

MGM Resorts International
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

**Commission File No. 001-10362
MGM Resorts International**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

88-0215232

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3600 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

(Address of principal executive offices Zip Code)
(702) 693-7120

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)
MGM MIRAGE

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated
filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting
company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act): Yes No

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

| Class | Outstanding at August 2, 2010 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value | 441,317,101 shares |

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL AND SUBSIDIARIES
FORM 10-Q
I N D E X

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u> | 1 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009</u> | 2 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 4-17 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 18-29 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 30 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 30 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 30 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 32 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 32-33 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 35 |
| <u>EX-3.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | |

Table of Contents**Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS***(In thousands, except share data)**(Unaudited)*

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,013,208 | \$ 2,056,207 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 363,031 | 368,474 |
| Inventories | 96,805 | 101,809 |
| Income tax receivable | 194,474 | 384,555 |
| Deferred income taxes | 34,901 | 38,487 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 89,537 | 103,969 |
| Total current assets | 1,791,956 | 3,053,501 |
| Property and equipment, net | 14,814,594 | 15,069,952 |
| Other assets | | |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates | 2,118,498 | 3,611,799 |
| Goodwill | 86,353 | 86,353 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 343,192 | 344,253 |
| Other long-term assets, net | 832,954 | 352,352 |
| Total other assets | 3,380,997 | 4,394,757 |
| | \$ 19,987,547 | \$ 22,518,210 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 117,463 | \$ 173,719 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | 1,079,824 |
| Accrued interest on long-term debt | 221,447 | 206,357 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 856,077 | 923,701 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,194,987 | 2,383,601 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,653,470 | 3,031,303 |
| Long-term debt | 13,046,639 | 12,976,037 |
| Other long-term obligations | 243,293 | 256,837 |

Commitments and contingencies (Note 4)**Stockholders equity**

| | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Common stock, \$.01 par value: authorized 600,000,000 shares; Issued and outstanding 441,314,885 and 441,222,251 shares | 4,413 | 4,412 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 3,457,200 | 3,497,425 |
| Retained earnings (accumulated deficit) | (609,685) | 370,532 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (2,770) | (1,937) |
| Total stockholders equity | 2,849,158 | 3,870,432 |
| | \$ 19,987,547 | \$ 22,518,210 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS***(In thousands, except per share amounts)
(Unaudited)*

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Casino | \$ 589,392 | \$ 625,570 | \$ 1,200,149 | \$ 1,290,297 |
| Rooms | 345,219 | 350,295 | 659,122 | 705,339 |
| Food and beverage | 360,217 | 357,859 | 676,373 | 696,256 |
| Entertainment | 123,935 | 123,373 | 240,617 | 241,430 |
| Retail | 51,062 | 54,311 | 94,951 | 102,260 |
| Other | 137,060 | 130,529 | 257,839 | 254,219 |
| Reimbursed costs | 90,361 | 13,273 | 183,684 | 26,956 |
| | 1,697,246 | 1,655,210 | 3,312,735 | 3,316,757 |
| Less: Promotional allowances | (159,551) | (161,055) | (317,648) | (323,807) |
| | 1,537,695 | 1,494,155 | 2,995,087 | 2,992,950 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Casino | 346,367 | 349,831 | 692,312 | 725,348 |
| Rooms | 108,009 | 106,147 | 208,755 | 216,974 |
| Food and beverage | 204,675 | 199,032 | 387,287 | 393,359 |
| Entertainment | 90,261 | 88,622 | 181,257 | 176,364 |
| Retail | 30,579 | 34,455 | 58,578 | 66,076 |
| Other | 84,127 | 72,222 | 162,154 | 142,345 |
| Reimbursed costs | 90,361 | 13,273 | 183,684 | 26,956 |
| General and administrative | 282,404 | 273,617 | 558,458 | 534,857 |
| Corporate expense | 31,950 | 43,006 | 56,828 | 67,367 |
| Preopening and start-up expenses | 537 | 9,410 | 4,031 | 17,481 |
| Property transactions, net | 1,126,282 | 3,248 | 1,126,971 | (191,877) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 164,766 | 174,368 | 327,900 | 351,226 |
| | 2,560,318 | 1,367,231 | 3,948,215 | 2,526,476 |
| Income (loss) from unconsolidated affiliates | (26,194) | 4,175 | (107,112) | 19,724 |
| Operating income (loss) | (1,048,817) | 131,099 | (1,060,240) | 486,198 |
| Non-operating income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest income | 876 | 6,296 | 1,642 | 10,678 |
| Interest expense, net | (291,169) | (201,287) | (555,344) | (372,923) |

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Non-operating items from unconsolidated affiliates | (31,574) | (12,314) | (54,924) | (23,445) |
| Other, net | 7,713 | (234,181) | 148,802 | (235,519) |
| | (314,154) | (441,486) | (459,824) | (621,209) |
| Loss before income taxes | (1,362,971) | (310,387) | (1,520,064) | (135,011) |
| Benefit for income taxes | 479,495 | 97,812 | 539,847 | 27,635 |
| Net loss | \$ (883,476) | \$ (212,575) | \$ (980,217) | \$ (107,376) |
| Loss per share of common stock | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (2.00) | \$ (0.60) | \$ (2.22) | \$ (0.34) |
| Diluted | \$ (2.00) | \$ (0.60) | \$ (2.22) | \$ (0.34) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

2

Table of Contents**MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS***(In thousands)**(Unaudited)*

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net loss | \$ (980,217) | \$ (107,376) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 327,900 | 351,226 |
| Amortization of debt discounts, premiums and issuance costs | 39,731 | 18,512 |
| (Gain) loss on retirement of long-term debt | (140,642) | 58,265 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 19,135 | 31,488 |
| Stock-based compensation | 17,557 | 17,756 |
| Business interruption insurance lost profits | | (15,115) |
| Property transactions, net | 1,126,971 | (191,877) |
| Convertible note investment impairment | | 175,690 |
| Loss from unconsolidated affiliates | 165,529 | 20,450 |
| Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates | 19,909 | 32,331 |
| Deferred income taxes | (349,177) | 117,561 |
| Change in current assets and liabilities | | |
| Accounts receivable | (15,316) | (18,697) |
| Inventories | 5,004 | 7,979 |
| Income taxes receivable and payable | 183,211 | (72,354) |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 14,432 | 3,684 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (88,691) | (52,889) |
| Business interruption insurance recoveries | | 16,391 |
| Other | 4,508 | (4,996) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 349,844 | 388,029 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Capital expenditures, net of construction payable | (79,095) | (93,514) |
| Proceeds from sale of Treasure Island, net | | 746,266 |
| Dispositions of property and equipment | 99 | 153 |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates | (302,000) | (757,731) |
| Property damage insurance recoveries | | 7,186 |
| Other | 14,810 | (4,656) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (366,186) | (102,296) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Net repayments under bank credit facilities maturities of 90 days or less | (3,112,807) | (2,671,119) |
| Borrowings under bank credit facilities maturities longer than 90 days | 5,122,565 | 6,661,492 |
| Repayments under bank credit facilities maturities longer than 90 days | (4,341,560) | (5,576,340) |
| Issuance of senior notes, net | 1,995,000 | 1,459,120 |

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Retirement of senior notes | (508,640) | (1,011,647) |
| Debt issuance costs | (98,531) | (99,991) |
| Issuance of common stock in public offering, net | | 1,103,737 |
| Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options | | 632 |
| Capped call transactions | (81,478) | |
| Payment of Detroit Economic Development Corporation bonds | | (49,393) |
| Other | (1,206) | (666) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (1,026,657) | (184,175) |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | |
| Net increase (decrease) for the period | (1,042,999) | 101,558 |
| Change in cash related to assets held for sale | | 14,154 |
| Balance, beginning of period | 2,056,207 | 295,644 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 1,013,208 | \$ 411,356 |
| Supplemental cash flow disclosures | | |
| Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized | \$ 500,523 | \$ 348,778 |
| Federal, state and foreign income taxes paid, net of refunds | (361,533) | (78,215) |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities | | |
| Increase (decrease) in investment in CityCenter related to change in completion guarantee liability | \$ 115,892 | \$ (141,000) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

Organization. MGM Resorts International (the Company) is a Delaware corporation, formerly named MGM MIRAGE. As of June 30, 2010, approximately 37% of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock were owned by Tracinda Corporation, a Nevada corporation wholly owned by Kirk Kerkorian. Tracinda Corporation has significant influence with respect to the election of directors and other matters, but it does not have the power to solely determine these matters. MGM Resorts International acts largely as a holding company and, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, owns and/or operates casino resorts.

The Company owns and operates the following casino resorts in Las Vegas, Nevada: Bellagio, MGM Grand Las Vegas, The Mirage, Mandalay Bay, Luxor, New York-New York, Monte Carlo, Excalibur, and Circus Circus Las Vegas. Operations at MGM Grand Las Vegas include management of The Signature at MGM Grand Las Vegas, a condominium-hotel consisting of three towers. Other Nevada operations include Circus Circus Reno, Gold Strike in Jean, and Railroad Pass in Henderson. The Company and its local partners own and operate MGM Grand Detroit in Detroit, Michigan. The Company also owns and operates two resorts in Mississippi: Beau Rivage in Biloxi and Gold Strike Tunica. The Company also owns Shadow Creek, an exclusive world-class golf course located approximately ten miles north of its Las Vegas Strip resorts, Primm Valley Golf Club at the California/Nevada state line and Fallen Oak golf course in Saucier, Mississippi. The Company completed the sale of Treasure Island casino resort in March 2009.

MGM Hospitality seeks to leverage the Company's management expertise and well-recognized brands through strategic partnerships and international expansion opportunities, both gaming and non-gaming, while focusing on international growth. The Company has entered into management agreements for casino and non-casino resorts throughout the world including developments located in the People's Republic of China, India, Egypt, Vietnam and the United Arab Emirates.

The Company owns 50% of CityCenter, located between Bellagio and Monte Carlo. The other 50% of CityCenter is owned by Infinity World Development Corp (Infinity World), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Dubai World, a Dubai, United Arab Emirates government decree entity. CityCenter consists of Aria, a 4,000-room casino resort; Mandarin Oriental Las Vegas, a 400-room non-gaming boutique hotel; Crystals, a 425,000 square foot retail district; and Vdara, a 1,495-room luxury condominium-hotel. In addition, CityCenter features residential units in the Residences at Mandarin Oriental 225 units and Veer approximately 670 units. Aria, Vdara, Mandarin Oriental and Crystals all opened in December 2009 and the residential units within CityCenter began the closing process in early 2010. The Company receives a management fee of 2% of gross revenues for the management of Aria and Vdara, and 5% of EBITDA (as defined in the agreements governing the Company's management of Aria and Vdara). In addition, the Company receives an annual fee of \$3 million for the management of Crystals.

The Company has 50% interests in MGM Grand Macau, Grand Victoria and Silver Legacy. Pansy Ho Chiu-King owns the other 50% of MGM Grand Macau. Grand Victoria is a riverboat casino in Elgin, Illinois. An affiliate of Hyatt Gaming owns the other 50% of Grand Victoria and also operates the resort. Silver Legacy is located in Reno, adjacent to Circus Circus Reno, and the other 50% is owned by Eldorado LLC.

The Company also has a 50% economic interest in Borgata Hotel Casino Spa located on Renaissance Pointe in the Marina area of Atlantic City, New Jersey; the Company's interest is held in trust and currently offered for sale. Boyd Gaming Corporation owns the other 50% of Borgata and also operates the resort. See Note 2 for further discussion of Borgata.

The Company owns additional land adjacent to Borgata, a portion of which consists of common roads, landscaping and master plan improvements, and a portion of which was planned for a wholly-owned development, MGM Grand Atlantic City. As part of the settlement discussed in Note 2, the Company has agreed that an affiliate of the Company would withdraw its license application for this development.

Financial statement impact of the Monte Carlo fire. The Company maintains insurance for both property damage and business interruption relating to catastrophic events, such as the rooftop fire at Monte Carlo in January 2008. Business interruption insurance covers lost profits and other costs incurred during the closure period and up to six months following re-opening.

Table of Contents

Non-refundable insurance recoveries received in excess of the net book value of damaged assets, clean-up and demolition costs, and post-event costs are recognized as income in the period received or committed based on the Company's estimate of the total claim for property damage and business interruption compared to the recoveries received at that time. Gains on insurance recoveries related to business interruption are recorded within General and administrative expenses and gains related to property damage are recorded within Property transactions, net. Insurance recoveries related to business interruption are classified as operating cash flows and recoveries related to property damage are classified as investing cash flows in the statement of cash flows.

The Company settled its final claim with its insurance carriers related to the Monte Carlo fire in the first quarter of 2009 for a total of \$74 million. The pre-tax impact on the Company's statements of operations for the period ending June 30, 2009 related to such insurance recoveries included a \$15 million reduction of General and administrative expense and a \$7 million offset to Property transactions, net.

