Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. Form 10-Q May 15, 2006

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

#### Washington, D.C. 20549

#### **FORM 10-Q**

**DESCRIPTION 13 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934** 

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

COMMISSION FILE NO. 001-32536 COLUMBIA EQUITY TRUST, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

20-1978579 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

1750 H Street, N.W., Suite 500, Washington, D.C. (Address of principal executive office)

20006 (Zip code)

(202) 303-3080

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and larger accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer b Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

As of May 12, 2006, 13,863,334 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001, were outstanding.

2

#### COLUMBIA EQUITY TRUST, INC. FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1. Financial Statements.	3
Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2006 (unaudited) and December 31, 2005 for Columbia Equity Trust, Inc.  Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2006 (unaudited) for Columbia Equity	4
Trust, Inc. and for the three months ended March 31, 2005 (unaudited) for Combined Columbia Predecessor Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2006 (unaudited) for Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. and for the three months ended March 31, 2005 (unaudited) for Combined Columbia	5
Predecessor	6
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	22
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	37
Item 4. Controls and Procedures.	37
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1A. Risk Factors.	39
Item 6. Exhibits.	39
SIGNATURES	41
EXHIBIT INDEX 2	42

#### PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### **Item 1. Financial Statements**

Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. (the Company ) completed its initial public offering of common stock (the IPO ) on July 5, 2005. The IPO resulted in the sale of 13,800,000 shares of common stock (including 1.8 million shares sold to the underwriters to cover over-allotments) at a price per share of \$15.00, generating gross proceeds to the Company of \$207 million. The aggregate proceeds to the Company, net of underwriters discounts, commissions, financial advisory fees and other offering costs were approximately \$188.5 million.

The financial statements included in this report as of December 31, 2005 and March 31, 2006 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 represent the results of operations and financial condition of the Company. The financial statements included in this report for the three months ended March 31, 2005 represent the results of operations of Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. Predecessor (Columbia Predecessor) prior to the completion of the Company is IPO and various formation transactions. We do not believe that the comparison of the Company is results of operations to those of Columbia Predecessor, which do not reflect the Company is IPO and the formation transactions, is meaningful or indicative of our future operating results as a publicly-held company.

Columbia Predecessor ceased to exist as a reporting entity effective with the completion of the IPO and the formation transactions. Columbia Predecessor was not a legal entity but rather a combination of real estate entities under common ownership and management, as described in more detail in Note 1 to the financial statements.

## COLUMBIA EQUITY TRUST, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	March 31, 2006 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2005
Assets		
Rental property		
Land	\$ 25,040,819	\$ 19,300,819
Buildings	140,658,743	120,509,954
Tenant improvements	29,507,785	24,377,997
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	1,062,094	1,088,989
	196,269,441	165,277,759
Accumulated depreciation	(4,893,370)	(2,805,222)
Total rental property, net	191,376,071	162,472,537
Cash and cash equivalents	9,746,733	8,149,634
Restricted deposits	346,581	256,356
Accounts and other receivables, net of reserves for doubtful accounts of		
\$61,124 and \$39,401, respectively	1,555,714	1,039,510
Investments in unconsolidated real estate entities	41,339,516	42,308,003
Accrued straight-line rents	1,022,870	524,258
Deferred leasing costs, net	737,290	490,609
Deferred financing costs, net	973,350	955,129
Intangible assets	2 646 195	2 610 452
Above market leases, net	3,646,185	3,610,453
In-place leases, net Tenant relationships, net	18,853,572 7,193,342	15,813,098 6,387,594
Prepaid expenses and other assets	954,460	1,323,308
riepalu expenses and other assets	934,400	1,323,308
Total assets	\$ 277,745,684	\$ 243,330,489
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Liabilities		
Revolving loan payable	\$ 10,250,000	\$ 22,000,000
Mortgage notes payable	74,122,093	27,358,998
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	3,137,545	2,252,575
Dividends payable	2,079,500	1,940,867
Security deposits	1,242,117	945,158
Rent received in advance	1,008,251	758,265
Deferred credits Below market leases, net	2,468,522	1,593,812
Other liabilities	92,839	
Total liabilities	94,400,867	56,849,675
Commitments and contingencies		

Minority interest	14,160,891	14,205,638
Stockholders equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized in 2006		
and 2005, no shares issued or outstanding in either period		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 500,000,000 shares authorized and		
13,863,334 shares issued and outstanding in 2006 and 2005	13,863	13,863
Additional paid-in capital	178,366,298	178,366,298
Cumulative dividends in excess of net income	(9,196,235)	(6,104,985)
Total stockholders equity	169,183,926	172,275,176
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 277,745,684	\$ 243,330,489
See accompanying notes to financial stater 4	ments.	

# COLUMBIA EQUITY TRUST, INC. AND COLUMBIA EQUITY TRUST, INC. PREDECESSOR CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Consolidated  Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 (Unaudited)	Combined Columbia Predecessor for the Three Months  Ended March 31, 2005 (Unaudited)
Revenues	Φ (102.001	Ф
Base rents	\$ 6,193,981	\$
Recoveries from tenants	309,297 404,348	622.250
Fee income, primarily from related parties	136,209	622,359
Parking and other income	130,209	
Total revenues	7,043,835	622,359
Operating expenses		
Property operating	1,141,194	
Utilities	532,184	
Real estate taxes and insurance	656,837	
General and administrative	829,623	378,974
Share-based compensation cost	234,750	
Depreciation and amortization	3,458,389	3,003
Total operating expenses	6,852,977	381,977
Operating income	190,858	240,382
Other income and expense		
Interest income	43,335	5,332
Interest expense	(1,151,974)	(2,250)
(Loss) income before income taxes, equity in net (loss) income of		
unconsolidated real estate entities and minority interest	(917,781)	243,464
Equity in net (loss) income of unconsolidated real estate entities Minority interest	(135,970) 75,501	102,917
(Loss) income before income taxes	(978,250)	346,381
Provision for income taxes	33,500	34,061

Net (loss) income	\$	(1,011,750)	\$ 312,320
Net loss per common share Basic and diluted	\$	(0.07)	
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding diluted	Basic and	13,863,334	
See accompanying no	otes to financial sta	tements.	

# COLUMBIA EQUITY TRUST, INC. AND COLUMBIA EQUITY TRUST, INC. PREDECESSOR CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Consolida Columbia E Trust, Inc. fo Three Mon Ended March 31, 1 (Unaudite		Co Pred Thr Marc	ombined columbia ecessor for the ee Months Ended ch 31, 2005 naudited)
Cash flows from operating activities	(0	mauditeu)	(01	iaudited)
Net (loss) income	\$	(1,011,750)	\$	312,320
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by	Ψ	(1,011,750)	Ψ	312,320
(used in) operating activities				
Minority interest		(75,501)		
Equity in net income of unconsolidated real estate entities		135,970		(102,917)
Compensation cost related to LTIP units		191,250		(102,517)
Distributions received from earnings of unconsolidated real estate		171,230		
entities		159,486		19,055
Depreciation and amortization		3,458,389		3,003
Amortization of above and below market leases		89,035		2,003
Amortization of deferred financing costs		107,174		
Provision for doubtful accounts		21,724		
Changes in assets and liabilities		,		
Accounts and other receivables		(537,928)		(23,198)
Accrued straight-line rents		(498,611)		, , ,
Deferred leasing costs		(271,299)		
Deferred offering costs		, , ,		(1,243,306)
Prepaid expenses and other assets		(26,138)		(500,111)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		692,378		1,230,637
Accrued interest payable to stockholders		,		2,250
Rent received in advance		95,777		ŕ
Other liabilities		2,283		
		,		
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		2,532,239		(302,267)
Cash flows from investing activities		(4.4.0= ====		
Purchases of interests in rental property and related net assets		(14,187,258)		
Deposit on pending purchase of interest in rental property		(150,000)		
Additions to rental properties		(1,146,856)		(= = = )
Additions to rental property furniture, fixtures and equipment		(7,995)		(396)
Restricted deposits		67,310		
Distributions in excess of net income received from real estate		(52.001		40.055
entities		673,031		49,857
Contributions made to unconsolidated real estate entities				(100,000)

Net cash used in investing activities	(14,751,768)			(50,539)
Cash flows from financing activities Borrowings under revolving credit line Repayment of revolving credit line borrowings Mortgage note borrowings Repayments of mortgage note Dividends Distributions to minority interest		14,550,000 (26,300,000) 27,685,985 (33,752) (1,940,867) (149,796)		(60,424)
Security deposits received  Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		5,058 13,816,628		(60,424)
There easily provided by (used in) intalients activities		13,010,020		(00, 121)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		1,597,099		(413,230)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	8,149,634			1,188,146
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	9,746,733	\$	774,916
Supplemental disclosures Cash paid for income taxes	\$	33,500	\$	
Cash paid for interest	\$	911,762	\$	
Debt assumed in purchases of interests in rental property	\$	19,000,000	\$	
Liability for asbestos remebiation assumed as part of purchase of rental property	\$	90,556	\$	
See accompanying notes to finan 6	cial state	ements.		

## COLUMBIA EQUITY TRUST, INC. AND COLUMBIA EQUITY TRUST, INC. PREDECESSOR NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. Organization and Description of Business

Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. (the Company) was incorporated on September 23, 2004 in the State of Maryland. The Company completed its initial public offering of common stock (the IPO) on July 5, 2005. The IPO resulted in the sale of 12,000,000 shares of common stock at a price per share of \$15.00, generating gross proceeds to the Company of \$180,000,000. The aggregate proceeds to the Company, net of underwriters discounts, commissions, financial advisory fees and other offering costs were approximately \$163,347,000. On July 14, 2005, an additional 1,800,000 shares of common stock were sold at \$15.00 per share as a result of the underwriters exercising their over-allotment option. This resulted in additional net proceeds of \$25,110,000 to the Company.

The Company had no significant operations prior to the completion of the IPO and the formation transactions on July 5, 2005. On July 5, 2005, concurrent with the consummation of the IPO, the Company and its operating partnership, Columbia Equity, LP (the Operating Partnership), entered into certain formation transactions and acquired the office real estate investment properties and joint venture interests, management contracts and certain other assets of Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. Predecessor (Columbia Predecessor) from its owners and other parties which held direct or indirect ownership interests in Columbia Predecessor s real estate properties. The Company primarily operates through its Operating Partnership, for which the Company is the sole general partner, and held a 92.83% partnership interest as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005. The Company owns, manages and acquires investments in commercial office properties located primarily in the Greater Washington, D.C. area (defined as the District of Columbia, northern Virginia and suburban Maryland).

