LLC and Marlin Midstream, LLC, effective as of May 1, 2010.  | DRS/A | 10.15 | 6/10/2013 | 377-00170 |

- 118

---

|          |   |     |      |          |           |
|----------|---|-----|------|----------|-----------|
| 10.12*** | Gas Gathering and Processing Agreement dated as of July 31, 2013, between Associated Energy Services, LP and Marlin Midstream, LLC. | 8-K | 10.3 | 8/5/2013 | 001-36018 |
| 10.13*** | Transloading Services Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2013, between Marlin Logistics, LLC and Associated Energy Services, LP.       | 8-K | 10.4 | 8/5/2013 | 001-36018 |
| 10.14*** | Transloading Services Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2013, between Marlin Logistics, LLC and Associated Energy Services, LP.       | 8-K | 10.5 | 8/5/2013 | 001-36018 |
| 10.15*** | Ladder Transloading Services Agreement, July 31, 2013, between Marlin Logistics, LLC and Associated Energy Services, LP.            | 8-K | 10.6 | 8/5/2013 | 001-36018 |
| 21.1*    | List of Subsidiaries.   |     |      |          |           |
| 23.1*    | Consent of KPMG LLP.  |     |      |          |           |
| 31.1*    | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.                      |     |      |          |           |
| 31.2*    | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.                      |     |      |          |           |
| 32*      | Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.  |     |      |          |           |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document.   |     |      |          |           |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Schema Document.   |     |      |          |           |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Calculation Document.  |     |      |          |           |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Labels Linkbase Document.  |     |      |          |           |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document.  |     |      |          |           |
| 101.DEF* | XBRL Definition Linkbase Document.  |     |      |          |           |

\* Filed Herewith.

\*\*Compensatory plan or arrangement.

\*\*\* Confidential treatment has been granted for certain portions of this Exhibit pursuant to a confidential treatment order granted by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such portions have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.