Fair value measurement. Fair value measurements affect the Company's accounting and impairment assessments of its long-lived assets, investments in unconsolidated affiliates, goodwill, and other intangibles. Fair value measurements also affect the Company's accounting for certain of its financial assets and liabilities. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date and is measured according to a hierarchy that includes: Level 1 inputs, such as quoted prices in an active market; Level 2 inputs, which are observable inputs for similar assets; or Level 3 inputs, which are unobservable inputs.

In connection with its accounting for the March 2010 amended and restated credit facility as discussed in Note 3, the Company estimated fair value of its senior credit facility using Level 1 inputs. The Company also uses Level 1 inputs for its long-term debt fair value disclosures. When assessing impairment of its investments in unconsolidated affiliates, the Company estimates such fair value using a discounted cash flow analysis utilizing Level 3 inputs, including market indicators of discount rates and terminal year capitalization rates.

Reimbursed expenses. The Company recognizes costs reimbursed pursuant to management services as revenue in the period it incurs the costs. Reimbursed costs relate mainly to the Company's management of CityCenter.

Recently issued accounting standards. Certain amendments to Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 810, Consolidation, became effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2010. Such amendments include changes to the quantitative approach to determine the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity (VIE). An enterprise must determine if its variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a VIE by evaluating whether 1) the enterprise has the power to direct activities of the VIE that have a significant effect on economic performance, and 2) the enterprise has an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The amendments to ASC 810 also require ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. The adoption of these amendments did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Basis of presentation. As permitted by the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's 2009 annual consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments which include only normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of June 30, 2010 and the results of its operations and cash flows for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The results of operations for such periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. Certain reclassifications, which have no effect on previously reported net income, have been made to the 2009 financial statements to conform to the 2010 presentation. The prior year reclassifications relate to the classification of reimbursed costs as separate financial statement line items, while in past periods these costs were recorded to Other revenues and expenses. The total amount reclassified to reimbursed costs revenue and expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$13 million and \$27 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**NOTE 2 INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES**

Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | |
| CityCenter Holdings, LLC CityCenter (50%) | \$ 1,500,629 | \$ 2,546,099 |
| Marina District Development Company Borgata (50%) | | 466,774 |
| Elgin Riverboat Resort Riverboat Casino Grand Victoria (50%) | 293,613 | 296,248 |
| MGM Grand Paradise Limited Macau (50%) | 284,669 | 258,465 |
| Circus and Eldorado Joint Venture Silver Legacy (50%) | 27,714 | 28,345 |
| Other | 11,873 | 15,868 |
| | \$ 2,118,498 | \$ 3,611,799 |

As discussed further below, the Company's investment in CityCenter decreased due to a \$1.12 billion impairment charge, the Company's share of impairment related to residential inventory at CityCenter, and the Company's share of CityCenter's operating losses. The Company's \$1.5 billion CityCenter investment and advances balance includes \$173 million related to completion guarantee payments to be repaid from condominium sale proceeds as discussed in Note 4.

As a result of the Company's ownership interest in Borgata being placed into a trust, as discussed below, the Company no longer has significant influence over Borgata; therefore, the Company discontinued the equity method of accounting for Borgata at the point the assets were placed in the trust, and accounts for its rights under the trust arrangement under the cost method of accounting. The Company also reclassified the carrying value of its investment related to Borgata to Other long-term assets, net in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010. Earnings and losses that relate to the investment that were previously accrued remain as a part of the carrying amount of the investment. Distributions received by the trust that do not exceed the Company's share of earnings are recognized currently in earnings. However, distributions to the trust that exceed the Company's share of earnings for such periods will be applied to reduce the carrying amount of its investment. In the second quarter of 2010, the trust received distributions from the joint venture of \$15 million, of which \$6 million was recorded as a reduction of the carrying value and \$9 million was recorded as Other, net non-operating income.

The Company recorded its share of the results of operations of unconsolidated affiliates as follows:

| For the periods ended June 30, | Three Months | | Six Months | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | | |
| Income (loss) from unconsolidated affiliates | \$(26,194) | \$ 4,175 | \$(107,112) | \$ 19,724 |
| Preopening and start-up expenses | | (8,848) | (3,493) | (16,729) |
| Non-operating items from unconsolidated affiliates | (31,574) | (12,314) | (54,924) | (23,445) |
| | \$(57,768) | \$ (16,987) | \$(165,529) | \$ (20,450) |

Included in income (loss) from unconsolidated affiliates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 is the Company's share of impairment charges relating to completed CityCenter residential inventory. Due to the completion of construction of the Mandarin Oriental residential inventory in the first quarter and completion of the Veer residential inventory in the second quarter, CityCenter is required to carry its residential inventory at the lower of its carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. CityCenter management determined the fair value less costs to sell was below carrying value and as a result recorded impairment charges for the Mandarin Oriental residential inventory in

the first quarter and the Veer residential inventory in the second quarter. Fair value of the residential inventory was determined using a discounted cash flow analysis based on management's current expectations of future cash flows. The key inputs in the discounted cash flow analysis included estimated sales prices of units currently under contract and new unit sales, the absorption rate over the sell-out period, and the discount rate. These analyses resulted in impairment charges of approximately \$171 million in the first quarter of 2010 and \$57 million in the second quarter of 2010. The Company recognized 50% of such impairment charges, resulting in pre-tax charges of approximately \$29 million and \$114 million in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

Borgata. In March 2010, the New Jersey Casino Control Commission (the CCC) approved the Company's settlement agreement with the New Jersey Division of Gaming Enforcement (the DGE) pursuant to which the Company placed its 50% ownership interest in the Borgata Hotel Casino & Spa and related leased land in Atlantic City into a divestiture trust. Following the transfer of these interests into trust, the Company ceased to be regulated by the CCC or the DGE, except as otherwise provided by the trust agreement and the settlement agreement. Boyd Gaming Corporation's (Boyd) 50% interest is not affected by the settlement.

Table of Contents

The terms of the settlement mandate the sale of the trust property within a 30-month period. During the first 18 months, the Company has the right to direct the trustee to sell the trust property, subject to approval of the CCC. If a sale is not concluded by that time, the trustee is responsible for selling the trust property during the following 12-month period. Prior to the consummation of the sale, the divestiture trust will retain any cash flows received in respect of the trust property, but will pay property taxes and other costs attributable to the trust property to the extent that minimum trust cash balances are maintained. The Company is the sole economic beneficiary of the trust and will be permitted to reapply for a New Jersey gaming license beginning 30 months after the completion of the sale of the trust assets.

In connection with the settlement agreement discussed above, the Company entered into an amendment to its joint venture agreement with Boyd to permit the transfer of its 50% ownership interest into the trust in connection with the Company's settlement agreement with the DGE. Boyd would receive a priority partnership distribution of approximately \$31 million (equal to the excess prior capital contributions by Boyd) upon successful refinancing of the Borgata credit facility. In addition, Boyd will receive a payment from the trust equal to the greater of \$10 million or 3% of the proceeds from the sale of the Company's 50% interest in Borgata.

The Company evaluates its investments in unconsolidated affiliates for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such investment may have experienced an other-than-temporary decline in value. Due to circumstances surrounding the Company's negotiations with the DGE, the Company reviewed the carrying value of its 50% investment in the Borgata joint venture at December 31, 2009. The Company did not record an impairment charge related to its investment in the Borgata at that time because the Company determined that the fair value of its 50% investment in the Borgata joint venture exceeded its carrying value. The Company does not expect the settlement agreement or amendments to the joint venture agreement to have a material adverse affect on the carrying value of the Company's investment.

In July 2010, the Company entered into an agreement to sell four long-term ground leases and their respective underlying real property parcels, approximately 11.3 acres, underlying the Borgata for \$73 million. The transaction is subject to customary closing conditions contained in the purchase and sale agreement, including approval by the New Jersey Casino Control Commission and the New Jersey Division of Gaming Enforcement. The Company expects the transaction to close by the fourth quarter of 2010 and expects to record a small gain on the sale.

CityCenter. In accordance with the CityCenter joint venture agreement, as amended, and the CityCenter bank credit facility, as amended, the Company has provided an unlimited completion and cost overrun guarantee, secured by its interests in the assets of Circus Circus Las Vegas and certain adjacent undeveloped land—see Note 4 for further discussion. The credit facility allows for the first \$250 million of net residential sales proceeds to be used to fund project costs which would otherwise be funded under the new completion guarantee. The joint venture agreement provides that the first \$494 million of available distributions must be distributed on a priority basis to Infinity World, with the next \$494 million of distributions made to the Company, and distributions shared equally thereafter.

At June 30, 2010, the Company reviewed its CityCenter investment for impairment using revised operating forecasts developed by CityCenter management late in the second quarter. Based on current and forecasted market conditions and because CityCenter's results of operations through June 30, 2010 were below previous forecasts, and the revised operating forecasts were lower than previous forecasts, management concluded it should review the carrying value of its investment. The Company's discounted cash flow analysis for CityCenter included estimated future cash inflows from operations, including residential sales, and estimated future cash outflows for capital expenditures. The analysis used an 11% discount rate and a long term growth rate of 4% related to forecasted cash flows for CityCenter's operating assets. Based on its analysis, the Company determined that the carrying value of its investment exceeded its fair value and therefore an impairment was indicated. The Company intends to and believes it will be able to retain its investment in CityCenter; however, due to the extent of the shortfall and the Company's assessment of the uncertainty of fully recovering its investment, the Company determined that the impairment was other-than-temporary and recorded an impairment charge of \$1.12 billion included in Property transactions, net.

In July 2010, the Company and Infinity World made capital contributions of \$32.5 million each. The Company's contribution was made through a reduction in its receivable from CityCenter. A portion of Infinity World's cash contribution was used to repay an additional portion of the amounts owed to the Company for costs paid by the

Company on behalf of the joint venture.

Table of Contents

Summary balance sheet information for CityCenter is provided below:

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | |
| Current assets | \$ 172,766 | \$ 234,383 |
| Property and other assets, net | 9,885,432 | 10,499,278 |
| Current liabilities | 641,911 | 983,419 |
| Long-term debt and other liabilities | 2,655,031 | 2,620,869 |
| Equity | 6,761,256 | 7,129,373 |

Summary results of operations for CityCenter are provided below:

| For the periods ended June 30, | Three Months | | Six Months | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | | |
| Net revenues | \$ 400,685 | \$ 290 | \$ 660,547 | \$ 2,648 |
| Operating expenses, except preopening expenses | (528,697) | (4,301) | (1,037,766) | (8,390) |
| Preopening and start-up expenses | | (17,350) | (6,202) | (31,828) |
| Operating loss | (128,012) | (21,361) | (383,421) | (37,570) |
| Other non-operating expense | (58,385) | (3,291) | (113,446) | (7,152) |
| Net loss | \$ (186,397) | \$ (24,652) | \$ (496,867) | \$ (44,722) |

NOTE 3 LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | |
| Senior credit facility: | | |
| Term loans, net | \$ 2,560,216 | \$ 2,119,037 |
| Revolving loans | 450,000 | 3,392,806 |
| \$297 million 9.375% senior subordinated notes, repaid in 2010 | | 298,135 |
| \$645.8 million 8.5% senior notes, due 2010, net | 645,775 | 781,689 |
| \$325.5 million 8.375% senior subordinated notes, due 2011 | 325,470 | 400,000 |
| \$128.7 million 6.375% senior notes, due 2011, net | 129,034 | 129,156 |
| \$544.7 million 6.75% senior notes, due 2012 | 544,650 | 544,650 |
| \$484.2 million 6.75% senior notes, due 2013 | 484,226 | 484,226 |
| \$150 million 7.625% senior subordinated debentures, due 2013, net | 152,785 | 153,190 |
| \$750 million 13% senior secured notes, due 2013, net | 711,434 | 707,144 |
| \$508.9 million 5.875% senior notes, due 2014, net | 507,767 | 507,613 |
| \$650 million 10.375% senior secured notes, due 2014, net | 634,978 | 633,463 |
| \$875 million 6.625% senior notes, due 2015, net | 878,004 | 878,253 |
| \$1,150 million 4.25% convertible senior notes, due 2015 | 1,150,000 | |
| \$242.9 million 6.875% senior notes, due 2016 | 242,900 | 242,900 |
| \$732.7 million 7.5% senior notes, due 2016 | 732,749 | 732,749 |
| \$743 million 7.625% senior notes, due 2017 | 743,000 | 743,000 |
| \$850 million 11.125% senior secured notes, due 2017, net | 829,311 | 828,438 |

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| \$475 million 11.375% senior notes, due 2018, net | 463,383 | 462,906 |
| \$845 million 9% senior secured notes, due 2020 | 845,000 | |
| Floating rate convertible senior debentures, due 2033 | 8,472 | 8,472 |
| \$0.6 million 7% debentures, due 2036, net | 573 | 573 |
| \$4.3 million 6.7% debentures, due 2096 | 4,265 | 4,265 |
| Other notes | 2,647 | 3,196 |
| | 13,046,639 | 14,055,861 |
| Less: Current portion | | (1,079,824) |
| | \$ 13,046,639 | \$ 12,976,037 |

As of June 30, 2010, long-term debt due within one year of the balance sheet date is classified as long-term because the Company has both the intent and ability to repay these amounts with available borrowings under the senior credit facility.