Columbia Predecessor was not a legal entity but rather a combination of real estate entities under common ownership and management. Prior to the completion of the IPO on July 5, 2005, Columbia Predecessor was the limited partner and/or general partner or managing member of the real estate entities that directly or indirectly owned certain properties. The ultimate owners of Columbia Predecessor were Carr Capital Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Carr Capital Real Estate Investments, LLC ( CCREI ) (collectively CCC ), The Oliver Carr Company and Carr Holdings, LLC, all of which are controlled by Oliver T. Carr, Jr. and Oliver T. Carr, III, acting as a common control group. Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements , and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 02-05, Definition of Common Control in relation to FASB Statement No. 141 , provide for the combination of separate entities into a single entity when such entities are controlled by immediate family members whose intent is to act in concert, as is the case with Columbia Predecessor.

The accompanying combined statements of operations and cash flows for Columbia Predecessor reflect the operating results of certain investments in real estate entities owned by CCC, The Oliver Carr Company, Carr Holdings, LLC or affiliates that were not acquired by the Operating Partnership. CCC provided asset management services to the real estate entities invested in by Columbia Predecessor and to certain unrelated parties.

#### 2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### a) Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Information

The accompanying interim financial statements are unaudited, but have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( GAAP ) for interim financial information and in conjunction with the rules and regulations of the SEC. Accordingly, they do not include all the disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements for these interim periods have been included. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be obtained for the full fiscal year.

#### b) Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include all of the accounts of Columbia Equity Trust, Inc., the Operating Partnership and the subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

#### c) Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

#### d) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company s financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses, revolving loan notes and mortgage notes payable. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate their fair values due to their short-term maturities. The interest rate on borrowings under the Credit Facility (as defined in Note 7) is variable based on LIBOR, and as a result, the carrying value of those borrowings approximates fair value as of March 31, 2006. The carrying value of mortgage notes was \$74,122,093 as of March 31, 2006, compared to a fair value of \$72,192,438, a difference of \$1,929,655. The fair value of the mortgage notes was estimated by using a current market basis-point spread over the quoted prices of U.S. Treasury securities for the remaining terms of the mortgage loans.

#### e) Revenue Recognition

Income from rental operations is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease, including any periods of free rent (rent abatements), regardless of when payments are due. The lease agreements contain provisions that provide for additional rentals based on reimbursement of the tenants—share of real estate taxes, insurance and certain common area maintenance costs. Additional rental revenues are recorded as the associated expense is incurred. The lease term begins at the time the lessee takes physical possession of the space. Lease provisions governing any tenant improvements ( TIs ) granted to the lessee are reviewed to determine whether the TIs should be accounted for as lease incentives and deducted in calculating straight-line rent, or should be capitalized as building improvements. Lease provisions that would result in a decision to account for the TIs as lease incentives would be allowing a lessee to offset TIs against rent due or agreeing to reimburse a lessee for unused TIs. Factors generally considered in determining that TIs should be capitalized are the nature of the work, ownership upon lease termination, and the extent to which the Company maintains control over the construction process, including approval over and management of scope of work, architectural plans and contractors.

Fee income consists of asset management fees, construction management fees, leasing advisory fees and transaction fees. Asset management fees are based on a percentage of revenues earned by a property under management and are recorded on a monthly basis as earned. Construction management fees are based on a negotiated percentage of the total value of the construction project to be managed and are recognized as revenue on a pro rata basis as the construction work is performed. Leasing advisory fees are based on a negotiated percentage of the value of the lease transaction on which the Company consults. Leasing advisory revenue is recorded as earned in accordance with the terms of the advisory agreements, which generally specify that half of the fee is earned at the time of lease execution, with the remainder being earned at the time the tenant takes possession of the space. Transaction fees are based on a percentage of the transaction value and are recorded at the closing date of the transaction.

#### f) Investments in Rental Property

Investments in rental property include land, buildings and tenant improvements. Land is recorded at acquisition cost. Buildings are recorded at cost and depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated

useful lives of its components, which range from 7.5 to 40 years. Tenant improvements are costs incurred to prepare tenant spaces for occupancy and are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective leases or the lives of the related assets, whichever is shorter.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, the Company evaluates the recoverability of long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the net undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets—carrying values. Management does not believe that impairment indicators are present, and accordingly, no such losses have been included in the accompanying financial statements.

In accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations , and SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets , when a property is acquired, the Company also considers the existence of identifiable intangibles relating to above and below market leases, in-place lease value and tenant relationships. The purchase price of the acquired property is allocated based on the relative fair values of the land, building (determined on an as-if vacant basis) and these identifiable intangibles.

In accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations , in determining the fair value, in accordance with the requirements of SFAS No. 141, of properties acquired, the Company determines whether any obligations should be recorded related to the costs expected to be incurred upon the eventual disposition or retirement of the property, in particular for costs expected to be incurred for the remediation of asbestos. The calculation of the liability incorporates a risk-adjusted rate of return that takes into consideration when the remediation work is expected to be performed.

#### g) Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Entities

The Company uses the equity method to account for its investments in unconsolidated real estate entities because it has significant influence, but not control, over the investees operating and financial decisions.

For purposes of applying the equity method, significant influence is deemed to exist if the Company actively manages the property, prepares the property operating budgets and participates with the other investors in the property in making major decisions affecting the property, including market positioning, leasing, renovating and selling or continuing to retain the property. None of the entities are considered variable interest entities, as defined in Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46R, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. The accounting policies of the unconsolidated real estate entities are the same as those used by the Company.

Under the equity method of accounting, investments in partnerships and limited liability companies are recorded at cost, and the investment accounts are increased for the Company's contributions and its share of the entities net income and decreased for the Company's share of the entities net losses and distributions. For entities in which the Company is not a general partner and therefore has no risk other than its investment, once the investment account reaches zero, losses are no longer recognized, distributions received are recognized as income, and earnings from the entities are not recognized until such earnings exceed all unrecognized net losses plus the cash distributions received and previously recognized as income.

#### h) Minority Interest

Minority interest relates to the interests in the Operating Partnership that are not owned by the Company, which, as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, amounted to approximately 7.17% (excluding the LTIP Units, discussed below) and consisted of 1,069,973 units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (OP Units). In conjunction with the formation of the Company, certain persons and entities contributing interests in the properties to the Operating Partnership received OP Units.

The minority interest in the Operating Partnership is: (i) increased or decreased by the limited partners pro-rata share of the Operating Partnership s net income or net loss, respectively; (ii) decreased by distributions; (iii) decreased by redemption of OP units for the Company s common stock and (iv) adjusted to equal the net equity of the Operating Partnership multiplied by the limited partners ownership percentage immediately after each issuance of OP Units and/or the Company s common stock through an adjustment to additional paid-in capital. Net income or net loss is allocated to the minority interest in the Operating Partnership based on the weighted average percentage ownership throughout the period.

Holders of OP Units have certain redemption rights, which enable them to cause the Operating Partnership to redeem their units in exchange for shares of the Company s common stock on a one-for-one basis or, at the Company s option, cash per OP Unit equal to the market price of the Company s common stock at the time of redemption. The number of shares issuable upon exercise of the redemption rights will be adjusted upon the occurrence of stock splits, mergers, consolidations or similar pro-rata share transactions, which otherwise would have the effect of diluting the ownership interests of the limited partners or stockholders. As a matter of Company policy, each OP and LTIP Unit holder receives distributions per Unit equal to dividends paid per share of common stock.

As of March 31, 2006, the Company had issued 290,000 LTIP Units, of which 35,000 are vested. LTIP Units are a special class of partnership interest in the Operating Partnership, which have been issued under the Company s 2005 Equity Compensation Plan. LTIP Units were granted by the Company at the IPO to the non-employee members of the Company s Board of Directors ( Directors ), certain consultants to the Company ( Consultants ) and certain employees of the Company ( Employees ). Once the LTIP Units achieve full parity with the OP Units and are fully vested, with the Company s permission, LTIP Units may be converted into OP Units which may be redeemed by the holder for cash or, in the Company s sole and absolute discretion, exchanged for shares of the Company s common stock. It is the Company s intention that all LTIP Units be redeemed for shares of the Company s common stock. The value of LTIP Units that has been recognized as an expense is included in minority interest.

#### i) Tenant Leasing Costs

The fees and initial direct costs incurred in the negotiation of completed leases are deferred and amortized over the terms of the respective leases.

#### j) Deferred Financing Costs

Fees and costs incurred in securing debt financing are deferred and amortized to interest expense on a straight-line basis, which approximates the effective interest method, over the terms of the respective financing agreements.

#### k) Share Based Compensation

The Company accounts for the award of equity instruments to employees in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, which requires an entity to measure and recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

#### 1) New Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments , which amends SFAS No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities , and SFAS No. 140 Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities . SFAS No. 155 is not expected to have any material effect on the Company s financial statements.

#### m) Income Taxes

The Company intends to qualify as a real estate investment trust (REIT) under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. As a REIT, the Company will be permitted to deduct distributions paid to its stockholders, eliminating the Federal taxation of income represented by such distributions at the Company level. REITs are subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, the Company will be subject to Federal income tax (including any alternative minimum tax) on its taxable income at regular corporate tax rates. The Company is subject to Federal and state income taxes on the taxable income of its taxable REIT subsidiary (TRS) and for Federal excise tax on any taxable REIT income in excess of 85% of dividends paid.

As part of the formation transactions, on July 15, 2005, the Company acquired a 40% interest in a limited liability company that owns The Barlow Corporation, which in turn owns The Barlow Building. The Barlow Corporation will elect to be taxed as a REIT. If The Barlow Corporation fails to qualify as a REIT, the Company would in turn, if deemed to not be entitled to certain relief provisions, not qualify as a REIT.

#### n) Management s Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### o) Segment Disclosure

SFAS No. 131, Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information , established standards for disclosure about operating segments and related disclosures about products and services, geographic areas and major customers. The Company presently operates in only one business segment, that of acquisition, ownership and investment management of commercial real estate. The Company s primary geographic area is the Greater Washington, D.C. metropolitan area, as defined above.

#### p) Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company maintains ownership interests in commercial office properties that are primarily located in the Greater Washington, D.C. metropolitan area. The ability of the tenants to honor the terms of their respective leases is dependent upon the economic, regulatory and social climate affecting the communities in which the tenants operate. No single tenant accounts for more than 10% of rental revenues.

Financial instruments that subject the Company to credit risk consist primarily of cash and accounts receivable. The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents on deposit with high quality financial institutions. Accounts at each institution are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$100,000. Although balances in an individual institution may exceed this amount, management does not anticipate losses from failure of such institutions.

#### q) Comprehensive Income

Because the Company has no items of other comprehensive income, its net income is equal to its comprehensive income for all periods presented.