Table of Contents

Interest expense, net consisted of the following:

| For the periods ended June 30, | Three Months | | Six Months | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | | |
| Total interest incurred | \$ 291,169 | \$ 268,039 | \$ 555,344 | \$ 507,869 |
| Interest capitalized | | (66,752) | | (134,946) |
| | \$ 291,169 | \$ 201,287 | \$ 555,344 | \$ 372,923 |

Senior credit facility. The Company's senior credit facility was amended and restated in March 2010, and consists of approximately \$2.7 billion in term loans (of which approximately \$874 million must be repaid by October 3, 2011) and a \$2.0 billion revolving loan (of which approximately \$302 million must be repaid by October 3, 2011). The Company had approximately \$1.5 billion of available borrowing capacity under its senior credit facility at June 30, 2010.

Under the restated senior credit facility, loans and revolving commitments aggregating approximately \$3.6 billion (the extending loans) may be extended to February 21, 2014, provided that the non-extending loans are repaid and certain other conditions, including pro forma availability of a minimum of \$350 million under the revolving loan, are satisfied. The restated loan agreement allows the Company to issue unsecured debt, equity-linked securities and equity securities to refinance indebtedness maturing prior to October 3, 2011 and the \$1.2 billion portion of the obligations owed to non-extending lenders. After the extension of the senior credit facility, the Company may issue such securities to refinance indebtedness which matures prior to February 21, 2014. In each case (a) indebtedness issued in amounts in excess of \$250 million over such interim maturities requires ratable prepayment of the credit facilities in an amount equal to 50% of the net cash proceeds of such excess, and (b) equity issued in amounts in excess of \$500 million over such interim maturities require ratable prepayment of the credit facilities in an amount equal to 50% of the net cash proceeds of such excess.

The Company accounted for the modification related to the extending term loans as an extinguishment of debt because the applicable cash flows under the extended term loans are more than 10% different from the applicable cash flows under the previous loans. Therefore, the extended term loans were recorded at fair value resulting in a \$181 million gain and a discount of \$181 million to be amortized to interest expense over the term of the extended term loans. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company had \$10 million of interest related to the amortization of these loans. Fair value of the term loans was based on estimates based on trading prices immediately after the transaction. In addition, the Company wrote off \$15 million of existing debt issuance costs related to the previous term loans and had expense of \$22 million for new debt issuance costs incurred related to amounts paid to extending term loan lenders in connection with the modification. The Company also wrote off \$2 million of existing debt issuance costs related to the reduction in capacity under the non-extending revolving portion of the senior credit facility. In total, the Company recognized a net pre-tax gain on extinguishment of debt of \$142 million in Other, net non-operating income in the first quarter of 2010.

Interest on the senior credit facility is based on a LIBOR margin of 5.00% (or, in the case of the non-extending loans, 4.00%), with a LIBOR floor of 2.00%, and a base rate margin of 4.00% (or, in the case of the non-extending loans, 3.00%), with a base rate floor of 4.00%. The weighted average interest rate on outstanding borrowings under the senior credit facility at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was 6.7% and 6.0%, respectively.

At June 30, 2010, the Company was required under its senior credit facility to maintain a minimum trailing annual EBITDA (as defined) of \$1.0 billion. Additionally, the Company is limited to \$400 million of annual capital expenditures (as defined) during 2010. At June 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with the minimum EBITDA and maximum capital expenditures covenants.

Senior notes. During the second quarter of 2010 the company repurchased \$136 million principal amount of its 8.50% senior notes due 2010 and \$75 million principal amount of its 8.375% senior notes due 2011 essentially at par.

Table of Contents

In March 2010, the Company issued \$845 million of 9.00% senior secured notes due 2020 for net proceeds to the Company of \$826 million. The notes are secured by the equity interests and substantially all of the assets of MGM Grand Las Vegas and otherwise rank equally in right of payment with the Company's existing and future senior indebtedness. Upon the issuance of such notes, the holders of the Company's 13% senior notes due 2013 obtained an equal and ratable lien in all collateral securing these notes. The Company used the net proceeds from the senior note issuance to permanently repay approximately \$820 million of loans previously outstanding under its credit facility.

In February 2010, the Company repaid the \$297 million of outstanding principal amount of its 9.375% senior subordinated notes due 2010 at maturity.

Senior convertible notes. In April 2010, the Company issued \$1.15 billion of 4.25% convertible senior notes due 2015 for net proceeds to the Company of \$1.12 billion. The notes are general unsecured obligations of the Company and rank equally in right of payment with the Company's other existing senior unsecured indebtedness. The Company used the net proceeds from the senior convertible note issuance to temporarily repay amounts outstanding under its senior credit facility.

The notes are convertible at an initial conversion rate of approximately 53.83 shares of the Company's common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the notes, representing an initial conversion price of approximately \$18.58 per share of the Company's common stock. The initial conversion rate was determined based on the closing trading price of the Company's common stock on the date of the transaction, plus a 27.5% premium. The terms of the notes do not provide for any beneficial conversion features.

In connection with the offering, the Company entered into capped call transactions to reduce the potential dilution of the Company's stock upon conversion of the notes. The capped call transactions have a cap price equal to approximately \$21.86 per share. The Company paid approximately \$81 million for the capped call transactions, which is reflected as a decrease in Capital in excess of par value net of \$29 million of associated tax benefits.

Financial instruments that are indexed to an entity's own stock and are classified as stockholders' equity in an entity's statement of financial position are not considered within the scope of derivative instruments. The Company performed an evaluation of the embedded conversion option and capped call transactions, which included an analysis of contingent exercise provisions and settlement requirements, and determined that the embedded conversion option and capped call transactions are considered indexed to the Company's stock and would be classified as equity, and therefore are not accounted for as derivative instruments. Accordingly, the entire face amount of the notes was recorded as debt until converted or retired at maturity, and the capped call transactions were recorded within equity as described above.

Fair value of long-term debt. The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt at June 30, 2010 was approximately \$11.2 billion, compared to its book value of \$13.0 billion. At December 31, 2009, the estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt was approximately \$12.9 billion, compared to its book value of \$14.1 billion. The estimated fair value of the Company's senior and senior subordinated notes was based on quoted market prices; the fair value of the Company's senior credit facility was determined using estimates based on recent trading prices.

NOTE 4 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

CityCenter completion guarantee. As discussed in Note 2, the Company entered into a completion guarantee requiring an unlimited completion and cost overrun guarantee from the Company, secured by its interests in the assets of Circus Circus Las Vegas and certain adjacent undeveloped land. Also affecting the potential exposure under the completion guarantee is the ability to utilize up to \$250 million of net residential proceeds to fund construction costs, though the timing of receipt of such proceeds is uncertain.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company has funded \$302 million under the completion guarantee. The Company has recorded a receivable from CityCenter of \$173 million related to these amounts, which is net of residential proceeds received and used by CityCenter on construction expenditures. At June 30, 2010, the Company had a remaining estimated total net obligation under the completion guarantee of \$137 million which represents an estimated \$266 million for its total net obligation less \$129 million funded to date that is not subject to be refunded to the Company through residential proceeds. The Company believes that it is reasonably possible that its total net obligation may be up to \$330 million, which includes estimated litigation costs related to the resolution of disputes with contractors as to the final construction costs and reflects certain estimated offsets to the amounts claimed by the

contractors.

Table of Contents

CityCenter construction litigation. In March 2010, Perini Building Company, Inc., general contractor for the CityCenter development project (the Project), filed a lawsuit in the Eighth Judicial District Court for Clark County, State of Nevada, against MGM MIRAGE Design Group (a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company which was the original party to the Perini construction agreement) and certain direct or indirect subsidiaries of CityCenter Holdings, LLC (the CityCenter Owners). Perini asserts that the Project was substantially completed, but the defendants failed to pay Perini approximately \$490 million allegedly due and owing under the construction agreement for labor, equipment and materials expended on the Project. The complaint further charges the defendants with failure to provide timely and complete design documents, late delivery to Perini of design changes, mismanagement of the change order process, obstruction of Perini's ability to complete the Harmon Hotel & Spa component, and fraudulent inducement of Perini to compromise significantly amounts due for its general conditions. The complaint advances claims for breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, tortious breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, unjust enrichment and promissory estoppel, and fraud and intentional misrepresentation. Perini seeks compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

In April 2010, Perini served an amended complaint in this case which joins as defendants many owners of CityCenter residential condominium units (the Condo Owner Defendants), adds a count for foreclosure of Perini's recorded master mechanic's lien against the CityCenter property in the amount of approximately \$491 million, and asserts the priority of this mechanic's lien over the interests of the CityCenter Owners, the Condo Owner Defendants and the Project lenders in the CityCenter property.

The CityCenter Owners and the other defendants dispute Perini's allegations, and contend that the defendants are entitled to substantial amounts from Perini, including offsets against amounts claimed to be owed to Perini and its subcontractors and damages based on breach of their contractual and other duties to CityCenter, duplicative payment requests, non-conforming work, lack of proof of alleged work performance, defective work related to the Harmon Hotel & Spa component, property damage and Perini's failure to perform its obligations to pay Project subcontractors and to prevent filing of liens against the Project. The CityCenter Owners and the other defendants intend to vigorously assert and protect their interests in the lawsuit. The range of loss beyond the asserted amount or any gain the joint venture may realize related to the defendants' counterclaims cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

Other guarantees. The Company is party to various guarantee contracts in the normal course of business, which are generally supported by letters of credit issued by financial institutions. The Company's senior credit facility limits the amount of letters of credit that can be issued to \$250 million, and the amount of available borrowings under the senior credit facility is reduced by any outstanding letters of credit. At June 30, 2010, the Company had provided \$37 million of total letters of credit. Though not subject to a letter of credit, the Company has an agreement with the Nevada Gaming Control Board to maintain \$128 million of cash at the corporate level to support normal bankroll requirements at the Company's Nevada operations.

Other litigation. The Company is a party to various legal proceedings, most of which relate to routine matters incidental to its business. Management does not believe that the outcome of such proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 5 LOSS PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK

The weighted-average number of common and common equivalent shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share consisted of the following:

| For the periods ended June 30, | Three Months | | Six Months | |
|--|-----------------------|---------|------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | | |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding (used in the calculation of basic earnings per share) | 441,297 | 352,457 | 441,269 | 314,718 |
| Potential dilution from stock options and restricted stock | | | | |

Weighted-average common and common
equivalent shares
(used in the calculation of diluted earnings per
share)

| | | | |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 441,297 | 352,457 | 441,269 | 314,718 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|

11

Table of Contents

The Company had a loss from continuing operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Therefore, approximately 26.2 million shares for the 2010 three and six month periods and 26.5 million shares for the 2009 three and six month periods underlying outstanding stock-based awards were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share for these periods because to include these awards would be anti-dilutive. In addition, the effect of an assumed conversion of the Company's convertible senior notes due 2015 would be anti-dilutive.

NOTE 6 COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Comprehensive income (loss) consisted of the following:

| For the periods ended June 30, | Three Months | | Six Months | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (883,476) | \$ (212,575) | \$ (980,217) | \$ (107,376) |
| Valuation adjustment to M Resort convertible note, net of tax | | | | 962 |
| Currency translation adjustment | (763) | 193 | (763) | 822 |
| Reclassification of comprehensive income to earnings M Resort note | | 53,305 | | 53,305 |
| Other | | | (70) | 165 |
| | \$ (884,239) | \$ (159,077) | \$ (981,050) | \$ (52,122) |

NOTE 7 STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Activity under share-based payment plans. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had an aggregate of approximately 14 million shares of common stock available for grant as share-based awards under the Company's omnibus incentive plan. A summary of activity under the Company's share-based payment plans for the six months ended June 30, 2010 is presented below:

Stock options and stock appreciation rights (SARs)

| | Shares (000 s) | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2010 | 28,211 | \$23.17 |
| Granted | 138 | 13.00 |
| Exercised | (66) | 13.22 |
| Forfeited or expired | (3,022) | 22.23 |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2010 | 25,261 | 23.26 |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2010 | 17,416 | 26.72 |

As of June 30, 2010, there was a total of \$47 million of unamortized compensation related to stock options and stock appreciation rights expected to vest, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years.

Restricted stock units (RSUs)

| Shares | Weighted Average Grant-Date |
|--------|-----------------------------------|
|--------|-----------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | (000 s) | Fair Value |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Nonvested at January 1, 2010 | 1,080 | \$15.85 |
| Granted | | |
| Vested | (128) | 18.87 |
| Forfeited | (33) | 15.72 |
| Nonvested at June 30, 2010 | 919 | 15.44 |

As of June 30, 2010, there was a total of \$43 million of unamortized compensation related to RSUs which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years.

12

Table of Contents

The following table includes additional information related to stock options, SARs and RSUs:

| Six months ended June 30, | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | |
| Intrinsic value of share-based awards exercised or RSUs vested | \$ 1,766 | \$ 169 |
| Income tax benefit from share-based awards exercised or RSUs vested | 613 | 59 |
| Proceeds from stock option exercises | | 632 |

In 2009, the Company began to net settle stock option exercises, whereby shares of common stock are issued equivalent to the intrinsic value of the option less applicable taxes. Accordingly, the Company no longer receives proceeds from the exercise of stock options.

Recognition of compensation cost. Compensation cost was recognized as follows:

| For the periods ended June 30, | Three Months | | Six Months | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | | |
| Compensation cost | | | | |
| Stock options and SARS | \$ 4,223 | \$ 5,321 | \$ 10,020 | \$ 10,668 |
| RSUs | 4,964 | 5,225 | 10,126 | 10,324 |
| Total compensation cost | 9,187 | 10,546 | 20,146 | 20,992 |
| Less: CityCenter reimbursed costs | (1,185) | (1,503) | (2,589) | (3,192) |
| Less: Compensation cost capitalized | | (20) | | (44) |
| Compensation cost recognized as expense | 8,002 | 9,023 | 17,557 | 17,756 |
| Less: Related tax benefit | (2,781) | (3,120) | (6,106) | (6,137) |
| Compensation expense, net of tax benefit | \$ 5,221 | \$ 5,903 | \$ 11,451 | \$ 11,619 |

Compensation cost for SARs is based on the fair value of each award, measured by applying the Black-Scholes model on the date of grant, using the following weighted-average assumptions:

| For the periods ended June 30, | Three Months | | Six Months | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Expected volatility | 74% | 82% | 74% | 81% |
| Expected term | 4.8 years | 4.7 years | 4.8 years | 4.7 years |
| Expected dividend yield | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.7% | 2.4% | 1.8% | 2.4% |
| Forfeiture rate | 4.8% | 3.4% | 4.8% | 3.4% |
| Weighted-average fair value of options granted | \$7.88 | \$3.54 | \$7.80 | \$3.75 |

Expected volatility is based in part on historical volatility and in part on implied volatility based on traded options on the Company's stock. The expected term considers the contractual term of the option as well as historical exercise and forfeiture behavior. The risk-free interest rate is based on the rates in effect on the grant date for U.S. Treasury instruments with maturities matching the relevant expected term of the award.

NOTE 8 PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS, NET

Net property transactions consisted of the following:

| For the periods ended June 30, | Three Months | | Six Months | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | | |

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| CityCenter investment impairment charge | \$ 1,122,456 | \$ | \$ 1,122,456 | \$ |
| Insurance recoveries | | | | (7,186) |
| Gain on sale of TI | | 2,928 | | (187,442) |
| Other property transactions, net | 3,826 | 320 | 4,515 | 2,751 |
| | \$ 1,126,282 | \$ 3,248 | \$ 1,126,971 | \$ (191,877) |

See Note 2 for discussion of the CityCenter investment impairment charge.

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Intercompany accounts | (227,808) | 120,603 | 107,205 | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 3,031,303 | | | | 3,031,303 |
| Long-term debt | 11,929,050 | 596,987 | 450,000 | | 12,976,037 |
| Other long-term obligations | 195,380 | 60,867 | 590 | | 256,837 |
| Stockholders equity | 3,870,432 | 17,805,657 | 581,315 | (18,386,972) | 3,870,432 |
| | \$ 20,222,888 | \$ 19,510,894 | \$ 1,171,400 | \$ (18,386,972) | \$ 22,518,210 |

Table of Contents**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS INFORMATION**

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Elimination | Consolidated |
| | | | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | |
| Net revenues | \$ | \$ 1,397,986 | \$ 139,709 | \$ | \$ 1,537,695 |
| Equity in subsidiaries earnings | (1,080,285) | 24,099 | | 1,056,186 | |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Casino and hotel operations | 2,263 | 876,612 | 75,504 | | 954,379 |
| General and administrative | 2,182 | 255,437 | 24,785 | | 282,404 |
| Corporate expense | 4,865 | 27,625 | (540) | | 31,950 |
| Preopening and start-up expenses | | 537 | | | 537 |
| Property transactions, net | | 1,126,282 | | | 1,126,282 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 154,593 | 10,173 | | 164,766 |
| | 9,310 | 2,441,086 | 109,922 | | 2,560,318 |
| Income (loss) from unconsolidated affiliates | | (44,965) | 18,771 | | (26,194) |
| Operating income (loss) | (1,089,595) | (1,063,966) | 48,558 | 1,056,186 | (1,048,817) |
| Interest income (expense), net | (283,688) | 1,180 | (7,785) | | (290,293) |
| Other, net | (4,093) | (4,895) | (14,873) | | (23,861) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (1,377,376) | (1,067,681) | 25,900 | 1,056,186 | (1,362,971) |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes | 493,900 | (13,156) | (1,249) | | 479,495 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (883,476) | \$ (1,080,837) | \$ 24,651 | \$ 1,056,186 | \$ (883,476) |

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Elimination | Consolidated |
| | | | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | |
| Net revenues | \$ | \$ 1,358,557 | \$ 135,598 | \$ | \$ 1,494,155 |
| Equity in subsidiaries earnings | 117,075 | 8,103 | | (125,178) | |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Casino and hotel operations | 3,552 | 783,370 | 76,660 | | 863,582 |
| General and administrative | 2,167 | 248,094 | 23,356 | | 273,617 |
| Corporate expense | 16,393 | 29,026 | (2,413) | | 43,006 |
| Preopening and start-up expenses | | 9,410 | | | 9,410 |
| Property transactions, net | | 3,248 | | | 3,248 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 163,657 | 10,711 | | 174,368 |

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| | 22,112 | 1,236,805 | 108,314 | | 1,367,231 |
| Income (loss) from unconsolidated affiliates | | 9,249 | (5,074) | | 4,175 |
| Operating income (loss) | 94,963 | 139,104 | 22,210 | (125,178) | 131,099 |
| Interest income (expense), net | (200,912) | 12,055 | (6,134) | | (194,991) |
| Other, net | (209,745) | (30,000) | (6,750) | | (246,495) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (315,694) | 121,159 | 9,326 | (125,178) | (310,387) |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes | 103,119 | (4,084) | (1,223) | | 97,812 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (212,575) | \$ 117,075 | \$ 8,103 | \$ (125,178) | \$ (212,575) |

Table of Contents**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS INFORMATION**

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Elimination | Consolidated |
| | | | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | |
| Net revenues | \$ | \$ 2,709,008 | \$ 286,079 | \$ | \$ 2,995,087 |
| Equity in subsidiaries earnings | (1,123,509) | 64,654 | | 1,058,855 | |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Casino and hotel operations | 5,720 | 1,715,600 | 152,707 | | 1,874,027 |
| General and administrative | 4,631 | 502,679 | 51,148 | | 558,458 |
| Corporate expense | 8,514 | 49,731 | (1,417) | | 56,828 |
| Preopening and start-up expenses | | 4,031 | | | 4,031 |
| Property transactions, net | | 1,126,971 | | | 1,126,971 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 307,557 | 20,343 | | 327,900 |
| | 18,865 | 3,706,569 | 222,781 | | 3,948,215 |
| Income (loss) from unconsolidated affiliates | | (149,096) | 41,984 | | (107,112) |
| Operating income (loss) | (1,142,374) | (1,082,003) | 105,282 | 1,058,855 | (1,060,240) |
| Interest income (expense), net | (533,727) | (5,270) | (14,705) | | (553,702) |
| Other, net | 147,464 | (31,650) | (21,936) | | 93,878 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (1,528,637) | (1,118,923) | 68,641 | 1,058,855 | (1,520,064) |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes | 548,420 | (6,018) | (2,555) | | 539,847 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (980,217) | \$ (1,124,941) | \$ 66,086 | \$ 1,058,855 | \$ (980,217) |

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Elimination | Consolidated |
| | | | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | |
| Net revenues | \$ | \$ 2,713,634 | \$ 279,316 | \$ | \$ 2,992,950 |
| Equity in subsidiaries earnings | 438,998 | 23,150 | | (462,148) | |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Casino and hotel operations | 6,934 | 1,587,052 | 153,436 | | 1,747,422 |
| General and administrative | 4,033 | 483,860 | 46,964 | | 534,857 |
| Corporate expense | 24,427 | 45,341 | (2,401) | | 67,367 |
| Preopening and start-up expenses | | 17,481 | | | 17,481 |
| Property transactions, net | | (191,877) | | | (191,877) |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 329,800 | 21,426 | | 351,226 |

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| | 35,394 | 2,271,657 | 219,425 | | 2,526,476 |
| Income (loss) from unconsolidated affiliates | | 28,401 | (8,677) | | 19,724 |
| Operating income (loss) | 403,604 | 493,528 | 51,214 | (462,148) | 486,198 |
| Interest income (expense), net | (352,626) | | (9,619) | | (362,245) |
| Other, net | (196,801) | (46,206) | (15,957) | | (258,964) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (145,823) | 447,322 | 25,638 | (462,148) | (135,011) |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes | 38,447 | (8,324) | (2,488) | | 27,635 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (107,376) | \$ 438,998 | \$ 23,150 | \$ (462,148) | \$ (107,376) |

Table of Contents**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS INFORMATION**

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Elimination | Consolidated |
| | | | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ (58,908) | \$ 356,410 | \$ 52,342 | \$ | \$ 349,844 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures, net of construction payable | | (77,112) | (1,983) | | (79,095) |
| Dispositions of property and equipment | | 99 | | | 99 |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates | | (302,000) | | | (302,000) |
| Other | | 14,810 | | | 14,810 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (364,203) | (1,983) | | (366,186) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | | | |
| Repayments under bank credit facilities maturities of 90 days or less | (2,942,807) | | (170,000) | | (3,112,807) |
| Borrowings under bank credit facilities maturities longer than 90 days | 4,672,565 | | 450,000 | | 5,122,565 |
| Repayments under bank credit facilities maturities longer than 90 days | (4,061,560) | | (280,000) | | (4,341,560) |
| Issuance of senior notes, net | 1,995,000 | | | | 1,995,000 |
| Retirement of senior notes | (211,684) | (296,956) | | | (508,640) |
| Debt issuance costs | (98,531) | | | | (98,531) |
| Intercompany accounts | (193,999) | 245,673 | (51,674) | | |
| Capped call transactions | (81,478) | | | | (81,478) |
| Other | (539) | (633) | (34) | | (1,206) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (923,033) | (51,916) | (51,708) | | (1,026,657) |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Net decrease for the period | (981,941) | (59,709) | (1,349) | (1,042,999) |
| Balance, beginning of period | 1,718,616 | 263,386 | 74,205 | 2,056,207 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 736,675 | \$ 203,677 | \$ 72,856 | \$ 1,013,208 |

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Elimination | Consolidated |
|--|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ (261,115) | \$ 651,826 | \$ (2,682) | \$ | \$ 388,029 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures, net of construction payable | | (93,212) | (302) | | (93,514) |
| Proceeds from sale of Treasure Island, net | | 746,266 | | | 746,266 |
| Dispositions of property and equipment | | 153 | | | 153 |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates | | (753,033) | | (4,698) | (757,731) |
| Property damage insurance recoveries | | 7,186 | | | 7,186 |
| Other | | (4,656) | | | (4,656) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (97,296) | (302) | (4,698) | (102,296) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | | | |
| Repayments under bank credit facilities maturities of 90 days or less | (2,457,519) | | (213,600) | | (2,671,119) |
| Borrowings under bank credit facilities maturities longer than 90 days | 6,211,492 | | 450,000 | | 6,661,492 |
| Repayments under bank credit facilities maturities longer than 90 days | (5,386,340) | | (190,000) | | (5,576,340) |
| Issuance of senior notes, net | 1,459,120 | | | | 1,459,120 |
| Retirement of senior notes | (762,648) | (248,999) | | | (1,011,647) |
| Debt issuance costs | (99,991) | | | | (99,991) |
| Issuance of common stock in public offering, net | 1,103,737 | | | | 1,103,737 |
| Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options | 632 | | | | 632 |

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|------------|--------------|-----------|-------|------------|
| Intercompany accounts | 993,697 | (1,040,288) | 41,893 | 4,698 | |
| Payment of Detroit Economic Development Corporation bonds | | | (49,393) | | (49,393) |
| Other | | (635) | (31) | | (666) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 1,062,180 | (1,289,922) | 38,869 | 4,698 | (184,175) |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) for the period | 801,065 | (735,392) | 35,885 | | 101,558 |
| Change in cash related to assets held for sale | | 14,154 | | | 14,154 |
| Balance, beginning of period | (2,444) | 267,602 | 30,486 | | 295,644 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 798,621 | \$ (453,636) | \$ 66,371 | \$ | \$ 411,356 |

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

This management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations (MD&A), contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Please see Forward-Looking Statements for a discussion of the uncertainties, risks and assumptions that may cause our actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our historical financial statements and related notes thereto and the other disclosures contained elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and the audited combined financial statements and notes for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, which were included in our Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 26, 2010. The results of operations for the periods reflected herein are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for future periods. MGM Resorts International together with its subsidiaries may be referred to as we, us or our.