11

#### 3. Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share ( EPS ) has been computed pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 128. The following table shows the calculation of basic and diluted EPS, which are calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The Company has adopted EITF Issue Number 03-6, Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method under FASB 128 ( Issue 03-06 ), which provides further guidance on the definition of participating securities. Pursuant to Issue 03-6, the Company s OP Units and LTIP Units are considered participating securities and, if dilutive, are included in the computation of the Company s basic EPS. For purposes of calculating diluted EPS, unvested LTIP Units are also considered to be participating securities and are included in the calculation of diluted EPS, if doing so would be dilutive. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, LTIP Units have been excluded from the basic and diluted EPS calculations because including these securities would be anti-dilutive. The OP Units have been excluded from the calculation of both primary and diluted EPS because their conversion to shares of common stock would not impact EPS, as the minority share of loss would be added back to the net loss. The calculation of primary and diluted net loss per share for the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2006 is set forth below.

Months Ended
March 31, 2006
Net loss

Months Ended
(1,011,750)

Weighted average shares outstanding 13,863,334

Basic and diluted loss per share \$ (0.07)

EPS information is not presented for the three months ended March 31, 2005 because the capital structure of Columbia Predecessor is not comparable to the Company s current capital structure.

#### 4. Office Property Acquisition

On January 12, 2006, the Company acquired a 114,801 square foot office building (1025 Vermont) located in the central business district of Washington, D.C. for \$34,050,000, before closing costs and including the assumption of a \$19,000,000 non-recourse first mortgage on the property, bearing a fixed rate of interest of 4.91%, due January 2010.

For the Three

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as part of the acquisition of 1025 Vermont. The Company is in the process of obtaining third party valuations, which may affect the allocation of purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Additional adjustments, which are not expected to be material, may result when estimates made at the time of closing are finalized.

Rental property Intangible assets Other assets	\$ 29,811,000 5,443,000 295,000
Total assets acquired	35,459,000
Mortgage note payable Deferred credits Other liabilities	19,000,000 1,001,000 692,000
Total liabilities assumed	20,603,000
Net assets acquired	\$ 14,856,000

The following table summarizes, on an unaudited pro forma basis, the Company s results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as if the acquisition of 1025 Vermont had occurred on January 1, 2006. This pro forma financial information is presented for informational purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the results of future operations that would have been achieved had the acquisition taken place on January 1, 2006. Similar pro forma results of operations information has not been provided for the three months ended March 31, 2005 because the Company had no material operations prior to July 5, 2005 and was essentially a shell entity with no prior operating history.

	N	Pro Forma For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006	Actual Results For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006	
Revenues	\$	( <b>unaudited</b> ) 7,162,000	(unaudited) \$ 7,043,834	
Net loss	\$	(1,049,000)	\$ (1,011,750)	
Net loss per share	\$	(0.08)	\$ (0.07)	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding		13,863,334	13,863,334	
	13			

#### 5. Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Entities

The Company s interests in unconsolidated real estate entities are summarized in the following table.

		Square	Percent
Property	Location	Feet	Owned
1575 Eye Street	Washington, D.C.	210,372	9.18%
Atrium	Alexandria, Va.	138,507	37.00%
Barlow Building	Chevy Chase, Md.	270,562	40.00%
Independence Center I	Chantilly, Va.	275,003	14.74%
Independence Center	Chantilly, Va.		
II		(1)	8.10%
King Street	Alexandria, Va.	149,080	50.00%
Madison Place	Alexandria, Va.	108,252	50.00%
Suffolk Building	Falls Church, Va.	257,425	36.50%
Victory Point	Chantilly, Va.	147,966	10.00%

(1) A 115,368 square foot office building is currently under construction.

The combined condensed balance sheets of the unconsolidated real estate entities as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 are as follows.

	2006	2005
Assets		
Investments in real estate	\$ 306,421,474	\$ 306,193,345
Receivables and deferred rents	9,404,557	9,458,009
Other assets	50,943,886	51,513,600
Total assets	\$ 366,769,917	\$ 367,164,954
Liabilities and Equity		
Mortgage loans	\$ 258,511,628	\$ 255,897,580
Other liabilities	16,212,547	16,515,825
Equity Columbia Equity Trust, Inc.	34,355,079	35,176,959
Equity Other owners	57,690,663	59,574,590
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 366,769,917	\$ 367,164,954
14	, ,	

The combined condensed statements of operations for the unconsolidated real estate entities for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 are as follows.

	Mo	or the Three onths Ended March 31, 2006	Mo	r the Three onths Ended March 31, 2005
Revenues	\$	11,363,913	\$	9,003,755
Operating and other expenses Depreciation Interest		4,128,593 4,000,671 3,323,323		3,421,414 2,652,443 3,052,529
Total expenses		11,452,587		9,126,386
Net income (loss)	\$	(88,674)	\$	(122,631)
Company and Columbia Predecessor share of net (loss) income, net Equity in net income of real estate entities not contributed by Columbia Predecessor at the Initial Public Offering	\$	(135,970)	\$	6,674 96,243
Equity in net (loss) income of unconsolidated real estate entities	\$	(135,970)	\$	102,917

#### 6. Intangible Assets

The following tables summarize the intangible in-place lease assets and liabilities for acquired leases as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

Intangible Assets	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
intaligible Assets		
Above market leases Accumulated amortization	\$ 4,144,197 (498,012)	\$ 3,892,695 (282,242)
	\$ 3,646,185	\$ 3,610,453
In-Place leases	\$ 20,980,594	\$ 16,980,485
Accumulated amortization	(2,127,022)	(1,167,387)
	\$ 18,853,572	\$ 15,813,098
Tenant relationships	\$ 8,083,050	\$ 6,891,313
Accumulated amortization	(889,708)	(503,719)
	\$ 7,193,342	\$ 6,387,594

### **Deferred Credits**

Below market leases Accumulated amortization		\$ 2,712,404 (243,882)	\$ 1,710,959 (117,147)
		\$ 2,468,522	\$ 1,593,812
	15		

The amortization of acquired above and below market in-place leases, included as a net decrease in rental revenues, totaled \$89,035 for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

The amortization of acquired in-place leases and tenant relationships, included in depreciation and amortization expense, totaled \$1,345,623 for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

#### 7. Debt Agreements

As of March 31, 2006, the Company had the following debt outstanding.

				Fair	
Type/ Issuer	Rate	Maturity	Note Principal	Value Adjustment	Total
Credit Facility	5.81%	11/28/2007	\$ 10,250,000	\$	\$ 10,250,000
1025 Vermont	5.11%	01/01/2010	22,500,000		22,500,000
Meadows IV	4.95%	11/01/2011	19,000,000		19,000,000
Park Plaza II	5.53%	02/01/2016	24,290,000		24,290,000
Patrick Henry	5.02%	04/01/2009	8,411,892	(79,799)	8,332,093
			\$84,451,892	\$ (79,799)	\$84,372,093

As of December 31, 2005, the Company had the following debt outstanding.

				Fair	
Type/ Issuer	Rate	Maturity	Note Principal	Value Adjustment	Total
Credit Facility	5.55%	11/28/2007	\$ 22,000,000	\$	\$ 22,000,000
Meadows IV	4.95%	11/01/2011	19,000,000		19,000,000
Patrick Henry	5.02%	04/01/2009	8,445,643	(86,645)	8,358,998
			\$49,445,643	\$ (86,645)	\$49,358,998

On November 28, 2005, the Company entered into a \$75,000,000 secured revolving credit facility (the Credit Facility) that bears interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 110 to 135 basis points. The exact rate of interest payable varies based on the ratio of total indebtedness to total asset value as measured on a quarterly basis. At March 31, 2006, the interest rate was 5.81%. The Credit Facility has a two year term with a one year extension option. Availability under the Credit Facility is based on the value of assets pledged as collateral. Through December 31, 2005, the Fair Oaks, Greenbriar, Loudoun Gateway IV and Sherwood Plaza properties with a total carrying value of \$63,106,953 have been pledged as security for borrowings under the Credit Facility. In April 2006, the Oakton property, with a carrying value of

\$14,075,434 was also pledged to support the Credit Facility. The 1025 Vermont, Meadows IV, Park Plaza II and Patrick Henry properties are pledged to secure the respective mortgages listed above.

The Credit Facility contains certain restrictions and covenants, which, among other things, limit the payment of dividends and distributions. Except to enable the Company to continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, the Company may not pay any dividends or make any distributions during any four consecutive quarters that, in the aggregate, exceed 95% of funds from operations, as defined in the Credit Facility. The Credit Facility also requires compliance with various financial ratios relating to minimum amounts of net worth, fixed charge coverage, cash flow coverage and maximum amount of indebtedness and places certain limitations on investments. Management believes that the Company was in compliance with all such restrictions and covenants as of March 31, 2006. On January 12, 2006, in connection with the purchase of the 1025 Vermont property, the Company assumed a \$19,000,000 mortgage, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 4.91%, maturing in January 2010.

On February 10, 2006, the Company amended the terms of the mortgage on 1025 Vermont, borrowing an additional \$3,500,000 against the property. The proceeds were used to pay down borrowings outstanding under the Credit Facility. The new loan balance bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.21% through April 1, 2006, whereupon the interest rate on the original and new borrowings was reset to equal a fixed rate of 5.11%, which is the combined weighted average of the interest rates on the two separate loan agreements. Total interest due on the loans did not change. The maturity date for the new borrowing is January 2010.

On February 16, 2006, the Company s Park Plaza II subsidiary borrowed \$24,290,000, secured by the Park Plaza II property. The indebtedness matures in March 2016 and requires monthly payments of interest-only at a fixed rate of 5.53% through March 2012 and monthly payments of principal and interest from April 2012 through February 2016, based on a fixed interest rate of 5.53%, on a 360 month amortization schedule. The proceeds were used to repay a portion of the borrowings outstanding under the Company s Credit Facility.

Debt maturities as of March 31, 2006 are as follows.

2006	\$ 97,940
2007	10,388,533
2008	144,590
2009	8,030,829
2010	22,500,000
2011	19,000,000
Thereafter	24,290,000

\$84,451,892

#### 8. Income and Other Taxes

As discussed in Note 2, the Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT and, as a result, is not subject to Federal income taxes on income it distributes to stockholders. The Company is subject to Federal and state income taxes and local franchise tax on taxable income of its taxable REIT subsidiary (TRS) and for Federal excise tax on any taxable REIT income in excess of 85% of dividends paid. Columbia Predecessor was taxed as a Subchapter S corporation and was not subject to Federal or state income tax, but was subject to a local District of Columbia franchise tax. The Company s tax provision for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$33,500, primarily for District of Columbia franchise tax on the REIT and the TRS. Columbia Predecessor s tax provision for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was \$34,061, primarily for District of Columbia franchise tax. There are no significant differences between the financial reporting

and tax bases of assets and liabilities. All distributions made in 2005 constituted ordinary income to the recipients.

#### 9. Equity Compensation Plan

The Company accounts for compensation expense related to grants of stock options and other share based incentive awards in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment . On July 5, 2005, the Company awarded LTIP Units to directors, consultants and employees, as set forth below. Once the LTIP Units achieve full parity with the OP Units with respect to liquidating distributions and are fully vested, with the Company s permission, LTIP Units may be converted into OP Units which may, in the Company s sole and absolute discretion, be redeemed by the Company for cash or exchanged for shares of the Company s common stock in the manner described in Note 2. It is the Company s intention that only Company stock be exchanged for OP Units that are being redeemed. The LTIP Units granted to directors and consultants vested immediately and the fair value of the LTIP Units as of date of grant has been recognized as an expense of the Operating Partnership. The LTIP Units granted to employees vest ratably over a five year period from date of grant, and the fair value of the LTIP Units as of date of grant is being ratably recognized as an expense of the Operating Partnership over the five-year vesting period. The aggregate value of the LTIP Units has not been reflected as unearned compensation within stockholders—equity because the LTIP Units relate only to the Operating Partnership and, consequently, have been reflected as Minority Interest in the Company—s consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2006 and

December 31, 2005. As of March 31, 2006, \$3,251,250 of the fair value of the LTIP Units granted to Employees remains to be recognized as expense.

			Mino	rity Interest	
				and	
			Cor	npensation	
			]	Expense	
	As of Marc	<b>As of March 31, 2006</b>		Recognized for the	
	LTIP	LTIP	Thr	ee Months	
	Units	Units		Ended	
Recipient Class	Granted	Vested	Mar	ch 31, 2006	
Directors	20,000	20,000	\$		
Consultants	15,000	15,000			
Employees	255,000			191,250	
	290,000	35,000	\$	191,250	

#### 10. Minimum Future Rentals

The Company leases office space to tenants under various noncancelable operating leases. Leases on space in the office buildings provide for future minimum rentals plus provisions for escalations in the event of increased operating costs and real estate taxes (additional rentals).

Minimum future rentals on noncancelable operating leases with original maturities which extend for more than one year as of March 31, 2006 are as follows:

Year Ending	
December 31,	
2006 (nine months)	\$ 18,140,181
2007	24,391,342
2008	23,489,121
2009	21,720,169
2010	17,059,724
2011	13,714,633
Thereafter	22,286,795

\$140,801,965

The above amounts do not reflect additional rentals that may be required by the leases.

#### 11. Related Party Transactions

The Company and Columbia Predecessor conduct business with the unconsolidated real estate entities in which they invest. Additionally, as discussed below, the Company has engaged in transactions with companies for which two of the Company s directors serve as executive officers. The amounts of fees attributable to the percentage of the unconsolidated real estate entities owned by the Company and

18

Columbia Predecessor have been eliminated from the accompanying consolidated financial statements and in the tables, below. Descriptions of the types of transactions between the Company, Columbia Predecessor, related parties, affiliates and unconsolidated real estate entities are as follows.

#### Transactions Reflected in the Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements

The Company and Columbia Predecessor receive asset management and construction management fees from unconsolidated and affiliated real estate entities, including the unconsolidated real estate entities included in the accompanying consolidated and combined financial statements. Asset management fees range from 1 to 2 percent of gross rents collected. Construction management fees range from 1 to 5 percent of construction costs under management.

The Company and CCC receive transaction advisory fees in connection with the purchase, sale or debt placement for certain properties that they managed or advised, including amounts earned from the uncombined real estate entities and from affiliates.

The Company leases 7,199 square feet of office space in one of its wholly owned properties to an affiliate of Alliance Bankshares Corporation, a company for which a director of the Company serves as Chief Executive Officer. The lease term is five years and began on March 1, 2005 and ends on February 28, 2010.

The Company and Columbia Predecessor rent office space from an affiliate and also pay monthly fees for office support services.

The following table sets forth the transactions between the Company and Columbia Predecessor and affiliates that are reflected in the consolidated and combined financial statements.

	Service		For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2005
Revenues				
Asset management			\$ 76,785	\$ 352,731
Construction management			31,257	
Transaction advisory				272,524
Rental revenues			42,102	13,198
Expenses Office space Administrative services			63,485 17,868 <b>As of</b> <b>March 31,</b>	37,554 22,500 As of December 31,
			2006	2005
Receivables			2000	2005
Asset management			\$ 46,895	\$ 166,850
Construction management			31,257	40,242
Rental revenues			13,999	13,999
		19	13,777	10,777

#### Transactions Reflected in the Unconsolidated Real Estate Entities

On December 23, 2005, a property, in which the Company holds a 10% interest, leased to Alliance Bankshares Corporation 25,645 square feet of office space with a lease term beginning five months after the demised premises has been delivered to the tenant and ending 10 years and two months thereafter. On a straight line basis, rent for the space will be \$734,570 per year over the 127 month period.

Affiliates of Clark Enterprises, Inc.( Clark ), a company for which another director of the Company serves as Senior Vice President and General Counsel, remain as co-investors in two of the Company s unconsolidated real estate entities from which Clark received distributions of \$81,667 and \$12,060 in the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Clark also provides construction services to two of the Company s other unconsolidated real estate entities for which Clark was paid \$2,664,226 and \$0 in the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company leases 21,798 square feet of office space at one of its joint venture properties to a company controlled by the father of the Company s Chief Executive Officer. The lease commenced on August 1, 2004 and expires July 31, 2014. The property recorded revenues of \$186,165 and \$186,165 in the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, from this lease.

The joint venture entities that own the King Street and 1575 Eye Street properties have purchased services from affiliates of CarrAmerica Realty Corporation, a company whose Chief Executive Officer is a sibling of the Company s Chief Executive Officer. CarrAmerica provided construction management and leasing services to King Street and provided property management and leasing services to 1575 Eye Street. CarrAmerica also provided construction management to the joint ventures that own the Madison Place, Victory Point and Independence Center I properties and serves as co-developer of the Independence Center II property.

For these services, the joint venture properties paid CarrAmerica \$166,135 and \$82,676 in the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company recorded revenue of \$188,493 in the three months ended March 31, 2006 for leasing advisory services provided to the 1575 Eye Street and Madison place properties.

#### 12. Commitments

During the third quarter of 2005 the Independence Center I joint venture, in which the Company owns a 14.74% interest, commenced development of Independence Center II ( Center II ) which will be a 115,368 net rentable square foot office building located in Chantilly, Virginia. The total cost of the development is expected to be approximately \$24,500,000, including land costs and estimated tenant improvements. Effective October 1, 2005, the Company contributed an 8.1% interest in the excess land of Independence Center I to form a new joint venture in Center II. In October 2005, Center II closed on a \$15,700,000 construction loan maturing on September 10, 2009 and bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.02%. The Company has provided a limited guarantee of the outstanding loan balance. As of March 31, 2006, the Company has guaranteed up to \$737,000 of the loan. The amount guaranteed will be reduced or terminated based on the project achieving certain leasing and cash flow performance targets. In addition to the loan guarantee, the Company has also provided a completion guarantee for the project. The completion guarantee is limited to the Company s percentage ownership in the project. As of March 31, 2006, approximately \$11,220,000 or 46%, of the total anticipated project costs had been incurred.

On December 7, 2005, the Company entered into a definitive agreement with a third party to acquire a five-story, approximately 151,400 square foot, office building ( Georgetown Plaza ) located in Washington, D.C. for \$23,500,000 before transaction costs, including the assumption of a \$16,100,000 mortgage loan, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 5.78% and maturing in June 2013. The property is subject to a ground lease that expires in December 2058. The acquisition is expected to be funded through additional borrowings under the Credit Facility. The purchase of the Georgetown Plaza is subject to the usual and

customary closing conditions, including satisfactory completion by the Company of a due diligence review during the inspection period and the assumption of the existing mortgage.

On March 15, 2006, the Company entered into a definitive agreement with a third party to acquire a two-story, approximately 41,400 square foot, office building (the Chubb Building) located in Reston, Virginia for approximately \$11,575,000 before transaction costs, including the assumption of a \$7,400,000 mortgage loan, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 8.28% and maturing in February 2010. The acquisition is expected to be funded through additional borrowings under the Credit Facility or with new mortgage financing. The purchase of the Chubb Building is subject to the usual and customary closing conditions, including satisfactory completion by the Company of a due diligence review during the inspection period.

As of March 31, 2006, the Company was committed to paying approximately \$1,300,000 for tenant improvements and leasing commissions at certain of its wholly owned properties.

The Company s Park Plaza II property is subject to a ground lease which, after giving effect to 14 automatic five-year renewals, expires in August 2076. The Company may cancel the lease at the end of any renewal term by providing at least six months advance notice. The base rent of \$332,069 per year will increase every 10 years, beginning in August 2010, by the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index from the base month of August 2000. Property taxes on the land are paid by the Company.

The Company is not currently involved in any legal proceedings, other than routine litigation incidental to the Company s business, nor are any such proceedings known to be contemplated.

#### 13. Subsequent Events

In April 2006, the Company, with the approval of the property s majority owner, initiated a search for a buyer of its Victory Point joint venture property. No prospective buyers have been identified as yet and an eventual sale cannot yet be termed as probable of occurring. In the opinion of management, as of March 31, 2006, the value of the Company s investment in the property is not impaired as that term is defined in SFAS No. 144, Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets .

On April 25, 2006, the Company entered into a definitive agreement with a third party to acquire a three-story, approximately 102,400 square foot, multi-tenant office building ( 101 Orchard Ridge ) located in Gaithersburg, Maryland for approximately \$27,400,000 before transaction costs. A \$15,500,000 mortgage loan, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.06% and maturing in May 2014, will be assumed as part of the purchase. The acquisition is expected to be funded through additional borrowings under the Credit Facility. The purchase of 101 Orchard Ridge is subject to the usual and customary closing conditions, including the assumption of the existing mortgage and satisfactory completion by the Company of a due diligence review during the inspection period.

#### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. (the Company ) and Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. Predecessor ( Columbia Predecessor ) should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. Columbia Predecessor is not a legal entity but rather a combination of real estate entities and asset management operations under common ownership and management as described further below. References to we, us, and our refer to Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries or Columbia Predecessor, as applicable.