Executive Overview*General*

Our primary business is the ownership and operation of casino resorts, which includes offering gaming, hotel, dining, entertainment, retail and other resort amenities. Over half of our net revenue is derived from non-gaming activities, a higher percentage than many of our competitors, as our operating philosophy is to provide a complete resort experience for our guests, including non-gaming amenities that allow us to charge premium prices based on their quality. Our significant convention and meeting facilities allow us to maximize hotel occupancy and customer volumes during off-peak times such as mid-week or during traditionally slower leisure travel periods, which also leads to better labor utilization. We believe that we own several of the premier casino resorts in the world and have continually reinvested in our resorts to maintain our competitive advantage.

As a resort-based company, our operating results are highly dependent on the volume of customers and demand for our hotel rooms and other amenities, which in turn impacts the prices we can charge. We also generate a significant portion of our operating income from high-end gaming customers, which can cause variability in our results. Key performance indicators related to revenue are:

Gaming revenue indicators table games drop and slots handle (volume indicators); win or hold percentage, which is not fully controllable by us. Our normal table games win percentage is in the range of 18% to 22% of table games drop and our normal slots win percentage is in the range of 7% to 8% of slots handle; and

Hotel revenue indicators hotel occupancy (a volume indicator); average daily rate (ADR, a price indicator); revenue per available room (REVPAR, a summary measure of hotel results, combining ADR and occupancy rate).

Most of our revenue is essentially cash-based, through customers wagering with cash or paying for non-gaming services with cash or credit cards. Our resorts, like many in the industry, generate significant operating cash flow. Our industry is capital intensive and we rely heavily on the ability of our resorts to generate operating cash flow to repay debt financing, fund maintenance capital expenditures and provide excess cash for future development.

We generate a majority of our net revenues and operating income from our resorts in Las Vegas, Nevada, which exposes us to certain risks outside of our control, such as increased competition from new or expanded Las Vegas resorts, and from the expansion of gaming in California. We are also exposed to risks related to tourism and the general economy, including national and global economic conditions and terrorist attacks or other global events.

Our results of operations do not tend to be seasonal in nature, although a variety of factors may affect the results of any interim period, including the timing of major Las Vegas conventions, the amount and timing of marketing and special events for our high-end customers, and the level of play during major holidays, including New Year's and Chinese New Year. We market to different customer segments to manage our hotel occupancy, such as targeting large conventions to ensure mid-week occupancy. Our results do not depend on key individual customers, although our success in marketing to customer groups, such as convention customers, or the financial health of customer segments, such as business travelers or high-end gaming customers from a particular country or region, can affect our results.

Table of Contents

Affect of Economic Factors on Results of Operations

The state of the U.S. economy has negatively affected our results of operations over the past several years and we expect to continue to be affected by certain aspects of the current economic conditions, including, for example, high unemployment and the weak housing market in 2010. The decrease in liquidity in the credit markets which began in late 2007 and accelerated in late 2008 also significantly affected our results of operations and financial condition.

Uncertain economic conditions continue to affect our customers' spending levels. Travel and travel-related expenditures have been affected as businesses and consumers have altered their spending patterns which led to decreases in visitor volumes and customer spending. Businesses responded to the difficult economic conditions by reducing travel budgets. This factor, along with negative perceptions surrounding certain types of business travel, adversely affected convention attendance in Las Vegas in 2009 and 2010. Convention and catering customers cancelled or postponed a significant number of events occurring during 2009 and early 2010. Other conditions currently or recently present in the economic environment which tend to negatively affect our operating results include:

Weaknesses in employment and increases in unemployment;

Weak consumer confidence;

Weak housing market and significant declines in housing prices and related home equity; and

Decreases in airline capacity to Las Vegas.

Because of these economic conditions, we have increasingly focused on managing costs and continue to review all areas of operations for efficiencies. We continually manage staffing levels across all our resorts and have reduced our salaried management positions.

In addition, we suspended Company contributions to our 401(k) plan and our nonqualified deferred compensation plans in 2009; we rescinded cost of living increases for non-union employees in 2009; and we reached an agreement with our primary union to defer the 2009 contractual pay increase. We paid discretionary bonuses for 2009 in February 2010 and we have provided general salary increases to certain salaried employees in 2010. However, company matching contributions to our 401(k) plan and our nonqualified deferred compensation plans will remain frozen until such time as we believe it is prudent to reinstate these benefits.

Our results of operations are also affected by decisions we make related to our capital allocation, our access to capital, and our cost of capital—all of which are affected by the uncertain state of the global economy and the continued instability in the capital markets. For example, we will incur higher interest costs in connection with the amendments to our senior credit facility in 2009 and 2010. Also, our cost of debt has increased over the past few years. These factors may affect our ability to access future capital and cause future borrowings to carry higher interest rates.

Senior Credit Facility

Our senior credit facility was amended and restated in March 2010, and consists of approximately \$2.7 billion in term loans (of which approximately \$874 million must be repaid by October 3, 2011) and a \$2.0 billion revolving loan (of which approximately \$302 million must be repaid by October 3, 2011). Under the restated senior credit facility, loans and revolving commitments aggregating approximately \$3.6 billion (the extending loans) may be extended to February 21, 2014, provided that the non-extending loans are repaid and certain other conditions, including pro forma availability of a minimum of \$350 million under the revolving loan, are satisfied. The restated loan agreement allows us to issue unsecured debt, equity-linked securities and equity securities to refinance indebtedness maturing prior to October 3, 2011 and the \$1.2 billion portion of the obligations owed to non-extending lenders. After the extension of the senior credit facility, we may issue such securities to refinance indebtedness which matures prior to February 21, 2014. In each case (a) indebtedness issued in amounts in excess of \$250 million over such interim maturities requires ratable prepayment of the credit facilities in an amount equal to 50% of the net cash proceeds of such excess, and (b) equity issued in amounts in excess of \$500 million over such interim maturities require ratable prepayment of the credit facilities in an amount equal to 50% of the net cash proceeds of such excess.

We accounted for the modification related to the extending term loans as an extinguishment of debt because the applicable cash flows under the extended term loans are more than 10% different from the applicable cash flows under the previous loans. Therefore, the extended term loans were recorded at fair value resulting in a \$181 million gain and a discount of \$181 million to be amortized to interest expense over the term of the extended term loans. In the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, we had \$10 million of interest related to the amortization of these loans. Fair value of the term loans was based on estimates based on trading prices immediately after the transaction.

Table of Contents

In addition, we wrote-off \$15 million of existing debt issuance costs related to the previous term loans and had expense of \$22 million for new debt issuance costs incurred related to amounts paid to extending term loan lenders in connection with the modification. We also wrote off \$2 million of existing debt issuance costs related to the reduction in capacity under the non-extending revolving portion of the senior credit facility. In total, we recognized a net pre-tax gain on extinguishment of debt of \$142 million in Other, net non-operating income in the first quarter of 2010.

Issuance of 4.25% Convertible Senior Notes Due 2015.

In April 2010, we issued \$1.15 billion of 4.25% convertible senior notes due 2015 for net proceeds to us of \$1.12 billion. The notes are general unsecured obligations of ours and rank equally in right of payment with our other existing senior unsecured indebtedness. We used the net proceeds from the senior convertible note issuance to temporarily repay amounts outstanding under our senior credit facility.

The notes are convertible at an initial conversion rate of approximately 53.83 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the notes, representing an initial conversion price of approximately \$18.58 per share of our common stock. The initial conversion rate was determined based on the closing trading price of our common stock on the date of the transaction, plus a 27.5% premium. The terms of the notes do not provide for any beneficial conversion features.

In connection with the offering, we entered into capped call transactions to reduce the potential dilution of our stock upon conversion of the notes. The capped call transactions have a cap price equal to approximately \$21.86 per share. We paid approximately \$81 million for the capped call transactions, which is reflected as a decrease in Capital in excess of par value net of \$29 million of associated tax benefits.

Borgata

In March 2010, the New Jersey Casino Control Commission (the CCC) approved our settlement agreement with the New Jersey Division of Gaming Enforcement (the DGE) pursuant to which we placed our 50% ownership interest in the Borgata Hotel Casino & Spa and related leased land in Atlantic City into a divestiture trust. Following the transfer of these interests into trust, we ceased to be regulated by the CCC or the DGE, except as otherwise provided by the trust agreement and the settlement agreement. Boyd Gaming Corporation's (Boyd) 50% interest is not affected by the settlement.

The terms of the settlement mandate the sale of the trust property within a 30-month period. During the first 18 months, we have the right to direct the trustee to sell the trust property, subject to approval of the CCC. If a sale is not concluded by that time, the trustee is responsible for selling the trust property during the following 12-month period. Prior to the consummation of the sale, the divestiture trust will retain any cash flows received in respect of the trust property, but will pay property taxes and other costs attributable to the trust property to the extent that minimum trust cash balances are maintained. We are the sole economic beneficiary of the trust and will be permitted to reapply for a New Jersey gaming license beginning 30 months after the completion of the sale of the trust assets.

In connection with the settlement agreement discussed above, we entered into an amendment to our joint venture agreement with Boyd to permit the transfer of our 50% ownership interest into trust in connection with our settlement agreement with the DGE. Boyd would receive a priority partnership distribution of approximately \$31 million (equal to the excess prior capital contributions by Boyd) upon successful refinancing of the Borgata credit facility. In addition, Boyd will receive a payment from the trust equal to the greater of \$10 million or 3% of the proceeds from the sale of our 50% interest in Borgata.

As a result of our ownership interest in Borgata being placed into the trust, we no longer have significant influence over Borgata; therefore, we discontinued the equity method of accounting for Borgata at the point the assets were placed in the trust, and account for our rights under the trust arrangement under the cost method of accounting. We also reclassified the carrying value of our investment related to Borgata to Other long-term assets, net in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010. Earnings and losses that relate to the investment that were previously accrued remain as a part of the carrying amount of the investment. Distributions received by the trust that do not exceed our share of earnings are recognized currently in earnings. However, distributions to the trust that exceed our share of earnings for such periods will be applied to reduce the carrying amount of our investment. In the second quarter of 2010, the trust received distributions from the joint venture of \$15 million, of which \$6 million was recorded as a reduction of the carrying value and \$9 million was recorded as Other, net non-operating income.

Table of Contents

In July 2010, we entered into an agreement to sell four long-term ground leases and their respective underlying real property parcels, approximately 11.3 acres, underlying the Borgata for \$73 million. The transaction is subject to customary closing conditions contained in the purchase and sale agreement, including approval by the New Jersey Casino Control Commission and the New Jersey Division of Gaming Enforcement. We expect the transaction to close by the fourth quarter of this year and expect to record a small gain on the sale.

Impairments

A complete discussion of our critical accounting policies related to impairments of long-lived assets and investments in unconsolidated affiliates is included in our Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2009. We did not review any of our wholly-owned long-lived asset groups generally our operating resorts for impairment as of June 30, 2010 as we did not identify circumstances that existed that would indicate the carrying value of our long-lived assets may not be recoverable. Historically, the undiscounted cash flows of our long-lived assets have exceeded their carrying values by a substantial margin such that any recent decline in operating performance would not be indicative of a potential impairment. However, we did review the carrying value of our investment in CityCenter as discussed further below.

At June 30, 2010, we reviewed our CityCenter investment for impairment using revised operating forecasts developed by CityCenter management late in the second quarter. Because CityCenter's results of operations through June 30, 2010 were below previous forecasts, the fact that the revised operating forecasts were lower than previous forecasts, and based on current and forecasted market conditions, we concluded we should review the carrying value of our investment. Our discounted cash flow analysis for CityCenter included estimated future cash inflows from operations, including residential sales and estimated future cash outflows for capital expenditures. The analysis used an 11% discount rate and a long term growth rate of 4% related to forecasted cash flows for CityCenter's operating assets. Based on our analysis, we determined that the carrying value of our investment exceeded its fair value and therefore an impairment was indicated. We intend to and believe we will be able to retain our investment in CityCenter; however, due to the extent of the shortfall and our assessment of the uncertainty of fully recovering our investment, we determined that the impairment was other-than temporary and recorded an impairment charge of \$1.12 billion included in Property transactions, net.

In addition, due to the completion of construction of the Mandarin Oriental residential inventory in the first quarter and completion of the Veer residential inventory in the second quarter, CityCenter is required to carry its residential inventory at the lower of its carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. CityCenter management determined the fair value less costs to sell was below carrying value and as a result recorded impairment charges for the Mandarin Oriental in the first quarter and the Veer residential inventory in the second quarter. Fair value of the residential inventory was determined using a discounted cash flow analysis based on management's current expectations of future cash flows. The key inputs in the discounted cash flow analysis included estimated sales prices of units currently under contract and new unit sales, the absorption rate over the sell-out period, and the discount rate. These analyses resulted in impairment charges of approximately \$171 million in the first quarter of 2010 and \$57 million in the second quarter of 2010. We recognized 50% of such impairment charges, resulting in pre-tax charges of approximately \$29 million and \$114 million in the three and six month periods, respectively.