#### **Forward Looking Statements**

When used, the words believe, estimate, expect, intend, may, might, plan, project, result, should, similar expressions which do not relate solely to historical matters are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Any projection of revenues, earnings or losses, capital expenditures, distributions, capital structure or other financial terms is a forward-looking statement. Any forward-looking statements presented in this report, or which management may make orally or in writing from time to time, are based upon management is beliefs, assumptions and expectations of our future operations and economic performance, taking into account the information currently available to us. These beliefs, assumptions and expectations are subject to risks and uncertainties and can change as a result of many possible events or factors, not all of which are known to us at the time that we make such statements. Should one or more of these risks, uncertainties or events materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected by the forward-looking statements. Accordingly, investors should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Some of the risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements include the following:

general risks affecting the commercial office property industry;

risks associated with the availability and terms of financing and the use of debt, equity or other types of financings;

failure to manage effectively (i) our growth and (ii) our transition from a privately held to a publicly held company;

risks and uncertainties affecting property development and construction;

risks associated with downturns in the national and local economies, increases in interest rates and volatility in the securities markets:

risks associated with actual and threatened terrorist attacks:

costs of compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and other similar laws and potential liability for uninsured losses and environmental contamination;

risks associated with the potential failure to qualify as a REIT; and

the other risk factors identified in Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The risks set forth above and those contained in our Annual Report Form 10-K, as well as those risk factors described in other documents that we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission, are not exhaustive. New risk factors may emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all risk factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect changes in underlying assumptions or factors, new information, future events, or otherwise, and you should not rely upon these forward-looking statements after the date of this report.

#### **Overview and Recent Developments**

We are a self-advised and self-managed real estate company formed to succeed to the commercial office property business of Carr Capital Corporation ( Carr Capital ). We primarily focus on the acquisition, development, renovation, repositioning, ownership, management and operation of commercial office properties located predominantly in the Greater Washington, D.C. area.

Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. commenced operations on July 5, 2005. During the periods presented prior to the completion of its initial public offering (the IPO) on July 5, 2005 in the accompanying combined financial statements, Columbia Predecessor was the limited partner and/or general partner or managing member of the real estate entities that directly or indirectly owned certain of our initial properties. The ultimate owners of Columbia Predecessor are Carr Capital and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Carr Capital Real Estate Investments, LLC (CCREI), (collectively CCC), The Oliver Carr Company and Carr Holdings, LLC, all of which are controlled by Oliver T. Carr, Jr. and Oliver T. Carr, III, acting as a common control group.

As of March 31, 2006, we:

owned interests in 18 commercial office properties consisting of approximately 2.6 million square feet and one development property, including:

- 100% fee simple ownership in nine properties totaling approximately 946,000 square feet of net rentable area;
- a 100% leasehold interest in an approximately 126,000 square foot office building in North Rockville, Maryland (the property is subject to a ground lease with a remaining term, including extension options, of 70 years);
- partial interests ranging from 9% to 50% in eight office properties totaling approximately 1.6 million square feet of net rentable area; and
- an 8.1% joint venture interest in an approximately 115,000 square foot office building development adjacent to our Independence Center I property.

provided asset management services to related parties for three office buildings containing approximately 690,000 net rentable square feet and two hotel properties containing approximately 610 rooms.

During the first three months of 2006, we completed the following significant transactions:

On January 12, 2006, we completed the acquisition of 1025 Vermont Avenue in Washington, D.C. for a purchase price of approximately \$34.1 million, net of transaction costs. The transaction was funded with borrowings under our credit facility and the assumption of a \$19.0 million mortgage loan which bears interest at 4.91% and matures in January 2010. Subsequent to the closing of the acquisition, the lender for the mortgage loan advanced an additional \$3.5 million in loan proceeds

resulting in an outstanding balance of \$22.5 million. Concurrent with the increase in loan proceeds, the interest rate was re-set to a fixed rate of 5.11%. The additional loan proceeds were used to repay amounts outstanding under our credit facility. The property contains approximately 115,000 net rentable square feet of space and was 97% leased to 27 tenants at the time of acquisition.

On February 16, 2006, we completed a \$24.3 million, ten-year mortgage financing at a rate of 5.53% per annum that matures in March 2016. The financing requires monthly payments of interest-only at a fixed interest rate of 5.53% through March 2012 and monthly payments of principal and interest from April 2012 through February 2016 based on a fixed interest rate of 5.53% and a 360 month amortization schedule. The financing is secured by our leasehold interest in Park Plaza II.

In addition to completing the transactions detailed above, on March 15, 2006, we entered into a material definitive agreement to acquire a two-story, approximately 41,400 square foot, office building (the Chubb Building) located in Reston, Virginia for \$11,575,000, before transaction costs. The property is subject to a mortgage loan with an outstanding principal balance of approximately \$7.4 million which bears interest at a fixed rate of 8.28% and matures in February 2010. We expect to fund the transaction with proceeds from our credit facility and either the assumption of the existing mortgage financing or with new mortgage financing. The property includes additional land for development. The purchase of the Chubb Building is subject to customary closing conditions, including the satisfactory completion of a due diligence review during its inspection periods.

#### **Subsequent Events**

On April 25, 2006, we entered into a material definitive agreement (the Orchard Ridge Purchase Agreement ) to acquire a three-story, approximately 102,400 square foot multi-tenant office building ( 101 Orchard Ridge ) located in Gaithersburg, Maryland for approximately \$27.4 million before transaction costs. We expect to fund the transaction with proceeds from our credit facility. Concurrent with the acquisition, we will assume a \$15.5 million mortgage loan which bears interest at 6.06% and matures in May 2014. The purchase of 101 Orchard Ridge is subject to customary closing conditions, including the assumption of the existing mortgage and the satisfactory completion of a due diligence review during its inspection period.

#### **Our Business Strategy**

Our goal is to generate attractive, long-term risk-adjusted investment returns for our stockholders through: **Investing in Small-to-Medium Size Office Buildings.** We invest principally in small-to-medium size office properties with an initial cost between \$10 and \$60 million as we believe these properties present opportunities for attractive, risk-adjusted returns due to the lower degree of institutional focus on this segment of the office market.

**Selective and Strategic Geographic Focus**. We focus primarily on the Greater Washington, D.C. commercial office property market to take advantage of the strong economic and demographic characteristics of that market, leverage our local market expertise and relationships and create economies of scale through the clustering of properties.

**Intensive and Efficient Asset Management.** We intensively manage each of our properties through active property leasing and targeted capital improvements, which may include re-positioning or redeveloping certain properties, while maintaining efficiency through the outsourcing of non-strategic property functions.

**Strategic Joint Ventures.** We selectively enter into joint ventures where appropriate to leverage our equity returns through fees and disproportionate cash flow distributions, as well as manage the risks associated with certain properties that may be inappropriate to wholly own due to size or vacancy levels.

**Recycling Capital.** We evaluate individual properties in our portfolio to assess their future potential growth against current market values. If we believe that we have maximized a property s value potential, we will look to sell or recapitalize the property and reinvest the profit generated from the sale or recapitalization into new investments that offer improved earnings potential for our stockholders.

Maintain Investment Flexibility. When the market for new acquisitions remains competitive, we will consider allocating additional capital into development and alternative investment structures, including equity joint ventures and mezzanine debt, which may offer investment yields above those provided through wholly owned property acquisitions. In addition, we will consider investments in contiguous markets, as well as investments in mixed-use properties, that provide an appropriate investment yield premium.

#### **Office Market Commentary**

The results of our operations are significantly influenced by real estate and economic market conditions throughout the Greater Washington, D.C. area.

During the first quarter of 2006, economic and real estate fundamentals in the Greater Washington, D.C. area remained solid. According to the CoStar Group, as of March 31, 2006:

Market-wide office vacancy levels remained low decreasing to 9.0% at March 31, 2006. This compares to vacancy rates of 9.2% at December 31, 2005; 9.5% at September 30, 2005; 10.0% at June 30, 2005; and 10.3% at March 31, 2005.

Vacancy levels by region at March 31, 2006 stood at 7.4% for the District of Columbia; 9.4% for suburban Maryland; and 10.0% for northern Virginia.

The average quoted asking rental rate for all classes of available office space was \$30.73 at March 31, 2006, representing a 1.4% increase in quoted rental rates from \$30.30 at March 31, 2005.

There was approximately 16.2 million square feet of office space under construction at March 31, 2006, represented by 6.5 million square feet in the District of Columbia, 8.3 million square feet in northern Virginia and 1.4 million square feet in suburban Maryland. This compares to a total of 12.2 million square feet of office space under construction at March 31, 2005.

Sales activity of office buildings remained brisk during the twelve months ended December 31, 2005, the most recent time period for which this information is available with total volume amounting to approximately \$10.0 billion compared to \$7.0 billion during the twelve months ended December 31, 2004.

The unemployment rate for the Greater Washington, D.C. area as of March 31, 2006 was 3.0%, one of the lowest in the United States among major metropolitan areas. Job growth also remained among the highest among major metropolitan areas posting an increase of approximately 76,000 in non-farm payrolls for the twelve months ended March 31, 2006. We believe the Greater Washington, D.C. area s diversified base of businesses coupled with the favorable impact of government spending in the region has provided positive support for the region s economy. Acquisition and leasing markets in the region remain competitive, however. Although we believe the Greater Washington, D.C. area is one of the best markets in the country for our focused office investment and development strategy, we face a more competitive investment climate today than at the time of our IPO

nine months ago as the area has experienced an influx of investors attracted to the growth potential and stability of the market. Our response to the level of competition, and reduced number of attractive yield opportunities, has been to remain patient, maintain our underwriting discipline, and vigorously pursue those investments that meet our return thresholds.

With respect to the leasing environment, the tightening of office space markets through declining vacancy rates has provided landlords the ability to increase rental rates in many sub-markets throughout the region. While costs for tenant improvements remain high, we expect the region to experience meaningful rent growth over the next 18 months and a moderation in expenses borne by landlords for tenant improvements.

You should be aware that when you read our financial statements and the information included above, office markets, in general, and our operations, in particular, are significantly affected by both macro and micro economic factors, including actual and perceived trends in various national and economic conditions that affect commercial real estate. Periods of economic slowdown or recession, rising interest rates, declining demand for real estate, or the public perception that any of these events may occur can adversely affect our business. Such conditions could lead to a decline in property values.

The following table sets forth information related to the properties we owned or in which we had an ownership interest, at March 31, 2006:

							Rata
							Share of Total
							Annualized
							Rent as
		Year					a %
		1 441		Net			of our
	I	Acquired by		Rentable	Occupancy	Total	Total
	Ownership C	Columbia	Year Built/	Area	at	Annualized	Annualized
		or		(Square	March		
Property (1)	Interest TenancyMarketPr		Renovated	Feet)	31, 2006	Rent (2)	Rent (3)
1025 Vermont	Washington						
Avenue	100 Multi-Tenand.C.	2006	1964 - 2003	114,801	97%	\$ 3,892,356	10.5%
14700 Lee	SingleNorthern						
Road	100% TenantVirginia	2005	2000	84,652	100%	2,126,280	5.8%
	Northern				0 =		
Fair Oaks	100 Multi-Ten Mitginia	2001	1985	126,949	95%	2,593,740	7.0%
G 1 :	Northern	2001	1005 1000	111.701	000	2 110 272	5. T. C.
Greenbriar	100 Multi-Ten Antginia	2001	1985 - 1998	111,721	88%	2,118,372	5.7%
Loudoun	SingleNorthern	2005	2002	102 007	10007	1.562.006	1.207
Gateway IV	100% Tenant Virginia	2005	2002	102,987	100%	1,563,096	4.2%
Meadows IV	Northern	2004	1988 - 1997	140 160	100%	2 216 952	8.7%
Meadows IV	100 <b>M</b> ulti-Ten <b>Mir</b> ginia Northern	2004	1988 - 1997	148,160	100%	3,216,852	0.1%
Oakton	100 <b>%</b> Iulti-Ten <b>Wit</b> ginia	2005	1985	64,648	100%	1,684,272	4.6%
Park Plaza II	Suburban	2003	1903	04,046	100%	1,004,272	4.0%
(4)	100 Multi-TerManatryland	2005	2001	126,228	98%	3,812,808	10.3%
(4)	Newport	2003	2001	120,220	70 70	3,012,000	10.5 %
	News,						
Patrick Henry	100Multi-TenanNA	2005	1989	98,883	94%	1,796,136	4.9%
- ,				- ,	•	, -, -	

Our Pro

Edgar Filing: Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Sherwood	Northern						
Plaza	100Multi-TenMirginia	2000	1984	92,960	100%	2,090,448	5.7%
	Northern						
King Street	50Multi-TenMirginia	1999	1984 - 2004	149,080	86%	3,812,976	5.2%
	Northern						
Madison Place	50Multi-TenMirginia	2003	1989	108,252	81%	2,403,300	3.2%
Barlow	Suburban						
Building	40 Maulti-Ten Manatryland	2005	1966 - 2001	270,562	93%	8,899,404	9.6%
Atrium	Northern						
Building	37 Multi-Ten Mitginia	2004	1978 - 1999	138,507	100%	4,009,632	4.0%
Suffolk	SingleNorthern						
Building	37% TenantVirginia	2005	1964 - 2003	257,425	100%	6,381,528	6.3%
Independence	Northern						
Center	15 Multi-Ten Mirginia	2002	1999	275,002	92%	5,714,028	2.3%
	Washington	-	40=0				
1575 Eye Street	9Multi-TenanD.C.	2002	1979	210,372	99%	7,912,368	2.0%
	Northern	•••	4000 4007	4.7066			~
Victory Point	10 Multi-Ten Mitginia	2005	1989 - 2005	147,966	0%		0.0%
7D 4 1 /							
Total /							
Weighted				2 620 155	0507	¢64.027.506	1000
Average (5)				2,629,155	95%	\$64,027,596	100%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							

- (1) Information set forth in this table excludes our 8.1% ownership interest in the joint venture that owns the Independence Center II development property.
- (2) Annualized rent is calculated by multiplying by a factor of twelve the actual contractual monthly base rent at March 31, 2006 for each tenant. Total annualized rent includes our joint venture partners pro rata share of contractual base rent.
- (3) Represents the percentage of our pro rata share of annualized rent (which is based upon our percentage ownership interest in each property) divided by our total pro rata share of annualized rent of our portfolio.

The property is subject to a ground lease with a remaining term, including extension options, of 70 years.

#### (5) Excludes the

occupancy of Victory Point which was acquired vacant in March 2005 by Columbia Predecessor through a joint venture and is currently being re-developed. The weighted

average

occupancy

including

Victory Point

was

approximately

89% at

March 31, 2006.

We expect

Victory Point to

be placed

in-service

during the

second quarter

of 2006.

#### **Summary of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP. Our significant accounting policies are described in the notes to our financial statements. The preparation of these financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. We base these estimates, judgments and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions, as described below.

The following are certain critical accounting polices and estimates which impact our Company, these policies have not changed during 2006.

Revenue Recognition and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts Receivable

Rental income with scheduled rent increases is recognized using the straight-line method over the term of the leases. Our leases generally contain provisions under which the tenants reimburse us for a portion of property operating expenses and real estate taxes incurred by us. Such reimbursements are recognized in the period that the expenses are incurred. Lease termination fees are recognized when the related leases are canceled and we have no continuing obligation to provide services to such former tenants.

We must make estimates related to the collectibility of our accounts receivable generated by minimum rent, deferred rent, tenant reimbursements, lease termination fees and other income. We specifically analyze accounts receivable and historical bad debts, tenant concentrations, tenant creditworthiness, and current economic trends when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable. These estimates have a direct impact on our net income, because a higher bad debt allowance would result in lower net income.

#### Investments in Real Estate

When accounting for investments in real estate, we first determine the consideration to be paid, whether cash, our common stock, operating partnership units or a combination of the three, and whether the investment is being acquired from a third party or related party.

For purchases of real estate from third parties, the purchase is recorded at original cost. Pre-acquisition costs, including legal and professional fees and other third-party costs related directly to the acquisition of the property, are accounted for as part of the purchase price. Improvements and replacements are capitalized when they extend the useful life, increase capacity or improve the efficiency of the property. Repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. If the purchase is made using our common stock or operating partnership units, then the fair value of the stock or units issued is used to determine the purchase price. We allocate the purchase price to the net tangible and identified intangible assets acquired

27

based on their fair values in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, Business Combinations. In making estimates of fair values for purposes of allocating purchase price, we utilize a number of sources, including independent appraisals that may be obtained in connection with the acquisition or financing of the property and other market data. We also consider information obtained about each property as a result of our due diligence, marketing and leasing activities.

We allocate a portion of the purchase price to above-market and below-market in-place lease values based on the present value, using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired, of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (ii) our estimate of the fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over the remaining non-cancelable term of the lease. The above-market lease values are recorded as intangible assets and are amortized as a reduction of rental income over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective leases. The below-market lease values are recorded as deferred credits and are amortized as an increase to rental income over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective leases. If a tenant terminates a lease early, then any remaining unamortized lease value is charged or credited to rental revenue.

We also allocate a portion of the purchase price to the value of leases acquired based on the difference between (i) the property valued with existing in-place leases adjusted to market rental rates and (ii) the property valued as if vacant. We use our own estimates, or independent appraisals, if available, to determine the respective in-place lease values. Factors we consider in our analysis include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up period considering current market conditions and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, we include real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses. We also estimate costs to execute similar leases which primarily include leasing commissions and costs of providing tenant improvements.

The values of in-place leases and customer relationships are recorded as intangible assets and amortized to expense over the remaining weighted average non-cancelable terms of the respective leases. Should a tenant terminate its lease early, the remaining unamortized portion of the related intangible asset is recorded as expense.

#### Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Entities

For investments in real estate entities that we will not wholly own, we determine whether our investment is a variable interest in a variable interest entity as defined in FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 46(R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. If the underlying entity is a variable interest entity, or VIE, as defined under FIN 46 (R), the venture partner that absorbs a majority of the expected losses, expected gains, or both, of the VIE is deemed to be the primary beneficiary and must consolidate the VIE. If the entity is not a VIE, the entity is evaluated for consolidation based on controlling interests. If we have the ability to control operations and where no approval, veto or other important rights have been granted to other holders, the entity would be consolidated. We are not the primary beneficiary of any VIEs nor do we have controlling interests in any joint ventures. Therefore, we account for joint ventures under the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method, the investments are recorded initially at our cost and subsequently adjusted for our net equity in income and cash contributions and distributions.

## Depreciation, Amortization and Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We depreciate the values allocated to buildings and building improvements on a straight-line basis using an estimated life of 40 years and tenant improvements on a straight-line basis using the same life as the minimum lease term of the related tenant. The values of above-market and below-market leases are amortized over the remaining life of the related lease and recorded as either an increase (for below-market leases) or a decrease (for above-market leases) to rental revenue. We amortize the values of other intangible assets over their estimated useful lives. Changes in these estimates would directly impact our results of

## operations.

We are required to make subjective assessments as to whether there are impairments of our properties. We periodically evaluate each property for impairment and to determine if it is probable that the sum of expected future undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying amount. If we determine that an impairment has occurred, we record a write-down to reduce the carrying amount of the property to its estimated fair value, if lower, which would have a direct impact on our results of operations because the recording of an impairment loss would result in an immediate negative adjustment to net income.

## **Results of Operations**

The following is a comparison, for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 of the consolidated operating results of Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. and the operating results of Columbia Predecessor, our predecessor. The results of operations set forth in the following discussion for the three months ended March 31, 2005 contain the results of operations of Columbia Predecessor that occurred prior to the completion of our IPO and various formation transactions. Due to the timing of the IPO and the formation transactions, we do not believe that the results of operations discussed are necessarily indicative of our future operating results.

# Comparison of Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 to Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 Base Rents

Base rental revenue is comprised of contractual rent, including the impacts of straight-line revenue and above and below market rental revenue from our wholly-owned properties. Base rent revenues were \$6.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$0 for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase in revenues was due to the inclusion of rental revenues for five properties in which we acquired a 100% interest in connection with our IPO and the acquisition of five additional wholly owned properties subsequent to completion of our IPO. Prior to our IPO in July 2005, we did not maintain majority control of any office properties, and as a result did not record any base rental revenue.

## Recoveries from Tenants

Recoveries from tenants includes operating and common area maintenance costs reimbursed by our tenants from our wholly-owned properties. Recoveries from tenants were \$309,297 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$0 for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was due to the inclusion of tenant recoveries for five properties in which we acquired a 100% interest in connection with our IPO and the acquisition of five additional wholly owned properties subsequent to completion of our IPO. Prior to our IPO in July 2005, we did not maintain majority control of any office properties and as a result did not record any tenant recoveries.

#### Fee Income

Fee income consists of: (1) transaction fees received by us from third parties relating to services provided in connection with property acquisitions or debt financing and (2) asset management fees received by us from third and related parties in connection with the oversight of property level accounting, risk management (insurance), lease administration and physical maintenance and repairs. Fee income decreased by \$218,011, or 35%, to \$404,348 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005. The decrease was due primarily to a reduction in: (1) transaction fee volume of approximately \$270,000 that occurred in the first quarter of 2005 associated with the acquisition of Victory Point and (2) asset management fees of approximately \$150,000 for a residential condominium conversion project in which Columbia Predecessor maintained an ownership interest. The decrease associated with these fees was off-set partially by fees of approximately \$188,000 earned as agent on leases

signed at our 1575 Eye Street and Madison Place joint ventures and an additional \$57,000 of fees earned for construction management services. We expect to receive less fee income in the future from transaction fees as we place a greater emphasis on rental income generated by our ownership interest in commercial office properties.