Reimbursed Costs

Reimbursed costs revenue represents reimbursement of costs, primarily payroll-related, incurred by us in connection with the provision of management services. We recognize costs reimbursed pursuant to management services as revenue in the period we incur the costs. Reimbursed costs related mainly to our management of CityCenter were \$90 million and \$13 million for the second quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$184 million and \$27 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Insurance Proceeds

We reached final settlement agreements for the Monte Carlo Fire in early 2009. In total, we received \$74 million of proceeds from our insurance carriers. We recognized the \$41 million of excess insurance recoveries in income in 2009 and 2008, with recoveries offsetting a write-down of \$4 million related to the net book value of damaged assets, demolition costs of \$7 million, and operating costs of \$21 million. In the 2009 first quarter, \$15 million and \$7 million of excess insurance recoveries were recognized as offsets to General and administrative expense and Property

transactions, net, respectively.

Results of Operations

The following discussion is based on our consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Certain results referenced in this section are on a same store basis excluding the results of Treasure Island, which we sold in March 2009.

Summary Financial Results

Our net revenue increased 3% for the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same quarter in the prior year, which included approximately \$90 million of reimbursed costs revenue in the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$13 million in the second quarter of 2009. Excluding reimbursed costs revenue, net revenue decreased 2%. Net revenue for the 2010 six month period was flat compared to the prior year and included approximately \$184 million of reimbursed costs in the current year compared to \$27 million in the prior year.

Table of Contents

On a same store basis, excluding reimbursed costs revenue, net revenue decreased 3% for the six month period. Revenues in the second quarter of 2010 were negatively affected by a decrease in company-wide table games hold percentage, which was near the low end of our normal range in the current year quarter. Revenues in general continue to be negatively affected by a reduction in consumer discretionary spending due to the weakened economy. See further discussion of revenue trends below in Operating Results Detailed Revenue Information.

Operating loss for the second quarter of 2010 was \$1.0 billion compared to operating income of \$131 million in the second quarter of 2009. The 2010 results were negatively affected by a \$1.12 billion impairment charge related to our investment in CityCenter and our share of a residential inventory impairment charge at CityCenter of approximately \$29 million, partially offset by \$28 million of income related to our share of forfeited residential deposits at CityCenter. The 2009 results were negatively affected by a \$12 million charge related to our postponed North Las Vegas Strip joint venture. Excluding the foregoing impairment charges and forfeited residential deposit income, preopening expenses and other property transactions, our operating income decreased 50% for the second quarter of 2010 with an operating margin of 5% in the current year quarter compared to 11% in the prior year quarter. These decreases are largely the result of our share of the operating losses at CityCenter.

For the six months, operating loss was \$1.1 billion compared to operating income of \$486 million in the prior year. The 2010 operating loss was negatively affected by the items discussed above and our share of an additional residential impairment charge at CityCenter in the first quarter. Our share of residential impairment charges for the six months totaled \$114 million, partially offset by our share of forfeited deposits of \$40 million. The 2009 results were positively affected by the pre-tax gain of \$187 million on Treasure Island and \$22 million of insurance recoveries related to the Monte Carlo fire. Excluding the impairment charges and forfeited residential deposit income, preopening expenses and other property transactions, operating income decreased 53% for the six months and operating margin was 5% in the current year compared to 10% in the prior year. These decreases are largely the result of our share of the operating losses at CityCenter.

Operating Results Detailed Revenue Information

The following table presents details of our net revenues:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | Percentage Change | 2009 | 2010 | Percentage Change | 2009 |
| | <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | | |
| Casino revenue, net: | | | | | | |
| Table games | \$ 192,338 | (11)% | \$ 215,193 | \$ 405,018 | (12)% | \$ 459,166 |
| Slots | 380,210 | (3)% | 391,069 | 756,817 | (4)% | 788,402 |
| Other | 16,844 | (13)% | 19,308 | 38,314 | (10)% | 42,729 |
| Casino revenue, net | 589,392 | (6)% | 625,570 | 1,200,149 | (7)% | 1,290,297 |
| Non-casino revenue: | | | | | | |
| Rooms | 345,219 | (1)% | 350,295 | 659,122 | (7)% | 705,339 |
| Food and beverage | 360,217 | 1% | 357,859 | 676,373 | (3)% | 696,256 |
| Entertainment, retail and other | 402,418 | 25% | 321,486 | 777,091 | 24% | 624,865 |
| Non-casino revenue | 1,107,854 | 8% | 1,029,640 | 2,112,586 | 4% | 2,026,460 |
| | 1,697,246 | 3% | 1,655,210 | 3,312,735 | 0% | 3,316,757 |
| Less: Promotional allowances | (159,551) | (1)% | (161,055) | (317,648) | (2)% | (323,807) |

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--------------|----|--------------|--------------|----|--------------|
| \$ 1,537,695 | 3% | \$ 1,494,155 | \$ 2,995,087 | 0% | \$ 2,992,950 |
|--------------|----|--------------|--------------|----|--------------|

Table games revenue decreased 11% for the second quarter and was negatively affected by a lower table games hold percentage approximately 100 basis points though still within the normal hold range. Total table games revenue was also affected by table games volume decreasing 4% for the quarter. Within table games, baccarat volume increased 10% while other table games volume decreased 7%. Slots revenue decreased 3% in the second quarter with a 7% decrease at our Las Vegas Strip resorts.

Table games revenue decreased 10% year to date on a same store basis, affected by a 110 basis point decrease in table games hold. Hold percentage in the 2010 and 2009 year to date period were both within our normal hold range. Table game volume including baccarat declined 2%, with baccarat volumes increasing by 14% year to date. Slots revenue was down 2% on a same store basis with a 6% decrease at our Las Vegas Strip resorts.

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

During the second quarter of 2010, we recorded \$9 million in Other, net related to income from Borgata, as discussed in Executive Overview Borgata. The prior year second quarter included a \$176 million impairment charge related to our M Resort LLC convertible note, as well as a \$58 million loss associated with retirement of long-term debt in connection with our May 2009 senior secured note issuance. Other, net for the six month period included a \$141 million gain on debt redemption in 2010, as well as the items noted above.

Table of Contents*Non-GAAP Measures*

Adjusted EBITDA is earnings before interest and other non-operating income (expense), taxes, depreciation and amortization, preopening and start-up expenses, and property transactions, net. Adjusted Property EBITDA is Adjusted EBITDA before corporate expense and stock compensation expense. Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Property EBITDA information is presented solely as a supplemental disclosure to reported GAAP measures because we believe that these measures are 1) widely used measures of operating performance in the gaming industry, and 2) a principal basis for valuation of gaming companies.

We believe that while items excluded from Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Property EBITDA may be recurring in nature and should not be disregarded in evaluation of our earnings performance, it is useful to exclude such items when analyzing current results and trends compared to other periods because these items can vary significantly depending on specific underlying transactions or events that may not be comparable between the periods being presented. Also, we believe excluded items may not relate specifically to current operating trends or be indicative of future results. For example, preopening and start-up expenses will be significantly different in periods when we are developing and constructing a major expansion project and dependent on where the current period lies within the development cycle, as well as the size and scope of the project(s). Property transactions, net includes normal recurring disposals and gains and losses on sales of assets related to specific assets within our resorts, but also includes gains or losses on sales of an entire operating resort or a group of resorts and impairment charges on entire asset groups or investments in unconsolidated affiliates, which may not be comparable period over period.

In addition, capital allocation, tax planning, financing and stock compensation awards are all managed at the corporate level. Therefore, we use Adjusted Property EBITDA as the primary measure of our operating resorts performance.

Adjusted EBITDA or Adjusted Property EBITDA should not be construed as an alternative to operating income or net income, as an indicator of our performance; or as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities, as a measure of liquidity; or as any other measure determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. We have significant uses of cash flows, including capital expenditures, interest payments, taxes and debt principal repayments, which are not reflected in Adjusted EBITDA. Also, other companies in the gaming and hospitality industries that report Adjusted EBITDA information may calculate Adjusted EBITDA in a different manner.

The following table presents a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net loss:

| | Three Months Ended June | | Six Months Ended June | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 30, | | 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | | |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 242,768 | \$ 318,125 | \$ 398,662 | \$ 663,028 |
| Preopening and start-up expenses | (537) | (9,410) | (4,031) | (17,481) |
| Property transactions, net | (1,126,282) | (3,248) | (1,126,971) | 191,877 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (164,766) | (174,368) | (327,900) | (351,226) |
| Operating income (loss) | (1,048,817) | 131,099 | (1,060,240) | 486,198 |
| Non-operating income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | (290,293) | (194,991) | (553,702) | (362,245) |
| Other, net | (23,861) | (246,495) | 93,878 | (258,964) |
| Loss before income taxes | (1,362,971) | (310,387) | (1,520,064) | (135,011) |
| Benefit for income taxes | 479,495 | 97,812 | 539,847 | 27,635 |

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net loss | \$ (883,476) | \$ (212,575) | \$ (980,217) | \$ (107,376) |
|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|

Adjusted EBITDA decreased 24% and 40% for the three and six month periods, respectively. Adjusted EBITDA for the second quarter of 2010 was negatively affected by our share of losses at CityCenter, which includes the residential impairment charge of approximately \$29 million, offset by \$28 million of income related to forfeited residential deposits. The prior year quarter was affected by the North Las Vegas Strip impairment charge of \$12 million. Adjusted EBITDA for the six month period of 2010 includes \$114 million related to our share of the residential impairment charges at CityCenter and \$40 million of income related to forfeited residential deposits at CityCenter. The 2009 year to date period includes the North Las Vegas Strip impairment charge and Monte Carlo insurance recoveries of \$15 million. Excluding these items, Adjusted EBITDA decreased 28% for the six month period.

Adjusted Property EBITDA for our wholly-owned resorts decreased 16% and 19% for the three and six month periods (on a same store basis). The decreases in Adjusted Property EBITDA were largely due to the factors discussed in Summary Financial Results and Affect of Economic Factors on Results of Operations.

Table of Contents

The following tables present reconciliations of operating income (loss) to Adjusted Property EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| | Operating Income (Loss) | Preopening and Start-up Expenses | Property Transactions, Net (In thousands) | Depreciation and Amortization | Adjusted EBITDA |
| Bellagio | \$ 33,267 | \$ | \$ 5 | \$ 24,041 | \$ 57,313 |
| MGM Grand Las Vegas | 32,896 | | | 19,211 | 52,107 |
| Mandalay Bay | 16,868 | | 659 | 22,815 | 40,342 |
| The Mirage | 3,612 | | (139) | 19,746 | 23,219 |
| Luxor | 7,134 | | (10) | 10,454 | 17,578 |
| New York-New York | 6,417 | | 6,081 | 7,053 | 19,551 |
| Excalibur | 12,565 | | | 5,845 | 18,410 |
| Monte Carlo | 3,426 | | | 6,233 | 9,659 |
| Circus Circus Las Vegas | 93 | | 225 | 5,213 | 5,531 |
| MGM Grand Detroit | 27,312 | | | 10,153 | 37,465 |
| Beau Rivage | 4,404 | | | 12,296 | 16,700 |
| Gold Strike Tunica | 7,375 | | (1,100) | 3,550 | 9,825 |
| Management operations | (7,274) | | | 3,570 | (3,704) |
| Other operations | (964) | 537 | 5 | 1,649 | 1,227 |
| Wholly-owned operations | 147,131 | 537 | 5,726 | 151,829 | 305,223 |
| CityCenter (50%) | (55,562) | | | | (55,562) |
| Macau (50%) | 18,694 | | | | 18,694 |
| Other unconsolidated resorts | 10,803 | | | | 10,803 |
| | 121,066 | 537 | 5,726 | 151,829 | 279,158 |
| Stock compensation | (8,002) | | | | (8,002) |
| Corporate | (1,161,881) | | 1,120,556 | 12,937 | (28,388) |
| | \$ (1,048,817) | \$ 537 | \$ 1,126,282 | \$ 164,766 | \$ 242,768 |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| | Operating Income (Loss) | Preopening and Start-up Expenses | Property Transactions, Net (In thousands) | Depreciation and Amortization | Adjusted EBITDA |
| Bellagio | \$ 47,292 | \$ | \$ | \$ 28,918 | \$ 76,210 |
| MGM Grand Las Vegas | 28,229 | | (9) | 23,730 | 51,950 |
| Mandalay Bay | 24,486 | 562 | (12) | 24,149 | 49,185 |
| The Mirage | 15,736 | | 57 | 16,440 | 32,233 |
| Luxor | 11,281 | | (6) | 10,179 | 21,454 |

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|
| New York-New York | 15,456 | | 237 | 7,462 | 23,155 |
| Excalibur | 15,382 | | 5 | 5,841 | 21,228 |
| Monte Carlo | 904 | | (4) | 5,535 | 6,435 |
| Circus Circus Las Vegas | 5,092 | | (111) | 5,846 | 10,827 |
| MGM Grand Detroit | 22,928 | | | 10,689 | 33,617 |
| Beau Rivage | 4,894 | | 157 | 12,239 | 17,290 |
| Gold Strike Tunica | 7,662 | | | 3,924 | 11,586 |
| Management operations | 1,581 | | | 2,466 | 4,047 |
| Other operations | 1,696 | | 6 | 1,523 | 3,225 |
| Wholly-owned operations | 202,619 | 562 | 320 | 158,941 | 362,442 |
| CityCenter (50%) | (10,680) | 8,675 | | | (2,005) |
| Macau (50%) | (5,106) | | | | (5,106) |
| Other unconsolidated resorts | 11,344 | 173 | | | 11,517 |
| | 198,177 | 9,410 | 320 | 158,941 | 366,848 |
| Stock compensation | (9,023) | | | | (9,023) |
| Corporate | (58,055) | | 2,928 | 15,427 | (39,700) |
| | \$ 131,099 | \$ 9,410 | \$ 3,248 | \$ 174,368 | \$ 318,125 |