## **Property Operating Expenses**

Property operating expenses consist primarily of expenses incurred by our wholly-owned properties for property management fees and salaries, cleaning, security, and repairs and maintenance costs. Property operating expenses were \$1,141,194 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$0 for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was due to the inclusion of property operating expenses for five properties in which we acquired a 100% interest in connection with our IPO and the acquisition of five additional wholly owned properties subsequent to completion of our IPO. Prior to our IPO in July 2005, we did not maintain majority control of any office properties and as a result did not record any property operating expenses.

#### Utility Expenses

Utility expenses were \$532,184 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$0 for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was due to the inclusion of utility expenses for five properties in which we acquired a 100% interest in connection with our IPO and the acquisition of five additional wholly owned properties subsequent to completion of our IPO. Prior to our IPO in July 2005, we did not maintain majority control of any office properties and as a result did not record any utility expenses.

## Real Estate Taxes and Insurance Expenses

Real estate taxes and insurance expenses were \$656,837 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$0 for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was due to the inclusion of real estate taxes and insurance expenses for five properties in which we acquired a 100% interest in connection with our IPO and the acquisition of five additional wholly owned properties subsequent to completion of our IPO. Prior to our IPO in July 2005, we did not maintain majority control of any office properties and as a result did not record any real estate taxes and insurance expenses.

#### General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of corporate level expenses not associated directly with our properties. This includes, but is not limited to, personnel compensation and benefits, accounting and legal fees, rent expense for our corporate headquarters and other public company costs. General and administrative expenses increased by 450,649, or 18.9%, to \$829,623 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to additional on-going general and administrative expense costs attributable to operations as a public company.

## **Share-based Compensation Cost**

Share-based compensation costs were \$234,750 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$0 for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was due to amortization in the amount of \$191,250 representing the vested portion of LTIP units for the period and \$43,500 representing dividend compensation paid on the LTIP units.

# <u>Depreciation and Amortization Expenses</u>

Depreciation and amortization expenses include depreciation of real estate assets, amortization of

intangible assets and external leasing commissions. Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$3.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$3,003 for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was due primarily to the inclusion of real estate depreciation for five properties in which we acquired a 100% interest in connection with our IPO and the acquisition of five additional wholly owned properties subsequent to completion of our IPO. Prior to our IPO in July 2005, we did not maintain majority control of any office properties and as a result incurred minimal depreciation costs.

#### Interest Income

Interest income increased by \$38,003 to \$43,335 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase is primarily due to higher levels of cash balances.

#### <u>Interest Expense</u>

Interest expense increased by \$1.1 million to \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to increased levels of debt associated with the financing of properties acquired since the completion of our IPO.

## Equity in Net Income (Loss) of Unconsolidated Real Estate Entities

Equity in net income (loss) of unconsolidated real estate entities decreased by \$238,887, or 232%, to (\$135,970) for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$102,917 for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The decrease was primarily due to: (1) increased ownership interests in seven of our joint venture properties in connection with our IPO resulting in additional equity in net losses; and (2) a decrease of approximately \$96,000 in equity in net income of entities that were not contributed to our Company by the Predecessor, primarily its interest in a condominium conversion project.

## **Minority Interest**

Minority interest increased to \$75,501 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 from \$0 for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase represents our minority partners interests in the net loss for the first quarter of 2006. These minority interests were created in connection with our IPO and related formation transactions.

#### Net Income

The Company s net income decreased by approximately \$1.3 million, or 424%, to a net loss of approximately \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006, as compared to approximately \$312,320 for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The decrease between the two periods is primarily due to the effects the impact of our formation transactions from the IPO and subsequent acquisitions which substantially increased our ownership in commercial office properties and the collective net loss generated by these properties.

#### **Consolidated Cash Flows**

Net cash provided by operating activities increased to \$2.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$(302,267) for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to increases in our operating cash flows resulting from wholly-owned commercial office properties, joint venture interests and management contracts acquired by us from Columbia Predecessor and other parties at our IPO and related formation transactions as well as acquisition of office real estate investments in subsequent acquisitions.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased to \$(14.7) million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$(50,539) for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The decrease was primarily due to \$14.2 million paid to acquire interests in rental property and related intangible assets.

Net cash provided by financing activities increased to \$13.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$(60,424) for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to the net proceeds received from the mortgage financing of our Park Plaza II and 1025 Vermont properties, which was partially offset by the repayment of \$26.3 million of borrowings under our credit facility.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We utilized the net proceeds from our IPO in July 2005 to acquire ownership interests in 16 commercial office properties for approximately \$148.1 million and repay approximately \$40.7 million of indebtedness associated with several of the properties. Our total market capitalization at March 31, 2006 was approximately \$429.7 million based on the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange of our common stock at March 31, 2006 of \$17.58 per share (assuming the conversion of 1,359,973 operating partnership and LTIP units into common stock) and debt outstanding of approximately \$162.0 million (exclusive of accounts payable and accrued expenses but including our pro rata share of joint venture debt). As a result, our debt to total market capitalization ratio was approximately \$8% at March 31, 2006. As of March 31, 2006, our pro rata share of joint venture debt totaled approximately \$77.7 million. With the exception of a limited guarantee in the amount of approximately \$737,000, our pro rata share of joint venture debt is non-recourse to us and is collateralized by the real estate properties held by the joint ventures. We do not have a policy limiting the amount of debt that we may incur, although we have established 55% 60% as the target range for our total debt-to-market capitalization, including our pro rata share of joint venture debt. Accordingly, we have discretion to increase the amount of our outstanding debt at any time without approval by our stockholders. *Short-term Liquidity* 

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds necessary to pay operating expenses including: recurring maintenance, repairs and other operating expenses necessary to properly maintain our properties;

property taxes and insurance expenses;

interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness;

capital expenditures incurred to facilitate the leasing of space at our properties, including tenant improvements and leasing commissions;

general and administrative expenses; and

distributions to our stockholders and operating partnership unit holders.

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements generally through cash provided from operations, our working capital, and by drawing upon our credit facility.

32

#### Long-term Liquidity

Our long-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for scheduled debt maturities, renovations, expansions and other capital expenditures that need to be made periodically to our properties, and the costs associated with acquisitions of properties that we pursue. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements for the funding of property acquisitions and other capital improvements through cash provided from operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness, the issuance of equity and debt securities and other financing alternatives. We also intend to fund property acquisitions and other capital improvements using borrowings, by potentially refinancing properties in connection with their acquisition, selectively disposing of assets, as well as by potentially raising equity capital through joint ventures. We may also issue units of limited partnership interest in our operating partnership (OP Units) to fund a portion of the purchase price for some of our future property acquisitions. On November 28, 2005, we entered into a \$75.0 million secured revolving credit facility with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association serving as the Administrative Agent. The credit facility has a two year term with a one year extension option. Availability under the credit facility is based on the value of the assets that we pledge as collateral. The credit facility is currently secured by first mortgages on the Fair Oaks, Greenbriar, Loudoun Gateway IV, Oakton and Sherwood Plaza properties. Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 1.10% to 1.35%. Three month LIBOR was 4.99% as of March 31, 2006. The exact interest payable under the credit facility depends upon the ratio of our total indebtedness to total asset value as measured on a quarterly basis. Pursuant to the terms of the credit facility, this ratio cannot exceed 75%.

The terms of the credit facility include certain restrictions and covenants, which limit, among other things, the payment of dividends. The terms also require compliance with financial ratios relating to the minimum amounts of net worth, fixed charge coverage, cash flow coverage, the maximum amount of indebtedness and certain investment limitations. The dividend restriction referred to above provides that, except to enable us to continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we will not during any four consecutive quarters make distributions with respect to common stock or other equity interest in an aggregate amount of 95% of funds from operations, as defined, for such period, subject to other adjustments. Management believes that we were in compliance with all of the restrictions and covenants as of March 31, 2006.

In addition, the credit facility contains customary events of default, including among others, nonpayment of principal, interest, fees or other amounts; material inaccuracy of representations; violation of covenants; and certain bankruptcy events. If an event of default occurs and is continuing under the credit facility, the entire outstanding balance under the credit facility may become immediately due and payable.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to consolidated and unconsolidated indebtedness outstanding as of March 31, 2006:

Consolidated Debt (2) Fixed Rate	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Principal Balance as of March 31, 2006	Pro Rata Share of Principal Balance as of March 31, 2006 (1)
rixeu Rate				
Patrick Henry	5.02%	04/01/2009	\$ 8,332,093	\$ 8,332,093
Meadows IV	4.95%	11/01/2011	19,000,000	19,000,000
Park Plaza II	5.53%	03/04/2016	24,290,000	24,290,000
1025 Vermont Avenue	5.11%	01/01/2010	22,500,000	22,500,000
Floating Rate				
Trouting Rute	LIBOR + 1.10 -			
Credit Facility	1.35%	11/28/2007	10,250,000	10,250,000
Cultotal			94 272 002	94 272 002
Subtotal			84,372,093	84,372,093
Unconsolidated Debt (2)				
Fixed Rate				
King Street	5.06%	03/01/2008	21,517,146	10,758,573
Madison Place	4.49%	08/01/2008	15,301,289	7,650,644
1575 Eye Street	6.82%	03/01/2009	42,454,939	3,893,118
Independence Center I	5.04%	09/10/2009	30,858,090	4,548,482
Independence Center II	6.02%	09/10/2009	5,117,411	414,510
Barlow Building	5.04%	08/01/2012	61,750,000	24,700,000
Atrium Loan # 1	8.43%	09/01/2012	17,993,957	6,657,764
Atrium Loan # 2	6.21%	09/01/2012	5,814,565	2,151,389
Suffolk	5.10%	05/04/2015	42,000,000	15,330,000
Floating Rate				
	LIBOR +			
Victory Point	2.95%	03/31/2008	15,704,233	1,570,423
Subtotal			258,511,629	77,674,904
Total			\$ 342,883,722	\$ 162,046,997

Principal amount multiplied by our percentage interest in the joint venture entity that owns the property.

(2) With the exception of a limited guarantee in the amount of approximately \$737,000 for the debt at our Independence Center II property, our pro rata share of unconsolidated debt is non-recourse to us and is collateralized by the real estate properties held by the joint venture entities.

There are a number of factors that could adversely affect our cash flow. An economic downturn in our markets may impede the ability of our tenants to make lease payments and may impact our ability to renew leases or re-lease space as leases expire. In addition, an economic downturn or recession could also lead to an increase in tenant bankruptcies or insolvencies, increases in our overall vacancy rates or declines in rental rates on new leases. We also may be required to make distributions in future periods in order to meet the requirements to be taxed as a REIT. In all of these cases, our cash flow would be adversely affected.

#### **Unconsolidated Investments and Joint Ventures**

We have investments in real estate joint ventures in which we hold 8%-50% interests. These investments are accounted for using the equity method, and therefore the assets and liabilities of the joint ventures are not included in our consolidated financial statements. Most of our real estate joint ventures own and operate

office buildings financed by non-recourse debt obligations that are secured only by the real estate and other assets of the joint ventures. In these instances, we have no obligation to repay this debt and the lenders have no recourse to our other assets.

As of March 31, 2006, we provided a limited guarantee for obligations owed under a \$15.7 million construction financing loan for our Independence Center II joint venture development project. Under the terms of the financing, we have guaranteed up to \$737,000 of the loan plus the lender s costs and expenses required to collect amounts due under the guarantee and any accrued and unpaid interest. The amount of the guarantee is reduced or terminated based on the project achieving certain leasing and cash flow performance targets. We also provide a limited completion guarantee for the project for which total costs are anticipated to be \$23.0 million, exclusive of land costs. We are liable for up to 14.74% of the guaranteed amounts or approximately \$3.4 million.

Our investments in these joint ventures are subject to risks not inherent in our majority owned properties, including: Absence of exclusive control over the development, financing, leasing, management and other aspects of the project; and

Possibility that our co-venturer or partner might:

- o become bankrupt;
- o have interests or goals that are inconsistent with ours;
- o take action contrary to our instructions, requests or interests (including those related to our qualification as a REIT for tax purposes); or
- o otherwise impede our objectives; and

Possibility that we may elect to fund losses of the joint venture.

#### **Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We use the equity method to account for our investments in unconsolidated real estate entities because we have significant influence, but not control, over the investees—operating and financial decisions. For purposes of applying the equity method, significant influence is deemed to exist if we actively manage the property, prepare the property operating budgets and participate with the other investors in the property in making major decisions affecting the property, including market positioning, leasing, renovating and selling or continuing to retain the property. In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. This interpretation addresses the consolidation of variable interest entities in which the equity investors lack one or more of the essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest or where the equity investment at risk is not sufficient for the entity to finance its activities without subordinated financial support from other parties. In December 2003, the FASB issued a revised Interpretation No. 46 which modified and clarified various aspects of the original Interpretation. The adoption of the revised Interpretation No. 46 had no effect on our financial statements as we concluded that we are not required to consolidate any of our unconsolidated real estate ventures that we have accounted for using the equity method.

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, other than those disclosed in our contractual obligations or as a guarantee, with any unconsolidated investments or joint ventures that we believe have, or are reasonably likely to have, a future material effect on our financial condition, changes in our financial condition, our revenue or expenses, our results of operations, our liquidity, our capital expenditures or our capital resources.

#### Cash Distribution Policy

We will elect to be taxed as a REIT under the Code commencing with our short taxable year ended on December 31, 2005, upon filing our federal income tax return for that year. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including the requirement that we distribute currently at least 90% of our taxable income to our stockholders, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gains. It is our intention to comply with these requirements and maintain our REIT status. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate federal, state or local income taxes on taxable income we distribute currently (in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code and applicable regulations) to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for subsequent tax years. Even if we qualify for federal taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and to federal income and excise taxes on our undistributed taxable income, i.e., taxable income not distributed in the amounts and in the time frames prescribed by the Code and applicable regulations thereunder. Our taxable REIT subsidiaries, including Columbia TRS Corporation, are subject to federal, state and local taxes. Our cash available for distribution may be less than the amount required to meet the distribution requirements for REITs under the Internal Revenue Code, and we may be required to borrow money or sell assets to pay out enough money to satisfy the distribution requirements.

#### **Inflation**

Most of our leases contain provisions designed to mitigate the adverse impact of inflation by requiring tenants to pay their share of increases in operating expenses, including common area maintenance, real estate taxes and insurance as defined in the individual lease agreements. This reduces our exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation. To the extent tenants are not required to pay operating expenses, we may be adversely impacted by inflation.

## **Geographic Concentration**

The properties in which we maintain an ownership interest are located in Washington, D.C., Virginia and Maryland. We may make selected acquisitions or develop properties outside our focus market of the Greater Washington, D.C. area from time to time as appropriate opportunities arise, as evidenced by our acquisition of the Patrick Henry Corporate Center in Newport News, Virginia.

## **Funds From Operations**

As defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, funds from operations, or FFO, represents net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, plus real estate-related depreciation and amortization and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures are calculated to reflect FFO on the same basis. Our interpretation of the NAREIT definition is that minority interest in net income (loss) should be added back (deducted) from net income (loss) as part of reconciling net income (loss) to FFO. We present FFO because we believe it facilitates an understanding of the operating performance of our Company without giving effect to real estate depreciation and amortization, which assumes that the value of real estate diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income. Our FFO computation may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs that do not compute FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition or that interpret the NAREIT definition differently than we do. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered to be an alternative to net income (loss) (determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity, nor is

it indicative of funds available for our cash needs, including cash distributions to stockholders, principal payments on debt and capital expenditures.

The following table provides the calculation of our FFO and a reconciliation to net income for the period from January 1, 2006 through March 31, 2006:

Net loss		\$ (1,011,750)
Adjustments		
Minority interests		(75,501)
Depreciation and amortization	consolidated entities, excluding corporate assets	3,453,202
Depreciation and amortization	unconsolidated entities	1,423,675
-		

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market interest rates. We use derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to our borrowings. We do not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and only enter into contracts with major financial institutions based on their credit rating and other factors. We have no interest rate protection, swaps or cap agreements in place as of the date of this filing.

Including our pro rata share of debt at unconsolidated real estate entities, we had \$11.8 million in variable rate debt, or 7%, of the total \$162.0 million in our pro rata share of debt outstanding as of March 31, 2006.

For fixed rate debt, changes in interest rates generally affect the fair value of debt but not our earnings or cash flow. Including our pro rata share of debt at unconsolidated real estate entities, we estimate our pro rata share of the fair value of fixed rate debt outstanding at March 31, 2006 to be \$146.6 million compared to the \$150.3 million carrying value at that date.

If the market rates of interest on our variable rate debt increase by 1.0%, our annual interest expense would increase by approximately \$118,000. This assumes the amount outstanding under our variable rate debt facilities remains at \$11.8 million, which was our balance at March 31, 2006. The book value of our variable rate facilities approximates market value at March 31, 2006.

#### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

Funds from operations

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management timely. As of March 31, 2006, we performed an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in enabling us to record, process, summarize and report information required to be included in our periodic SEC filings within the required time period.

## **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

\$ 3,789,626

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ending March 31, 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II OTHER INFORMATION

#### **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

The discussion of the Company s business and operations should be read together with the risk factors contained in Item 1A of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which describe various risks and uncertainties to which we are or may become subject. These risks and uncertainties have the potential to affect the Company s business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, strategies or prospects in a material and adverse manner. As of March 31, 2006, there have been no material changes to the risk factors set forth in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

#### Item 6. Exhibits.

- 3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (Registration No. 333-122644) filed on June 28, 2005).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (Registration No. 333-122644) filed on June 28, 2005).
- 4.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Quarterly Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2005).
- 4.2 Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Columbia Equity, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (Registration No. 333-122644) filed on June 28, 2005).
- 10.1 Transfer and Assumption and Loan Modification by and between Principal Life Insurance Company and 1025 Vermont Avenue, LLC, dated January 12, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2006).
- 10.2 Amended, Restated and Consolidated and Secured Promissory Note by and between Principal Life Insurance Company and 1025 Vermont Avenue, LLC, dated February 10, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2006).
- 10.3 Fixed Rate Note payable to Wachovia Bank, National Association, dated February 16, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2006).
- 10.4 Leasehold Indemnity Deed of Trust and Security Agreement, by Park Plaza II, L.L.C. as grantor to Alexander Title Agency Incorporated, as trustee, for the benefit of Wachovia Bank, National Association, as beneficiary, dated February 16, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2006).
- 10.5 Indemnity Guaranty Agreement by Park Plaza II, L.L.C., dated February 16, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2006).
- 10.6 Summary of Cash Incentive Bonuses Payable to Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2006).

- 10.7 Purchase and Sale Agreement by and between Armada/Hoffler Investment Properties, L.P., and Columbia Equity Trust, Inc., dated March 15, 2006.
- 10.8 Agreement of Purchase and Sale, by and between Foulger Land Limited Partnership, Argo Orchard Ridge Manager, Inc., Argo Investment Company and Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. dated April 25, 2006.
- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Chief Financial Officer.
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

COLUMBIA EQUITY TRUST, INC.

Date: May 15, 2006 By: /s/ Oliver T. Carr, III

Oliver T. Carr, III

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 15, 2006 By: /s/ John A. Schissel

John A. Schissel

**Executive Vice President and Chief** 

Financial Officer

41

#### **EXHIBIT INDEX**

## No. Description

- 3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (Registration No. 333-122644) filed on June 28, 2005).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (Registration No. 333-122644) filed on June 28, 2005).
- 4.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2005).
- 4.2 Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Columbia Equity, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-11/A (Registration No. 333-122644) filed on June 28, 2005).
- 10.1 Transfer and Assumption and Loan Modification by and between Principal Life Insurance Company and 1025 Vermont Avenue, LLC, dated January 12, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2006).
- 10.2 Amended, Restated and Consolidated and Secured Promissory Note by and between Principal Life Insurance Company and 1025 Vermont Avenue, LLC, dated February 10, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2006).
- 10.3 Fixed Rate Note payable to Wachovia Bank, National Association, dated February 16, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2006).
- 10.4 Leasehold Indemnity Deed of Trust and Security Agreement, by Park Plaza II, L.L.C. as grantor to Alexander Title Agency Incorporated, as trustee, for the benefit of Wachovia Bank, National Association, as beneficiary, dated February 16, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2006).
- 10.5 Indemnity Guaranty Agreement by Park Plaza II, L.L.C., dated February 16, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2006).
- 10.6 Summary of Cash Incentive Bonuses Payable to Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2006).
- 10.7 Purchase and Sale Agreement by and between Armada/Hoffler Investment Properties, L.P., and Columbia Equity Trust, Inc., dated March 15, 2006.
- 10.8 Agreement of Purchase and Sale, by and between Foulger Land Limited Partnership, Argo Orchard Ridge Manager, Inc., Argo Investment Company and Columbia Equity Trust, Inc. dated April 25, 2006.
- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Chief Financial Officer.

# No. Description

32.1 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.