Table of Contents

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| | Operating Income (Loss) | Preopening and Start-up Expenses | Property Transactions, Net (In thousands) | Depreciation and Amortization | Adjusted EBITDA |
| Bellagio | \$ 70,831 | \$ | \$ (107) | \$ 48,555 | \$ 119,279 |
| MGM Grand Las Vegas | 51,279 | | | 39,314 | 90,593 |
| Mandalay Bay | 18,735 | | 659 | 46,348 | 65,742 |
| The Mirage | 13,431 | | (139) | 35,352 | 48,644 |
| Luxor | 8,571 | | (10) | 21,780 | 30,341 |
| New York-New York | 17,430 | | 6,095 | 14,093 | 37,618 |
| Excalibur | 20,803 | | 784 | 11,690 | 33,277 |
| Monte Carlo | 3,882 | | | 12,226 | 16,108 |
| Circus Circus Las Vegas | (3,553) | | 225 | 10,552 | 7,224 |
| MGM Grand Detroit | 57,667 | | | 20,303 | 77,970 |
| Beau Rivage | 8,818 | | 3 | 24,582 | 33,403 |
| Gold Strike Tunica | 13,804 | | (1,100) | 7,182 | 19,886 |
| Management operations | (14,467) | | | 6,901 | (7,566) |
| Other operations | (3,493) | 537 | 5 | 3,090 | 139 |
| Wholly-owned operations | 263,738 | 537 | 6,415 | 301,968 | 572,658 |
| CityCenter (50%) | (177,667) | 3,494 | | | (174,173) |
| Macau (50%) | 41,793 | | | | 41,793 |
| Other unconsolidated resorts | 25,560 | | | | 25,560 |
| | 153,424 | 4,031 | 6,415 | 301,968 | 465,838 |
| Stock compensation | (17,557) | | | | (17,557) |
| Corporate | (1,196,107) | | 1,120,556 | 25,932 | (49,619) |
| | \$ (1,060,240) | \$ 4,031 | \$ 1,126,971 | \$ 327,900 | \$ 398,662 |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| | Operating Income (Loss) | Preopening and Start-up Expenses | Property Transactions, Net (In thousands) | Depreciation and Amortization | Adjusted EBITDA |
| Bellagio | \$ 86,430 | \$ | \$ 1,154 | \$ 56,876 | \$ 144,460 |
| MGM Grand Las Vegas | 48,388 | | 76 | 48,849 | 97,313 |
| Mandalay Bay | 43,132 | 752 | 3 | 47,950 | 91,837 |
| The Mirage | 28,790 | | 296 | 33,012 | 62,098 |
| Luxor | 19,758 | | 271 | 20,779 | 40,808 |
| Treasure Island | 12,730 | | (1) | | 12,729 |
| New York-New York | 28,774 | | 237 | 14,586 | 43,597 |

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Excalibur | 26,130 | | 2 | 11,832 | 37,964 |
| Monte Carlo | 24,206 | | (7,193) | 11,229 | 28,242 |
| Circus Circus Las Vegas | 5,503 | | (115) | 11,720 | 17,108 |
| MGM Grand Detroit | 52,769 | | | 21,400 | 74,169 |
| Beau Rivage | 10,320 | | 157 | 24,382 | 34,859 |
| Gold Strike Tunica | 16,862 | | | 8,569 | 25,431 |
| Management operations | 3,852 | | | 5,059 | 8,911 |
| Other operations | (1,369) | | 6 | 3,071 | 1,708 |
| Wholly-owned operations | 406,275 | 752 | (5,107) | 319,314 | 721,234 |
| CityCenter (50%) | (18,784) | 15,914 | | | (2,870) |
| Macau (50%) | (8,691) | | | | (8,691) |
| Other unconsolidated resorts | 30,870 | 815 | | | 31,685 |
| | 409,670 | 17,481 | (5,107) | 319,314 | 741,358 |
| Stock compensation | (17,757) | | | | (17,757) |
| Corporate | 94,285 | | (186,770) | 31,912 | (60,573) |
| | \$ 486,198 | \$ 17,481 | \$ (191,877) | \$ 351,226 | \$ 663,028 |

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources***Cash Flows Operating Activities*

Cash provided by operating activities was \$350 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$388 million in the prior year period. During the second quarter of 2010 we received a tax refund of approximately \$380 million. At June 30, 2010, we held cash and cash equivalents of \$1.0 billion.

Cash Flows Investing Activities

In the six months ended June 30, 2010, we paid \$302 million related to our completion guarantee for CityCenter, of which \$173 million is payable to us from CityCenter out of residential proceeds to be received. Capital expenditures of \$79 million in 2010 mainly relate to the purchase of an airplane as well as maintenance capital expenditures at various resorts.

During the six month period ended June 30, 2009, we received \$746 million of net proceeds from the sale of TI and invested \$642 million in CityCenter, excluding capitalized interest of \$100 million. Capital expenditures of \$94 million in 2009 were primarily maintenance capital expenditures and our portion of the construction costs related to the people mover connecting Monte Carlo and Bellagio to CityCenter.

Cash Flows Financing Activities

In the six months ended June 30, 2010, excluding the \$1.6 billion we repaid immediately after year end on our senior credit facility, we borrowed net debt of \$737 million. During 2010 we issued \$1.15 billion of 4.25% convertible senior notes due 2015 for net proceeds of \$1.12 billion and issued \$845 million of 9% senior secured notes due 2020 for net proceeds of \$826 million. We paid \$81 million for capped call transactions entered into in connection with the issuance of our convertible senior notes. In addition, we repaid the \$297 million outstanding principal amount of our 9.375% senior notes at maturity, and repurchased \$136 million principal amount of senior notes due 2010 and \$75 million principal amount of senior subordinated notes due 2011, essentially at par.

In the six months ended June 30, 2009, we repaid net debt of \$1.1 billion. In addition, pursuant to our development agreement, we repaid \$50 million of bonds issued by the Economic Development Corporation of the City of Detroit. In May 2009, we issued approximately 164.5 million shares of our common stock at \$7 per share, for total net proceeds to us of \$1.1 billion and issued \$650 million of 10.375% senior secured notes due 2014 and \$850 million of 11.125% senior secured notes due 2017.

Other Factors Affecting Liquidity

Senior notes payable within one year. We have \$646 million of principal of senior notes due September 2010 and \$325 million of principal of subordinated notes due February 2011. As of June 30, 2010, we had both the intent and ability to repay these amounts with available borrowings under the senior credit facility.

Borgata settlement. As discussed in Executive Overview Borgata, we entered into a settlement agreement with the DGE agreement under which we will sell our 50% ownership interest in Borgata and related leased land in Atlantic City. Prior to the consummation of the sale, the divestiture trust will retain any cash flows received in respect of the trust property, but will pay property taxes and other costs attributable to the trust property to the extent that minimum trust cash balances are maintained. We have received significant distributions from Borgata in the past few years, and not receiving such distributions until the ultimate sale could negatively affect our liquidity in interim periods.

CityCenter completion guarantee. In accordance with the CityCenter joint venture agreement, as amended, and the CityCenter bank credit facility, as amended, we have provided an unlimited completion and cost overrun guarantee, secured by our interests in the assets of Circus Circus Las Vegas and certain adjacent undeveloped land. The credit facility agreement also allows for the first \$250 million of net residential sales proceeds to be used to fund project costs which would otherwise be funded under the new completion guarantee. The joint venture agreement, as amended, provides that the first \$494 million of available distributions must be distributed on a priority basis to Infinity World, with the next \$494 million of distributions made to us, and distributions shared equally thereafter.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2010, we have funded \$302 million under the completion guarantee. We have recorded a receivable from CityCenter of \$173 million related to these amounts, which is net of residential proceeds received and used by CityCenter on construction expenditures. At June 30, 2010, we had a remaining estimated total net obligation under the completion guarantee of \$137 million which represents an estimated \$266 million for our total net obligation less \$129 million funded to date that is not subject to be refunded to us through residential proceeds. We believe that it is reasonably possible that our total net obligation may be up to \$330 million, which includes estimated litigation costs related to the resolution of disputes with contractors as to the final construction costs and reflects certain estimated offsets to the amounts claimed by the contractors.

CityCenter July 2010 capital call. We and Infinity World made capital contributions to CityCenter of \$32.5 million each in July 2010. Our contribution was made through a reduction in our receivable from CityCenter. A portion of Infinity World's cash contribution was used to repay an additional portion of the amounts owed to us for costs paid by us on behalf of the joint venture. If CityCenter is unable to generate sufficient cash flows to fund its future obligations, the joint venture may request additional capital contributions from the partners.

CityCenter credit facility. CityCenter's credit facility contains certain financial covenants including requiring CityCenter to maintain certain financial ratios commencing June 30, 2011. At that time, CityCenter will be required to maintain a maximum leverage ratio (debt to EBITDA, as defined) of 5.00:1, and maintain a minimum coverage ratio (EBITDA to interest charges, as defined) of 1.50:1. If CityCenter's operating results do not improve significantly or its outstanding debt is not reduced it will not meet such financial covenants. The Company can provide no assurance that CityCenter's operating results will improve, or that its outstanding debt will be reduced, or that amendments to its credit facility could be obtained if required.

The CityCenter credit facility also contains covenants limiting the maximum aggregate amount of mechanics liens filed against CityCenter. CityCenter obtained an amendment lasting through September 30, 2010 to the credit facility that allows for construction liens in an amount more than sufficient to cover non-duplicative liens that have been filed by CityCenter's contractors. CityCenter will be required to seek a further amendment to its credit facility if liens at September 30, 2010 remain at current levels. We can provide no assurance that additional mechanics liens will not be filed in the future, or that CityCenter will be able to resolve current outstanding liens prior to September 30, 2010, or that further amendments to its credit facility could be obtained if required.

CityCenter construction litigation. In March 2010, Perini Building Company, Inc., general contractor for the CityCenter development project (the Project), filed a lawsuit in the Eighth Judicial District Court for Clark County, State of Nevada, against MGM MIRAGE Design Group (a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company which was the original party to the Perini construction agreement) and certain direct or indirect subsidiaries of CityCenter Holdings, LLC (the CityCenter Owners). Perini asserts that the Project was substantially completed, but the defendants failed to pay Perini approximately \$490 million allegedly due and owing under the construction agreement for labor, equipment and materials expended on the Project. The complaint further charges the defendants with failure to provide timely and complete design documents, late delivery to Perini of design changes, mismanagement of the change order process, obstruction of Perini's ability to complete the Harmon Hotel & Spa component, and fraudulent inducement of Perini to compromise significantly amounts due for its general conditions. The complaint advances claims for breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, tortious breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, unjust enrichment and promissory estoppel, and fraud and intentional misrepresentation. Perini seeks compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

In April 2010, Perini served an amended complaint in this case which joins as defendants many owners of CityCenter residential condominium units (the Condo Owner Defendants), adds a count for foreclosure of Perini's recorded master mechanic's lien against the CityCenter property in the amount of approximately \$491 million, and asserts the priority of this mechanic's lien over the interests of the CityCenter Owners, the Condo Owner Defendants and the Project lenders in the CityCenter property.

The CityCenter Owners and the other defendants dispute Perini's allegations, and contend that the defendants are entitled to substantial amounts from Perini, including offsets against amounts claimed to be owed to Perini and its subcontractors and damages based on breach of their contractual and other duties to CityCenter, duplicative payment requests, non-conforming work, lack of proof of alleged work performance, defective work related to the Harmon

Hotel & Spa component, property damage and Perini's failure to perform its obligations to pay Project subcontractors and to prevent filing of liens against the Project. The CityCenter Owners and the other defendants intend to vigorously assert and protect their interests in the lawsuit. The range of loss beyond the asserted amount or any gain the joint venture may realize related to the defendants' counterclaims cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

Table of Contents**Market Risk**

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest rate risk associated with our variable rate long-term debt. We attempt to limit our exposure to interest rate risk by managing the mix of our long-term fixed rate borrowings and short-term borrowings under our bank credit facilities. A change in interest rates generally does not have an impact upon our future earnings and cash flow for fixed-rate debt instruments. As fixed-rate debt matures, however, and if additional debt is acquired to fund the debt repayment, future earnings and cash flow may be affected by changes in interest rates. This effect would be realized in the periods subsequent to the periods when the debt matures.

As of June 30, 2010, long-term variable rate borrowings represented approximately 24% of our total borrowings. Assuming a 100 basis-point increase in LIBOR over the 2% floor specified in our senior credit facility, our annual interest cost would change by approximately \$32 million based on gross amounts outstanding at June 30, 2010. The following table provides additional information about our gross long-term debt subject to changes in interest rates:

| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Debt maturing in, | | Thereafter | Total | Fair Value June 30, 2010 |
|-----------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------------------|---------|------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| | | | | 2013 | 2014 | | | |
| | | | | (In millions) | | | | |
| Fixed rate | \$ 647 | \$455 | \$ 545 | \$1,384 | \$1,159 | \$5,927 | \$10,117 | \$8,497 |
| Average interest rate | 8.5% | 7.8% | 6.8% | 10.2% | 8.4% | 8.7% | 8.7% | |
| Variable rate | \$ | \$941 | \$ | \$ | \$2,239 | \$ | \$ 3,180 | \$2,686 |
| Average interest rate | N/A | 6.0% | N/A | N/A | 7.0% | N/A | 6.7% | |

Forward-looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements can be identified by words such as anticipates, intends, plans, seeks, believes, estimates, expects, and similar references to future periods. Examples of forward-looking statements include but are not limited to, statements we make regarding our ability to generate significant cash flow, amounts that we expect to receive in federal tax refunds, amounts we will invest in capital expenditures, amounts we will pay under the CityCenter completion guarantee, amounts we receive from the sale of residential units at CityCenter and statements relating to future actions, business plans and prospects. The foregoing is not a complete list of all forward-looking statements we make.

Forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and assumptions regarding our business, the economy and other future conditions. Because forward-looking statements relate to the future, they are subject to inherent uncertainties, risks, and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict. Our actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements. They are neither statements of historical fact nor guarantees or assurances of future performance. Therefore, we caution you not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements include, among others, regional, national or global political, economic, business, competitive, market, and regulatory conditions and the following:

our substantial indebtedness and significant financial commitments and our ability to satisfy our obligations;

economic and credit market conditions and our ability to refinance our indebtedness and make planned capital expenditures;

restrictions in our senior credit facility and other senior indebtedness;

competition with other destination travel locations throughout the United States and the world;

the fact that several of our businesses are subject to extensive regulation;

disruption due to extreme weather conditions;

changes in energy prices;

our concentration of gaming resorts on the Las Vegas Strip;

leisure and business travel is susceptible to global geopolitical events, such as terrorism or acts of war;

investing through partnerships or joint ventures, including CityCenter and MGM Grand Macau;

disruptions in our plans for future construction;

the outcome of any ongoing and future litigation;

the fact that Tracinda Corporation owns a significant portion of our stock and may have interests that differ from the interests of our other shareholders; and

a significant portion of our labor force is covered by collective bargaining agreements.

Table of Contents

Any forward-looking statement made by us in this Form 10-Q speaks only as of the date on which it is made. Other factors or events that could cause our actual results to differ may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict or identify all such factors. Consequently, you should not consider the following to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise, except as may be required by law. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects in our Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K reports and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. This discussion is provided as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

You should also be aware that while we from time to time communicate with securities analysts, we do not disclose to them any material non-public information, internal forecasts or other confidential business information. Therefore, you should not assume that we agree with any statement or report issued by any analyst, irrespective of the content of the statement or report. To the extent that reports issued by securities analysts contain projections, forecasts or opinions, those reports are not our responsibility.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We incorporate by reference the information appearing under **Market Risk** in Part I, Item 2 of this Form 10-Q.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2010 to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations and to provide that such information is accumulated and communicated to management to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. This conclusion is based on an evaluation as required by Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act conducted under the supervision and participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer along with company management.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

For a complete description of the facts and circumstances surrounding material litigation we are a party to, see our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. There have been no significant developments in any of the cases disclosed in our Form 10-K in the six months ended June 30, 2010, except as follows:

CityCenter construction litigation. In March 2010, Perini Building Company, Inc., general contractor for the CityCenter development project (the **Project**), filed a lawsuit in the Eighth Judicial District Court for Clark County, State of Nevada, against MGM MIRAGE Design Group (a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company which was the original party to the Perini construction agreement) and certain direct or indirect subsidiaries of CityCenter Holdings, LLC (the **CityCenter Owners**). Perini asserts that the Project was substantially completed, but the defendants failed to pay Perini approximately \$490 million allegedly due and owing under the construction agreement for labor, equipment and materials expended on the Project. The complaint further charges the defendants with failure to provide timely and complete design documents, late delivery to Perini of design changes, mismanagement of the change order process, obstruction of Perini's ability to complete the Harmon Hotel & Spa component, and fraudulent inducement of Perini to compromise significantly amounts due for its general conditions. The complaint advances claims for breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, tortious breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, unjust enrichment and promissory estoppel, and fraud and intentional misrepresentation. Perini seeks compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

Table of Contents

In April 2010, Perini served an amended complaint in this case which joins as defendants many owners of CityCenter residential condominium units (the Condo Owner Defendants), adds a count for foreclosure of Perini's recorded master mechanic's lien against the CityCenter property in the amount of approximately \$491 million, and asserts the priority of this mechanic's lien over the interests of the CityCenter Owners, the Condo Owner Defendants and the Project lenders in the CityCenter property.

The CityCenter Owners and the other defendants dispute Perini's allegations, and contend that the defendants are entitled to substantial amounts from Perini, including offsets against amounts claimed to be owed to Perini and its subcontractors and damages based on breach of their contractual and other duties to CityCenter, duplicative payment requests, non-conforming work, lack of proof of alleged work performance, defective work related to the Harmon Hotel & Spa component, property damage and Perini's failure to perform its obligations to pay Project subcontractors and to prevent filing of liens against the Project. The CityCenter Owners and the other defendants intend to vigorously assert and protect their interests in the lawsuit. The range of loss beyond the asserted amount or any gain the joint venture may realize related to the defendants' counterclaims cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

Securities and derivative litigation. Sanjay Israni v. Robert H. Baldwin, et al. Filed September 25, 2009. Case No. CV-09-02914, Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County, Nevada. This purported shareholder derivative action against certain former and current directors and a Company officer alleges, among other things, breach of fiduciary duty by defendants' asserted insider selling and misappropriation of information; abuse of control; gross mismanagement; waste of corporate assets; unjust enrichment; and contribution and indemnification. MGM Resorts International is named as a nominal defendant. In May 2010, plaintiffs amended the complaint to, among other things, allege additional bases for their claims based upon the decision of the defendants' to approve our joint venture with Pansy Ho, MGM Grand Macau.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

A complete description of certain factors that may affect our future results and risk factors is set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. There have been no material changes to those factors in the six months ended June 30, 2010. We have provided a revised risk factor related to our joint venture investments below.

Investing through partnerships or joint ventures including CityCenter and MGM Grand Macau decreases our ability to manage risk. In addition to acquiring or developing hotels and resorts or acquiring companies that complement our business directly, we have from time to time invested, and expect to continue to invest, as a co-venturer. Joint venturers often have shared control over the operation of the joint venture assets. Therefore, the operation of a joint venture is subject to inherent risk due to the shared nature of the enterprise and the need to reach agreements on material matters. In addition, joint venture investments may involve risks such as the possibility that the co-venturer in an investment might become bankrupt or not have the financial resources to meet its obligations, or have economic or business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, or be in a position to take action contrary to our instructions or requests or contrary to our policies or objectives. Consequently, actions by a co-venturer might subject hotels and resorts owned by the joint venture to additional risk. Further, we may be unable to take action without the approval of our joint venture partners. Alternatively, our joint venture partners could take actions binding on the joint venture without our consent. Additionally, should a joint venture partner become bankrupt, we could become liable for our partner's or co-venturer's share of joint venture liabilities.

For instance, if CityCenter, 50% owned and managed by us, is unable to meet its financial commitments and we and our partners are unable to support future funding requirements, as necessary, or if CityCenter's \$1.8 billion senior secured credit facility is terminated for any reason, such event could have adverse financial consequences to us. Such credit facility contains certain financial covenants including requiring CityCenter to maintain certain financial ratios commencing June 30, 2011. At that time, CityCenter will be required to maintain a maximum leverage ratio (debt to EBITDA, as defined) of 5.00:1, and maintain a minimum coverage ratio (EBITDA to interest charges, as defined) of 1.50:1. If CityCenter's operating results do not improve significantly or its outstanding debt is not reduced it will not meet such financial covenants. The Company can provide no assurance that CityCenter's operating results will improve, or that its outstanding debt will be reduced, or that amendments to its credit facility could be obtained if required.

Table of Contents

The CityCenter credit facility also contains covenants limiting the maximum aggregate amount of mechanics liens filed against CityCenter. CityCenter obtained an amendment lasting through September 30, 2010 to the credit facility that allows for construction liens in an amount more than sufficient to cover non-duplicative liens that have been filed by CityCenter's contractors. CityCenter will be required to seek a further amendment to its credit facility if liens at September 30, 2010 remain at current levels. The Company can provide no assurance that additional mechanics liens will not be filed in the future, or that CityCenter will be able to resolve current outstanding liens prior to September 30, 2010, or that further amendments to its credit facility could be obtained if required.

In addition, in accordance with our joint venture agreement and the CityCenter credit facility, we provided a cost overrun guarantee which is secured by our interests in the assets of Circus Circus Las Vegas and certain adjacent undeveloped land.

Also, the operation of MGM Grand Macau, 50% owned by us, is subject to unique risks, including risks related to: (a) Macau's regulatory framework; (b) our ability to adapt to the different regulatory and gaming environment in Macau while remaining in compliance with the requirements of the gaming regulatory authorities in the jurisdictions in which we currently operate, as well as other applicable federal, state, or local laws in the United States and Macau; (c) potential political or economic instability; and (d) the extreme weather conditions in the region.

Furthermore, such operations in Macau or any future operations in which we may engage in any other foreign territories are subject to risk pertaining to international operations. These may include financial risks, such as foreign economy, adverse tax consequences, and inability to adequately enforce our rights. These may also include regulatory and political risks, such as foreign government regulations, general geopolitical risks such as political and economic instability, hostilities with neighboring countries, and changes in diplomatic and trade relationships.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Our share repurchases are only conducted under repurchase programs approved by our Board of Directors and publicly announced. We did not repurchase shares of our common stock during the quarter ended June 30, 2010. The maximum number of shares available for repurchase under our May 2008 repurchase program was 20 million as of June 30, 2010.

Item 6. Exhibits

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company.
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, effective June 15, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 15, 2010).
- 4.1 Indenture dated as of April 20, 2010, among the Company, as issuer, the subsidiary guarantors party thereto, and U.S. Bank National Association as Trustee with respect to \$1.15 billion aggregate principal amount of 4.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 16, 2010 (the April 22, 2010 8-K)).
- 10.1 Confirmation for Base Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 15, 2010, between the Company and Bank of America N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 10.2 Confirmation for Base Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 15, 2010, between the Company and Barclays Bank PLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 10.3 Confirmation for Base Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 15, 2010, between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, London Branch (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 10.4 Confirmation for Base Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 15, 2010, between the Company and Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).

Edgar Filing: MGM Resorts International - Form 10-Q

10.5 Confirmation for Additional Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 16, 2010, between the Company and Bank of America N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).

10.6 Confirmation for Additional Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 16, 2010, between the Company and Barclays Bank PLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).

Table of Contents

- 10.7 Confirmation for Additional Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 16, 2010, between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, London Branch (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 10.8 Confirmation for Additional Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 16, 2010, between the Company and Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 101* The following information from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2010 (unaudited) and December 31, 2009 (audited); (ii) Unaudited Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009; (iii) Unaudited Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009; and (iv) Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (tagged as blocks of text).

* This exhibit is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for the purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MGM Resorts International

Date: August 6, 2010

By: /s/ JAMES J. MURREN

James J. Murren

Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and
President (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 6, 2010

/s/ DANIEL J. D ARRIGO

Daniel J. D Arrigo

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and
Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer)

34

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company.
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, effective June 15, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 15, 2010).
- 4.1 Indenture dated as of April 20, 2010, among the Company, as issuer, the subsidiary guarantors party thereto, and U.S. Bank National Association as Trustee with respect to \$1.15 billion aggregate principal amount of 4.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 16, 2010 (the "April 22, 2010 8-K")).
- 10.1 Confirmation for Base Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 15, 2010, between the Company and Bank of America N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 10.2 Confirmation for Base Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 15, 2010, between the Company and Barclays Bank PLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 10.3 Confirmation for Base Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 15, 2010, between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, London Branch (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 10.4 Confirmation for Base Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 15, 2010, between the Company and Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 10.5 Confirmation for Additional Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 16, 2010, between the Company and Bank of America N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 10.6 Confirmation for Additional Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 16, 2010, between the Company and Barclays Bank PLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 10.7 Confirmation for Additional Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 16, 2010, between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, London Branch (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 10.8 Confirmation for Additional Capped Call Transaction, dated as of April 16, 2010, between the Company and Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the April 22, 2010 8-K).
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 101* The following information from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2010 (unaudited) and December 31, 2009 (audited); (ii) Unaudited Statements of Operations for the

three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009; (iii) Unaudited Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009; and (iv) Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (tagged as blocks of text).

* This exhibit is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for the purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.